GENERALIZATION OF CONNECTIONS ON LIE ALGEBROIDS AND DERIVATION-BASED NON-COMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

SERGE LAZZARINI AND THIERRY MASSON

Abstract. In this paper we study some generalized notions of connections on transitive Lie algebroids from an algebraic point of view. Differential calculi are introduced to manage connections 1-forms and curvature 2-forms. Two examples are studied in details: the Atiyah Lie algebroid of a principal fiber bundle and the space of derivations of the algebra of endomorphisms of a *SL*(*n*)-vector bundle. Using these two examples we show that the notion of generalized connections studied here is strongly related to the notion of connections on the derivation-based non-commutative geometry of this algebra of endomorphisms. As such, relative to ordinary connections, generalized connections on an Atiyah Lie algebroid is the same kind of generalization as (derivation-based) non-commutative connections.

CONTENTS

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57Rxx, 58Axx, 53C05; Secondary 46L87, 81T13.

Key words and phrases. Differential geometry, differential algebra, Lie algebroid, noncommutative geometry, connection.

Lie algebroids are now widely used in mathematics as a natural generalization ofboth Lie algebras and vector fields on a manifold $([1], [16], [26]$ $([1], [16], [26]$ $([1], [16], [26]$ $([1], [16], [26]$ $([1], [16], [26]$ $([1], [16], [26]$ and references in [\[20\]](#page-41-2) and [\[5\]](#page-40-3)). Structures involving these two heritages have been studied in details, in particular (as far as we shall be concerned) their relationships with connections on manifolds and fiber bundles. Lie algebroids appear naturally in many contexts. In Poisson geometry, they have been recognized as an essential structure (see [\[12\]](#page-41-3) for instance). It is then important to be able to study them with suitable tools which could be applied in many situations and which would fit perfectly with the large class of possible applications. In this direction, some invariants have been identified on Lie algebroids to study their global geometry, see [\[14\]](#page-41-4) for a brief review and references therein.

On the other hand, non-commutative geometry makes it possible to take a fresh look at the ordinary objects of geometry (vector fields, differential calculi, fiber bundles, connections and so on) using a more algebraic approach. This helps to unify and relate objects in many situations, and to better understand their signification. Lie algebroids do have a great deal in common with non-commutative geometry: they involve at the same time some geometrical and algebraic structures. It is then possible and desirable to compare some of the mathematical structures one can define in these two frameworks. One of these structures is the notion of connections.

Ordinary connections are essential tools in the study of Lie algebroids, and more precisely of transitive Lie algebroids, where they are defined as splitting of the short exact sequence defining the transitive Lie algebroid. This is where invariants, such as characteristic classes constructed from connections, play an essential role to capture some aspects of the global topology of the Lie algebroid. The ordinary notion of connections on a principal fiber bundle is completely recovered as connections on the transitive Atiyah Lie algebroid of a principal fiber bundle. See for instance [\[2\]](#page-40-4) and [\[5\]](#page-40-3) for the use of these notions.

The aim of this paper is to address the relationships between connections on Lie algebroids and connections in the derivation-based non-commutative geometry – the latter being one of the approaches of non-commutative geometry. Indeed, this non-commutative geometry makes apparent some structures which look very much like Lie algebroids. Even better, there is a well known example of such a non-commutative geometry where a transitive Lie algebroid appears naturally: the algebra of endomorphisms of a $SL(n)$ -vector bundle permits to define its space of derivations as a transitive Lie algebroid [\[10\]](#page-40-5). In the following, it will be shown to what extend this Lie algebroid is similar to the Lie algebroid of Atiyah of a principal fiber bundle. Then we will use these two examples to compare connections according to these two points of view.

This paper is a (non systematic) study of some possible generalizations of connections on transitive Lie algebroids. Our goal is to show that the natural generalization of connections we consider in the context of Lie algebroid has a lot in common with an equivalent notion of generalized connections in the context of the derivation-based non-commutative geometry. Our approach here is the one of "non-commutative geometers". Therefore, some important geometric notions will be ignored in what follows and the focus will be on differential algebraic structures.

We refer to [\[3\]](#page-40-6), [\[19\]](#page-41-5), [\[15\]](#page-41-6), [\[17\]](#page-41-7) and [\[24\]](#page-41-8) for some general aspects on non-commutative geometry, and the reader is advised to consult [\[8\]](#page-40-7) and [\[25\]](#page-41-9) for complements on the derivation-based non-commutative geometry which will be of constant use here. We have added in [A](#page-37-0)ppendix A a brief review of these non-commutative structures used in the text.

The first main result of the paper is the following. We define in sub-section [2.2](#page-19-0) two generalizations of connections on transitive algebroids.

The first one involves a representation of the Lie algebroid. This generalization of connections is not new. It has been introduced and studied before in [\[13\]](#page-41-10) from a geometric point of view (for instance holonomy has been extensively studied there). In [\[6\]](#page-40-8) an extension of this definition has been proposed as non-linear connections. Our notion of A-connection on a vector bundle $\mathcal E$ (Definition [2.7\)](#page-19-1) is exactly the same object as in [\[13\]](#page-41-10), and the terminology is the one in [\[5\]](#page-40-3). But we present it from a different point of view. In particular, as said before, algebraic structures play an essential role in our approach and the geometric aspect is almost completely ignored. This choice is imposed by our motivation to compare this structure to an equivalent one in non-commutative geometry, where algebra is the primary language.

The second generalization of connections is more intrinsic to a transitive Lie algebroid. It is given in terms of forms in a convenient differential calculus. As far as we know, it has not been considered elsewhere yet. The point is that from a representation point of view, it is suitable to get a generalized connection on the Lie algebroid which can induce a generalized connection in the previous sense for each representation of the Lie algebroid. This leads to Definition [2.13.](#page-21-0)

Then, in Propositions [2.12](#page-21-1) and [2.17](#page-23-2) we show how these definitions give rise to correct generalizations of ordinary connections, in the sense that the space of ordinary connections is included in the space of these generalized connections. These inclusions are compatible with the corresponding notions of curvatures and gauge transformations which are defined in the main text.

The second main result concerns the relationship between the generalization of connections we propose on transitive Lie algebroids and the generalization of connections in the derivation-based non-commutative geometry. This is done in sub-section [3.2](#page-33-0) where the transitive Lie algebroid defined by the space of derivations of the endomorphism algebra of a *SL*(*n*)-vector bundle is exposed. It is shown that this Lie algebroid is isomorphic to the Atiyah Lie algebroid for the *SL*(*n*)-principal fiber bundle behind this construction. Then in Theorem [3.15](#page-35-0) we show that the space of generalized connections on this Atiyah Lie algebroid is isomorphic to the space of generalized connections on the Lie algebroid of derivations of this algebra and to the space of non-commutative connections when the module on which the connection acts is the algebra itself.

In order to bring together the "geometry" of transitive Lie algebroids to the derivation-based non-commutative geometry mentioned before, we have deliberately chosen to extensively explore and describe in sub-section [1.4](#page-9-0) some essential differential calculi on the Lie algebroid side. On the one hand, these structures permits to consider connections and their generalizations as algebraic objects. On the other hand, they make clear the relations with non-commutative geometry where differential calculi are primary objects. In particular, we show in sub-section [3.2](#page-33-0) that some of these differential calculi for the Atiyah Lie algebroid for a *SL*(*n*) principal fiber bundle are strongly related (inclusion and isomorphisms) to the natural derivation-based non-commutative differential calculus of the corresponding algebra of endomorphisms.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section [1](#page-3-0) we make a brief review on transitive Lie algebroids. There we consider trivial Lie algebroids and we show how some natural differential structures appears on them, for instance to characterize morphisms of trivial transitive Lie algebroids. These structures will be used in Section [3.](#page-23-0) We also introduce the usual representation theory of transitive Lie algebroid. Then we use these notions to define three different differential calculi. Two of them are used to define and study connections and their generalizations. This section ends with the local description of transitive Lie algebroids. The main part of this exposé is well known (see for instance [\[20\]](#page-41-2)), but we wanted to show how the algebraic structures introduced before can be written as local expressions and how they throw some light on the local description of transitive Lie algebroids.

Section [2](#page-16-0) is about connections. The ordinary concept of connections is exposed, making extensively used of the differential calculi introduced before. Subsequently the definitions of generalized connections are given. This section ends by showing how generalized connections do contain ordinary connections.

In Section [3](#page-23-0) two important examples are presented, illustrating the main structures defined in the text. The first example is the transitive Atiyah Lie algebroid of a principal fiber bundle. All the differential structures are identified and studied. It is shown how the notions of generalized connections are related to ordinary geometry. The second example concerns a transitive Lie algebroid constructed by using non-commutative considerations: the Lie algebra of derivations of the algebra of endomorphisms of a *SL*(*n*)-vector bundle. As mentioned before, it is shown that this algebroid is isomorphic to the Atiyah Lie algebroid of a *SL*(*n*)-principal fiber bundle, and how generalized connections on this Lie algebroid turn out to be exactly non-commutative connections when the module is the algebra itself.

1. General definitions and properties

In order to fix the notations and to be as self-consistent as possible, in this section we recall in details the structures we will need in the following to study the notion of connection and its generalization on transitive Lie algebroids. The main source for this section is the monograph [\[20\]](#page-41-2). We have taken the opportunity to rewrite some of the structures involved in a more algebraic language. This is necessary in order to compare the structure of a transitive Lie algebroid with some structures appearing in the derivation-based non-commutative geometry of the endomorphism algebra defined in sub-section [3.2.](#page-33-0) Likewise, some well-known proofs are presented in the algebraic language to show how it perfectly fits with Lie algebroids.

1.1. **Definitions.**

Definition 1.1 (Lie algebroids – Geometric version). Let M be a manifold. A Lie algebroid $\mathcal A$ on $\mathcal M$ is a vector bundle $\mathcal A \stackrel{\pi}{\to} \mathcal M$ with a vector bundle map $\rho: \mathcal{A} \to T\mathcal{M}$ (the anchor of \mathcal{A}) and a Lie bracket $[-,-]$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ fulfilling

$$
[\mathfrak{X}, f\mathfrak{Y}] = f[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}] + (\rho(\mathfrak{X}) \cdot f)\mathfrak{Y} \qquad \rho([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]) = [\rho(\mathfrak{X}), \rho(\mathfrak{Y})]
$$

for any $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$.

In this definition, we have used the fact that the anchor defines a map of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ modules $\rho : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ by $\rho(\mathfrak{X})(x) = \rho(\mathfrak{X}(x)) \in T_x\mathcal{M}$, where $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ is the space of vector fields on M.

From now on, we will use the following typographic rules: $A = \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ and $X =$ $\rho(\mathfrak{X})$ for any objects for which these notations make sense. Moreover, we will refer to A as a Lie algebroid.

Proposition 1.2 (Restriction of a Lie algebroid). For any open subset $U \subset M$, *the Lie bracket can be restricted to* $A_U = \Gamma(A_U)$ *where* A_U *is the restriction of the vector bundle over U. This defines a sub-Lie algebroid of* A*.*

This proposition permits one to look at Lie algebroids locally in respect to M . This will be used to decompose global objects on A into "trivial" pieces, as in ordinary geometry.

For a non-commutative geometer, the following definition comes as more natural. It uses the algebraic language behind vector bundles:

Definition 1.3 (Lie algebroids – Algebraic version). Let M be a manifold. A Lie algebroid A is a finite projective module over $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ equipped with a Lie bracket $[-,-]$ and a $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear Lie morphism $\rho : A \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$
[\mathfrak{X}, f\mathfrak{Y}] = f[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}] + (\rho(\mathfrak{X}) \cdot f)\mathfrak{Y}
$$

for any $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in A$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$.

The requirement that A be a finite projective module makes the definition equivalent to Definition [1.1.](#page-3-2) In fact, one could remove this condition. The price to pay is that one is not assured of the existence of a connection in the sense defined below.

The notation $A \stackrel{\rho}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ will be used for a Lie algebroid A over the manifold M with anchor ρ .

Definition 1.4 (Morphism of Lie algebroids). Let $A \stackrel{\rho_A}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ and $B \stackrel{\rho_B}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ be two Lie algebroids on the same base manifold M . A morphism of Lie algebroids is a morphism of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules $\varphi : A \to B$ compatible with the anchors : $\rho_B \circ \varphi = \rho_A$.

In particular, a morphism of Lie algebroids is base-preserving, in the sense that it projects onto the identity map on M.

Definition 1.5 (Transitive Lie algebroids). A Lie algebroid $A \stackrel{\rho}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ is transitive if ρ is surjective.

Proposition 1.6 (The kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid)**.** *Let* A *be a transitive Lie algebroid. Then* L = ker *ρ is a Lie algebroid with null anchor on* M*. The vector bundle* $\mathcal L$ *such that* $\mathsf L = \Gamma(\mathcal L)$ *is a locally trivial bundle in Lie algebras. The Lie bracket on* L *is inherited from the one on the Lie algebra on which the fiber of this vector bundle is modelled.*

One has the short exact sequence of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ *-modules*

 $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0$

L *will be called the kernel of* A*.*

The injective morphism ι is convenient to place here because it allows one to choose a Lie algebroid L isomorphic (as a bundle in Lie algebras) to ker *ρ* instead of ker ρ itself.

Proof. This is a well-known result that can be found in [\[20\]](#page-41-2). \Box

Subsequently, we shall study exclusively transitive Lie algebroids.

1.2. **Trivial Lie algebroid.** As for fiber bundles, the structure of Lie algebroids can be understood by local trivializations. Let us first consider the case of a trivial Lie algebroid as a model of the pieces one has to glue globally altogether to (re)contruct a Lie algebroid. Trivial Lie algebroids will also reappear in the study of the Atiyah Lie algebroid, which will be embedded, as well as its differential calculus, as basic elements into a bigger trivial Lie algebroid.

Definition 1.7 (Trivial Lie algebroid). Let M be a manifold and \mathfrak{g} a finite dimensional Lie algebra. Consider the vector bundle $T\mathcal{M} \oplus (\mathcal{M} \times \mathfrak{g})$. Denote by A the space of its smooth sections. It is a Lie algebroid for the following structures:

(1.1)
$$
\rho(X \oplus \gamma) = X \qquad [X \oplus \gamma, Y \oplus \eta] = [X, Y] \oplus (X \cdot \eta - Y \cdot \gamma + [\gamma, \eta])
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ and $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \times \mathfrak{g})$.

We will say that A is the trivial Lie algebroid over M modelled on the Lie algebra g. We will constantly use the notation $TLA(\mathcal{M}, g)$ for this Lie algebroid (Trivial Lie Algebroid).

In the definition, two Lie brackets are used indeed to define the Lie bracket of the Lie algebroid. The notation is clear enough to avoid any confusion. Notice also that the action of vector fields on smooth functions on $\mathcal M$ is used as well.

A trivial Lie algebroid is transitive, and the vector bundle defining the kernel of ρ is the trivial vector bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{h})$ be two trivial Lie algebroids over the same manifold M , modelled respectively on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak g$ and $\mathfrak h$. Then a morphism of Lie algebroids $\varphi : TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g}) \to TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{h})$ can be written as the decomposition

$$
\varphi(X \oplus \gamma) = X \oplus [\alpha(\gamma) + \chi(X)]
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \times \mathfrak{g})$. By construction, one has

$$
\alpha \in (\bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{h} \qquad \qquad \chi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}
$$

We now introduce the differential bigraded commutative algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$ equipped with the two differential operators

$$
d: \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*
$$

$$
s: \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \to \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet+1} \mathfrak{g}^*
$$

where d is the de Rham differential on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$, and s is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on $\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$. As is well known, an explicit expression for the total differential $\delta = d + s$ is given by

$$
(1.2)
$$

$$
(\delta\omega)(X_1\oplus\gamma_1,\ldots,X_{p+1}\oplus\gamma_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1}X_i\cdot\omega(X_1\oplus\gamma_1,\ldots,\stackrel{i}{\vee}\ldots,X_{p+1}\oplus\gamma_{p+1})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1\leq i
$$

for any ω of total degree p in $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$ and any $X_i \oplus \gamma_i \in \mathsf{TLA}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$. As usual with differentials, one can see that δ is a related to the dual of the Lie bracket given by [\(1.1\)](#page-5-1), and $\delta^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the Jacobi identity for g. We denote by $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$ the total complex of the bicomplex $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$. Be aware of the fact that g does not explicitly appear in this notation.

The differential δ is extended to the complex $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})\otimes \mathfrak{h}$ where it does not act on the h factor.

Proposition 1.8 (Structure equation of a morphism of trivial Lie algebroids)**.** *Let* φ : TLA($\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g}$) \to TLA($\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{h}$) *be a morphism of trivial Lie algebroids. In the previous notation,* $\alpha + \chi$ *is a element of total degree* 1 *in the complex* $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ *. The fact that* φ *be a morphism of Lie algebras equivalently means*

(1.3)
$$
\delta(\alpha + \chi) + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha + \chi, \alpha + \chi] = 0
$$

 $in \ \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}.$

Proof. A simple computation shows that φ is a Lie algebra morphism if and only if one has

$$
[\alpha(\gamma), \alpha(\eta)] - \alpha([\gamma, \eta]) = 0
$$

$$
X \cdot \alpha(\eta) - \alpha(X \cdot \eta) + [\chi(X), \alpha(\eta)] = 0
$$

$$
d\chi(X, Y) + [\chi(X), \chi(Y)] = 0
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ and any $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \times \mathfrak{g})$.

Combined together, these relations give exactly (1.3) .

This result is given in [\[20\]](#page-41-2) in the form of the three equations produced in the proof. These equations can be elegantly combined into a single one using the natural differential bicomplex introduced here.

In the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$, there is another interesting structure to make apparent. Denoting by $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M},\mathfrak{g}) = \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ the total complex of the bicomplex $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})\otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, this graded space can be equipped with a natural differential $\hat{d}_{\text{TLA}} = d + s' : \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^p(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{p+1}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$, where as before, d is the de Rham differential on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$ and s' is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on $({\Lambda}^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*)\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ which takes into account the ad representation of g on itself. One has

$$
(s'\alpha)(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} [\gamma_i, \alpha(\gamma_1, ..., \overset{i}{\vee} ..., \gamma_{p+1})]
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([\gamma_i, \gamma_j], \gamma_1, ..., \overset{i}{\vee} ..., \overset{j}{\vee} ..., \gamma_{p+1})
$$

for any $\alpha \in (\bigwedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and $\gamma_i \in \mathfrak{g}$.

