

On the cancellation of 4-derivative terms in the Volkov-Akulov action

A. A. Zheltukhin ^{a,b,c*}

^a Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology,
1, Akademicheskaya St., Kharkov, 61108, Ukraine

^b Fysikum, AlbaNova, Stockholm University,
106 91, Stockholm, Sweden

^c NORDITA,
Roslagstullsbacken 23, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Recently Kuzenko and McCarty observed the cancellation of 4-derivative terms in the $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Volkov-Akulov supersymmetric action for the fermionic Nambu-Goldstone field. Here is presented a simple algebraic proof of the cancellation based on using the Majorana bispinors and Fiertz identities. The cancellation shows a difference between the Volkov-Akulov action and the effective superfield action recently studied by Komargodski and Seiberg and containing one 4-derivative term. We find out that the cancellation effect takes place in coupling of the Nambu-Goldstone fermion with the Dirac field.

1 Introduction

A general approach to the construction of the phenomenological Lagrangians for the Nambu-Goldstone bosons associated with arbitrary group G , spontaneously broken to its subgroup H , was studied in the known papers [1],[2].

*e-mail: aaz@physto.se

The Volkov's approach [2] uses the powerful Cartan's formalism of the exterior differential ω -forms resulting in the invariant phenomenological Lagrangians of the interacting NG bosons

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} Sp(G^{-1}dG)_k(G^{-1}dG)_k, \quad G = KH, \quad (1)$$

where the differential 1-forms $G^{-1}dG = H^{-1}(K^{-1}dK)H + H^{-1}dH$ represent the vielbein $(G^{-1}dG)_k$, and the connection $(G^{-1}dG)_h$ associated with the vacuum symmetry subgroup H . The generalization of the NG boson conception to the fermions with spin 1/2 associated with the spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry was proposed by Volkov in [3] and their action was constructed in [4].

The idea of the fermionic Nambu-Goldstone particles attracts much attention and was discussed in many papers. In the recent paper by Komargodski and Seiberg [6] a new superfield formalism for finding the low-energy Lagrangian of the fermionic NG field was proposed, and its connection with the Volkov-Akulov Lagrangian [4] was considered ¹. The connection stimulates some questions and further studies in this direction. Our interest in particular is motivated by the Kuzenko and McCarty paper [5], where they observed the complete cancellation among 4-derivative terms in the $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Volkov-Akulov supersymmetric action ². This cancellation shows a difference between the VA [4] and KS [6] actions and gives rise to the question about the minimal superfield action without 4-derivative and higher derivative terms. Another question is whether such a cancellation takes place in the NG fermion couplings with other fields.

Here we present an independent proof of the cancellation effect [5], based on using the Majorana bispinor representation of the $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ fermionic NG field and the corresponding Fiertz identities. We also find out that the cancellation effect occurs in interactions of the NG fermion with other fields. As a result, the 4-derivative and higher terms, associated with the fermionic NG field, are absent in the minimal interaction Lagrangian. We show that the maximal numbers of the NG fermions and their derivatives in the minimal Lagrangian of interactions with the Dirac fields equal six and three respectively. The 4-derivative term cancellation puts the question on the construction of the minimal superfield Lagrangians of the NG fermion interactions equivalent to the Volkov-Akulov Lagrangian with couplings [4].

¹Paolo Di Vecchia attracted my attention to Ref. [6]

²Sergei Kuzenko kindly informed me about Ref.[5].

In sections 2, 3, 4 we draw attention to the supersymmetry algebra in the Weyl and Majorana representations, the Volkov-Akulov action and its generalizations including the higher derivative terms. In section 5 we present a new proof of the cancellations of 4-derivative terms in the Volkov-Akulov action. In section 6 we find out that the cancellation effect takes place in the NG fermion couplings with the Dirac and other fields.

