

Proof of the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture

Luigi Cantini^a, Andrea Sportiello^b

^a*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, École Normale Supérieure,
24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France*

^b*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università degli Studi di Milano, and INFN,
via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy*

Abstract

The Razumov-Stroganov conjecture relates the ground-state coefficients in the even-length dense $O(1)$ loop model to the enumeration of fully-packed loop configuration on the square, with alternating boundary conditions, refined according to the link pattern for the boundary points.

Here we prove this conjecture, by mean of purely combinatorial methods. The main ingredient is a generalization of the Wieland proof technique for the dihedral symmetry of these classes, based on the ‘gyration’ operation, whose full strength we will investigate in a companion paper.

Keywords: Fully-packed loop configurations, Alternating Sign Matrices, Dense loop model, XXZ Quantum Spin Chain, Razumov-Stroganov conjecture.

1. Introduction

The study of Alternating Sign Matrices (ASM), i.e. matrices with entries 1, -1 and 0 such that each row and column sums to 1, and 1 and -1 alternate along rows and columns, has a long tradition. These objects were introduced by Mills, Robbins and Rumsey [1, 2], motivated by the study of λ -*determinants*. The authors recognized immediately the relation of the resulting enumeration with the ones of several other problems, most notably *Plane Partitions*, i.e. rhombus tilings of portions of the triangular lattice.

The first proof of their enumeration has been given by Zeilberger [3], in a sort of *tour de force* by which he essentially proved, through non-bijective techniques of generating functions, that ASMs are equinumerous to *Totally Symmetric Self-Complementary Plane Partitions* (TSSCPP), whose enumeration formula was previously proven by Andrews [4]. Slightly later, Kuperberg [5] found a simpler proof which exploited the bijection between ASM and configurations of the *six-vertex model* with domain wall boundary condition, a Yang-Baxter integrable system in statistical mechanics [6]. It was the integrability of this latter that allowed physicists to come out with an explicit determinantal formula for its partition function [7], which was used in Kuperberg proof. It is worth mentioning

Email addresses: `luigi.cantini@lpt.ens.fr` (Luigi Cantini), `Andrea.Sportiello@mi.infn.it` (Andrea Sportiello)

that, although not used in this proof, the specialization of the six-vertex model pertinent to the uniform measure over all ASM leads to an even stronger symmetry, and a formula for the partition function that involves a *Schur function*, for a certain “triangular” Young diagram [8, 9].

Another incarnation of the ASM are the *fully-packed loop* configurations (FPL) on regions of the square lattice. A FPL is a colouring, in two colours (say, black and white), of the edges of the domain, such that each vertex is adjacent to two edges of each colour. When the region is a square, and the colouring of the edges of the boundary is fixed in an alternating fashion, then the FPL are in bijection with ASM. The reformulation of ASM in terms of FPL leads naturally to consider enumerations of family of ASM, whose lines of given colour, in the FPL formulation, present a given connectivity pattern (called *link pattern*). The first striking property of these enumerations, noted by Bosley and Fidkowski and proven by Wieland [10], is that they are symmetric under a dihedral symmetry D_{2n} (for a square of side n), much larger than the obvious symmetry group for FPL on the square.

A much stronger fact was pushed forward by Razumov and Stroganov [11], who conjectured that the the enumerations of FPL with a given link pattern appear as components of the *ground-state wavefunction* in the dense $O(1)$ loop model on a semi-infinite cylinder (a *different* Yang-Baxter integrable model), i.e., the steady state w.r.t. the Markov Chain associated to the transfer matrix of the model. Besides the striking numerical evidence in favour of the conjecture, several particular cases have been solved positively in the literature. Among these, the sum rule was proven by Di Francesco and Zinn-Justin [12], and, for some infinite families of link patterns it is possible to compare explicit formulae for FPL enumerations [13] with exact results on the $O(1)$ loop model side [14]. More generally, up to now, promising research lines for proving the conjecture have been mainly lying on the attempt of “computing” the FPL enumerations, and comparing the result with the components of the loop model ground state [15, 16], a strategy that, interestingly, has seen the emergence of the combinatorics of *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* [17, 18].

In the present paper we give a purely combinatorial proof of the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture. The main idea is to recognize the fundamental role of *gyration*, an operation that can be performed on FPL, which was already introduced by Mills, Robbins and Rumsey [2] and was the key in Wieland’s proof of the larger dihedral symmetry [10].

A more striking evidence of the role of gyration is in a fact that we noticed *before* performing the present work, and plan to illustrate in a longer companion paper [27]: the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture remains true, apart for a global multiplicative factor, on a large family of more general domains, as long as these domains are such that the gyration operation induces dihedral symmetry (cfr. figure 9, left, in Section 5, for an illustration). As a result, we have a *family* of Razumov-Stroganov conjectures, indicized by various other integer parameters, besides the size parameter n . This raised the quest for an unified understanding of the conjecture, on this whole family of domains. As gyration was the tool for classifying the family, we expected (and it happened to be the case) that it would have also played a major role in the unified simultaneous proof [27].

In [27] we will also deal with the case of FPL with symmetries, for which there exist variants of the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture [19]. This point is discussed more extensively in a conclusive section, sec. 5.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give precise definitions of the

combinatorial objects we deal with. We introduce the Temperley-Lieb algebra acting on link patterns, and we formulate the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture. In section 3 we show that the conjecture is a consequence of another striking enumeration symmetry of FPL (to our knowledge previously unnoticed), Lemma 3.1 (an illustration of this fact is in figure 3), and a proposition (Prop. 3.1) on how the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture can be reduced to a special case of this lemma. Proposition 3.1 will take us some work to be proven. This is done, *assuming* certain “gyration relations”, in Section 3. The gyration relations are proven separately in Section 4. Indeed, they come out as a very special corollary of a broader analysis of gyration, performed in Section 4 in a somewhat larger generality w.r.t. what would suffice for the required gyration relations, and will be performed in an even larger generality in [27].

The reader may find useful a glossary of definitions reported in Appendix A.

2. Statement of the conjecture

2.1. Fully-packed loops on the square lattice

Consider a region Λ of the square lattice, determined through a closed path on the dual lattice. This identifies a set of internal vertices and edges, $V(\Lambda)$ and $E_0(\Lambda)$, and a set of “boundary” edges $E_1(\Lambda)$. Call $E = E_0 \cup E_1$ and $2N$ the cardinality of E_1 (every closed path on the square lattice has even length).

We are interested in ensembles of configurations $\phi : E \rightarrow \{b, w\}^E$ (black and white) of edge-colourations, satisfying the *ice rule*: each vertex $v \in V$ is adjacent to two black and two white edges. We call such a configuration a *fully-packed loop configuration* (FPL), and denote with $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda)$ this ensemble. Consider the partition of $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda)$ into sub-ensembles accordingly to the boundary conditions τ for ϕ , encoded as vectors in $\{b, w\}^{E_1}$. We denote by $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda; \tau)$ the ensemble of FPL ϕ whose restriction to E_1 is τ .

A given τ has certain sets $E_b(\tau), E_w(\tau) \subseteq E_1$ of black and white entries. It is easily seen that, if their cardinalities are odd, then $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda; \tau) = \emptyset$. So we can write $|E_b(\tau)| = 2n$ and $|E_w(\tau)| = 2(N - n)$.

Because of the ice rule, a configuration $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda; \tau)$ causes the set $E(\Lambda)$ to decompose into black and white closed cycles, and black and white open paths, with endpoints respectively in E_b and E_w . Black paths among themselves, and white paths among themselves, are non-crossing, while black and white paths may cross with each other. Label with indices from 1 to $2n$ the points of E_b , in cyclic order. To a certain FPL ϕ we can thus associate a pairing $\pi(\phi) \in \mathcal{LP}(n)$ of the endpoints, where $\mathcal{LP}(n)$ is the set of *link patterns*, i.e. non-crossing matchings on the disk, for $2n$ points on the border. The pairing is non-crossing, as the square lattice is planar, and the endpoints are on the boundary of the domain. We call $\Psi_{\Lambda; \tau}(\pi)$ the number of configurations in $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda; \tau)$ with link pattern π .

Remark that, in order to be definite in the description of $\pi(\phi)$, we have to specify, besides Λ , also a cyclic labeling for the black terminations. Even if we agree on using counter-clockwise labeling, we have to specify a starting point. We will be careful on this aspect, all along the paper and within its figures.

An example of FPL is shown in figure 1.

As we said, a simple bijection relates FPL configurations to configurations in the statistical ensemble of the six-vertex model. The jargon of this model suggests to denote




Figure 1: Top left: an example of domain Λ . Top right: an example of domain with given boundary condition, (Λ, τ) . Bottom left: an example of FPL $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau)$. Bottom right: the associated link pattern $\pi(\phi) \in \mathcal{LP}(n)$; a small arrow matches the indicization of the endpoints on the FPL and on the link pattern.

by the letters a , b and c the six possible configurations of ϕ in a neighbourhood of a vertex, according to the following rule (cfr. e.g. [20, pp.33-34])

$$a : \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{white} & \text{white} \\ \hline \text{black} & \text{white} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{white} & \text{white} \\ \hline \text{white} & \text{black} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad b : \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{white} & \text{white} \\ \hline \text{white} & \text{black} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{white} & \text{white} \\ \hline \text{black} & \text{white} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad c : \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{white} & \text{white} \\ \hline \text{black} & \text{black} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{white} & \text{white} \\ \hline \text{white} & \text{black} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (1)$$

For sets $A \subseteq B$, and $x \in \{b, w\}^B$, $x|_A$ denotes the restriction of x to the space $\{b, w\}^A$. For example, $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau)$ iff $\phi|_{E'} = \tau$. Also, for vectors $x \in \{b, w\}^A$, a bar denotes the *complementation* involution $b \leftrightarrow w$, i.e. \bar{x} is the vector such that $\bar{x}_i = b \leftrightarrow x_i = w$ and $\bar{x}_i = w \leftrightarrow x_i = b$. For example, if $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau)$, then $\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$.