An explicit expression for $\hat{d}_{\mathsf{TLA}} = d + s'$ is given by

$$
(1.4) \quad (d_{\text{TLA}}\omega)(X_1 \oplus \gamma_1, \dots, X_{p+1} \oplus \gamma_{p+1}) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i \cdot \omega(X_1 \oplus \gamma_1, \dots, \stackrel{i}{\cdot} \dots, X_{p+1} \oplus \gamma_{p+1})
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} [\gamma_i, \omega(X_1 \oplus \gamma_1, \dots, \stackrel{i}{\cdot} \dots, X_{p+1} \oplus \gamma_{p+1})]
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i \oplus \gamma_i, X_j \oplus \gamma_j], X_1 \oplus \gamma_1, \dots, \stackrel{i}{\cdot} \dots, \stackrel{j}{\cdot} \dots, X_{p+1} \oplus \gamma_{p+1})
$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega^p_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ and any $X_i \oplus \gamma_i \in \mathsf{TLA}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$. Notice that the representation of $TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ on $\Omega_{TLA}^p(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ is the restriction of the Lie bracket defined on $TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ to elements of the form $0 \oplus \eta$.

Proposition 1.9 (Structure equation of an automorphism of a trivial Lie algebroid). Let φ : TLA($\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g}$) \rightarrow TLA($\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g}$) *be an automorphism of a trivial Lie algebroid.* Let $\theta \in \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$, defined by $\theta(X \oplus \gamma) = \gamma$, be the extension of the *Maurer-Cartan form in* $(\bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ to $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$.

Using previous notation, the fact that φ is a Lie algebroid automorphism is equiv*alent to*

(1.5)
$$
\hat{d}_{\text{TLA}}(\alpha + \chi - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha + \chi - \theta, \alpha + \chi - \theta] = 0
$$

Proof. The direct computation of this expression gives the three relations given in the proof of Proposition [1.8.](#page-6-1) One has to notice that the relation

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathsf{TLA}}(-\theta) + \frac{1}{2}[(-\theta), (-\theta)] = 0
$$

is used in the proof.

1.3. **Representation theory.** In order to define the representation theory of Lie algebroids, one needs to introduce a particular Lie algebroid associated to any vector bundle. Representation theory for Lie algebroids is equivalent to associated vector bundles for principal fiber bundles and to modules for non-commutative algebras. This point will be more apparent in the construction of connections and in Section [3.](#page-23-0)

Example 1.10 (Derivations on a vector bundle). Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle over the manifold M. Denote by $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ the fiber bundle of endomorphisms of $\mathcal E$ defined by

$$
End(\mathcal{E}) = \{ D : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) / D(fs) = fD(s), \forall s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \forall f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \}
$$

Define the space

$$
\text{Diff}^{1}(\mathcal{E}) = \{ D : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) / D \text{ linear},
$$

$$
D(fs) - fD(s) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E}), \forall s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \forall f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \}
$$

The symbol map $\sigma: \text{Diff}^1(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Hom}_{C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})}(T^*\mathcal{M}, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ is defined by

$$
\sigma(D)(f\mathrm{d}g)(s) = f(D(gs) - gD(s)) = f[D, g]s
$$

for any $f, g \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ and any $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

With the identification $\text{Hom}_{C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})}(T^{\ast}\mathcal{M}, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \Gamma(T\mathcal{M} \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$, one can inject $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ into $\text{Hom}_{C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})}(T^*\mathcal{M}, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ as $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})\otimes \mathbb{1} \subset \Gamma(T\mathcal{M}\otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$.

We then define the space

$$
\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma^{-1}(\Gamma(\mathcal{M}))
$$

This is a transitive Lie algebroid, with anchor σ and kernel $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}) = \Gamma(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$:

$$
0 \longrightarrow A(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

It turns out to be the Lie algebroid of derivations of \mathcal{E} . Notice that the kernel $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ is an associative algebra and the Lie structure on it is the commutator.

This Lie algebroid is not defined using a vector bundle, but directly the space of "sections". The vector bundle should require the use of jets on the vector bundle \mathcal{E} , which would require a more cumbersome construction.

$$
\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{r}}
$$

Definition 1.11 (Representation of a Lie algebroid). Let $A \stackrel{\rho}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ be a Lie algebroid and $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a vector bundle. A representation of A on \mathcal{E} is a morphism of Lie algebroids $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ (see Example [1.10\)](#page-7-1).

This definition strongly relates the structure of A to the structure of $\mathcal E$ as vector bundles. If A is a transitive Lie algebroid, one has the following commutative diagram of exact rows:

(1.6)
$$
0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

$$
\downarrow \phi_L \qquad \qquad \downarrow \phi \qquad \qquad \parallel
$$

$$
0 \longrightarrow A(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The map $\phi_L : L \to \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ is a $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear morphism of Lie algebras induced by a morphism between two fiber bundles in Lie algebras: $\mathcal L$ and $\text{End}(\mathcal E)$. This implies that the structure of $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ as a fiber bundle is necessarily related to the one of L.

Lemma 1.12 (Injectivity). $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *is injective if and only if* $\phi_L : L \to A(\mathcal{E})$ *is injective.*

Proof. This is just diagram chasing in (1.6) .

The space \mathcal{E} , as a vector bundle, gives rise to the natural group of vertical automorphisms, which will play an important role in the theory of connections. This amounts to the following:

Definition 1.13 (Gauge group of a representation)**.** The gauge group of a representation space $\mathcal E$ is the group of vertical automorphisms of the vector bundle $\mathcal E$. It is denoted by Aut (\mathcal{E}) . This is the group of invertible elements in $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$.

If further structures are defined on $\mathcal E$ then it is possible to restrict the gauge group to vertical automorphisms compatible with these structures. For a hermitean structure on \mathcal{E} , this would give rise to the group of unitary elements in $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ for the natural involution on this algebra induced by the hermitean structure. We will not use such an extra structure here, but many of the definitions and properties given below where gauge elements appear can be adapted to such a restriction.

The gauge group can't be defined directly at the level of the transitive Lie algebroid A. But the gauge group defined on $\mathcal E$ has an infinitesimal version: the Lie algebroid $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ is its Lie algebra. Using the representation $\phi_L : L \to \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$, this suggests the abstract notion of infinitesimal gauge transformations on A:

Definition 1.14 (Infinitesimal gauge transformations)**.** An infinitesimal gauge transformation on the transitive Lie algebroid A is an element $\xi \in L$. Such an infinitesimal gauge transformation acts vertically on $\mathcal E$ through the representation ϕ _L : **L** \rightarrow **A**(\mathcal{E}).

Proposition 1.15 (Operations on representations). Let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a *representation of* A *on* \mathcal{E} *.* Denote by \mathcal{E}^* the dual vector bundle to \mathcal{E} *.* Denote *by* $\langle \alpha, s \rangle \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ *the duality between* $\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^*)$ *and* $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ *. The map* $\phi^* : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}^*)$ *defined by*

 $\langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{X})\alpha, s \rangle = \rho(\mathfrak{X}) \cdot \langle \alpha, s \rangle - \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{X})s \rangle$

is a representation. This is the adjoint representation.

Let $\psi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{F})$ *be a second representation of* A *on an vector bundle* F. The *map* $\phi \otimes \psi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})$ *defined by*

$$
(\phi \otimes \psi)(\mathfrak{X})(s \otimes t) = (\phi(\mathfrak{X})s) \otimes t + s \otimes (\psi(\mathfrak{X})t)
$$

and the map $\phi \oplus \psi : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})$ *defined by*

$$
(\phi \oplus \psi)(\mathfrak{X})(s \oplus t) = (\phi(\mathfrak{X})s) \oplus (\psi(\mathfrak{X})t)
$$

for any $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ *and any* $t \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ *are representations. There are respectively the tensor product representation and the sum (or product) representation.*

Denote by ϕ^{End} : A $\rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ *the induced representation on* End (\mathcal{E}) = $\mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}$ *. Then, for any* $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ *, for any* $a \in A(\mathcal{E})$ *and for any* $s \in \Gamma(E)$ *, one has* $\phi^{\text{End}}(\mathfrak{X})(a) = [\phi(\mathfrak{X}), a]$ *as a commutator in* $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *, so that*

$$
\phi(\mathfrak{X})(as) = \phi^{\mathrm{End}}(\mathfrak{X})(a)s + a\phi(\mathfrak{X})(s)
$$

Proof. We use the notation $X = \rho(\mathfrak{X})$ and $Y = \rho(\mathfrak{Y})$. For the first assertion, one has

$$
\langle [\phi^*(\mathfrak{X}), \phi^*(\mathfrak{Y})] \alpha, s \rangle = \langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{X}) \phi^*(\mathfrak{Y}) \alpha, s \rangle - \langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{Y}) \phi^*(\mathfrak{X}) \alpha, s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= X \cdot \langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{Y}) \alpha, s \rangle - \langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{Y}) \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{X}) s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
- Y \cdot \langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{X}) \alpha, s \rangle + \langle \phi^*(\mathfrak{X}) \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{Y}) s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= X \cdot Y \cdot \langle \alpha, s \rangle - X \cdot \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{Y}) s \rangle - Y \cdot \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{X}) s \rangle + \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{Y}) \phi(\mathfrak{X}) s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
- Y \cdot X \cdot \langle \alpha, s \rangle + Y \cdot \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{X}) s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
+ X \cdot \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{Y}) s \rangle - \langle \alpha, \phi(\mathfrak{X}) \phi(\mathfrak{Y}) s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= [X, Y] \cdot \langle \alpha, s \rangle - \langle \alpha, [\phi(\mathfrak{X}), \phi(\mathfrak{Y})] s \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle \phi^*([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]) \alpha, s \rangle
$$

The other assertions are straightforward computations also.

Remark 1.16 (Representation and principal fiber bundle)*.* As we shall see in subsection [3.1,](#page-23-1) there is a natural Lie algebroid associated to any principal fiber bundle. The representation theory of this Lie algebroid coincides with the geometric construction of associated vector bundles. The notion of gauge group is inspired by this particular context.

1.4. **Differential structures.** In order to manage connections as algebraic objects, we need some spaces of forms. They are the generalizations of the differential spaces defined in the trivial case. Forms play a very important role in non-commutative geometry. The reader is encouraged to read also Appendix [A](#page-37-0) to compare the constructions presented in both contexts.

Definition 1.17 (Forms with values in $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$). Let $A \stackrel{\rho}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ be a Lie algebroid (not necessary transitive).

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\Omega^p(A)$ as the linear space of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -multilinear antisymmetric maps from A^p to $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. For $p = 0$ one has $\Omega^0(A) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. The graded space $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ is equipped with the natural differential $\widehat{d}_A : \Omega^p(A) \to \Omega^{p+1}(A)$ defined on any $\omega \in \Omega^p(A)$ by

$$
(\widehat{d}_{A}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_{1},\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\mathfrak{X}_{i}) \cdot \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{1},\ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\mathfrak{X}_{i},\mathfrak{X}_{j}],\mathfrak{X}_{1},\ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1})
$$

Next we define a graded commutative product on $\Omega^{\bullet}(A)$ by

$$
(\omega \eta)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) =
$$

$$
\frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}) \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)})
$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ and $\eta \in \Omega^q(\mathsf{A})$.

Then $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A), \hat{d}_A)$ is a graded commutative differential algebra. It is called the space of forms with values in $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. Objects in this algebra will be called forms on A.

This space of forms is considered in many places: see for instance [\[14\]](#page-41-4), [\[4\]](#page-40-9), [\[20\]](#page-41-2), [\[21\]](#page-41-11), [\[5\]](#page-40-3) and [\[6\]](#page-40-8). It has been also used to define cohomologies on Lie algebroids. We will not enter into this subject here, and we refer to these references for details.

There is a natural action of A on L. For any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ and any $\ell \in L$, the Lie bracket $[\mathfrak{X}, \ell]$ is defined to be the unique element in L such that $\iota([\mathfrak{X}, \ell]) = [\mathfrak{X}, \iota(\ell)]$ $(\iota(\mathsf{L})$ being a Lie ideal in A, $[\mathfrak{X}, \iota(\ell)] \in \ker \rho$. This action is sometimes denoted by ad. We will not use this notation here.

Definition 1.18 (Forms with values in the kernel). Let $A \stackrel{\rho}{\to} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ be a transitive Lie algebroid, with L its kernel.

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\Omega^p(A, L)$ as the linear space of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -multilinear antisymmetric maps from A^p to L. For $p = 0$ one has $\Omega^0(A, L) = L$. The graded space $\Omega^{\bullet}(\overline{A}, L) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega^p(A, L)$ is equipped with the natural differential $\widehat{\mathbf{d}}: \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \to \Omega^{p+1}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ defined on any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ by

$$
(\widehat{d}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} [\mathfrak{X}_i,\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1})] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\mathfrak{X}_i,\mathfrak{X}_j],\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1},\mathfrak{X}_{p+1})
$$

Then $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A, L), \hat{d})$ is called the space of forms on the transitive Lie algebroid A with values in its kernel. Objects in this complex will be called forms on A with values in L.

Notice that no product can be naturally defined on this differential complex. However there are two constructions which should be mentioned.

First, there is a natural map $\Omega^p(A) \otimes \Omega^q(A, L) \to \Omega^{p+q}(A, L)$ which makes $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A},\mathsf{L})$ a graded module on the graded commutative algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A})$. This product is compatible with the differentials: $\hat{d}(\eta_p \omega_q) = (\hat{d}_A \eta_p) \omega_q + (-1)^p \eta_p(\hat{d} \omega_q)$ for any $\eta_p \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ and $\omega_q \in \Omega^q(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}).$

Second, there is a natural graded Lie bracket $\Omega^p(A, L) \otimes \Omega^q(A, L) \to \Omega^{p+q}(A, L)$ which is defined by

$$
[\omega, \eta](\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) =
$$

$$
\frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} [\omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}), \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)})]
$$

 $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}), \widehat{\mathsf{d}})$ is then a graded differential Lie algebra.

In case L contains as a summand a trivial line bundle, the complex of Definition [1.17](#page-9-1) is contained as a subcomplex in the complex of Definition [1.18](#page-10-0) because the two differentials are compatible.

This graded vector space is introduced in [\[20,](#page-41-2) p. 192]. The differential operator which is defined on it is a covariant derivative given by a connection on A. The square of this differential operator is not always zero since it is related to the curvature of this connection. This covariant derivative is defined in Proposition [2.3.](#page-17-0)

For a trivial Lie algebroid $TLA(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g})$, this differential calculus is exactly the differential calculus $(\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{g}), \hat{d}_{\text{TLA}})$.

Given a representation of the transitive Lie algebroid A on a vector bundle \mathcal{E} , it is possible to introduce a differential calculus which is a graded differential algebra.

Definition 1.19 (Forms on A with values in $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$). Let $A \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ be a transitive Lie algebroid and let $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a representation of A on a vector bundle \mathcal{E} .

For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\Omega^p(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ as the linear space of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -multilinear antisymmetric maps from A^p to $A(\mathcal{E})$. For $p = 0$ one has $\Omega^0(A, A(\mathcal{E})) = A(\mathcal{E})$. The graded space $\Omega^{\bullet}(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega^p(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ is equipped with the natural differential $\hat{d}_{\mathcal{E}} : \Omega^p(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})) \to \Omega^{p+1}(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ defined on any $\omega \in \Omega^p(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ by

$$
(\widehat{d}_{\mathcal{E}}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_{1},\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} [\phi(\mathfrak{X}_{i}), \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{1},\ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1})] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\mathfrak{X}_{i}, \mathfrak{X}_{j}], \mathfrak{X}_{1},\ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots \stackrel{j}{\vee} \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1})
$$

Next we define a graded product on $\Omega^{\bullet}(A, A(\mathcal{E}))$ by

$$
(\omega \eta)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) =
$$

$$
\frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}) \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)})
$$

where the product takes place in $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ with its natural associative algebra structure.

Then $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})), \hat{d}_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a graded differential algebra. It is called the space of forms on the transitive Lie algebroid A with values in the kernel of the representation on \mathcal{E} . Objects in this complex will be called forms on A with values in $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$.

For any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ and any $a \in A(\mathcal{E})$, the bracket $|\phi(\mathfrak{X}), a| \in A(\mathcal{E})$ used in the definition of the differential is the induced representation of A on $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$, as noticed in Proposition [1.15.](#page-8-1)

In [\[20,](#page-41-2) Definition 7.1.1] a *standard complex* is introduced for a representation \mathcal{E} of a transitive Lie algebroid A as forms on A with values in \mathcal{E} , which we denote by $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathcal{E})$ for further reference. This definition is also mentioned in [\[18\]](#page-41-12) where

representation theory of Lie algebroid is studied using modular concepts. This differential complex is related to the one introduced in our Definition [1.19,](#page-11-0) in the sense that it is a graded differential module over the graded differential algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(A, A(\mathcal{E}))$. We will not make use of this complex in the following.

Definition 1.20 (Inner operation and Lie derivative). For any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ and $p \geq 1$, we define the inner operation $i_{\mathfrak{X}} : \Omega^p(A) \to \Omega^{p-1}(A)$ (resp. $i_{\mathfrak{X}} : \Omega^p(A, L) \to \Omega^{p-1}(A, L)$) as usual by $(i_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p) = \omega(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)$. By convention, $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is zero on $\Omega^p(A) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ (resp. $\Omega^0(A, L) = L$).

Then, for any $p \ge 0$, $L_{\mathfrak{X}} = \widehat{d}_{\mathsf{A}} i_{\mathfrak{X}} + i_{\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{d}_{\mathsf{A}} : \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}) \to \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ (resp. $L_{\mathfrak{X}} = \widehat{d} i_{\mathfrak{X}} + i_{\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{d}$: $\Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \to \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ is the Lie derivative associated in the X direction.

