

On Kervaire–Murthy conjecture, Bernoulli and Iwasawa numbers, and zeroes of p -adic L -function

A. Stolin

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Chalmers University of Technology and
University of Gothenburg
Gothenburg, Sweden
E-mail: alexander.stolin@gu.se

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to establish relations between Iwasawa and Bernoulli numbers based on some results by M. Kervaire and M. P. Murthy about the structure of the K_0 groups of the integer group rings of cyclic groups of prime power order p^n . In particular, we will prove that

- $\lambda_i \leq p-1$ under assumption that the generalized Bernoulli number $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is not divisible by p^2 . Here ω is the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$.
- $\lambda_i = 1$ if $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is divisible by p^2 .
- We will prove that $S_{n,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{n+k_i})$, where S_n is the Sylow p -subgroup of the class group of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. Here, ζ_n is a primitive p^{n+1} -root of unity, ε_i are idempotents in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_0)/\mathbb{Q})]$, $S_{n,i} = \varepsilon_i(S_n)$, and k_i is the p -adic valuation of $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$.
- At the end we will prove that $k_i \leq 1$ and also $v_p(L_p(0, \omega^j)) \leq 1$ for even j under certain conditions on zeroes of $L_p(0, \omega^j)$.
- Throughout the paper we assume that p satisfies Vandiver's conjecture.

1 Introduction

Let C_n denote the cyclic group of order p^n , where p is an odd prime. Let $\mathbb{Z}C_n$ be the integral group ring of C_n .

In this paper we study $\text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}C_n$ and some other groups related to it, in particular, the ideal class group $C(F_n)$ of the cyclotomic field $F_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, where ζ_n is a primitive p^{n+1} -st root of unity.

Throughout this paper we assume that p is semi-regular, that is p does not divide the order of the ideal class group of the maximal real subfield $F_0^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_0 + \zeta_0^{-1})$ in F_0 . Let A be an abelian group. The following notation will be used in our paper:

- F_n has been already defined, $F_n^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1})$;
- $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$;
- $N \cdot A$ (or sometimes A^N , if it is clear from a context) is the direct sum of N copies of A ;
- dA or A^d (depending on additive or multiplicative operation on A) stands for the subgroup of A which consists of the elements of the form da or a^d ;
- $A^{(d)}$ stands for the subgroup of A which consists of the elements of A such that $da = 0$ or $a^d = 1$;
- $A_{(p)}$ denotes the Sylow p -component of A . For $A = C(F_n)$ we use a special notation $C(F_n)_{(p)} = S(F_n) = S_n$;
- if R is a commutative ring, then $U(R)$ denotes the group of units of R .
- in the special case $R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$, we use E_n for $U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n])$;
- further, we use notation $E_{n,k}$ for the subgroup of E_n consisting of units which are congruent to 1 modulo $\mu_n^k = (1 - \zeta_n)^k$.

Following [3] let us consider the fibre product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}C_n & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{(x-1)^{p^n}} := R_n \end{array}$$

with obvious maps i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 . The corresponding Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence can be written as follows:

$$U(\mathbb{Z}C_n) \times E_n \xrightarrow{j} U(R_n) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}C_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}C_n) \times C(F_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

One of the main problems in computing $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}C_{n+1})$ is thus to evaluate the cokernel W_n of the map $j : U(\mathbb{Z}C_n) \times E_n \longrightarrow U(R_n)$

Instead of W_n we will evaluate a bigger group

$$\mathcal{V}_n = \text{Coker}\{j_2 : E_n \longrightarrow U(R_n)\}.$$

Clearly, W_n is a factorgroup of \mathcal{V}_n .

In the calculation of \mathcal{V}_n a decisive role will be played by the action $G_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbb{Q})$ on the various rings involved in the paper. Let

$\delta : G_n \longrightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})$ be the canonical isomorphism defined by $s(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{\delta(s)}$, $s \in G_n$. We will denote by x_n the generator in $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{p^n}-1) = \mathbb{Z}C_n$ and in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n} = R_n$ that corresponds to x . Since $\delta(s)$ is an integer modulo p^{n+1} , prime to p , it is clear that both $x_{n+1}^{\delta(s)}$ and $x_n^{\delta(s)}$ are well-defined. Moreover, the maps in the fibre product above commute with the action of G_n . Let $c \in G_n$ be the complex conjugation. It is clear that $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}_n^+ \times \mathcal{V}_n^-$, where \mathcal{V}_n^+ consists of elements such that $c(a) = a$ and \mathcal{V}_n^- consists of elements such that $c(a) = a^{-1}$ (we take into account that \mathcal{V}_n is a p -group). Similarly, $W_n = W_n^+ \times W_n^-$. For any abelian group A , let us denote by A^* the group of characters of A .

The main results proved by Kervaire and Murthy in [3] was

Theorem 1.1. *If p is a semi-regular odd prime, then*

$$(W_n^+)^* \subseteq (\mathcal{V}_n^+)^* \subseteq S^-(F_{n-1}) = S(F_{n-1}) =: S_{n-1}.$$

In other words, there is a surjection $S_{n-1}^ \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n^+$*

They also conjectured that, in fact, $W_n^+ \cong \mathcal{V}_n^+ \cong S_{n-1}^*$. The first main result of our paper is a weak version of the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture, namely

$$(S_{n-1})^{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{V}_n^+ / (\mathcal{V}_n^+)^p)^* = ((\mathcal{V}_n^+)^*)^{(p)}$$

Another important result proved in this paper (which gives a new link between the class groups and the groups \mathcal{V}_n) is that there exists a canonical embedding

$$S_{n-1}^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n^- / (\mathcal{V}_n^-)^p$$

Working on the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture, Ullom proved in [7] that under certain assumptions on the Iwasawa numbers λ_i explained later, the group W_n^+ can be described as follows:

$$W_n^+ \cong r_0 \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\lambda - r_0) \cdot (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here

$$r_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_0)_{(p)} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(S_0/S_0^p), \quad \lambda = \sum \lambda_i.$$

Notice that r_0 also coincides with the number of Bernoulli numbers among B_2, B_4, \dots, B_{p-3} which are divisible by p . The Iwasawa invariant λ can be defined as follows. It is well-known due to Iwasawa and Washington (see [8]) that there exist two numbers λ and ν called Iwasawa invariants such that S_n has $p^{\lambda n + \nu}$ elements for sufficiently large n .

Ullom's proof is based on certain assumptions about the Iwasawa number λ . More exactly,

$$G_0 = \text{Gal}(F_0/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$$

acts on S_n and

$$S_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} S_{n,i},$$

where $S_{n,i} = \varepsilon_i S_n$ and ε_i are idempotents in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_0]$. Since we work with semi-regular p ,

$$\varepsilon_i S_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p / B_{1,\omega^{-i}} \mathbb{Z}_p \quad \text{for } i = 3, 5, \dots, p-2.$$

Here $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ are generalized Bernoulli numbers and ω is the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ (see [8]).

Furthermore, for each i there exist λ_i and ν_i such that $S_{n,i}$ contains $p^{\lambda_i n + \nu_i}$ elements. Ullom's assumption was that $\lambda_i < p-1$ and he conjectured that

it was true for any p . In this paper we will prove that $\lambda_i \leq p - 1$ under assumption that $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is not divisible by p^2 . Then we will prove that $\lambda_i = 1$ if $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is divisible by p^2 that provides almost a complete proof of Ullom's inequality under the assumption that Vandiver's conjecture is true.

Remark 1.2. If G_0 acts on an abelian p -group X , then $X = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-2} X_i$ with $X_i = \varepsilon_i X$.

In our paper we will need the following presentation of $S_{n,i}$ (see [8] for details). Let ω be the Teichmüller character and $P_n(T) = (T + 1)^{p^n} - 1$. Let $f_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ be defined by the relation $f_i((1 + p)^s - 1) = L_p(s, \omega^{1-i})$, where L_p is the p -adic L-function. In this terms the Iwasawa number λ_i is the first coefficient of $f_i(T)$, which is not divisible by p .