2 Supersymmetry and superalgebra

The focus here is on the case of $D = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry which transformations are given by

$$\theta'_\alpha = \theta_\alpha + \xi_\alpha, \quad \bar{\theta}'_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad x'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2}(\theta_\alpha \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \xi_\alpha \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}) \quad (2)$$

in the Weyl spinor representation with $x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x_m \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m$ ³. The Pauli matrices σ_i and the identity matrix σ_0 form a basic set $\sigma_m = (\sigma_0, \sigma_i)$ in the space of all $SL(2C)$ matrices. The Lorentz covariant description uses the second set of the Pauli matrices with the upper indices $\tilde{\sigma}_m := (\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_i) := (\sigma_0, -\sigma_i)$

$$\{\sigma_m, \tilde{\sigma}_n\} = -2\eta_{mn}, \quad Sp\sigma_m \tilde{\sigma}_n = -2\eta_{mn}, \quad \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \tilde{\sigma}_m^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = -2\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\eta_{mn} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. The matrices σ_m and $\tilde{\sigma}_m$ are Lorentz invariant similarly to the tensors η_{mn} and the spinor antisymmetric metric $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ with the components $\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon^{21} = -1$. The supersymmetry generators Q^α and their complex conjugate $\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} := -(Q^\alpha)^*$ have the form

$$Q^\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_\alpha} - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}}, \quad \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} - \frac{i}{2} \theta_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} \quad (4)$$

and form the supersymmetry algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q^\alpha, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} &= -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_m^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} P^m, \\ \{Q^\alpha, Q^\beta\} &= \{\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{\beta}}\} = [Q^\alpha, P^m] = [\bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}, P^m] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

together with the translation generator $P^m = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}$.

³We use algebraic agreements accepted in [7].

The supersymmetry transformations (2) and superalgebra (5) are presented in equivalent bispinor form after transition to the Majorana spinors

$$\delta\theta = \xi, \quad \delta\bar{\theta} = \bar{\xi}, \quad \delta x_m = -\frac{i}{4}(\bar{\xi}\gamma_m\theta), \quad \{Q_a, Q_b\} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_m C^{-1})_{ab}P^m, \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{\theta} = \theta^T C$ with the antisymmetric matrix of the charge conjugation C

$$C^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^a} - \frac{i}{4}(\gamma_m\theta)_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}. \quad (7)$$

The Majorana spinors and the Dirac γ -matrices are defined as in [8]

$$\theta_a = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_\alpha \\ \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_a = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\alpha \\ \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_m \\ \tilde{\sigma}_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\gamma_m, \gamma_n\} = -2\eta_{mn}. \quad (8)$$

3 The Volkov-Akulov action

To construct the phenomenological Lagrangian of the Nambu-Goldstone fermions the elegant formalism of the invariant Cartan ω -forms [2], unified with supersymmetry by Volkov, was used in [4]. The supersymmetry invariant differential ω -forms in extended superspace with the Grassmannian coordinates θ_α^I have the form

$$\omega_\alpha^I = d\theta_\alpha^I, \quad \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}I} = d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}I}, \quad \omega_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = dx_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{i}{2}(d\theta_\alpha^I \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}I} - \theta_\alpha^I d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}I}), \quad (9)$$

where $I = 1, 2, \dots, N$ is the index of the internal $SU(N)$ symmetry.

In the Majorana representation these fermionic and bosonic 1-forms are

$$\omega = d\theta, \quad \bar{\omega} = d\bar{\theta}, \quad \omega_m = dx_m - \frac{i}{4}(d\bar{\theta}\gamma_m\theta). \quad (10)$$

The ω -forms (9) were used in [4] as the building blocks for the construction of supersymmetric actions for the interacting NG fermions. Possible actions for the fermionic NG fields are constructed in the form of the wedge products of the ω -forms (9), forming hyper-volumes imbedded in the extended superspace. The action candidates have to be invariant under the Lorentz and internal (unitary) symmetries. In the case of the $4D$ Minkowski space the invariant action of the NG fermions must include the factorized volume

element d^4x . This requirement restricts the structure of the admissible combinations of the ω -forms. If such a combination is given by a wedge product of the ω -forms (9) and their differentials, it should have the general number of the differentials equals four. The condition is satisfied by the well known invariant [4]

$$d^4V = \frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon_{mnpq} \omega^m \wedge \omega^n \wedge \omega^p \wedge \omega^q, \quad (11)$$

where \wedge is the wedge product symbol, that gives the supersymmetric extension of the volume element d^4x of the Minkowski space. The supersymmetric volume (11) is invariant under the Lorentz and unitary groups. It does not contain the spinorial one-forms ω_α^I and $\bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}I}$, but they appear, e.g. in the following invariant products [4]