Explicitly, one has

$$
(L_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)=\rho(\mathfrak{X})\cdot\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)-\sum_{i=1}^p\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,[\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{X}_i],\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)
$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ and

$$
(1.7) \qquad (L\mathfrak{X}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)=[\mathfrak{X},\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)]-\sum_{i=1}^p\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,[\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{X}_i],\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_p)
$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}).$

For any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$, $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a graded derivation of degree -1 on the graded algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A})$, and $L_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a graded derivation of degree 0.

For any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathsf{A}$, $\eta_p \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ and $\omega_q \in \Omega^q(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$, one has

(1.8)
$$
i_{\mathfrak{X}}(\eta_p \omega_q) = (i_{\mathfrak{X}} \eta_p) \omega_q + (-1)^p \eta_p (i_{\mathfrak{X}} \omega_q) \quad L_{\mathfrak{X}}(\eta_p \omega_q) = (L_{\mathfrak{X}} \eta_p) \omega_q + \eta_p (L_{\mathfrak{X}} \omega_q)
$$

Finally, for any $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in A$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$, one has

(1.9)
$$
i_{f\mathfrak{X}} = f i_{\mathfrak{X}} \quad i_{\mathfrak{X}} i_{\mathfrak{Y}} + i_{\mathfrak{Y}} i_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0 \quad [L_{\mathfrak{X}}, i_{\mathfrak{Y}}] = i_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]} \quad [L_{\mathfrak{X}}, L_{\mathfrak{Y}}] = L_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]}
$$

Definition 1.21 (Cartan operation)**.** Let B be a Lie algebroid. A Cartan operation of B on $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A, L), \hat{d})$ is given by the following data: for any $\mathfrak{X} \in B$, for any $p \geq 1$, there are two maps $i_{\mathfrak{X}}: \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}) \to \Omega^{p-1}(\mathsf{A})$ and $i_{\mathfrak{X}}: \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \to \Omega^{p-1}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ such that [\(1.8\)](#page-12-0) and [\(1.9\)](#page-12-1) hold for any $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \mathbb{B}$, $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$, $\eta_p \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A})$ and $\omega_q \in \Omega^q(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ where $L_{\mathfrak{X}} = \widehat{d}_{\mathsf{A}} i_{\mathfrak{X}} + i_{\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{d}_{\mathsf{A}}$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A})$ and $L_{\mathfrak{X}} = \widehat{d} i_{\mathfrak{X}} + i_{\mathfrak{X}} \widehat{d}$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$.

We will denote by (B, i, L) such a Cartan operation on $(\Omega^{\bullet}(A, L), \hat{d})$.

Example 1.22 (Cartan operation of the kernel). As a Lie algebroid, $\iota \circ L \subset A$, so that both the operations *i* and *L* defined in Definition [1.20](#page-12-2) restrict on *ι*◦L to define a Cartan operation of L on $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}), \widehat{\mathsf{d}}).$

Definition 1.23 (Horizontal, invariant and basic elements)**.** Let (B*, i, L*) be a Cartan operation on $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}), \hat{\mathsf{d}})$. Then

- The horizontal elements in $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ are the forms ω such that $i_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathsf{B}$. We denote by $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})_{\text{Hor}}$ the graded subspace of horizontal elements.
- The invariant elements in $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ are the forms ω such that $L_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathsf{B}$. We denote by $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})_{\text{Inv}}$ the graded subspace of invariant elements.

• The basic elements in $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ are the forms which are both horizontal and invariant. We denote by $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})_{\text{Basic}}$ the graded subspace of basic elements.

It is straightforward to check that $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})_{\text{Inv}}$ and $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})_{\text{Basic}}$ are differential subcomplexes of $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}), \widehat{\mathsf{d}}).$

1.5. **Local trivializations.** The structures described on trivial Lie algebroids will be used as local descriptions of transitive Lie algebroids. Local description of forms is related to differential calculi on trivial transitive Lie algebroids.

Definition 1.24 (Local description of a transitive Lie algebroid)**.** Let A be a transitive Lie algebroid over a manifold $\mathcal M$ whose vector bundle is $\mathcal A$. Denote by L the kernel of its anchor map $\rho : A \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$. Denote by $\mathcal L$ the vector bundle in Lie algebras corresponding to L. Let $\mathfrak g$ be the Lie algebra which models the fibers of $\mathcal L$.

A local description of A over an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebroids

$$
S: \mathsf{TLA}(U, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathsf{A}_U
$$

Local descriptions of A can be decomposed into small pieces.

Proposition 1.25 (Local description as a triple)**.** *A local description* (*U, S*) *of* A *is equivalent to a triple* (U, Ψ, ∇^0) *where*

• Ψ : *U* × g [≃]−→ L*^U is a local trivialization of* L *as a fiber bundle in Lie algebras. In particular*

$$
[\Psi(\gamma), \Psi(\eta)] = \Psi([\gamma, \eta])
$$

This morphism gives rise to an isomorphism of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(U)$ *modules*

$$
\Psi: \Gamma(U \times \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathsf{L}_U.
$$

- ∇^0 : $\Gamma(U) \to A_U$ *is an injective morphism of Lie algebras and* $C^{\infty}(U)$ *modules compatible with the anchors:*
	- $[\nabla_X^0, \nabla_Y^0] = \nabla_{[X,Y]}^0$ $\qquad \nabla_X^0 = f \nabla_X^0$ $\rho \circ \nabla_X^0 = X$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(U)$ *and any* $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$.

• *For any* $X \in \Gamma(U)$ *and any* $\gamma \in \Gamma(U \times \mathfrak{g})$ *,*

$$
[\nabla^0_X, \iota \circ \Psi(\gamma)] = \iota \circ \Psi(X \cdot \gamma)
$$

Proof. If (U, S) is a local description of A, then one can define the map

$$
\nabla^0 : \Gamma(TU) \to \mathsf{A}_U \qquad \qquad \nabla^0_X = S(X \oplus 0)
$$

This map is an injective morphism of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(U)$ -modules and is compatible with the anchors.

For any $X \oplus \gamma \in \mathsf{TLA}(U, \mathfrak{g})$, the difference $S(X \oplus \gamma) - \nabla_X^0$ is an element in ker ρ which does not depend on *X*. This means that there exists $\Psi(\gamma) \in L$ such that

$$
S(X \oplus \gamma) = \nabla_X^0 + \iota \circ \Psi(\gamma)
$$

The algebraic relations to be checked are trivial consequences of the fact that *S* is a morphism of Lie algebroids.

For reasons that will be clear later on, the morphism ∇^0 is called a local flat connection on A. This is why such a notation has been used.

Proposition 1.26 (Change of local descriptions)**.** *Let* (*U, S*) *and* (*U, S*′) *be two local descriptions of* A *over the same open subset* $U \subset M$ *. Then there exists* $\alpha + \chi \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U, \mathfrak{g})$ *such that*

(1.10)
$$
(S^{-1} \circ S')(X \oplus \gamma) = X \oplus (\alpha(\gamma) + \chi(X))
$$

(1.11)
$$
\widehat{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\alpha + \chi - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha + \chi - \theta, \alpha + \chi - \theta] = 0
$$

where $\theta(X \oplus \gamma) = \gamma$ *(see Proposition [1.9\)](#page-7-2).*

Conversely, any element $\alpha + \chi \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U, \mathfrak{g})$ *satisfying* [\(1.11\)](#page-14-0) *defines a new local description by* [\(1.10\)](#page-14-1)*.*

Proof. The map $(S^{-1} \circ S')$: TLA $(U, \mathfrak{g}) \to TLA(U, \mathfrak{g})$ is an autommorphism of a trivial Lie algebroid. As such, it can be written as (1.10) and (1.11) is a consequence of Proposition [1.9.](#page-7-2)

Conversely, *S* ′ defined by [\(1.10\)](#page-14-1) is a local description of A for a given *S* and an element $\alpha + \chi \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U, \mathfrak{g})$ satisfying [\(1.11\)](#page-14-0).

It is interesting and useful for computational purposes to take a look at the relations between the 1-form $\alpha + \chi \in \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(U, \mathfrak{g})$ and the objects introduced in Proposition [1.25.](#page-13-1)

Denote by (U, Ψ, ∇^0) and (U, Ψ', ∇') the associated triples of the local descriptions. For any $X \oplus \gamma \in \mathsf{TLA}(U, \mathfrak{g})$ one has $S'(X \oplus \gamma) = S(X \oplus (\alpha(\gamma) + \chi(X)))$. This gives immediately

$$
\alpha = \Psi^{-1} \circ \Psi'
$$
\n
$$
\iota \circ \Psi \circ \chi = \nabla'^0 - \nabla^0
$$

Definition 1.27 (Lie algebroid atlas)**.** A Lie algebroid atlas for A is a collection of triples $\{(U_i, \Psi_i, \nabla^{0,i})\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = \mathcal{M}$ and each triple $(U_i, \Psi_i, \nabla^{0,i})$ is a local trivialization of A.

From that definition, it is obvious that the family (U_i, Ψ_i) realizes a system of local trivialization of the fiber bundle in Lie algebras \mathcal{L} . This means that on $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ one can define $\alpha_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\alpha_{ij} = \Psi_i^{-1} \circ \Psi_j$.

Any element $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathsf{A}$ can be trivialized as (X_i, γ_i) over each U_i with $S_i(X_i \oplus \gamma_i) =$ $\mathfrak{X}_{|U_i}$. The local vector fields X_i are just the restriction of the global vector field $X = \rho(\mathfrak{X})$. This means that on U_{ij} one has $X_{i|U_{ij}} = X_{j|U_{ij}}$. This permits one to denote all of them by *X*.

For any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$, the difference $\nabla_X^{0,i} - \nabla_X^{0,j}$ is an element of ker ρ , so that there exists $\ell_{ij}(X) \in \mathsf{L}_{U_{ij}}$ such that $\nabla_X^{0,i} = \nabla_X^{0,j} + \iota \circ \ell_{ij}(X)$.

As in Čech cohomology, we now make an artificial distinction between U_{ij} and U_{ji} for $i \neq j$. This allows us to define without ambiguity

$$
\chi_{ij}=\Psi_i^{-1}\circ \ell_{ij}\in \Omega^1(U_{ij})\otimes \mathfrak{g}
$$

The local descriptions of $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ are related by the relation $\nabla_X^{0,i} + \iota \circ \Psi_i(\gamma_i) =$ $\nabla_X^{0,j} + \iota \circ \Psi_j(\gamma_j)$ on U_{ij} . Using the previous definition, one has $\Psi_i(\gamma_i) = \Psi_j(\gamma_j) + \iota$ $\ell_{ij}(X)$, which can be further transformed into

$$
\gamma_i = \alpha_{ij}(\gamma_j) + \chi_{ij}(X)
$$

Now, as in Proposition [1.26](#page-14-2) (with the same notations), the composite map *X* ⊕ $\gamma_i \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_{U_{ij}} \mapsto X \oplus \gamma_j$ is an automorphism of the trivial Lie algebroid $\mathsf{TLA}(U_{ij}, \mathfrak{g})$.

Using Proposition [1.9,](#page-7-2) one gets the constraint:

(1.13)
$$
\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\alpha_{ij} + \chi_{ij} - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_{ij} + \chi_{ij} - \theta, \alpha_{ij} + \chi_{ij} - \theta] = 0
$$

in $\Omega^2_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U_{ij}, \mathfrak{g}).$

 $\overline{\text{On } U_{ijk}} = U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$, a simple computation shows that one has the two relations:

(1.14)
$$
\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{ij} \circ \alpha_{jk} \qquad \qquad \chi_{ik} = \alpha_{ij} \circ \chi_{jk} + \chi_{ij}
$$

Finally, the composite map $X \oplus \gamma_i \mapsto X \oplus \gamma_j \mapsto X \oplus \gamma_i$ on U_{ij} gives

$$
\alpha_{ij} \circ \alpha_{ji} = \text{Id} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \qquad \alpha_{ij} \circ \chi_{ji} + \chi_{ij} = 0
$$

These expressions are compatible with the previous ones upon defining

$$
\alpha_{ii} = \text{Id} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \qquad \qquad \chi_{ii} = 0
$$

All the relations involving only the α_{ij} 's express the fact that indeed the α_{ij} 's are transition functions of a fiber bundle in Lie algebras.

Definition 1.28 (Local expressions of forms). Let (U, Ψ, ∇^0) be a local description of A. To any *p*-form $\omega \in \Omega^p(A)$ we define locally over *U* its local expression by

$$
\omega_{\rm loc} = \omega \circ S \in \Omega^p_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U)
$$

where $S: \mathsf{TLA}(U, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathsf{A}_U$ (the isomorphism associated to the local description) is composed on the *p* arguments of *ω*.

In the same way, for any *p*-form $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ we define locally over *U* its local expression by

$$
\omega_{\rm loc} = \Psi^{-1} \circ \omega \circ S \in \Omega^p_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U, \mathfrak{g})
$$

Proposition 1.29 (Local differentials). For any p-form $\omega \in \Omega^p(A)$, let ω_{loc} be its *local description. Then the local description of* $\hat{d}_{A}\omega$ *is* $\delta\omega$ _{loc}.

In the same way, with the same notations, for any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ *, the local description of* $d\omega$ *is* $d_{\text{TLA}}\omega_{\text{loc}}$.

Proof. The proof relies on an explicit comparison of the various expressions for the differentials. \Box

We then get the general scheme:

$$
(\Omega^{\bullet}(A), \hat{d}_A) \xrightarrow{\text{local description}} (\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U), \delta)
$$

$$
(\Omega^{\bullet}(A, L), \hat{d}) \xrightarrow{\text{local description}} (\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U, \mathfrak{g}), \hat{d}_{\mathsf{TLA}})
$$

For a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(A, L)$, we can write $\omega_{\text{loc}}(X \oplus \gamma) = a(X) + b(\gamma)$ for any $X \oplus \gamma \in \mathsf{TLA}(U, \mathfrak{g})$, where $a \in \Omega^1(U) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and $b \in (\bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Some straightforward calculations give the following:

Proposition 1.30 (Local expressions of a 1-form). *A* 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(A, L)$ *is locally given by* $a + b \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U, \mathfrak{g})$ *with*

$$
a(X) = \Psi^{-1} \circ \omega \circ \nabla^0_X \qquad \qquad b(\gamma) = \Psi^{-1} \circ \omega \circ \iota \circ \Psi(\gamma).
$$

For $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, let $\omega_{loc}^i = a_i + b_i$ and $\omega_{loc}^j = a_j + b_j$ be the local expressions *of a* 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(A, L)$ *on* U_i *and* U_j *respectively. Then one has*

(1.15)
$$
a_i = \alpha_{ij} \circ a_j - b_i \circ \chi_{ij} \qquad \qquad b_i = \alpha_{ij} \circ b_j \circ \alpha_{ij}^{-1}
$$

A collection of 1*-forms* $\omega_{\text{loc}}^i \in \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(U_i, \mathfrak{g})$ *satisfying the gluing relations* [\(1.15\)](#page-16-2) *on* $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ defines a global 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$.

Proof. The local decomposition of a 1-form into two pieces *a* and *b* comes from the structure of $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(U, \mathfrak{g})$. The gluing relations can be computed directly using [\(1.12\)](#page-14-3). Using the latter, it is straightforward to construct a global 1-form in $\Omega^1(A, L)$. \square

2. Connections on transitive Lie algebroids

This section covers some of the possible definitions for connections on transitive Lie algebroids. What we call in the following "ordinary connections" is the usual definition (see for instance [\[20\]](#page-41-2)), from which one recovers the usual notion of connections in geometry when applied to the Atiyah Lie algebroid of a principal fiber bundle, or to the transitive Lie algebroid of derivations of a vector bundle (see Section [3\)](#page-23-0). We propose two generalizations of connections. The first one uses explicitly a representation. The second transfers this structure to the transitive Lie algebroid itself. We explain the motivation behind this definition. Curvature and gauge transformations are studied also.

2.1. **Ordinary connections.**

Definition 2.1 (Connection on a transitive Lie algebroid). Let $A \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ be a transitive Lie algebroid. A connection on A is a splitting $\nabla : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to A$ as $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules of the short exact sequence

(2.1)
$$
0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \overbrace{\rho}^{\nabla} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The curvature of ∇ is defined to be the obstruction of being a morphism of Lie algebras:

$$
R(X,Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}
$$

For any connection ∇ there is an algebraic object which permits concrete computations. It is defined as follow. For any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$, let $X = \rho(\mathfrak{X})$. Then $\mathfrak{X} - \nabla_X \in \ker \rho$, so that there is an element $\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \in L$ such that

(2.2)
$$
\mathfrak{X} = \nabla_X - \iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{X})
$$

The map $\alpha : A \to L$ is a morphism of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules.

Proposition 2.2 (Connection 1-form and curvature in algebraic terms). Let ∇ be *a connection on the transitive Lie algebroid* A*. The associated morphism α* : A → L *is an element of* $\Omega^1(A, L)$ *normalized on* $\iota \circ L$ *by the relation*

(2.3) *α* ◦ *ι*(*ℓ*) = −*ℓ*

for any $\ell \in \mathsf{L}$ *. It is called the connection* 1*-form of the connection* ∇ *.*

Any 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ *normalized as in* [\(2.3\)](#page-16-3) *defines a connection on* A. *The* 2*-form*

(2.4)
$$
\widehat{R} = \widehat{d}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha, \alpha]
$$

is horizontal for the Cartan operation of L *on* $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}), \widehat{d})$ *. With usual notations, one has*

$$
\iota \circ \widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = \iota \left((\widehat{\mathrm{d}}\alpha)(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) + [\alpha(\mathfrak{X}), \alpha(\mathfrak{Y})] \right) = R(X, Y)
$$

 $\widehat{R} \in \Omega^2(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ *is called the curvature* 2*-form of* ∇ *.*

The curvature satisfies the Bianchi identity

 $\widehat{d}\widehat{R} + [\alpha, \widehat{R}] = 0$

Proof. Using [\(2.2\)](#page-16-4), one has $\iota(\ell) = 0 - \iota \circ \alpha \circ \iota(\ell)$. Because ι is injective, this implies $\alpha \circ \iota(\ell) = -\ell.$

Any 1-form α satisfying [\(2.3\)](#page-16-3) defines a connection by the relation $\nabla_X = \mathfrak{X} + \mathfrak{X}$ $\iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{X})$ for any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ such that $\rho(\mathfrak{X}) = X$. The normalization of α assures that this expression is independent of the choice of \mathfrak{X} . This is a standard argument on splitting of short exact sequences applied to the short exact sequence (2.1) .

