

An elementary approach to toy models for D. H. Lehmer's conjecture

Eiichi Bannai*, Tsuyoshi Mieuzaki[†] and Vladimir A. Yudin[‡]

November 16, 2018

Abstract. In 1947, Lehmer conjectured that the Ramanujan's tau function $\tau(m)$ never vanishes for all positive integers m , where $\tau(m)$ is the m -th Fourier coefficient of the cusp form Δ_{24} of weight 12. The theory of spherical t -design is closely related to Lehmer's conjecture because it is shown, by Venkov, de la Harpe, and Pache, that $\tau(m) = 0$ is equivalent to the fact that the shell of norm $2m$ of the E_8 -lattice is a spherical 8-design. So, Lehmer's conjecture is reformulated in terms of spherical t -design.

Lehmer's conjecture is difficult to prove, and still remains open. However, Bannai-Mieuzaki showed that none of the nonempty shells of the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 in \mathbb{R}^2 is a spherical 4-design, and that none of the nonempty shells of the hexagonal lattice A_2 is a spherical 6-design. Moreover, none of the nonempty shells of the integer lattices associated to the algebraic integers of imaginary quadratic fields whose class number is either 1 or 2, except for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ is a spherical 2-design. In the proof, the theory of modular forms played an important role.

Recently, Yudin found an elementary proof for the case of \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice which does not use the theory of modular forms but uses the recent results of Calcut. In this paper, we give the elementary (i.e., modular form free) proof and discuss the relation between Calcut's results and the theory of imaginary quadratic fields.

*Graduate School of Mathematics Kyushu University, Motooka 744 Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395 Japan. email: bannai@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

[†]Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Kita 10 Nishi 8 Kita-Ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido, 060-0810 Japan, e-mail: mieuzaki@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

[‡]Moscow Power Engineering Institute (Technical University), I05835 Moscow, Russia, e-mail: vlayudin@mtu-net.ru.

Key Words and Phrases. theta series, spherical t -design, lattices.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F03; Secondary 05B30; Tertiary 11R04.

1 Introduction

It was shown by Bannai-Miezaki [1] that none of the nonempty shells of the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 in \mathbb{R}^2 is a spherical 4-design, and that none of the nonempty shells of the hexagonal lattice A_2 is a spherical 6-design. We called these results as toy models for D. H. Lehmer's conjecture, because the original Lehmer's conjecture that the value of the Ramanujan's tau function $\tau(m)$ is never zero for any positive integer m is equivalent to the statement that no shell of the E_8 -lattice (Korkine-Zolotareff lattice) is a spherical 8-design, as it was observed by Venkov, de la Harpe, and Pache (cf. [5, 6, 8, 9]). In [1] and in the subsequent [2], where further toy models (of Lehmer's conjecture) were obtained for the lattices associated to the algebraic integers of imaginary quadratic number fields whose class number is either 1 or 2, as well as in the work on Venkov, de la Harpe and Pache, the theory of modular forms played an important role. The third author (Yudin) sought and then found an elementary proof (for the case of \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice) which does not use the theory of modular forms, just by using the language of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. In that proof, the recent results of Calcut [3] for Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ was used, instead of modular forms, in some crucial ways. This paper describes an elementary approach, and the subsequent discussions among the three authors on this and related topics. The main points of this paper are as follows.

- (i) First we give an elementary proof for the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice using the results of Calcut.
- (ii) We remark that the results of Calcut is essentially equivalent to the multiplicative property of the numbers of nonequivalent integral ideals of a certain imaginary quadratic number field, which is well known and is also directly proved in an elementary way.
- (iii) By using these elementary (i.e., modular form free) approach, we give an alternative proof for the lattice associated to the algebraic integers of any imaginary quadratic number field of class number is 1. (Here we remark that we can also avoid the use of the results of Calcut [3].)

- (iv) We formulate and prove generalizations of the results of Calcut [3] for $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ to the imaginary quadratic number fields whose class number is 1.

So, we are able to obtain the toy models for the lattice of the algebraic integers of imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1 by an elementary approach, in a sense that it is modular form free and also free from the results of Calcut [3].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the concept of spherical designs and the theory of imaginary quadratic fields and quote the results of Calcut [3]. In Section 3, we study the nonexistence of the spherical designs in the shells of lattices. In Section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, we show that

Theorem 1.1. *The shells in \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice are not spherical 4-designs.*

In Section 3.2, we show that the results of Calcut is essentially equivalent to the multiplicative property of the numbers of nonequivalent integral ideals of a certain imaginary quadratic number field. In Section 3.3.1, we show that

Theorem 1.2. *The shells in A_2 -lattice are not spherical 6-designs.*

In Section 3.3.2, we show that

Theorem 1.3. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field whose class number is 1 and $d \neq 1, 3$ i.e., d is one of the following numbers 2, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163. Then, the shells in the lattice associated to K are not spherical 2-designs.*

In Section 4, we study the generalization of Calcut's results.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Spherical designs

The concept of a spherical t -design is due to Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel [7]. For a positive integer t , a finite nonempty set X on the unit sphere

$$S^{n-1} = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\}$$

is called a spherical t -design in S^{n-1} if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) d\sigma(x),$$

for all polynomials $f(x) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of degree not exceeding t . Here, the righthand side means the surface integral on the sphere, and $|S^{n-1}|$ denotes the surface volume of the sphere S^{n-1} . The meaning of spherical t -design is that the average value of the integral of any polynomial of degree up to t on the sphere is replaced by the average value at a finite set on the sphere. A finite subset X in $S^{n-1}(r)$, the sphere of radius r , is also called a spherical t -design if $\frac{1}{r}X$ is a spherical t -design on the unit sphere S^{n-1} .

