

Extrinsic geometric flows on foliated manifolds, I

Vladimir Rovenski^(1,2) and Paweł Walczak^{(2)*}
(1) University of Haifa, (2) Uniwersytet Łódzki

Abstract

We study deformations of Riemannian metrics on a given manifold equipped with a codimension-one foliation subject to quantities expressed in terms of its second fundamental form. We prove the local existence and uniqueness theorem and estimate the existence time of solutions for some particular cases. The key step of the solution procedure is to find (from a system of quasilinear PDE's) the principal curvatures of the foliation. Examples for extrinsic Newton transformation flow, extrinsic Ricci flow, and foliations on surfaces are given.

AMS Subject Classification: 53C12; Secondary: 58J45, 35L45

Keywords and Phrases: Riemannian metric; foliation; mean curvatures; extrinsic geometric flow; hyperbolic PDE's

Introduction

Some of the most striking recent results in differential geometry and topology are related to the *Ricci flow* (see, among the others, [CK], [To] and the bibliographies therein), that is the deformation g_t of a given Riemannian metric g_0 on a manifold M subject to the partial differential equation (PDE)

$$\partial_t g_t = -2 \operatorname{Ric}(g_t),$$

where $\operatorname{Ric}(g_t)$ is the Ricci tensor on the Riemannian manifold (M, g_t) . (Note that there is a – due to Hamilton and based on suggestions of Yau – strategy

*E-mail: rovenski@math.haifa.ac.il and pawelwal@math.uni.lodz.pl

of using the Ricci flow in proving the famous Poincaré Conjecture and the Thurston Geometrization Conjecture for 3-manifolds in a series of preprints by G. Perelman.) On the other hand, since at least 30 years there is continuous interest in the study of the variation of immersions $F_0 : \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of manifolds \bar{M} into Riemannian manifolds (M, g) subject to the *mean curvature*, i.e., to the PDE

$$\partial_t F_t = H_t,$$

where H_t is the mean curvature vector of $F_t(\bar{M})$. Also, the second author [Wa] considered the foliated version of the mean curvature flow: foliations of Riemannian manifolds which are invariant under the flow of the mean curvature vector of their leaves. The recent collection of surveys [CY] demonstrates increase of interest in geometric flows $\partial_t g_t = h(g_t)$ of different types. In this paper we consider deformations of Riemannian metrics on a given manifold M equipped with a codimension-one foliation subject to quantities expressed in terms of its second fundamental form (suitably extended to a symmetric tensor field of type $(0, 2)$ on TM).

The readers not familiar with foliation theory are referred to, for example, [CC] and [Rov]. Here, just for the readers' convenience, we provide the following definition.

Definition 1 A family $\mathcal{F} = \{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of connected subsets of manifold M^m is said to be an *n-dimensional foliation*, if

- 1) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} L_\alpha = M^m$,
- 2) $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow L_\alpha \cap L_\beta = \emptyset$,
- 3) for any point $p \in M$ there exists a C^r -chart (local coordinate system) (U_p, φ_p) , such that $p \in U_p$ and if $U_p \cap L_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ the connected components of the sets $\varphi_p(U_p \cap L_\alpha)$ are given by equations $x_{n+1} = c_{n+1}, \dots, x_m = c_m$, where c_j 's are constants. The sets L_α are immersed submanifolds of M called *leaves* of \mathcal{F} . The family of all the vectors tangent to the leaves is an integrable subbundle of TM denoted by $T\mathcal{F}$. If M carries a Riemannian structure, $T\mathcal{F}^\perp$ denotes the subbundle of all the vectors orthogonal to the leaves. A foliation \mathcal{F} is said to be *orientable* (resp., *transversely orientable*) if the bundle $T\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $T\mathcal{F}^\perp$) is orientable.

Throughout the paper, $(M^{n+1}, \mathcal{F}, g)$, $g = g_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus g^\perp$, is a Riemannian manifold with a codimension one transversely oriented foliation \mathcal{F} ; ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on (M, g) . Here $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ and g^\perp are components of g

along $T\mathcal{F}$ and $T\mathcal{F}^\perp$, resp. Let N be the positively oriented unit normal to \mathcal{F} and $\hat{\cdot}$ denotes the $T\mathcal{F}$ -component of a vector.

The general definition $\hat{S}(X, Y) := S(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})$ ($X, Y \in TM$) of the \mathcal{F} -truncated $(0, 2)$ -tensor S will be helpful throughout the paper.

Let $b : T\mathcal{F} \times T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the second fundamental form of (the leaves of) \mathcal{F} with respect to N , and \hat{b} its extension to the \mathcal{F} -truncated symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on M . In other words, $\hat{b}(N, \cdot) = 0$. Notice that $\hat{b}(X, Y) = g(A(X), Y)$, i.e., \hat{b} is dual to the Weingarten operator $A(X) = -\nabla_X N$ ($X \in T\mathcal{F}$) of \mathcal{F} extended to a global $(1, 1)$ -tensor field on M by $A(N) = 0$.

The *power sums* of the principal curvatures k_1, \dots, k_n of the leaves of \mathcal{F} (the eigenvalues of A) are given by

$$\tau_j = k_1^j + \dots + k_n^j = \text{Tr } A^j \quad (j \geq 0).$$

They can be expressed using *elementary symmetric functions* $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n$

$$\sigma_j = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_j} k_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot k_{i_j} \quad (0 \leq j \leq n),$$

called *mean curvatures* in the literature (see Section 2.2).

We introduce and study two types of evolution of Riemannian structures (called EG flows here), depending of functions f_j ($0 \leq j < n$) in use (at least one of them is not identically zero):

(a) $f_j \in C^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$, and

(b) $f_j = \tilde{f}_j(\vec{\tau}, \cdot)$, where $\tilde{f}_j \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$.

Sometimes we will assume that $f_j = \tilde{f}_j(\vec{\tau})$ with $\tilde{f}_j \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 2 Given functions f_j of type either (a) or (b), a family g_t , $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$, of Riemannian structures on (M, \mathcal{F}) will be called an *extrinsic geometric (EG) flow*, whenever

$$\partial_t g_t = h_t, \quad \text{where } h_t = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \hat{b}_j^t. \quad (1)$$

Here, \hat{b}_j^t are symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor fields on M given by

$$\hat{b}_0^t(X, Y) = \hat{g}_t(X, Y), \quad \hat{b}_j^t(X, Y) = \hat{g}_t(A_t^j(X), Y), \quad j > 0, \quad X, Y \in TM. \quad (2)$$

Hence, the EG flow is an evolution equation which deforms Riemannian metrics by evolving them along \mathcal{F} in the direction of the tensor h_t .

The EG flow preserves N unit and perpendicular to \mathcal{F} , the \mathcal{F} -component of the vector does not depend on t .

The choice of the right hand side in (1) for h_t seems to be natural: the powers \hat{b}_j are the only (0,2)-tensors which can be obtained algebraically from the second fundamental form b , while τ_1, \dots, τ_n (or, equivalently, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$) generate all the scalar invariants of extrinsic geometry. The powers \hat{b}_j with $j > 1$ are meaningful: for example, the EG flow with h produced by

- the extrinsic Ricci curvature tensor Ric^{ex} , see (11), depends on \hat{b}_2 ;
- the extrinsic Newton transformation $T_i(g) = \sigma_i \hat{g} - \sigma_{i-1} \hat{b}_1 + \dots + (-1)^i \hat{b}_i$ ($0 < i < n$), see Section 4.2, depends on all \hat{b}_j ($1 \leq j \leq i$).

One can interpret EG flows as integral curves of a vector field $g \mapsto h(g)$, $h(g)$ being the right hand side of (1), on the space $\mathcal{M}^k(M) = C^k(M, S_2^+(M))$ of Riemannian C^k -structures on M . (Here, certainly, $S_2^+(M)$ is the bundle of positive definite symmetric (0,2)-tensors on M .) This vector field may depend on time or not.

In this paper we investigate existence/uniqueness and properties of metrics g_t satisfying (1), discuss in more details some particular cases and study some examples. In Section 1, we collect main results. Section 2 contains auxiliary results. In Section 3, we prove main results. Section 4 is devoted to particular cases and examples. Studying EG flows will be continued in a series of papers remaining in preparation.

1 Main results

The following theorem concerns the EG flow of type (a) and is essential in the proof of Theorem 2 (for the EG flow of type (b)).

Theorem 1 *Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a codimension-1 foliation \mathcal{F} . Given functions $\tilde{f}_j \in C^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$ there is a unique smooth solution g_t to (1) of type (a) defined on some positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon)$.*

In particular, there exists a unique smooth solution g_t , $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ to

$$\partial_t g_t = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j(t) \hat{b}_j^t, \quad a_j \in C^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Although (1) consists of first order non-linear PDE's, the corresponding power sums τ_i ($i > 0$) satisfy the infinite quasilinear system

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \tau_{i-1} N(f_0(\vec{\tau}, t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{j f_j(\vec{\tau}, t)}{i+j-1} N(\tau_{i+j-1}) + \tau_{i+j-1} N(f_j(\vec{\tau}, t)) \right] \right\} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $N(f_j) = \sum_{s=1}^n f_{j,\tau_s} N(\tau_s)$. By Proposition 4 (Section 2.2), the matrix of the n -truncated system (3) (where τ_{n+i} 's are replaced by suitable polynomials of τ_1, \dots, τ_n) has the form $\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}$, where $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{A}_{ij})$,

$$\tilde{A}_{ij} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \tau_{i+m-1} f_{m,\tau_j}, \quad \tilde{B} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{m}{2} f_m \cdot (B_{n,1})^{m-1}, \quad (4)$$

and $B_{n,1}$ is the *generalized companion matrix* (see Section 2.2) to the characteristic polynomial of A_N^t . Recall that an n -by- n matrix is *hyperbolic* (see Section 2.1) if its right eigenvectors are real and span \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 1 (a) If $\partial_t g_t = f(\vec{\tau}, t) \hat{b}_0^t$ (i.e., $f_j = \delta_{j0} f$), then, by (4), $\tilde{B} = 0$ and $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij})$, where $\tilde{a}_{ij} = (i/2) \tau_{i-1} f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, 0)$. System (3) reduces to

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{i}{2} \tau_{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^n f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, t) N(\tau_j) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

The matrix \tilde{A} of n -truncated system (5) is hyperbolic if for any $p \in M$

$$\text{either } \text{Tr } \tilde{A} = \sum_i i \tau_{i-1} f_{,\tau_i} \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{A} \equiv 0 \text{ on the } N\text{-trajectory of } p. \quad (H_1)$$

(b) If $\partial_t g_t = f(\vec{\tau}, t) \hat{b}_1^t$ (i.e., $f_j = \delta_{j1} f$) then, again by (4), $\tilde{B} = f(\vec{\tau}, 0) \text{id}$ and $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{ij})$, where $\tilde{a}_{ij} = (i/2) \tau_i f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, 0)$. System (3) reduces to

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{1}{2} f(\vec{\tau}, t) N(\tau_i) + \frac{i}{2} \tau_i \sum_{j=1}^n f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, t) N(\tau_j) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

The matrix $\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}$ of n -truncated system (6) is hyperbolic if for any $p \in M$

$$\text{either } \text{Tr } \tilde{A} = \sum_i i \tau_i f_{,\tau_i} \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{A} \equiv 0 \text{ on the } N\text{-trajectory of } p. \quad (H_2)$$

The central result of our paper is the following.

