

# Regular factors of regular graphs from eigenvalues

Hongliang Lu

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC

Nankai University, Tianjin, China

## Abstract

Let  $m$  and  $r$  be two integers. Let  $G$  be a connected  $r$ -regular graph of order  $n$  and  $k$  an integer depending on  $m$  and  $r$ . For even  $kn$ , we find a best upper bound (in terms of  $r$  and  $m$ ) on the third largest eigenvalue that is sufficient to guarantee that  $G$  has a  $k$ -factor. When  $nk$  is odd, we give a best upper bound (in terms of  $r$  and  $m$ ) on the second largest eigenvalue that is sufficient to guarantee that  $G$  is  $k$ -critical.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $G$  denotes a simple graph of order  $n$  (the number of vertices) and size  $e$  (the number of edges). For two subsets  $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $e_G(S, T)$  denote the number of edges of  $G$  joining  $S$  to  $T$ . The eigenvalues of  $G$  are the *eigenvalues*  $\lambda_i$  of its adjacent matrix  $A$ , indexed so that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . If  $G$  is  $k$ -regular, then it is easy to see that  $\lambda_1 = k$  and also,  $\lambda_2 < k$  if and only if  $G$  is connected.

For a general graph  $G$  and an integer  $k$ , a spanning subgraph  $F$  such that

$$d_F(x) = k \quad \text{for all } x \in V(G)$$

is called a  $k$ -factor.

Given an integer  $k$  and a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ , we define the *deficiency* of  $H$  with respect to  $k$ -factor as

$$def_H(G) = \sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, k - d_H(v)\}.$$

Suppose that  $G$  contains no  $k$ -factors. Choose a spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  such that the deficiency is minimized over all such choices. Then  $F$  is called as a  $k$ -optimal subgraph of  $G$ . We call a graph  $G$   $k$ -critical, if  $G$  contains no  $k$ -factors, but for any fixed vertex  $x$  of  $V(G)$ , there exists a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $d_H(x) = k \pm 1$  and  $d_H(y) = k$  for any vertex  $y$  ( $y \neq x$ ). Tutte [12] obtained the well-known  $k$ -Factor Theorem in 1952.

**Theorem 1.1 (Tutte [12])** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and  $G$  be a general graph. Then  $G$  has a  $k$ -regular factor if and only if for all disjoint subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $V(G)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(S, T) &= k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) - k|T| - e_G(S, T) - \tau \\ &= k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T| - \tau \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  denotes the number of components  $C$ , called  $k$ -odd components of  $G - (S \cup T)$  such that  $e_G(V(C), T) + k|C| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Moreover,  $\delta(S, T) \equiv k|V(G)| \pmod{2}$ .

Furthermore, the following well-known  $k$ -deficiency Theorem is due to Lovász [10] in 1970.

**Theorem 1.2 (Lovász [10])** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $k$  a positive integer. Then*

$$\delta_G(S, T) = \max\{k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T| - q_G(S, T) \mid S, T \subseteq V(G), \text{ and } S \cap T = \emptyset\}$$

where  $q_G(S, T)$  is the number of components  $C$  of  $G - (S \cup T)$  such that  $e(V(C), T) + k|C| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Moreover,  $\delta_G(S, T) \equiv k|V(G)|$ .

In [2], Brouwer and Haemers gave sufficient conditions for the existence of a 1-factor in a graph in terms of its Laplacian eigenvalues and, for a regular graph, gave an improvement in terms of the third largest adjacency eigenvalue,  $\lambda_3$ . Cioabă and Gregory [4] also studied relations between 1-factors and eigenvalues. Later, Cioabă, Gregory and Haemers [5] found a best upper bound on  $\lambda_3$  that is sufficient to guarantee that a regular graph  $G$  of order  $v$  has a 1-factor when  $v$  is even, and a matching of order  $v - 1$  when  $v$  is odd. In [11], the author studied the relation of eigenvalues and regular factors of regular graphs and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $r$  and  $k$  be integers such that  $1 \leq k < r$ . Let  $G$  be a connected  $r$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices and adjacency eigenvalues  $r = \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer and*

$$\lambda_3 \leq r - \frac{m-1}{r+1} + \frac{1}{(r+1)(r+2)}.$$

Let  $m^* \in \{m, m+1\}$  such that  $m^* \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . If one of the following conditions holds, then  $G$  has a  $k$ -factor.