We denote by $\text{Harm}_j(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree j on \mathbb{R}^n . It is well known that X is a spherical t -design if and only if the condition

$$\sum_{x \in X} P(x) = 0$$

holds for all $P \in \text{Harm}_j(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 \leq j \leq t$. Moreover, if $X \subset S^1(r)$ then, since $\text{Harm}_k(S^1) = \langle \text{Re}(z^k), \text{Im}(z^k) \rangle$, the following proposition holds:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $X = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \subset S^1$. We regard S^1 as complex numbers whose absolute values are one, namely, $S^1 \simeq \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. Then, X is a spherical t -design if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^k = 0,$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

For a lattice Λ and a positive real number $m > 0$, the shell of norm m of Λ is defined by

$$\Lambda_m := \{x \in \Lambda \mid (x, x) = m\} = \Lambda \cap S^{n-1}(m),$$

where (x, y) is the standard Euclidean inner product. The theta series $\Theta_\Lambda(q)$ of Λ is the following formal power series

$$\Theta_\Lambda(q) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} q^{(x, x)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\Lambda_m| q^m.$$

For example, when Λ is the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\Lambda(q) = \theta_3(q)^2 &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} r_2(m) q^m \\ &= 1 + 4q + 4q^2 + 4q^4 + 8q^5 + 4q^8 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_3(q) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2q^{i^2}$ and the coefficient $r_2(m)$ is a number of ways of writing m as a sum of 2 squares.

2.2 Imaginary quadratic fields

In this subsection, we review the theory of an imaginary quadratic field. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field, and let \mathcal{O}_K be its ring of algebraic integers. Let Cl_K be the ideal classes. In this paper, we only consider the case $|\text{Cl}_K| = 1$. So, we denote by \mathfrak{o} the principal ideal class. We denote by d_K the discriminant of K :

$$d_K = \begin{cases} -4d & \text{if } -d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ -d & \text{if } -d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [10, page 87]). *Let d be a positive square-free integer, and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_d,$$

where

$$\theta_d = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-d} & \text{if } -d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1 + \sqrt{-d}}{2} & \text{if } -d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Therefore, we consider \mathcal{O}_K to be the lattice in \mathbb{R}^2 with the basis

$$\begin{cases} (1, 0), (1, \sqrt{-d}) & \text{if } -d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ (1, 0), \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{-d}}{2}\right) & \text{if } -d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

denoted by L_σ .

It is well-known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of reduced quadratic forms $f(x, y)$ with a fundamental discriminant $d_K < 0$ and the set of fractional ideal classes of the unique quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ [10, page 94]. Namely, For a fractional ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\beta$, we obtain the quadratic form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, where $a = \alpha\bar{\alpha}/N(\mathfrak{a})$, $b = (\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta)/N(\mathfrak{a})$ and $c = \beta\bar{\beta}/N(\mathfrak{a})$. Conversely, for a quadratic form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, we obtain the fractional ideal $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}(b + \sqrt{d_K})/2a$. We remark that $N(\mathfrak{a})$ is the norm of \mathfrak{a} and $\bar{\alpha}$ is a complex conjugate of α . For example, $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1}$, which is the principal ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, corresponds to $x^2 + y^2$, that is, the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice.

Here, we define the automorphism group of $f(x, y)$ as follows:

$$U_f = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid f(\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y) = f(x, y) \right\}$$

Then, for $n \geq 1$, the number of the nonequivalent solutions of $f(x, y) = n$ under the action of U_f is equal to the number of the integral ideal of norm n [10].

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [10, page 63]). *Let $f(x, y)$ be the reduced quadratic form with a fundamental discriminant $D < 0$ and U_f be the automorphism group of $f(x, y)$. Then*

$$\#U_f = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } D = -3, \\ 4 & \text{if } D = -4, \\ 2 & \text{if } D < -4. \end{cases}$$

These classical results are due to Gauss, Dirichlet, etc. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal class and $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(x, y)$ be the reduced quadratic form corresponding to \mathfrak{a} . Moreover, let $L_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the lattice corresponding to $f(x, y)$. We denote by $N(A)$ the norm of an ideal A . Then, using Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{x \in L_{\mathfrak{a}}} q^{(x,x)} = 1 + \#U_f \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \#\{A \mid A \text{ is an integral ideal of } \mathfrak{a}, N(A) = n\} q^n.$$