A specially interesting case of domain is the one in which Λ is a square of side n , and $\tau = \tau_+ = (bw bw \dots bw)$, or the complementary choice $\tau_- = \bar{\tau}_+ = (wb wb \dots wb)$ (remark that there is no collision of notation here with $|E_b| = 2n$). The corresponding domains are shown in figure 2. A complete discussion of this situation, in the framework of interest for this work, can be found in [20, 21]. We denote by $\mathcal{Fpl}(n, \pm)$ the corresponding ensembles, and $\Psi_{n; \pm}(\pi)$ the corresponding cardinalities of the refined classes.

In this case, a bijection exists with Alternating Sign Matrices [1, 2, 22, 23], and remarkable combinatorial relations arise, some of which are proven, others having striking numerical evidence. Some examples are

- A large dihedral symmetry (proven in [10]), stating that $\Psi_{n; \pm}(\pi)$ is invariant under




Figure 2: Left: the square domain with boundary conditions τ_+ . Right: the one with boundary conditions τ_- .

cyclic permutations acting over π , and also that

$$\Psi_{n,+}(\pi) = \Psi_{n,-}(\pi) \quad (2)$$

(we will thus drop the index \pm in the following).

- Round formulas for some of these enumerations, among which, notably, the cardinality of the whole set, $A_n = |\mathcal{Fpl}(n)| = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(3j+1)!}{(n+j)!}$ (conjectured in [1] and proven in [3, 5]).
- Identities for special configurations, among which $\Psi_n(\pi) = A_{n-1}$ for the link pattern $\pi = ((12), (34), (56), \dots)$ (conjectured by J. Propp in [23]), and various others in [10, 23].
- Polynomiality in k of quantities $\Psi_{n+2k}(\pi//_k \setminus_k)$, where $\pi//_k \setminus_k$ denotes a link pattern $\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)$, adjoined of a “rainbow” of arcs connecting $2n+i$ with $2n+2k+1-i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ (conjectured in [24] and proven in [25, 26]).

This is the framework of the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture [11]. More precisely, the conjecture states the identity (up to a single normalization overall) between the refined enumerations $\Psi_n(\pi)$, and a certain set of integers $\tilde{\Psi}_n(\pi)$ arising as components of the ground state of the dense $O(1)$ loop model, for a cylindrical geometry with $2n$ sites per row.

This is a problem arising in the physics of integrable quantum one-dimensional systems, which started from the context of the XXZ Quantum Spin Chain, at anisotropy parameter $\Delta = -1/2$, and it would take us a long detour to give here an appropriate introduction (we refer the reader to [20, 21]). Nonetheless, it is relatively easy to give a purely combinatorial formulation of the “dense-loop model side” of the conjecture, at the only price of introducing a simple diagram algebra acting on the space $\mathcal{LP}(n)$. This algebra is a representation of the “affine Temperley-Lieb Algebra over $2n$ generators, with parameter $q = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}}$ (i.e. at a cubic root of unity)”, and, with some sloppiness, we just call it *Temperley-Lieb Algebra* in the present context.

2.2. Temperley-Lieb Algebra

For π a link pattern in $\mathcal{LP}(n)$, and $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, define $\pi(i)$ as the index matched to i . Use cyclic notation for the indices ($i \equiv i + 2n$).

The parameter q , here set to $e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}}$, would have appeared in the first case of (4) (if $\pi(j) = j + 1$, then $e_j(\pi)$ also produces an overall factor $-q - q^{-1}$) and in equation (5b) (which would read $e_i^2 = (-q - q^{-1}) e_i$). In a graphical representation, as in the pictures of equation (9), we can think to this factor as associated to the cycles that are detached from the boundary by the diagram action of e_j . Of course, $-q - q^{-1} = 1$ for $q = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}}$.

2.3. A remark on vector notation

We will adopt all along the paper a “vector” notation. Indeed, various facts we deal with here take the form

$$\forall \pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n) \quad A(\pi) = B(\pi) \quad (10)$$

for $A(\pi)$ and $B(\pi)$ “numbers” associated to link-pattern configurations π .

Such a statement can be phrased in terms of formal vectors $|\pi\rangle$, taken as the canonical basis of a linear space over the field \mathbb{C} (or any other field in which $A(\pi)$ and $B(\pi)$ are valued, such as \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}). The dimension of this linear space is $|\mathcal{LP}(n)| = C_n$, the n -th Catalan number, and we will denote the space as $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(n)}$. Calling $\mathbf{0}$ the zero vector in this space, the relation above reads

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} (A(\pi) - B(\pi)) |\pi\rangle = \mathbf{0}. \quad (11)$$

If it is understood that $|A\rangle = \sum_{\pi} A(\pi) |\pi\rangle$ and $|B\rangle = \sum_{\pi} B(\pi) |\pi\rangle$, then the identity (10) is just the fact that $|A\rangle = |B\rangle$ as vectors in this space.

An example of this notation is the statement of the dihedral symmetry. If R is the rotation operator, we have

$$\forall \pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n) \quad \Psi_n(\pi) = \Psi_n(R\pi) \quad (12)$$

and can be rephrased as

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} (\Psi_n(\pi) - \Psi_n(R\pi)) |\pi\rangle = \mathbf{0}, \quad (13)$$

or also

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} \Psi_n(\pi) (|\pi\rangle - |R^{-1}\pi\rangle) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (14)$$

If it is understood that a certain operator \hat{X} acts on $\mathcal{LP}(n)$ as $\hat{X}|\pi\rangle = |X\pi\rangle$, then we do not need to write sums all the time. For statements concerning the refined enumerations of FPL, we will just define, once and forever, the state

$$|s_n\rangle := \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{FPL}(n,+)} |\pi(\phi)\rangle = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} \Psi_n(\pi) |\pi\rangle, \quad (15)$$

and, for example, the dihedral symmetry reads in these notations

$$(R - 1)|s_n\rangle = \mathbf{0}. \quad (16)$$

Note that the Temperley-Lieb operators e_j , defined in the previous section, act on $\mathcal{LP}(n)$, and thus expressions such as $e_j|s_n\rangle$ make sense in this notational framework:

$$e_j|s_n\rangle = e_j\left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} \Psi_n(\pi)|\pi\rangle\right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} \Psi_n(\pi)|e_j(\pi)\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Similarly, we may have operators \tilde{X} acting on $\mathcal{Fpl}(n, \tau)$. For dealing with these cases, we will introduce a vector space whose basis vectors are all the valid FPL configurations, $\|\phi\rangle\rangle \in \mathcal{Fpl}(n, \tau)$.¹ This space is thus isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{Fpl}(n, \tau)}$, and the action on the basis vectors is just

$$\tilde{X}\|\phi\rangle\rangle = \|\tilde{X}(\phi)\rangle\rangle. \quad (18)$$

We have natural maps $\Pi_\tau : \mathcal{Fpl}(n, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{LP}(n)$, defined as $\Pi_\tau\|\phi\rangle\rangle = |\pi(\phi)\rangle$, and also a natural definition of the states enumerating all FPL

$$\|s_{n, \tau}\rangle\rangle := \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(n, \tau)} \|\phi\rangle\rangle \quad (19)$$

such that, in particular, according to our definition (15) of the state $|s\rangle$,

$$|s_n\rangle = \Pi_\pm\|s_{n, \pm}\rangle\rangle, \quad (20)$$

and we could be interested, e.g., in the action

$$\Pi_+\tilde{X}\|s_{n, +}\rangle\rangle = \Pi_+\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(n, +)} \|\tilde{X}(\phi)\rangle\rangle = \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(n, +)} |\pi(\tilde{X}(\phi))\rangle, \quad (21)$$

which is a certain vector in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(n)}$, and thus, for example, is comparable to $|s_n\rangle$, our vector of interest.

2.4. The conjecture

Consider FPL configurations in the ensemble $\mathcal{Fpl}(n; +)$, and the Temperley-Lieb Algebra with $2n$ generators. Adopt the notation $|s_n\rangle$ as in (15). Define the *Hamiltonian* (a term motivated by the XXZ Spin Chain)

$$H_n = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} e_k. \quad (22)$$

The Razumov-Stroganov conjecture reads

Conjecture 2.1 (Razumov-Stroganov).

$$H_n|s_n\rangle = 2n|s_n\rangle. \quad (23)$$

In order to have simple notations, we define

$$\text{RS}_n := (H_n - 2n)|s_n\rangle, \quad (24)$$

and the conjecture just states that $\text{RS}_n = \mathbf{0}$ as a vector in the linear space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(n)}$.

¹In order to improve readability, we use double parenthesis for vectors in this different space.

3. Proof of the conjecture

3.1. A rewriting of the quantity $H|s\rangle$

In a sequence of sections, we analyse the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture for the periodic $O(1)$ loop model with $2n$ sites, corresponding to FPL configurations over a square domain of side n . Subscripts n , such as in equations (15) and (22-24), will be dropped from now on, in order to enlight notation.

Choose to fix the boundary conditions, and the labels of the external black legs, in such a way that the vertical external edge at the bottom-left corner is black and has label 1, and the labels are given cyclically in counter-clockwise order (cfr. figure A.10 in Appendix A).