- (i)  $r$  is even,  $k$  is odd,  $|G|$  is even, and  $\frac{r}{m} \leq k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m})$ ;

(ii)  $r$  is odd,  $k$  is even and  $k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m^*})$ ;

(iii) both  $r$  and  $k$  are odd and  $\frac{r}{m^*} \leq k$ .

In this paper, we continue to study the bound of eigenvalues of nonregular graphs and improved the bound in Theorem 1.3.

## 2 The lower bound of spectral of nonregular graphs and extremal graphs

In this section, we give the lower bound of some non-regular graphs and also gave that of the extremal graph.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $r \geq 4$  be an integer and  $m$  an even integer, where  $2 \leq m \leq r + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(r, m)$  denote the class of all connected irregular graphs with order  $n \not\equiv r \pmod{2}$  and maximum degree  $r$ , and size  $e$  with  $2e \geq rn - m$ . Let*

$$\rho_1(r, m) = \min_{H \in \mathcal{H}(r, m)} \lambda_1(H).$$

Then

$$\rho_1(r, m) = \frac{1}{2}(r - 2 + \sqrt{(r + 2)^2 - 4m}). \quad (1)$$

The extremal graph is  $K_{r+1-m} + \overline{M_{m/2}}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{H}(r, m)$  with  $\lambda_1(H) = \rho_1(r, m)$ . Firstly, we prove the following claim.

*Claim 1.*  $H$  has order  $n$  and size  $e$ , where  $n = r + 1$  and  $2e = rn - m$ .

Suppose that  $2e > rn - m$ . Then, since  $rn - m$  is even, so  $2e \geq rn - m + 2$ . Because the spectra radius of a graph is at least the average degree,  $\lambda_1(H) \geq \frac{2e}{n} \geq r - \frac{m-2}{r+1} > \rho_1(r, m)$ . Thus  $2e = rn - m$ . Because  $H$  has order  $n$  with maximum degree  $r$ , we have  $n \geq r + 1$ . If  $n > r + 1$ , since  $n + r$  is odd, so  $n \geq r + 3$ , it is straightforward to check that

$$\lambda_1(H) > \frac{2e}{n} \geq r - \frac{m}{r+3} > \rho_1(r, m),$$

a contradiction. We complete the claim.

Then by Claim 1,  $H$  has order  $n = r + 1$  and at least  $r + 1 - m$  vertices of degree  $r$ . Let  $G_1$  be the subgraph of  $H$  induced by  $n_1 = r + 1 - m$  vertices of all the vertices of degree

$r$  and  $G_2$  be the subgraph induced by the remaining  $n_2 = m$  vertices. Also, let  $G_{12}$  be the bipartite subgraph induced by the partition and let  $e_{12}$  be the size of  $G_{12}$ . A theorem of Hamers [7] shows that eigenvalues of the quotient matrix of the partition interlace the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . Because each vertex in  $G_1$  is adjacent to all other vertices in  $H$ . Here the quotient matrix is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2e_1}{n_1} & \frac{e_{12}}{n_1} \\ \frac{e_{12}}{n_2} & \frac{2e_2}{n_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r - m & m \\ r + 1 - m & m - 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying this result to the greatest eigenvalue of  $G$ , we get

$$\lambda_1(H) \geq \lambda_1(Q) = \frac{1}{2}(r - 2 + \sqrt{(r + 2)^2 - 4m}),$$

with the equality if and only if the partition is equitable [ [9], p. 195]; equivalently, if and only if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are regular, and  $G_{12}$  is semiregular. So  $G_2 = \overline{K_{m/2}}$ ,  $G_1 = K_{r+1-m}$  and  $G_{12} = K_{r+1-m, m}$ . Hence  $H = K_{r+1-m} + \overline{M_{m/2}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $r$  and  $m$  be two integers such that  $m \equiv r \pmod{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq r + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(r, m)$  denote the class of all connected irregular graphs with order  $n \equiv r \pmod{2}$ , maximum degree  $r$ , and size  $e$  with  $2e \geq rn - m$ . Let*

$$\rho(r, m) = \min_{H \in \mathcal{H}(r, m)} \lambda_1(H).$$

(i) *If  $m \geq 3$ , then*

$$\rho(r, m) = \frac{1}{2}(r - 3 + \sqrt{(r + 3)^2 - 4m}), \quad (2)$$

*and then the extremal graph is  $\overline{M_{(r+2-m)/2}} + \overline{C}$ , where  $C$  with order  $m$  consists of disjoint cycles;*

(ii) *if  $m = 1$ , then  $\rho(r, m)$  is the greatest root of  $P(x)$ , where  $P(x) = x^3 - (r - 2)x^2 - 2rx + (r - 1)$ ;*

(iii) *if  $m = 2$ , then  $\rho(r, m)$  is the greatest root of  $f_1(x)$ , where  $f_1(x) = x^3 - (r - 2)x^2 - (2r - 1)x + r$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{H}(r, m)$  with  $\lambda_1(H) = \rho(r, m)$ . With similar proof of Claim 1 in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following claim.