Moreover, let $\{\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{i=1}^s$ be the complete set of ideal classes of an imaginary quadratic field whose class number is s and let $\{L_{\mathfrak{a}_i}\}_{i=1}^s$ be the lattices corresponding to $\{\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{i=1}^s$. We denote by $a(m)$ the m -th coefficient of the sum of theta functions:

$$\sum_{x \in L_{\mathfrak{a}_1}} q^{(x,x)} + \cdots + \sum_{x \in L_{\mathfrak{a}_s}} q^{(x,x)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m) q^m.$$

Then, since the prime ideal factorization is unique, the following proposition holds:

Proposition 2.2 (cf. [10, page 101]). *$a'(m) := a(m)/\#U_{f_{\mathfrak{a}_i}}$ have the multiplicative property. Namely, $a'(mn) = a'(m)a'(n)$ if $(m, n) = 1$.*

For example, let $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ be the only ideal class of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. Then, $L_{\mathfrak{o}}$ is the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice and

$$\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(q) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} q^{(x,x)} = \theta_3^2(q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m) q^m,$$

where $\theta_3(q) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2q^{i^2}$. Therefore, the coefficients $a(m)/4$ have the multiplicative property.

Finally, we give the classical theorems needed later.

Theorem 2.3 (cf. [4, page 104, Proposition 5.16]). *We can classify the prime ideals of a quadratic field as follows:*

1. If p is an odd prime and $(d_K/p) = 1$ (resp. $d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$) then $(p) = P\bar{P}$ (resp. $(2) = P\bar{P}$), where P and \bar{P} are prime ideals with $P \neq \bar{P}$, $N(P) = N(\bar{P}) = p$ (resp. $N(P) = 2$).
2. If p is an odd prime and $(d_K/p) = -1$ (resp. $d_K \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$) then $(p) = P$ (resp. $(2) = P$), where P is a prime ideal with $N(P) = p^2$ (resp. $N(P) = 4$).
3. If $p \mid d_K$ then $(p) = P^2$, where P is a prime ideal with $N(P) = p$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F(m)$ be the number of the integral ideals of norm m of K . Let p be a prime number. Then, if $p \neq 2$*

$$F(p^e) = \begin{cases} e + 1 & \text{if } (d_K/p) = 1, \\ (1 + (-1)^e)/2 & \text{if } (d_K/p) = -1, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \mid d_K, \end{cases}$$

if $p = 2$

$$F(2^e) = \begin{cases} e + 1 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ (1 + (-1)^e)/2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid d_K. \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $(d_K/p) = 1$ i.e., $(p) = P\bar{P}$ and $P \neq \bar{P}$, since P and \bar{P} are only integral ideals of norm p , we have $F(p) = 2$. Moreover, the integral ideals of norm p^e are as follows: $P^e, P^{e-1}\bar{P}, \dots, (\bar{P})^e$. So, we have $F(p^e) = e + 1$. The other cases can be proved similarly. \square

2.3 The results of Calcut

We collect Calcut's results needed later.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [3]). *Let $z \neq 0$ be a Gaussian integer. There is a natural number n such that z^n is real if and only if $\arg z$ is a multiple of $\pi/4$.*

Corollary 2.1 (cf. [3]). *The only rational values of $\tan(k\pi/n)$ are 0 and ± 1 .*

Corollary 2.2 (cf. [3]). *Let $z_i = a_i + b_i\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. If*

$$\frac{k\pi}{n} = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \arctan \frac{b_j}{a_j},$$

holds, where all variables are rational integers, then $k\pi/n = s\pi/4$ for some integer s .

In [3], Calcut showed that “Lemma 2.1 \Rightarrow Corollary 2.1 and 2.2”. Here, we show that “Corollary 2.1 \Rightarrow Lemma 2.1” and “Corollary 2.2 \Rightarrow Lemma 2.1”. Therefore, these three statements are equivalent to one another.

Proof of “Corollary 2.1 \Rightarrow Lemma 2.1”. Let $z = a + b\sqrt{-1}$. If z^n is real then

$$(a + b\sqrt{-1})^n \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow n \arg(a + b\sqrt{-1}) = k\pi \Rightarrow \arg(a + b\sqrt{-1}) = \frac{k\pi}{n}$$

Because of Corollary 2.1, the rational values of $\tan k\pi/n$ are 0 and ± 1 . Therefore, $\arg z$ is a multiple of $\pi/4$. If $\arg z$ is a multiple of $\pi/4$ then $z^4 \in \mathbb{R}$. This complete the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

Proof of “Corollary 2.2 \Rightarrow Lemma 2.1”. Corollary 2.1 is the special case of Corollary 2.2. \square