$$\Omega^{(4)} = \omega_\alpha^I \wedge \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\beta}I} \wedge \tilde{\sigma}_m^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} d \wedge \omega^m, \quad \tilde{\Omega}^{(4)} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha^I \wedge \omega_\beta^J \wedge \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}I} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{\dot{\beta}J} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (12)$$

where $d \wedge \omega^m$ is the exterior differential of ω^m . The additional important symmetry of the invariants (11) and (12) is their independence on the choice of the superspace coordinate realization. It means that the four dimensional hypersurfaces, associated with (11-12), may be parametrized by various ways. Because the Volkov's idea was to identify the Grassmannian θ coordinates with the fermionic NG fields, associated with the spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry, they must be considered as functions of x . This requirement means transition to the non-linear realization of supersymmetry.

It explains why the pullbacks of the 4-form d^4V (11) or its generalizations (12) on the 4-dimensional Minkowski subspace were proposed in [4] to generate supersymmetric actions for the fermionic NG fields. As a result of the observations, the differential forms ω_m (10) and d^4V are presented as

$$\omega_m = (\delta_m^n - \frac{i}{4} \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_n} \gamma_m \theta) dx_n = W_m^n dx_n, \quad d^4V = \det W d^4x. \quad (13)$$

The identification of θ with the fermionic NG field is achieved by the change: $\psi(x) = a^{-1/2} \theta(x)$, where a has sense of the interaction constant $[a] = L^4$ that introduces a supersymmetry breaking scale. This constant restores the correct dimension $L^{-3/2}$ of the fermionic field $\psi(x)$ and the transition to ψ in (13) and d^4V yields the original Volkov-Akulov action [4]

$$S = \frac{1}{a} \int \det W d^4x \quad (14)$$

with the 4×4 matrix $W_m^n(\psi, \partial_m \psi)$ defined by the following relations

$$W_m^n = \delta_m^n + a T_m^n, \quad T_m^n = -\frac{i}{4} \partial^n \bar{\psi} \gamma_m \psi. \quad (15)$$

An explicit form of the action S (14) follows from the definition of $\det W$

$$\det W = -\frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \varepsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} W_{m_1}^{n_1} W_{m_2}^{n_2} W_{m_3}^{n_3} W_{m_4}^{n_4}, \quad (16)$$

where we chose $\varepsilon_{0123} = 1$. Using (15) and (16) presents S (14) in the form

$$S = \int d^4 x \left[\frac{1}{a} + T_m^m + \frac{a}{2} (T_m^m T_n^n - T_m^n T_n^m) + a^2 T^{(3)} + a^3 T^{(4)} \right], \quad (17)$$

where $T^{(3)}$ and $T^{(4)}$ code the interaction terms of the NG fermions that are cubic and quartic in the fermion derivative $\partial_m \psi$. The first term in (17) provides a non-zero vacuum expectation value for the VA Lagrangian, confirming that it describes the spontaneously broken supersymmetry. In supergravity this term associates with the cosmological term [9], [10]. The second term reproduces the free action for the massless NG fermion $\psi(x)$

$$S_0 = \int d^4 x T_m^m = -\frac{i}{4} \int d^4 x \partial^m \bar{\psi} \gamma_m \psi, \quad (18)$$

The terms $T^{(3)}$ and $T^{(4)}$ cubic and respectively quartic in the NG fermion derivatives were presented in [4] in the form

$$T^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_p (-)^p T_m^m T_n^n T_l^l, \quad T^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4!} \sum_p (-)^p T_m^m T_n^n T_l^l T_k^k, \quad (19)$$

where the sum \sum_p corresponds to the sum in all permutations of subindices in the products of the tensors T_n^m . The terms (19) describe the vertexes with six and eight NG fermions.