For any $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in A$, one has

$$
\iota \circ R(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = [\mathfrak{X}, \iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{Y})] - [\mathfrak{Y}, \iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{X})] - \iota \circ \alpha([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]) + [\iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{X}), \iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{Y})]
$$

\n
$$
= [\mathfrak{X}, \nabla_Y] - [\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}] - [\mathfrak{Y}, \nabla_X] + [\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X}] - \nabla_{[X, Y]} + [\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]
$$

\n
$$
+ [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - [\nabla_X, \mathfrak{Y}] - [\nabla_X, \mathfrak{Y}] - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] + [\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]
$$

In particular this shows that $\widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ vanishes if \mathfrak{X} or \mathfrak{Y} is in *ι*(L). A direct verification of this fact is also possible, injecting $\mathfrak{X} = \iota(\ell)$ into $\widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = [\mathfrak{X}, \alpha(\mathfrak{Y})] [\mathfrak{Y}, \alpha(\mathfrak{X})] - \alpha([\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]) + [\alpha(\mathfrak{X}), \alpha(\mathfrak{Y})]$.

The Bianchi identity is straightforward to check.

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

Proposition 2.3 (Covariant derivative on $\Omega^{\bullet}(A, L)$). Let α be the connection 1*form of a connection* ∇ *on* A*. Then the map*

$$
D: \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \qquad D\eta = \widehat{\mathrm{d}}\eta + [\alpha, \eta]
$$

called the covariant derivative of α *on* $\Omega^{\bullet}(A, L)$ *, satisfies*

$$
D^2\eta = [\widehat{R}, \eta]
$$

for any $\eta \in \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ *.*

Proof. This is just a straightforward calculation using standard relations on graded differential Lie algebras.

The connection 1-form α is called connection reform in [\[20\]](#page-41-2), but our sign convention [\(2.3\)](#page-16-3) is not the same. The covariant derivative defined above is the same as the one introduced in [\[20,](#page-41-2) p. 192]. Then, relation [\(2.4\)](#page-16-6) can be compared with the formula given in [\[20,](#page-41-2) Proposition 5.2.21] where the covariant derivative is used instead of the differential d. The Bianchi identity can be expressed using this covariant derivative as $D\hat{R} = 0$.

Using the decomposition given in Proposition [1.30,](#page-15-0) the 1-form α can be written locally over an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ as $\alpha_{\text{loc}} = a+b$. The normalization [\(2.3\)](#page-16-3) implies that $b(\gamma) = -\gamma$ for any $\gamma : U \to \mathfrak{g}$. As an element in $C^{\infty}(U) \otimes \bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, $b = -\theta$ where $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is the Maurer-Cartan form on *G* extended by $C^{\infty}(U)$ -linearity. Notice that this relation can be imposed on any trivialisation U_i of an atlas of A because the gluing relations (1.15) for the b_i 's are satisfied. Because the b_i 's

are imposed, the connection 1-form α is locally determined by a family of 1-forms $a_i \in \Omega^1(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the gluing relations [\(1.15\)](#page-16-2).

Let $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a representation of A on the vector bundle \mathcal{E} .

Proposition 2.4 (Gauge transformations of connections on $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$). Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$: $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *be a connection on the transitive Lie algebroid* $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *and let* $g \in$ Aut(E) *be a gauge element. Then the map* $\nabla^{\mathcal{E},g} = g^{-1} \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{E}} \circ g : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *is a connection on* $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *. This defines the action of the gauge group* $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E})$ *on the space of connections on the Lie algebroid* $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *.*

Proof. This is just a straightforward verification. □

We have seen that on A only infinitesimal gauge transformations make sense. It is possible to get the action of infinitesimal gauge transformations using the previous result.

If $\nabla : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to A$ is a connection on A, then $\nabla^{\phi} = \phi \circ \nabla : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is a connection on the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$, which we call the induced connection on $\mathcal E$ by ∇ .

The action of the gauge group $Aut(\mathcal{E})$ can be defined on the induced connection ∇^{ϕ} on *E*, but there is no equivalent gauge transformation on ∇ where only infinitesimal gauge transformations are natural. An infinitesimal version of the action of Aut(\mathcal{E}) on ∇^{ϕ} can be obtained formally with $g = e^{\xi}$ where $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$. The first order term in $\tilde{\xi}$ is then given by $[\nabla^{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}]$. Now, if $\xi \in L$ is an infinitesimal gauge transformation on A, it is natural to inject $\tilde{\xi} = \phi_L(\xi)$ in this relation. Then one has $[\nabla^{\phi}, \iota \circ \phi_L(\xi)] = \phi \circ [\nabla, \iota(\xi)].$ This suggests the following definition:

Definition 2.5 (Infinitesimal gauge transformation of a connection)**.** The action of an infinitesimal gauge transformation $\xi \in L$ on a connection ∇ is given by the $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear map $[\nabla, \iota(\xi)]: \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to A$.

One has $[\nabla_X, \iota(\xi)] \in \iota \circ L$ for any $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ and one can consider $X \mapsto \nabla_X^{\xi} =$ $\nabla_X + [\nabla_X, \iota(\xi)] + O(\xi^2)$ as the beginning of a formal development in Taylor series of a connection on A.

Proposition 2.6 (Infinitesimal gauge transformation on the connection 1-form)**.** *The connection* 1*-form associated to the (formal) connection* ∇^{ξ} *is given by* α^{ξ} = $\alpha + (\hat{d}\xi + [\alpha, \xi]) + O(\xi^2)$ where α *is the connection* 1*-form associated to* ∇ *.*

One has $L_{\xi} \alpha = -(\widehat{d}\xi + [\alpha, \xi])$ *so that the action of an infinitesimal gauge transformation on the connection* 1*-form is given by the Lie derivative of the connection* 1*-form along the direction of the infinitesimal gauge transformation.*

The infinitesimal action of a gauge transformation ξ on the curvature is given $by (X, Y) \mapsto [R(X, Y), \xi] \in \mathsf{L}$ *. On the curvature* 2*-form* \overline{R} *, the infinitesimal action* $of \xi$ *is given by* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) \mapsto [\widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}), \xi] = -(L_{\xi}\widehat{R})(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}).$

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathsf{A}$, one has $\mathfrak{X} = \nabla_X - \iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{X}) = \nabla_X^{\xi} - \iota \circ \alpha^{\xi}(\mathfrak{X})$, so that, to first order in ξ , $\iota \circ (\alpha^{\xi} - \alpha)(\mathfrak{X}) = [\nabla_X, \iota(\xi)] = [\mathfrak{X}, \iota(\xi)] + [\iota \circ \alpha(\mathfrak{X}), \iota(\xi)] = \iota \circ (\widehat{\mathrm{d}\xi} + [\alpha, \xi])(\mathfrak{X}).$ Using [\(1.7\)](#page-12-3), one has $(L_{\xi}\alpha)(\mathfrak{X}) = [\xi, \alpha(\mathfrak{X})] - \alpha([\iota(\xi), \mathfrak{X}]) = -[\alpha(\mathfrak{X}), \xi] + \alpha$ ◦

 $\iota([\mathfrak{X}, \xi]) = -[\alpha(\mathfrak{X}), \xi] - [\mathfrak{X}, \xi] = -(\mathrm{d}\xi + [\alpha, \xi])(\mathfrak{X}).$

To first order in ξ , the direct computations of $R^{\xi}(X,Y) = [\nabla_X^{\xi}, \nabla_Y^{\xi}] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^{\xi}$ and $\hat{R}^{\xi}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = (\hat{d}\alpha^{\xi})(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) + [\alpha^{\xi}(\mathfrak{X}), \alpha^{\xi}(\mathfrak{Y})]$ gives the commutators with ξ for the two expressions of the curvature. Using the horizontality of \hat{R} for the Cartan
operation of L and (1.7) one has $(L \epsilon \hat{R})(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = -[\hat{R}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \hat{z}]$ operation of L and [\(1.7\)](#page-12-3), one has $(L_{\xi}\widehat{R})(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = -[\widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}), \xi].$

It is easy to compute that for any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ and $\ell \in L$ one has $(L_{\mathfrak{X}}\alpha) \circ \iota(\ell) = 0$, so that in particular $\alpha - L_{\xi} \alpha$ is normalized as a connection 1-form on $\iota \circ L$.

If $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is a representation of A on the vector bundle $\mathcal E$ then the induced representation on $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ inherits a lot of structures and relations studied before: curvature, infinitesimal gauge transformations. . . Here, forms are in the graded differential algebra $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})), \widehat{d}_{\mathcal{E}})$.

2.2. **Generalizations of connections.** In the following, we generalize the notion of connections. First, we consider some natural generalization of connections on a representation. Then, we propose a notion of generalized connection at the level of the transitive Lie algebroid, without any specific reference to a representation. The corresponding notions of gauge transformations are also defined.

All these considerations will be compared to the notion of connection in noncommutative geometry in the specific case where the non-commutative algebra is strongly related to the geometry of a principal fiber bundle. Using results presented for the Atiyah Lie algebroid in sub-section [3.1,](#page-23-1) we will show in sub-section [3.2](#page-33-0) that generalized connections in the context of transitive Lie algebroid give rise to the same generalization as non-commutative connections on right modules for the endomorphism algebra of a vector bundle. See Appendix [A](#page-37-0) for a brief review of the notion of non-commutative connection for the derivation-based non-commutative geometry, in particular Definition [A.6.](#page-39-0)

Let $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a representation of the transitive Lie algebroid A.

Definition 2.7 (A-connections on \mathcal{E}). A A-connection on \mathcal{E} is a linear map $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$: $A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ compatible with the anchor maps such that

$$
\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{f\mathfrak{X}}s = f\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}s \quad \widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(s_1 + s_2) = \widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}s_1 + \widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}s_2 \quad \widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}(fs) = (\rho(\mathfrak{X}) \cdot f)s + f\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}s
$$

for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathsf{A}$, for any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ and for any $s, s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

The curvature of $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is defined as:

$$
\iota\circ\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{Y})=[\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}},\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{Y}}]-\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{[\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{Y}]}
$$

where we use the fact that the expression in the right-hand side is $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ so that it is in $\iota(\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$.

An A-connection on $\mathcal E$ can also be seen as a generalized "representation" where compatibility with Lie bracket is not required. The curvature precisely measures the obstruction to be a morphism of Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.8 (Affine structure). The space of A-connections on $\mathcal E$ is an affine *space modelled over the vector space* $\Omega^1(A, A(\mathcal{E}))$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E},1}, \hat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E},2} : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be two A-connections on \mathcal{E} . Then for any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$ the difference $\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathcal{E},1} - \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathcal{E},2}$ is in $\iota(\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ and it is $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear in \mathfrak{X} , so that it is the image by *ι* of a 1-form in $\Omega^1(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$.

Let $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}} : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a A-connection on \mathcal{E} . Then for any $\mathfrak{X} \in A$, the difference $\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathcal{E}} - \phi(\mathfrak{X})$ is in the kernel of σ , so that there exists a 1-form $\omega^{\mathcal{E}} \in \Omega^1(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ such that

(2.5)
$$
\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \phi(\mathfrak{X}) + \iota \circ \omega^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathfrak{X})
$$

This completes the diagram [\(1.6\)](#page-8-0) as

Proposition 2.9 (Connection 1-form and curvature 2-form)**.** *A* A*-connection on* $\mathcal{E}, \ \hat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}} : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}), \ \text{is completely determined by the 1-form } \omega^{\mathcal{E}} \in \Omega^1(A, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ *through the relation* [\(2.5\)](#page-19-2)*.*

One has $\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \Omega^2(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ *, which, for any* $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \mathsf{A}$ *, can be computed as*

$$
\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = (\widehat{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathcal{E}} \omega^{\mathcal{E}})(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) + [\omega^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathfrak{X}), \omega^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathfrak{Y})]
$$

The 2-form $\hat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}$ satisfies the Bianchi identity

 $\widehat{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathcal{E}} \widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}} + [\omega^{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}] = 0$

The form $\omega^{\mathcal{E}}$ is called the connection 1*-form of* $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}$ its curvature 2*-form.*

Proof. There relations are obtained by direct computations.

As in Proposition [2.3](#page-17-0) it is possible to define a covariant derivative for the Aconnection on $\mathcal E$ given by its connection 1-form $\omega^{\mathcal E}$ as

$$
\widehat{D}^{\mathcal{E}} : \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})) \qquad \qquad \widehat{D}^{\mathcal{E}}\eta = \widehat{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathcal{E}}\eta + [\omega^{\mathcal{E}}, \eta]
$$

so that the Bianchi identity is $\widehat{D}^{\mathcal{E}}\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ and $(\widehat{D}^{\mathcal{E}})^{2}\eta = [\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}, \eta].$

A-connections can be combined:

Proposition 2.10 (Operations on A-connections)**.** *All the operations defined on representations in Proposition [1.15](#page-8-1) can be performed on* A*-connections.*

Proof. In the relations defining the adjoint, tensor product and direct sum in Propo-sition [1.15,](#page-8-1) one can replace a representation $\phi(\mathfrak{X})$ by a A-connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Checking that one obtains new A-connections is straightforward. \square

Notice then that to the A-connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathcal E$ one can associate a A-connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})}$ on $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$. This connection can be identified as a map $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}) \to \Omega^1(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$. Then, as in ordinary geometry, the covariant derivative $\hat{D}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is an extension of this map.

There are natural relations between the A-connection on $\mathcal E$ and its associated Aconnection on $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ with respect to the structure of $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ -module of \mathcal{E} . Similarly, there is a natural covariant derivative associated to $\hat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ on the differential complex $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathcal{E})$ mentioned in [1.4](#page-9-0) which has relations with the covariant derivative $\widehat{D}^{\mathcal{E}}$ with respect to the structure of graded differential module of $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathcal{E})$ over the graded differential algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$.

Let us now take a look at the action of the gauge group defined in Definition [1.13.](#page-8-2)

Proposition 2.11 (Gauge group action on A-connections on \mathcal{E}). Let $q \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E})$ *be a gauge group element and let* $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$: A $\rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *be a* A-connection on \mathcal{E} . Then $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathcal{E},g} = g^{-1} \circ \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathcal{E}} \circ g \text{ is a A-connection on } \mathcal{E}.$

The connection 1*-form of* $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E},g}$ *is given by*

$$
\omega^{\mathcal{E},g} = g^{-1}\omega^{\mathcal{E}}g + g^{-1}\widehat{\mathrm{d}}_{\mathcal{E}}g
$$

and the curvature 2*-form is given by* $\hat{R}^{\mathcal{E},g} = g^{-1}\hat{R}^{\mathcal{E}}g$ *. In these expressions products with g or* g^{-1} *take place in* $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ *.*

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $\hat{\nabla}^{\varepsilon,g}$ is a A-connection on ε . Concerning the connection 1-form, one has, for any $s \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathfrak{X} \in A$: $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E},g}_{\mathfrak{X}} s = g^{-1} \circ \widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}} \circ g(s) =$ $g^{-1} \circ (\phi(\mathfrak{X}) \circ g + \iota \circ \omega^{\mathcal{E}} \circ g)(s) = \phi(\mathfrak{X})(s) + \iota \circ (g^{-1}[\phi(\mathfrak{X}), g] + g^{-1} \omega^{\mathcal{E}} g)(s)$ which gives the result.

The result for the curvature is a straightforward computation. \Box

Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a connection on the transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$. Then $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$: A $\to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ defined by $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}_{\rho(\mathcal{X})}$ defines a A-connection on \mathcal{E} . It is straightforward to verify the following:

Proposition 2.12 (Connections on \mathcal{E} as A-connections on \mathcal{E}). The association $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} \mapsto \hat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ given above injects the space of connections of the transitive Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ *into the space of* A-connections on \mathcal{E} . This injection is compatible with the *notions of curvature and gauge transformations.*

On representations, the notion of A-connections offers a good generalization of the notion of connections.

For reasons explained in Remark [2.15,](#page-21-2) we introduce now a restricted notion of A-connections:

Definition 2.13 (Induced connections and generalized connections)**.** A A-connection on a representation $\phi : A \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is an induced connection if its associated 1-form $\omega^{\mathcal{E}} \in \Omega^1(\mathsf{A}, \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ can be factorized through ϕ_L as $\omega^{\mathcal{E}} = \phi_L \circ \omega$ for a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(A, L)$. The 1-form ω will be called a generalized connection 1-form on the transitive Lie algebroid A.

The curvature of a generalized connection ω is defined as the 2-form $\widehat{R} = \widehat{d}\omega +$ $[\omega, \omega] \in \Omega^2(A, L)$. It is related to the curvature of the induced connection on $\mathcal E$ as $\widehat{R}^{\mathcal{E}} = \phi_{\mathsf{L}}(\widehat{R})$.

Definition 2.14 (Covariant derivative of a generalized connection)**.** To any generalized connection $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ we associate its covariant derivative

$$
\widehat{D} : \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}) \qquad \qquad \widehat{D}\eta = \widehat{\mathrm{d}}\omega + [\omega, \eta]
$$

It satisfies

$$
\widehat{D}^2 \eta = [\widehat{R}, \eta]
$$

for any $\eta \in \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L}).$

The Bianchi identity of the curvature is then $\widehat{D}\widehat{R} = \widehat{d}\widehat{R} + [\omega, \widehat{R}] = 0$.

Remark 2.15 (Two strategies about A-connections)*.* Let us comment the above considerations according to a more physical viewpoint.

In field theory, objects are essentially of two kinds: gauge fields and matter fields. In order to combine matter fields into Lagrangians, they must belong to various "representations" of some given structure, implementing the underlying symmetry. When such a structure exists, the fields turn out to be conjointly subject to specific transformations which allow to write down invariant expressions.