3 Nonexistence of the spherical designs

In this section, we study the nonexistence of the spherical designs. First, we introduce some notation. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and L be a lattice corresponding to \mathcal{O}_K . Then, for $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u} r_{u+1}^{a_{u+1}} \cdots r_v^{a_v}$, where $(d_K/p_i) = 1$, $(d_K/q_i) = -1$ and $r_i | d_K$ we define sets as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X(L_m) := \{(a + b\theta_d)/\sqrt{m} \mid (a + b\theta_d) \in \mathcal{O}_K, N(a + b\theta_d) = m\}, \\ \quad \simeq \{x/\sqrt{m} \mid x \in L, (x, x) = m\} \\ X(L_m)_{p_k} := \{(a + b\theta_d)/\sqrt{p_k^{a_k}} \mid (a + b\theta_d) \in \mathcal{O}_K, N(a + b\theta_d) = p_k^{a_k}\}, \\ \quad \simeq \{x/\sqrt{p_k^{a_k}} \mid x \in L, (x, x) = p_k^{a_k}\} \\ W(L_m) := \{\arg(a + b\theta_d) \mid (a + b\theta_d) \in \mathcal{O}_K, N(a + b\theta_d) = m\}, \\ W(L_m)_{p_k} := \{\arg(a + b\theta_d) \mid (a + b\theta_d) \in \mathcal{O}_K, N(a + b\theta_d) = p_k^{a_k}\}. \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

For a lattice L , we define functions as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} I_{L_m}(t) := \frac{1}{|X(L_m)|} \sum_{x \in X(L_m)} x^t \\ I_{L_m, p_k}(t) := \frac{1}{|X(L_m)_{p_k}|} \sum_{x \in X(L_m)_{p_k}} x^t. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

Because of Proposition 2.1, we remark that L_m is a spherical t -design if and only if $I_{L_m}(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then, it is well-known that the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [8, 1, 2]). *Let L be a 2-dimensional Euclidean lattice. Then, for any positive integer m , L_m is a spherical 1-design if $L_m \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m$ (resp. $(A_2)_m$) is a spherical 3-design (resp. 5-design) if $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $(A_2)_m \neq \emptyset$).*

3.1 The case of \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice

Let $\varphi_k \in (0, 2\pi)$ be the minimum argument $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ whose norm is p_k , where $(d_K/p_k) = 1$. Then, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let the notation be the same as above. Then, for a prime number p_k , where $(d_K/p_k) = 1$,*

$$W(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)_{p_k} = \begin{cases} \{0, \pm 2\varphi_k, \pm 4\varphi_k, \dots, \pm a_k\varphi_k\} \oplus \{\frac{\ell\pi}{2} \mid 0 \leq \ell < 4\}, & \text{if } a_k \text{ is even,} \\ \{\pm\varphi_k, \pm 3\varphi_k, \dots, \pm a_k\varphi_k\} \oplus \{\frac{\ell\pi}{2} \mid 0 \leq \ell < 4\}, & \text{if } a_k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where denote by “ $\{a, \dots\} \oplus \{\frac{\ell\pi}{2} \mid 0 \leq \ell < 4\}$ ” the set $\{a, a + \pi/2, a + \pi, a + 3\pi/2, \dots\}$ and $W(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)_{p_k}$ is defined in (2). In particular, $|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_k}| = 4(1 + a_k)$.

Proof. If a_k is even then the values of the arguments $W(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)_{p_k}$ are $\pm\varphi_k \pm \dots \pm \varphi_k \pmod{\pi/2}$, that is, one of the elements of the following set: $\{0, \pm 2\varphi_k, \pm 4\varphi_k, \dots, \pm a_k\varphi_k\} \pmod{\pi/2}$. Then, we assert that if $s \neq s'$ then $s\varphi_k \not\equiv s'\varphi_k \pmod{\pi/2}$. It is because if not then $\varphi_k = n\pi/(2t)$ for some $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z^{2t} \in \mathbb{R}$. However, because of Lemma 2.1, φ_k is a multiple of $\pi/4$. Then, if $\varphi_k = \pi/4$ then $z = c(1 + \sqrt{-1})$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_k = 2c^2$. This is a contradiction since p_k is a prime number congruent to 1 modulo 4. If $\varphi_k = \pi/2$ then $z = c\sqrt{-1}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_k = c^2$. This is a contradiction since p_k is a prime number. For the other cases, we can obtain a contradiction similarly. Therefore, we obtain $|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_k}| = 4(1 + a_k)$. In case that a_k is odd, it can be proved similarly. \square

3.1.1 The proof using Calcut's result

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1 using Calcut's results. For $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u}$, where $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we define the sets in (2). We denote by $(a + b\sqrt{-1})(a - b\sqrt{-1})$, where $b > 0$, the prime ideal factorization of (p_i) . Then, we denote by P_i (resp. \overline{P}_i) the prime ideal $(a + b\sqrt{-1})$ (resp. $(a - b\sqrt{-1})$). Here, we show that

$$\frac{|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)|}{4} = \frac{|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_1}|}{4} \cdots \frac{|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_s}|}{4}. \quad (4)$$

If not, $m_1\varphi_1 + \cdots + m_s\varphi_s \equiv m'_1\varphi_1 + \cdots + m'_s\varphi_s \pmod{\pi/2}$, namely, $(m_1 - m'_1)\varphi_1 + \cdots + (m_s - m'_s)\varphi_s \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi/2}$. Therefore, there exist a'_1, \dots, a'_s such that $(z) := P_1^{a'_1} \cdots P_s^{a'_s}$ and $z^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\overline{P_i}$ is equal to P_j for some j because $z^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This is a contradiction since $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^s$ are the prime ideals with the different norms.