4 Higher derivative generalizations of the Volkov-Akulov action

The ω -form formalism [4] yields a clear geometric way to extend the VA action by the higher degree terms in the NG fermion derivatives. In general

case the combinations of the ω -forms (10), admissible for the higher order invariant actions, have to be the homogenous functions of the degree four in the differentials dx and $d\psi$. The latter condition guarantees the factorization of the volume element d^4x in the action integral. To restrict the number of these invariants the minimality condition for the degree of derivatives $\partial_m\psi$ in the general action

$$S = \int d^4x L(\psi, \partial_m\psi) \quad (20)$$

was proposed in [4]. The minimality condition takes into account only the lowest degrees of the derivatives $\partial_m\psi$ in the Lagrangian and corresponds to the low energy limit. To count the degree of $\partial_m\psi$ in different invariants observed was that these derivatives appear from the differentials $d\psi$ in the fundamental ω -forms. From this point of view there is an important difference among the spinor and vector 1-forms (9). The spinor one-form contain one derivative $\partial_m\psi$, but the vector form (13) either do not contain the ψ fields at all or contain one derivative $\partial_m\psi$ accompanied by ψ . As a result, the whole number of the derivatives $\partial_m\psi$ with respect to the whole number of the fermionic NG fields is lower in the vector differential one-forms than in the spinor ones. The invariants including the exterior differential of the ω -forms like $\Omega^{(4)}$ in (12) have the higher degree in $\partial_m\psi$ in comparison with the product of ω -forms themselves. The same conclusion concerns the invariant $\tilde{\Omega}^{(4)}$ including only the spinor forms.

Thus, the demand of the minimality of the number of the derivatives $\partial_m\psi$ in S (20) will be satisfied if the admissible invariants will contain only the vector differential one-forms ω_m . The exact realization of the minimality condition by the VA action uniquely fixes its solving the problem of the effective action construction in the low energy limit.

5 Cancellation of 4-derivative terms in the Volkov-Akulov action

For the case of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry the algebraic structure of the terms $T^{(3)}$ and $T^{(4)}$ (19) was analysed in [5] using the Weyl spinor basis. It was observed that the terms having the fourth degree in $\partial_m\psi$ and collected in $T^{(4)}$ completely cancel out.

Here we consider an alternative proof of the observation using the Majo-

rana bispinor representation. In correspondence to representation (16) the term $T^{(4)}$ (19) may be written as

$$T^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \varepsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} T_{m_1}^{n_1} T_{m_2}^{n_2} T_{m_3}^{n_3} T_{m_4}^{n_4} = \quad (21)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4!} (\varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \bar{\psi}_{a_1}^{n_1} \bar{\psi}_{a_2}^{n_2} \bar{\psi}_{a_3}^{n_3} \bar{\psi}_{a_4}^{n_4}) (\varepsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \gamma_{m_1}^{a_1 b_1} \gamma_{m_2}^{a_2 b_2} \gamma_{m_3}^{a_3 b_3} \gamma_{m_4}^{a_4 b_4})$$

$$(\psi_{b_1} \psi_{b_2} \psi_{b_3} \psi_{b_4}),$$

where $\gamma_m^{ab} = (C\gamma_m)^{ab}$ is a symmetric matrix in the bispinor indices ($a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and the condensed notation $\bar{\psi}_a^n := \partial^n \bar{\psi}_a$ is introduced. The product $\psi_{b_1} \psi_{b_2} \psi_{b_3} \psi_{b_4}$ in (21) is a completely antisymmetric spin-tensor of the maximal rank four since of the Grassmannian nature of the spinor components ψ_b . Then we find that the product may be presented in the equivalent form as

$$\psi_{b_1} \psi_{b_2} \psi_{b_3} \psi_{b_4} = -(C_{b_1 b_2}^{-1} C_{b_3 b_4}^{-1} + C_{b_1 b_3}^{-1} C_{b_4 b_2}^{-1} + C_{b_1 b_4}^{-1} C_{b_2 b_3}^{-1}) \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_4, \quad (22)$$

where the antisymmetric matrix C^{-1} is inverse of the charge conjugation matrix C (6). The representation (22) collects all spinors ψ without derivatives in the form of a scalar multiplier. The substitution of (22) in (21) transforms it into the sum of products of the bilinear spinor covariants

$$T^{(4)} = \frac{3}{4!} \Phi \Xi, \quad \Xi := \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_4, \quad (23)$$

$$\Phi := \varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \varepsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} (\bar{\psi}^{n_1} \Sigma_{m_1 m_2} \psi^{n_2}) (\bar{\psi}^{n_3} \Sigma_{m_3 m_4} \psi^{n_4}),$$

where $\Sigma_{mn} := \frac{1}{2} [\gamma_m, \gamma_n]$ are the Lorentz transformation generators.