*Claim 1.  $H$  has order  $n$  and size  $e$ , where  $n = r + 2$  and  $2e = rn - m$ .*

By Claim 1,  $H$  has order  $n = r + 2$  and at least  $r + 2 - m$  vertices of degree  $r$ . Let  $G_1$  be the subgraph of  $H$  induced by the  $n_1 = n + 2 - m$  vertices of degree  $r$  and  $G_2$  be

the subgraph induced by the remaining  $n_2 = m$  vertices. Also, let  $G_{12}$  be the bipartite subgraph induced by the partition and let  $e_{12}$  be the size of  $G_{12}$ . Here the quotient matrix is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2e_1}{n_1} & \frac{e_{12}}{n_1} \\ \frac{e_{12}}{n_2} & \frac{2e_2}{n_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that  $e_{12} = t$ . Then  $2e_1 = (r+2-m)r - t$  and  $2e_2 = rm - m - t$ . Applying this result to greatest eigenvalue

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(G) &\geq \lambda_1(Q) = \frac{2e_1}{n_1} + \frac{2e_2}{n_2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{2e_1}{n_1} - \frac{2e_2}{n_2}\right)^2 + \frac{e_{12}^2}{n_1 n_2}} \\ &= \frac{2r-1}{2} - \frac{(r+2)t}{2m(r+2-m)} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t(r+2-2m)}{2m(r+2-m)}\right)^2 + \frac{t^2}{m(r+2-m)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s = \frac{t}{m(r+2-m)}$ , where  $0 < s \leq 1$ , then we have

$$2\lambda_1(Q) = f(s) = (2r-1) - s(r+2) + \sqrt{1 + 2s(r+2-2m) + s^2(r+2)^2}.$$

For  $s > 0$ , since

$$f'(s) = -(r+2) + \frac{(r+2-2m) + s(r+2)^2}{\sqrt{1 + 2s(r+2-2m) + s^2(r+2)^2}} < 0.$$

Then  $0 < t \leq m(r+1-m)$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda_1(Q) &\geq f(1) = (r-3) + \sqrt{1 + 2(r+2-2m) + (r+2)^2} \\ &= (r-3) + \sqrt{(r+3)^2 - 4m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1(H) \geq \lambda_1(Q) \geq \frac{1}{2}(r-3) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(r+3)^2 - 4m},$$

with equality if and only if  $t = m(r+2-m)$ , both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are regular and  $G_{12}$  is semiregular. So  $\overline{G_1}$  is a perfect matching and  $\overline{G_2}$  is a 2-regular graph.

*Case 2.  $m = 1$ .*

Then  $r$  is odd and  $n = r+2$ . So  $H$  contains one vertex of degree  $r-1$ , say  $v$  and the rest vertices have degree  $r$ . Hence  $\overline{H} = K_{1,2} \cup M_{(r-1)/2}$ . Partition the vertex of  $V(\overline{H})$  into three parts: the two endpoints of  $K_{1,2}$ ; the internal vertex of  $K_{1,2}$ ; the  $(r-1)$  vertices of  $M_{(r-1)/2}$ . This is an equitable partition of  $H$  with quotient matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & r-1 \\ 0 & 1 & r-1 \\ 1 & 2 & r-3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the quotient matrix is

$$P(x) = x^3 - (r - 2)x^2 - 2rx + (r - 1).$$

Since the partition is equitable, so  $\lambda_1(H) = \lambda_1(Q)$  and  $\lambda_1(H)$  is a root of  $P(x)$ .

*Case 3.  $m = 2$ .*

Then  $r$  is even. Let  $G \in \mathcal{H}(r, m)$  be the graph with order  $r+2$  and size  $e = (r(r+2)-2)/2$ .

We discuss three subcases.

