

# THE “GHOST” SYMMETRY OF THE BKP HIERARCHY

JIPENG CHENG<sup>†</sup>, JINGSONG HE<sup>\*</sup> <sup>‡</sup>, SEN HU<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Department of Mathematics, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China*

<sup>‡</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we systematically develop the “ghost” symmetry of the BKP hierarchy through its actions on the Lax operator  $L$ , the eigenfunctions and the  $\tau$  function. In this process, the spectral representation of the eigenfunctions and a new potential are introduced by using squared eigenfunction potential (SEP) of the BKP hierarchy. Moreover, the bilinear identity of the constrained BKP hierarchy and Adler-Shiota-van-Moerbeke formula of the BKP hierarchy are re-derived compactly by means of the spectral representation and “ghost” symmetry.

**Keywords:** BKP hierarchy, SEP, BSEP, “ghost” symmetry, symmetry reduction, ASvM formula

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Symmetry [1] plays an important role in the study of the integrable system. Many crucial properties of the integrable system, such as the Noether conserved laws, Hamiltonian structure, Darboux transformation and reduction, are closely connected with symmetries. There are several kinds of symmetry of the integrable system. For instance, in the well-known KP theory, there is an important symmetry called “ghost” symmetry [2]. By identifying the “ghost” symmetry with the  $k$ -th time flow, the constrained KP hierarchy (cKP) [3–11] can be easily defined. In this paper, we shall focus on the study of the “ghost” symmetry of the BKP hierarchy.

The “ghost” symmetry was first introduced by W.Oevel [2] in studying the solutions of the cKP hierarchy. Then it was extensively studied in [5, 12–16]. In the KP hierarchy, the “ghost” symmetry is closely related with a squared eigenfunction potential (SEP), which is associated to a pair of arbitrary eigenfunction  $\Phi(t)$  and adjoint eigenfunction  $\Psi(t)$  by means of following definition [2]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} S(\Phi(t), \Psi(t)) = \text{Res}(\partial^{-1} \Psi M_n \Phi \partial^{-1}). \quad (1)$$

Here  ${}^1M_n = L_+^n$  and  $L$  is a Lax operator of the KP hierarchy. The predecessor of SEP was in fact the Cauchy-Baker-Akhiezer kernel introduced in [17], which is an important object for a study of vector fields action on Riemann surfaces and Virasoro action on tau functions. In [15], Aratyn *et al* gave a systematic study for the SEP and the “ghost” symmetry in KP case. By using SEP as a basic

---

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. email:hejingsong@nbu.edu.cn; jshe@ustc.edu.cn.

<sup>1</sup>In this paper, we use the notations:  $(\sum_i a_i \partial^i)_+ = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i \partial^i$ ,  $(\sum_i a_i \partial^i)_- = \sum_{i < 0} a_i \partial^i$ ,  $(\sum_i a_i \partial^i)_{[k]} = a_k$ ,  $\text{Res}(\sum_i a_i \partial^i) = a_{-1}$  and  $(\sum_i a_i \partial^i)^* = \sum_i (-\partial)^i a_i$ .

building block in the definition of the KP hierarchy, they established a new way to reformulate the theory of the KP hierarchy called SEP method. The crucial fact of the SEP method is that there exists a spectral representation for any eigenfunction of the KP hierarchy with SEP as a spectral density. They also showed that the “ghost” symmetry [5, 13], which is generated by SEP, has close relation with the additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy [18–24]. In fact, SEP can be regarded as a generating function for the additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy when both eigenfunction  $\Phi(t)$  and adjoint eigenfunction  $\Psi(t)$  defining the SEP are Baker-Akhiezer (BA) functions.

In present work, we would like to consider the “ghost” symmetry for the BKP hierarchy. Here BKP hierarchy [25] is an important reduction of the ordinary KP hierarchy under the constraints on the Lax operator  $L^* = -\partial L \partial^{-1}$ . In contrast with the KP hierarchy, the SEP of the BKP hierarchy can not generate directly a symmetry flow due to the BKP constraints  $L^* = -\partial L \partial^{-1}$ . Thus, we have to find a new potential, which is used to generate the “ghost” symmetry of the BKP hierarchy and is expected to be expressed by SEP. So this new potential is called the B-type of the squared eigenfunction potential (BSEP). Fortunately, as we shall show, the BSEP was first introduced by Loris [16] in the study of symmetry reduction of the BKP hierarchy.

Similar to the case of the KP hierarchy [15], before giving the “ghost” symmetry of the BKP hierarchy, we need to study SEP first. Starting from the BKP bilinear identity, we shall show that there is also a spectral representations for the eigenfunctions of the BKP hierarchy, i.e., any eigenfunction of BKP hierarchy can be represented as a spectral integral over BA wave function with a spectral density expressed in terms of SEP. Then according to the differential Fay identity of the BKP hierarchy, we get the expression of the basic SEP (the one whose defining eigenfunctions are BA functions). Thus we can give the general expressions of SEP for the BKP hierarchy with the spectral representation. We then point out the importance of the spectral representations by showing that it can in fact provide another definition of the BKP hierarchy. In other words, we get an equivalent formulation of BKP hierarchy. We also call it SEP method for the BKP hierarchy.

Next, after BSEP is systematically studied, we define the “ghost” symmetry flows  $\partial_\alpha$  for the BKP hierarchy by means of its action on the Lax operator  $L$  and the dressing operator  $W$ . Furthermore, actions of  $\partial_\alpha$  on the eigenfunction  $\Phi$  and  $\tau$  function are given by BSEP.

At last, we consider applications for above theory. We shall first derive the bilinear identities for the cBKP hierarchy [16, 27, 28] with the SEP method. And then by letting eigenfunctions in the BSEP be BA functions, we get the relation between the “ghost” symmetry and the additional symmetry: in this case, the BSEP becomes a generating function for the additional symmetries of the BKP hierarchy. With the help of this fact, we shall give a simple and straightforward proof for the Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke formula of the BKP hierarchy [29–32].

This paper is organized in the following way. In section 2, some basic facts about the BKP hierarchy are reviewed. Then, SEP for the BKP hierarchy is studied in detail in section 3. After some interesting

properties of the BSEP studied in section 4, the “ghost” symmetry for BKP is showed in section 5. At last, we devote section 6 to two applications on the spectral representation and the “ghost” symmetry.

## 2. BKP HIERARCHY

Here, we shall review some basic facts about the BKP hierarchy [25]. The BKP hierarchy can be defined in Lax form as

$$\partial_{2n+1}L = [B_{2n+1}, L], \quad B_{2n+1} = (L^{2n+1})_+, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where the Lax operator is given by

$$L = \partial + u_1\partial^{-1} + u_2\partial^{-2} + \dots, \quad (3)$$

with coefficient functions  $u_i$  depending on the time variables  $t = (t_1 = x, t_3, t_5, \dots)$  and satisfies the BKP constraint

$$L^* = -\partial L\partial^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

It can be shown [25] that the constraint (4) is equivalent to the condition  $(B_{2n+1})_{[0]} = 0$ .

The Lax equation (2) is equivalent to the compatibility condition of the linear system<sup>2</sup>

$$L(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)) = \lambda\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \quad \partial_{2n+1}\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) = B_{2n+1}(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)), \quad (5)$$

where  $\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)$  is called BA wave function. The whole hierarchy can be expressed in terms of a dressing operator  $W$ , so that

$$L = W\partial W^{-1}, \quad W = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j\partial^{-j},$$

and the Lax equation is equivalent to the Sato’s equation

$$\partial_{2n+1}W = -(L^{2n+1})_-W, \quad (6)$$

with constraint

$$W^*\partial W = \partial. \quad (7)$$

Let the solutions of the linear system (5) be the form

$$\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) = W(e^{\xi(t, \lambda)}) = w(t, \lambda)e^{\xi(t, \lambda)}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\xi(t, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_{2i+1}\lambda^{2i+1}$  and  $w(t, \lambda) = 1 + w_1/\lambda + w_2/\lambda^2 + \dots$ . Then  $\psi_{BA}(t, z)$  is a wave function of the BKP hierarchy if and only if it satisfies the bilinear identity [25]

$$\int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) = 1, \quad \forall t, t', \quad (9)$$

where  $\int d\lambda \equiv \oint_{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} = \text{Res}_{\lambda=\infty}$  and  $t = (t_1 = x, t_3, t_5, \dots)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>For a differential operator  $A$  and a function  $f$ ,  $A(f)$  denotes the action of  $A$  on  $f$ .