Theorem 2 (Short time existence) *Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a codimension-one foliation \mathcal{F} and a unit normal N . If the matrices $\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}$ and \tilde{B} of (4) are hyperbolic for all $p \in M$ and $t = 0$, then the EG flow (1) of type (b) has a unique smooth solution g_t defined on some positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 follows standard methods of the theory of first order PDE's with one space variable. The proof of Theorem 2 (Section 3.2) consists of several steps.

1) The power sums τ_i^t are recovered on M (as a unique solution to a quasilinear hyperbolic system of PDE's) for some positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon)$, see Lemmas 1, 2 and 6, and Proposition 4.

2) Given τ_i^t 's (of Step 1), the metric g_t is recovered on M (as a unique solution to certain quasilinear system of PDE's), see Theorem 1 and Lemma 3.

3) The τ_i -s of the g_t -principal curvatures of \mathcal{F} (of Step 2) are shown to coincide with τ_i^t (of Step 1), see Theorem 1 and Lemmas 5 and 7.

Let us remark that the solution in Theorem 2 is unique if only $\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}$ is hyperbolic. For $f_j = 0$ ($j \geq 2$), Theorem 2 holds under weaker condition that only the matrix \tilde{A} is hyperbolic for all $p \in M$ and $t = 0$.

Corollary 1 *Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a codimension-1 foliation \mathcal{F} and a unit normal N . If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and the condition (H_1) is satisfied at $t = 0$ and any $p \in M$, then there exists a unique smooth solution g_t to the EG flow*

$$\partial_t g_t = f(\vec{\tau}, t) \hat{g}_t, \quad t \in [0, \varepsilon) \quad (7)$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, $\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_0 \exp(\int_0^t f(\vec{\tau}^t, t) dt)$, where the power sums $\vec{\tau}^t$ are the unique solution to (5).

Denote by \mathcal{L}_Z the Lie derivative along a vector field Z .

Corollary 2 *Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a codimension-1 foliation \mathcal{F} and a unit normal N . If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and the condition (H_2) is satisfied at $t = 0$ and any $p \in M$, then there exists a unique smooth solution g_t , $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ to the EG flow*

$$\partial_t g_t = f(\vec{\tau}, t) \hat{b}_1^t, \quad t \in [0, \varepsilon) \quad (8)$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, g_t can be determined from the system $\mathcal{L}_{Z_t} g_t = 0$ with $Z_t = \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} f(\vec{\tau}^t, t) N$, where $\vec{\tau}^t$ are the unique smooth solution to (6).

In the particular case $f_1 = C = \text{const}$, $f_j = 0$ ($j \neq 1$), the system (3) (see also (6) for $f = C$) is reduced to the linear PDE

$$\partial_t \tau_i + (C/2)N(\tau_i) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) can be interpreted on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ by saying that τ_i is constant along the orbits of the vector field $X = \partial_t + (C/2)N$. If (ψ_t) denotes the flow of $(C/2)N$ on M , then the flow (ϕ_t) of X is given by $\phi_t(p, s) = (\psi_t(p), t + s)$ for $p \in M$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, therefore ϕ_t maps the level surface $M_s = M \times \{s\}$ onto M_{t+s} , in particular, M_0 onto M_t . This implies

Corollary 3 *If $f_1 = \text{const}$ and $f_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 1$ (for the EG flow), then for all i and t , the following equality holds: $\tau_i^t = \tau_i^0 \circ \phi_{-t}$. In particular, if $\tau_i^0 = \text{const}$ for some i , then $\tau_i^t = \text{const}$ for all t .*

Recall that a compact manifold M equipped with a codimension-one foliation \mathcal{F} admits a Riemannian structure g for which all the leaves are minimal ($\tau_1 = 0$ in the above terminology) if and only if \mathcal{F} is *topologically taut*, that is every its leaf meets a loop transverse to the foliation [Su]. The known proofs of existence of such metrics use Hahn-Banach Theorem and do not show how to construct them. Above observations show how to produce a 1-parameter family of metrics with $\tau_{2j+1} = 0$ (with fixed j) starting from one of them.

The *extrinsic Riemannian curvature tensor* Rm^{ex} of \mathcal{F} is, roughly speaking, the difference of the curvature tensors of M and of the leaves (see, for example, [Rov]). More precisely, by the Gauss formula, we have

$$\text{Rm}^{\text{ex}}(Z, X)Y = g(AX, Y)AZ - g(AZ, Y)AX.$$

In Section 4.1, we study the *extrinsic Ricci flow* for small dimensions $n > 1$,

$$\partial_t g_t = -2 \text{Ric}^{\text{ex}}(g_t). \quad (10)$$

The *extrinsic Ricci* tensor is given by $\text{Ric}^{\text{ex}}(X, Y) = \text{Tr} \text{Rm}^{\text{ex}}(\cdot, X)Y$, where $X, Y \in T\mathcal{F}$. Hence,

$$\text{Ric}^{\text{ex}}(g) = \tau_1 \hat{b}_1 - \hat{b}_2, \quad (\text{Ric}^{\text{ex}}(g) = \sigma_2 \hat{g} \quad \text{when } n = 2). \quad (11)$$

Therefore, $-2 \text{Ric}^{\text{ex}}$ relates to h of (1) with $f_1 = -2\tau_1$, $f_2 = 2$ (others $f_j = 0$).

Corollary 4 *Let (M, g_0) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a codimension-1 foliation \mathcal{F} and a unit normal N . Then there exists a unique solution g_t , $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ (for some $\varepsilon > 0$), to the extrinsic Ricci flow (10) in any of the following cases (i) and (ii):*

- (i) $n = 2$, and $\tau_1 \neq 0$; (in this case, $\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_0 \exp(\int_0^t \sigma_2 dt)$);
- (ii) $n = 3$, and $|\sigma_1|^3 > 27|\sigma_3| > 0$.

Notice that any, either positive or negative definite, operator A_N satisfies inequalities Corollary 4 (ii) if only A_N is not proportional to the identity.

For multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ define $\vec{\tau}^\alpha := \tau_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \tau_n^{\alpha_n}$. Set $J_{m,l} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_j \alpha_j = m, \sum_j j \alpha_j = l\}$.

Recall that a vector field on a manifold M is *complete*, if any its trajectory $c : t \mapsto c(t)$ can be extended to the whole range \mathbb{R} of parameter t . If M carries a Riemannian structure g and a vector field X has bounded length, then the sufficient condition for the completeness of X is the completeness of (M, g) .

Proposition 1 *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold with a codimension-1 foliation \mathcal{F} and a complete unit normal field N . Given $c_\alpha \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$, define functions $f_t = \sum_{\alpha \in J_{m,l}} c_\alpha(t) (\vec{\tau}^t)^\alpha$ (where $\vec{\tau}^t$ is, as usual, the vector of power sums of principal curvatures of the leaves) and set*

$$T = \infty \text{ if } N(f_0) \geq 0 \text{ on } M \text{ and } T = -\frac{2}{l+1} / \inf_M N(f_0) \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then the EG flow $\partial_t g_t = f_t(\vec{\tau}^t) \hat{b}_1^t$, compare (8), has a unique smooth solution on M for $t \in [0, T)$ and does not possess one for $t \in [0, T]$.

We apply EG flows to *totally umbilical* foliations (that is, such that the Weingarten operator A is proportional to the identity at any point, among them *totally geodesic* foliations appear when $A = 0$) and to foliations on surfaces. First, we shall show that EG flows preserve total umbilicity of \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 2 *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold endowed with a codimension-1 totally umbilical foliation \mathcal{F} . If g_t ($0 \leq t < \varepsilon$) provide the EG flow of type (b) on (M, \mathcal{F}) , then \mathcal{F} is g_t -totally umbilical for any t .*

Proposition 3 *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold, and \mathcal{F} a codimension-1 totally umbilical foliation on M with the normal curvature λ_0 and a complete unit normal field N . Set*

$$T = \infty \text{ if } N(\psi(\lambda_0)) \geq 0 \text{ on } M, \text{ and } T = -2 / \inf_M N(\psi(\lambda_0)) \text{ otherwise,}$$

where $\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j(n\lambda, \dots, n\lambda^n) \lambda^j$. Then the EG flow with $h_t = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j(\vec{\tau}) \hat{b}_j^t$ has a unique smooth solution g_t on M for $t \in [0, T)$, and does not possess one for $t \geq T$. Moreover, \mathcal{F} is g_t -totally umbilical and $\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_0 \exp(\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t) dt)$, where λ_t is a unique smooth solution to

$$\partial_t \lambda_t + (1/2) \psi'(\lambda_t) N(\lambda_t) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Corollary 5 *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold, and \mathcal{F} a codimension-1 totally umbilical foliation on M with the normal curvature λ_0 and a complete unit normal field N . Set*

$$T = \infty \text{ if } N(\lambda_0^2) \leq 0 \text{ on } M, \text{ and } T = 1/[(n-1) \sup_M N(\lambda_0^2)] \text{ otherwise,}$$

Then the extrinsic Ricci flow (10) has a unique smooth solution g_t on M for $t \in [0, T)$, and does not possess one for $t \geq T$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Hyperbolic quasi-linear PDE's

Here, we recall known results on quasi-linear PDE's. The reader interested in the theory can consult books on differential equations, for example [Je].

Let $A = (a_{ij}(x, t, \mathbf{u}))$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, $\vec{b} = (b_i(x, t, \mathbf{u}))$ – an n -vector. A first order *quasilinear* system of PDE's, n equations in n unknown functions $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and two variables $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, has the form

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + A(x, t, \mathbf{u}) \partial_x \mathbf{u} = \vec{b}(x, t, \mathbf{u}). \quad (13)$$

When the coefficient matrix A and the vector \vec{b} are functions of x and t only, the system is just *linear*; if \vec{b} only depends also on \mathbf{u} , the system is said to be *semilinear*. The *initial value problem* for (13) with initial surface $\Pi = \{t = 0\}$ and given smooth data \mathbf{u}_0 , A and \vec{b} consists in finding smooth \mathbf{u} such that (13) and $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(x)$ are satisfied.

Definition 3 The system (13) is called *hyperbolic* in the t -direction at (x, t, \mathbf{u}) (in an appropriate domain of the arguments of A and \vec{b}) if the right eigenvectors of A are real and span \mathbb{R}^n . In this case, denote by $R = [\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_n]$ the matrix of the (right) eigenvectors \vec{r}_i of A . For a solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ to (13), the corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_i(x, t, \mathbf{u})$ are called the *characteristic speeds*. The system is *strictly hyperbolic* if $\lambda_i(x, t, \mathbf{u})$ are distinct. For the hyperbolic system (13), the vector field $\partial_t + \lambda_i(x, t, \mathbf{u})\partial_x$ is called the *i -characteristic field*, and its integral curves are called *i -characteristics*.