Then, we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m}(t) &= \frac{1}{|X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)|} \sum_{x \in X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)} x^t = \frac{1}{|X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)|} \sum_{x \in X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)} e^{it \arg(x)} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^s \frac{1}{|X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_k}|} \sum_{x \in X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_k}} e^{it \arg(x)} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^s I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let $\varphi_k \in (0, 2\pi)$ be the minimum argument $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ whose norm is p_k . Then,

$$I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(4) = \frac{\sin(4(1+a_k)\varphi_k)}{(1+a_k)\sin(4\varphi_k)} \quad (6)$$

since the following equations hold:

$$\begin{cases} 1 + 2 \cos(2x) + 2 \cos(4x) + \cdots + 2 \cos(2kx) = \frac{\sin((2k+1)x)}{\sin x} \\ \cos x + \cos(3x) + \cos(5x) + \cdots + \cos((2k-1)x) = \frac{\sin(2kx)}{2 \sin x}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

If $I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(4) = 0$, namely, $4\varphi_k = n\pi/(1+a_k)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then because of Corollary 2.1, $\tan(4\varphi_k) = \pm 1$, namely, $\varphi_k = \pi/16, 3\pi/16, 5\pi/16$ and $7\pi/16$. Then, $\tan \varphi_k$ is an irrational number. On the other hand, φ_k is the argument of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, hence a rational number. This is a contradiction. Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m$ is not a spherical 4-design.

3.1.2 The proof using multiplicative property

In this subsection, we reprove Theorem 1.1 using the multiplicative property Proposition 2.2. We denote by $a(m)$ the m -th coefficient of the theta series of the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice:

$$\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i)q^i,$$

and set $a'(m) := a(m)/4$. Then, because of Proposition 2.2 and 2.3 the function $a'(m)$ is multiplicative and when m is a prime power, $a'(p^e) = F(p^e)$.

Therefore, for $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u} 2^c$, where $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

$$a(m) = 4(1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_s). \quad (8)$$

For $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u}$, where $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we define the sets in (2). Because of the equation (8), the following equation holds:

$$\frac{|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)|}{4} = \frac{|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_1}|}{4} \cdots \frac{|W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_s}|}{4}.$$

Then, as we obtained equation (5), we obtain the following equation:

$$I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^s I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(t).$$

Let $\varphi_k \in (0, 2\pi)$ be the minimum argument $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})}$ whose norm is p_k . Then,

$$I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(4) = \frac{\sin(4(1 + a_k)\varphi_k)}{(1 + a_k) \sin(4\varphi_k)}$$

since equation (7) holds. Let α be the least value of a_k for which $I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(4) = 0$. If we assume that $\alpha > 1$ then

$$\frac{\sin(4(1 + \alpha)\varphi_k)}{(1 + \alpha) \sin(4\varphi_k)} = 0,$$

that is, $4\varphi_k = n\pi/(1 + \alpha)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, for $a_k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin(8\varphi_k)}{2 \sin(4\varphi_k)} &= \cos(4\varphi_k) \\ &= 8 \cos^4(\varphi_k) - 8 \cos^2(\varphi_k) + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here, we set $z := 2 \cos(4\varphi_k)$. The number z being twice the cosine of a rational multiple of 2π , is an algebraic integer. Moreover, if we set $e^{i(\varphi_k)} := a + b\sqrt{-1}$ then $\cos(\varphi_k) = a/\sqrt{p_k}$ and because of the equation (9), z is a rational number, namely, a rational integer. Therefore, $z = \pm 1$ or $z = \pm 2$. If $z = \pm 1$ then $\varphi_k = \pi/12, 2\pi/12, 4\pi/12$ or $5\pi/12$. However, $\tan \varphi_k$ is an irrational number and b/a is a rational number. This is a contradiction. If $z = \pm 2$ then $\varphi_k = 0$ or $\pi/4$, that is, $|X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_k}| = 4$. However, $|X((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)_{p_k}| = 8$ since $p_k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This is a contradiction.

Hence, it is enough to show that when $\alpha = 1$, $I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(4) \neq 0$. If

$$I_{(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m, p_k}(4) = \cos(4\varphi_k) = 0$$

then $\varphi_k = \pi/8$ or $3\pi/8$. However, $\tan \pi/8$ and $\tan 3\pi/8$ are irrational numbers and b/a is a rational number. This is a contradiction. Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_m$ is not a spherical 4-design. For $m' = 2^c m$, $W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_{m'})$ is rotated $k\pi$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ from $W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)$. Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}^2)_{m'}$ is not a spherical 4-design.

3.2 Calcut's results and the multiplicative property

In Section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, we showed that the case of the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice using Calcut's result and the multiplicative property of the Fourier coefficients of the theta series associated with the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice respectively. In this section, we show that Calcut's result is essentially equivalent to the multiplicative property (4) of the theta series:

$$\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |W((\mathbb{Z}^2)_m)|q^m.$$

In Lemma 3.1 and Section 3.1.1, we showed that the multiplicative property (4) using Calcut's result.