In the BKP hierarchy, if  $\Phi$  (or  $\Psi$ ) satisfies

$$\partial_{2n+1}\Phi = B_{2n+1}(\Phi) \text{ (or } \partial_{2n+1}\Psi = -B_{2n+1}^*(\Psi)), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (10)$$

we shall call  $\Phi$  (or  $\Psi$ ) eigenfunction (or adjoint eigenfunction) of the BKP hierarchy. Obviously,  $\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)$  is also an eigenfunction. The relation between the eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions can be seen from the fact  $B_{2n+1}^*\partial = -\partial B_{2n+1}$ . This fact implies that any eigenfunction  $\Phi$  gives rise to an adjoint eigenfunction  $\Psi = \Phi_x$ . In particular, we have  $\psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) = -\lambda^{-1}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x$ , where  $\psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) \equiv W^{*-1}(e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)})$ . Moreover, from the bilinear identity (9), solutions of the BKP hierarchy can be characterized by a single function  $\tau(t)$  called  $\tau$ -function such that [25]

$$w(t, \lambda) = \frac{\tau(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}, \quad (11)$$

where  $[\lambda^{-1}] = (\lambda^{-1}, \frac{1}{3}\lambda^{-3}, \dots)$ . This implies that all dynamical variables  $\{u_i\}$  in the Lax operator  $L$  can be expressed by  $\tau$ -function, which is an essential character of the KP and BKP hierarchy. Moreover, another important property of  $\tau$  function of the BKP is the following Fay like identity.

**Proposition 1.** [31] (Fay identity) *The tau function of the BKP hierarchy satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(s_1, s_2, s_3)} \frac{(s_1 - s_0)(s_1 + s_2)(s_1 + s_3)}{(s_1 + s_0)(s_1 - s_2)(s_1 - s_3)} \tau(t + 2[s_2] + 2[s_3]) \tau(t + 2[s_0] + 2[s_1]) \\ & + \frac{(s_0 - s_1)(s_0 - s_2)(s_0 - s_3)}{(s_0 + s_1)(s_0 + s_2)(s_0 + s_3)} \tau(t + 2[s_0] + 2[s_1] + 2[s_2] + 2[s_3]) \tau(t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  stands for cyclic permutations of  $s_1, s_2$  and  $s_3$ .

**Proposition 2.** [31] (Differential Fay identity) *For the BKP hierarchy,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{s_2^2} - \frac{1}{s_1^2} \right) \{ \tau(t + 2[s_1]) \tau(t + 2[s_2]) - \tau(t + 2[s_1] + 2[s_2]) \tau(t) \} \\ & = \left( \frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s_1} \right) \{ \partial \tau(t + 2[s_2]) \tau(t + 2[s_1]) - \partial \tau(t + 2[s_1]) \tau(t + 2[s_2]) \} \\ & \quad + \left( \frac{1}{s_2} - \frac{1}{s_1} \right) \{ \tau(t + 2[s_1] + 2[s_2]) \partial \tau(t) - \partial \tau(t + 2[s_1] + 2[s_2]) \tau(t) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Note that these identities are indeed different from the counterpart of the KP hierarchy because of the BKP constraint (4). In the next context, we shall show it is for the same reason that the SEP of the BKP hierarchy can not generate directly the symmetry flow.

### 3. SEP FOR THE BKP HIERARCHY

As mentioned in Introduction, we hope to get a new potential- BSEP from the SEP of the BKP hierarchy. So we shall study some interesting properties of the SEP of the BKP hierarchy in this

section. For any pair of (adjoint) eigenfunctions  $\Phi(t), \Psi(t)$ , there exists a function  $S(\Phi(t), \Psi(t))$  called SEP, determined by the following equations,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2n+1}} S(\Phi(t), \Psi(t)) = \text{Res}(\partial^{-1} \Psi(L^{2n+1})_+ \Phi \partial^{-1}), n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (14)$$

In particular, for  $n = 0$ , we have,

$$\partial_x S(\Phi(t), \Psi(t)) = \Phi(t) \Psi(t). \quad (15)$$

One can see that this definition is the same as the one [2] in the KP hierarchy except even number flows are frozen. There are two properties of SEP for the BKP hierarchy.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\Phi(t)$  and  $\Psi(t)$  are BKP eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction respectively, then one has the following relation:*

$$S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) = e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} (\Phi(t) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})), \quad (16)$$

$$S(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \Psi(t)) = e^{\xi(t, \lambda)} (\Psi(t) \lambda^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})). \quad (17)$$

**Proof:** We only prove the first identity since the proof of the second one is similar. Because  $\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x = e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} (-\lambda + \mathcal{O}(1))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-x\lambda} \Phi(t) dx &= - \int \lambda^{-1} \Phi(t) d e^{-x\lambda} \\ &= -\lambda^{-1} e^{-x\lambda} \Phi(t) + \lambda^{-1} \int e^{-x\lambda} \Phi_x(t) dx = \dots = e^{-x\lambda} (-\lambda^{-1} \Phi(t) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})), \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) &= \int \Phi(t) \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x dx = \int \Phi(t) e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} (-\lambda + \mathcal{O}(1)) dx \\ &= -\lambda \int \Phi(t) e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} dx + e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}) \\ &= -\lambda e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} (-\lambda^{-1} \Phi(t) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})) + e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}) \\ &= e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} (\Phi(t) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are two eigenfunctions of the BKP hierarchy, then*

$$\Phi_1 \Phi_2 = S(\Phi_1, \Phi_{2x}) + S(\Phi_2, \Phi_{1x}). \quad (18)$$

**Proof:**<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t_{2n+1}} S(\Phi_2, \Phi_{1x}) = Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_{1x} B_{2n+1} \Phi_2 \partial^{-1}) \\
& = -Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_2 B_{2n+1}^* \Phi_{1x} \partial^{-1}) \text{ using (19)} \\
& = Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_2 \partial B_{2n+1} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1x} \partial^{-1}) \text{ using } B_{2n+1}^* = -\partial B_{2n+1} \partial^{-1} \\
& = Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_2 \partial B_{2n+1} \Phi_1 \partial^{-1}) - Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_2 \partial B_{2n+1} \partial^{-1} \Phi_1) \text{ using (20)} \\
& = Res(\Phi_2 B_{2n+1} \Phi_1 \partial^{-1}) - Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_{2x} B_{2n+1} \Phi_1 \partial^{-1}) + Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_2 B_{2n+1}^* \Phi_1) \\
& \quad \text{using (20) and } B_{2n+1}^* = -\partial B_{2n+1} \partial^{-1} \\
& = \Phi_2 Res(B_{2n+1} \Phi_1 \partial^{-1}) - Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_{2x} B_{2n+1} \Phi_1 \partial^{-1}) + Res(B_{2n+1} \Phi_2 \partial^{-1}) \Phi_1 \text{ using (19)} \\
& = \Phi_2 B_{2n+1}(\Phi_1) - Res(\partial^{-1} \Phi_{2x} B_{2n+1} \Phi_1 \partial^{-1}) + B_{2n+1}(\Phi_2) \Phi_1 \text{ using (21)} \\
& = \Phi_2(\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_1) - \partial_{t_{2n+1}} S(\Phi_1, \Phi_{2x}) + (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_2) \Phi_1 \\
& = \partial_{t_{2n+1}}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2) - \partial_{t_{2n+1}} S(\Phi_1, \Phi_{2x}) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.** (Spectral representation) If  $\Phi(t)$  is an eigenfunction of the BKP hierarchy, then

$$\Phi(t) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) S(\Phi(t'), \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda)_{x'}), \quad (22)$$

where the time  $t'$  is taken at some arbitrary fixed value. In other words,  $\Phi(t)$  owns a spectral representation in the form of

$$\Phi(t) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \varphi(\lambda) \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \quad (23)$$

with spectral densities given by SEP, that is,  $\varphi(\lambda) = S(\Phi(t'), \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda)_{x'})$ .