A hyperbolic system can be reduced to the diagonal system of its characteristic fields. Let \mathbf{v}_i be the right eigenvector of A with the eigenvalue λ_i .

Denote $V_i = \mathbf{v}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{u}$. Multiplying (13) by \mathbf{v}_i^T and using $\frac{d}{dt}V_i = \partial_t V_i + \lambda_i \partial_x V_i$, we get $dV_i/dt = \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u}$ along the characteristic $dx/dt = \lambda_i(x, t, \mathbf{u})$.

Theorem A [HW] *Let the quasi-linear system (13) be such that*

1) *it is hyperbolic in the t -direction in $\Omega = \{|x| \leq a, 0 \leq t \leq s, \|\bar{u}\|_\infty \leq r\}$ for some $s, r > 0$,*

2) *the matrices A, R and the vector \vec{b} are C^1 -regular in Ω .*

If the initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}(0, x) = \mathbf{u}_0(x), \quad x \in [-a, a] \quad (14)$$

has C^1 -regular \mathbf{u}_0 in $[-a, a]$ and $\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_\infty < r$, then (13)–(14) admit a unique C^1 -regular solution $\bar{u}(x, t)$ in $\bar{\Omega} = \{(x, t) : |x| + Kt \leq a, 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon\}$, with $K = \max\{|\lambda_i(x, t, \bar{u})| : (x, t, \bar{u}) \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Example 2 For any function $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, we can multiply the equation

$$\partial_t u + \psi(u) \partial_x u = 0, \quad (15)$$

across by $\psi'(u)$, and obtain $\partial_t \psi + \psi \cdot \partial_x \psi = 0$. Thus, the behaviour of the solutions to (15) (for t before the first singular value) is not expected to be much different from that for Burgers' equation

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad (x \in [-a, a], t \geq 0). \quad (16)$$

2.2 The generalized companion matrix

Let $P_n = \lambda^n - p_1 \lambda^{n-1} - \dots - p_{n-1} \lambda - p_n$ be a polynomial over \mathbb{R} and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the roots of P_n . Hence, $p_i = (-1)^{i-1} \sigma_i$, where σ_i are elementary symmetric functions of the roots λ_i .

Definition 4 The *generalized companion matrices* of P_n are defined as

$$\hat{C}_g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c_{n-2}}{c_{n-1}} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{c_1}{c_2} \\ c_{n p_n} & c_{n-1 p_{n-1}} & \dots & c_{2 p_2} & c_{1 p_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \check{C}_g = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1 p_1} & c_{2 p_2} & \dots & c_{n-1 p_{n-1}} & c_{n p_n} \\ \frac{c_1}{c_2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c_2}{c_3} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_1 = 1$ and $c_i \neq 0$ ($i > 1$) are arbitrary numbers.

Notice that \hat{C}_g acts on \mathbb{R}^n as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \hat{C}_g \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathbf{x}=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Inverting the order of indices, i.e., taking (x_n, \dots, x_1) , one may describe this action by \check{C}_g . If all c_i 's are equal to 1, the matrix \hat{C}_g reduces to the standard *companion matrix* (see [LT]) C_g of P_n . The explicit formulae (polynomials) for entries in powers of C_g and some applications to the theory of the symmetric functions are given in [CL]. The following matrix $B_{n,1}$ (the *generalized companion matrix* with $c_i = \frac{n}{n-i+1}$) plays the key role in the paper:

$$B_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{n-1}{n} \\ (-1)^{n-1} \frac{n}{1} \sigma_n & (-1)^{n-2} \frac{n}{2} \sigma_{n-1} & \dots & -\frac{n}{n-1} \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Lemma 1 *The generalized companion matrices have the properties*

- a) *the characteristic polynomial of \hat{C}_g (or \check{C}_g) is P_n .*
- b) *$\vec{v}_j = (1, c_2 \lambda_j, \dots, c_n \lambda_j^{n-1})$ is the eigenvector of \hat{C}_g for the eigenvalue λ_j , resp., $\vec{w}_j = (c_n \lambda_j^{n-1}, \dots, c_2 \lambda_j, 1)$ is the eigenvector of \check{C}_g for λ_j .*
- c) *$\hat{C}_g V = VD$, where $V = \{c_i \lambda_j^{i-1}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a Vandermonde type matrix, and $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ a diagonal matrix. (If all λ_i 's are distinct, then obviously $V^{-1} \hat{C}_g V = D$).*

Proof. a) We will show by induction for n that $\det |\lambda \text{id}_n - \hat{C}_g| = P_n$, hence the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ of \hat{C}_g coincide with the roots of P_n .

Expanding by co-factors down the first column, we obtain

$$\det |\lambda \text{id}_n - \hat{C}_g| = \lambda P_{n-1} - (-1)^n c_n p_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (-c_i/c_{i+1}),$$

where $P_{n-1} = \lambda^{n-1} - p_1 \lambda^{n-2} - \dots - p_{n-2} \lambda - p_{n-1}$ (by the induction assumption) is a certain polynomial of degree $n-1$. Notice that $c_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{c_i}{c_{i+1}} = 1$. This completes the proof of the claim.

b) The direct computation shows us that

$$(\lambda_j \text{id}_n - \hat{C}_g) \vec{v}_j = 0, \quad (\lambda_j \text{id}_n - \check{C}_g) \vec{w}_j = 0.$$

c) Hence $\hat{C}_g V = VD$, where $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a diagonal matrix. If $\{\lambda_j\}$ are pairwise distinct, then $\det V \neq 0$ and obviously $V^{-1} \hat{C}_g V = D$. \square

Remark 1 Certainly, the functions τ_{n+i} ($i > 0$), are not independent: they can be expressed as polynomials of τ_1, \dots, τ_n , using the Newton formulae, which in matrix form look like

$$T_n \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \dots \\ \sigma_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \dots \\ \tau_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } T_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ \tau_1 & -2 & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & & \\ \tau_{n-1} & -\tau_{n-2} & \dots & \dots & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & (-1)^n \tau_1 & (-1)^{n+1} \tau_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Hence σ 's can be expressed by τ -s using T_n^{-1} . Moreover, we have the identities

$$\tau_i = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 2\sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 1 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ i\sigma_i & \sigma_{i-1} & \dots & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

which, together with (18), can be used to express τ_{n+i} 's as polynomials of τ_1, \dots, τ_n .

Consider now the infinite system of linear PDE's with functions $f_j \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j}{i+j-1} f_j(t, x) \partial_x \tau_{i+j-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (19)$$

where τ_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are the power sums of smooth functions $\lambda_i(t, x)$ ($i \leq n$). Let σ_j ($j \leq n$) be the elementary symmetric functions of $\{\lambda_i(t, x)\}$.

Proposition 4 *The matrix of n -truncated system (19) (where τ_{n+i} 's are eliminated using suitable polynomials of τ_1, \dots, τ_n , as described in Remark 1) is $\tilde{B} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} f_m B_{n,m-1}$, where*

$$B_{n,m} = \frac{m+1}{2} (B_{n,1})^m \quad (20)$$

and $B_{n,1}$ is the generalized companion matrix (17). The eigenvalues of \tilde{B} are

$$\tilde{\lambda}_j = (1/2) \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m f_m \lambda_j^{m-1}.$$

while the corresponding eigenvectors by $\vec{v}_j = (1, \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_j, \dots, \frac{n}{1} \lambda_j^{n-1})$.

Proof. We need to prove (20) only. Replacing $\partial_x \tau_{n+j}$ in (19) by linear combinations of $\partial_x \tau_i$ ($i \leq n$) due to (22) in what follows, we find the (i, j) entry of $B_{n,m}$

$$b_{ij}^{(n,m)} = \begin{cases} \frac{i(m+1)}{2(i+m)} \delta_{i+m}^j & \text{if } i+m \leq n, \\ (-1)^{n-j} \frac{i(m+1)}{2j} \beta_{n, i+m-n, j} & \text{if } i+m > n. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

In particular, $B_{n,0} = (1/2) \text{id}_n$. The equality (20) follows directly from

$$B_{n,m} = \frac{m+1}{m} B_{n,m-1} B_{n,1}.$$

Notice that the last formulae are true for $m = 1$. We shall show that all the (i, j) -entries of the matrices $\frac{m+1}{m} B_{n,m-1} B_{n,1}$ and $B_{n,m}$ coincide.

First, let $i + m - 1 \leq n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m+1}{m} \sum_s b_{is}^{(n,m-1)} b_{sj}^{(n,1)} &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \frac{m+1}{m} \sum_s \frac{im}{2(i+m-1)} \delta_{i+m-1}^s \frac{j-1}{j} \delta_{j-1}^s \\ &\stackrel{j=i+m}{=} \frac{m+1}{2} \frac{i}{i+m-1} \delta_{i+m-1}^{j-1} \frac{i+m-1}{i+m} = \frac{i(m+1)}{2(i+m)} \delta_{i+m}^j \stackrel{(21)}{=} b_{ij}^{(n,m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\tilde{m} = i + m - 1 - n > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m+1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^n b_{is}^{(n,m-1)} b_{sj}^{(n,1)} &= \frac{m+1}{m} [b_{i,j-1}^{(n,m-1)} b_{j-1,j}^{(n,1)} + b_{in}^{(n,m-1)} b_{nj}^{(n,1)}] \\ \stackrel{(21)}{=} \frac{m+1}{m} [(-1)^{n-j+1} \frac{im}{2(j-1)} \beta_{n,\tilde{m},j-1} \frac{j-1}{j} + \frac{im}{2n} \beta_{n,\tilde{m},n} (-1)^{n-j} \frac{n}{j} \sigma_{n-j+1}] \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} \frac{i(m+1)}{2j} (-1)^{n-j} (\beta_{n+1,\tilde{m},n+1} \sigma_{n-j+1} - \beta_{n+1,\tilde{m},j}) \\ &= \frac{i(m+1)}{2j} (-1)^{n-j} \beta_{n,\tilde{m}+1,j} \stackrel{(21)}{=} b_{ij}^{(n,m)} \end{aligned}$$

By (20) and (17), if λ_j 's are pairwise different, then the matrix $B_{n,m}$ ($m > 0$) is hyperbolic. \square

Lemma 2 *The coefficients $\beta_{n,m,i}$ of the decomposition*

$$\frac{1}{n+m} \partial_x \tau_{n+m} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} \frac{1}{i} \beta_{n,m,i} \partial_x \tau_i, \quad m > 0 \quad (22)$$

satisfy the following recurrence relations:

$$\beta_{n,1,i} = \sigma_{n-i+1}, \quad \beta_{n,m,i} = \beta_{n+1,m-1,n+1} \sigma_{n-i+1} - \beta_{n+1,m-1,i} \quad (m > 1), \quad (23)$$

$$\beta_{n,m,i} = \beta_{n+j,m,i+j} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, \quad m, j > 0). \quad (24)$$

Remark 2 In view of (24), relation (23)₂ reduces to

$$\beta_{n,m,i} = \beta_{n,m-1,n} \sigma_{n-i+1} - \beta_{n,m-1,i-1} \quad (m > 1).$$

For small values of m , $m = 1, 2$, we have from (22)

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \partial_x \tau_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{i} \sigma_{n-i+1} \partial_x \tau_i, \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{1}{n+2} \partial_x \tau_{n+2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{i} (\sigma_1 \sigma_{n-i+1} - \sigma_{n-i+2}) \partial_x \tau_i. \quad (26)$$

By Proposition 4, the last row of $B_{n,1}$ (resp., of $B_{n,2}$) consists of the coefficients at $\partial_x \tau_i$'s on the RHS of (25), (resp., of (26)) and so on.