On the other hand, we assume that the multiplicative property, namely, equation (4). Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ be a Gaussian integer such that $\arg z \notin \{0, \pm\pi/4, \pm\pi/2, \pm3\pi/4, \pi\}$ and let $N((z)) = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u} 2^{a_{u+1}}$, where $(d_K/p_i) = 1$ and $(d_K/q_i) = -1$ and let $(z) = P_1^{a_1} \cdots P_s^{a_s} Q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots Q_u^{a_u} (1 + \sqrt{-1})^{a_{u+1}}$ be the prime ideal factorization, where $N(P_i) = p_i$ and $N(Q_i) = q_i^2$. Then, $(z)^n$ and $\overline{(z)}^n$ are ideals of norm $N(z)^n$ because P_i and $\overline{P_i}$ are prime ideals of norm p_i . We assume that $z^n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have $(z)^n = \overline{(z)}^n$. Therefore, the number of the nonequivalent ideals of norm $N(z)^n$ is less than $(1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_s)$. This is a contradiction since because of the multiplicative property, the number of the nonequivalent ideals of norm $N(z)^n$ is $(1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_s)$. Hence, the multiplicative property is equivalent to Calcut's result, namely, Lemma 2.1.

3.3 The general cases whose class number is 1

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 without using Calcut's result.

3.3.1 The case of A_2 -lattice

We denote by $a(m)$ the m -th coefficient of the theta series of

$$\Theta_{A_2}(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i)q^i.$$

and set $a'(m) := a(m)/6$. Then, the function $a'(m)$ is multiplicative and when m is a prime power, $a'(p^e) = F(p^e)$. Therefore, for $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u} 3^c$, where $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $q_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$$a(m) = 6(1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_s). \quad (10)$$

For $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u}$, where $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $q_i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ we define the sets in (2). Let $I_{(A_2)_m}(t)$ and $I_{(A_2)_m, p_k}(t)$ be the functions defined by (3). Because of the equation (10), the following equation holds:

$$\frac{|W((A_2)_m)|}{6} = \frac{|W((A_2)_m)_{p_1}|}{6} \cdots \frac{|W((A_2)_m)_{p_s}|}{6}.$$

Then, as we obtained the equation (5), we obtain the following equation:

$$I_{(A_2)_m}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^s I_{(A_2)_m, p_k}(t).$$

Let $\varphi_k \in (0, 2\pi)$ be the minimum argument $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}$ whose norm is p_k . Then,

$$I_{(A_2)_m, p_k}(6) = \frac{\sin(6(1+a_k)\varphi_k)}{(1+a_k)\sin(6\varphi_k)} \quad (11)$$

since the equation (7) holds. Let α be the least value of a_k for which $I_{(A_2)_m, p_k}(6) = 0$. If we assume that $\alpha > 1$ then

$$\frac{\sin(6(1+\alpha)\varphi_k)}{(1+\alpha)\sin(6\varphi_k)} = 0,$$

that is, $6\varphi_k = n\pi/(1+\alpha)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, for $a_k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin(12\varphi_k)}{2\sin(6\varphi_k)} &= \cos(6\varphi_k) \\ &= 32\cos^6(\varphi_k) - 48\cos^4(\varphi_k) + 18\cos^2(\varphi_k) - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here, we set $z := 2\cos(6\varphi_k)$. The number z being twice the cosine of a rational multiple of 2π , is an algebraic integer. Moreover, if we set $e^{i(\varphi_k)} = a + b\theta_d$, where θ_d is define in (1) then $\cos\varphi_k = (a + (b/2))/\sqrt{p_k}$ and because of the equation (12), z is a rational number, namely, a rational integer. Therefore, $z = \pm 1$ or $z = \pm 2$. If $z = \pm 1$ then $\varphi_k = \pi/18, 2\pi/18, 4\pi/18$ or $5\pi/18$. However, if $\varphi_k = \pi/18$ or $5\pi/18$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} = \sin(3\varphi_k) &= 3\sin(\varphi_k) - 4\sin^3(\varphi_k) \\ &= \frac{3\sqrt{3}b(p_k - b^2)}{2p_k\sqrt{p_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. If $\varphi_k = 2\pi/18$ or $4\pi/18$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \frac{1}{2} = \cos(3\varphi_k) &= 4\cos^3(\varphi_k) - 3\cos(\varphi_k) \\ &= \frac{((2a+b)^2 - 3p_k)(2a+b)}{2p_k\sqrt{p_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. If $z = \pm 2$ then $\varphi_k = 0$ or $\pi/6$. If $\varphi_k = 0$ then $|X((A_2)_m)_{p_k}| = 6$. However, $|X((A_2)_m)_{p_k}| = 12$ since $p_k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. This is a contradiction. If $\varphi_k = \pi/6$ then $\sin \varphi_k = 1/2$, that is, a rational number and $\sqrt{3b}/(2\sqrt{p_k})$ is an irrational number since $p_k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. This is a contradiction.