**Proof:** Denote the RHS of (22) by  $I(t, t')$ . Then by the BKP bilinear identity (9), one finds that  $\partial_{t'_m} I(t, t') = 0$ . Hence  $I(t, t') = f(t)$ . By considering (16), we have

$$I(t, t' = t) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} (\Phi(t) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})) = \Phi(t). \quad \square$$

**Remark 1:** Here we only give the spectral representation for eigenfunctions. As for the adjoint eigenfunctions, the spectral representation can be derived similarly by considering (9) and (17), that is,

$$\Psi(t) = \int d\lambda \psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) S(\psi_{BA}(t', \lambda), \Psi(t')). \quad (24)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Some useful formulas below are needed in the proof.

$$Res(A) = -Res(A^*) \quad (19)$$

$$a_x = \partial a - a \partial \quad (20)$$

$$Res(A \partial^{-1}) = A_{[0]} \quad (21)$$

where  $A$  is a pseudo-differential operator, and  $a$  is a function.

However, because of the relation between the eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions, we must show that our spectral representations for BKP hierarchy are compatible.

In fact, any adjoint eigenfunction  $\Psi$  for BKP can be written as the derivative of an eigenfunction  $\Phi$ , that is,  $\Psi = \Phi_x$ . So with the help of (22), (9), (18) and  $\psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) = -\lambda^{-1}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_x(t) &= \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x S(\Phi(t'), \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda)_{x'}) \\
&= \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) \Phi(t') - \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x S(\psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda), \Phi(t')_{x'}) \\
&= - \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x S(\psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda), \Phi(t')_{x'}) \\
&= - \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x S(\psi_{BA}(t', \lambda), \Phi(t')_{x'}) \text{ letting } \lambda \rightarrow -\lambda \\
&= \int d\lambda \psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) S(\psi_{BA}(t', \lambda), \Phi(t')_{x'}) \\
&= \int d\lambda \psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) S(\psi_{BA}(t', \lambda), \Psi(t')) = \Psi(t).
\end{aligned}$$

So our representation is consistent with  $\Psi = \Phi_x$ , which shows it is necessary to only study the spectral representation of the eigenfunctions for the BKP hierarchy.

**Remark 2:** Since  $\psi_{BA}^*(t, \lambda) = -\lambda^{-1}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x$ , so we can rewrite (22) as

$$\Phi(t) = - \int d\lambda \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) S(\Phi(t'), \psi_{BA}^*(t', \lambda)). \quad (25)$$

Our results (24) and (25) can be regarded as a natural reduction from corresponding ones [15] of the KP hierarchy by considering BKP constraints  $L^* = -\partial L \partial^{-1}$  and  $\Psi = \Phi_x$ .

**Remark 3:** In particular,

$$\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) S(\psi_{BA}(t', \mu), \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda)_{x'}) \quad (26)$$

is given from (22) by setting  $\Phi(t) = \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)$ .

Now we shall use the above obtained spectral representation to get general expressions of SEP. Before this we will use the differential Fay identity (13) to get  $S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x)$ , which is a basic and useful SEP of the BKP hierarchy. According to the proposition 2, set  $s_1 = \lambda^{-1}$  and  $s_2 = -\mu^{-1}$ , we can find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial_x \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t) - \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \tau(t)}{\tau^2(t)} \\
&= \frac{(-\mu + \lambda) (\partial_x \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]))}{(-\mu - \lambda) \tau^2(t)} \\
&= \frac{(-\mu + \lambda) (\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t))}{\tau^2(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account of the following identity,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial_x \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau^2(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left( \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \right) \\ &+ (\mu - \lambda) \left( \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau^2(t)} - \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Furthermore, in order to get  $S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x)$ , by using (8) and (11), we first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x (\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)) \\ &= \partial_x \left( \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu - \lambda} e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu) e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda - \mu} e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$e^{\xi(-2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)} = e^{2\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^5 + \dots\right)} = e^{\ln(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\mu}) - \ln(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu})} = \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\mu - \lambda} \quad (28)$$

is used in the first equality above. Taking (27) into the last term of above formula, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x (\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu) e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \\ &- \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda - \mu} e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} \left( \frac{\mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \left\{ \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \right\} \right. \\ &+ \left. (\mu - \lambda) \left( \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau^2(t)} - \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \right) \\ &= e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} \left( \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) - \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \partial_x \left( \frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. (\mu + \lambda) \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}]) \tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau^2(t)} \right) \\ &= \partial_x \psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \partial_x \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) \\ &= \partial_x (\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) - 2\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \partial_x \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Note the first term cancels the fourth term of the first equality above. So we have,

$$\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \{ (\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)) \psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \},$$



which implies

$$S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda))\psi_{BA}(t, \mu). \quad (29)$$

Next, we shall give the expression of another basic SEP-  $S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x)$ . According to the spectral representation of  $\Phi(t)$  in (23), then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) &= S\left(\int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x\right) \\ &= \int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) \\ &= \int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)\psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)\right) \text{using (29)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)\Phi(t) - \frac{1}{2}\int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)\psi_{BA}(t, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we only need to compute the underlied part above. To this end, with the help of  $e^{\xi(-2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)} = \frac{\mu+\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}$  in (28), we first calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \\ &= (\lambda + \mu)\frac{1}{\mu}\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}}e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)}\frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \\ &= (\lambda + \mu)\left[\delta(\lambda, \mu) - \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}}\right]e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)}\frac{\tau(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \\ &= -\psi_{BA}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) + (\lambda + \mu)\delta(\lambda, \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Here, the delta-function is defined as

$$\delta(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^n = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{\mu}} \quad (31)$$

and the following property of delta-function is used: given a function  $f(z) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i z^i$ ,

$$f(z)\delta(\lambda, z) = f(\lambda)\delta(\lambda, z)$$

as is seen from  $z^i \sum_n (z/\lambda)^n = \lambda^i \sum_n (z/\lambda)^{n+i}$ . Thus taking (30) back into the underlied part above, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda)\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \\ &= -\int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)\psi_{BA}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) + \int d\mu\mu^{-1}\varphi(\mu)(\lambda + \mu)\delta(\lambda, \mu) \\ &= -\Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) + \text{the term independent of } t. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) = \frac{1}{2}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)(\Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) + \Phi(t)), \quad (32)$$

since the definition of SEP up to the term independent of t.