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $m = 1$. Equality $\tau_{n+1} = \sum_j \lambda_j^{n+1}$ yields $\frac{1}{n+1} \partial_x \tau_{n+1} = \sum_j \lambda_j^n \partial_x \lambda_j$. Similarly, we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{i} \sigma_{n-i+1} \partial_x \tau_i = \sum_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{i} \sigma_{n-i+1} \lambda_j^{i-1} \right) \partial_x \lambda_j.$$

Define the polynomial $P_n(x) = \lambda^n - \sigma_1(x) \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \sigma_n(x)$. Since $\lambda_j(x)$ are the roots of P_n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \partial_x \tau_{n+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{i} \sigma_{n-i+1} \partial_x \tau_i \\ &= \sum_j (\lambda_j^n - \sigma_1 \lambda_j^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \sigma_n) \partial_x \lambda_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

that proves (25). Hence, $\beta_{n,1,i} = \sigma_{n-i+1}$.

In order to prove the recurrence relation in (23), assume temporarily that $\lambda_{n+1} = \varepsilon$, $\tilde{n} = n + 1$ and $\tilde{m} = m - 1$. Hence, $\frac{1}{n+m} \partial_x \tau_{n+m} = \frac{1}{\tilde{n}+\tilde{m}} \partial_x \tau_{\tilde{n}+\tilde{m}}|_{\varepsilon=0}$. Then we put $\varepsilon = 0$ and replace $\partial_x \tau_{n+1}(x)$ due to (25)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{n}+\tilde{m}} \partial_x \tau_{\tilde{n}+\tilde{m}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}} \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{n}-i}}{i} \beta_{\tilde{n},\tilde{m},i} \partial_x \tau_i \\ &= \beta_{n+1,m-1,n+1} \sigma_{n-i+1} \frac{1}{n+1} \partial_x \tau_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i+1}}{i} \beta_{n+1,m-1,i} \partial_x \tau_i \\ &\stackrel{\varepsilon=0}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{i} (\beta_{n+1,m-1,n+1} \sigma_{n-i+1} - \beta_{n+1,m-1,i}) \partial_x \tau_i \end{aligned}$$

that completes the proof of (23). For $m = 2$, we deduce from (23)

$$\beta_{n,2,i} = \beta_{n+1,1,n+1} \sigma_{n-i+1} - \beta_{n+1,1,i} = \sigma_1 \sigma_{n-i+1} - \sigma_{n-i+2}$$

that proves (26).

Finally, we prove (24) by induction on m . For $m = 1$ we have

$$\beta_{n+j,1,i+j} \stackrel{(23)_1}{=} \sigma_{(n+j)-(i+j)+1} = \sigma_{n-i+1} \stackrel{(23)_1}{=} \beta_{n,1,i}.$$

Assuming (24) for $m - 1$ we deduce it for m using (23)₂:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n+j,m,i+j} &\stackrel{(23)_2}{=} \beta_{(n+j)+1,m-1,i+j} - \beta_{(n+j)+1,m-1,(n+j)+1} \sigma_{(n+j)-(i+j)+1} \\ &= \beta_{n+1,m-1,i} - \beta_{n+1,m-1,n+1} \sigma_{n-i+1} \stackrel{(23)_2}{=} \beta_{n,m,i} \end{aligned}$$

that completes the proof of (24). \square

Example 3 For $f_j = \delta_{j1}$, (19) reduces to the linear system $\partial_t \tau_i = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \tau_i$, whose solution is $\tau_i = \tau_i^0(t - 2x)$. Consider slightly more complicated cases.

1. For $f_j = \delta_{j2}$, (19) is reduced to the system

$$\partial_t \tau_i = -\frac{i}{i+1} \partial_x \tau_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (27)$$

The n -truncated (27) reads as $\partial_t \vec{\tau} + B_{n,1} \partial_x \vec{\tau} = 0$. For $n = 2$ we have just two PDE's

$$\partial_t \tau_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \tau_2, \quad \partial_t \tau_2 = -\frac{2}{3} \partial_x \tau_3 = (\tau_1^2 - \tau_2) \partial_x \tau_1 - \tau_1 \partial_x \tau_2.$$

The matrix $B_{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -2\sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}$ has the characteristic polynomial $P_2 = \det |\lambda \text{id}_2 - B_{2,1}| = \lambda^2 - \sigma_1 \lambda + \sigma_2$. If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, the eigenvectors of $B_{2,1}$ are equal to $\vec{v}_j = (1, 2\lambda_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$). If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \neq 0$, $B_{2,1}$ has one eigenvector only, hence the system (27) is not hyperbolic in the t -direction.

For $n = 3$, (27) reduces to the quasilinear system of three PDE's with the matrix

$$B_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \\ 3\sigma_3 & -\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $B_{3,1}$ is $P_3 = \lambda^3 - \sigma_1 \lambda^2 + \sigma_2 \lambda - \sigma_3$, the eigenvalues are λ_j , and the eigenvectors are $\vec{v}_j = (1, \frac{3}{2}\lambda_j, 3\lambda_j^2)$, $j \leq 3$.

2. For $f_j = \delta_{j3}$, (19) reduces to the system

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{3i}{2(i+2)} \partial_x (\tau_{i+2}) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (28)$$

The matrix of this n -truncated system is $B_{n,2} = \frac{3}{2}(B_{n,1})^2$.

For $n = 3$, (28) reduces to the system of three quasilinear PDE's

$$\partial_t \tau_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \tau_3, \quad \partial_t \tau_2 = -\frac{3}{4} \partial_x \tau_4, \quad \partial_t \tau_3 = -\frac{9}{10} \partial_x \tau_5,$$

where τ_4, τ_5 should be expressed using τ_i ($i \leq 3$). The matrix of this system is

$$B_{3,2} = \frac{3}{2}(B_{3,1})^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 3\sigma_3 & -\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \\ \frac{9}{2}\sigma_1\sigma_3 & \frac{9}{4}(\sigma_3 - \sigma_1\sigma_2) & \frac{3}{2}(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It has eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_j = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_j^2$, and eigenvectors $\vec{v}_j = (1, \frac{3}{2}\lambda_j, 3\lambda_j^2)$, $j \leq 3$.

For $n = 4$, (28) reduces to the quasilinear system with the matrix

$$B_{4,2} = \frac{3}{2}(B_{4,1})^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4} \\ -\frac{9}{2}\sigma_4 & \frac{9}{4}\sigma_3 & -\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2 & \frac{9}{8}\sigma_1 \\ -6\sigma_1\sigma_4 & 3(\sigma_1\sigma_3 - \sigma_4) & 2(\sigma_3 - \sigma_1\sigma_2) & \frac{3}{2}(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues $\vec{\lambda}_j = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_j^2$, and eigenvectors $\vec{v}_j = (1, \frac{4}{3}\lambda_j, 2\lambda_j^2, 4\lambda_j^4)$, $j \leq 4$.

This series of examples can be continued as long as one desires.

2.3 Biregular foliated coordinates

The coordinate system described in the following lemma (for the proof see [CC], Section 5.1), is called a *biregular foliated chart* here.

Lemma 3 *Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a differentiable manifold with a codimension-1 foliation and a vector field N transversal to \mathcal{F} . Then for any $p \in M$ there is a coordinate system (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) on a neighborhood $U_p \subset M$ (centered at p) such that the leaves on U_p are given by $\{x_0 = c\}$ (hence the coordinate vector fields $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$, $i \geq 1$, are tangent to leaves), and N is directed along $\partial_0 = \partial_{x_0}$ (one may assume $N = \partial_0$ at p).*

If (M, \mathcal{F}, g) is a foliated Riemannian manifold and N is a unit normal, then g has, in biregular foliated coordinates (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) , the form

$$g = g_{00} dx_0^2 + \sum_{i,j>0} g_{ij} dx_i dx_j. \quad (29)$$

Lemma 4 For a metric (29) in biregular foliated coordinates (of a codimension one foliation \mathcal{F}) on (M, g) , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \partial_0 / \sqrt{g_{00}} \quad (\text{the unit normal}) \\
\Gamma_{ij}^0 &= -\frac{1}{2} g_{ij,0} / g_{00}, \quad \Gamma_{i0}^j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s g_{is,0} g^{sj} \quad (\text{the Christoffel symbols}), \\
b_{ij} &= \Gamma_{ij}^0 \sqrt{g_{00}} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{ij,0} / \sqrt{g_{00}} \quad (\text{the second fundamental form}), \\
A_i^j &= -\Gamma_{i0}^j / \sqrt{g_{00}} = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{g_{00}}} \sum_s g_{is,0} g^{sj} \quad (\text{the Weingarten operator}), \\
(b_m)_{ij} &= A_{s_2}^{s_1} \dots A_{s_m}^{s_{m-1}} A_i^{s_m} g_{js_1} \quad (\text{the } m\text{-th "power" of } b_{ij}), \\
\tau_m &= \left(\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{g_{00}}} \right)^m \sum_{\{r_a\}, \{s_b\}} g_{r_1 s_2, 0} \dots g_{r_{m-1} s_m, 0} g^{s_1 r_1} \dots g^{s_m r_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\tau_1 = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{g_{00}}} \sum_{r,s} g_{rs,0} g^{rs}, \quad \tau_2 = \frac{1}{4g_{00}} \sum_{r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2} g_{r_1 s_2, 0} g_{r_2 s_1, 0} g^{s_1 r_1} g^{s_2 r_2}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Proof. All of that is standard and left to a reader. Just for his convenience, let us observe that the formula for A follows from that for b and $A_i^j = \sum_s b_{is} g^{sj}$. Notice that $(A^m)_i^j = \sum_{\{s_l\}} A_{s_2}^j A_{s_3}^{s_2} \dots A_{s_m}^{s_{m-1}} A_i^{s_m}$. The formulae for b_m follow from the above and $(A^m)_i^s g_{sj} = g(A^m e_i, e_j) = (b_m)_{ij}$. The formulae for τ 's follow directly from the above and the equality $\tau_m = \text{Tr}(A^m)$. \square

3 A solution to general case

3.1 Searching for $\vec{\tau}^t$

Let g_t satisfy (1) and N_t be the g_t -unit normal vector field to \mathcal{F} on M .