By the p -adic Weierstrass Preparation Theorem $f_i(T) = U(T)p_i(T)$, where $U(T)$ is an invertible element of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ such that $U(0) = 1$ and $p_i(T)$ is a unique polynomial of degree λ_i with the leading coefficient co-prime to p and all other coefficients divisible by p . Then

$$S_{n,i} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(f_i, P_n) = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(p_i(T), P_n(T)).$$

2 Second presentation of \mathcal{V}_n and norm maps

The following lemma was proved in [4].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A_n = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1})$. Then $\text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}C_n \cong \text{Pic } A_n$*

From now on we will study A_n instead of $\mathbb{Z}C_n$. Clearly, we have the following fibre product:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_2 \\ A_n & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}{(x-1)^{p^n-1}} := R'_n \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2.2. $\text{Coker}\{j_2 : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n-1})\} \cong \mathcal{V}_n$.

Proof. We have to prove that

$$\text{Coker}(U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n})) =$$

$$\text{Coker}(U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n-1})).$$

Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that the element

$$1 + (x-1)^{p^n-1} \in U(\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n})$$

is the image of some unit of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$. It is easy to see that the image of the unit $\left(\frac{\zeta_n^{p^n+1}-1}{\zeta_n-1}\right)$ under the map $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x-1)^{p^n}$, $\zeta_n \rightarrow x$ is exactly $1 + (x-1)^{p^n-1}$, and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.3. This lemma justifies an abuse of notation j_1, j_2, i_1, i_2, R'_n in (1).

The map $N_n : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \rightarrow A_n$ such that $N_n(ab) = N_n(a)N_n(b)$ and the diagram below is commutative has been introduced in [5]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & \nearrow N_n & \downarrow j_2 \\ A_n & \xrightarrow{j_1} & R_n \end{array} \quad (2)$$

We would like to remind the reader this construction. The following fibre product diagram can be used for the construction without loss of generality:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^{n+1}}-1}{x-1}\right) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_n] \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}\right) & \xrightarrow{j_1} & R_n \end{array}$$

We construct N_n using induction. If $n = 1$, then $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_0]$ and N_1 is the usual norm map.

Commutativity of (2) was proved in [4]. The formula

$$\varphi_1(a_1) = (a_1, N_1(a_1)) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1}\right)$$

defines an injective homomorphism $\varphi_1 : U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1}\right))$. Now we can define $N_2(a_2) = \varphi_1(\text{Norm}_{F_2/F_1}(a_2))$.

Simultaneously, N_2 defines

$$\varphi_2 : U(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_2]) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^3}-1}{x-1}))$$

via $\varphi_2(a_2) = (a_2, N_2(a_2)) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^3}-1}{x-1})$, and so on.

Proofs that all of the maps φ_i, N_i are well-defined can be found in [5]. They use rings $A_{n,k} = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\frac{x^{p^{n+k}}-1}{x^k-1})$.

Proposition 2.4. *Formula $\varphi_{n-1}(a_{n-1}) = (a_{n-1}, N_{n-1}(a_{n-1}))$ defines an embedding $E_{n-1} \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}[x]/(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}))$, and $\text{Coker}\{j_1 : E_{n-1} \rightarrow U(R_n)\} \cong \mathcal{V}_n$.*

Proof. Since we deal with semi-regular primes, the fact we need follows from that of $\text{Norm}_{F_n/F_{n-1}}(E_n) = E_{n-1}$ and thus, $j_2(E_n) = j_1(E_{n-1})$ in $U(R_n)$. \square

Let us denote by $U_{n,k}$ the subgroup of $U(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_n]) := U_n$, which consists of units congruent to 1 modulo $(\zeta_n - 1)^k = \mu_n^k$.

Theorem 2.5. *We have*

$$\mathcal{V}_n \cong U_n/(U_{n,p^{n-1}} \cdot E_n) \cong U_{n-1}/(U_{n-1,p^{n-1}} \cdot E_{n-1})$$

Remark 2.6. We remind the reader that $\mathcal{V}_n \cong U_n/(U_{n,p^n} \cdot E_n)$ by definition.

Proof. The first isomorphism is clear. Let us prove that $\mathcal{V}_n \cong U_{n-1}/(U_{n-1,p^{n-1}} \cdot E_{n-1})$. The formula $\varphi_{n-1}(a) = (a, N_{n-1}(a))$ defines an embedding $\varphi_{n-1} : U_{n-1} \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^n}-1}{x-1}))$.

It is sufficient to prove that the composition map $\varphi_{n-1} \cdot j_1$ has the kernel $U_{n-1,p^{n-1}}$. To do this, first we note that $U(R_n)$ and $U_{n-1}/U_{n-1,p^{n-1}}$ have the same number of elements. Therefore, it is enough to prove that $U_{n-1,p^{n-1}}$ is contained in the kernel. This was proved in [5]. We would like to demonstrate the case $n = 2$. For this, we should prove that

$$(a, \text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1})$$

if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$. It is easy to see that $(a, \text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to that of $\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(\frac{a-1}{p}) \equiv \frac{\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)-1}{p} \pmod{p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0]$. Since $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$, both sides are congruent to 0 modulo p . The general case was proved in [5] using the rings $A_{n,k}$ and induction in n, k . \square

Remark 2.7. In fact, it is not difficult to prove that $(a, \text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a)) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1})$ **iff** $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$.

In the sequel we will need the following

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose $a \in U_2$ is such that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_2^{p^2-1}}$. Then $\text{Norm}_{F_2/F_1}(a) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram 2 for $n = 2$. Then

$$N_2(a) = (\text{Norm}_{F_2/F_1}(a), \text{Norm}_{F_2/F_0}(a)) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{p} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\left(\frac{x^{p^2}-1}{x-1}\right).$$

Consequently, $\text{Norm}_{F_2/F_1}(a) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_1^{p^2-1}}$. \square

3 Number of elements in \mathcal{V}_n^+

Let us introduce integers r_n as the number of elements in $E_{n,p^{n+1}-1}/E_{n,p^{n+1}}^p$. Similarly, let $r_{n,i}$ be the number of elements in $\varepsilon_i(E_{n,p^{n+1}-1}/E_{n,p^{n+1}}^p)$. In particular, it follows that $r_n = \sum r_{n,i}$, $r_{0,i} = 1$ if $\lambda_{p-i} > 0$, otherwise $r_{0,i} = r_{k,i} = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\epsilon \in E_{n,p^{n+1}}$, then ϵ is real and therefore, $E_{n,p^{n+1}} = E_{n,p^{n+1}}^+$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let α be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ such that $\alpha^p = (q)$. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-1}}$. Then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}}}$.*

Before we give a proof of the theorem, let us formulate its consequence, which we will need in sequel.

Corollary 3.3. $E_{n,p^{n+1}-1} = E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Consider the extension $F_n(\sqrt[p]{q})/F_n$. Only μ_n ramifies in this extension. Let $\epsilon \in E_n$. Then for any valuation $v \neq \mu$, ϵ is a norm in the corresponding extension of local fields $F_{n,v}(\sqrt[p]{q})/F_{n,v}$. Therefore, the local norm residue symbol with values in the group of p -th roots of unity $(\epsilon, q)_v = 1$. By the product formula, $(\epsilon, q)_{\mu_n} = 1$. Set $\epsilon = \zeta_n$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-1}}$ but $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}}}$, then simple local computations (see for instance [1]) show that $(\zeta_n, q)_{\mu_n} \neq 1$. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.4. *The number of elements in \mathcal{V}_n^+ is $p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}}$.*

Proof. If $n = 1$, then it was proved in [3]. Let us denote the number of elements in group A by $|A|$. Assume that $|\mathcal{V}_n^+| = p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}}$. Let us prove that $|\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+| = p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}+r_n}$. Indeed, $|(U_n/(U_{n,p^n} \cdot E))^+| = p^{r_0+\dots+r_{n-1}}$. Clearly,

$(U_n/(U_{n,p^n} \cdot E))^+ = U_n^+/(U_{n,p^n}^+ \cdot E^+)$ and $U_{n,p^n}^+ = U_{n,p^{n+1}}^+$ since p is odd. Taking into account that $\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ \cong U_n^+/U_{n,p^{n+1}-1}^+ \cdot E_n^+$, it remains to prove that

$$\left| \frac{U_{n,p^{n+1}}^+ \cdot E_n^+}{U_{n,p^{n+1}-1}^+ \cdot E_n^+} \right| = p^{r_n}.$$