*Subcase 3.1.  $G$  has two nonadjacent vertices of degree  $r - 1$ .*

Then  $\overline{G} = P_4 \cup M_{(r-2)/2}$ . Partition the vertex of  $V(\overline{G})$  into three parts: the two endpoints of  $P_4$ ; the two internal vertices of  $P_4$ ; the  $(r - 2)$  vertices of  $M_{(r-1)/2}$ . This is an equitable partition of  $G$  with quotient matrix

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & r - 2 \\ 0 & 1 & r - 2 \\ 2 & 2 & r - 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the quotient matrix is

$$f_1(x) = x^3 - (r - 2)x^2 - (2r - 1)x + r.$$

*Subcase 3.2.  $G$  has two adjacent vertices of degree  $r - 1$ .*

Then  $\overline{G} = 2P_3' \cup M_{(r-4)/2}$ . Still partition the vertex of  $V(\overline{G})$  into three parts: the four endpoints of  $P_3$ ; the two internal vertices of two  $P_3$ ; the  $(r - 4)$  vertices of  $M_{(r-1)/2}$ . This is an equitable partition of  $G$  with quotient matrix

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & r - 4 \\ 2 & 1 & r - 4 \\ 4 & 2 & r - 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the quotient matrix is

$$f_2(x) = x^3 - (r - 2)x^2 - (2r - 1)x + r - 2.$$

*Subcase 3.3.  $G$  has one vertex of degree  $r - 2$ .*

Then  $\overline{G} = K_{1,3} \cup M_{(r-2)/2}$ . Partition the vertex of  $V(\overline{G})$  into three parts: the center vertex of  $K_{1,3}$ ; the three endpoints of  $K_{1,3}$ ; the  $(r - 2)$  vertices of  $M_{(r-2)/2}$ . This is an

equitable partition of  $G$  with quotient matrix

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & r-2 \\ 0 & 2 & r-2 \\ 1 & 3 & r-4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of the quotient matrix is

$$f_3(x) = x^3 - (r-2)x^2 - 2rx + 2(r-2).$$

Note that  $\lambda_1(Q_1) < \lambda_1(Q_2) < \lambda_1(Q_3)$ . We have  $\rho_2(r, m) = \lambda_1(Q_1)$ . So  $\overline{H} = P_4 \cup M_{(r-2)/2}$ . Hence  $\lambda_1(H)$  is a root of  $f_1(x) = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Regular factor of regular graph

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $r$  and  $k$  be integers such that  $1 \leq k < r$ . Let  $G$  be a connected  $r$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices. Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $m^* \in \{m, m+1\}$  and  $m^* \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Suppose that one of the following conditions holds*

- (i)  $r$  is even,  $k$  is odd,  $|G|$  is even, and  $\frac{r}{m} \leq k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m})$ ;
- (ii)  $r$  is odd,  $k$  is even and  $k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m^*})$ ;
- (iii) both  $r$  and  $k$  are odd and  $\frac{r}{m^*} \leq k$ .

*If  $G$  contains no a  $k$ -factor and is not  $k$ -critical, then  $G$  contains  $\text{def}(G) + 1$  vertex disjoint induced subgraph  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{\text{def}(G)+1}$  such that  $2e(H_i) \geq r|V(H_i)| - (m-1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \text{def}(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that the result doesn't hold. Let  $\theta = k/r$ . Since  $G$  is not  $k$ -critical and contains no  $k$ -factor, so by Theorem 1.2, there exist two disjoint subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $V(G)$  such that  $S \cup T \neq \emptyset$  and  $\delta(S, T) = -\text{def}(G) \leq -1$ . Let  $C_1, \dots, C_\tau$  be the  $k$ -odd components of  $G - (S \cup T)$ . We have

$$-\text{def}(G) = \delta(S, T) = k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) - k|T| - e_G(S, T) - \tau. \quad (3)$$

*Claim 1.*  $\tau \geq \text{def}(G) + 1$ .

Otherwise, let  $\tau \leq \text{def}(G)$ . Then we have

$$0 \geq k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - k|T|. \quad (4)$$

So we have  $|S| \leq |T|$ , and equality holds only if  $\sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) = 0$ . Since  $G$  is  $r$ -regular, so we have

$$r|S| \geq e_G(S, T) = r|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x). \quad (5)$$

By (4) and (5), we have

$$(r - k)(|T| - |S|) \leq 0.$$

Hence  $|T| = |S|$  and  $\sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) = 0$ . Since  $G$  is connected, so we have  $\tau = \text{def}(G) > 0$ . Since  $G$  is connected, then  $e_G(C_i, S \cup T) > 0$  and so  $e_G(C_1, S) > 0$ . Note that  $G$  is  $r$ -regular, then we have  $r|S| \geq r|T| - \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) + e(C_i, S)$ , a contradiction. We complete the claim.