For instance, in the context of differential geometry, the encoding of the symmetries of a fields theory is achieved by using a principal fiber bundle and its gauge group. Indeed, from such a principal fiber bundle P , two constructions can be performed:

- Matter fields are smooth sections of associated vector bundles so that they are forced to transform in a coherent way under the action of the gauge group.
- A given connection on P induces covariant derivatives on smooth sections of the various associated vector bundles.

In this way, it is possible to write down invariant Lagrangians with respect to the symmetry of the gauge group.

In the current context, let us given a transitive Lie algebroid A which is represented on two vector bundles $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal F$. Then there are two strategies to construct A-connections on these representations:

- (1) One can define A-connections on $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal F$ respectively.
- (2) One can define a unique generalized connection on A from which A-connections on $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal F$ are induced.

With the former strategy the A-connections constructed on $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal F$ are disjoint objects which do not have any reason to be connected through any relations. In particular, no underlying common symmetries can be applied to both pieces at the same time. From the point of view of field theory, this is unsatisfactory, for instance to implement a gauge invariance principle.

The idea behind Definition [2.13,](#page-21-0) which corresponds to the second strategy defined above, is to consider the Lie algebroid A as a replacement of the principal fiber bundle. As such, it encodes the *geometry of the symmetries*. It is then possible, using the representation theory of Lie algebroids, to transfer this geometry/symmetry onto matter fields. In this approach, a unique generalized connection defined on A as a 1-form is realized as covariant derivatives (A-connections) on the different matter fields of the theory. This is exactly the usual formulation of gauge fields theories.

The drawback of the latter strategy is that we can only make use of infinitesimal gauge transformations as defined in Definition [1.14.](#page-8-3)

Any $\xi \in L$ defines an infinitesimal gauge transformation $\phi_L(\xi)$ on \mathcal{E} . The infinitesimal version of the action of the gauge group on a A-connections $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} (defined in Proposition [2.11\)](#page-20-0) is given by the $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linear map $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto [\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \iota \circ \phi_L(\xi)] \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}),$ which generalizes the expression given in Definition [2.5.](#page-18-0)

If $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is an induced connection given by the generalized connection 1-form ω then $[\hat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathcal{E}}, \iota \circ \phi_{\mathsf{L}}(\xi)] = [\phi(\mathfrak{X}), \phi \circ \iota(\xi)] + [\iota \circ \phi_{\mathsf{L}} \circ \omega(\mathfrak{X}), \iota \circ \phi_{\mathsf{L}}(\xi)] = \iota \circ \phi_{\mathsf{L}}([\mathfrak{X}, \xi] + [\omega(\mathfrak{X}), \xi]).$ This suggests the definition:

Definition 2.16 (Infinitesimal gauge transformation on generalized connections)**.** The action of the infinitesimal gauge transformation ξ on the generalized connection *ω* is given by the 1-form $\hat{d}ξ + [ω, ξ]$.

In Proposition [2.6](#page-18-1) it was shown that the action of an infinitesimal gauge transformation on an ordinary connection on A can be expressed in terms of the Lie derivative along the direction of the infinitesimal gauge transformation. For a generalized connection, this result is no longer true due to the fact that ω is not normalized over *ι*(L).

The following result shows that the space of generalized connections contains the space of ordinary connections in a way that is compatible with curvatures and infinitesimal gauge transformations. This is a representation independent version of Proposition [2.12.](#page-21-1)

Proposition 2.17 (Ordinary connection as generalized connections)**.** *Let* ∇ : $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \to A$ *be an ordinary connection on* A. Denote by $\alpha^{\nabla} \in \Omega^1(A, L)$ *its connection* 1*-form and by* $\widehat{R}^{\nabla} \in \Omega^2(\mathsf{A}, \mathsf{L})$ *its curvature* 2*-form.*

Then, as a 1-form in $\Omega^1(A, L)$ *, this connection defines a generalized connection on* A. The curvature of this connection identifies as a 2-form in $\Omega^2(A, L)$ with *the curvature* 2*-form of the generalized connection. The action of an infinitesimal gauge transformation on the connection* ∇ *is compatible with this identification.*

Using the decomposition given in Proposition [1.30,](#page-15-0) the generalized connection ω can be written locally over an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ as $\omega_{\text{loc}} = a + b$. We have noticed that for ordinary connections, *b* was fixed to the specific value $b = -\theta$. This is no longer the case for generalized connections.

Obviously this inclusion is also valid on induced connections on a representation for which Proposition [2.12](#page-21-1) gives the general setting.

3. Relation to ordinary geometry and non-commutative geometry

In this section we develop two explicit important examples of a transitive Lie algebroid. The first one is the well-known Atiyah Lie algebroid of a principal fiber bundle. This example is chosen to illustrate to what extent how the differential calculi introduced in the text can be described explicitly. It is also used in comparison to the second example. The latter is the transitive Lie algebroid of the space of derivations of the endomorphisms algebra of a *SL*(*n*)-vector bundle. In that case, the point is to show how generalized connections are related to non-commutative connections.

3.1. **The Atiyah Lie algebroid of a principal bundle.** This example is detailed in [\[20\]](#page-41-2) from the point of view of geometric objects. Here we present it in a more algebraic language.

Let $\mathcal P$ be a *G*-principal bundle over a smooth manifold $\mathcal M$. Let π be its projection on M. Denote by $R_g: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$, $R_g(p) = p \cdot g$, the right action of *G* on \mathcal{P} . Denote by g the Lie algebra of the Lie group *G*.

Define the spaces

$$
\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) = \{ \mathsf{X} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}) / \widetilde{R}_{g*} \mathsf{X} = \mathsf{X} \text{ for all } g \in G \}
$$

$$
\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}) = \{ v : P \to \mathfrak{g} / v(p \cdot g) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} v(p) \text{ for all } g \in G \}
$$

These two spaces are Lie algebras: the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ restricts to $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ and the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$, induced by the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} , restricts to $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$. They are also natural $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ modules if one maps $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ to $\pi^* f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$.

The first space defines vector fields on P which are projectable to the base manifold. Indeed, for any $X \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and any $p, q \in \pi^{-1}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_x$, one has $T_p \pi(X_{|p}) = T_q \pi(X_{|q}) \in T_x \mathcal{M}$. We denote by $\pi_* : \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ this well defined morphism of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules. For computational purposes, the property of *R*-invariance of a vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is equivalent to the following property about its flow $\mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{R} \ni (p, t) \mapsto \phi_{\mathsf{X}}(p, t), \phi_{\mathsf{X}}(p, 0) = p$, $\frac{d}{dt}\phi_{\mathsf{X}}(p,t) = \mathsf{X}_{|\phi_{\mathsf{X}}(p,t)}$:

$$
\phi_{\mathsf{X}}(p \cdot g, t) = \phi_{\mathsf{X}}(p, t) \cdot g
$$

for any $q \in G$.

The second space $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ is the space of $(\widetilde{R}, \text{Ad})$ -equivariant maps $v : P \to \mathfrak{g}$, which is also the space of sections of the vector bundle $\mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 3.1 (Inclusion of $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ into $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}))$). Let us define $\iota : \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}) \to$ Γ*G*(P) *by the relation:*

$$
\iota(v)(p) = -v(p)_{|p}^{\mathcal{P}} = \left(\frac{d}{dt}p \cdot e^{-tv(p)}\right)_{|t=0}
$$

where, for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\xi^{\mathcal{P}}$ *denotes the fundamental (vertical) vector field on* \mathcal{P} *associated to* ξ *for the right action* \widetilde{R} *of* G *. Then ι is an injective Lie algebra morphism and it is* $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ *-linear.*

Proof. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, denote by $\chi_p : G \to \mathcal{P}$ the smooth map $g \mapsto p \cdot g$. Then by definition, the fundamental (vertical) vector field associated to $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ for the action of \widetilde{R} of G is defined at each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ by $\xi_{|p}^{\mathcal{P}} = T_e \chi_p \xi = \left(\frac{d}{dt} p \cdot e^{t\xi}\right)_{|t=0}$ where $T_e \chi_p : \mathfrak{g} \to$ $T_p \mathcal{P}$ is the tangent map to χ_p . Then one has $\iota(v)_{|p} = -T_e \chi_p v(p)$. Injectivity is then a trivial consequence of the injectivity of $T_e\chi_p$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$. For any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ denote by $\hat{f} = \pi^* f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ the associated right invariant function on \mathcal{P} . Then $\widetilde{f}v \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\iota(\widetilde{f}v)_{|p} = -T_e\chi_p(\widetilde{f}(p)v(p)) = -\widetilde{f}(p)T_e\chi_pv(p) = \widetilde{f}(p)\iota(v)_{|p}$ by linearity of $T_e\chi_p$. This shows $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -linearity.

In order to prove that ι is a Lie algebra morphism, let us recall the usual formula for the Lie bracket of two vector fields *X* and *Y* on a manifold *M* with flows $\phi_{X,t}$ and $\phi_{Y,s}$ respectively:

$$
[X,Y]_{|x} = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{d}{ds}\phi_{X,-t} \circ \phi_{Y,s} \circ \phi_{X,t}(x)\right)_{|s=0}\right)_{|t=0}
$$

In our context, let us first notice that $\phi_{v,t}(p) = p \cdot e^{-tv(p)}$ is the flow of $\iota(v)$ because *v* is a (R, Ad) -equivariant map. Indeed, the derivative of this expression gives the desired vector at each *p* and the composition law is obtained as follows:

$$
\phi_{v,t_1} \circ \phi_{v,t_2}(p) = (p \cdot e^{-t_2 v(p)}) \cdot e^{-t_1 v(p \cdot e^{-t_2 v(p)})} = (p \cdot e^{-t_2 v(p)}) \cdot e^{-t_1 e^{t_2 v(p)} v(p) e^{-t_2 v(p)}}
$$

$$
= (p \cdot e^{-t_2 v(p)}) \cdot (e^{t_2 v(p)} e^{-t_1 v(p)} e^{-t_2 v(p)}) = p \cdot (e^{-t_1 v(p)} e^{-t_2 v(p)})
$$

$$
= \phi_{v,t_1+t_2}(p)
$$

Using this expression for the flows, for any $v, w \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ and any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we can use the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula to get

$$
\phi_{v,-t} \circ \phi_{w,s} \circ \phi_{v,t}(p) = p \cdot (e^{tv(p)} e^{-sw(p)} e^{-tv(p)}) = p \cdot e^{-sw(p)-st[v(p),w(p)] + O(t,s^2) + O(t^2,s)}
$$

Thus

$$
[\iota(v), \iota(w)](p) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d}{ds} p \cdot e^{-sw(p) - st[v(p), w(p)] + O(t, s^2) + O(t^2, s)} \right)_{|s=0} \right)_{|t=0}
$$

=
$$
\left(\frac{d}{dt} T_e \chi_p(-w(p) - t[v(p), w(p)] + O(t^2)) \right)_{|t=0}
$$

=
$$
-T_e \chi_p([v(p), w(p)]) = \iota([v, w])(p)
$$

 \Box

Notice that the minus sign in the definition of ι is essential to ensure to get a Lie algebra morphism.

Definition 3.2 (Atiyah Lie algebroid)**.** The short exact sequence of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

defines $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ as a transitive Lie algebroid over M. This is the Atiyah Lie algebroid associated to P.

To simplify notations, we denote by $(\Omega_{Lie}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}), \hat{d})$ the space of forms on the Lie algebroid $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ with values in its kernel $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 3.3 (Differential on the space of forms). For any $X \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ and any $v \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ *, one has*

$$
[\mathsf{X},\iota(v)]=\iota(\mathsf{X}\mathbf{\cdot} v)\,,
$$

so that the differential \widehat{d} *on* $\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ *takes the explicit form*

$$
(3.1) \quad (\hat{d}\omega)(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i \cdot \omega(X_1, \dots, \stackrel{i}{\vee} \dots, X_{p+1}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \stackrel{i}{\vee} \dots, X_{p+1})
$$

Proof. Let $\phi_{\mathsf{X},t}$ be the flow of X on P and let $\phi_{v,s}(p) = p \cdot e^{-sv(p)}$ be the flow of $\iota(v)$ on P. Then, using the same formula for the Lie bracket as in the proof of Proposition [3.1,](#page-24-0) one has

$$
[\mathsf{X}, \iota(v)](p) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{d}{ds}\phi_{\mathsf{X}, -t} \circ \phi_{v,s} \circ \phi_{\mathsf{X},t}\right)_{|s=0}\right)_{|t=0}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{d}{ds}p \cdot e^{-sv \circ \phi_{\mathsf{X},t}(p)}\right)_{|s=0}\right)_{|t=0}
$$

$$
= -\left(\frac{d}{dt}T_e\chi_p(v \circ \phi_{\mathsf{X},t}(p))\right)_{|t=0} = -T_e\chi_p((\mathsf{X}\cdot v)(p))
$$

$$
= \iota(\mathsf{X}\cdot v)(p)
$$

The explicit formula for \hat{d} is obtained as a direct consequence of this relation. \Box

Let us assume from now on that *G* is connected and simply connected.

Then a map $v : \mathcal{P} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot v + [\xi, v] = 0$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\xi^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the fundamental vector field associated to ξ for the right action *R*.

Let us introduce the following subspaces of $\Gamma(T\mathcal{P} \oplus (\mathcal{P} \times \mathfrak{g})) = \mathsf{TLA}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$:

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}} = \{ \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi \ / \ \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \},
$$

Z is then defined as the $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ -module generated by $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$, and we finally define $\mathcal{N} = \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{Z}.$

We introduce a map $\Gamma(\mathcal{P} \times \mathfrak{g}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, written as $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\mathcal{P}}$, by the following relation. For any $p \in \mathcal{P}$,

(3.2)
$$
\gamma^{\mathcal{P}}(p) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} p \cdot e^{t\gamma(p)}\right)_{|t=0}
$$

This map is $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ -linear.

Notice that $(t, p) \mapsto p \cdot e^{t\gamma(p)}$ is not the flow of the vector field γ^p , except in two situations: for a constant *γ* this corresponds to the usual definition of vertical vector fields associated to an element in the Lie algebra, and for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ _{*G*}(\mathcal{P} , \mathfrak{g}) this corresponds to the vector field $-\iota(\gamma)$.

By definition, any element $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ is a sum of elements of the type $f(\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi)$ where $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Using the $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ -linearity of $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\mathcal{P}}$, one shows that $z = \gamma^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \gamma$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P} \times \mathfrak{g})$ is the projection of $z \in \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathsf{TLA}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ onto $Γ(P \times α)$.

Proposition 3.4. (1) *For any* $v \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ *and any* $\gamma^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \gamma \in \mathcal{Z}$ *, one has* $\gamma^{\mathcal{P}}\cdot v + [\gamma, v] = 0.$

- (2) Let $X \oplus \gamma \in \text{TLA}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ *. If for any* $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ *one has* $[X \oplus \gamma, \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi] \in \mathcal{Z}$ then $[X \oplus \gamma, \mathcal{Z}] \subset \mathcal{Z}$.
- (3) $\mathcal Z$ *is a Lie ideal and a* $C^{\infty}(\mathcal M)$ *-sub module in* $\mathcal N$ *.*
- *Proof.* (1) For any $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ and any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, one has $(f\xi^{\mathcal{P}}) \cdot v = f(\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot v) =$ $-f[\xi, v] = -[f\xi, v]$, which shows the relation is true on the generators of Z of the type $f(\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi)$.
	- (2) It is sufficient to establish the fact on an element $f(\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi)$ where $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. One has $[X \oplus \gamma, f(\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi)] = (X \cdot f)(\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi) + f[X \oplus \gamma, \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi]$. This is obviously in Z if $[X \oplus \gamma, \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi] \in \mathcal{Z}$.
	- (3) With $X \oplus \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ in the previous relation, one shows that \mathcal{Z} is a Lie algebra. For any $\mathring{X} \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ and any $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ with $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the Lie bracket $[X \oplus 0, \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi]$ in $TLA(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ takes the form $[X, \xi^{\mathcal{P}}] \oplus 0$. Recall that the flow $\phi_{X,t}$ of X satisfies $\phi_{X,t}(p \cdot g) = \phi_{X,t}(p) \cdot g$ for any $g \in G$ and that the flow of $\xi^{\mathcal{P}}$ is $\phi_{\xi,s}(p) = p \cdot e^{s\xi}$. Then one has

$$
[\xi^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathsf{X}](p) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \phi_{\mathsf{X},t} \left(p \cdot e^{s \xi} \right) \cdot e^{-s \xi} \right)_{|s=0} \right)_{|t=0} = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\phi_{\mathsf{X},t}(p) \right)_{|s=0} \right)_{|t=0} = 0
$$

By the previous result, this implies that $[X \oplus 0, \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi] \in \mathcal{Z}$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, so that $[\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}), \mathcal{Z}] \subset \mathcal{Z}$. This in turn implies that $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Z}] \subset \mathcal{Z}$.

 \Box

Notice that in the previous proof we have shown that $[\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}), \mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}] = 0$.

As a consequence of this proposition, one has the splitting of the short exact sequence of Lie algebroids

 $0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow 0$

This short exact sequence is then a short exact sequence of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ defines a natural Cartan operation on $(\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}), \hat{d}_{\text{TLA}})$. Let us denote by $(\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}, \widetilde{d}_{\text{TLA}})$ the differential graded subcomplex of basic elements.

Proposition 3.5 (Identification of the differential calculus)**.** *As differential graded complexes,* $(\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}), \hat{d})$ *and* $(\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}, \hat{d}_{\text{TLA}})$ *are isomorphic.*

In [\[20\]](#page-41-2) it is shown that the differential complex $(\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}), \hat{d})$ is isomorphic to the differential complex of (R, Ad) -equivariant forms in $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}, d)$, which would correspond in our terminology to $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -invariant forms.

In order to prove Proposition [3.5,](#page-27-0) we need the following result.