The property (5a) allows to rewrite the Hamiltonian (22) as

$$H = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} R^k e_j R^{-k}, \quad (25)$$

for any index $1 \leq j \leq 2n$. Recall that the ordinary Wieland Theorem on dihedral symmetry gives

$$R|s\rangle = |s\rangle \quad (26)$$

which, combined with (25), gives

$$H|s\rangle = (1 + R + R^2 + \dots + R^{2n-1})e_j|s\rangle. \quad (27)$$

Call Sym the operator

$$\text{Sym} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} R^k, \quad (28)$$

which has the simple property

$$\text{Sym} R = R \text{Sym} = \text{Sym}. \quad (29)$$

This gives a rewriting of the quantity appearing in the conjecture

$$\text{RS} = \text{Sym} (e_j - 1)|s\rangle, \quad (30)$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$.

3.2. A restatement of the conjecture

For a given plaquette α within our domain Λ , define the operator $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi)$ as

$$\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi) = \begin{cases} +1 & \phi|_\alpha = \overline{\text{—}} \\ -1 & \phi|_\alpha = \text{—} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

By “ $\phi|_\alpha = \overline{\text{—}}$ ” we mean that the plaquette α is composed of two black horizontal edges, and two white vertical edges, while by “ $\phi|_\alpha = \text{—}$ ” we mean the analogous statement with black and white interchanged. Similarly define the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha$, acting diagonally over $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}^{pl}(\Lambda, \tau)}$ as $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha|\phi\rangle = \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi)|\phi\rangle$.

As $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi) \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$, the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha$ is the difference of two orthogonal projectors, more precisely, for a state $\|s\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau)}$, the state $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha\|s\rangle$ takes the form

$$\|s\rangle = \text{[Diagram of a 4x4 grid in a yellow shape with a central square labeled } \alpha \text{]} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha\|s\rangle = \text{[Diagram of a 4x4 grid in a yellow shape with a central square highlighted]} - \text{[Diagram of a 4x4 grid in a yellow shape with a central square highlighted]} \quad (32)$$

In [10, sec. 5] it is explained that, for the square domain, two gyration operations, H_\pm , can be defined, and the full gyration operator, by which one proves the symmetry statement (12), is $G = H_-H_+$. In Section 4, we illustrate under which conditions this fact extends to other domains (Λ, τ) .

Assume here that, for such a domain, two gyration operations $H_\pm : \mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$ are defined, and call $G = H_-H_+$. For a configuration $\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau)$, call $\mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau; \mathcal{O}(\phi))$ the orbit of ϕ inside $\mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau)$, under the action of G .

We have the lemma

Lemma 3.1. *With the definitions above, for every plaquette $\alpha \in \Lambda$, and every $\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau)$, we have*

$$\sum_{\phi' \in \mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau; \mathcal{O}(\phi))} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi') = 0. \quad (33)$$

Now consider the $n \times n$ square domain with alternating boundary conditions, ensemble $\mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm)$.

Call $\mathcal{LP}^*(n)$ the set of link patterns in $\mathcal{LP}(n)$, quotiented w.r.t. cyclic rotations, and call $[\pi]$ an element in this set (the class of π w.r.t. the equivalence relation $\pi \sim \pi'$ iff $\pi = R^k \pi'$ for some $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$). Call $\mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm; [\pi])$ the refined subsets of $\mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm)$ w.r.t. the quantities $[\pi(\phi)]$. Because of the dihedral symmetry for FPL on the square domain, the sets $\mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm; [\pi])$ are a disjoint union of whole orbits $\mathcal{F}pl(\Lambda, \tau; \mathcal{O}(\phi))$, so we get the corollary

Corollary 3.1. *For any $[\pi] \in \mathcal{LP}^*(n)$, and any plaquette α*

$$\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm; [\pi])} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi) = 0. \quad (34)$$

In vector notation, (34) is equivalent to

$$\text{Sym } \Pi_\pm \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha \|s_{n, \pm}\rangle = 0. \quad (35)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym } \Pi_\pm \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha \|s_{n, \pm}\rangle &= \text{Sym } \Pi_\pm \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm)} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha \|\phi\rangle = \text{Sym } \Pi_\pm \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm)} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi) \|\phi\rangle \\ &= \text{Sym} \sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm)} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi) |\pi(\phi)\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)} |\text{Aut}(\pi)| \left(\sum_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}pl(n, \pm; [\pi])} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi) \right) |\pi\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$




Figure 3: An illustration of Corollary 3.1, on the 42 FPL in the square of side 4. The three blocks of the picture (first two rows, rows 3–5 and the last row) correspond to the three classes $[\pi]$ in $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{P}^*}(4)$. We have blue and red bullets for configurations corresponding to the two non-trivial cases of equation (31), for the plaquette at coordinate $(3, 2)$. As claimed, separately in each of the three blocks, there are as many blue bullets as red bullets.

where $|\text{Aut}(\pi)|$ is the cardinality of the subgroup of rotations that stabilize π . This corollary is pictorially illustrated in an example in figure 3.

Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 are interesting by themselves. However, at this point we prefer to stress immediately what will show up to be their crucial property

Proposition 3.1. *For the $n \times n$ square, call α_j the plaquette located in the $(2j - 1)$ -th column-position along the bottom row. The quantity in the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture, defined in (24), is equal to*

$$\text{RS}_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \text{Sym} \Pi_{\pm} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\alpha_j} \langle s_{n, \pm} \rangle. \quad (37)$$

Clearly, the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture, equation (23), is proven if both Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.1 are proven, as the right-hand side of (37) is a sum of quantities as in (35), that vanish as a result of Corollary 3.1.

We give here the proof of Lemma 3.1, which is relatively short and simple, and devote the rest of the paper to the more composite proof of Proposition 3.1.

The proof of Lemma 3.1 can be read at two levels. The reader aware of [10], and interested only in the case of the square, $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau) = \mathcal{Fpl}(n, +)$, sufficient at the purpose of Corollary 3.1 and thus of the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture, can read directly the proof, with the understanding of this restriction. The reader interested in the more general statement will find in Section 4.1 the required preliminary discussion on gyration.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Call \tilde{H}_+ and \tilde{H}_- respectively the maps H_+ and H_- , followed by complementation. As the complementation commutes with H_\pm (it is just a labeling of the colours, and the definition (74) is symmetric), we also have $G = \tilde{H}_- \tilde{H}_+$, and all these three maps are bijections over $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau)$ (without involving $\tilde{\tau}$).

We start by proving the statement for plaquettes α adjacent to the border. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(n, \pm)$, define the infinite string in the alphabet $\{-1, 0, +1\}$

$$\nu(\phi) = (\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi), \mathcal{N}_\alpha(G\phi), \mathcal{N}_\alpha(G^2\phi), \mathcal{N}_\alpha(G^3\phi), \dots). \quad (38)$$

As the string of $\{G^k\phi\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is periodic over the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\phi)$, also the values $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(G^k\phi)$ are a periodic sequence, with period $|\mathcal{O}(\phi)|$. We claim that $\nu(\phi)$ is composed of alternating $+1$'s and -1 's, separated by intervals of zeroes (possibly empty). From this statement, the proposition specialized to plaquettes on the border would follow.

The analysis of $\nu(\phi)$ is performed through the analysis of a further auxiliary string. Assume that α is adjacent to the border of the square through a horizontal edge e , and that it undergoes gyration in the first of the two parity rounds, \tilde{H}_+ .² Define the string in the alphabet $\{b, w\}$

$$\mu(\phi) = (\phi_e, (G\phi)_e, (G^2\phi)_e, (G^3\phi)_e, \dots). \quad (39)$$

Then, clearly $\nu_k = +1$ only if $\mu_k = b$, and $\nu_k = -1$ only if $\mu_k = w$. But we have more than this. Indeed, if $\nu_k = +1$, the plaquette α will undergo gyration in the next \tilde{H}_+ round. Then, e is not touched by the \tilde{H}_- round. So we have not only $\mu_k = b$, but also $\mu_{k+1} = w$. Similarly, if $\nu_k = -1$, we have not only $\mu_k = w$, but also $\mu_{k+1} = b$. Also the converse holds: the only possibility for $\mu_k \neq \mu_{k+1}$ is that $\nu_k \neq 0$, as otherwise e is not interested by gyration at round \tilde{H}_+ , and in general e is never interested by \tilde{H}_- . So, the sequence $\nu(\phi)$ collects the positions of the inversions (with sign) in the binary sequence $\mu(\phi)$, and thus has the claimed structure of an alternating sequence of $+1$'s and -1 's, separated by intervals of zeroes. This completes the proof for boundary plaquettes.

Now consider two neighbouring plaquettes α and β , sharing a common edge e , say horizontal. We claim that

$$\sum_{\phi' \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau; \mathcal{O}(\phi))} \mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi') - \sum_{\phi' \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau; \mathcal{O}(\tilde{H}_+(\phi)))} \mathcal{N}_\beta(\phi') = 0. \quad (40)$$

From this statement, the whole lemma would follow, as we already know that, if α is a border plaquette, in the equation above the sum on the left is zero.

The reasoning is analogous to the previous one, but now we consider a string $\tilde{\mu}$ on the single rounds \tilde{H}_\pm

$$\tilde{\mu}(\phi) = (\phi_e, (\tilde{H}_+\phi)_e, (G\phi)_e, (\tilde{H}_+G\phi)_e, (G^2\phi)_e, (\tilde{H}_+G^2\phi)_e, \dots). \quad (41)$$

We also consider the strings

$$\nu_\alpha(\phi) = (\mathcal{N}_\alpha(\phi), \mathcal{N}_\alpha(G\phi), \mathcal{N}_\alpha(G^2\phi), \mathcal{N}_\alpha(G^3\phi), \dots); \quad (42)$$

$$\nu_\beta(\phi) = (\mathcal{N}_\beta(\tilde{H}_+\phi), \mathcal{N}_\beta(\tilde{H}_+G\phi), \mathcal{N}_\beta(\tilde{H}_+G^2\phi), \mathcal{N}_\beta(\tilde{H}_+G^3\phi), \dots). \quad (43)$$

²In the other cases the reasoning would be modified in a minor way. However, curiously, within Proposition 3.1 we only need this case, and only in the easier case of plaquettes on the border.