Similarly, we can get the expressions of  $S(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \Phi_x(t))$  and  $S(\Phi_1(t), \Phi_{2x}(t))$  by considering  $\Phi_x(t) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \varphi(\lambda) \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x$ , see appendix A and B. Thus we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.** *If  $\Phi(t), \Phi_1(t), \Phi_2(t)$  are eigenfunctions of the BKP hierarchy, then*

$$S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda))\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \quad (33)$$

$$S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) = \frac{1}{2}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)(\Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) + \Phi(t)), \quad (34)$$

$$S(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \Phi_x(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)(\Phi(t) - \Phi(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}])), \quad (35)$$

$$S(\Phi_1(t), \Phi_{2x}(t)) = \int \int d\lambda d\mu \lambda^{-1} \mu^{-1} \varphi_1(\mu) \varphi_2(\lambda) S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x). \quad (36)$$

**Remark 4:** Note that (35) is also derived by Loirs [16] by a different method.

**Remark 5:** According to proposition 5 and corollary 6, we have

$$\Phi(t) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Phi(t' + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi(t') \right]. \quad (37)$$

In fact, the inverse of proposition 5 is also correct and it provides another formulation of the BKP hierarchy, that is,

**Proposition 7.** *Given a function  $\psi(t, \lambda)$  which has the form  $\psi(t, \lambda) = e^{\xi(t, \lambda)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_j(t) \lambda^{-j}$  with  $\omega_0 = 1$  and  $\xi(t, \lambda)$  as in (8), where multi-time  $t = (t_1 = x, t_3, \dots)$  and  $\lambda$  is the spectral parameter, let us assume that  $\psi(t, \lambda)$  has the following spectral representation:*

$$\psi(t, \mu) = \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi(t, \lambda) S(t'; \lambda, \mu), \quad (38)$$

for two arbitrary multi-times  $t$  and  $t'$ , where the function  $S(t; \lambda, \mu)$  is defined such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} S(t; \lambda, \mu) = \psi(t, \mu) \psi(t, -\lambda)_x$ . Then, (38) is equivalent to the Hirota bilinear identity (9), so in this way  $\psi(t, \lambda)$  becomes BA functions of the associated BKP hierarchy.

**Proof:** The proof for one side of the equivalence that Hirota bilinear identity (9) imply the spectral representation (38), is contained in the proof of (26). So we only need to show that (38) implies (9). To the end, by differentiating both side of (38) w.r.t.  $t'_1$ , then,

$$0 = \partial \psi(t, \lambda) / \partial t'_1 = \psi(t', \mu) \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi(t, \lambda) \psi(t', -\lambda)_x.$$

So

$$\int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi(t, \lambda) \psi(t', -\lambda) \equiv C.$$

By letting  $t' = t$ , and considering  $\psi(t, \lambda) \psi(t, -\lambda) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ , we have  $C = 1$ . Thus  $\psi(t, \lambda)$  satisfies  $\int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi(t, \lambda) \psi(t', -\lambda) = 1$ , i.e., the Hirota bilinear equations of the BKP hierarchy.  $\square$

By now, we have established the SEP method for the BKP hierarchy, which provides another formulation of the BKP hierarchy.

#### 4. BSEP

Based on the useful properties of the SEP given the last section, we are now in a position to discuss a new potential  $\Omega$ -BSEP [16], which will be used to generate the “ghost” flow of the BKP hierarchy in the next section. We first provide three expressions of  $\Omega$  for different eigenfunctions, and then give their identities.

BSEP is also defined as a function of a pair of BKP eigenfunctions  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ :

$$\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = S(\Phi_2, \Phi_{1x}) - S(\Phi_1, \Phi_{2x}). \quad (39)$$

The definition of BSEP can be up to a constant of integration. It is obvious that  $\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = -\Omega(\Phi_2, \Phi_1)$  and that  $\Omega(\Phi, 1) = \Phi$  (since 1 is an eigenfunction). So according to (29) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) &= -\psi_{BA}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu)\delta(\lambda, \mu) \\ &= \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \mu)\delta(\lambda, \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

**Remark 6:** As the definition of BSEP can be up to the term independent of  $t$ , we can omit the terms independent of  $t$  in (40). That is,

$$\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) = -\psi_{BA}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda). \quad (41)$$

We would like to mention there is another expression for  $\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda))$ , i.e.,

$$\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) = -\frac{\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)}. \quad (42)$$

Here the vertex operator [25] is defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu) &\equiv : e^{\theta(\lambda)} :: e^{-\theta(\mu)} := e^{\xi(t+2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu) - \xi(t, \lambda)} e^{\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{2}{2l-1} (\lambda^{-(2l-1)} - \mu^{-(2l-1)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2l-1}}} \\ &= -e^{\xi(t, \mu) - \xi(t-2[\mu^{-1}], \lambda)} e^{\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{2}{2l-1} (\lambda^{-(2l-1)} - \mu^{-(2l-1)}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2l-1}}} + (\lambda + \mu)\delta(\lambda, \mu) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where

$$\theta(\lambda) \equiv -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{2l-1} t_{2l-1} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2l-1} \lambda^{-(2l-1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2l-1}} \quad (44)$$

the columns  $: \cdots :$  indicate Wick Normal ordering w.r.t the creation/annihilation “modes”  $t_l$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}$ , respectively. Thus according to the definition of the Vertex operator (43) and the wave function (8) and (11), we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)} &= \psi_{BA}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) \\ &= -\psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], -\lambda) + (\lambda + \mu)\delta(\lambda, \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

So (42) is true.

As for  $\Omega(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda))$ , according to the definition of  $\Omega$ , two identities (34) and (35), then  $\Omega(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda))$  can be expressed by the form of

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) &= S(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda), \Phi_x(t)) - S(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(t) - \Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]))\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)[\Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) + \Phi(t)] \\
&= -\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)\Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Note that (46) implies (41) in Remark 6 as we expected.

**Remark 7:** In fact, with the help of spectral representation (23) for the BKP hierarchy and the expression for  $\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda))$  (41),  $\Omega(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda))$  is derived alternatively as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega(\Phi(t), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) \\
&= \int d\mu \mu^{-1} \varphi(\mu) \Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) \\
&= - \int d\mu \mu^{-1} \varphi(\mu) \psi_{BA}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu) \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) \\
&= -\Phi(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

We further show a more general  $\Omega$  of eigenfunctions  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$ ,

$$\Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \int \int d\lambda d\mu \lambda^{-1} \mu^{-1} \varphi_1(\mu) \varphi_2(\lambda) \Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)). \tag{47}$$

Next, we would like to show three identities on  $\Omega$  of the BKP hierarchy.

**Lemma 8.** *For the BKP hierarchy,*

$$\Delta_z(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], \lambda)) = \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \mu) - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \lambda) \tag{48}$$

where  $\Delta_z = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2i-1} z^{-(2i-1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2i-1}}} - 1$  is a shift-difference operator.

**Proof:** First of all, we move all terms in right side hand of (48) to the left, take  $\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)$  in (8) and (11) into it, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(48) \text{ holds } &\Leftrightarrow \psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}] - 2[z^{-1}], \lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[\mu^{-1}], \lambda) \\
&+ \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \lambda) - \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \mu) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{(z - \mu)(z - \lambda)(\mu - \lambda)}{(z + \mu)(z + \lambda)(\mu + \lambda)} \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}] - 2[z^{-1}] - 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t - 2[z^{-1}])} \\
&- \frac{\mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda} \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}] - 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} + \frac{z - \lambda}{z + \lambda} \frac{\tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \frac{\tau(t - 2[z^{-1}] - 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t - 2[z^{-1}])} \\
&- \frac{z - \mu}{z + \mu} \frac{\tau(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \frac{\tau(t - 2[z^{-1}] - 2[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(t - 2[z^{-1}])} = 0 \text{ using (28), removing } e^{\xi(t, \lambda) + \xi(t, \mu)} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \frac{(z - \mu)(z - \lambda)(\mu - \lambda)}{(z + \mu)(z + \lambda)(\mu + \lambda)} \tau(t - 2[\mu^{-1}] - 2[z^{-1}] - 2[\lambda^{-1}]) \tau(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\mu+\lambda}\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}])+\frac{z-\lambda}{z+\lambda}\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\
& -\frac{z-\mu}{z+\mu}\tau(t-2[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]-2[\mu^{-1}])=0 \text{ multiplying } \tau(t)\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \frac{(\mu-z)(\mu-\lambda)}{(\mu+z)(\mu+\lambda)}\tau(t)\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}]-2[z^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\
& +\frac{(z+\lambda)(z-\mu)}{(z-\lambda)(z+\mu)}\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]-2[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\
& +\frac{(\lambda-\mu)(\lambda+z)}{(\lambda+\mu)(\lambda-z)}\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]) \\
& -\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}])=0. \text{ multiplying } \frac{\lambda+z}{\lambda-z}
\end{aligned}$$