Hence, it is enough to show that when $\alpha = 1$, $I_{(A_2)_m, p_k}(6) \neq 0$. If

$$I_{(A_2)_m, p_k}(6) = \cos(6\varphi_k) = 0$$

then $\varphi_k = \pi/12$ or $3\pi/12$. If $\varphi_k = \pi/12$ then

$$\frac{1}{2} = \sin(2(\pi/12)) = 2 \sin(\pi/12) \cos(\pi/12) = \frac{\sqrt{3}b(2a+b)}{2p_k}.$$

If $\varphi_k = 3\pi/12$ then

$$1 = \sin(2(3\pi/12)) = 2 \sin(3\pi/12) \cos(3\pi/12) = \frac{\sqrt{3}b(2a+b)}{2p_k}.$$

These are contradictions since $p_k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore $(A_2)_m$ is not a spherical 6-design. For $m' = 3^c m$, $W((A_2)_{m'})$ is rotated $k\pi/3$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$ from $W((A_2)_m)$. Therefore $(A_2)_{m'}$ is not a spherical 6-design.

3.3.2 The general cases whose class number is 1

Let L be the lattices whose class number is 1 except for the cases \mathbb{Z}^2 - and A_2 -lattice. We denote by $a(m)$ the m -th coefficient of the theta series of

$$\Theta_L(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i)q^i.$$

and set $a'(m) := a(m)/2$. Then, the function $a'(m)$ is multiplicative and when m is a prime power, $a'(p^e) = F(p^e)$. Therefore, for $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u}$ $r_{u+1} \cdots r_v^{a_v}$, where $(d_K/p_i) = 1$, $(d_K/q_i) = -1$, and $r_i \mid d_K$,

$$a(m) = 2(1 + a_1) \cdots (1 + a_s). \quad (13)$$

For $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s} q_{s+1}^{a_{s+1}} \cdots q_u^{a_u}$, we define the sets in (2). Let $I_{L_m}(t)$ and $I_{L_m, p_k}(t)$ be the functions defined by (3). Because of the equation (13), the following equation holds:

$$\frac{|W(L_m)|}{2} = \frac{|W(L_m)_{p_1}|}{2} \cdots \frac{|W(L_m)_{p_s}|}{2}.$$

Then, as we obtained the equation (5), we obtain the following equation:

$$I_{L_m}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^s I_{L_m, p_k}(t).$$

Let $\varphi_k \in (0, 2\pi)$ be the minimum argument $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})}$ whose norm is p_k . Then,

$$I_{L_m, p_k}(2) = \frac{\sin 2(1 + a_k)\varphi_k}{(1 + a_k) \sin 2\varphi_k} \quad (14)$$

since the equation (7) holds. Let α be the least value of a_k for which $I_{L_m, p_k}(2) = 0$. If we assume that $\alpha > 1$ then

$$\frac{\sin(2(1 + \alpha)\varphi_k)}{(1 + \alpha) \sin(2\varphi_k)} = 0,$$

that is, $2\varphi_k = n\pi/(1 + \alpha)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, for $a_k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin(4\varphi_k)}{2 \sin(2\varphi_k)} &= \cos(2\varphi_k) \\ &= 2 \cos^2(\varphi_k) - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here, we set $z := 2 \cos(2\varphi_k)$. The number z being twice the cosine of a rational multiple of 2π , is an algebraic integer. Moreover, if we set $e^{i(\varphi_k)} = a + b\theta_d$ then $\cos(\varphi_k) = (\operatorname{Re}(a + b\theta_d))/\sqrt{p_k}$ and because of the equation (15), z is a rational number, namely, a rational integer. Therefore, $z = \pm 1$ or $z = \pm 2$. If $z = \pm 1$ then $\varphi_k = \pi/6, 2\pi/6, 4\pi/6$ or $5\pi/6$ and $\tan \pi/6 = 1/\sqrt{3}$, $\tan 2\pi/6 = \sqrt{3}$, $\tan 4\pi/6 = -\sqrt{3}$ or $\tan 5\pi/6 = -1/\sqrt{3}$. However,

$$\tan \varphi_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2}b}{a} & \text{if } d = 2 \\ \frac{a}{b\sqrt{d}} & \text{otherwise.} \\ 2a + b \end{cases}$$

This is a contradiction. If $z = \pm 2$ then $\varphi_k = 0$ or $\pi/2$, that is, $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. This is a contradiction since $a^2 + b^2 = p_k$ and p_k is a prime number.

Hence, it is enough to show that when $\alpha = 1$, $I_{L_m, p_k}(2) \neq 0$. If

$$I_{L_m, p_k}(2) = \cos(2\varphi_k) = 0$$

then $\varphi_k = \pi/4$ or $3\pi/4$. However, it is impossible because $\operatorname{Re}(a + b\theta_d) \neq \pm \operatorname{Im}(a + b\theta_d)$. Therefore L_m is not a spherical 2-design. For $m' = r_{u+1}^{a_{u+1}} \cdots r_v^{a_v} m$, where $r_i \mid d_K$, $W(L_{m'})$ is rotated $k\pi$ for some $k \in \{0, 1\}$ from $W(L_m)$. Therefore L_m is not a spherical 2-design.