Proposition 3.6 (Local family of generators in Γ _{*G*}(P)). Let $U \subset M$ be an open *subset which trivializes* P *and which is also a open subset for a chart on* M*. Then there exists a family* $\{X^i\}_{i=1,\dots,\dim \mathcal{P}}$ *of right invariant vector fields in* $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}|_U)$ *such that:*

- (1) For any $p \in \mathcal{P}_{|U}$, $\{X^i(p)\}\$ is a basis of $T_p \mathcal{P}$.
- (2) *This family generates* $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *as a* $C^{\infty}(U)$ *-module and the decomposition* $X = f_i X^i \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *defines some unique right invariant functions* $f_i \in$ $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *(so that* $f_i \in C^{\infty}(U)$ *).*
- (3) *This family generates* $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *as a* $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *-module and the decomposition* $X = g_i X^i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *defines some unique functions* $g_i \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ *.*

Two such families differ by linear combinations whose coefficients are functions in $C^{\infty}(U)$ *.*

We will call such a family a local family of generators in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$.

Proof. In the local trivialization $\mathcal{P}_{|U} \simeq U \times G$, denote by $x = (x^{\mu})$ some local coordinates on *U*, so that we can write $p \simeq (x, g) \in U \times G$ with $g \in G$. One has $T_p \mathcal{P} \simeq T_x U \oplus T_q G$. Denote by $\{e_a\}$ a basis of g, and consider the e_a 's as left invariant vector fields on *G* so that they also define a basis of T_qG at each point $g \in G$.

Consider a particular smooth vector field $x \mapsto X(x) = X^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu} + \overline{X}^{a}(x)e_{a} \in$ $T_xU \oplus T_eG$ whose coordinate functions do not depend on $g \in G$. Then, applying the right translation $T_{(x,e)}R_g$ on these vectors, one gets a local right invariant vector fields $(x, g) \mapsto \mathsf{X}(x, g) = X^{\mu}(x, g)\partial_{\mu} + \overline{X}^{a}(x, g)e_{a} \in T_{x}U \oplus T_{g}G$. By construction, one has $X^{\mu}(x,g) = X^{\mu}(x)$ and $\overline{X}^{a}(x,g) = A^{a}_{b}(g)\overline{X}^{b}(x)$ where $A^{a}_{b}(g)e_{a} = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}e_{b}$, so that $X(x, g) = X^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu} + A^a_b(g)\overline{X}^b(x)e_a$.

Let us now begin with a smooth family $x \mapsto X^i(x) \in T_x U \oplus T_e G$ of vector fields as before, for $i = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathcal{P}$, which defines a basis of the vector space $T_xU \oplus T_eG$ for each $x \in U$. Then the family of right invariant extensions $(x, g) \mapsto$ $X^{i}(x, g) \in T_{x}U \oplus T_{g}G$ defines also a basis of the vector spaces $T_{x}U \oplus T_{g}G$ for each $(x, g) \in U \times G$. This is point 1.

Any right invariant vector field $X \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ can be written as $X(x,g) =$ $f_i(x, g) \mathsf{X}^i(x, g) \in T_x U \oplus T_g G$. Right invariance implies that $f_i(x, g) = f_i(x, g')$ for any $g, g' \in G$, so that $X(x, g) = f_i(x)X^i(x, g)$. The functions $f_i \in C^{\infty}(U)$ are uniquely determined because the $X^{i}(x, g)$'s form a basis at each point. This is point 2.

Point 3 is proved similarly.

Let us assume given a second family $Y^i \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ of right invariant vector fields with the same properties. Then using point 2, there are unique functions $\alpha_j^i \in C^\infty(U)$ such that $\mathsf{Y}^i = \alpha_j^i \mathsf{X}^j$.

Corollary 3.7. $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ generates the space $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ as a $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ *-module and* N gen*erates the space* $TLA(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ *as a* $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ *-module.*

Proof. Using the structure of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -module of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ and a partition of unity subordinated to a covering of M by open subsets which both trivialize P and are open subsets for charts on M, the problem is to show that $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ generates $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ as a $C^{\infty}(U)$ -module for such any open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$. This is a consequence of point 3 of Proposition [3.6.](#page-27-1)

Any element $X \oplus \gamma \in \mathsf{TLA}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ can be written as $(X - \gamma^{\mathcal{P}}) \oplus 0 + (\gamma^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \gamma)$ where $\gamma^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \gamma \in \mathcal{Z}$. Thus, it remains to show that the vector field $X - \gamma^{\mathcal{P}}$ is in the $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ -module generated by $\Gamma_{G}(\mathcal{P})$, which is indeed the case by the previous $result.$

Proposition [3.5.](#page-27-0) Recall that $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ is the space of forms from $\text{TLA}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ to \mathfrak{g} . Let us define a canonical map

$$
\lambda: \Omega^\bullet_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{\rm equ}}} \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet_{\text{\rm Lie}}(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{g}).
$$

For any $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^r(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}$, we define $\alpha = \lambda(\hat{\omega}) \in \Omega_{\text{Lie}}^r(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ as follows. To any $X_1, \ldots, X_r \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$, we associate any $\widehat{X}_1, \ldots, \widehat{X}_r \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\rho_{\mathcal{P}}(\widehat{X}_i) = X_i$. Then

$$
\alpha(\mathsf{X}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{X}_r)(p) = \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}_1,\ldots,\widehat{X}_r)(p) \in \mathfrak{g}
$$

is well defined because, as a $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -horizontal form, $\widehat{\omega}$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$, and so on Z. For any $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$, the Lie derivative in the direction $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi$ takes the explicit form

(3.3)
$$
(L_{\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi} \widehat{\omega})(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_r) = \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_r) + [\xi, \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_r)] - \sum_{i=1}^r \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, [\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi, \widehat{X}_i], \dots, \widehat{X}_r)
$$

The commutators $[\xi^P \oplus \xi, \hat{X}_i]$ are in Z because $\hat{X}_i \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\xi^P \oplus \xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, so that each term in the last sum is zero. The $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -invariance of $\widehat{\omega}$ then implies $0 = L_{\xi}P_{\theta} \xi \widehat{\omega} =$ $\hat{\xi}^{\mathcal{P}}\cdot\hat{\omega}(\hat{X}_1,\ldots,\hat{X}_r) + [\xi,\hat{\omega}(\hat{X}_1,\ldots,\hat{X}_r)],$ which means that $\hat{\omega}(\hat{X}_1,\ldots,\hat{X}_r) \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}).$ Then $\alpha \in \Omega^{\bullet}_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}).$

When applied to invariant forms and to elements in $\mathcal{N} = \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \mathcal{Z}$, the differential $\hat{d}_{\text{TI A}}$ given by [\(1.4\)](#page-6-2) reduces to the differential \hat{d} given by [\(3.1\)](#page-25-0). The defined map is then a morphism of differential graded complexes.

The injectivity of λ is a consequence of Corollary [3.7:](#page-28-0) if $\alpha(\mathsf{X}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{X}_r)=0$ for any $X_1, \ldots, X_r \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$, then $\widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}_1, \ldots, \widehat{X}_r) = \alpha(\rho_{\mathcal{P}}(\widehat{X}_1), \ldots, \rho_{\mathcal{P}}(\widehat{X}_r)) = 0$ for any $\widehat{X}_1, \ldots, \widehat{X}_r \in \mathcal{N}$, so that $\widehat{\omega} = 0$ by $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ -linearity.

Let us show that λ is surjective. It is sufficient to work over a subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ which trivializes P. Consider a local family $\{X^i\}_{i=1,\dots,\dim \mathcal{P}}$ of generators in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ over *U*. For any $\alpha \in \Omega_{\text{Lie}}^r(\mathcal{P}_{|U}, \mathfrak{g})$, let $\widehat{\omega}$ be the $C^{\infty}(U)$ -multilinear antisymmetric map defined on $\mathcal{N}_{|U}^r$ with values in maps $\mathcal{P}_{|U} \to \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$
\widehat{\omega}(\mathsf{X}^{i_1}+z_1,\ldots,\mathsf{X}^{i_r}+z_r)=\alpha(\mathsf{X}^{i_1},\ldots,\mathsf{X}^{i_r})
$$

for any $z_k \in \mathcal{Z}_{|U}$. Because two local families of generators in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ are related by functions in $C^{\infty}(U)$ and because α is $C^{\infty}(U)$ -linear, this definition makes sense and does not depend on the choice of the local family of generators in Γ _{*G*}(\mathcal{P}).

Using the uniqueness of the decomposition $X = g_i X^i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ we can impose $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ -linearity on $\widehat{\omega}$ in order to extend $\widehat{\omega}$ as a $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ -multilinear antisymmetric map defined on $(\Gamma(\mathcal{P}|_U \oplus (U \times \mathfrak{g}))^r = \text{TLA}(\mathcal{P}|_U, \mathfrak{g})^r$, so that $\widehat{\omega} \in \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^r(\mathcal{P}|_U, \mathfrak{g})$. Once again, notice that this extension is well defined because changing the local families of generators in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$ requires only coefficients in $C^{\infty}(U)$ for which linearity is already given. By construction $\hat{\omega}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -horizontal. Using the fact that $\alpha(\mathsf{X}^{i_1},\ldots,\mathsf{X}^{i_r}) \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U},\mathfrak{g})$, it is easy to show, using [\(3.3\)](#page-28-1), that $\widehat{\omega}$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -invariant. This means that $\widehat{\omega} \in \Omega^r_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{P}_{|U}, \mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}$.

Proposition 3.8 (Connections on the Atiyah Lie algebroid)**.** *Connections on the Atiyah Lie algebroid are exactly connections on the principal fiber bundle from which this Lie algebroid is constructed.*

This well known fact explains the terminology adopted for general transitive Lie algebroids.

Proof. A connection on a principal fiber bundle $\mathcal P$ defines a horizontal lift $X \mapsto X^h$ from $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ to $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. By construction, all the vector fields X^h such obtained are \tilde{R} -invariant, so that they are in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$. The notions of curvature coincide also:
they both measure the obstruction to be a morphism of Lie algebras. they both measure the obstruction to be a morphism of Lie algebras.

From a more algebraic point of view, it is possible to link the connection 1-form defined on P and the 1-form defined in the context of Lie algebroids.

A connection 1-form ω on $\mathcal P$ is an element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal P) \otimes \mathfrak g$ which is $(\tilde R, \text{Ad})$ equivariant and normalized on vertical vector fields by $\omega(\xi^{\mathcal{P}}) = \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. We look at ω as an element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^0 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The $(\widetilde{R}, \text{Ad})$ -equivariance takes the form $0 = L_{\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi} \omega = L_{\xi^{\mathcal{P}}} \omega + [\xi, \omega]$ for any $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$.

Let $\theta \in \bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ be the Maurer-Cartan 1-form on *G*. Recall that $\theta(\xi) = \xi$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. We can extend θ by $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ linearity to an element $\theta \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ so that $\theta(\gamma) = \gamma$ for any $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Then, for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\gamma : \mathcal{P} \to \mathfrak{g}$, one has $(L_{\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi} \theta)(\gamma) = \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \theta(\gamma) + [\xi, \theta(\gamma)] - \theta([\xi^{\mathcal{P}} + \xi, \gamma]) = \xi^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \gamma + [\xi, \gamma] - (\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \cdot \gamma + [\xi, \gamma]) = 0.$

We can now construct $\hat{\omega} = \omega - \theta \in \Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$. For any $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$, one has $i_{\xi}P + \xi \widehat{\omega} = \omega(\xi^{\mathcal{P}}) - \theta(\xi) = \xi - \xi = 0$. With the same notation, one has $L_{\xi}P + \xi \widehat{\omega} =$ $L_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \mathcal{E}} \omega - L_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \mathcal{E}} \theta = 0$. This shows that

$$
\widehat{\omega} = \omega - \theta \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}
$$

By Proposition [3.5,](#page-27-0) to $\hat{\omega}$ there corresponds a 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$. By construction, one has $\alpha(\mathsf{X}) = \omega(\mathsf{X})$ for any $\mathsf{X} \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$. For any $v \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$, one has $\omega_{|p}(\iota(v)(p)) = -\omega_{|p}(v(p)_{|p}^{\mathcal{P}}) = -v(p)$, so that $\alpha(\iota(v)) = -v$.

Conversely, Proposition [3.5](#page-27-0) associates to a 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$, such that $\alpha(\iota(v)) = -v$, a 1-form $\widehat{\omega} \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}) = (\Omega^1(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus (C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ which is basic for the Cartan operation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$. The latter 1-form can be decomposed as $\hat{\omega} = \omega + \phi$ with $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The normalization of α implies that ω is normalized as a connection 1-form on P , and the \mathfrak{g}_{equ} equivariance of $\hat{\omega}$ implies that ω is also $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -equivariant. The $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -horizontality gives $\xi = \omega(\xi^{\mathcal{P}}) = -\phi(\xi)$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, which defines completely ϕ by $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ linearity: $\phi = -\theta$. As a result, ω is a connection 1-form on $\mathcal P$

The curvature of α as a Lie algebroid connection is given by the expression $R(X, Y) = (\hat{d}\alpha)(X, Y) + [\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)]$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$. For any $X \oplus \gamma, Y \oplus \eta \in \mathcal{P}$ $TLA(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$, a straightforward computation shows that

$$
(\mathrm{d}_{\mathsf{TLA}}\widehat{\omega})(X\oplus\gamma, Y\oplus\eta) + [\widehat{\omega}(X\oplus\gamma), \widehat{\omega}(Y\oplus\eta)] = (d\omega)(X, Y) + [\omega(X), \omega(Y)] = \Omega(X, Y)
$$

where Ω is the curvature of the connection ω . Using the usual properties of the curvature 2-form $\Omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P})\otimes\mathfrak{g}$, it is readily shown that as an element in $\Omega^2_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{P},\mathfrak{g})$ it is \mathfrak{g}_{equ} -basic. By Proposition [3.5](#page-27-0) and the previous relations, $\Omega \in \Omega^2_{\text{TLA}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}_{equ}}$ corresponds to $\hat{R} \in \Omega^2_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}).$

In the same way, to any generalized connection α on Γ _{*G*}(\mathcal{P}), one can associate a 1-form

$$
\widehat{\omega} = \omega + \phi \in \Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}) = (\Omega^1(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \oplus (C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \Lambda^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g})
$$

which is basic for the Cartan operation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$. In particular, ω and ϕ are $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ invariant. In that case, ϕ is not necessarily related to the Maurer-Cartan form.

Local trivialisations of the Lie algebroid Γ _{*G*}(\mathcal{P}) are constructed using local trivialisations of the principal fiber bundle P . Let $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}\)$ be a system of trivializations of P, with $\varphi_i : \mathcal{P}_{|U_i} \xrightarrow{\simeq} U_i \times G$. Denote by ϕ_i the inverse map φ_i^{-1} . Using general results described in [1.5,](#page-13-0) any $X \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ is then decomposed over U_i into two pieces: $X \in \Gamma(U)$ and $\gamma_i : U_i \to \mathfrak{g}$. We know that X is defined globally as $X = \pi_*(X)$. A straightforward computation shows that the explicit expressions for the triples $(U_i, \Psi_i, \nabla^{0,i})$ are given by:

$$
(\nabla_X^{0,i})(p) = (\phi_{i*}X)(p) \qquad \Psi_i(\gamma) \circ \phi_i(x,g) = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}\gamma(x)
$$

On $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, denote by $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to G$ the transition functions for \mathcal{P} . Then using the explicit expression for *ι*, one gets $\gamma_i = g_{ij}\gamma_j g_{ij}^{-1} + g_{ij}dg_{ij}^{-1}(X)$, so that

$$
\alpha_{ij}(\gamma) = g_{ij}\gamma g_{ij}^{-1} \qquad \qquad \chi_{ij}(X) = g_{ij} dg_{ij}^{-1}(X)
$$

All the relations required to be satisfied both by the α_{ij} 's and the χ_{ij} 's can be easily established using some standard computations performed in the theory of fiber bundles.

Let *E* be a vector space and $\ell_q : E \to E$ be a linear representation of the structure group *G*. Denote by $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\ell} E$ the associated vector bundle. Then the space of sections of $\mathcal E$ is given by

$$
\Gamma(\mathcal{E}) = \{ s : \mathcal{P} \to E / s(p \cdot g) = \ell_{g^{-1}} s(p) \text{ for all } g \in G \}
$$

The representation of the Lie algebroid Γ _{*G*}(\mathcal{P}) on \mathcal{E} is given by the natural map

$$
\phi : \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E}) \qquad \phi(\mathsf{X})(s) = \mathsf{X} \cdot s
$$

Using the \tilde{R} -invariance of X, one has $X \rvert s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ if $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

The restriction of this representation to the kernel $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ of $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ can be described as follows. Let *η* be the representation of the Lie algebra g on *E* induced by ℓ , so that $\eta(v) \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ for any $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ where $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is the space of linear operators acting on the vector space E. The kernel $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ as a transitive Lie algebroid can be described as

$$
\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}) = \{a : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{L}(E) / a(p \cdot g) = \ell_{g^{-1}} \circ a(p) \circ \ell_g \text{ for all } g \in G\}
$$

Then the map ϕ applied to $v \in \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ is just $\eta(v) : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{L}(E)$. Notice also that the bracket $[\phi(X), a] \in \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ used in Definition [1.19](#page-11-0) in the formula for the differential $\hat{d}_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}), \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ is given by X·*a*.

Let us introduce the following differential calculus. As a bicomplex, consider the space $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}(E)$. Because $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is an algebra, this bicomplex is a bigraded algebra for the natural product which consists to antisymmetrize the arguments (as in Definition [1.19](#page-11-0) for instance). On this algebra we can define a natural differential δ' which extends δ defined by (1.2) and which is inspired by d TLA:

(3.4)

$$
(\delta'\omega)(X_1\oplus\gamma_1,\ldots,X_{p+1}\oplus\gamma_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} X_i \cdot \omega(X_1\oplus\gamma_1,\ldots\stackrel{i}{\vee}\ldots,X_{p+1}\oplus\gamma_{p+1})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} [\eta(\gamma_i),\omega(X_1\oplus\gamma_1,\ldots\stackrel{i}{\vee}\ldots,X_{p+1}\oplus\gamma_{p+1})]
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1\leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i\oplus\gamma_i,X_j\oplus\gamma_j],X_1\oplus\gamma_1,\ldots\stackrel{i}{\vee}\ldots\stackrel{i}{\vee}\ldots,X_{p+1}\oplus\gamma_{p+1})
$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ defines a natural Cartan operation on this graded differential algebra. We denote by $((\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}, \delta')$ the corresponding graded differential sub-algebra of basic elements for this operation.