It is easy to see that $\partial_t N_t = 0$, therefore $N_t = N$ for all t , N being the unit normal of \mathcal{F} on (M, g_0) . In fact, for any vector field X tangent to \mathcal{F} one has $0 = \partial_t g_t(X, N_t) = h_t(X, N_t) + g_t(X, \partial_t N_t) = g_t(X, \partial_t N_t)$ and similarly $0 = \partial_t g_t(N_t, N_t) = h_t(N_t, N_t) + 2g_t(N_t, \partial_t N_t) = 2g_t(N_t, \partial_t N_t)$.

Let now ∇^t denote the Levi-Civita connection on (M, g_t) and $\Pi_t = \partial_t \nabla^t$. Π_t is a (1,2)-tensor field on M . Following, for example, [To], one can write

$$g_t(\Pi_t(X, Y), Z) = \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla_X^t h_t)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y^t h_t)(X, Z) - (\nabla_Z^t h_t)(X, Y)] \quad (30)$$

for all X, Y and Z .

Lemma 5 *For the EG flow of type (b), on the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t b_t(X, Y) &= h_t(A_t X, Y) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} [N(f_m(\vec{\tau}, t)) g_t(A_t^m X, Y) + f_m(\vec{\tau}, t) g_t((\nabla_N^t A_t^m) X, Y)], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\partial_t A_t = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} [N(f_m(\vec{\tau}, t)) A_t^m + f_m(\vec{\tau}, t) \nabla_N^t A_t^m]. \quad (32)$$

Proof. By definition, $b_t(X, Y) = g_t(\nabla_X^t Y, N)$, and $h_t(\cdot, N) = 0$. Using (30) and the identity $h_t(A_t X, Y) = h_t(A_t Y, X)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t b_t(X, Y) &= \partial_t g_t(\nabla_X^t Y, N) = (\partial_t g_t)(\nabla_X^t Y, N) + g_t(\partial_t \nabla_X^t Y, N) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla_X^t h_t)(Y, N) + (\nabla_Y^t h_t)(X, N) - (\nabla_N^t h_t)(X, Y)] + h_t(\nabla_X^t Y, N) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [h_t(A_t X, Y) + h_t(A_t Y, X) - N(h_t(X, Y))] = h_t(A_t X, Y) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} [f_m(\vec{\tau}, t) g_t((\nabla_N^t A_t^m) X, Y) + N(f_m(\vec{\tau}, t)) g_t(A_t^m X, Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (31). Now, (32) follows from (31) and

$$\begin{aligned} g_t((\partial_t A_t) X, Y) &= g_t(\partial_t(A_t X), Y) = \partial_t(g_t(A_t X, Y)) - (\partial_t g_t)(A_t X, Y) \\ &= \partial_t b_t(X, Y) - h_t(A_t X, Y). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma shows that the functions τ_1, \dots, τ_n satisfy the system of quasilinear PDE's, whose matrix can be built using a generalized companion matrix of the characteristic polynomial of A_N .

Lemma 6 *The power sums $\{\tau_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the EG flow (1) of type (b) satisfy infinite system of quasilinear PDE's (3). The n -truncated system (3) has the form $\partial_t \vec{\tau} + (\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}) \partial_x \vec{\tau} = 0$ with \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} given by (4).*

Proof. Applying iA_t^{i-1} to both sides of (32) and using the identity $A_t^{i-1} \nabla_N^t A_t^m = \frac{m}{i+m-1} \nabla_N^t A_t^{i+m-1}$ ($i, m > 0$), we deduce the PDE for A_t^i

$$\partial_t A_t^i = -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ N(f_0) A_t^{i-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left[N(f_m) A_t^{i+m-1} + \frac{m}{i+m-1} f_m \nabla_N^t A_t^{i+m-1} \right] \right\}.$$

Taking the trace of both sides of the above identity, we obtain (3). The second statement of our Lemma follows directly from Proposition 4. principal curvatures of the leaves of \mathcal{F} . \square

The next lemma deals with the evolution equation for h_t of type (a).

Lemma 7 *Let g_t be the solution to the EG flow (1) of type (a). Then the Weingarten operator A_t of \mathcal{F} with respect to g_t satisfies*

$$\partial_t A = -\frac{1}{2} [N(\tilde{f}_0) \text{id} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (N(\tilde{f}_j) A^j + \tilde{f}_j \cdot \nabla_N^t A^j)], \quad (33)$$

and τ_i ($i \geq 1$) (the power sums of the eigenvalues of A) satisfy PDE's

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \tau_{i-1} N(\tilde{f}_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{m}{i+m-1} \tilde{f}_m N(\tau_{i+m-1}) + \tau_{i+m-1} N(\tilde{f}_m) \right] \right\} = 0. \quad (34)$$

The n -truncated system (34) has the form

$$\partial_t \vec{\tau} + \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \tilde{f}_j (B_{n,1})^{j-1} \right] N(\vec{\tau}) = \vec{a}, \quad (35)$$

where $\vec{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i)$, $\hat{a}_i = -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} N(\tilde{f}_j) \tau_{i+j-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), and $B_{n,1}$ is the generalized companion matrix (17).

Proof. The proof of (33) is similar to that of (32). On $T\mathcal{F}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g((\partial_t A)X, Y) &= -\frac{1}{2} N(h(X, Y)) = -\frac{1}{2} [N(\tilde{f}_0)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (N(\tilde{f}_j)g(A_t^j X, Y) + \tilde{f}_j g(\nabla_N^t A_t^j X, Y))]. \end{aligned}$$

Following the lines of the proof of (3) in Lemma 6, we deduce from above the system (34). By Proposition 4, the n -truncated (34) has the form (35). \square

Lemmas 6 and 7 together with Theorem A provide existence and uniqueness results for the symmetric functions $\vec{\tau}^t$ satisfying conditions following from (1). In particular, this allows to reduce the problems of existence and uniqueness for EG flows of type (b) to those for type (a) as we do in the proof of Theorem 2 in the next section.

3.2 Local existence of g_t (Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2)

Given a Riemannian metric g on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) , the symmetric tensor $h(g)$ defined by (1) can be expressed locally in terms of the first partial derivatives of g . Therefore, $g \mapsto h(g)$ is a first order partial differential operator. For a \mathcal{F} -truncated symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor \hat{S} on $T\mathcal{F}$,

$$h(g) = \hat{S} \quad (36)$$

is a non-linear system of first order PDE's. The particular case of (36) is Einstein type relation $h(g) = \lambda \hat{g}$ for some function (or constant) λ on M .

There are several obvious obstructions to the existence of solutions to (36) even at a single point: for example, if $h(g) = \hat{b}_{2j}$ and \hat{S} takes both, positive and negative, values at $p \in M$, then (36) has no solutions at p .

Proof of Theorem 1. Take biregular foliated coordinates (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) on $U_p \subset M$ (with the center at p), see Lemma 3, and the metric (29). Then, $N = \partial_0 / \sqrt{g_{00}}$ is the unit normal to \mathcal{F} . Set $\psi_{ab} = g_{ab,0}$. The system (1) (for f_j of type (a)) along a trajectory $\gamma : x \mapsto \gamma(x)$ of ∂_0 has the form

$$\partial_t g_{ij} = h(g)_{ij}(g_{ab}, \psi_{ab}, t, x) \quad (37)$$

where $i, j, a, b = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\frac{\partial h(g)_{ij}}{\partial \psi_{ab}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m f_m(p, t) g\left(\frac{\partial(Ae_i)}{\partial \psi_{ab}}, A^{m-1} \partial_j\right).$$

Using Lemma 4, we have $A \partial_i = \sum_{s>0} A_i^s \partial_s = -\frac{1}{2} g_{00}^{-1/2} \sum_{s,l>0} g^{sl} \psi_{il} \partial_s$. Hence $\frac{\partial(A\partial_i)}{\partial \psi_{ab}} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ia} g_{00}^{-1/2} \sum_{s>0} g^{sb} \partial_s$, that provides

$$\frac{\partial h(g)_{ij}}{\partial \psi_{ab}} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{00}^{-1/2} \delta_{ia} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m f_m(p, 0) \sum_{s=1}^n g^{sb} g(\partial_s, A^{m-1} \partial_j).$$

By symmetry $\psi_{ij} = \psi_{ji}$ and $h(g)_{ij} = h(g)_{ji}$, we obtain that $\frac{\partial h(g)_{ij}}{\partial \psi_{ab}} = 0$ when the set $\{i, j, a, b\}$ contains two different members. Hence, the $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix $d_\psi h(g) = \{\frac{\partial h(g)_{ij}}{\partial \psi_{ab}}\}$ is diagonal with a lot of zeros along the diagonal,

$$\frac{\partial h(g)_{ij}}{\partial \psi_{ij}} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{00}^{-1/2} \delta_{ij} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m f_m(p, 0) \sum_{s=1}^n g^{si} (b_{m-1})_{si}.$$

Let us differentiate (37) with respect to x and t . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x p_{ij} &= \partial_0 F_{ij} + \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial g_{ab}} \partial_0 g_{ab} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial F_{ii}}{\partial \psi_{ii}} \partial_0 \psi_{ij}, \\ \partial_t p_{ij} &= \partial_t F_{ij} + \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial g_{ab}} \partial_t g_{ab} + \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial F_{ii}}{\partial \psi_{ii}} \partial_t \psi_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where $p_{ij} := \partial_t g_{ij}$, $\psi_{ij} := g_{ij,0}$ and $F_{ij} := h(g)_{ij}$. Since g is of class C^2 , we can conclude that $\partial_t \psi_{ab} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{ab}}{\partial t \partial x_0} = \partial_0 p_{ab}$. Hence (38) together with (37) may

be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \psi_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial F_{ii}}{\partial \psi_{ii}} \partial_0 \psi_{ij} &= \partial_0 F_{ij} + \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial g_{ab}} \psi_{ab}, \\
\partial_t p_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial F_{ii}}{\partial \psi_{ii}} \partial_0 p_{ij} &= \partial_t F_{ij} + \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial g_{ab}} p_{ab}, \\
\partial_t g_{ij} &= F_{ij}(\{g_{ab}\}, \{\psi_{ab}\}, t, x).
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Certainly, (39) is a diagonal form of a hyperbolic (but not strictly hyperbolic) system for $\frac{3}{2}n(n+1)$ unknown functions $g_{ij}(t, x)$, $p_{ij}(t, x)$ and $\psi_{ij}(t, x)$, where $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. By Theorem A (Section 2.1), there exists a unique solution to (39) which is defined on a neighborhood of $(0, p)$ of the trajectory of N for some time interval $[0, \varepsilon_p)$ and satisfies the initial conditions $g_{ij}(0, x) = g_{0,ij}$, $p_{ij}(0, x) = h(g_0)_{ij}(x)$ and $\psi_{ij}(0, x) = \partial_s g_{0,ij}(x)$.