Taking into account the well known property of Σ_{mn}

$$\varepsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4} \Sigma_{m_3 m_4} = -2\gamma^5 \Sigma_{m_1 m_2}, \quad \gamma^5 := \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

one can present the Lorentz invariant Φ (23) in the compact form

$$\Phi = -2\varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} (\bar{\psi}^{n_1} \Sigma_{m_1 m_2} \psi^{n_2}) (\bar{\psi}^{n_3} \Sigma^{m_1 m_2} \gamma^5 \psi^{n_4}). \quad (25)$$

Using representation (25) we shall prove the vanishing of Φ . To this end let us recall the known Fierz relation for the Grassmannian spinors χ_i

$$(\bar{\chi}_1 \chi_2) (\bar{\chi}_3 \chi_4) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{N=1}^{16} (\bar{\chi}_1 \Gamma^A \chi_4) (\bar{\chi}_3 \Gamma_A \chi_2), \quad (26)$$

where the 16 Dirac matrices Γ^A and their inverse $\Gamma_A = (\Gamma_A)^{-1}$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^A &:= (1, \gamma^m, \Sigma^{mn}, \gamma^5, \gamma^5 \gamma^m), \\ \Gamma_A &:= (\Gamma^A)^{-1} = (1, -\gamma_m, -\Sigma_{mn}, -\gamma^5, -\gamma^5 \gamma_m),\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

form the complete basis in the space of 4×4 matrices. As a result, we obtain

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} \sum_{A=1}^{16} (\bar{\psi}^{,n_1} \Sigma_{m_1 m_2} \Gamma^A \Sigma^{m_1 m_2} \gamma^5 \psi^{,n_4}) (\bar{\psi}^{,n_3} \Gamma_A \psi^{,n_2}).\tag{28}$$

The r.h.s of (28) includes the products of two bilinear covariants. The second (right) of them $(\bar{\psi}^{,n_3} \Gamma_A \psi^{,n_2})$ is either symmetric or antisymmetric under the permutation $n_3 \leftrightarrow n_2$. Only the antisymmetric covariants generated by $\Gamma_A = (-\gamma_r, -\Sigma_{rs})$ give non-zero contribution to (28). The first (left) covariant in (28), corresponding to the above choice of Γ_A , includes either the matrix L_v or L_t given by the expressions

$$L_v = \Sigma_{m_1 m_2} \gamma^r \Sigma^{m_1 m_2} \gamma^5, \quad L_t = \Sigma_{m_1 m_2} \Sigma^{rs} \Sigma^{m_1 m_2} \gamma^5.\tag{29}$$

Using the representation of $\Sigma_{m_1 m_2}$ in the form $\Sigma_{m_1 m_2} = (\eta_{m_1 m_2} + \gamma_{m_1} \gamma_{m_2})$ we obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{m_1 m_2} \Gamma^A \Sigma^{m_1 m_2} &= 4\Gamma^A - \gamma_{m_1} \gamma_{m_2} \Gamma^A \gamma^{m_2} \gamma^{m_1}, \\ \gamma_m \gamma^r \gamma^m &= 2\gamma^r, \quad \gamma_m \Sigma^{rs} \gamma^m = 0\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

which show that

$$L_v = 0, \quad L_t = 4\Sigma^{rs} \gamma^5.\tag{31}$$

Using the results (31) permits to present (28) in the next form

$$\Phi = -2\varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} (\bar{\psi}^{,n_1} \Sigma^{rs} \gamma^5 \psi^{,n_4}) (\bar{\psi}^{,n_3} \Sigma_{rs} \psi^{,n_2}).\tag{32}$$

Taking into account the symmetry property $(C\Sigma^{rs} \gamma^5)^{ab} = (C\Sigma^{rs} \gamma^5)^{ba}$ and changing the summation indices $n_3 \leftrightarrow n_1$ one can present the expression (32) in the form

$$\Phi = 2\varepsilon_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} (\bar{\psi}^{,n_1} \Sigma_{rs} \psi^{,n_2}) (\bar{\psi}^{,n_3} \Sigma^{rs} \gamma^5 \psi^{,n_4}).\tag{33}$$

The matching (25) and (33) yields the expected result

$$\Phi = -\Phi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi = 0, \quad T^{(4)} = 0\tag{34}$$

which proves that the 4-derivative term $T^{(4)}$ (21) actually vanishes in agreement with the observation [5].