Let us use the isomorphism

$$\frac{U_{n,k}^+ \cdot E_n^+}{E_n^+} \cong U_{n,k}^+ \cdot E_{n,k}^+,$$

which shows that we have to prove that

$$\left| \frac{U_{n,p^{n+1}}^+}{U_{n,p^{n+1}-1}^+} \right| : \left| \frac{E_{n,p^{n+1}}^+}{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+} \right| = p^{r_n}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left| \frac{U_{n,p^{n+1}}^+}{U_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+} \right| = p^{\frac{p^{n+1}-p^n}{2}-1}.$$

The second number can be computed as follows:

$$\left| \frac{E_{n,p^{n+1}}^+}{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}^+} \right| = \left| \frac{E_{n,p^{n+1}}}{(E_{n,p^{n+1}})^p} \right| : \left| \frac{E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}}{(E_{n,p^{n+1}})^p} \right| = p^{\frac{p^{n+1}-p^n}{2}-1} : p^{r_n}$$

and the theorem is proved. \square

Closing this section we would like to mention the following

Proposition 3.5. $r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda = \sum \lambda_i$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}/(E_{n,p^{n+1}})^p$. Then the extension $F_n(\sqrt[p]{\epsilon})/F_n$ is unramified, which defines an embedding $E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}/(E_{n,p^{n+1}})^p$ into S_n^* . It is easy to see that the canonical embedding $S_n^* \rightarrow S_{n+1}^*$ defines an embedding

$$E_{n,p^{n+1}+1}/(E_{n,p^{n+1}})^p \rightarrow E_{n+1,p^{n+2}+1}/(E_{n,p^{n+1}+1})^p.$$

Therefore, $r_n \leq r_{n+1}$.

Furthermore, because of the projection $S_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$ (see [3]) it is clear that $p^{\lambda_n+\nu} \geq p^{r_0+\dots+r_n}$, and the latter inequality implies that $r_n \leq \lambda$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *If p divides $B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$, then the number of elements in $\varepsilon_i(\mathcal{V}_n^+)$ is $p^{1+r_{1,i}+\dots+r_{n-1,i}}$ and $1 \leq r_{1,i} \leq \dots \leq r_{k,i} \leq \lambda_{p-i}$.*

4 Weak Kervaire-Murthy Conjecture and New Link between S and \mathcal{V} Groups

In this section let us denote by (a, b) the local norm residue symbol with values in p -th roots of unity. Here (a, b) are elements of the completion of F_n with respect to μ_n . Assume that $a \in U_{n,k} \setminus U_{n,k+1}$, $b \in U_{n,p^{n+1}-k} \setminus U_{n,p^{n+1}-k+1}$, and k is prime to p .

Lemma 4.1 (see [1]). $(a, b) \neq 1$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\alpha \in S_n^{(p)}$ and $\alpha^p = (q)$. Then the formula $f_\alpha(x) = (x, q)$, $x \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$ defines a non-trivial character of \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ (if α is not trivial).*

Proof. Step 1. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-1}}$, then $\alpha = 1 \in S_n$.

Indeed, we already know that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}}}$ and hence the extension $F_n(\sqrt[p]{q})/F_n$ is non-ramified. Therefore, $q = \varepsilon \cdot a^p$ for some $\varepsilon \in E_n$, $a \in F_n$ and consequently $\alpha = 1$ in S_n .

Step 2. Without loss of generality we can assume that $q \in U_{n,k} \setminus U_{n,k+1}$ with $k < p^{n+1} - 1$ and k being prime to p .

Indeed, if $k = p \cdot s$, then $q = 1 + a_0 \mu_n^{ps} + t \mu_n^{ps+1}$, where a_0 is an integer prime to p . Easy computations show that $q(1 - a_0 \mu_n^s)^p \in U_{n,k+1}$. Proceeding in this way, we can find $q_1 \in U_{n,k_1}$ such that $(q_1) = (\Gamma \alpha)^p$, $\Gamma \in U(F_n)$, and such that k_1 is prime to p .

Step 3. $1 + \mu_n^{p^{n+1}-k} \in \mathcal{V}_{n+1}$.

Indeed, if $1 + \mu_n^{p^{n+1}-k} \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{\mu_n^{p^{n+1}-k}}$, $\varepsilon \in E_n$, then $(\varepsilon, q) = 1$. However, it is not true by Step 2 and Lemma of this section.

Step 4. Since $S_n = S_n^-$, the character constructed above is a non-trivial character of the group \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ . The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4.3. ("weak Kervaire-Murthy conjecture") $S_n^{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ / (\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+)^p)^*$.

Corollary 4.4. $S_n^* / (S_n^*)^p \cong \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+ / (\mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+)^p$.

Proof. This follows from the existence of the surjection $S_n^* \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{n+1}^+$ constructed in [3]. \square

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a canonical embedding*

$$i : S_{n-1}^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n^- / (\mathcal{V}_n^-)^p$$

Proof. Let α be an ideal such that $\alpha^p = (q)$. Define $i(\alpha) = q$. This map is well-defined because the number q is defined up to a transformation $q \rightarrow \epsilon r^p q$, where $\epsilon \in E_n$. Clearly, the images of q and $\epsilon r^p q$ coincide in $\mathcal{V}_n/(\mathcal{V}_n)^p$. If $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(i)$, then $q \equiv \epsilon r^p \pmod{(1 - \zeta_n)^{p^n - 1}}$ and it follows from the **Step 1** of the proof of the previous theorem that $\alpha = 1$. Hence, i is an embedding.

Since $S = S^-$, it follows that i maps S_{n-1} into $(\mathcal{V}_n/(\mathcal{V}_n)^p)^- = \mathcal{V}_n^-/(\mathcal{V}_n^-)^p$. \square

5 Ullom's inequality

The aim of this section is to prove the following result (a weaker version of Ullom's inequality):

Theorem 5.1. *Let the generalized Bernoulli number $B_{1, \omega^{-i}}$ be divisible by p but not by p^2 . Then the corresponding Iwasawa number λ_i is less than p .*

Proof. The proof will consist of several lemmas. For technical reasons it will be easier to deal with the original definition of \mathcal{V}_2 , namely

$$\mathcal{V}_k = \text{Coker}\{j_2 : E_k \rightarrow U(R_k)\}, \quad k = 1, 2;$$

see Introduction.

Let us also make an important note: $R_2 = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_2]/(\zeta_2 - 1)^{p^2}$ and $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]/(\zeta_1 - 1)^p$

Lemma 5.2. *The map $\pi : R_2 \rightarrow R_1$ defined as $\pi(x) = x^p$ is an surjective homomorphism of rings, which induces an epimorphism of the corresponding groups of units $\pi : U(R_2) \rightarrow U(R_1)$ and $\pi : \mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$.*

Proof. $\pi(a + b) = (a + b)^p = \pi(a) + \pi(b)$ and $\pi(m) = m^p = m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $(p) = (\zeta_2 - 1)^{p^3 - p^2} = (\zeta_1 - 1)^{p^2 - p} = 0 \in R_2$. Furthermore, $\pi(\zeta_2 - 1) = (\zeta_2 - 1)^p = \zeta_1 - 1$ and $\pi(1 + (\zeta_2 - 1)^k) = (1 + (\zeta_2 - 1)^k)^p = 1 + (\zeta_1 - 1)^k$ what proves that π is surjective homomorphism of the rings and the corresponding groups of units.