The claim follows from the above and compactness of M . \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let A_0 and $\bar{\tau}^0$ be the values of extended Weingarten operator and power sums of the principal curvatures k_i of (the leaves of) \mathcal{F} determined on (M, \mathcal{F}) by a given metric g_0 .

a) *Uniqueness.* Assume that $g_t^{(1)}, g_t^{(2)}$ are two solutions to (1) with the same initial metric g_0 . The functions $\bar{\tau}^{t,1}, \bar{\tau}^{t,2}$, corresponding to $g_t^{(1)}, g_t^{(2)}$, satisfy (3) and have the same initial value $\bar{\tau}^0$. By Lemma 6 and Theorem A, $\bar{\tau}^{t,1} = \bar{\tau}^{t,2} = \bar{\tau}^t$ on some positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon_1)$. Hence $g_t^{(1)}, g_t^{(2)}$ satisfy (1) of type (a) with known $\tilde{f}_j(p, t) := f_j(\bar{\tau}^t(p), t)$. By Theorem 1, $g_t^{(1)} = g_t^{(2)}$ on some positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon_2)$.

b) *Existence.* By Lemma 6 and Theorem A, there is a unique solution $\bar{\tau}^t$ to (3) on some positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon^*)$. By Theorem 1, the EG flow (1) of type (a) with known functions $\tilde{f}_j(\cdot, t) := f_j(\bar{\tau}^t, t)$ has a unique solution g_t^* ($g_0^* = g_0$) for $0 \leq t < \varepsilon^*$. The Weingarten operator A_t^* ($A_0^* = A_0$) of (M, \mathcal{F}, g_t^*) satisfies (33), hence the power sums of its eigenvalues, $\bar{\tau}^{t,*}$ ($\bar{\tau}^{0,*} = \bar{\tau}^0$), satisfy (34) with the same coefficient functions \tilde{f}_j . By Lemma 7 and Theorem A, the solution of this problem is unique, hence $\bar{\tau}^t = \bar{\tau}^{t,*}$, i.e., $\bar{\tau}^t$ are power sums of eigenvalues of A_t^* . Finally, g_t^* is a solution to (1) such that $\bar{\tau}^t$ are power sums of the principal curvatures of the leaves in this metric. \square

3.3 Proofs of Corollaries 1, 2 and Propositions 1, 3

In all the proofs below, p is an arbitrary point of M and $\gamma : x \mapsto \gamma(x)$ ($\gamma(0) = p$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$) is the trajectory of N , the unit normal of \mathcal{F} .

Proof of Corollary 2. By Lemma 6, we have (3), which in our case reduces to the system (6). The last one means the initial value problem in the (x, t) -plane for the vector function $\vec{\tau}(x, t) = \vec{\tau}(\gamma(x), t)$

$$\partial_t \vec{\tau} + \left(\frac{1}{2} f(\vec{\tau}, t) \text{id}_n + \tilde{A}(\vec{\tau}, t) \right) \partial_x \vec{\tau} = 0, \quad \vec{\tau}(x, 0) = \vec{\tau}^0(\gamma(x)). \quad (40)$$

The matrix \tilde{A} is equal to $\{(i/2) \tau_i f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, t)\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Note that $\text{rank } \tilde{A} \leq 1$. By condition (H_2) , either the function $\tilde{\lambda} = \text{Tr } \tilde{A} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (i/2) \tau_i f_{,\tau_i}(\vec{\tau}, t)$ (the eigenvalue of \tilde{A}) is non-zero for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $\tilde{A}(x) \equiv 0$. Hence (40) is hyperbolic for small enough t . In first case, the eigenvector of $\tilde{A}|_{t=0}$ for $\tilde{\lambda}(x)$ is $\mathbf{v}_1 = (f_{,\tau_1}, f_{,\tau_2}, \dots, f_{,\tau_n})$, and the kernel of $\tilde{A}|_{t=0}$ is spanned by $n-1$ vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = (-2 \tau_2, \tau_1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = (-3 \tau_3, 0, \tau_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \mathbf{v}_n = (-n \tau_n, 0, \dots, 0, \tau_1).$$

(If $\tilde{\lambda}(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, 0) \neq 0$ for some j , then \tilde{A} is nilpotent and hence (40) is not hyperbolic). By Theorem A, the initial value problem (40) has a unique solution on a domain $[-\delta, \delta] \times [0, \varepsilon')$ of the (x, t) -plane. Hence there exists $t_p > 0$ such that the solution $\vec{\tau}(\cdot, t)$ to (6) exists and is unique for $t \in [0, t_p)$ on a neighborhood $U_p \subset M$ centered at p . By compactness of M , we conclude that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that (8) admits a unique solution $\vec{\tau}(p, t)$ on M for $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$.

One may also apply the *method of characteristics* to solve (40) explicitly.

The system has two characteristics: $\frac{d\tilde{x}}{dt} = \tilde{\lambda} + \frac{f}{2}$ and $\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{f}{2}$ corresponding to two eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda} + \frac{f}{2}$ (of multiplicity 1) and $\frac{f}{2}$ (of multiplicity $n-1$).

First, let us observe that the function $\tilde{u} = \mathbf{v}_1^T \cdot \vec{\tau} = \sum_{j \leq n} f_{,\tau_j} \tau_j$ is constant along the first family of characteristics:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u} = \partial_t \tilde{u} + (\tilde{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} f) N(\tilde{u}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{u} = \text{const} \text{ along } \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{x} = \tilde{\lambda} + \frac{f}{2}. \quad (41)$$

Next, let us find a function that is constant along the second family of characteristics. For each $m > 1$, due to the form of \mathbf{v}_m , calculate the sum of the first equation in (40) multiplied by $-m \tau_m$ with the m -th equation multiplied by τ_1 (along the trajectories of $\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{f}{2}$)

$$\tau_1 \partial_t \tau_m - m \tau_m \partial_t \tau_1 + \frac{f}{2} (\tau_1 N(\tau_m) - m \tau_m N(\tau_1)) = 0.$$

(The terms with $\sum_j f_{,\tau_j} N(\tau_j)$ cancel.) Using $\frac{d\tau_m}{dt} = \partial_t \tau_m + N(\tau_m) \frac{dx}{dt} = \partial_t \tau_m + \frac{1}{2} f N(\tau_m)$, we get (again, along the second family of characteristics)

$$\tau_1 d\tau_m/dt - m \tau_m d\tau_1/dt = 0 \quad (m \geq 2). \quad (42)$$

The complete integral of (42) is

$$\tau_m = C_m(x) \tau_1^m \quad (m \geq 2), \quad (43)$$

where $C_m(x) = \tau_m(x, 0)/\tau_1^m(x, 0)$ are known functions. Since the smooth functions $\vec{\tau}(x, t)$ and $f(\vec{\tau}, t)$ exist for $t \in [0, t_p)$, the EG flow under consideration exists and is unique on $M \times [0, t_p)$.

Using the basic properties of the Lie derivative of g_t along N , one may show that (8) is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}_{Z_t} g_t = 0$ with $Z_t = \partial_t + \frac{1}{2}f(\vec{\tau}^t, t)N$. Denote by Φ_t the flow of $\frac{1}{2}f(\vec{\tau}, t)N$. The solution g_t can be defined by $g_t(\Phi_t X, \Phi_t Y) = g_0(X, Y)$, $g_t(X, N) = 0$ and $g_t(N, N) = 1$ for all X and Y tangent to \mathcal{F} . The solution exists and is unique as long as the solution to (6) does. If $f(\cdot, t) = \text{const}$, the solution exists (and is unique) for all $t \geq 0$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1. Denote by $\vec{\tau}(x, t) = (\tau_1^t, \dots, \tau_n^t)$ the power sums of the principal curvatures of \mathcal{F} at the point $\gamma(x)$ in time t . By Lemma 6, we have (3), which in our case reduces to (5). The last one provides the initial value problem in the (x, t) -plane

$$\partial_t \vec{\tau} + \tilde{A}(\vec{\tau}, t) \partial_x \vec{\tau} = 0, \quad \vec{\tau}(x, 0) = \vec{\tau}^0(\gamma(x)), \quad (44)$$

where \tilde{A} is equal to $\{(i/2) \tau_{i-1} f_{,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}, t)\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. As before, $\text{rank } \tilde{A} \leq 1$. Consider the function $\tilde{\lambda} = \text{Tr } \tilde{A} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (i/2) \tau_{i-1} f_{,\tau_i}(\vec{\tau}, 0)$ (the eigenvalue of \tilde{A}). By condition (H_1) , either $\tilde{\lambda}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $\tilde{A}(x) \equiv 0$. Hence the system (44) is hyperbolic at $(x, 0)$. As in the proof of Corollary 2 we conclude that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\vec{\tau}(p, t)$ on M exists and is unique for $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$. Certainly, a unique smooth solution to (7) has the required form. \square

Proof of Proposition 1. Notice that

$$\sum_j (\vec{\tau}^\alpha)_{,\tau_j} \tau_j = \left(\sum_j \alpha_j \right) \vec{\tau}^\alpha, \quad \sum_j j (\vec{\tau}^\alpha)_{,\tau_j} \tau_j = \left(\sum_j j \alpha_j \right) \vec{\tau}^\alpha.$$

If $f = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \vec{\tau}^\alpha$ then $N(f) = \sum_j \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \vec{\tau}_{,\tau_j}^\alpha N(\tau_j)$ (the derivative of f along N).

Define $\tilde{f}(x, t) = f_t(\vec{\tau}(\gamma(x)))$ and $\tilde{f}_0 = \tilde{f}(\cdot, 0)$. One has PDE's (40) in the (x, t) -plane. The characteristics of the first family, see (41), are lines, and $f = \text{const}$ along them. To show this, observe that (by definition of $f_t(\vec{\tau})$)

$$\tilde{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_j f_{t,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}) \tau_j = m \tilde{f}(x, t), \quad \tilde{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_j \frac{j}{2} f_{t,\tau_j}(\vec{\tau}) \tau_j = \frac{l+1}{2} \tilde{f}(x, t).$$

Since $\tilde{f} = \tilde{u}/m$ is constant along the first family of characteristics in the (x, t) -plane, these characteristics are lines given by the equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(l+1)\tilde{f} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = \xi + \frac{1}{2}(l+1)\tilde{f}_0(\xi)t.$$

Notice that $\tilde{f}' = \sum_{\alpha \in J_{m,l}} c_\alpha(t) \sum_j (\bar{\tau}^0)_{\tau_j}^\alpha N(\tau_j^0)$. If $\tilde{f}'_0 > 0$ on γ , the solution \tilde{f} exists for all $t \geq 0$ (see Example 2) and we set $t_p = \infty$. If \tilde{f}'_0 is negative somewhere on γ , then \tilde{f} exists (and is continuous) for $t \in [0, t_p)$ where $t_p = -2/[(l+1) \min_x \tilde{f}'_0(x)]$.