Thus, the maximal number of derivatives present in the Volkov-Akulov action reduces to three and the action takes the following form

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{a} + T_m^m + \frac{a}{2}(T_m^m T_n^n - T_m^n T_n^m) + \frac{a^2}{3!} \sum_p (-)^p T_m^m T_n^n T_l^l \right] \quad (35)$$

with the maximal number of NG fermions in the vertices equal six.

Matching the Lagrangian (35) and the Komargodski and Seiberg Lagrangian [6], having the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{AV} = -f^2 + i\partial_\mu \bar{G} \tilde{\sigma}^\mu G + \frac{1}{4f^2} \bar{G}^2 \partial^2 G^2 - \frac{1}{16f^6} G^2 \bar{G}^2 \partial^2 G^2 \partial^2 \bar{G}^2, \quad (36)$$

shows their difference, because of the presence of one 4-derivative term including eight NG fermions in (36). It hints that the KS superfield Lagrangian might not be minimal and then the question about the minimal superfield action arises. The second question concerns a possibility of such a type cancellations in the NG fermion couplings with other fields.

6 Coupling of the fermionic Nambu-Goldstone fields with the Dirac field

Here we show that the above discussed cancellation of the 4-derivative terms also occurs in the NG fermion couplings with other fields. It is easy to see by the application of the general Volkov's method [2] in the construction of the phenomenological Lagrangian, describing the NG particles interacting with other fields. The extension of this method, aimed at including the supersymmetric couplings, is based on joining of the differential $d\chi$ of a given field χ , carrying arbitrary spinor and unitary indices, to the set of the supersymmetric ω -forms [4]. Then the above described procedure for the minimal VA action construction, using only the ω -forms (9), may be applied to the enlarged set of these supersymmetric one-forms. The only restriction on the admissible χ -terms is the demand of their invariance under the Lorentz and the internal symmetry groups. The effective actions must be the homogenous functions of the degree four in the differentials dx , $d\psi$ and $d\chi$, and generally it has to restrict the number of the derivatives $\partial_m \psi$ to be

less than four. Then the considered cancellations are not relevant. However, if $d\chi$ is absent in the couplings then the 4-derivative cancellation may take place and will reduce the derivative $\partial_m\psi$ number in the corresponding vertices.

An instructive example of the described possibility gives the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ minimal supersymmetric coupling of the fermionic NG particle with the massive Dirac field χ in the low energy limit [4]

$$S = \int \left[\frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{mnpq} (\bar{\chi} \gamma^m d\chi - d\bar{\chi} \gamma^m \chi) \wedge \omega^n \wedge \omega^p \wedge \omega^q + m \bar{\chi} \chi \varepsilon_{mnpq} \omega^m \wedge \omega^n \wedge \omega^p \wedge \omega^q \right]. \quad (37)$$

The kinetic term of the Dirac field in (37) includes the differential $d\chi$ and the cancellation is absent here. The maximal number of the NG fermions at this term n_{NGf} equals six and the maximal number n_{NGd} of their derivatives equals three, just as in the case of the VA Lagrangian (35) after 4-derivative cancellation. The mass term in (37) does not include $d\chi$ and respectively it includes the supervolume form d^4V (11), because of the minimality condition. Then the cancellation effect does work and results in the same maximal numbers $n_{NGf} = 6$ and $n_{NGd} = 3$ as in the kinetic term. To present (37) in the standard notations [4] we substitute the ω -forms (13) in (37) and obtain

$$S = \int d^4x \left[R_m^m + a(R_m^m T_n^n - R_n^m T_m^n) + \frac{a^2}{2} \sum_p (-)^p R_m^m T_n^n T_l^l + \frac{a^3}{3!} \sum_p (-)^p R_m^m T_n^n T_l^l T_k^k + m \bar{\chi} \chi \det W \right], \quad (38)$$

where $R_n^m := \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\chi} \gamma^m \partial_n \chi - \partial_n \bar{\chi} \gamma^m \chi)$ is the kinetic term for χ . Using the expression for $\det W$ from (35), the mass term in (38) is presented as

$$m \bar{\chi} \chi \det W = m \bar{\chi} \chi + a m \bar{\chi} \chi \left[T_m^m + \frac{a}{2} (T_m^m T_n^n - T_m^n T_n^m) + \frac{a^2}{3!} \sum_p (-)^p T_m^m T_n^n T_l^l \right], \quad (39)$$

where $T_m^n = -\frac{i}{4} \partial^n \bar{\psi} \gamma_m \psi$ in accordance with the definition (15).