A typical example could be

ν_α	0	+	-	-	0	-	+	...				
$\tilde{\mu}$	b	b	b	w	w	b	w	b	w	b	w	...
ν_β	0	0	+	+	0	0	-	...				

An argument completely analogous to the one exploited in the border-plaquette case shows that the inversions in the string $\tilde{\mu}$ ($b \rightarrow w$ and $w \rightarrow b$) are in correspondence with the positions of $+1$ and -1 along the strings ν_α and ν_β ($+1$ for $b \rightarrow w$, -1 for $w \rightarrow b$, and along the α or β string depending on the parity of the position of the inversion along $\tilde{\mu}$).

While the string ν_α is just circuitating along the orbit of ϕ w.r.t. the action of G , the string ν_β is circuitating along the orbit of $H_+(\phi)$ w.r.t. the action of $\tilde{H}_+\tilde{H}_-$, which is *not* G . However, it is G^{-1} , up to a conjugation with complementation (i.e., it is $\overline{G^{-1}(\phi)}$). But G and G^{-1} have the same orbit, and the complementation relates the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\phi)$ over $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau)$ to the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\phi})$ over $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$, which have opposite sets of $\mathcal{N}_\beta(\phi')$ values. This proves our claim (40) (justifying the minus sign), and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3.3. Definition of auxiliary combinations

Call $n_\bullet = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $n_\circ = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. For $1 \leq j \leq n_\bullet$, call $|s_{\bullet,j}^{a,b,c}\rangle$ the state over the $n \times n$ square, with enumerations of FPL in the ensemble $\mathcal{Fpl}(n; +)$, restricted to the case in which the $(2j-1)$ -th node of the last row is an a, b or c configuration (w.r.t. the definition (1)). For $1 \leq j \leq n_\circ$, call $|s_{\circ,j}^{a,b,c}\rangle$ the state with enumerations of FPL in the ensemble $\mathcal{Fpl}(L; +)$, restricted to the case in which the $(2j)$ -th node of the last row is an a, b or c configuration.

These combinations, and various others that we will need along the proof, are illustrated in a glossary in Appendix A.

The resulting domains, restricted by a single site, have in general some frozen regions, i.e. regions of the square domain in which the configuration is fixed in any valid FPL, and we can read the states above as states over smaller domains.

States $|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle$ force restriction over the whole last row, and furthermore, for n even, $|s_{\bullet,1}^c\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,n_\circ}^c\rangle$ also force restriction respectively over the first and last column, leading to FPL configurations over the smaller $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ square domain. Similarly, for n odd, we have this property for $|s_{\bullet,1}^c\rangle$ and $|s_{\bullet,n_\bullet}^c\rangle$. However, in what follows we shall *not* need these last properties (and our proof is not inductive).

States $|s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle$ force restriction over the part of the last row which is on the left of the decimated site, while states $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle$ force restriction over the part of the last row which is on the right. We have, in particular,

$$|s_{\bullet,1}^a\rangle = |s_{\circ,n_\circ}^b\rangle = 0 \quad n \text{ even}; \quad (44a)$$

$$|s_{\bullet,1}^a\rangle = |s_{\bullet,n_\bullet}^b\rangle = 0 \quad n \text{ odd}; \quad (44b)$$

as these choices of restriction on the corner sites are inconsistent with the boundary conditions.

3.4. Identities

Any valid FPL configuration has exactly one c entry in the last row. More precisely, the entries in order in the last row have the form

$$(b, b, \dots, b, \overset{i\text{-th}}{c}, a, a, \dots, a)$$

for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. This leads to a refinement of the enumerations

Proposition 3.2 (Last-row decomposition).

$$|s\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n_\bullet} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{n_\circ} |s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle. \quad (45)$$

Furthermore, we can refine the enumerations w.r.t. the three choices among a , b , c for any single site in the last row, getting

Proposition 3.3 (One-site expansion).

$$|s\rangle = |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_\bullet; \quad (46)$$

$$|s\rangle = |s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle + |s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle + |s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_\circ. \quad (47)$$

We have the simple fact

$$e_j |s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle = |s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle \quad (48)$$

as the corresponding restriction forces an arc between j and $j+1$, already within the last row, which is frozen (cfr. figure A.13, right column).

We have simple *recursion relations* for $|s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle$, $|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle$, $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$ and $|s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle$ states, performed by further refining the configurations over another site. For example, the state $|s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle$ is already restricted to the $(2j)$ -th site of the last row to be an a , thus, from the $(2j+1)$ -th site on, the row is frozen to be filled with a 's, and on the $(2j-1)$ -th site we can find only either an a or a c , in the two cases corresponding to the classes $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$ and $|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$ respectively. Reasonings in this fashion lead to the set of equations

$$|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle = |s_{\circ,j-1}^c\rangle + |s_{\circ,j-1}^a\rangle; \quad (49a)$$

$$|s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle = |s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle + |s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle; \quad (49b)$$

$$|s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle = |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle; \quad (49c)$$

$$|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle = |s_{\bullet,j+1}^c\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j+1}^b\rangle. \quad (49d)$$

In Section 4, we generalize the analysis of the gyration operation, performed by Wieland in [10], to arbitrary regions of the square lattice, and arbitrary boundary conditions. This analysis, specialized to our states $|s_j^{a,b,c}\rangle$, leads to a number of relations that will have a crucial role in what follows.

Proposition 3.4 (Gyration relations).

$$e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle = R^{-1} e_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle; \quad (50)$$

$$e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle = R^{-1} e_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle; \quad (51)$$

$$e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = R^{-1} e_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle; \quad (52)$$

$$e_j |s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle = R^{-1} e_{j-1} |s_{\circ,j}^a\rangle; \quad (53)$$

$$e_j |s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle = R e_{j+1} |s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle. \quad (54)$$




Figure 4: A graphical representation of the three state $|s_1\rangle$ (left), $|s_2\rangle$ (center) and $a_{2m-1}|s_2\rangle$ (right).

(The proof of these relations is postponed to Section 4.5). We do not have a relation for $|s_{o,j}^c\rangle$, as we have instead the stronger and easier fact (48).

A further relation holds in very general circumstances. Take a generic domain Λ for FPL configurations, and consider the two boundary conditions $\tau_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2}, b, b)$, $\tau_2 = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2}, w, w)$. Also assume that the two sites v, v' adjacent to the two last external legs are connected in Λ by an edge e . Say that τ_1 has $2m$ black legs (so τ_2 has $2m - 2$ black edges).

For $\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(m)$, call $\Psi_1(\pi)$ the number of configurations $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau_1)$, with link pattern π , and such that $\phi(e) = w$. For $\pi' \in \mathcal{LP}(m-1)$, call $\Psi_2(\pi')$ the number of configurations $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau_2)$, with link pattern π' , and such that $\phi(e) = b$. Call a_{2m-1} a map from $\mathcal{LP}(m-1)$ to $\mathcal{LP}(m)$ which adjoin the arc $(2m-1, 2m)$ to the link pattern (we will come back to this sort of operators in Section 4.3). Define the states in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(m)}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(m-1)}$

$$|s_1\rangle = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(m)} \Psi_1(\pi) |\pi\rangle; \quad |s_2\rangle = \sum_{\pi' \in \mathcal{LP}(m-1)} \Psi_2(\pi') |\pi'\rangle. \quad (55)$$

A graphical illustration of the three states $|s_1\rangle$, $|s_1\rangle$ and $a_{2m-1}|s_1\rangle$ is in figure 4

Then we have

Proposition 3.5 (Simple path reversal).

$$e_{2m-1}|s_1\rangle = a_{2m-1}|s_2\rangle. \quad (56)$$

Proof. First remark that the configurations ϕ contributing to the two ensembles are in bijection, corresponding to reverse the values of ϕ over the three-edge path including e and the two boundary edges adjacent to v and v' .

Now consider a configuration ϕ contributing to $\Psi_1(\pi)$. If we drop the two boundary black edges adjacent to v and v' , these sites are endpoints of black open paths, and thus are either connected one with the other, or to some two black endpoints, with indices j, j' . In the associated configuration ϕ' , we get in the two cases respectively that v and v' are part of a cycle, and that we have an arc connecting j and j' , passing through v and v' . All the rest of the configuration is unperturbed, and thus also all the rest of the link pattern, so, in both cases ϕ' contributes to $\Psi_2(\pi')$, for the only $\pi' \in \mathcal{LP}(m-1)$ such that $a_{2m-1}(\pi') = e_{2m-1}(\pi)$. \square

3.5. Proof of the equivalence statement

Now we have all the ingredients for proving Proposition 3.1, and we can start studying the quantity RS in its form of (30). We thus analyse $e_j|s\rangle$, for a whatever $1 \leq j \leq n_\bullet$.³ Do the j -th one-site expansion (46) (this coincidence of indices is a key point)

$$\begin{aligned} e_j|s\rangle &= e_j(|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle) \\ &= |s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle + e_j|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle + e_j|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle + e_j|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where we used (48) and (49b). We could have similarly done the j -th one-site expansion (47), and obtain the same result, by using (48) and (49c).