The second family of characteristics, $\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{f}{2}$, also exists for $t \in [0, t_p)$. To show this, assume the opposite, i.e., there are $t_0 \in (0, t_p)$ and a trajectory $\gamma_1(t)$ of the second family of characteristics that cannot be continued for values $t \geq t_0$. Therefore, the inclination $\tilde{f}(\gamma_1(t), t)/2$ of γ_1 approaches to infinity when $t \rightarrow t_0$, a contradiction to continuity of \tilde{f} on the strip $t \in [0, t_0]$ in the (x, t) -plane. \square

Proof of Proposition 2. Consider the EG flow (1) and define the function ψ by

$$\psi(\lambda, t) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j(n\lambda, n\lambda^2, \dots, n\lambda^n; t) \lambda^j. \quad (45)$$

Since \mathcal{F} is totally umbilical for $t = 0$, $A_0 = \lambda_0 \widehat{\text{id}}$. Assuming $A_t = \lambda_t \widehat{\text{id}}$ for small enough t , we see that (32), or equivalently, (3) for $i = 1$, yields the PDE $\partial_t \lambda_t + \frac{1}{2} N(\psi(\lambda_t, t)) = 0$, whose unique solution exists for small enough $t \geq 0$. From the uniqueness of solution to (32) it follows that $A_t = \lambda_t \widehat{\text{id}}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3. Recall that $A_t X = \lambda_t X$. Corollary A provides the short-time existence and uniqueness of the solution λ_t to (12) for $0 \leq t < T$. Furthermore, the EG flow is expressed as $\partial_t g_t = \psi(\lambda_t) \hat{g}_t$, and the solution \hat{g}_t has the required form.

Consider the function $\tilde{\lambda}(x, t) = \lambda(\gamma(x), t)$ in the (x, t) -plane along the trajectory $\gamma(x)$, $\gamma(0) = p$, of N , and set $\tilde{\lambda}_0(x) = \lambda(\gamma(x), 0)$. The equation (12) in this case has the form of a *conservation law*

$$\partial_t \tilde{\lambda} + (1/2) \partial_x (\psi(\tilde{\lambda})) = 0. \quad (46)$$

One may show the following; *If $\psi'(\tilde{\lambda}_0(x))$, $\tilde{\lambda}_0(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and if $\tilde{\lambda}_0(x)$ and $\psi'(\tilde{\lambda}_0(x))$ are either non-decreasing or non-increasing, the problem*

$$\partial_t \tilde{\lambda} + (1/2) \partial_x (\psi(\tilde{\lambda})) = 0, \quad \tilde{\lambda}(x, 0) = \tilde{\lambda}_0(x), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (47)$$

has a unique smooth solution defined implicitly by the parametric equations,

$$\tilde{\lambda}(x, t) = \tilde{\lambda}_0(x), \quad x = \xi + (1/2) \psi'(\tilde{\lambda}_0(\xi)) t. \quad (48)$$

If $\frac{d}{dx} \psi'(\tilde{\lambda}_0(x))$ is negative elsewhere along γ , then $\tilde{\lambda}(x, t)$ exists for

$$t < t_p = -2 / \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{dx} \psi'(\tilde{\lambda}_0(x)).$$

For $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda^2$, (46) is reduced to the Burgers' equation, see Section 2.1. \square

4 Examples

4.1 Extrinsic Ricci flow (Proof of Corollaries 4 and 5)

Lemma 8 *The principal curvatures of extrinsic Ricci flow satisfy PDE's*

$$\partial_t k_i = N(k_i(\tau_1 - k_i)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (49)$$

which for a totally umbilical \mathcal{F} , i.e., $k_i = \lambda$, are reduced to the PDE

$$\partial_t \lambda = 2(n-1)\lambda N(\lambda). \quad (50)$$

The extrinsic scalar curvature of extrinsic Ricci flow is $-2\sigma_2$, where

$$\partial_t \sigma_2 = (\tau_1^2 + \tau_2) N(\tau_1) - \tau_1 N(\tau_2) - \frac{2}{3} N(\tau_3). \quad (51)$$

For $n = 2$, (51) reads as $\partial_t \sigma_2 = \tau_1 \partial_x \sigma_2$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, for the extrinsic Ricci flow (10) we have

$$\partial_t \tau_i = i \tau_i N(\tau_1) + \tau_1 N(\tau_i) - \frac{2i}{i+1} N(\tau_{i+1}), \quad i > 0. \quad (52)$$

Replacing $\tau_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (k_j)^i$ in (52), we obtain (49). Differentiating identity $2\sigma_2 = \tau_1^2 - \tau_2$, we obtain $2\partial_t \sigma_2 = 2\tau_1 \partial_t \tau_1 - \partial_t \tau_2$. From this and (52) with $i = 1, 2$ it follows (51). \square

Proof of Corollary 4. It is sufficient to show that in conditions of theorem, (52) is hyperbolic in the t -direction.

Let $n = 2$. By equality $\tau_3 = \frac{3}{2}\tau_1\tau_2 - \frac{1}{2}\tau_1^3$, the matrix of 2-truncated system (52) is $C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2\tau_1 & 1 \\ -2\tau_1^2 & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix}$. One can see directly, or applying condition (H_1) to $\text{Ric}^{\text{ex}}(g) = \sigma_2 \hat{g}$, that C_2 is hyperbolic if $\tau_1 \neq 0$. (If $\tau_1 = 0$, i.e., \mathcal{F} is minimal foliation, the matrix C_2 is nilpotent, hence it is not hyperbolic). By Corollary 1, $\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t \sigma_2 dt\right)$, where σ_2 exists for $0 \leq t < T$.

If $\tau_1 \neq 0$, C_2 has real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = -\tau_1$, and the left eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1 = (-\tau_1, 1)$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = (-2\tau_1, 1)$.

Remark that $\tau_1^2 - 2\tau_2 = -(k_1 - k_2)^2 = \text{const}$ along the first family of characteristics $\frac{dx}{dt} = 0$, hence the function $k_1 - k_2$ does not depend on t (the flow preserves the property ‘‘umbilic free foliation’’). Along the second family of characteristics $\frac{dx}{dt} = -\tau_1$ we have $k_1 k_2 = (\tau_1^2 - \tau_2)/2 = \text{const}$. Notice that (49) is reduced to two equations for k_1 and k_2 with equal RHS’s,

$$\partial_t k_i = \partial_x(k_1 k_2), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

hence $\partial_t(k_2 - k_1) = 0$, i.e., $k_2 - k_1 = \psi(x)$ is a known (at $t = 0$) function of x . Recall that the system is hyperbolic in the t -direction, if $k_1 + k_2 \neq 0$, otherwise, the matrix is nilpotent. For k_1 we have a quasilinear PDE $\partial_t k = \partial_x(k^2 + \psi(x)k)$, whose solution exists for small enough t .

For $n = 3$, the matrix of 3-truncated system (52) is

$$C_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -2\tau_1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2\tau_2 & -\tau_1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ \tau_1^3 - \tau_3 - 3\tau_1\tau_2 & \frac{3}{2}(\tau_2 - \tau_1^2) & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Replacing τ -s by σ -s, see Remark 1, we obtain the characteristic polynomial $P_3 = \lambda^3 + 2\sigma_1\lambda^2 + \sigma_1^2\lambda + 4\sigma_3$. Substituting $\lambda = y - \frac{2}{3}\sigma_1$ into P_3 gives

$$P_3 = y^3 + py + q, \quad \text{where } p = -(1/3)\sigma_1^2 \text{ and } q = 4\sigma_3 - (2/27)\sigma_1^3.$$

Depending on the sign of the discriminant $D = (q/2)^2 + (p/3)^3$, we have

$$D \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{one real and two complex roots,} \\ < 0, & \text{three different real roots,} \\ = 0, & \text{one real root with multiplicity three in the case } p = q = 0, \\ & \text{or a single and a double real roots when } (\frac{1}{3}p)^3 = -(\frac{1}{2}q)^2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

In our case, $D = \frac{4}{27}\sigma_3(27\sigma_3 - \sigma_1^3)$. The condition ‘‘three different real roots’’ is $D < 0 \Leftrightarrow |\sigma_1|^3 > 27|\sigma_3| > 0$. \square

Proof of Corollary 5. The function $\tilde{\lambda}_t(x) = \lambda(\gamma(x), t)$ along the trajectory $\gamma(x)$ ($\gamma(0) = p$), of N satisfies (12) with $\psi(\lambda) = 4(1 - n)\lambda^2$ and initial value $\tilde{\lambda}_0(x) = \lambda(\gamma(x), 0)$. Then we apply Proposition 3. \square

4.2 The extrinsic Newton transformation flow

The s -th *Newton transformation* of an $n \times n$ matrix A is defined by

$$T_s(A) = \sigma_s(A) \text{id}_n - \sigma_{s-1}(A) A + \dots + (-1)^s A^s, \quad 0 \leq s \leq n. \quad (53)$$

By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, $T_n(A) = 0$. The components of $T_s(A)$ are given by $(T_s)_i^j = (s!)^{-1} \varepsilon_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{j_1 \dots j_s} A_{j_1}^{i_1} \dots A_{j_s}^{i_s}$. Let $T_s(g) = \hat{g}(T_s(A) \cdot, \cdot)$ be \mathcal{F} -truncated symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor g_t -corresponding to the Newton transformation $T_s(A(g))$. The Newton transformations of the shape operator can be applied successfully to foliated manifolds, see [RW2]. The *extrinsic Newton transformation (ENT) flow* is given by

$$\partial_t g_t = T_s(g_t). \quad (54)$$

In other words, we have $h(g)$ in (1) with $f_i = (-1)^i \sigma_{s-i}(A)$. Notice that $\text{Tr } T_s(g_t) = (n - s) \sigma_s$ (the trace). For the ENT flow, (3) reduces to

$$\partial_t \tau_i + \frac{i}{2} \left\{ N(\sigma_s) \tau_{i-1} + \sum_{j=1}^s (-1)^j \left[N(\sigma_{s-j}) \tau_{i+j-1} + \frac{j}{i+j-1} \sigma_{s-j} N(\tau_{i+j-1}) \right] \right\} = 0.$$

From (56) in what follows with f_j replaced by $(-1)^j \sigma_{s-j}$, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \sigma_s = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma_{s-i-1} \left\{ (-1)^i N(\sigma_s) \tau_i \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^s (-1)^{i+j} \left[N(\sigma_{s-j}) \tau_{i+j} + \frac{j}{i+j} \sigma_{s-j} N(\tau_{i+j}) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

For $s = 1 < n$, we have the linear PDE $2 \partial_t \sigma_1 = -(n - 1) N(\sigma_1)$ (see also (3) with $i = 1$) representing the “unidirectional wave motion” $\sigma_1^t(s) = \sigma_1^0(s - t(n - 1)/2)$ along any N -trajectory $\gamma(s)$. For $s = 2 < n$, (55) is reduced to the PDE $2 \partial_t \sigma_2 = [\tau_2 - (n - 1) \tau_1^2] N(\tau_1) + \frac{n}{2} \tau_1 N(\tau_2) - \frac{2}{3} N(\tau_3)$.