For convenience, denote the left hand side of above equality by  $C$ . Secondly, we shall prove indeed  $C = 0$  from the Fay identity(12) of the BKP hierarchy, thus (48) is proved. To this end, by letting  $s_0 = 0$  in Fay identity(12), then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(s_1+s_2)(s_1+s_3)}{(s_1-s_2)(s_1-s_3)}\tau(t+2[s_2]+2[s_3])\tau(t+2[s_1]) \\
& +\frac{(s_2+s_3)(s_2+s_1)}{(s_2-s_3)(s_2-s_1)}\tau(t+2[s_3]+2[s_1])\tau(t+2[s_2]) \\
& +\frac{(s_3+s_1)(s_3+s_2)}{(s_3-s_1)(s_3-s_2)}\tau(t+2[s_1]+2[s_2])\tau(t+2[s_3]) \\
& -\tau(t+2[s_1]+2[s_2]+2[s_3])\tau(t)=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, after shifting  $t \mapsto t - 2[s_2] - 2[s_3]$  and letting  $[s_1] \mapsto -[s_1]$  in above equation, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(s_1-s_2)(s_1-s_3)}{(s_1+s_2)(s_1+s_3)}\tau(t)\tau(t-2[s_1]-2[s_2]-2[s_3]) \\
& +\frac{(s_2+s_3)(s_2-s_1)}{(s_2-s_3)(s_2+s_1)}\tau(t-2[s_2]-2[s_1])\tau(t-2[s_3]) \\
& +\frac{(s_3-s_1)(s_3+s_2)}{(s_3+s_1)(s_3-s_2)}\tau(t-2[s_1]-2[s_3])\tau(t-2[s_2]) \\
& -\tau(t-2[s_1])\tau(t-2[s_2]-2[s_3])=0.
\end{aligned}$$

At last, setting  $s_1 = \mu^{-1}$ ,  $s_2 = z^{-1}$ ,  $s_3 = \lambda^{-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\mu-z)(\mu-\lambda)}{(\mu+z)(\mu+\lambda)}\tau(t)\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}]-2[z^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\
& +\frac{(z+\lambda)(z-\mu)}{(z-\lambda)(z+\mu)}\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]-2[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\
& +\frac{(\lambda-\mu)(\lambda+z)}{(\lambda+\mu)(\lambda-z)}\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]) \\
& -\tau(t-2[\mu^{-1}])\tau(t-2[z^{-1}]-2[\lambda^{-1}])=0,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $C = 0$ , as we claimed before. This is the end of the proof.  $\square$

After the preparation above, now we can give two important identities of the BSEP below.

**Proposition 9.** *Under shift of the times  $t$  of the BKP hierarchy, BSEP obeys*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega(\Phi_1(t - 2[z^{-1}]), \Phi_2(t - 2[z^{-1}])) - \Omega(\Phi_1(t), \Phi_2(t)) \\ &= \Phi_1(t - 2[z^{-1}])\Phi_2(t) - \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t - 2[z^{-1}]), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega(\Phi_1(t + 2[z^{-1}]), \Phi_2(t + 2[z^{-1}])) - \Omega(\Phi_1(t), \Phi_2(t)) \\ &= \Phi_1(t + 2[z^{-1}])\Phi_2(t) - \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t + 2[z^{-1}]). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

**Proof:** By a straightforward calculation, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_z \Omega(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= \int \int d\lambda d\mu \lambda^{-1} \mu^{-1} \varphi_1(\mu) \varphi_2(\lambda) \Delta_z \Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)) \quad \text{using (47)} \\ &= \int \int d\lambda d\mu \lambda^{-1} \mu^{-1} \varphi_1(\mu) \varphi_2(\lambda) (\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \mu) \\ &\quad - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu) \psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \lambda)), \quad \text{using (41) and (48)} \\ &= \Phi_1(t - 2[z^{-1}])\Phi_2(t) - \Phi_1(t)\Phi_2(t - 2[z^{-1}]). \quad \text{using (23)} \end{aligned}$$

So (49) is proved. By shift  $t \mapsto t + 2[z^{-1}]$ , (50) is derived from (49).  $\square$

**Remark 8:** In fact, these identities above have been given in Loris' paper [16], but here we give another proof and our proof is much easier.

## 5. "GHOST" SYMMETRY

After the preparation above, now we can define the "ghost" symmetry flows generated by the BSEP through its action on the Lax operator. We shall further show its actions on the dressing operator, eigenfunction  $\Phi(t)$  and  $\tau$  function.

Given a set of eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{1a}, \Phi_{2a}, a \in \{\alpha\}$ , the "ghost" symmetry of the BKP hierarchy is defined in the following way

$$\partial_\alpha L \equiv \left[ \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x}), L \right]; \partial_\alpha W \equiv \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x}) W \quad (51)$$

Next, we need to show that, the definition above is consistent with the BKP constraint (4) and  $\partial_\alpha$  commutes with  $\partial_{t_{2n+1}}$ . In other words,  $\partial_\alpha$  is indeed a kind of symmetry flow of the BKP hierarchy. For simplicity in the next context, we introduce an operator  $A = \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x})$ .

**Proposition 10.**  $\partial_\alpha$  is consistent with the BKP constraint (4), i.e.  $(\partial_\alpha L^*) \partial + \partial(\partial_\alpha L) = 0$ .

**Proof:** According to the definition of  $A$ , and using a identity  $\partial^{-1} f = f \partial^{-1} - \partial^{-1} f_x \partial^{-1}$ , we have

$$A^* \partial + \partial A = \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a,x} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a} \partial - \Phi_{1a,x} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a} \partial + \partial \Phi_{2a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \partial \Phi_{1a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a,x} \Phi_{1a} - \Phi_{2a,x} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a,x} \Phi_{2a} + \Phi_{1a,x} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x} \\
&\quad + \Phi_{2a} \Phi_{1a,x} + \Phi_{2a,x} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a} \Phi_{2a,x} - \Phi_{1a,x} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x}) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using the definition of  $\partial_\alpha$ , a simple computation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_\alpha L^*) \partial + \partial(\partial_\alpha L) &= [A, L]^* \partial + \partial[A, L] = -[A^*, L^*] \partial + \partial[A, L] \\
&= -\partial L \partial^{-1} A^* \partial + A^* \partial L \partial^{-1} \partial + \partial[A, L] \\
&= -\partial(L \partial^{-1} A^* \partial - \partial^{-1} A^* \partial L) + \partial[A, L] \\
&= \partial[\partial^{-1} A^* \partial, L] + \partial[A, L] = \partial[\partial^{-1} A^* \partial + A, L] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because of the above identity on  $A$ . This means  $\partial_\alpha L^*$  is consistent with BKP constraint (4).  $\square$

**Proposition 11.**  $\partial_\alpha$  commutes with  $\partial_{t_{2n+1}}$ .

**Proof:** We first claim the following equations

$$\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1} - \partial_{t_{2n+1}} A = [A, B_{2n+1}] \quad (52)$$

hold for  $A$  and  $\partial_\alpha$ , which will be proved latter. With the help of above equation, a simple calculation infers

$$\begin{aligned}
[\partial_{t_{2n+1}}, \partial_\alpha] L &= \partial_{t_{2n+1}}([A, L]) - \partial_\alpha([B_{2n+1}, L]) \\
&= [\partial_{t_{2n+1}} A, L] + [A, [B_{2n+1}, L]] - [\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1}, L] - [B_{2n+1}, [A, L]] \\
&= [\partial_{t_{2n+1}} A - \partial_\alpha B_{2n+1} + [A, B_{2n+1}], L] \text{ using Jacobi identity} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows  $\partial_\alpha$  commutes with  $\partial_{t_{2n+1}}$ . Therefore, the remaining part of the proof is to show our claimed statement (52). First of all, the definition of the ‘‘ghost’’ flows  $\partial_\alpha L = [A, L]$  implies obviously  $\partial_\alpha L^{2n+1} = [A, L^{2n+1}]$ . Thus, we have

$$\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1} = ([A, L^{2n+1}])_+ = ([A, B_{2n+1}])_+ \quad (53)$$