The mass term (39) contains the maximal number of the NG fermions $n_{NGf} = 6$ and respectively the derivative number $n_{NGd} = 3$, as a consequence of the cancellation of 4-derivative terms. These maximal numbers $n_{NGf} = 6$

and $n_{NGd} = 3$, characterizing the structure of the interaction action (37), are the same as for the VA action (35). The considered example shows that the cancellation effect takes place in the supersymmetric couplings containing the supervolume (11).

Thus, we obtain that an enough condition for the 4-derivative cancellation in the couplings of the fermionic NG particles is the presence of d^4V (11) there. The observation puts the question on the restoration of the minimal superfield action with couplings.

7 Discussions

Here we presented an independent algebraic proof of the cancellation of 4-derivative terms in the $D = 4$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ VA action using the Majorana bispinor representation and the Fiertz identities. The Majorana representation may simplify investigation of such a type cancellations in the case of extended supersymmetries and/or of the higher dimensional spaces. We observed that the cancellation results in the difference between the Komargodski-Seiberg superfield [6] and Volkov-Akulov [4] actions. The difference gives rise to the question about restoration of the minimal superfield action without 4-derivative terms which is equivalent to the VA action.

The second question arising from the cancellation concerns its presence in the NG fermion interactions with other fields. We found out that the cancellation occurs in the coupling of the fermionic NG field with massive Dirac fields. It yields the maximal number of the NG fermions n_{NGf} and their derivatives n_{NGd} in the interaction Lagrangian equal six and respectively three. The maximal numbers $n_{NGf} = 6$ and $n_{NGd} = 3$ are the same as in the VA action describing the NG fermion interactions between themselves. The observation puts the question on the restoration of the minimal superfield Lagrangian of interactions equivalent to the VA Lagrangian with couplings.

Taking into account the freedom in the NG field redefinition and/or in the change of the superfield constraint [6] may turn out to be enough to prove the equivalence of the KS and VA actions.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Paolo Di Vecchia and Fawad Hassan for the interesting discussions and critical remarks and Sergei Kuzenko for the letter concerning the paper [5]. I am grateful to the Department of Physics of Stockholm University and Nordic Institute for Theoretical Physics Nordita

for kind hospitality. This research was supported in part by Nordita.

References

- [1] S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2239 (1969);
C. Callan, S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2247 (1969).
- [2] D.V. Volkov, Phenomenological Lagrangian of the Goldstone particle interactions, Preprint ITF-69-75, Kiev, (1969) (in Russian); Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **4**(1),3 (1973).
- [3] D.V. Volkov, Phenomenological Lagrangians invariant under symmetry groups including the Poincare group as a subgroup, Lebedev Phys. Inst. Preprint N114, Moscow, (1971) (in Russian).
- [4] D.V. Volkov and V.P. Akulov, JETP Letters **16** 478 (1972); Phys. Lett. **B46**, 109 (1973); Theor. Math. Phys. **18**, 28 (1974).
- [5] S.M. Kuzenko and S.A. McCarthy, JHEP **05** 012 (2005).
- [6] Z. Komargodski and N. Seiberg, From Linear SUSY to Constrained Superfields, arXiv: 0907.2441v3 [hep-th].
- [7] A.A. Zheltukhin, Dmitrij Volkov, super-Poincare group and Grassmann variables, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **19**, No. 3-5, 177 (2010); arXiv:0911.0550 [hep-th].
- [8] J. Wess and J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and supergravity, Princeton University Press, Pinceton, 1992.
- [9] D.V. Volkov and V.A. Soroka, JETP Letters **18**, 312 (1973); Theor. Math. Phys. **20** (1974) 829.
- [10] D.V. Volkov, Supergravity before 1976, Proceedings of International Conference "History of Original Ideas and Basic Discoveries in Particle Physics". Eds. H.B. Newman and T. Ypsilantis, Plenum Press (NY) 1996, 663-675.