To prove that $\pi : U(R_2) \rightarrow U(R_1)$ induces a surjection $\pi : \mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$ we need to prove that $\pi(E_2) \subseteq E_1$. Since p satisfies Vandiver's conjecture, we can use the subgroup of cyclotomic units $C(k) \subset E_k$ instead of E_k . This means

that we have to show that $\pi(C(2)) \subseteq C(1)$. However, this is clear because of our previous computations:

$$\pi \left(\frac{\zeta_2^m - 1}{\zeta_2 - 1} \right) = \pi(1 + \zeta_2 + \dots + \zeta_2^{m-1}) = 1 + \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_1^{m-1} = \frac{\zeta_1^m - 1}{\zeta_1 - 1}.$$

The latter computation completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.3. *If $x \in \mathcal{V}_2$ is such that $x^p = 1$, then $x \in \ker(\pi)$.*

Lemma 5.4. *If $\lambda_i \geq p$, then $S_{1,i}$ has p generators as an abelian group (we assume that p is semi-regular).*

Proof. We have already mentioned in Introduction that it follows from results of [8] that

$$S_{1,i} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{((T+1)^p - 1, p_i(T))},$$

where $p_i(T)$ is a polynomial of degree λ_i and such that all the coefficients except of the leading one are divisible by p . Clearly,

$$S_{1,i}/(S_{1,i})^p \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{(p, T^p, T^{\lambda_i})} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{(p, T^p)},$$

what proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.5. *If $\lambda_i \geq p$ and $b_i := B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is divisible by p but not by p^2 , then $S_{1,i} \cong \mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)^p$ as abelian groups. Here $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} = \varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2$.*

Proof. Consider the following fibre product:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/((T+1)^p - 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0] = \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/\left(\frac{(T+1)^p - 1}{T}\right) \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(T) = \mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_p \end{array}$$

Here, $i_1(T) = 0$, $j_1(\zeta_0) = 1$ and horizontal maps are defined by $T \rightarrow T$, $1 \rightarrow 1$.

Let us write elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/((T+1)^p - 1)$ as pairs $(x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0])$ with clear compatibility conditions. In order to prove that $S_{1,i} \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)^p$, it is sufficient to show that $(p_i(0), p_i(\zeta_0 - 1))$ divides (p, p) in $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/((T+1)^p - 1)$.

Indeed, $p_i(0) = b_i$ and $p_i(\zeta_0 - 1) = b_i + \sum a_k(\zeta_0 - 1)^k + (\zeta_0 - 1)^{\lambda_i}$. Since p divides a_k , $(p) = (b_i) = (\zeta_0 - 1)^{p-1}$, and $\lambda_i \geq p$, we see that $p_i(\zeta_0 - 1) = b_i(1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)X)$ and therefore, $(p_i(0), p_i(\zeta_0 - 1)) = (b_i, b_i) \times (1, 1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)X)$. It follows that $(p_i(0), p_i(\zeta_0 - 1))$ divides (p, p) and due to the weak Kervaire–Murthy conjecture the lemma is completely proved. \square

Now, we can finish proof of the theorem.

Due to 5.2 we have a surjection $\pi : \varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_1$. On the other hand, due to 5.3 and 5.5 $\pi(\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2) = 1$. This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem. \square

6 Further relations between Bernoulli and Iwasawa numbers

The aim of this section is to prove that if the generalized Bernoulli number $b_i = B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is divisible by p^2 , then the Iwasawa number $\lambda_i = 1$

6.1 Fine structure of $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}^+$ if p^2 divides b_i

Theorem 6.1. *Let $b_i = p^{k_i}t$, $k_i \geq 2$, where t is co-prime to p . Then $(\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i})^+ \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p^2)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^k$, where $k = \min(\lambda_i - 1, p - 1)$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}^+$ is a factor of $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2) = \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1/U_{1,p^2-1}) = \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1/U_{1,p^2+1})$ because $p-i$ is an even number between 2 and $p-3$. It is easy to prove that $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2) = (\mathbb{Z}/(p^2)) \oplus \mathbb{F}^{p-1}$.

It follows from the weak Kervaire–Murthy conjecture and 5.5 that $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}^+ \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p^2)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^k$ or $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}^+ \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{k+1}$. So, we have to exclude the second possibility.

Let us denote the local norm residue symbol with values in p -roots of unity from the section 4 by $(a, b)_{n,0}$. In particular, we are interested in $(a, b)_{1,0}$ and $(c, d)_{0,0}$. Let us also consider the local norm residue symbol with values in p^2 -roots of unity, which we denote by $(a, b)_{n,1}$. Note that it is defined if $n > 0$.

Let us make the following easy remarks. To simplify notations, from now on we denote $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}^+$ by $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$

- $(a^p, b)_{1,1} = (a, b)_{1,0}$;

- if $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0]$, then $(a, b)_{1,0} = (\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(a), b)_{0,0}$;
- $(1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)^i, 1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)^j)_{0,0} = 1$, if $i + j > p$;
- $(1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)^i, 1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)^{p-i})_{0,0} \neq 1$.

Let v_2 be the image of $1 + (\zeta_1 - 1)^{p-i}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$. Let v_1 be the image of $1 + (\zeta_0 - 1)^{p-i}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{1,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p)$. Clearly, $\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(v_2)$ generates the same element in $\mathcal{V}_{1,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ as v_1 . We will write $\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(v_2) = v_1$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let us assume that p^2 divides b_i . Then there exists an ideal $\alpha \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_0]$, whose class belongs to $S_{0,i}$ such that $\alpha^{p^2} = (q)$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_0]$ and α^p is not a principal ideal.*

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that $S_{0,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/(b_i)$. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let v_2, q be as above. Then $(v_2, q)_{1,1}$ is a primitive p^2 -root of unity.*

Proof. Since α generates an element of $S_{0,i}$, it follows from results of Section 4 that q can be chosen such that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{(\zeta_0 - 1)^i}$. Let us compute $(v_2, q)_{1,1}^p$.

$$(v_2, q)_{1,1}^p = (v_2^p, q)_{1,1} = (v_2, q)_{1,0} = (\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(v_2), q)_{0,0} = (v_1, q)_{0,0} \neq 1$$

Since $(v_2, q)_{1,1}^p$ is a non-trivial p -root of unity, clearly $(v_2, q)_{1,1}$ is a p^2 -root of unity. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let q be as above. Then the formula $\langle v, \alpha \rangle = (v, q)_{1,1}$ defines a character of $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$.*

Proof. We have to prove that $(v, q)_{1,1} = 1$ if v is a unit of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]$ or $v \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_1)^{p^2+1}}$, the latter because $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2) = \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1/U_{1,p^2-1}) = \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1/U_{1,p^2+1})$.

If v is a unit, then the extension $F_1(v^{1/p^2})/F_1$ can ramify at $(1 - \zeta_1)$ only. Furthermore, for any prime $\theta \neq (1 - \zeta_1)$ we have $q = r^{p^2} \times \text{local unit}$ for some $r \in (F_0)_\theta$. It follows that $(v, q)_\theta = 1$, here $(v, q)_\theta$ is the corresponding local symbol with values in p^2 -roots of unity. The product formula implies that $(v, q)_{1,1} = 1$.

It remains to prove that $(v, q)_{1,1} = 1$ if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_1)^{p^2+1}}$. Indeed, $v = t^p$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_1]$ such that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_1)^{p+1}}$ and $(v, q)_{1,1} = (t^p, q)_{1,1} = (t, q)_{1,0} = (\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(t), q)_{0,0} = 1$ because $\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and q can be chosen to satisfy $q \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_0)^2}$. □

Now we can finish the proof of the theorem. We have proved that $(v, q)_{1,1}$ is a character of $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ and since $(v_2, q)_{1,1}$ is a primitive p^2 -root of unity, we can exclude the possibility $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong \mathbb{F}_p^k$. \square

6.2 The Main Theorem I

Theorem 6.5. *Assume p^2 divides b_i , q is the same as in the previous subsection, and σ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_1/\mathbb{F}_0)$ such that $\sigma(\zeta_1) = \zeta_1^{p+1}$. Then $\sigma(v_2)/v_2 = v_2^p$, where v_2 is a generator of $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ such that $(v_2, q)_{1,1} = \zeta_1$*

Proof. Let us consider $(\sigma(v_2), q)_{1,1}$, where q is the same as in the previous subsection. We have $(\sigma(v_2), q)_{1,1} = \sigma((v_2, q)_{1,1}) = \zeta_1^{p+1} = (v_2, q)_{1,1}^{p+1}$. Hence, $(\sigma(v_2)/v_2, q)_{1,1} = (v_2^p, q)_{1,1} = \zeta_0$.

Let us consider the annihilator of $\sigma(v_2)/v_2$ in the character group $(\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i})^*$. We denote it by $\text{Ann}(\sigma(v_2)/v_2)$.