Secondly, the derivative of  $A$  with respect to  $t_{2n+1}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_{2n+1}} A &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} ((\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{2a}) \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{1a}) \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a} \partial^{-1} (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{1a,x}) - \Phi_{1a} \partial^{-1} (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{2a,x})).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking (10) into it, then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{t_{2n+1}}A &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (B_{2n+1}(\Phi_{2a})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} - B_{2n+1}(\Phi_{1a})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}B_{2n+1}^*(\Phi_{1a,x}) - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}B_{2n+1}^*(\Phi_{2a,x})).\end{aligned}$$

Note  $\Phi_{1a,x}$  and  $\Phi_{2a,x}$  are two adjoint eigenfunctions. Furthermore<sup>4 5</sup>,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{t_{2n+1}}A &= \left( B_{2n+1} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}) \right)_- \\ &\quad - \left( \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}) B_{2n+1} \right)_- \\ &= (B_{2n+1}A)_- - (AB_{2n+1})_-\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\partial_{t_{2n+1}}A = -([A, B_{2n+1}])_-, \quad (54)$$

At last, according to (53)–(54), (52) is obtained.  $\square$

Next, let's see the action of the above “ghost” flows on the eigenfunctions  $\Phi$ :

**Proposition 12.** *The “ghost” symmetry is the compatible condition of the linear problems*

$$\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi = B_{2n+1}(\Phi), \quad (55)$$

$$\partial_\alpha\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}\Omega(\Phi_{1a}, \Phi) - \Phi_{1a}\Omega(\Phi_{2a}, \Phi)). \quad (56)$$

**Proof:** The main idea of the proof is to use (52), which is equivalent to ghost symmetry flow (51), to get the commutativity of the flows  $\partial_\alpha\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi = \partial_{t_{2n+1}}\partial_\alpha\Phi$ . So, according to (18), we can rewrite (56) into

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\alpha\Phi &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Phi_{2a}(S(\Phi, \Phi_{1ax}) - S(\Phi_{1a}, \Phi_x)) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}S(\Phi, \Phi_{1ax}) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{1a}\Phi_{2a}\Phi) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}S(\Phi, \Phi_{1ax}) - \Phi_{1a}S(\Phi, \Phi_{2ax})),\end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{t_{2n+1}}(\partial_\alpha\Phi) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \{(\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi_{2a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{1ax}) - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi_{1a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{2ax})\}\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Here the relation  $(F_+\partial^{-1})_- = F_{[0]}\partial^{-1}$  ( $F$  is a pseudo-differential operator) is used.

<sup>5</sup>with the relation  $(\partial^{-1}F_+)_- = \partial^{-1}(F^*)_{[0]}$  ( $F$  is a pseudo-differential operator)



$$\begin{aligned}
& +\Phi_{2a}Res(\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1ax}B_{2n+1}\Phi\partial^{-1}) - \Phi_{1a}Res(\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2ax}B_{2n+1}\Phi\partial^{-1})\} \\
= & \sum_{a\in\{\alpha\}} \{(\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi_{2a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{1ax}) - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi_{1a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{2ax})\} + Res(AB_{2n+1}\Phi\partial^{-1}). \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

By a tedious but straightforward calculation, we have(see appendix C)

$$Res(AB_{2n+1}\Phi\partial^{-1}) = \partial_\alpha(\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi) - \sum_{a\in\{\alpha\}} ((\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi_{2a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi_{1a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{2a,x})). \quad (59)$$

Thus by substituting (59) into (58),we get

$$\partial_\alpha(\partial_{t_{2n+1}}\Phi) = \partial_{t_{2n+1}}(\partial_\alpha\Phi). \quad \square$$

We now consider the commutativity of two “ghost” symmetries generated by different pairs of eigenfunctions  $\{\Phi_{1a}, \Phi_{2a}\}_{a\in\{\alpha\}}$  and  $\{\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{2b}\}_{b\in\{\beta\}}$ , and their corresponding flows are  $\partial_\alpha L = [A, L]$  and  $\partial_\beta L = [A', L]$ . Here  $A = \sum_{a\in\{\alpha\}} (\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x})$  as before,  $A' = \sum_{b\in\{\beta\}} \Phi_{2b}(\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - \Phi_{1b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x})$ .

**Proposition 13.** *If two “ghost” symmetry flows  $\partial_\alpha$  and  $\partial_\beta$  are generated by  $A$  and  $A'$  above, then  $[\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta] = 0$ .*

**Proof:** By using the relation

$$f_1\partial^{-1}g_1f_2\partial^{-1}g_2 = f_1S(f_2, g_1)\partial^{-1}g_2 - f_1\partial^{-1}S(f_2, g_1)g_2, \quad (60)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
AA' &= \sum_{a,b} (\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x})(\Phi_{2b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - \Phi_{1b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x}) \\
&= \sum_{a,b} \left( \Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x}\Phi_{2b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - \Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x}\Phi_{1b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}\Phi_{2b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} + \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}\Phi_{1b}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x} \right) \\
&= \sum_{a,b} \left( \Phi_{2a}S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{1a,x})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - \Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{1a,x})\Phi_{1b,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Phi_{2a}S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{1a,x})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x} + \Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{1a,x})\Phi_{2b,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \Phi_{1a}S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{2a,x})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} + \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{2a,x})\Phi_{1b,x} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Phi_{1a}S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{2a,x})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x} - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{2a,x})\Phi_{2b,x} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms in  $AA'$  according to  $\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x}, \partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x}, \Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}$  and  $\Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}$  in order, then using (57), we have

$$AA' = \sum_{a,b} \left( \Phi_{2a}S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{1a,x}) - \Phi_{1a}S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{2a,x}) \right) \partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\Phi_{2a}S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{1a,x}) - \Phi_{1a}S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{2a,x}))\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x} \\
& +\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}(S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{1a,x})\Phi_{2b,x} - S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{1a,x})\Phi_{1b,x}) \\
& +\Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}(S(\Phi_{2b}, \Phi_{2a,x})\Phi_{1b,x} - S(\Phi_{1b}, \Phi_{2a,x})\Phi_{2b,x}) \\
= & \sum_b \left( (\partial_\alpha \Phi_{2b})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - (\partial_\alpha \Phi_{1b})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x} \right) \\
& + \sum_a \left( -\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\beta \Phi_{1a,x}) + \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\beta \Phi_{2a,x}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& [A, A'] \\
= & \sum_b \{(\partial_\alpha \Phi_{2b})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - (\partial_\alpha \Phi_{1b})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x}\} + \sum_a \{-\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\beta \Phi_{1a,x}) + \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\beta \Phi_{2a,x})\} \\
& + \sum_a \{-\partial_\beta \Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} + (\partial_\beta \Phi_{1a})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}\} + \sum_b \{\Phi_{2b}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\alpha \Phi_{1b,x}) - \Phi_{1b}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\alpha \Phi_{2b,x})\} \\
= & \sum_a \{-\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\beta \Phi_{1a,x}) + \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\beta \Phi_{2a,x}) - (\partial_\beta \Phi_{2a})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} + (\partial_\beta \Phi_{1a})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x}\} \\
& + \sum_b \{\Phi_{2b}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\alpha \Phi_{1b,x}) - \Phi_{1b}\partial^{-1}(\partial_\alpha \Phi_{2b,x}) + (\partial_\alpha \Phi_{2b})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1b,x} - (\partial_\alpha \Phi_{1b})\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2b,x}\} \\
= & -\partial_\beta A + \partial_\alpha A'.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta]L & = \partial_\alpha[A', L] - \partial_\beta[A, L] \\
& = [\partial_\alpha A' - \partial_\beta A, L] + [A', [A, L]] - [A, [A', L]] \\
& = [\partial_\alpha A' - \partial_\beta A + [A', A], L] = 0. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

At last, let us see the action of “ghost” flow on the  $\tau$  function.