By the hypothesis, without loss of generality, we can say  $e(S \cup T, C_i) \geq m$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \tau - \text{def}(G)$ . Then  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{def}(G) \geq \delta(S, T) &= k|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) - k|T| - e_G(S, T) - \tau \\ &= k|S| + (r - k)|T| - e_G(S, T) - \tau \\ &= \theta r|S| + (1 - \theta)r|T| - e_G(S, T) - \tau \\ &= \theta \sum_{x \in S} d_G(x) + (1 - \theta) \sum_{x \in T} d_G(x) - e_G(S, T) - \tau \\ &\geq \theta(e_G(S, T) + \sum_{i=1}^m e_G(S, C_i)) + (1 - \theta)(e_G(S, T) + \sum_{i=1}^m e_G(T, C_i)) - e_G(S, T) - \tau \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\theta e_G(S, C_i) + (1 - \theta)e_G(T, C_i) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G$  is connected, so we have  $\theta e_G(S, C_i) + (1 - \theta)e_G(T, C_i) > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \tau$ . Hence it suffices to show that for every  $C = C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \tau - \text{def}(G)$ ,

$$\theta e_G(S, C_i) + (1 - \theta)e_G(T, C_i) \geq 1. \quad (6)$$

Since  $C$  is a  $k$ -odd component of  $G - (S \cup T)$ , we have

$$k|C| + e_G(T, C) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, since  $r|C| = e_G(S \cup T, C) + 2|E(C)|$ , then we have

$$r|C| \equiv e_G(S \cup T, C) \pmod{2}. \quad (8)$$

It is obvious that the two inequalities  $e_G(S, C) \geq 1$  and  $e_G(T, C) \geq 1$  implies

$$\theta e_G(S, C) + (1 - \theta)e_G(T, C) \geq \theta + (1 - \theta) = 1.$$



Hence we may assume  $e_G(S, C) = 0$  or  $e_G(T, C) = 0$ . We consider two cases.

First we consider (i). If  $e_G(S, C) = 0$ , since  $1 \leq k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m})$ , then  $\theta \leq 1 - \frac{1}{m}$  and so  $1 \leq (1 - \theta)m$ . Note that  $e(T, C) \geq m$ , so we have

$$(1 - \theta)e_G(T, C) \geq (1 - \theta)m \geq 1.$$

If  $e_G(T, C) = 0$ , since  $k \geq r/m$ , so  $m\theta \geq 1$ . Hence we obtain

$$\theta e_G(S, C) \geq m\theta \geq 1.$$

In order to prove that (ii) implies the claim, it suffices to show that (6) holds under the assumption that  $e_G(S, C)$  or  $e_G(T, C) = 0$ . If  $e_G(S, C) = 0$ , then by (7), we have  $e_G(T, C) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Hence  $e_G(T, C) \geq m^*$ , and thus

$$(1 - \theta)e_G(T, C) \geq (1 - \theta)m^* \geq 1.$$

If  $e_G(T, C) = 0$ , then by (8), we have  $k|C| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $k$  is even.

We next consider (iii), i.e., we assume that both  $r$  and  $k$  are odd and  $\frac{r}{m^*} \leq k$ . If  $e_G(S, C) = 0$ , then by (7) and (8), we have

$$|C| + e_G(T, C) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } |C| \equiv e_G(T, C) \pmod{2}.$$

This is a contradiction. If  $e_G(T, C) = 0$ , then by (7) and (8), we have

$$|C| \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } |C| \equiv e_G(S, C) \pmod{2},$$

which implies  $e_G(S, C) \geq m^*$ . Thus

$$\theta e_G(S, C) \geq \theta m^* \geq 1.$$

So we have

$$-def(G) \geq \delta(S, T) > -def(G),$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that  $r$  is even,  $k$  is odd. Let  $G$  be a connected  $r$ -regular graph with order  $n$ . Let  $m \geq 3$  be an integer and  $m_0 \in \{m, m - 1\}$  be an odd integer. Suppose that  $\frac{r}{m} \leq k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m})$ .*

(i) *If  $n$  is odd and  $\lambda_2(G) < \rho_1(r, m_0 - 1)$ , then  $G$  is  $k$ -critical;*

(ii) if  $n$  is even and  $\lambda_3(G) < \rho_1(r, m_0 - 1)$ , then  $G$  contains  $k$ -factor.