Lemma 6.6. *$\text{Ann}(\sigma(v_2)/v_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{k+1}$, where $k = \min(\lambda_i - 1, p - 1)$.*

Proof. The proof consists of three statements below.

- $\sigma(v_2)/v_2$ has order p . Indeed, $(\sigma(v_2)/v_2, q)_{1,1} = \zeta_0$. Since $(\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i})^* \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p^2)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^k$ and q generates a character of order p^2 , it follows that the value of any character on $\sigma(v_2)/v_2$ is either a primitive p -root of unity or 1. Consequently, $\sigma(v_2)/v_2$ has order p (it cannot be 1 because $(\sigma(v_2)/v_2, q)_{1,1} = \zeta_0$).
- Therefore, $(\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i})^*/\text{Ann}(\sigma(v_2)/v_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$.
- Since q generates a character of order p^2 , $(\sigma(v_2)/v_2, q)_{1,1} = \zeta_0$, and $(\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i})^* \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(p^2)) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^k$, we can deduce that $\text{Ann}(\sigma(v_2)/v_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{k+1}$.

\square

Now, we can complete the proof of the theorem. By the Kervaire–Murthy theorem, $\text{Ann}(\sigma(v_2)/v_2)$ is a subgroup of $S_{1,i}$. By the lemma above and the weak Kervaire–Murthy conjecture, we have $\text{Ann}(\sigma(v_2)/v_2) \cong S_{1,i}^{(p)}$, the subgroup of elements of order p . Thus, for any ideal α_m such that $\alpha_m^p = (q_m) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]$ we have $(\sigma(v_2)/v_2, q_m)_{1,0} = 1$ and $(\sigma(v_2), q_m)_{1,0} = (v_2, q_m)_{1,0} = (v_2, q_m)_{1,0}^{p+1}$. It follows that $(\sigma(v_2)/v_2, q_m)_{1,0} = (v_2^p, q_m)_{1,0}$. Therefore, for any character $\chi \in \mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}^*$, we have $\chi(\sigma(v_2)/v_2) = \chi(v_2^p)$ and consequently $\sigma(v_2)/v_2 = v_2^p$.

\square

6.3 Main Theorem II

Lemma 6.7. $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ are $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -modules with one generator. Here the action is defined as follows: $T \cdot v = \sigma(v)/v$ and $a \cdot v = v^a$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2) = \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1/U_{1,p^{2-1}})$. Since $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1)$ is an $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -modules with one generator, it is also true for its factors $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ because $U_{1,p^{2-1}}$ and the image of $U(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1])$ in V_2 are $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -submodules. □

Lemma 6.8. $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(T^p, pT, p^2)$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that pT and p^2 annihilate V_2 . Further, $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_1)$ is annihilated by $(T+1)^p - 1$. Since pT annihilates $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2)$, we deduce that T^p annihilates it too. Finally, it is easy to see that both $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_2)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(T^p, pT, p^2)$ contain p^{p+1} elements. The last observation completes the proof. □

Theorem 6.9. If the generalized Bernoulli number $b_i = B_{1,\omega^{-i}}$ is divisible by p^2 , then the Iwasawa number $\lambda_i = 1$

Proof. It follows from 6.5 that $T - p$ annihilates $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$. Therefore, as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -module $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ factors through $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(T^p, pT, p^2, T - p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/(p^2)$. Since we already know that $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) \oplus \mathbb{F}^k$, where $k = \min(\lambda_i - 1, p - 1)$, we conclude that $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$ and $\lambda_i = 1$. □

Corollary 6.10. $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^n)$ if p^2 divides b_i .

Proof. It is an easy consequence of Corollary 3.6. □

Corollary 6.11. $S_{n,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{n+k_i})$ if p^2 divides b_i . Here k_i is the p -adic valuation of b_i .

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that $\text{Norm}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(i_{F_{n+1}/F_n})(\alpha) = \alpha^p$ for any ideal $\alpha \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ and that of $\text{Norm}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} : S_{n+1} \rightarrow S_n$ is surjective while $i_{F_{n+1}/F_n} : S_n \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ is injective. □

7 Fine structure of $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$ and $S_{n,i}$ if p^2 does not divide b_i

Throughout this section we assume that the p -adic valuation $v_p(b_i) = 1$. We already know that if p^2 does not divide b_i , then λ_i satisfies Ullom's inequality $\lambda_i \leq p - 1$ and $S_{0,i} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$.

7.1 Fine structure of $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\alpha \in S_{0,i}$. Then $\alpha = \beta^p$, where $\beta \in S_{1,i}$.*

Proof. We consider $S_{1,i}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -module. It follows from results of [8] that

$$S_{1,i} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]}{((T+1)^p - 1, f_i(T))} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{((T+1)^p - 1, p_i(T))},$$

where $f_i(T), p_i(T)$ were defined in Introduction.

Clearly, $S_{1,i}/(S_{1,i})^p \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{(p, T^p, T^{\lambda_i})} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{(p, T^{\lambda_i})} = \mathbb{F}_p[T]/(T^{\lambda_i})$, because of Ullom's inequality. Let us prove that the image of $S_{0,i}$ under the canonical embedding $i_{F_1/F_0} : S_{0,i} \rightarrow S_{1,i}$ is contained in $(S_{1,i})^p$. Indeed, this image is generated by $N(T) = 1 + (T+1) + \dots + (T+1)^{p-1} = ((T+1)^p - 1)/T$. Again, because of Ullom's inequality, the image of $N(T)$ in $S_{1,i}/(S_{1,i})^p \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[T]}{(p, T^{\lambda_i})}$ is zero. The lemma is proved. \square

The crucial step in computation of $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$ is to consider the case $n = 2$. From the weak Kervaire–Murthy conjecture and Ullom's inequality, we know that as an abelian group $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ has λ_i generators. Thus, we have two possibilities: $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^{\lambda_i-1}$ or $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{\lambda_i}$.

Theorem 7.2. $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^{\lambda_i-1}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to find an element in $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}^*$ of order p^2 .

With some abuse of notations, let $\alpha^p = (q)$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_0]$. Since $\beta^p = \alpha$ in $S_{1,i}$, it follows that $\beta^{p^2} = (qt^p)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]$. We claim that the required character is defined by $(v, qt^p)_{1,1}$. To prove this, we follow the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 7.3. $(v, qt^p)_{1,1} = \zeta_1$ for some v .

Proof. We have $(v, qt^p)_{1,1}^p = (v^p, qt^p)_{1,1} = (v, q)_{1,1}^p = (v, q)_{1,0} = (\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(v), q)_{0,0}$. Clearly, we can choose v such that $(\text{Norm}_{F_1/F_0}(v), q)_{0,0} = \zeta_0$ and therefore, $(v, qt^p)_{1,1} = \zeta_1$. \square

Lemma 7.4. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_1]$ be such that $r \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - \zeta_1)^{p^2+1}}$. Then $(r, qt^p)_{1,1} = 1$. Further, $(\epsilon, qt^p)_{1,1} = 1$ if $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]$.*

Proof. Since $r = r_1^p$, where $r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_1]$, we can proceed exactly as in the proof of Lemma 6.4. Since $(qt^p) = \beta^{p^2}$, again we can simply repeat the arguments of the proof of Lemma 6.4. \square

Two lemmas above imply that the element qt^p induces a character of $\mathcal{V}_{2,p-i}$ of order p^2 . The theorem is proved. \square

Corollary 7.5. *If b_i is not divisible by p^2 , then $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^n) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/(p^{n-1}))^{\lambda_i-1}$.*

Proof. Corollary 3.6 implies that $r_{m,p-i} = \lambda_i$ for any $m \geq 1$ and moreover, the number of elements in $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$ is $p^{1+(n-1)\lambda_i}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$ is a factor of a bigger group $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_n) = \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n-1}/U_{n-1,p^{n-1}})$. It is easy to verify that $\varepsilon_{p-i}(V_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^n) \oplus T$, where the abelian group T has exponent p^{n-1} (an exact formula can be derived from [3] but we do not need it). Comparing the number of elements and the number of generators of $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i}$ which is λ_i , we can deduce that $\mathcal{V}_{n,p-i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^n) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/(p^{n-1}))^{\lambda_i-1}$. \square

7.2 Fine structure of $S_{n,i}$

Let A be a finite abelian group such that $A \cong \bigoplus_j \mathbb{Z}/(p_j^k)$. Let us denote the abelian group $\bigoplus_j \mathbb{Z}/(p_j^{k_j+m})$ by $\Sigma_m A$.