**Proposition 14.**

$$\partial_\alpha \tau(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \Phi_{1a}(t))\tau(t). \quad (61)$$

**Proof:** Since  $\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)$  is also an eigenfunction, so (56) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\alpha \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [\Phi_{2a}(t)\Omega(\Phi_{1a}(t), \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)) - \Phi_{1a}(t)\Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda))] \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [-\Phi_{2a}(t)\Phi_{1a}(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}]) + \Phi_{1a}(t)\Phi_{2a}(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}])]\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \text{ using (46)} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Delta_\lambda \Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \Phi_{1a}(t))\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda). \text{ using (49)}
\end{aligned}$$

So we have  $\partial_\alpha \tau(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \Phi_{1a}(t)) \tau(t)$  using the expression of  $\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)$  in (8) and (11).  $\square$

**Remark 9:** So starting from the “ghost” symmetry, we find that  $C\tau + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \Phi_{1a}(t)) \tau(t)$  is a new  $\tau$  function of the BKP hierarchy. This transformation is also given by Loris [16] started from bilinear identity.

**Remark 10:** The symmetry reduction of BKP hierarchy, which is now called constrained BKP (cBKP) hierarchy [16], is just to identify  $\partial_\alpha$  with  $-\partial_{t_{2n+1}}$ , i.e.

$$(L^{2n+1})_- = \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Phi_{2a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a} \partial^{-1} \Phi_{2a,x}, \quad (62)$$

or

$$\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \tau(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \Phi_{1a}(t)) \tau(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \Omega(\Phi_{1a}(t), \Phi_{2a}(t)) \tau(t). \quad (63)$$

Note if set  $(L^{2n+1})_- = A$  as (62), then  $\partial_{t_{2n+1}} L = [B_{2n+1}, L] = [-L_-^{2n+1}, L] = -\partial_\alpha L$ . So  $\partial_\alpha = -\partial_{t_{2n+1}}$ .

To conclude this section, we would like to stress that the “ghost” symmetry (51) of the BKP hierarchy is indeed different from the counterpart in the KP hierarchy. This difference is due to the BKP constraint (4). Moreover, the BSEP provides a convenient tool to show it.

## 6. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we shall show two applications for previous results.

Firstly, let's derive a bilinear identity for the cBKP hierarchy (62) through the spectral representation of the BKP hierarchy. Since

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda^{2n+1} \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) &= L^{2n+1}(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) = (L^{2n+1})_+(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) + (L^{2n+1})_-(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) \\ &= \partial_{t_{2n+1}} \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) + \partial_\alpha \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) \\ &= \partial_{t_{2n+1}} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [\Phi_{2a}(t) \Omega(\Phi_{1a}(t), \Psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)) \\ &\quad - \Phi_{1a}(t) \Omega(\Phi_{2a}(t), \Psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda))] \text{ using (56)} \\ &= \partial_{t_{2n+1}} \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [-\Phi_{2a}(t) \Phi_{1a}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\ &\quad + \Phi_{1a}(t) \Phi_{2a}(t + 2[\lambda^{-1}])] \Psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda). \text{ using (46)} \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

So according to (64) and the bilinear identity of the BKP hierarchy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\lambda \lambda^{2n} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \frac{1}{2} \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} [\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) \Phi_{2a}(t') \Phi_{1a}(t' + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) \\ &\quad - \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) \Phi_{1a}(t') \Phi_{2a}(t' + 2[\lambda^{-1}])] \\ &= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [\Phi_{2a}(t') \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) [\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1a}(t' + 2[\lambda^{-1}]) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1a}(t')] - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [\Phi_{2a}(t')\Phi_{1a}(t) - \Phi_{1a}(t')\Phi_{2a}(t)]. \quad \text{using (37)}$$

Thus we get,

**Proposition 15.** *For the constrained BKP hierarchies (62), the bilinear identity can be written as*

$$\int d\lambda \lambda^{2n} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \psi_{BA}(t', -\lambda) = \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} [\Phi_{2a}(t')\Phi_{1a}(t) - \Phi_{1a}(t')\Phi_{2a}(t)]. \quad (65)$$

**Remark 11:** The bilinear identity of the cBKP is the same with Loris' paper [16].

Next, we will study the relation between the “ghost” symmetry and the additional symmetry. By using the “ghost” symmetry of the BKP hierarchy, we shall give a simple proof of the Adler-Shiota-van-Moerbeke formula [29–31] of the BKP which provides the connection between the form of additional symmetries of the BKP hierarchy acting on BA functions and Sato Backlund symmetry acting on the tau-functions of the BKP hierarchy. To this end, let  $Y(\lambda, \mu) \equiv \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)\partial^{-1}\psi_{BA}(t, \mu)_x - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\partial^{-1}\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)_x$  be pseudodifferential operator inducing a special “ghost” symmetry flow  $\partial_{(\lambda, \mu)}W \equiv Y(\lambda, \mu)W$  according to (51). In this case, the “ghost” symmetry flow is generated by an infinite combination of additional symmetries [31, 32]. Then,  $\partial_{(\lambda, \mu)}W \equiv Y(\lambda, \mu)W$  infers its actions on wave function

$$\partial_{(\lambda, \mu)}(\psi_{BA}(t, z)) = Y(\lambda, \mu)(\psi_{BA}(t, z)).$$

Taking (56) into it, we have

$$Y(\lambda, \mu)(\psi_{BA}(t, z)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, z)) - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda), \psi_{BA}(t, z)) \right). \quad (66)$$

Further, according to (8) and (11), the action of the vertex operator  $\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)$  on the BA function  $\psi_{BA}(t, z)$  is as follows

$$\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, z) = \psi_{BA}(t, z)\Delta_z \frac{\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)}. \quad (67)$$

Now, the above results allow us to establish the connection between  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $Y$ .

**Proposition 16.**

$$\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, z) = 2Y(\lambda, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, z). \quad (68)$$

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t, z) &= \psi_{BA}(t, z)\Delta_z \frac{\mathcal{X}(\lambda, \mu)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)} \\ &= -\psi_{BA}(t, z)\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], \mu) + \psi_{BA}(t, z)\psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\psi_{BA}(t - 2[z^{-1}], -\lambda) \\ &\quad \text{using (45) and (48)} \\ &= \psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda)\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, z)) - \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)\Omega(\psi_{BA}(t, -\lambda), \psi_{BA}(t, z)) \end{aligned}$$

using (41)

$$= 2Y(\lambda, \mu)(\psi_{BA}(t, z)). \text{ using (66)} \quad \square$$

#### APPENDIX A. PROOF OF (35)

$$\begin{aligned} S(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \Phi_x(t)) &= S(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \int d\mu \mu^{-1} \varphi(\mu) \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)_x) \text{ using (23)} \\ &= \int d\mu \mu^{-1} \varphi(\mu) S(\psi_{BA}(t, \lambda), \psi_{BA}(t, \mu)_x) \\ &= \int d\mu \mu^{-1} \varphi(\mu) \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{BA}(t, \mu) - \psi_{BA}(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}], \mu)) \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) \text{ using (33)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda) (\Phi(t) - \Phi(t - 2[\lambda^{-1}])). \text{ using (23)} \end{aligned}$$

#### APPENDIX B. PROOF OF (36)

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Phi_1(t), \Phi_{2x}(t)) &= S\left(\int d\mu \mu^{-1} \varphi_1(\mu) \psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \int d\lambda \lambda^{-1} \varphi_2(\lambda) \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x\right) \text{ using (23)} \\ &= \int \int d\lambda d\mu \lambda^{-1} \mu^{-1} \varphi_1(\mu) \varphi_2(\lambda) S(\psi_{BA}(t, \mu), \psi_{BA}(t, \lambda)_x). \end{aligned}$$