We can use the recursions (49d) and (49a) in order to push the $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle$ states towards their values at the left and right corners, respectively, that are zero by (44). In order to preserve the coincidence of indices in combinations $e_j|s_j^{a,b,c}\rangle$, we will use the gyration relations of Proposition 3.4. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_j|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle &= R^{-1}e_{j-1}|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle = R^{-1}e_{j-1}(|s_{\circ,j-1}^c\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j-1}^c\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j-1}^a\rangle) \\ &= R^{-1}(|s_{\circ,j-1}^c\rangle + e_{j-1}|s_{\bullet,j-1}^c\rangle + e_{j-1}|s_{\bullet,j-1}^a\rangle); \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_j|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle &= Re_{j+1}|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle = Re_{j+1}(|s_{\circ,j+1}^c\rangle + |s_{\bullet,j+1}^c\rangle + |s_{\circ,j+1}^b\rangle) \\ &= R(|s_{\circ,j+1}^c\rangle + e_{j+1}|s_{\bullet,j+1}^c\rangle + e_{j+1}|s_{\circ,j+1}^b\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

This procedure drops down $|s_{\circ,\ell}^c\rangle$ and $|s_{\bullet,\ell}^c\rangle$ states at every step, that we can easily collect. The result is

$$e_j|s\rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_\bullet} R^{\ell-j} e_\ell |s_{\bullet,\ell}^c\rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_\circ} R^{\ell-j} |s_{\circ,\ell}^c\rangle; \quad (60)$$

and thus

$$\text{Sym } e_j|s\rangle = \text{Sym} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n_\bullet} e_\ell |s_{\bullet,\ell}^c\rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_\circ} |s_{\circ,\ell}^c\rangle \right); \quad (61)$$

independently from the choice of j , as expected. We have thus one of the two summands in (30), the other one being just $\text{Sym } |s\rangle$. For this term, using the last-row decomposition, Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\text{Sym } |s\rangle = \text{Sym} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{n_\bullet} |s_{\bullet,\ell}^c\rangle + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_\circ} |s_{\circ,\ell}^c\rangle \right). \quad (62)$$

From this, we get an equivalent formulation of RS

$$\text{RS} = \text{Sym} \sum_{j=1}^{n_\bullet} (e_j - 1) |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle. \quad (63)$$

We investigate these summands, concentrating on the quantity

$$(e_j - 1) |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle. \quad (64)$$

³We choose this range because, for simplicity, we only introduced auxiliary states $|s_j^{a,b,c}\rangle$ for refinements along the bottom line. The proof could be done with minor modifications for any $1 \leq j \leq 2n$.




Figure 5: The states $|x_j\rangle$ and $e_j|x_j\rangle$, here represented three times: top, as they come out from the definition $|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = |s_{\bullet,j}^{cb}\rangle + |x_j\rangle$, and the application of the simple path reversal relation; middle, reintegrating the frozen region in the full $n \times n$ square; bottom, representing a minimal set of constrained edges, in the full $n \times n$ square, leading to the same frozen region, and making evident the connection with the projector $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\alpha$ as described in equation (32).

Consider the state $|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$, and the site adjacent to the black external leg labeled as j . This site may be in the configuration b , thus forcing the connectivity among leg j and $j+1$, or in configuration a or c . We call respectively $|s_{\bullet,j}^{cb}\rangle$ and $|x_j\rangle$ these two states. So we get

$$(e_j - 1)|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = (e_j - 1)(|s_{\bullet,j}^{cb}\rangle + |x_j\rangle) = (e_j - 1)|x_j\rangle. \quad (65)$$

The state $|x_j\rangle$ is shaped as shown in figure 5, top left. Remark that this domain is suitable for the application of the simple path reversal relation, Proposition 3.5. This allows us to identify the vector $e_j|x_j\rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{L}^p(n)}$ with the vector associated to the state described by the domain in figure 5, top right (where we also included a black arc connecting j and $j+1$, disjoint from the domain, in accordance with the action of e_j).

Restoring the frozen last row in both states $|x_j\rangle$ and $e_j|x_j\rangle$ of figure 5, top left and right respectively, leads back to the $n \times n$ square domain, with alternating boundary condition τ_+ , and the edges around a whole plaquette constrained to certain values (this is shown, in two steps, in the bottom part of figure 5). The plaquette is the one of the last row, and the column $2j-1$, that in Proposition 3.1 has been called α_j .

This leads us to recognize

$$e_j|x_j\rangle - |x_j\rangle = \Pi_+ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\alpha_j} \|s_+\rangle\rangle. \quad (66)$$

Indeed, the reason why we can replace the combination above over the state $|x_j\rangle$, collecting *constrained* FPL configurations, with the full state $\|s_+\rangle\rangle$, is that the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\alpha_j}$ makes zero on all the configurations ϕ whose restriction to α_j does not coincide neither with the constraint depicted in figure 5, bottom left (for which it makes $+1$), nor with the one depicted in figure 5, bottom right (for which it makes -1), as explained more in general at equation (32).

Collecting (63), (65) and (66), we conclude that

$$\text{RS} = \sum_{j=1}^{n\bullet} \text{Sym} \Pi_+ \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\alpha_j} \|s_+\rangle, \quad (67)$$

thus completing the proof. \square

4. Dihedral symmetry and gyration

4.1. A revisit of Wieland proof

In [10], Wieland proves the dihedral symmetry in the enumeration of FPL classes with given link pattern π , in the square $n \times n$ domain with alternating boundary conditions (a fact previously conjectured by Bosley and Fidkowski, and unpublished). He proves a more general fact, for a three-time refined enumeration of FPL, according to the link pattern π_b for the black open paths, the link pattern π_w for the white open paths, and the overall number of black and white cycles, ℓ (a fact previously conjectured by Cohn and Propp, also unpublished).

Call $\Psi_{n;\pm}(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell)$ the number of FPL ϕ with given triplet $(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell)$, extending the definition of $\Psi_{n;\pm}(\pi)$ used in the body of this paper. Wieland proves that

$$\Psi_{n;\pm}(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell) = \Psi_{n;\pm}(R\pi_b, R^{-1}\pi_w; \ell); \quad (68)$$

which, neglecting the refinement over π_w and ℓ , reduces to

$$\Psi_{n;\pm}(\pi) = \Psi_{n;\pm}(R\pi). \quad (69)$$

He reaches this result through a bijection G , called *gyration*, between the configurations in the pertinent refined classes. This bijection operates locally over the elementary plaquettes of the square lattice, and has the special property of deforming only locally open monochromatic paths over the graph, keeping fixed the endpoints of the intersection between the whole path and the plaquette.

More precisely, the procedure operates in two steps (cfr. [10, sec. 5]), through two bijections H_+ and H_- , each step involving the plaquettes with a given parity. While G is a bijection from $\mathcal{Fpl}(n, \pm)$ to itself, the two maps H_+ and H_- are bijections mapping $\mathcal{Fpl}(n, +)$ to $\mathcal{Fpl}(n, -)$ and vice versa. Then G is obtained through the composition $G = H_- H_+$, $H_+^2 = H_-^2 = 1$, and thus $G^{-1} = H_+ H_-$. The strong version of the Wieland statement reads

$$\Psi_{n;+}(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell) = \Psi_{n;-}(\pi_b, R^{-1}\pi_w; \ell); \quad (70)$$

$$\Psi_{n;-}(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell) = \Psi_{n;+}(R\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell); \quad (71)$$

Again, neglecting the refinement over π_w and ℓ , this reduces to

$$\Psi_{n;+}(\pi) = \Psi_{n;-}(\pi); \quad (72)$$

$$\Psi_{n;-}(\pi) = \Psi_{n;+}(R\pi). \quad (73)$$

As a corollary, combining (72) with the discrete reflection symmetry of the square along a vertical axis, for n even, or along a diagonal, for n odd, we also get $\Psi_{n;+}(\pi) = \Psi_{n;+}(V\pi)$,

with $(i, j) \in \pi \leftrightarrow (2n+1-i, 2n+1-j) \in V\pi$, completing the statement on the dihedral symmetry of the enumerations.

Here we review Wieland proof, in a broader setting more suitable to the generalizations we aim to. We do this in two main steps: in a first moment, we concentrate on a single map H , inverting the boundary conditions; at a later stage, we analyse how the construction of a pair of distinct bijections is fruitfully exploited.

We consider a connected graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ (not necessarily planar, and with no given embedding on a surface). We require all vertices to have degree 4 or 2, call $V' \subseteq V$ the set of degree-2 vertices, and $E' \subseteq E$ the set of edges adjacent to V' . The existence of degree-2 vertices, and absence of degree-1 vertices, apparently seems at difference with the setting described in Section 2, where we have degree-1 vertices on the boundary and degree-4 vertices inside the region Λ : we will see later how this case is recovered.

We define the set of valid FPL configurations on this graph, $\mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G})$, as the set of maps $\phi \in \{b, w\}^E$ (black and white), satisfying the ice-type constraint at all degree-4 vertices, i.e. such that $\deg_b(v) = \deg_w(v)$ for each $v \in V \setminus V'$. We also define the subsets $\mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}; \tau)$, of valid FPL configurations $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G})$, whose restriction to E' is the string $\tau \in \{b, w\}^{E'}$. Call $V''(\tau) \subseteq V'$ the set of vertices v with $\deg_b(v) = \deg_w(v) = 1$, and $2n$ its cardinality (it is easily seen that $\mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}; \tau) = \emptyset$ if $|V''|$ is odd). Label the vertices of V'' with indices from 1 to $2n$.

In such a domain, a configuration ϕ is composed of monochromatic cycles, visiting only vertices in $V \setminus V''$, and monochromatic open paths, having vertices in $V \setminus V''$ as interior points, and vertices in V'' as endpoints. Given the labeling over V'' , ϕ determines a “black” matching π_b of these $2n$ points, through the black open paths, and a “white” matching π_w , through the white open paths. It also determines numbers ℓ_b, ℓ_w of black and white cycles, and $\ell = \ell_b + \ell_w$. So, in particular it determines a triplet $(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell)$, and we have refined enumerations $\Psi_{\mathcal{G}; \tau}(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell)$ for the cardinalities of these classes inside $\mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}; \tau)$.

For a generic graph \mathcal{G} , the matchings π run over the whole set of matchings over $2n$ points, of cardinality $(2n-1)!!$, while, if \mathcal{G} has a planar embedding with all the vertices of V'' adjacent to the same face (say, the external one), then we can restrict to link patterns $\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)$.