Lemma 7.6. $S_{n+1,i} \cong \Sigma_n S_{1,i}$.

Proof. The fact follows from the following observations:

- all the groups $S_{k,i}$, $k \geq 1$, have λ_i generators;
- $\text{Norm}_{F_{k+1}/F_k}(i_{F_{k+1}/F_k}(\alpha)) = \alpha^p$, $\alpha \in S_{k,i}$.

Remark: it is well-known that $i_{F_{k+1}/F_k} : S_{k,i} \rightarrow S_{k+1,i}$ is an embedding and $\text{Norm}_{F_{k+1}/F_k} : S_{k+1,i} \rightarrow S_{k,i}$ is a surjection. \square

It remains to compute $S_{1,i}$.

Theorem 7.7. *Assume that $1 \leq \lambda_i < p - 1$. Then $S_{1,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^{\lambda_i-1}$.*

Proof. $S_{1,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/((T+1)^p - 1, f_i(T))$, see [8]. Since p^2 does not divide b_i , the polynomial $f_i(T)$ is irreducible. Let a be its root. Then $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_i(T)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[a]$, $1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{\lambda_i-1}$ generate $\mathbb{Z}_p[a]$ as an abelian group, $(p) = (a^{\lambda_i})$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[a]$, and $S_{1,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[a]/((a+1)^p - 1)$. Further, $((a+1)^p - 1) = (a^{\lambda_i+1})$ because $\lambda_i < p - 1$. It follows that the element $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[a]$ has exponent p^2 and all other generators of $\mathbb{Z}_p[a]$, $a, a^2, \dots, a^{\lambda_i-1}$ have exponent p . The theorem is proved. \square

The case $\lambda_i = p - 1$ is more delicate. To treat this case we need the Cartesian square from Lemma 5.5. Let us denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/((T+1)^p - 1)$ by B . We have to study $B/(f_i(T))$. We remind the reader that any element $b \in B$ can be written as a pair (c, d) , $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0]$. In this notations $f_i(T) = (b_i, f_i(\zeta_0 - 1))$.

A simple analysis of this case shows the following result:

Theorem 7.8. *The element $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/((T+1)^p - 1, f_i(T)) \cong S_{1,i}$ has exponent p^κ with $\kappa = \lfloor \frac{k}{p-1} \rfloor + 1$. Here $k = v_p(f_i(\zeta_0 - 1))$, where v_p is the extension of the p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Z}_p to $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_0]$.*

Remark 7.9. $v_p(f_i(\zeta_0 - 1)) = v_p(L_p(s_0, \omega^{1-i}))$, where L_p is a p -adic L-function, ω is the Teichmüller character of $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$, and s_0 satisfies the following equation: $(p+1)^{s_0} = \zeta_0$.

7.3 Fine structure of the group "Local units modulo closure of the cyclotomic units"

Our aim is to describe the group $(U_{n,1}/C(n))^+$, where $U_{n,1}$ is the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_n]$ congruent 1 modulo $(\zeta_n - 1)$ and $C(n)$ is the closure of the subgroup of the cyclotomic units. Let $G_{n,k} = \text{Gal}(F_n/F_k)$. If A is a G -module, then A^G is a submodule of G -invariant elements of A . We begin with the following result:

Theorem 7.10. *The canonical map $(U_{k,1}/C(k))^+ \rightarrow (U_{n,1}/C(n))^+$ is an embedding and $\{(U_{n,1}/C(n))^{G_{n,k}}\}^+ \cong (U_{k,1}/C(k))^+$.*

Proof. Let us consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow C(n) \rightarrow U_{n,1} \rightarrow U_{n,1}/C(n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we get the corresponding exact sequence of cohomologies:

$$0 \rightarrow C(n)^{G_{n,k}} \rightarrow U_{n,1}^{G_{n,k}} \rightarrow (U_{n,1}/C(n))^{G_{n,k}} \rightarrow H^1(G_{n,k}, C(n)).$$

Thus, to prove the theorem we have to show that $H^1(G_{n,k}, C(n)^+) = 1$.

Let us show first that the Herbrand index $h(G_{n,k}, C(n)^+) = 1$. Indeed, $C(n)^+$ is a finite index subgroup of the group of global units E_n . Its closure contains an open subgroup of $U_{n,1}^+$ due to Leopoldt's conjecture about the closure of the group of global units, which is true in our case. Thus, $C(n)^+$

contains an open subgroup X of $U_{n,1}^+$ as well. Consequently, $h(G_{n,k}, C(n)^+) = h(X) \cdot h(C(n)^+/X) = 1$ because $h(C(n)^+/X) = 1$ since the group $C(n)^+/X$ is finite and $h(X) = 1$ because X can be chosen as a projective $G_{n,k}$ -module, see [1], chapter 6.

Therefore, it suffices to prove that $H^2(G_{n,k}, C(n)) = 1$. However, it is clear because $H^2(G_{n,k}, C(n)) = C(k)/\text{Norm}_{F_n/F_k}(C(n)) = 1$ because the norm is surjective on the group of cyclotomic units. □

Let us give another proof of the same theorem based on a lemma needed in the sequel. Let us remind the reader that series $f_i(T)$ and polynomials $p_i(T), P_n(T)$ were defined in Introduction. Let us define $g_i(T) = f_i(\frac{1+p}{1+T} - 1)$ and the polynomial $q_i(T)$ exactly in the same way as $p_i(T)$ was defined from $f_i(T)$. It is clear that $\deg(p_i) = \deg(q_i) = \lambda_i$. Furthermore, with our choice of the polynomials p_i, q_i we have $p_i(0) = f_i(0) = L_p(0, \omega^{1-i}) = b_i$ and

$$q_i(0) = g_i(0) = f_i(p) = L_p(1, \omega^{1-i}) := c_i.$$

However, generally speaking $p_i(p) \neq f_i(p)$ while we only have $v_p(f_i(p)) = v_p(p_i(p)) = v_p(c_i)$. As in Introduction, we denote $(T+1)^{p^n} - 1$ by $P_n(T)$ and $P_n(T)/P_k(T)$ by $P_{n,k}(T)$.

Lemma 7.11. *We have:*

- $\varepsilon_{p-i}U_{n,1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T)) = \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(P_n(T));$
- $\varepsilon_{p-i}C(n) \cong (g_i(T))/(g_i(T)P_n(T));$
- $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n,1}/C(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T), g_i(T)) = \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(P_n(T), q_i(T)).$

Proof. The first two items were proved in [8], chapters 13, 15.

Let us prove the third statement. It was proved in [8], chapter 15, that P_n and g_i have no common roots. This implies that $(P_n) \cap (g_i) = (P_n \cdot g_i)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n,1}/C(n)) &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T))}{(g_i(T))/(g_i(T)P_n(T))} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T))}{(g_i(T))/(g_i(T) \cap P_n(T))} = \\ &\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T), g_i(T)). \end{aligned}$$

□

This lemma enables us to give another proof of Theorem 7.3.

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(g_i(T))$ and let $M_n = R/(P_n)$ be an R -module. Clearly, the lemma above shows that $M_n = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(P_n(T), g_i(T)) \cong \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n,1}/C(n))$. Let us notice that P_n is not a zero divisor in R because P_n and g_i have distinct roots. Then multiplication by $P_{n,k}$ determines a well-defined R -module map $m : M_k \rightarrow M_n$ because $m(P_k) = P_n$. It is clear that m is an embedding. Indeed, if $m(x) = 0$, then $P_{n,k} \cdot x = P_n \cdot y = P_{n,k}P_k \cdot y$ for some $y \in R$. Since $P_{n,k}$ is not a zero divisor in R , we conclude that $x = P_k \cdot y = 0 \in M_k$.