#### APPENDIX C. PROOF OF (59)

According to (52),

$$\begin{aligned} &Res(AB_{2n+1}\Phi\partial^{-1}) \\ &= Res(\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1}\Phi\partial^{-1}) - Res(\partial_{t_{2n+1}}A\Phi\partial^{-1}) + Res(B_{2n+1}A\Phi\partial^{-1}) \\ &= (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + Res(B_{2n+1}A\Phi\partial^{-1}) \text{ using (21)} \\ &= (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} Res(B_{2n+1}(\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{1a,x} - \Phi_{1a}\partial^{-1}\Phi_{2a,x})\Phi\partial^{-1}) \\ &= (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( Res(B_{2n+1}\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}(\partial S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x})\partial)\partial^{-1}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right) \text{ using (20)} \\ &= (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( Res(B_{2n+1}\Phi_{2a}S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x})\partial^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - Res(B_{2n+1}\Phi_{2a}\partial^{-1}S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x})) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right) \\ &= (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( B_{2n+1}(\Phi_{2a}S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x})) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -B_{2n+1}(\Phi_{2a})S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \Big) \text{ using (21)} \\
= & (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + B_{2n+1} \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( \Phi_{2a} S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) - \Phi_{1a} S(\Phi, \Phi_{2a,x}) \right) \\
& - \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{2a}) S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{1a}) S(\Phi, \Phi_{2a,x}) \right) \\
= & (\partial_\alpha B_{2n+1})(\Phi) + B_{2n+1} (\partial_\alpha \Phi) - \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{2a}) S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{1a}) S(\Phi, \Phi_{2a,x}) \right) \\
& \text{using (57)} \\
= & \partial_\alpha (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi) - \sum_{a \in \{\alpha\}} \left( (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{2a}) S(\Phi, \Phi_{1a,x}) - (\partial_{t_{2n+1}} \Phi_{1a}) S(\Phi, \Phi_{2a,x}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgements:** This work is supported by the NSFC(10671187, 10971109) and Program for NCET under Grant No.NCET-08-0515. Sen Hu is partially supported by NSFC (10771203) and a renovation project from the Chinese Academy of Sciences We thank Professor Yishen Li and Yi Cheng(USTC,China) for long-term encouragements and supports. We also thank Dr.Chen Chunli(SJTU,China) for her suggestions. We also thank anonymous referee for his/her useful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations(2nd Ed.)(Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993).
- [2] W.Oevel, Darboux Theorems and Wronskian Formulas for Integrable Systems I :Constrained KP Flows,Physica A 195(1993),533-576
- [3] A.Yu.Orlov, "Volterra operators for zero curvature representation. Universality of KP," in: *Plazma Theory and Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics, Potsdam 1991* (A.Fokas, D.Kaup, A.Newell, and V.E.Zakharov), (Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics) (1993) pp. 126–131.
- [4] A.Yu.Orlov and S.Rauch-Wojciechowski, Dressing method, Darboux transformation and generalized restricted flows for the KdV hierarchy. Phys. D 69 (1993), no. 1-2, 77-84.
- [5] W.Oevel and S.Carillo,Squared eigenfunction symmetries for soliton equations: Part II,J. Math.Anal.Appl.217(1998), 179-199.
- [6] Y.Cheng,Constraints of the KP hierarchy, J.Math.Phys.33(1992),3774-3782.
- [7] Y.Cheng and Y.Li, The constraint of the KP equation and its special solutions, Phys.Lett.A 157(1991),22-26.
- [8] B.G.Konopelchenko,J.Sidorenko and W.Strampp, (1+1)-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constriant of (2+1)-dimensional systems, Phys.Lett.A 157(1991),17-21.
- [9] B.G.Konopelchenko and W.Strampp,The AKNS hierarchy as symmetry constraint of the KP hierarchy, Inverse Problems 7(1991),L17-L24.
- [10] J.Sidorenko and W.Strampp, Symmetry constraints of the KP hierarchy,Inverse Problems 7(1991),L37-L43.
- [11] J.Sidorenko and W.Strampp, Multicomponent integrable reductions in the KP hierarchy,J.Math.Phys.34(1993),1429-1446.
- [12] W.Oevel and C.Rogers,Gauge transformations and reciprocal links in 2+1 dimensions, Rev. Math. Phys. 5(1993),299-330.
- [13] W.Oevel and S.Carillo,Squared eigenfunction symmetries for soliton equations: Part I,J. Math. Anal. Appl. 217(1998),161-178.

- [14] W.Oevel and W.Schief,Squared eigenfunctions of the (modified) KP hierarchy and scattering Problems of Loewner type,Rev. Math. Phys. 6(1994),1301-1338.
- [15] H. Aratyn, E.Nissimov, S.Pacheva,Method of Squared Eigenfunction Potentials in Integrable Hierarchies of KP Type, Commun. Math. Phys. 193(1998), 493-525.
- [16] I.Loris and R.Willox, Symmetry reductions of the BKP hierarchy,J. Math. Phys. 40(1999),1420-1431.
- [17] P.G.Grinevich and A.Yu.Orlov, “Virasoro action on Riemann surfaces, Grassmannians,  $\det\bar{\partial}_j$  and Segal–Wilson tau-function,” in: *Problems of Modern Quantum Field Theory* (A. A. Belavin, A. U. Klimyk, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, eds.), Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York (1989) pp. 86-106.
- [18] A.Yu. Orlov, “Vertex Operators,  $\bar{\partial}$  Problem, Symmetries, Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Formalism of (2+1) Dimensional Integrable Systems”, in *Plasma Theory and Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics, Proc. III Kiev. Intern. Workshop (1987)*, vol. I, pp. 116–134, World Scientific Pub, Singapore, 1988, (editors: V.G.Bar’yakhtar etc.)
- [19] A.Yu. Orlov and E.I. Schulman, Additional symmetries for integrable systems and conformal algebra representation, Lett. Math. Phys. 12 (1993), 171-179.
- [20] L.A. Dickey, Additional symmetry of KP, Grassmannian, and the string equation, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8(1993), 1259-1272.
- [21] L.A. Dickey, On additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy and Sato’s Bäcklund transformation, Comm. Math. Phys. 167 (1995), 227-233.
- [22] M. Adler, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke, From the  $w_\infty$ -algebra to its central extension: a  $\tau$ -function approach. Phys. Lett. A 194 (1994), 33-43.
- [23] M. Adler, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke, A Lax representation for the vertex operator and the central extension, Comm. Math. Phys. 171 (1995), 547-588.
- [24] P. van Moerbeke, Integrable foundations of string theory, in *Lectures on Integrable systems*, Edited by O. Babelon, P. Cartier, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach(World Scientific,Singapore,1994) pp.163-267.
- [25] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, in *Nonlinear integrable systems - classical theory and quantum theory*, ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1983)pp.39-119,
- [26] I.Loris,Dimensional reductions of BKP and CKP hierarchies,J. Phys. A 34 (2001), 3447-3459
- [27] I.Loris and R.Willox,Bilinear form and solutions of the k-constrained Kadomtse-Petviashvili hierarchy,Inverse Problems 13 (1997), 411-420.
- [28] Cheng.Y and Zhang.Y.J,Bilinear equations for the constrained KP hierarchy,Inverse Problems 10 (1994), L11-L17.
- [29] J. van de Leur, The Adler-Shiota-van Moerbeke formula for the BKP hierarchy, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995), 4940-4951.
- [30] J. van de Leur, The  $n$ -th reduced BKP hierarchy, the string equation and  $BW_{1+\infty}$ - constraints, Acta Appl.Math. 44(1996), 185-206.
- [31] M.H. Tu, On the BKP hierarchy: Additional symmetries, Fay identity and Adler-Shiota- van Moerbeke formula, Lett. Math. Phys. 81(2007),91-105
- [32] Hsin-Fu Shen and Ming-Hsien Tu,On the string equation of the BKP hierarchy(2008 preprint,arXiv:0811.1469)