Remark 3.1. We remark that equations (6), (11) and (14) are essentially same as the equation (2) which appeared in page 3 of [1] and the equation (5) which appeared in page 5 of [2]. However, the ways to obtain the first three equations (6), (11) and (14) and the others are different from each other. After we calculate the right hand side of the definition (3), we obtained the first three equations. On the other hand, using the recurrence relation of the coefficients of the weighted theta series associated with the lattice, which is the property of the normalized Hecke eigenform, we obtained the others.

4 Generalization of Calcut's results

In section 4, we quote and generalize Calcut's results. Let K be an imaginary quadratic field whose class number is 1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $z \neq 0$ be an element of \mathcal{O}_K . There is a natural number n such that z^n is a real number if and only if $\arg z$ is a multiple of*

$$\begin{cases} \pi/4 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \\ \pi/6 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \\ \pi/2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In [3], Calcut show the case $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. Therefore, we show the case $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and the others can be proved similarly.

If $\arg z$ is a multiple of $\pi/6$ then z^6 is a real number. Assume that $z^n = m$, where $z = a + b\theta_d$, $\theta_d = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. It is enough to show that z is a nonunit and primitive, that is, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let $(z) = P_1^{a_1} \cdots Q_1^{b_1} \cdots ((3 + \sqrt{-3})/2)^c$, where $(d_K/N(P_i)) = 1$, $N(Q_i) = q_i^2$ and $(d_K/q_i) = -1$, be the prime ideal factorization. Since z is a primitive, we have $b_i = 0$. Moreover, the condition $z^n = m$ implies $\overline{P}_i \mid (z)$, that is, $P_i \overline{P}_i \mid (z)$. Therefore, $a_i = 0$ since z is a primitive. So, the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_d$ be the integer ring of an imaginary quadratic field whose class number is 1.*

1. *If $\tan(k\pi/n) = (\text{Im}(z))/(\text{Re}(z)) = b/a$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1}$ then $\tan(k\pi/n) = 0$ or ± 1 .*
2. *If $\tan(k\pi/n) = (\text{Im}(z))/(\text{Re}(z)) = \sqrt{3}b/(2a + b)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}(-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ then $\tan(k\pi/n) = 0, \pm 1/\sqrt{3}$ or $\pm\sqrt{3}$.*
3. *If $\tan(k\pi/n) = (\text{Im}(z))/(\text{Re}(z))$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_d$ then $\tan(k\pi/n) = 0$.*

Proof. We remark $z^n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, using Theorem 4.1 the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let the notation be the same as above. Let $z_i = a + b\theta_d \in \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta_d$. If*

$$\frac{k\pi}{n} = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \arctan \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z_j)}{\operatorname{Re}(z_j)}$$

holds, then

$$\frac{k\pi}{n} = \begin{cases} j\pi/4 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \\ j\pi/6 & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \\ j\pi/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k\pi}{n} &= \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \arctan \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z_j)}{\operatorname{Re}(z_j)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \arg(a_j + b_j\theta_d) \\ &= \arg \prod_{j=1}^l (a_j + b_j\theta_d)^{m_j} \pmod{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$z := \prod_{j=1}^l (a_j + b_j\theta_d)^{m_j}.$$

We remark $z^n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, using Theorem 4.1 the proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank S. V. Konyagin for the useful discussions. He has an interesting question that is there a circle satisfying the following condition that the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice points on the circle make a 4-design? The second author is supported by JSPS research fellowship. The third author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 08-01-00501).

References

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Miezaki, Toy models for D. H. Lehmer's conjecture, (submitted).
- [2] E. Bannai, T. Miezaki, Toy models for D. H. Lehmer's conjecture II, (preprint).
- [3] Jack S. Calcut, Gaussian integers and arctangent identities for π , *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **116-6** (2009), 515–530.
- [4] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$. Fermat, class field theory and complex multiplication.*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [5] P. de la Harpe, C. Pache, Cubature formulas, geometrical designs, reproducing kernels, and Markov operators, *Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, *Progr. Math.*, Birkhäuser, Basel, **248** (2005), 219–267.
- [6] P. de la Harpe, C. Pache, B. Venkov, Construction of spherical cubature formulas using lattices, *Algebra i Analiz*, **18-1** (2006), 162–186, ; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **18-1** (2007), 119–139.
- [7] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363–388.
- [8] C. Pache, Shells of selfdual lattices viewed as spherical designs, *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* **5** (2005), 1085–1127.
- [9] B. B. Venkov, Even unimodular extremal lattices, (Russian) *Algebraic geometry and its applications. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, **165** (1984), 43–48; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **165** (1985) 47–52.
- [10] D. B. Zagier, *Zetafunktionen und quadratische Körper : eine Einführung in die höhere Zahlentheorie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.