Furthermore, let us prove that $\{y \in M_n : P_k \cdot y = 0\} \cong M_k$. Indeed, $P_k \cdot y = P_n \cdot z = P_k \cdot m(z)$ for some $z \in R$ and consequently $y = m(z)$. Since m is an embedding, the required statement follows.

The two statements above are equivalent to Theorem 7.3 formulated in terms of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -modules.

At this point we remind the reader that we assume that p divides b_i . Clearly, then p divides c_i and vice versa.

- Theorem 7.12.** 1. If p^2 divides b_i , then $\lambda_i = 1$ and p^2 does not divide c_i ;
 2. If p^2 does not divide b_i and $2 \leq \lambda_i \leq p-1$, then p^2 does not divide c_i ;
 3. If p^2 divides c_i , then p^2 does not divide b_i and $\lambda_i = 1$.

Proof. 1. We already know that $\lambda_i = 1$. Then $c_i = g_i(0) = f_i(p) = b_i + pa_1 + p^2Z_1$, where p does not divide a_1 . Then the statement is clear.

2. $c_i = b_i + p^2Z_2$.

3. We already know that if $2 \leq \lambda_i \leq p-1$, then p^2 does not divide b_i and consequently it does not divide c_i as well. Hence, $\lambda_i = 1$. Then p^2 does not divide b_i because $c_i = b_i + pa_1 + p^2Z_1$.

□

Corollary 7.13. Assume that p^2 divides c_i . Then $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n,1}/C(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{n+l_i})$ with $l_i = v_p(c_i)$

Proof. Let us perform a simple computation:

$$\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{0,1}/C(0)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_P[[T]]/(T, g_i(T)) = \mathbb{Z}_P/(g_i(0)) = \mathbb{Z}/(p^{l_i}) = M_0.$$

We already know that $\lambda_i = 1$ and hence, $M_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^k)$ for some k . we have the embedding $m : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ determined by the formula $m(1_{M_0}) = ((T+1)^p/T)$.

1_{M_1} . Also, we have a canonical projection $res : M_1 \rightarrow M_0$, $res(1_{M_1}) = 1_{M_0}$. Further, $res(m(1_{M_0})) = res(\{((T+1)^p - 1)/T\} \cdot 1_{M_1}) = p \cdot 1_{M_0}$. This computation shows that $k = 1 + l_i$. Similar computations with $m : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $res : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ yield $res(m(1_{M_1})) = p \cdot 1_{M_1}$ and $M_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{2+l_i})$ and so on.

□

Corollary 7.14. *Assume that p^2 does not divide c_i and $1 \leq \lambda_i < p-1$. Then*

- $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{1,1}/C(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^{\lambda_i-1}$.
- $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n,1}/C(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{n+1}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\lambda_i-1}$.

Proof. Proofs are literally the same as the proofs of the analogous statements about the structure of the class groups in Subsection 7.2. □

Remark 7.15. Results of Subsections 7.2 and 7.3 show that the class groups $S_{n,i}$ and the groups $\varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{n,1}/C(n))$ in majority of cases are dual to each other. Therefore, it is natural to conjecture that these groups are always dual to each other. However, it follows from Theorem 7.12 that if p^2 divides c_i , it does not divide b_i and hence, our conjecture does not hold in this case.

Therefore, if we anyway believe in that conjecture, we have to exclude the case p^2 divides $c_i = L_p(1, \omega^{1-i})$. Let us do this in the next section.

8 $L_p(1, \omega^{1-i}), L_p(0, \omega^{1-i})$ are not divisible by p^2 ?

8.1 \mathcal{V} -duality

In this subsection we will prove the following result.

Theorem 8.1. *Let us assume that $\lambda_i = 1$ and p^2 does not divide b_i . Then the group $Gal(F_1/F_0)$ acts non-trivially on \mathcal{V}_2^+ .*

Remark 8.2. In the definition of \mathcal{V}_2 (given by Kervaire and Murthy in [3]) has a natural structure a $Gal(F_2/F_0)$ -module. However, they proved that $Gal(F_2/F_1)$ acts on \mathcal{V}_2 trivially. Consequently, \mathcal{V}_2 is a $Gal(F_1/F_0)$ -module.

Proof. Our proof is based on the main result of [3] (mentioned earlier in the paper, Theorem 1.1, however we need it in its complete form because it traces the action of $Gal(F_2/F_0)$ or equivalently $Gal(F_1/F_0)$):

- Let g be a generator of $G = Gal(F_1/F_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and let us define the following natural action of G on \mathcal{V}_2^* ($g\chi)(v) = g(\chi(g^{-1}v))$, where $\chi \in \mathcal{V}_2^*, v \in \mathcal{V}_2$.
- Then $(\mathcal{V}_2^+)^*$ is isomorphic to a G -submodule of $S_1^{(p)}$.
- Assuming the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture that $S_1^{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{V}_2^+)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$ we get the formula $\langle gs, v \rangle = g(\langle s, g^{-1}v \rangle)$ or equivalently $g(\langle g^{-1}s, v \rangle) = \langle s, gv \rangle$. Here $s \in S_1^{(p)}$.

Now, let us proceed to the proof of our theorem. Clearly, without losing generality we may assume that $S_1^{(p)} = S_{1,i}$.

Then, since $\lambda_i = 1$ and p^2 does not divide b_i , our previous computations show that $S_1^{(p)} \cong \mathcal{V}_2^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture is true in our situation.

Let us choose $g \in G$ such that $g(\zeta_1) = \zeta_1^{1+p}$. It is well-known due to Iwasawa that the subgroup $\{s \in S_1^{(p)} : g(s) = s\} \cong S_0^{(p)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, G acts on $S_1^{(p)}$ non-trivially and we can choose a generator $s \in S_1^{(p)}$ such that $g^{-1}(s) = s^{1+p}$. Further, we can choose a generator $v \in \mathcal{V}_2^+$ such that $\langle s, v \rangle = \zeta_1$.

Suppose G acts on \mathcal{V}_2^+ trivially. Then

$$\zeta_1 = \langle s, v \rangle = \langle s, g(v) \rangle = g(\langle g^{-1}(s), v \rangle) = g(\zeta_1^{1+p}) = \zeta_1^{1+2p}.$$

Clearly, it is impossible and hence, G acts on \mathcal{V}_2^+ non-trivially. □

8.2 E -duality

Let us assume that $\lambda_i = 1$ and p^2 does divide c_i .

Results of this subsection are based on Chapter 8 of [8] Let E be the group of real units of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_0]$ and in this subsection we denote $U_{0,1}^+$ by U . Since p satisfies Vandiver's conjecture, $U/(C(0))^+ = U/\bar{E}$, where \bar{E} is the closure of E in U .

Let us denote E/E^{p^2} by E_{p^2} . It was proved in [8] that $\varepsilon_{p-i}E_{p^2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$ and $\varepsilon_{p-i}U/\varepsilon_{p-i}\bar{E} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{v_p(c_i)}) = \mathbb{Z}_p/(c_i)$. according to Corollary 7.13.

Let $\eta \in E$ generate $\varepsilon_{p-i}E_{p^2}$. Let us consider $\varepsilon_{p-i}(\eta) \in \varepsilon_{p-i}\bar{E}$. Since $\varepsilon_{p-i}(E/E^{p^2}) = \varepsilon_{p-i}\bar{E}/\varepsilon_{p-i}\bar{E}^{p^2}$ and $E^{p^2} \subset \bar{E}^{p^2}$, we see that $\eta^{-1}\varepsilon_{p-i}(\eta) \in \bar{E}^{p^2}$.

Thus $\eta = \varepsilon_{p-i}(\eta)\gamma^{p^2}$ and we obtained the following

Lemma 8.3. $\eta \in E$ is a local p^2 -power.

Proof. $\varepsilon_{p-i}(\eta)$ is a local p^2 -power because $\varepsilon_{p-i}(\eta) \in \varepsilon_{p-i}\bar{E} = (\varepsilon_{p-i}U)^{v_p(c_i)}$ and $v_p(c_i) \geq 2$. Thus, $\eta \in E$ is a local p^2 -power. \square

8.3 $L_p(1, \omega^{1-i})$ is not divisible by p^2 ?