**Proof.** Firstly, we prove (i). Conversely, suppose that  $G$  is not  $k$ -critical. By Lemma 3.1,  $G$  contains two vertex disjoint induced subgraphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  such that  $2e(H_i) \geq rn_i - (m - 1)$ , where  $n_i = |V(H_i)|$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence we have  $2e(H_i) \geq rn_i - (m_0 - 1)$ . So by Interlacing Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2(G) &\geq \min\{\lambda_1(H_1), \lambda_1(H_2)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\rho_1(r, m_0 - 1), \rho_2(r, m_0 - 1)\} = \rho_1(r, m_0 - 1).\end{aligned}$$

So we have  $\lambda_2(G) \geq \rho_1(r, m_0 - 1)$ , a contradiction.

Now we prove (ii). Suppose that  $G$  contains no a  $k$ -factor. Then we have  $\text{def}(G) \geq 2$ . So by Lemma 3.1,  $G$  contains three vertex disjoint induced subgraphs  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  such that  $2e(H_i) \geq rn_i - (m - 1)$ , where  $n_i = |V(H_i)|$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Since  $r$  is even, so  $2e(H_i) \geq rn_i - (m_0 - 1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . So by Interlacing Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_3(G) &\geq \min\{\lambda_1(H_1), \lambda_1(H_2), \lambda_1(H_3)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\rho_1(r, m_0 - 1), \rho_2(r, m_0 - 1)\} = \rho_1(r, m_0 - 1),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $r$  and  $k$  be two integers. Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $m^* \in \{m, m + 1\}$  and  $m^* \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Let  $G$  be a connected  $r$ -regular graph with order  $n$ . Suppose that

$$\lambda_3(G) < \begin{cases} \rho_1(r, m - 1) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ \rho_2(r, m - 1) & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

If one of the following conditions holds, then  $G$  has a  $k$ -factor.

(i)  $r$  is odd,  $k$  is even and  $k \leq r(1 - \frac{1}{m^*})$ ;

(ii) both  $r$  and  $k$  are odd and  $\frac{r}{m^*} \leq k$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $G$  contains no a  $k$ -factor. By Lemma 3.1,  $G$  contains three vertex disjoint induced subgraph  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  such that  $2e(H_i) \geq r|V(H_i)| - (m - 1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Firstly, let  $m$  be odd. By Interlacing Theorem we have

$$\lambda_3(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \lambda_1(H_i) \geq \min\{\rho_1(r, m - 1), \rho_2(r, m - 2)\} = \rho_1(r, m - 1).$$

So we have  $\lambda_3(G) \geq \rho_2(r, m - 1)$ , a contradiction.

Secondly, let  $m$  be even. By Interlacing Theorem we have

$$\lambda_3(G) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \lambda_1(H_i) \geq \min\{\rho_1(r, m - 2), \rho_2(r, m - 1)\} = \rho_2(r, m - 1),$$

a contradiction. We complete the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás, A. Satio, and N. C. Wormald, Regular factors of regular graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **9**, (1985), 97-103.
- [2] A. Brouwer and W. Haemers, Eigenvalues and perfect matchings, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **395**, (2005), 155-162.
- [3] S. M. Cioăb, Perfect matchings, eigenvalues and expansion, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.*, **27**, (2005), no.4, 101-104.
- [4] S. M. Cioabă and D. A. Gregory, Large matchings from eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **422** (2007), 308–317.
- [5] S. M. Cioabă, D. A. Gregory and W.H. Haemers, Matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **99** (2009), 287–297.
- [6] L. Collatz, and U. Sinogowitz Spektren endlicher Grafen, *AbH. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **99**, (2009), no.2, 287-297.
- [7] W. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **21**, (1957), 63-77.
- [8] T. Gallai, The factorisation of graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung*, **1**, (1950), 133-153.
- [9] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer Verlag New York, (2001).
- [10] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, *J. Combin. Theory*, **8** (1970), 391-416.
- [11] H. Lu Regular graph, eigenvalues and regular factor, submitted.
- [12] W. T. Tutte, The factors of graphs, *Canad. J. Math.*, **4** (1952), 314-328.