Now consider a partition of E into a collection of disjoint unoriented cycles, $\Gamma = \{\gamma_i\}$. We want to construct a map H_Γ , that sends each $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}; \tau)$ to a $\phi' \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}; \bar{\tau})$, such that, for each cycle $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the three following conditions are satisfied:

degree condition: for $v \in \gamma$, we have two edges within γ adjacent to v . If $0 \leq k \leq 2$ of them are black in ϕ , then k of them are white in ϕ' .

connectivity condition: for $v, v' \in \gamma$, v and v' are connected on γ by an open black path in ϕ iff they are connected on γ by an open black path in ϕ' , and similarly for white;

alternation condition: for $v \in V''(\tau)$, a single γ contains both adjacent edges. If in ϕ these edges are exactly one black and one white, then in ϕ' the black edge becomes white, and the white edge becomes black.

We discuss later under which conditions on \mathcal{G} and Γ one or more maps $H_\Gamma : \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\tau})$ exist, satisfying these constraints, and when they are bijections. We note

immediately that, because of the symmetry of the constraints, (among which, the fact that they depend on τ only through $V''(\tau)$, and $V''(\tau) = V''(\bar{\tau})$), if $H_\Gamma(\phi)$ is valid, over the domain $\mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$, the map $H_\Gamma(\phi)$ is valid on the domain $\mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\tau})$, and, if $H_\Gamma(\phi)$ is a bijection, also $H_\Gamma(\bar{\phi})$ is a bijection.

Assuming that we have such a map H_Γ , we have

Proposition 4.1. *The FPL $\phi \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ and $\phi' = H_\Gamma(\phi) \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\tau})$ have the same triplet $(\pi_b, \pi_w; \ell)$.*

Proof: Remark that the degree condition ensures that $\phi' \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G})$, and the alternation condition ensures also that $\phi' \in \mathcal{Fpl}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\tau})$. Take a monochromatic open path (m.o.p.) p determined by ϕ , say a black one. It is composed of an open concatenation of m.o.p.'s contained into cycles γ_i of Γ (in a sequence (i_1, i_2, \dots) that may allow for repetitions, if not consecutive). By the connectivity condition, m.o.p.'s within cycles are sent to m.o.p.'s within cycles, with the same endpoints, which thus still concatenate, leading overall to a m.o.p. p' with the same endpoints. This proves that $\pi_b(\phi) = \pi_b(\phi')$, and analogously $\pi_w(\phi) = \pi_w(\phi')$. Now consider monochromatic cycles of ϕ . Take a cycle c , say black. Either it coincides with a cycle of Γ , in which case, by the degree condition, on ϕ' we will have that c is a white cycle, or it is composed of a closed concatenation of m.o.p.'s contained into cycles γ_i of Γ (at least two of them), in which case a reasoning analogous to the one above for open paths allows to conclude that the endpoints of the m.o.p.'s will be crossed in ϕ' by a monochromatic black cycle c' . This proves that ℓ cannot decrease. But the three conditions are symmetric w.r.t. ϕ and ϕ' , so the reasonings above can be repeated verbatim, starting with a cycle c' in ϕ' , leading to the conclusion that ℓ cannot increase, thus it is conserved. \square

We now analyse how and when one can construct any map H_Γ satisfying the three conditions above. Remark that the conditions are factorized over the cycles γ_i , so it suffices to concentrate on single cycles of length ℓ , and this makes feasible an analysis for all graphs \mathcal{G} .

It turns out that the conditions can be satisfied if and only if all the cycles $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ have length ℓ at most 4 (i.e., in the range $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, as also loops are allowed), and all the cycles adjacent to vertices in V'' have length at most 3.

In these cases, the solution is unique, and leads to bijections, except for the cycles of length 2 that are not adjacent to vertices in V' , for which there are four solutions, two bijective and two non-bijective ones. We do not explore the most general case, but limit ourselves to the most interesting one, in which, in this ambiguous case, we take the single solution that satisfies also the alternation condition (which is bijective). This ambiguity does not appear in our domains Λ , as in this case we will not have cycles of length 2 not adjacent to the border.

The resulting solutions on the single cycle are involutive maps, that just swap the black/white occupations of the edges, with the unique exception of $\ell = 4$, and the four edges in γ having alternating colouring, (b, w, b, w) in cyclic order, in which case the map acts as the identity (this is the only possibility in order to preserve the connectivity constraint).

The fact that for $\ell > 4$ there are no solutions is easily proven. For example, take a

configuration ϕ whose edges in γ are respectively

$$(b, w, b, \underbrace{w, w, \dots, w}_{\ell-3}).$$

Then, the degree condition on the $\ell - 4$ vertices internal to the white path of length $\ell - 3$ forces, for ϕ' ,

$$(\underbrace{?, ?, ?}_{\ell-3}, b, b, \dots, b),$$

but this already breaks the connectivity condition, as we have two points that are connected by a black arc in ϕ' , but are not in ϕ .

So, in conclusion, we have a precise set of conditions for the existence of a bijection H_Γ , and a precise construction of this bijection, that we summarize in a definition.

Definition 4.1. *A triplet $(\mathcal{G}, \tau, \Gamma)$ is valid if the following conditions are satisfied*

- *All the cycles of Γ have length at most 4;*
- *All the cycles of Γ adjacent to a vertex in $V''(\tau)$ have length at most 3.*

In this positive case, the map $H_\Gamma : \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$ is defined as follows. Calling $\phi' = H_\Gamma(\phi)$,

$$\phi'|_{\gamma_i} = \begin{cases} \phi|_{\gamma_i} & |\gamma_i| = 4; \quad \phi|_{\gamma_i} \in \{(b, w, b, w), (w, b, w, b)\}; \\ \bar{\phi}|_{\gamma_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (74)$$

This very same definition also induces a map from $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$ to $\mathcal{Fpl}(\Lambda, \tau)$.

Now we explain how to recover the original Wieland maps H_\pm from the map H_Γ described above. Take the original FPL square domain of side n . We thus have $4n$ vertices of degree 1 and n^2 vertices of degree 4. Label the degree-1 vertices from 1 to $4n$ in cyclic order. Colour the edges adjacent to these vertices according to the alternating boundary condition τ_+ .

Join together pairs of consecutive degree-1 vertices, i.e., for H_+ , glue together (1, 2), (3, 4), and so on, and, for H_- , glue together $(4n, 1)$, (2, 3), and so on. Now we have a graph \mathcal{G} with the required properties, $|V'| = |V''| = 2n$ and $|V \setminus V'| = n^2$.

It is easy to see that, in this graph, there is a single possible choice of Γ , the one corresponding to take the elementary plaquettes w.r.t. the planar embedding, of the given parity that matches, on the boundary, the constraint that points in V' are covered (the unicity is indeed proven by starting from the choices at the boundary, that are constrained, and continuing recursively).

It is also easy to see that if we did not pair consecutive degree-1 vertices, w.r.t. the natural cyclic ordering and up to the trivial ambiguity at the corners, there would have been no valid choice of Γ . So, overall for all possible pairings of degree-1 vertices in our square domain, there are only two valid glueing procedures and associated H_Γ maps, coinciding with H_\pm .

The ambiguity at the corners is not totally negligible, and will have a role in the following. It is however easier to visualize it as an ambiguity in the planar embedding

of the drawing, and then assume that, for a given embedding, we only construct the two maps H_{\pm} corresponding to the pairings along the cyclic ordering.

We now take our original square domain Λ , with boundary condition τ_+ , glue together pairs of degree-1 vertices as described above, apply H_{\pm} , and then split the degree-2 vertices to recover the original domain Λ . The alternation condition has now forced boundary conditions τ_- . Furthermore, the splitting of the vertices has caused an important “switch” among black and white endpoints, w.r.t. their position in the Λ domain: we started gluing together the i -th endpoint, black, and the $(i \pm 1)$ -th, white, we applied H_{\pm} , that satisfies the alternation condition on the degree-2 vertex, so we end up splitting the degree-2 vertex into the i -th endpoint, now white, and the $(i \pm 1)$ -th one, now black. This is what is responsible for the rotations of the link patterns under $H_{\pm} : \mathcal{Fpl}(n; +) \rightarrow \mathcal{Fpl}(n; -)$, arising in (70) and (71).

4.2. Arbitrary regions Λ and boundary conditions τ : existence of the map

Here we investigate regions Λ which are portions of the square lattice, take the pairing $(1, 2), (3, 4), \dots$, of the endpoints, producing a graph $\mathcal{G}_+(\Lambda)$, and the set Γ corresponding to the plaquettes of the lattice, with the appropriate parity for covering the boundary points in $V'(\mathcal{G})$.

We already know from the definition 4.1 under which conditions a generic triplet $(\mathcal{G}, \tau, \Gamma)$ is valid, as a set of constraints on the length of the cycles in Γ . We want to translate this to more effective conditions, in the special case of the triplet $(\mathcal{G}_+(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma)$.

As all the plaquettes in the square lattice have length 4, the only possibility for the triplet to be not valid is that we form long cycles, adjacent to the border, in the glueing procedure. So we concentrate on the boundary of Λ .

Consider the oriented boundary of Λ , $\partial\Lambda$, as a closed path, say surrounding *Lambda* in counter-clockwise orientation, encoded as a sequence σ of “steps” in the alphabet $\{-1, 0, +1\}$, where 0 correspond to go straight, +1 to rotate left (forming a *convex* vertex in the polygon Λ), and -1 to rotate right (forming a *concave* vertex). A necessary condition for $\partial\Lambda$ to be a closed path is that this string has four +1 more than -1 , and, for a rectangle, σ is just composed of four +1 and some zeroes.