Adapting the proof of Proposition [3.5,](#page-27-0) one shows that

Proposition 3.9 (Identification of $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\Gamma_{G}(\mathcal{P}), \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})), \hat{d}_{\mathcal{E}})$). As differential graded $algebras, \ (\Omega^{\bullet}(\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}), \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})), \widehat{d}_{\mathcal{E}}) \ \ \text{and} \ \left((\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}(E)\right)_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}}, \delta') \ \ \text{are} \ \text{isomor-}$ *phic.*

Let $\widehat{\nabla}^{\mathcal{E}} : \Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$ be a $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ -connection on \mathcal{E} . Denote by $\omega^{\mathcal{E}} \in$ $\Omega^1(\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}), \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}))$ its connection 1-form. As before, we can associate to $\omega^{\mathcal{E}}$ a 1form $\widehat{\omega} \in (\Omega^1(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(E)) \oplus (C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))$ which is basic for the Cartan operation of gequ.

It is well known that $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ is the Lie algebra of the gauge group of the principal fiber bundle P . The notion of infinitesimal gauge transformations defined in Definition [1.14](#page-8-3) and the associated action on connections given in Definition [2.5](#page-18-0) (and its explicit expression on the connection 1-forms given in Proposition [2.6\)](#page-18-1) are generalizations of what one gets in the particular context of the Atiyah Lie algebroid. For the Atiyah Lie algebroid one can define gauge transformations as vertical automorphisms of the principal fiber bundle P , so that the gauge group turns out to be well defined here.

Remark 3.10 (The intermediate description of connections)*.* In ordinary differential geometry, a connection on a principal fiber bundle P can be defined at two levels.

First, as a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ which is (R, Ad) -equivariant for the action of *G* on the two factors and normalized for an inner product with elements in \mathfrak{g} (as vertical vector fields). The second way to defined a connection is to use a system of local trivializations $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}\$ of $\mathcal P$ and to introduce a family of 1-forms $A_i \in \Omega^1(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ with the usual gluing relations $A_i = g_{ij}A_jg_{ij}^{-1} + g_{ij}dg_{ij}^{-1}$ on $U_{ij} \neq \emptyset$.

It is well known that there is a third instance, intermediate between the two preceding ones, where curvature can be defined. The first construction gives rise to consider the curvature as a 2-form in $\Omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ which is tensorial of type (R, Ad) . The second one produces a family of 2-forms $F_i \in \Omega^2(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ with the homogeneous gluing relations $F_i = g_{ij} F_j g_{ij}^{-1}$. These relations say that there exists a global (relative to M) 2-form $\mathbb{F} \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g})$. This is intermediate between the local description on M in $\Omega^2(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and the global (top) description in $\Omega^2(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.

The description of the differential structure of the Atiyah Lie algebroid we made here makes apparent these three levels of descriptions, even for the connection 1 form whose non homogeneous gluing relation prevents it to be defined globally on M in ordinary geometry. We have shown that a connection 1-form is a basic element in $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$, the top differential calculus we identified in this context. There, (R, Ad) -equivariance and normalization are replaced by a basic condition. A local description of the connection forms is given by the following procedure. As forms in $\Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U_i, \mathfrak{g})$, the connection 1-form is given by the family of maps $(X, \gamma_i) \mapsto$ $A_i(X) - \gamma_i$ (this result can be adapted to a general transitive Lie algebroid, (see [\[20,](#page-41-2) p. 207] for more details). It is easy to check that these expressions have now homogeneous gluing relations. As such they define a global object $\alpha \in \Omega^1_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$. In the same way, as basic element, $\omega - \theta$ defines α . In the terminology of Lie algebroids, $\mathbb F$ is the 2-form in $\Omega^2_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal P, \mathfrak g)$ computed as the curvature of α . Notice that in order for the 1-form α to exist in this intermediate description, one has to depart from the usual geometrical object and one has to use more algebraic structures. By construction, elements in $\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ do not see all the vector fields on P, but only a part of them which are π_* -projectable as vector fields on M. This is why we call it the "intermediate" construction.

We have insisted on the use of the same kind of differential calculus at the three levels of these descriptions, namely the complex of forms with values in the kernel of a transitive Lie algebroid introduced in Definition [1.18.](#page-10-0) Two of these differential calculi are defined on trivial transitive Lie algebroids, only the intermediate one is non trivial. In [\[20\]](#page-41-2) the intermediate one, $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\text{Lie}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$, is embedded into the space of equivariant forms on P with values in $\mathfrak g$. Here we have preferred to show that all the structures at different levels are of the same origin, but applied to different transitive Lie algebroids: at the local level, $TLA(U, \mathfrak{g})$, at the intermediate level $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$, and at the global level $\mathsf{TLA}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$. The steps needed to jump from one level to the next one are described in the text. It is possible to show that the differential structure used in [\[20\]](#page-41-2) (equivariant forms) is the same as ours (basic form for a bigger complex). Without going into details, let us mention that the argumentation relies on the fact that $\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$ in our complex can be absorbed by the horizontal condition: vanishing of inner operations with elements $\xi^{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \xi$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ impose a relation between the $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^*$ parts, which permits to concentrate the dependence of a basic form into the $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P})$ part only.

Notice the important role played by the Maurer-Cartan form *θ* in these constructions. As an element in $\bigwedge^1 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, it can be extended to an element in $\Omega^1_{\mathsf{TLA}}(U_i, \mathfrak{g})$ to

make it appear in the definition of the local expressions of the family of connection 1-forms $A_i - \theta$. It can also be extended to an element in $\Omega_{\text{TLA}}^1(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g})$ to create a $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ -basic 1-form from the (ordinary) connection 1-form $\omega - \theta$. This emphasizes the fact that in order to get a coherent description at the three levels one has to complete ordinary vector fields *X* by the more algebraic elements γ with values in the Lie algebra g. Generalized connections consist in taking a more general element than the Maurer-Cartan form.

This remark generalizes a remark made in [\[25\]](#page-41-9) for the derivation-based noncommutative differential calculus of the endomorphism algebra of a *SL*(*n*)-vector bundle. This non-commutative geometry is described in the following part.

3.2. **The Lie algebroid of the derivations of the endomorphism algebra.** Let $\mathcal E$ be a $SL(n)$ -vector bundle over the manifold $\mathcal M$ with fiber $\mathbb C^n$. Consider End (\mathcal{E}) , the fiber bundle of endomorphisms of \mathcal{E} . We denote by **A** the algebra of sections of $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ (denoted $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E})$ in the remaining of the text). This is the algebra of the endomorphism algebra of a *SL*(*n*)-vector bundle.

This algebra has been studied from the point of view of its non-commutative geometry based on derivations. In Appendix [A,](#page-37-0) a brief review is given for the essential structures introduced according to this non-commutative geometry. The non-commutative geometry of the endomorphism algebra **A** presented here has been summarized in [\[25\]](#page-41-9). Here we collect the main results about this non-commutative geometry in order to make explicit the relationships with the notion of connection on Lie algebroids.

Let us denote by $Der(A)$ the Lie algebra of derivations of A . This is also a module over the center of **A**, which is $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. By the ad representation the ideal of inner derivations $Int(A)$ identifies with A_0 , the traceless elements in A. Define ρ : Der(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow Der(\mathbf{A})/Int(\mathbf{A}) = Out(\mathbf{A}) the quotient map. This map can be explicitly identified with the restriction of any $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A})$ to the center. So that ρ is in fact both a morphism of Lie algebras and of $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules ρ : Der(**A**) \rightarrow $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$.

The short exact sequence of Lie algebras and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules

(3.5)
$$
0 \longrightarrow A_0 \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \text{Der}(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

defines $Der(A)$ as a transitive Lie algebroid over M , with $\iota = ad$. This was already mentioned in [\[10\]](#page-40-5).

Let P be the principal $SL(n)$ -fiber bundle to which $\mathcal E$ (and then $End(\mathcal E)$) is associated. We will use in the following the Atiyah Lie algebroid $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ with $G = SL(n)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ defined in sub-section [3.1.](#page-23-1)

Let $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}\)$ be a system of local trivializations of \mathcal{P} , with φ_i : $\mathcal{P}_{|U_i} \stackrel{\simeq}{\to}$ $U_i \times SL(n)$. This also defines a system of trivializations of End(\mathcal{E}). Denote by $\Psi_i: \mathbf{A}_{|U_i} \xrightarrow{\simeq} C^{\infty}(U_i) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the associated trivialization of End (\mathcal{E}) induced by $(U_i, \varphi_i).$

Let θ be the Maurer-Cartan form on $SL(n)$. Let $\pi_2: U_i \times SL(n) \to SL(n)$ be the projection on the second factor. Then $\omega_i = \varphi_i^* \pi_2^* \theta$ is a local flat connection on $\mathcal{P}_{|U_i}$. This flat connection defines a covariant derivative $\nabla^{0,i}$ on End $(\mathcal{E}_{|U_i})$, which is also a derivation of $\mathbf{A}_{|U_i}$. Then the triples $(U_i, \Psi_i, \nabla^{0,i})$ define an Lie algebroid atlas for $Der(A)$.

On $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, denote by $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to SL(n)$ the transition functions for P. Then one has

$$
\alpha_{ij}(\gamma) = g_{ij}\gamma g_{ij}^{-1} \qquad \qquad \chi_{ij}(X) = g_{ij}(X \cdot g_{ij}^{-1})
$$

as for the Atiyah Lie algebroid.

Any element $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A})$ can be written locally as $\mathfrak{X}_i = X \oplus \gamma_i$, with $\text{Tr}(\gamma_i) = 0$. The gluing relations of these trivializations on $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ are: $\gamma_i =$ $g_{ij}\gamma_j g_{ij}^{-1} + g_{ij}(X \cdot g_{ij}^{-1})$. Accordingly one has:

Proposition 3.11 (Der(**A**) and Γ _{*G*}(*P*)). *The Lie algebroid* Der(**A**) *is isomorphic to the Atiyah Lie algebroid* $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P})$ *of the SL(n)-principal fiber bundle.*

In both cases, any local description of an element of these Lie algebroids is given by (X, γ) on $U \subset \mathcal{M}$. For Der(A), γ is promoted to an inner derivation ad_{γ} acting on $\mathbf{A}_{|U}$. For $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}), \gamma$ is promoted to a vertical vector field in $\Gamma_G(\mathcal{P}_{|U})$. All relations defining the structures of these two Lie algebroids are compatible in this identification.

Let us now take a look at the differential calculi defined in this context by considering the theory of Lie algebroid or the underlying derivation-based differential non-commutative geometry.

Denote by $(\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(A, A_0), \hat{d})$ the differential calculus $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\text{Der}(A), A_0), \hat{d})$ defined on the transitive Lie algebroid Der(**A**).

In the non-commutative context, the two differential calculi defined in Defini-tions [A.3](#page-37-2) and [A.4](#page-38-1) coincide for **A**. We will only refer to them as $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}), \hat{d}_{\text{NC}})$ where the differential is specifically named in order to avoid any confusion with the differential coming from the Lie algebroid structure.

The natural representation of $Der(A)$ on A_0 defining the differential \hat{d} on the complex $\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_0)$ in Definition [1.18](#page-10-0) is given in this situation by $(\mathfrak{X}, a) \mapsto \mathfrak{X} \cdot a \in$ \mathbf{A}_0 . Indeed, in Der(\mathbf{A}) one has the relation $[\mathfrak{X}, \text{ad}_a] = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{X},a}$. The differential d then takes the explicit expression

$$
(\widehat{d}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mathfrak{X}_i \cdot \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\mathfrak{X}_i, \mathfrak{X}_j], \mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots \stackrel{i}{\vee} \ldots \stackrel{j}{\vee} \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+1})
$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{Lie}}^p(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_0)$. A direct comparison with $(A.2)$ and the definition of $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{Der}}(A)$ shows the following:

Proposition 3.12 (Relations between differential calculi)**.** *The differential calcu- (* $\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_0), \hat{d}$ *) <i>constructed from the Lie algebroid structure is included in the derivation-based differential calculus* $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}), \hat{d}_{NC})$ *as traceless forms.*

It has been shown in [\[10\]](#page-40-5) (see also [\[25\]](#page-41-9)) that the splittings as $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -modules of the short exact sequence [\(3.5\)](#page-33-1) are in one-to-one correspondence with connections on the vector bundle \mathcal{E} . To any connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} , it can be associated a unique non-commutative 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1_{\text{Der}}(\mathbf{A})$ such that

(3.6)
$$
\mathfrak{X} = \nabla_X - \mathrm{ad}_{\alpha(\mathfrak{X})} \qquad \alpha(\mathrm{ad}_{\gamma}) = -\gamma
$$

where ∇ is the connection on the vector bundle End (\mathcal{E}) induced by $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}$. This construction leads to the fact that any connection on $\mathcal E$ defines a non-commutative connection on the right **A**-module $M = A$, the one determined by this noncommutative 1-form α by Proposition [A.10.](#page-39-1)

Proposition 3.13 ([\[10\]](#page-40-5))**.** *The space of non-commutative connections on the right* **A***-module* $M = A$ *contains the space of connections on* \mathcal{E} *. This inclusion is compatible with the notions of curvature and gauge transformations.*

This proposition must be compared with Propositions [2.12](#page-21-1) and [2.17.](#page-23-2) The relation (3.6) is the same as the expression (2.2) which defines a similar 1-form on a transitive Lie algebroid. In fact, they both rewrite the splitting given by ∇ of the corresponding short exact sequence in term of an algebraic object. With the identifications of the spaces of forms, it is clear that the obtained 1-forms in these two situations are the same: $\alpha \in \Omega^1_{\text{Lie}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_0) \subset \Omega^1_{\text{Der}}(\mathbf{A}).$

Locally, any section *s* of the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is trivialized as $s_i: U_i \to \mathbb{C}^n$. The gluing relations between these trivializations are given by $s_i = g_{ij} s_j$. For any $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A})$ written locally as $\mathfrak{X}_i = X \oplus \gamma_i$, with $\text{Tr}(\gamma_i) = 0$, and for any $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, a straightforward computation shows that

$$
X \cdot s_i + \gamma_i s_i = g_{ij}(X \cdot s_j + \gamma_j s_j)
$$

which means that there is a well-defined representation $\phi : \text{Der}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$. For this representation, $\phi_{\mathbf{A}_0} : \mathbf{A}_0 \to \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbf{A}$ is just the inclusion. Let us denote by $(\Omega_{Lie}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}), \hat{d}_{\varepsilon})$ the graded differential algebra $(\Omega^{\bullet}(Der(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{A}(\varepsilon)), \hat{d}_{\varepsilon})$. Then a direct comparison between the spaces and the differentials shows the following:

Proposition 3.14 (Identification of the derivation-based differential calculus)**.** *The graded differential algebras* $(\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(A), \tilde{d}_{\mathcal{E}})$ *and* $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(A), \tilde{d}_{NC})$ *are isomorphic.*

As a direct application of this result, one has the following:

Theorem 3.15 (Lie algebroid connections and non-commutative connections)**.** *The following three spaces are isomorphic:*

- (1) *The space of* Der(**A**)*-connections on* E *(Lie algebroid of derivations).*
- (2) *The space of* Γ _{*G}*(\mathcal{P} *)*-connections on \mathcal{E} (Atiyah Lie algebroid of the $SL(n)$ -</sub> *principal bundle).*
- (3) The space of non-commutative connections on the right A -module $M = A$ *(non-commutative differential structure).*

These isomorphisms are compatible with curvatures and gauge transformations.

Proof. The isomorphism between 1 and 2 is a trivial consequence of Proposition [3.11](#page-34-1) and the fact that the representations of these two Lie algebroids on $\mathcal E$ are the same as it can be easily checked.

A Der(\bf{A})-connection on \cal{E} is completely determined by its associated 1-form $\omega^{\mathcal{E}} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{Lie}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ (Proposition [2.9\)](#page-20-1). As a 1-form in $\Omega_{\mathrm{Der}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ (Proposition [3.14\)](#page-35-1), $\omega^{\mathcal{E}}$ determines an unique non-commutative connection (Proposition [A.10\)](#page-39-1).

The gauge group $Aut(\mathcal{E})$ is the space of invertible elements in $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbf{A}$, so that it coincides with the gauge group of the $\mathbf{A}\text{-module } \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{A}$ (Proposition [A.10\)](#page-39-1).

The identification between connections is compatible with the various expression for curvatures and gauge transformations (Propositions [2.9,](#page-20-1) [2.11](#page-20-0) and [A.10\)](#page-39-1). \Box

Let us say that a non-commutative connection on the right \mathbf{A} -module $M = \mathbf{A}$ is traceless if its non-commutative 1-form is traceless. Notice that ordinary connections on $\mathcal E$ give rise to traceless non-commutative connections by Proposition [3.13.](#page-35-2) Then one has:

Theorem 3.16 (Generalized connections and traceless NC connections)**.** *The following three spaces are isomorphic:*

- (1) *The space of generalized connections on* Der(**A**)*.*
- (2) *The space of generalized connections on* Γ _{*G}*(\mathcal{P})*.*</sub>
- (3) *The space of traceless non-commutative connections on the right* **A***-module* $M = A$

The isomorphisms are compatible with curvatures and gauge transformations.

Proof. The proof relies on the same procedure as for Theorem [3.15,](#page-35-0) except that now we identify the space $\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_0)$ as traceless non-commutative forms using Proposition [3.12.](#page-34-2)

Proposition [3.5](#page-27-0) shows that $(\Omega_{\text{Lie}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{g}), d)$ can be identified with the basic sub complex in a trivial differential calculus for a convenient Cartan action. It has been shown in [\[23\]](#page-41-13) that the graded differential algebra $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}), \hat{d}_{\text{NC}})$ is also caracterized by such an inclusion.