Now we can prove the first main result of this section

Theorem 8.4. $L_p(1, \omega^{1-i})$ is not divisible by p^2 .

Proof. Assuming that $L_p(1, \omega^{1-i})$ is divisible by p^2 , in several steps we will come to contradiction.

- Clearly, η is not a p -power in the field F_0 because it generates $\varepsilon_{p-i}(E/E^{p^2})$.
- η is not a p -power in the field F_1 because otherwise $F_1 = F_0(\eta^{1/p})$ and F_1 becomes a non-ramified extension of F_0 .
- Hence, the extension $F_1(\eta^{1/p^2})/F_1$ is non-ramified and consequently η induces a character of $S_1^{(p)}$.
- Let E_1 be the subgroup of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_1]$ which are local p^2 -powers. Then E_1 generates a subgroup of the group of characters of $S_1^{(p)}$. The corresponding pairing between $S_1^{(p)}$ and E_1 satisfies $\langle gs, \epsilon \rangle = g(\langle s, g^{-1}\epsilon \rangle)$.
- Let $X \subseteq (S_1^{(p)})^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$ be the subgroup generated by η . Comparing formulas $\langle gs, \epsilon \rangle = g(\langle s, g^{-1}\epsilon \rangle)$ and $\langle gs, v \rangle = g(\langle s, g^{-1}v \rangle)$ we can conclude that $X \cong \mathcal{V}_2^+$ as G -modules.
- However, the latter is impossible because G acts trivially on X ($\eta \in F_0$) and non-trivially on \mathcal{V}_2^+ .

The theorem is proved. \square

8.4 $L_p(0, \omega^{1-i})$ is not divisible by p^2 ?

Now we can prove the second main result of this section

Theorem 8.5. $L_p(0, \omega^{1-i})$ is not divisible by p^2 .

Proof. Assuming that $L_p(0, \omega^{1-i})$ is divisible by p^2 , in several steps we will come to contradiction.

We remind the reader that we already know that $\lambda_i = 1$ and c_i is divisible by p but not by p^2 . Let us assume first that $v_p(L_p(0, \omega^{1-i})) = v_p(b_i) = 2$. The reader will see that the general case will be possible to treat exactly in the same way.

- Since $S_{0,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$ and $S_{1,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^3)$ under our assumptions, we deduce that $S_{1,i}^G \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) = S_{1,i}^p$.
- Our previous computations show that $\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_1^+ \cong \mathbb{F}_p \cong \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{0,1}/C(0))$ and $\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2) \cong \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{1,1}/C(1))$.
- Since there exists a canonical projection of G -modules $U_{1,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2^+$, we deduce that $\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2^+ \cong \varepsilon_{p-i}(U_{1,1}/C(1))$ as G -modules.
- $(U_{1,1}/C(1))^G \cong U_{0,1}/C(0)$ by Theorem 7.10, we see that G acts on $\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2^+$ non-trivially.
- By the main Kervaire–Murthy theorem ([3]), $(\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2^+)^*$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{1,i}$ as G -modules. Comparing orders of the involved groups we get $(\varepsilon_{p-i}\mathcal{V}_2^+)^* \cong (S_{1,i}^G)$ as G -modules.
- Let us fix $g \in G$ such that $g(\zeta_1) = \zeta_1^{1+p}$. Let us choose $v \in \varepsilon\mathcal{V}_2^+$ so that $g^{-1}(v) = v^{1+p}$ and $s \in S_{1,i}^G$ satisfying $\langle s, v \rangle = \zeta_1$.
- Now, as before let us perform a simple computation:

$$\langle s, v \rangle = \zeta_1 = \langle gs, v \rangle = g \langle s, g^{-1}(v) \rangle = g(\zeta_1^{1+p}) = \zeta_1^{1+2p}.$$

The latter equality is impossible and the theorem is proved. \square

8.5 Correction to the previous results of this section

The results of this section must be corrected. What went wrong? Let us take a look at the proof of Theorem 8.1. We chose a generator of $g \in G$ such that $g(\zeta_1) = \zeta_1^{1+p}$ and a generator $s \in S_{1,i}$ such that $g^{-1}(s) = s^{1+p}$.

Unfortunately, these two choices might be incompatible. We can only claim that $g(s) = s^{1+kp}$ with an integer k defined modulo p . Similarly, $g(v) = v^{1+lp}$, where $v \in \mathcal{V}_2^+$ satisfies $\langle s, v \rangle = \zeta_1$.

Further, since $\langle g(s), g(v) \rangle = g(\zeta_1)$, we see that $k+l = 1$. Assuming that $L_p(1, \omega^{1-i})$ is divisible by p^2 , we can deduce that $l = 0 \pmod{p}$ and hence $g(s) = s^{1+p}$.

Let us consider $S_{1,i}$ as an $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(f_i(T), (1+T)^p - 1)$ -module. It follows that $T - p$ acts trivially on $S_{1,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^2)$. Since $\lambda_i = 1$, we infer that $f_i(T)$ has a root of the form $T = p + ap^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Furthermore, $T = (1+p)^s - 1$ and hence $s_0 = \log_{1+p}(1 + p + ap^2) = 1 + bp$ is a zero of $L_p(s, \omega^{1-i})$. We have proved

Theorem 8.6. *Let $\lambda_i = 1$. If $L_p(s, \omega^{1-i})$ has no zeroes of the form*

$$s_0 = \log_{1+p}(1 + p + ap^2) = 1 + bp, \quad b \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

then $L_p(1, \omega^{1-i})$ is not divisible by p^2 .

The case $k = 0, l = 1$ can be conditionally excluded using a similar result.

Theorem 8.7. *Let $\lambda_i = 1$. If $L_p(s, \omega^{1-i})$ has no zeroes of the form*

$$s_0 = \log_{1+p}(1 + ap^2) = bp, \quad b \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

then $L_p(0, \omega^{1-i})$ is not divisible by p^2 .

Remark 8.8. The previous conditional theorem proves the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture (conditionally) with only one possible exception: $\lambda_i = p - 1$.

9 Concluding remarks

The Kervaire and Murthy conjecture has another interesting form. Let us denote by $\mathbb{A}(F_n)$ the ring of adèles of the field F_n . Let w be a valuation of F_n , different from $\mu_n = (1 - \zeta_n)$. Let \mathbb{Q}_w be the completion of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ at w . Let us consider the following subgroup $K_{p^{n+1}-1}$ of $GL(1, \mathbb{A}(F_n))$, namely

$$K_{p^{n+1}-1} = GL(1, \mathbb{Q}) \times U_{n,p^{n+1}-1} \times \prod GL(1, \mathbb{Q}_w).$$

Then the Kervaire and Murthy conjecture can be formulated as

Conjecture 9.1. $(S_n^-)^* \cong \{GL(1, F_n) \setminus GL(1, \mathbb{A}(F_n))/K_{p^{n+1}-1}\}_{(p)}^+$

10 Afterword

This is my last paper before my retirement from the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology/ University of Göteborg, Sweden after almost 25 years of professional service. I appreciated and enjoyed very much its friendly and calm atmosphere, which encouraged and helped me to work on the problems of my interest ”i lugn och ro.”

References

- [1] *Algebraic number theory*. Edited by I.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Academic Press, London, 1967.
- [2] O. Helenius, A. Stolin. *Fine structure of class groups and the Kervaire-Murthy conjectures*. Preprint Chalmers University of Technology 2002:58, 2002:64.
- [3] Kervaire, M., Murthy, M.P. *On the projective class group of cyclic groups of prime power order*. Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), no. 3, 415-452.
- [4] A. Stolin. *An explicit formula for the Picard group of the cyclic group of order p^2* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), no. 2, 375-383.
- [5] A. Stolin. *On the Picard group of the integer group ring of the cyclic p -group and of rings close to it*. Commutative ring theory, 443-455. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 185, Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [6] A. Stolin. *On the Picard group of the integer group ring of the cyclic p -group and certain Galois groups*. I. J. Number Theory, 72 (1998), no. 1, 48-66.
- [7] S. Ullom. *Class group of cyclotomic fields and group rings*. I. London Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1978), no. 2, 231-239.
- [8] L. Washington. *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Math., 83. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.