This string determines a sequence of $|\partial\Lambda|$ terminations on the boundary of Λ , i.e. the edges in the set $E_1(\Lambda)$ introduced in Section 2.1. Terminations interlace with the step, so that, say, the k -th step is between the k -th termination and the $(k + 1)$ -th termination. We have a simple bijection of configurations, preserving the link pattern, if we interchange the k -th termination and the $(k + 1)$ -th termination, when the k -th step is a “+1”, i.e. a convex corner, and this possibility will be exploited in the following.

We must glue together terminations $2j - 1$ and $2j$, so only the odd values σ_{2j-1} are relevant for the constraint. In the glueing of the pair above, if $\sigma_{2j-1} = 0$ or $+1$, we form cycles of length at most 3. These cycles are always allowed. If instead $\sigma_{2j-1} = -1$ the glueing will form a cycle of length 4. This situation is interesting: if the two terminations have different colour, then the vertex resulting from the glueing is in the set $V''(\tau)$, and thus the triplet $(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma)$ is not valid. Conversely, if the two terminations have the same colour, then the vertex is in the set $V' \setminus V''(\tau)$, and the triplet may still be valid.

If, in σ , we have consecutive -1 and $+1$ (in any order), with the -1 in a position with odd index, the arbitrariness in the ordering of the two terminations associated to $+1$ may be critically exploited in order to produce a monochromatic pair glued above the -1 , and make the triplet valid.




Figure 6: On the left, an example of a triplet $(\mathcal{G}_+(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma)$ which is not valid. Indeed, while the arc A , over a concave corner, is not violating the condition, because the two terminations are monochromatic, the arc B is violating the condition. However, this concave angle is adjacent to a non-monochromatic convex angle (next to letter C), so we can exploit the invariance of the system under swap of the two terminations over C . The resulting valid triplet $(\mathcal{G}_+(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma)$ is shown on the right. The string σ , and the terminations for the domains on the left and right side of the picture, are also described in the table.

These conditions are illustrated in an example in figure 6.

4.3. An extended diagram algebra

In Section 2.2 we introduce the representation of the $2n$ (affine) Temperley-Lieb operators e_j , $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, acting over link patterns $\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)$. Here we introduce “diagram” operators c_j and a_j that relate spaces $\mathcal{LP}(n)$ with different values of n . In order to make the analysis pictorially simple, we do *not* introduce the “affine” version of this algebra, and only introduce operators c_j with $1 \leq j \leq 2n - 1$ and a_j with $1 \leq j \leq 2n + 1$.

We thus recall the diagram definitions of R and of e_j

$$R : \mathcal{LP}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{LP}(n) \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \diagup \dots \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \dots \quad 2n \end{array} \quad (75)$$

$$e_j : \mathcal{LP}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{LP}(n) \quad \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \quad \cup \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \dots \quad j \quad j+1 \quad \dots \quad 2n \end{array} \quad (76)$$

with $m_1, m_2 \geq n$. So, we have enumerations $\Psi_{\Lambda, \tau}(\pi_1)$ and $\Psi_{\Lambda, \bar{\tau}}(\pi_2)$ with $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{LP}(m_1)$ and $\pi_2 \in \mathcal{LP}(m_2)$. We will define the two states in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(m_1)}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{LP}(m_2)}$

$$|s_1\rangle = \sum_{\pi_1 \in \mathcal{LP}(m_1)} \Psi_{\Lambda, \tau}(\pi_1) |\pi_1\rangle; \quad |s_2\rangle = \sum_{\pi_2 \in \mathcal{LP}(m_2)} \Psi_{\Lambda, \bar{\tau}}(\pi_2) |\pi_2\rangle. \quad (85)$$

Call J_1 and J_2 the following sets of indices, with cardinalities $|J_1| = N_{bb}$ and $|J_2| = N_{ww}$, in the sets $\{1, \dots, 2m_1 - 1\}$ and $\{1, \dots, 2m_2 - 1\}$. The set J_1 collects, for each pair $(2i - 1, 2i)$ that is coloured (b, b) , the index of the left-most termination, according to the cyclic labeling of the $2m_1$ black terminations, in the domain (Λ, τ) . The set J_2 does the analogous thing, for the domain $(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$. Remark that $J_{1,2}$ do not contain pairs of consecutive indices, as a left-most termination of a monochromatic pair, is followed by the right-most termination of the same pair, that thus is not in $J_{1,2}$. Also remark that $2m_1 \notin J_1$, as the last termination is either in a (b, w) or a (w, b) pair, or it is the right-most termination of a (b, b) pair.

The consequence of the general procedure described in the previous section reads

Proposition 4.2 (Generalized gyration).

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{j \in J_1 \\ \text{increasing} \\ \text{order}}} c_j \right) |s_1\rangle = \left(\prod_{\substack{j \in J_2 \\ \text{increasing} \\ \text{order}}} c_j \right) |s_2\rangle. \quad (86)$$

This equation should be considered as the generalization of equation (72), that in vector notation, and with the definitions (85), just reads $|s_1\rangle = |s_2\rangle$. Indeed, in the simpler case of $N_{bb} = N_{ww} = 0$, we have $m_1 = m_2 = n$, and $J_1 = J_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. We should relate the domains (Λ, τ) and $(\Lambda, \bar{\tau})$ to the modifications (\mathcal{G}, τ) and $(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\tau})$ in which the endpoints are glued pairwise. Then, from the assumption that the triplet $(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma)$ is valid, (which also implied that $(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda), \bar{\tau}, \Gamma)$ is valid, by the observation in Definition 4.1), we can apply the statement of Proposition 4.1. This statement provides information only for enumerations refined accordingly to link patterns $\pi \in \mathcal{LP}(n)$, as we have $|V''| = 2n$ on \mathcal{G} . Read back on Λ , it provides information only on connectivity properties of the black endpoints in pairs (b, w) or (w, b) , provided that the endpoints in pairs (b, b) are glued together (similarly, it provides information on connectivity properties of the white endpoints in pairs (b, w) or (w, b) , provided that the endpoints in pairs (w, w) are glued together, and also information on the number ℓ of cycles, but we do not use this information here). Glueing together a pair (b, b) corresponds exactly to apply an operator c_j . The product is performed putting c_j factors with higher index more on the right (they act before on the state), at the aim of reading easily the labeling of the endpoints (indeed, for $k - j \geq 2$, we have $c_j c_k = c_{k-2} c_j$, at difference with $e_j e_k = e_k e_j$). \square

The idea beyond the use of relation (86) for proving the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture, that involves operators e_j , is to exploit combinations of these relations, especially comparing the result of the two gyration operations H_{\pm} , and then multiply both sides of the resulting relation by an appropriate monomial in the a_j 's, reproducing Temperley-Lieb operators as a consequence of equation (82).

This cannot be done in general (the appropriate monomial for each side of the equation is unique, and may be different on the two sides), but this will be the case for the special situations described by Proposition 3.4, as we describe in Section 4.5.




Figure 7: Top: the states $e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$ and $e_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$. As the monochromatic pairs are glued together by the Temperley-Lieb operators, we can apply the generalized gyration, H_+ on $e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$ and H_- on $e_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$. The result is shown on the bottom. The resulting domain are identical, up to an overall rotation of the indices.

4.5. Proof of the gyration relations

Here we specialize the general statements proven in the previous sections to the states defined in Section 3.3, in order to prove the gyration relations collected in Proposition 3.4.

We start with equation (52), concerning a state $|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$. We analyse it in its “frozen” version, on a rectangular $(n-1) \times n$ domain, with alternating boundary conditions except for three consecutive black terminations, with indices $j-1$, j and $j+1$. As we have no concave angles, the triplets $(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma_{\pm})$ are automatically valid for both pairings. Also, for both pairings Γ_{\pm} we have $N_{bb} = 1$ and $N_{ww} = 0$, thus $|J_{1,\pm}| = 1$ and $|J_{2,\pm}| = 0$. A direct inspection shows that $J_{1,+} = \{j-1\}$ and $J_{2,+} = \{j\}$, and, combined together the result of Proposition 4.2 in the two cases, we get

$$c_j |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = Rc_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle. \quad (87)$$

Multiplying both sides by a_j we get

$$a_j c_j |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = a_j Rc_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = Ra_{j-1} c_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle. \quad (88)$$

(Strictly speaking, the last passage can be done only for $j > 2$. For $j = 1$, we could solve the apparent problem by performing a rotation of the indices at the beginning.) Thus, using (80),

$$e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle = Re_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle, \quad (89)$$

as was to be proven. These steps are illustrated in figure 7.

We now analyse equation (50), concerning a state $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$. Again, we analyse the frozen domain, which now is the $n \times n$ square, with part of the bottom row removed (namely all the sites on the right of the decimated one). This domain has a single concave turning in the perimeter, adjacent to a convex turning (i.e., a substring $\dots, 0, 0, +1, -1, 0, 0, \dots$ in σ). The boundary conditions are alternating, up to possibly using the ambiguity in the ordering of the terminations at convex angles.

Indeed, as we have a concave angle, in one of the two maps H_{\pm} (precisely, in H_+) we need to exploit the ambiguity, before performing the map, and swap the terminations




Figure 8: Two different manipulations of the state $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$. Left column: before applying H_+ we need to swap the two terminations on the convex angle adjacent to the concave one. Then, we can glue the terminations in monochromatic pairs (a black and a white one), and perform gyration. Right column: we can perform gyration immediately. In order to compare with the resulting domain on the left column, we have the possibility of swapping the two terminations, and gluing the adjacent monochromatic pairs. A rotation overall has resulted.

at the convex corner adjacent to the concave one, in order to produce a valid triplet $(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda), \tau, \Gamma_H)$. So, in this case we have $N_{bb} = N_{ww} = 1$, and $J_1 = J_2 = \{j\}$.