In order to see that, one has to introduce a bigger algebra $\mathbf{B} = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes M_n$, which is the algebra of matrix valued functions on P . Then the algebra **A** identifies with

 $\mathbf{A} \simeq \{a : \mathcal{P} \to M_n \mid a(p \cdot g) = g^{-1}a(p)g \text{ for all } g \in SL(n)\} \subset \mathbf{B}$ The Lie algebra of derivations of **B** has the following trivial structure:

$$
\mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{B}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \oplus (C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_n)
$$

where $\mathfrak{X} = \mathsf{X} \oplus \gamma$ acts on $b \in \mathbf{B}$ as $\mathfrak{X} \cdot b = \mathsf{X} \cdot b + [\gamma, b]$. Its derivation-based differential calculus is then the tensor product $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{B}) = \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(M_n)$ with the differential $\hat{d}_{\mathbf{B}} = d + d'$. The differential calculus $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}), d)$ is the de Rham differential calculus on the manifold P , and $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(M_n), d')$ is the non-commutative derivation-based differential calculus on the matrix algebra *Mn*. This differential calculus is described in Proposition [A.11.](#page-40-10)

As in [3.1,](#page-23-1) let us define $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}} = {\xi^v + \text{ad}_{\xi} / \xi \in \mathfrak{sl}_n}.$

Proposition 3.17 ([\[23\]](#page-41-13)). $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}), \hat{d}_{NC})$ *is the graded differential algebra of basic elements for the Cartan operation of* $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{equ}}$ *on* $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{B}), \widehat{\text{d}}_{\mathbf{B}})$ *.*

Now, with $E = \mathbb{C}^n$, one has $\mathcal{L}(E) = M_n$, so that it is straightforward to check the following:

Proposition 3.18. *The differential calculus* $(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}(E), \delta')$ *introduced for Proposition* [3.9](#page-31-0) *is isomorphic to the differential calculus* $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{B}), \hat{d}_{\text{B}})$ *.*

Obviously, Proposition [3.14](#page-35-1) can be proved again with theses two last results.

For this particular transitive Lie algebroid, one has not only the notion of infinitesimal gauge transformations as defined in Definition [1.14](#page-8-3) but also of gauge transformations when a **A**-module is given. These gauge transformations are defined using the non-commutative framework, and they exactly coincide with the usual notion of gauge transformations on the associated principal fiber bundle P for the right **A**-module **A** itself. However, as for gauge transformations for Lie algebroids, notice that this definition requires a representation of the algebra implementing the underlying symmetry.

Appendix A. Brief review of some non-commutative structures

In this appendix, we collect some useful definitions and structures introduced in the context of the derivation-based differential non-commutative geometry. See [\[7\]](#page-40-11), $[10]$, $[23]$ and $[25]$ for more details.

A.1. **Derivation-based differential calculus.** Let us consider an associative algebra **A** with unit denoted by 1. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ the center of **A**. The differential calculus we consider in this non-commutative geometry is constructed using the space of derivations of **A**.

Definition A.1 (Vector space of derivations of **A**)**.** The vector space of derivations of **A** is the space $\text{Der}(\mathbf{A}) = {\mathfrak{X} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A} / \mathfrak{X} \text{ linear}, \mathfrak{X} \cdot (ab) = (\mathfrak{X} \cdot a)b + a(\mathfrak{X} \cdot b), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{A} \cdot \mathfrak{X}}$ **A**}

The main properties of this space are summarized in the following:

Proposition A.2 (Structure of Der(**A**))**.** Der(**A**) *is a Lie algebra for the bracket defined by* $[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}] \cdot a = \mathfrak{X} \cdot \mathfrak{Y} \cdot a - \mathfrak{Y} \cdot \mathfrak{X} \cdot a$ *for any* $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A})$ *and it is a* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ *module for the product defined by* $(f\mathfrak{X}) \cdot a = f(\mathfrak{X} \cdot a)$ *for any* $a \in \mathbf{A}$ *,* $f \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ *and* $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A})$.

The subspace $Int(A) = \{ad_a : b \mapsto [a, b] / a \in A\} \subset Der(A)$ *, called the vector space of inner derivations, is a Lie ideal and a* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ *-submodule.*

With $Out(A) = Der(A)/Int(A)$ *, there is a short exact sequence of Lie algebras and* Z(**A**)*-modules*

$$
(A.1) \t\t 0 \longrightarrow Int(A) \longrightarrow Der(A) \longrightarrow Out(A) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The two structures exhibited before, Lie algebra and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ -module, are used in the following definition of the derivation-based differential calculus.

Definition A.3 (The graded differential algebra $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$). Let $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A})$ be the set of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ -multilinear antisymmetric maps from $\text{Der}(\mathbf{A})^n$ to \mathbf{A} , with $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^0(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$, and let

$$
\underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A})
$$

We introduce on $\Omega_{\mathrm{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$ a structure of N-graded differential algebra using the product

$$
(\omega \eta)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{p+q}) =
$$

$$
\frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p+q}} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} \omega(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p)}) \eta(\mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma(p+q)})
$$

and using the differential d (of degree 1) defined by the Koszul formula

(A.2)
$$
d\omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, ..., \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mathfrak{X}_i \cdot \omega(\mathfrak{X}_1, ..., \stackrel{i}{\vee} ..., \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\mathfrak{X}_i, \mathfrak{X}_j], ..., \stackrel{i}{\vee} ..., \stackrel{j}{\vee} ..., \mathfrak{X}_{n+1})
$$

Inside this differential calculus there is a smaller one, generated in degree 0 by **A**:

Definition A.4 (The graded differential algebra $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$). Denote by $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}) \subset$ $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$ the sub differential graded algebra generated in degree 0 by **A**.

Notice that by definition, every element in $\Omega_{\mathrm{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A})$ is a sum of terms of the form a_0 d $a_1 \cdots$ d a_n for $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbf{A}$.

The previous definitions are motivated by the following important example which shows that these definitions are appropriate generalizations of the space of ordinary differential forms on a manifold:

Example A.5 (The algebra $A = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$). Let M be a smooth manifold and let $\mathbf{A} = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. The center of this algebra is **A** itself: $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A}) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. The Lie algebra of derivations is exactly the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on \mathcal{M} : $Der(A) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$. In that case, there are no inner derivations, $Int(A) = 0$, so that $Out(A) = \Gamma(M)$.

The two graded differential algebras coincide with the graded differential algebra of de Rham forms on \mathcal{M} : $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}) = \underline{\Omega}_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}) = \Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M})$

Any Lie subalgebra g of Der(**A**) defines a natural Cartan operation on the graded differential algebra $(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A}), d)$ (see also Definition [1.21\)](#page-12-4). The interior product is the graded derivation of degree -1 on $\Omega_{\mathrm{Der}}^{\bullet}(\mathbf{A})$ defined by

 $i_{\mathfrak{X}}:\underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A}) \to \underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^{n-1}(\mathbf{A})$ $(i_{\mathfrak{X}}\omega)(\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{n-1}) = \omega(\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{X}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{X}_{n-1})$

for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathfrak{X}_i \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{A})$. By definition, $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is 0 on $\underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^0(\mathbf{A})$ = **A**.

As usual, the associated Lie derivative is the graded derivation of degree 0 on $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{Der}}(\mathbf{A})$ given by

$$
L_{\mathfrak{X}} = i_{\mathfrak{X}}\mathrm{d} + \mathrm{d}i_{\mathfrak{X}} : \underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A}) \to \underline{\Omega}_{\mathrm{Der}}^n(\mathbf{A})
$$

One can easily verify the relations defining a Cartan operation:

$$
i_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}} + i_{\mathfrak{Y}}i_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0
$$

\n
$$
L_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}} - i_{\mathfrak{Y}}L_{\mathfrak{X}} = i_{\lbrack \mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{Y}\rbrack}
$$

\n
$$
L_{\mathfrak{X}}i_{\mathfrak{Y}} - i_{\mathfrak{Y}}L_{\mathfrak{X}} = i_{\lbrack \mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{Y}\rbrack}
$$

\n
$$
L_{\mathfrak{X}}d - dL_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0
$$

One can then associate to this operation the following subspaces of $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{Der}}(A)$:

- The horizontal subspace is the kernel of all the i_X for $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$. This is a graded algebra.
- The invariant subspace is the kernel of all the $L_{\mathfrak{X}}$ for $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$. This is a graded differential algebra.
- The basic subspace is the kernel of all the $i_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{X}}$ for $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$. This is a graded differential algebra.

For instance, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Int}(\mathbf{A})$ defines such an operation.

A.2. **Non-commutative connections.** Connections on non-commutative algebras are defined using modules, which play the role of representations of the algebra. In the following *M* is a right **A**-module. Notice that the choice of right or left **A**-modules is arbitrary, the theory is the same. Nevertheless, when one wants to deal with bimodules, the theory is quite different (see for instance [\[11\]](#page-41-14)).

Definition A.6 (Non-commutative connections and curvature)**.** A non-commutative connection on the right **A**-module *M* for the differential calculus based on derivations is a linear map $\hat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}} : M \to M$, defined for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A})$, such that $\forall \mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Der}(\mathbf{A}), \forall a \in \mathbf{A}, \forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \forall f \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$:

$$
\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}(ma)=m(\mathfrak{X}\cdot a)+(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}m)a,\quad \widehat{\nabla}_{f\mathfrak{X}}m=f\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}m,\quad \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}+\mathfrak{Y}}m=\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}m+\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{Y}}m
$$

The curvature of $\hat{\nabla}$ is the linear map $\hat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) : M \to M$ defined for any $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y} \in$ $Der(A)$ by

$$
\widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})m = [\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}, \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{Y}}]m - \widehat{\nabla}_{[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}]}m
$$

This definition is an adaptation to the derivation-based non-commutative calculus of the ordinary definition of covariant derivative on the smooth sections of an associated vector bundle to a principal fiber bundle. Notice that the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ of the algebra **A** plays a essential part in the above relations, which means that we have to distinguish the respective roles of the algebra and of its center.

One can show the following results:

Proposition A.7 (General properties)**.** *The space of connections is an affine space* $\frac{1}{2}$ *modelled over the vector space* $\text{Hom}^{\mathbf{A}}(M, M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \underline{\Omega}_{\text{Der}}^{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{A}))$ *(right* \mathbf{A} *-module mor* $phisms from M to M \otimes_A \underline{\Omega}_{Der}^1(A)$.

 $\widehat{R}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) : M \to M$ *is a right* **A***-module morphism.*

Definition A.8 (The gauge group). The gauge group of M is the group $Aut(M)$ of the automorphisms of *M* as a right **A**-module.

Proposition A.9 (Gauge transformations). For any $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(M)$ and any non*commutative connection* $\hat{\nabla}$, the map $\hat{\nabla}^{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \Phi^{-1} \circ \hat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}} \circ \Phi : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{M}$ is a non*commutative connection.*

This defines the action of the gauge group of M on the space of non-commutative connections on M.

As a special case of the previous general situation, we consider now the right **A**-module $M = A$. Let $\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}} : A \to A$ be a non-commutative connection.

Proposition A.10 (Non-commutative connections on $M = A$). The non-commu*tative connection* $\widehat{\nabla}$ *is completely determined* $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\text{Der}}^1(\mathbf{A})$ *defined by* $\omega(\mathfrak{X}) = \widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{1}$ *. On any* $a \in M = A$ *, one has*

$$
\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}} a = \mathfrak{X} \cdot a + \omega(\mathfrak{X}) a
$$

The curvature of $\hat{\nabla}$ *is the multiplication on the left on* $M = A$ *by the noncommutative* 2*-form*

$$
\Omega(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = d\omega(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) + [\omega(\mathfrak{X}), \omega(\mathfrak{Y})]
$$

The gauge group is identified with the invertible elements $g \in \mathbf{A}$ *by* $\Phi_g(a) = ga$ *and the gauge transformations on* $\hat{\nabla}$ *take the following form on* ω *and* Ω *:*

$$
\omega \mapsto \omega^g = g^{-1} \omega g + g^{-1} \mathrm{d}g \qquad \qquad \Omega \mapsto \Omega^g = g^{-1} \Omega g
$$

where the products with g and g^{-1} take place in the space of non-commutative forms. $\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}}^0$, defined by $a \mapsto \mathfrak{X} \cdot a$, is a non-commutative connection on **A**.

The relation defining the non-commutative connection 1-form implies that as linear operators on $M = A$, one has

$$
\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathfrak{X} + \omega(\mathfrak{X})
$$

for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathbf{A})$.

A.3. **The matrix algebra.** One of the best understood derivation-based noncommutative geometries is the one on the finite dimensional algebra of complex $n \times n$ matrices $\mathbf{A} = M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_n$.

We summarize here the general properties of its derivation-based differential calculus, which is described in [\[7\]](#page-40-11), [\[9\]](#page-40-12) and [\[22\]](#page-41-15).

Proposition A.11 (General properties of the differential calculus)**.** *One has the following results:*

- $\mathcal{Z}(M_n) = \mathbb{C}$.
- $\text{Der}(M_n) = \text{Int}(M_n) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_n = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ *(traceless matrices). The explicit isomorphism associates to any* $\gamma \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ *the derivation* $ad_{\gamma}: a \mapsto [\gamma, a]$ *.*
- $\Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(M_n) = \Omega_{\text{Der}}^{\bullet}(M_n) \simeq M_n \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{sl}_n^*,$ with the differential d' coming from *the differential of the differential complex of the Lie algebra* sl*ⁿ represented on Mⁿ by the adjoint representation (commutator).*
- *There exits a canonical non-commutative* 1-form $i\theta \in \Omega_{\text{Der}}^1(M_n)$ such that *for any* $\gamma \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$
i\theta(\mathrm{ad}_{\gamma}) = \gamma - \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma)\mathbb{1}
$$

This non-commutative 1*-form iθ makes the explicit isomorphism*

$$
\mathrm{Int}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{sl}_n
$$

- *iθ satisfies the relation* $d'(i\theta) (i\theta)^2 = 0$. This makes *iθ looks very much like the Maurer-Cartan form in the geometry of Lie groups (here* $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$).
- For any $a \in M_n$, one has $d'a = [i\theta, a] \in \Omega_{\text{Der}}^1(M_n)$. This relation is no *longer true in higher degrees.*

REFERENCES

- 1. Rui Almeida and Pierre Molino, *Suites d'Atiyah et feuilletages transversalement complets*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **300** (1985), no. 1, 13–15. MR MR778785 (86i:57029)
- 2. Iakovos Androulidakis, *Connections on lie algebroids and the Weil-Kostant theorem*, Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc. **44** (2000), 51–57.
- 3. Alain Connes, *Non-commutative differential geometry*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHES, 1985.
- 4. Marius Crainic, *Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes*, Comment. math. Helv. **78** (2003), 681–721.
- 5. Marius Crainic and Rui Loja Fernandes, *Lectures on integrability of Lie brackets*, Lectures given at Summer School in Poisson Geometry, ICTP, Trieste, Summer 2005, 2006.
- 6. , *Exotic characteristic classes of Lie algebroids*, No reference available, 2009.
- 7. Michel Dubois-Violette, *Dérivations et calcul differentiel non commutatif*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I **307** (1988), 403–408.
- 8. , *Lectures on graded differential algebras and noncommutative geometry*, Noncommutative Differential Geometry and Its Applications to Physics (Y. Maeda and H. Moriyoshi, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- 9. Michel Dubois-Violette, Richard Kerner, and John Madore, *Noncommutative differential geometry of matrix algebras*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **31** (1990), 316.
- 10. Michel Dubois-Violette and Thierry Masson, *SU*(*n*)*-connections and noncommutative differential geometry*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **25** (1998), no. 1,2, 104.
- 11. Michel Dubois-Violette and Peter W. Michor, *Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **20** (1996), 218–232.
- 12. Rui Loja Fernandes, *Connections in Poisson geometry I: Holonomy and invariants*, Journal of Differential Geometry **54** (2000), no. 2, 303–365.
- 13. , *Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes*, Advances in Mathematics **170** (2002), no. 1, 119–179.
- 14. , *Invariants of Lie algebroids*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **19** (2003), no. 2, 223–243.
- 15. José M. Gracia-Bondía, Joseph C. Várilly, and Héctor Figueroa, *Elements of noncommutative geometry*, Birkhaüser Advanced Texts, Birkhaüser, 2001.
- 16. M. V. Karasëv, *Analogues of objects of the theory of Lie groups for nonlinear Poisson brackets*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **50** (1986), no. 3, 508–538, 638. MR MR854594 (87k:58301)
- 17. Masoud Khalkhali and Matilde Marcolli (eds.), *An invitation to noncommutative geometry*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2008.
- 18. Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, and Alan Weinstein, *Modular classed of Lie algebroid morphisms*, Transformation Groups **13** (2008), no. 3–4, 727–755.
- 19. Giovanni Landi, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometries*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- 20. Kirill Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, no. 213, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- 21. Charles-Michel Marle, *Differential calculus on a lie algebroid and poisson manifolds*, Unpublished, 2008.
- 22. Thierry Masson, *Géométrie non commutative et applications à la théorie des champs*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris XI, 1995, Thèse soutenue le 13 décembre 1995.
- 23. , *On the noncommutative geometry of the endomorphism algebra of a vector bundle*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **31** (1999), 142.
- 24. , *An informal introduction to the ideas and concepts of noncommutative geometry*, Integrables Systems and Quantum Fields Theories (Frédéric Hélein and Joseph Kouneiher, eds.), Physique-Mathématique, Travaux en cours, Hermann, 2008, Lecture given at the 6th Peyresq meeting 'Integrable systems and quantum field theory'.
- 25. , *Noncommutative generalization of SU*(*n*)*-principal fiber bundles: a review*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **103** (2008), no. 012003.
- 26. Alan Weinstein, *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **16** (1987), no. 1, 101–104. MR MR866024 (88c:58019)

E-mail address: serge.lazzarini@cpt-univ-mrs.fr

CENTRE DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE^{[1](#page-41-16)}, CASE POSTALE 907, CNRS-LUMINY, F-13288 MARSEILLE Cedex 9, France

E-mail address: thierry.masson@cpt-univ-mrs.fr

Centre de Physique Théorique, Case postale 907, CNRS-Luminy, F–13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France

¹Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR 6207) du CNRS et des Universités Aix-Marseille I, Aix-Marseille II et de l'Université du Sud Toulon-Var. Unité affiliée à la FRUMAM Fédération de Recherche 2291.