We do not need to swap the terminations when applying the other map, H_- . However, at the aim of combining the result of relation (86) for the two maps H_{\pm} , and have identical domains, we need to swap the terminations *after* the application of H_- , and then apply an operator c_j on both sides of the relation. This leads to the equation

$$c_j |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle = R c_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle. \quad (90)$$

For reasonings identical to the ones following equation (87), we thus get

$$e_j |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle = R e_{j-1} |s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle, \quad (91)$$

as was to be proven. These steps are illustrated in figure 8

The proof for the other three equations in Proposition 3.4 is very similar to the one for equation (50), and we omit it. \square

5. Perspectives of generalization

In this paper, from the very beginning in Section 2.1, we defined FPL configurations on portions of the square lattice. However, the reader may have noticed that there is much space for generalizations. This is clearly the case for our approach to gyration, in Section 4, and also for a crucial step of the proof, constituted by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.

Consider for example an ensemble of FPL illustrated by the configuration in figure 9, left. It is the case that this domain has FPL enumerations with dihedral symmetry, and also that these enumerations are proportional to the very same set of integers for the




Figure 9: Left: a FPL in a domain which is not a portion of the square lattice (because it has four triangular plaquettes), but shows both dihedral symmetry in the refined enumeration, and Razumov-Stroganov correspondence of these enumerations with the ground-state wavefunction of the periodic $O(1)$ loop model. Right: a FPL in a domain which is not a portion of the square lattice (because it has an internal vertex with degree 2). This configuration is symmetric under reflection w.r.t. the horizontal axis, combined with complementation. The enumerations of the symmetric configurations show Razumov-Stroganov correspondence with the ground-state wavefunction of the open $O(1)$ loop model.

square of side $n = 10$, and thus, also for the $O(1)$ loop model with $2n$ sites (however, note that there is a non-trivial integer proportionality factor).

It would not be hard to show that the very same line of proof in this paper works for proving that $\langle H_n - 2n \rangle_{s_\Lambda} = 0$ also for this domain Λ . However, we postpone this analysis to a different paper [27], where we also undertake the more ambitious task of exhausting the classification of the possible structures for which the gyration mechanism works, i.e. all the graphs for which the FPL refined enumerations have dihedral symmetry.

A similar goal will be accomplished also for further refinements of FPL, as conjectured in [19] for the case of Half-Turn and Quarter-Turn Symmetric FPL, and for Vertically-Symmetric FPL on the square, for which it has been noticed that the link-pattern enumerations are related to the integers in the ground-state wavefunction for the closed or open system [19] (instead of the periodic system, the case at hand in this paper). Indeed, the broader family of domains depicted above, all showing dihedral symmetry, and the Razumov-Stroganov correspondence with the periodic $O(1)$ loop model, contains subfamilies with an involutive symmetry (it may be a reflection, or a rotation by 180 degrees, possibly combined with a complementation). We will show how, similarly to how the domain on the left part of figure 9 generalizes the $n \times n$ square domain, these subfamilies with involutive symmetries generalize the Half-Turn symmetric, Quarter-Turn symmetric, Vertically-Symmetric FPL domains, and the enumerations of symmetric FPL within the symmetric domains see the emergence of Razumov-Stroganov correspondence with the *closed* or *open* $O(1)$ loop model, generalizing the conjectures in [19]. An example of a domain in one of these special subfamilies is given in figure 9, right.

Appendix A. Glossary of states

Here we collect pictures describing all the “states” (in the linear space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{P}(n)}}$) which are used in the paper. This is intended as a glossary, collecting all the definitions scattered within the text.




Figure A.10: The state $|s\rangle$, collecting all the FPL in a $n \times n$ square. Here only the bottom part of the domain is shown, and the rightmost part is left undetermined, in order to treat in an unitary way the case of even and odd n .




Figure A.11: The states $|s_{\bullet,j}^a\rangle$ and $|s_{o,j}^a\rangle$, here represented three times: top, as their basic definition in the $n \times n$ square, with a constrained site; middle: showing the sites in the square that are frozen by the constraint; bottom: the resulting geometry obtained removing the frozen part.

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Di Francesco, P. Zinn-Justin and J.-B. Zuber for a critical analysis of the proof. L.C. also thanks his wife Géraldine for her patience during the turbulent writing of this manuscript.

L.C. acknowledges the financial support of the ANR program “SLE”, ANR-06-BLAN-0058-01.

References

- [1] W.H. Mills, D.P. Robbins and H. Rumsey Jr., *Proof of the Macdonald conjecture*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **66** 73-87 (1982)




Figure A.12: The states $|s_{\bullet,j}^b\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,j}^b\rangle$, here represented three times, with the same notations as in figure A.11.




Figure A.13: The states $|s_{\bullet,j}^c\rangle$ and $|s_{\circ,j}^c\rangle$, here represented three times, with the same notations as in figure A.11.

- [2] W.H. Mills, D.P. Robbins and H. Rumsey Jr., *Alternating sign matrices and descending plane partitions*, Journ. of Comb. Theory **A34** 340-359 (1983)
- [3] D. Zeilberger, *Proof of the alternating sign matrix conjecture*, Electr. J. of Comb. **3** R13 (1996)
- [4] G.E. Andrews, *Plane Partitions V: the T.S.S.C.P.P. conjecture*, Journ. of Comb. Theory **A66** 28-39 (1994)
- [5] G. Kuperberg, *Another proof of the alternating sign matrix conjecture*, Intern. Math. Res. Notes **1996**(3) 139-150 (1996), [arXiv:math.CO/9712207](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9712207)
- [6] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press London, 1982
- [7] A. Izergin, *Partition function of the six-vertex model in a finite volume*, Sov. Phys. Dokl. **32** 878-879 (1987)
- [8] Yu. Stroganov, *Izergin-Korepin determinant at a third root of unity*, Theor. Math. Phys. **146** 65-76 (2004) [russian: Teor. Mat. Fiz. **146** 53-62 (2004)] [arXiv:math-ph/0204042](https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0204042)
- [9] S. Okada, *Enumeration of symmetry classes of alternating sign matrices and characters of classical groups*, J. Algebraic Comb. **23** 4369 (2006), [arXiv:math/0408234](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0408234)

- [10] B. Wieland, *Large Dihedral Symmetry of the Set of Alternating Sign Matrices*, *Electr. J. Comb.* **7** R37 (2000), [arXiv:math/0006234](#)
- [11] A.V. Razumov and Yu.G. Stroganov, *Combinatorial nature of ground state vector of $O(1)$ loop model*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **138** 333-337 (2004) [russian: *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **138** 395-400 (2004)] [arXiv:math/0104216](#)
- [12] P. Di Francesco and P. Zinn-Justin, *Around the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture: proof of a multi-parameter sum rule*, *Elect. J. Comb.* **12** R6 (2005) [arXiv:math-ph/0410061](#)
- [13] P. Di Francesco, P. Zinn-Justin and J.-B. Zuber, *Determinant formulae for some tiling problems and application to fully packed loops*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **55** 2025-2050 (2005) [arXiv:math-ph/0410002](#)
- [14] P. Zinn-Justin, *Proof of the Razumov-Stroganov conjecture for some infinite families of link patterns*, *Elect. J. Comb.* **13** R110 (2006) [arXiv:math/0607183](#)
- [15] J. Thapper, *Refined counting of fully packed loop configurations*, *Sem. Lothar. Comb.* **56** B56e (2006/07)
- [16] P. Zinn-Justin, *A conjectured formula for Fully Packed Loop configurations in a triangle*, (2009) [arXiv:0911.4617](#)
- [17] P. Zinn-Justin, *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and integrable tilings*, *Elect. J. Comb.* **16** R12 (2009) [arXiv:0809.2392](#)
- [18] P. Nadeau, *Fully Packed Loop configurations in a triangle and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients*, http://homepage.univie.ac.at/philippe.nadeau/FPL_fpsac_submitted.pdf accepted at FPSAC 2010, San Francisco.
- [19] A.V. Razumov and Yu.G. Stroganov, *$O(1)$ loop model with different boundary conditions and symmetry classes of alternating-sign matrices*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **142** 237-243 (2005) [russian: *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **142** 284-292 (2005)] [arXiv:cond-mat/0108103](#)
- [20] P. Zinn-Justin, *Six-Vertex, Loop and Tiling models: Integrability and Combinatorics*, habilitation thesis, [arXiv:0901.0665](#)
- [21] J. de Gier, *Loops, matchings and alternating-sign matrices*, *Discr. Math.* **298** 365-388 (2005) [arXiv:math/0211285](#)
- [22] D.M. Bressoud, *Proofs and Confirmations – The Story of the Alternating-Sign Matrix Conjecture*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999
- [23] J. Propp, *The Many Faces of Alternating-Sign Matrices*, in *Discrete Models: Combinatorics, Computation, and Geometry*, DM-CCG 2001, R. Cori, J. Mazoyer, M. Morvan and R. Mosseri eds., *Discr. Math. and Theor. Comp. Science Proc. AA* 43-58 (2001), [arXiv:math/0208125](#)
- [24] J.-B. Zuber, *On the counting of fully packed loops configurations. Some new conjectures*, *Elect. J. Comb.* **11** R13 (2004), [arXiv:math-ph/0309057](#)
- [25] F. Caselli and C. Krattenthaler, *Proof of two conjectures of Zuber on fully packed loop configurations*, *Journ. of Comb. Theory A* **108** 123-146 (2004) [arXiv:math/0312217](#)
- [26] F. Caselli, C. Krattenthaler, B. Lass and Ph. Nadeau, *On the number of fully packed loop configurations with a fixed associated matching*, *Elect. J. Comb.* **11** R16 (2005), [arXiv:math/0502392](#)
- [27] L. Cantini and A. Sportiello, *FPL domains with dihedral symmetry and generalized Razumov-Stroganov correspondence*, in preparation.