Lemma 5 implies the variational formula of σ_m of the EG flow.

Proposition 5 *For the EG flow of type (b), for all $m \leq n$ the equality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \sigma_m = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{m-i-1} \left\{ N(f_0(\vec{\tau}, t)) \tau_i \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[N(f_j(\vec{\tau}, t)) \tau_{i+j} + \frac{j}{i+j} f_j(\vec{\tau}, t) N(\tau_{i+j}) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Proof. Notice that for any differentiable matrix valued function $A(t) \in \mathcal{M}(n \times n)$, $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$, one has $\sigma'_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \sigma_{m-i-1} \text{Tr}(A^i A')$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where σ_m is the m -th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of A , see [RW2]. In view of $(i+1) \text{Tr}(A^i A') = \tau'_{i+j}$, we obtain

$$\partial_t \sigma_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i+j} \sigma_{m-i-1} \partial_t \tau_{i+j}.$$

The statement follows directly from above identity, with $\partial_t \tau_{i+j}$ replaced according to (3). \square

4.3 EG flows with rotational symmetric metrics

Notice that the EG flows preserve rotational symmetric metrics

$$g_t = dx_0^2 + \varphi_t^2(x_0) ds_n^2, \quad \text{where } ds_n^2 \text{ is a metric of curvature 1,} \quad (57)$$

see definition in [Pet]. The n -parallels $\{x_0 = c\}$ compose a (Riemannian) totally umbilical foliation \mathcal{F} with $N = \partial_0$. In this case, by Proposition 3, the EG flow with generating functions $f_j = f_j(\vec{\tau})$ can be reduced to

$$\partial_t g_t = \psi(\lambda_t) \hat{g}_t, \quad \text{where } \psi_t(\lambda) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j(n\lambda, n\lambda^2, \dots, n\lambda^n) \lambda^j \quad (58)$$

and λ_t can be found from (12).

For simplicity, assume $n = 1$, and consider (M^2, g_t, \mathcal{F}) in biregular foliated coordinates (x_0, x_1) . Hence $(g_t)_{00} = 1$ and $(g_t)_{11} = \varphi_t^2$. From (58) we get

$$\partial_t \varphi_t = (1/2) \psi(\lambda_t) \varphi_t, \quad \text{or } \varphi_t = \varphi_0 e^{(1/2) \int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t) dt}. \quad (59)$$

By Lemma 4 (for $b_{11} = g_t(A\partial_1, \partial_1) = \lambda_t \varphi_t^2$), we also have

$$\lambda_t = -(\varphi_t)_{,0} / \varphi_t^2. \quad (60)$$

The Gaussian curvature of M^2 is $K_t = -(\varphi_t)_{,00} / \varphi_t$, see [Pet]. For example, if $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda$, then (12) reduces to the linear PDE $\partial_t \lambda + \frac{1}{2} N(\lambda) = 0$ representing the “unidirectional wave motion” along any N -trajectory $\gamma(s)$

$$\lambda_t(s) = \lambda_0(s - t/2). \quad (61)$$

In particular, if $\lambda_0 = C \in \mathbb{R}$, then also $\lambda_t = C$, and $\varphi_t = \varphi_0 e^{(t/2) \psi(C)}$.

Foliations with $\lambda = \text{const} \neq 0$ exist on a hyperbolic plane. Their leaves are horocycles. On the Poincaré 2-disc B the leaves of such foliations are represented by Euclidean circles tangent ∂B , Fig. 1. Orthogonal trajectories to above foliations form foliations by geodesics (i.e., $\lambda = 0$).




Figure 1: Foliation of \mathbb{H}^2 with constant $\lambda \neq 0$.

Example 4 Some of rotational symmetric metrics come from surfaces of revolution in Euclidean 3-space. Evolving them by EG flow yields deformations of surfaces of revolution foliated by parallels.

Revolving a curve $\gamma_t(x) = (X_t(x), Y_t(x), Z = 0)$, ($x \in I$), around the x -axis, we get a surface of revolution $M_t^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (X_t(x), Y_t(x) \cos y, Y_t(x) \sin y). \quad (62)$$

Assuming that $(X_t'(x))^2 + (Y_t'(x))^2 = 1$ (x is a natural parameter on γ_t), we obtain the rotational symmetric metric $g_t = dx^2 + Y_t^2(x) dy^2$ with $|Y_t'(x)| \leq 1$. One may recover γ_t from g_t by its curvature $k^2(\gamma_t) = (X_t'')^2 + (Y_t'')^2$.

Revolving the graph of $y = Y(x)$ about the x -axis, we get the metric

$$g = (1 + Y'^2) dx^2 + Y^2 dy^2 \quad (63)$$

on the cone $y^2 + z^2 = Y^2(x)$.

(i) Revolving a line $\gamma_0(x) = (x \cos \beta, x \sin \beta, 0)$ about the x -axis, we build the cone $C_0 : y^2 + z^2 = x^2 \tan^2 \beta$ (foliated by parallels $\{x = c\}$) with the first fundamental form $g_0 = dx^2 + (x \sin \beta)^2 dy^2$. Hence $\varphi_0 = x \sin \beta$ and $\lambda_0(x) = -2/x$. Applying the flow $\partial_t g_t = \lambda_t \hat{g}_t$, by (61) we obtain $\lambda_t(x) = -\frac{2}{x-t/2}$. The rotational symmetric metric $g_t = dx^2 + ((x - t/2) \sin \beta)^2 dy^2$ appears on the same cone translated across the x -axis, $C_t : y^2 + z^2 = (x - t/2)^2 \tan^2 \beta$.

(ii) Let us find a curve $Y = Y(X) > 0$ such that the metric (63) (on the surface of revolution $M^2 : [f(Y), Y \cos Z, Y \sin Z]$) has $\lambda_0 = \text{const} = 1$. Using $\lambda_0 = (1/Y(X)) \sin \phi$, where $\tan \phi = Y'(X)$, we get ODE

$$1 = \frac{|Y'(X)|}{Y(X) \sqrt{1 + (Y'(X))^2}} \Rightarrow \frac{dY}{dX} = \frac{Y}{\sqrt{4 + Y^2}}.$$




Figure 2: a) Graph of $X = \log \frac{\sqrt{4+Y^2}-2}{\sqrt{4+Y^2}+2} + \sqrt{4+Y^2}$. b) Surface of revolution.

The solution is $X = \log \frac{\sqrt{4+Y^2}-2}{\sqrt{4+Y^2}+2} + \sqrt{4+Y^2} + C$, where $C \in \mathbb{R}$. The surface M^2 looks like a pseudosphere, see Fig. 2, but for $Y \rightarrow \infty$ it is asymptotic to the cone $[Y + C, Y \cos Z, Y \sin Z]$. The Gaussian curvature of M^2 is $K = \frac{-1}{(Y^2+2)^2} < 0$, and $\lim_{Y \rightarrow \pm\infty} K = 0$.

4.4 Extrinsic geometric flows on foliated surfaces

In this section, (M^2, g_t) is a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold (surface) with a transversally orientable foliation \mathcal{F} (by curves), N a unit normal to \mathcal{F} , and λ_t the geodesic curvature of the leaves with respect to N .

For $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the EG flow g_t of type (b) on (M^2, \mathcal{F}) is a solution to the PDE $\partial_t g_t = \psi(\lambda_t, t) \hat{g}_t$, where λ_t obeys the PDE $\partial_t \lambda_t + \frac{1}{2} \psi'_\lambda(\lambda_t, t) N(\lambda_t) = 0$ (see (3) for $i = 0$). By Corollary 1, if $\psi'(\lambda_0) \neq 0$, see the condition (H_1) with $n = 1$, then there is a unique local smooth solution λ_t for $0 \leq t < T$ with initial value λ_0 determined by g_0 , and $\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_0 \exp(\int_0^t \psi'_\lambda(\lambda_t, t) dt)$ holds.

For compact M^2 , we have $\partial_t(d \text{vol}_t) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } h(g_t) d \text{vol}_t$, see [To]. Hence, the volume $\text{vol}_t := \int_M d \text{vol}_t$ of g_t satisfies to

$$\partial_t \text{vol}_t = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \psi(\lambda_t, t) d \text{vol}_t. \quad (64)$$

In order to estimate the time interval due to Proposition 3, suppose that the function $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ does not depend on t . Define $T = \infty$ if $N(\psi(\lambda_0)) \geq 0$ on M , and $T = -2/\inf_M N(\psi(\lambda_0))$ otherwise. Then the EG flow $\partial_t g_t = \psi(\lambda_t) \hat{g}_t$ has a unique smooth solution g_t on M^2 for $t \in [0, T)$, and does not

possess one for $t \geq T$. If, in addition to above (H_1) , $\psi'(\lambda_0)N(\lambda_0) \geq 0$ holds, then the solution exists for all $t \geq 0$ ($T = \infty$).

Proposition 6 *The Gaussian curvature K_t of the EG flow of type (b) on (M^2, \mathcal{F}) is given by the formula*

$$K_t = \operatorname{div}(e^{-\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t, t) dt} \nabla_N^0 N) + N(\lambda_t) - \lambda_t^2. \quad (65)$$

Proof. Define a symmetric $(1, 1)$ -tensor $A_h := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j A^j$ dual to h of (1). We use (30) to compute for any $X \in T\mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\partial_t(\nabla_N^t N), X) &= (1/2)[2(\nabla_N^t h_t)(X, N) - (\nabla_X^t h_t)(N, N)] \\ &= -h(\nabla_N^t N, X) = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j g(A^j(\nabla_N^t N), X) = -A_h(\nabla_N^t N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the general formula

$$\partial_t(\nabla_N^t N) = -A_h(\nabla_N^t N) \quad (66)$$

which for $n = 1$ looks as $\partial_t(\nabla_N^t N) = -\psi(\lambda_t, t)\nabla_N^t N$. Integrating above yields

$$\nabla_N^t N = e^{-\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t, t) dt} \nabla_N^0 N. \quad (67)$$

The formula (65) for $K = \operatorname{Ric}(N, N)$ is a consequence of (67) and the formula for $\operatorname{div}(\nabla_N N) + \operatorname{div}(H)$ (of a codimension-one foliation), see [W \mathbf{a} 1],

$$\operatorname{div}(\nabla_N N) = \operatorname{Ric}(N, N) + \tau_2 - N(\tau_1). \quad \square$$

(a) Let $\psi = 1$. The solution to $\partial_t g_t = \hat{g}_t$ is $\hat{g}_t = e^t \hat{g}_0$ ($t \geq 0$). From $\partial_t \lambda = 0$ we get $\lambda_t = \lambda_0$. By (65), the Gaussian curvature is $K = e^{-t} \operatorname{div}(\nabla_N^0 N) + N(\lambda_0) - \lambda_0^2$. There is limit $K_\infty = N(\lambda_0) - \lambda_0^2$.

(b) Let $\psi = \lambda$, i.e., $\partial_t g = \hat{b}_1$. Then $\lambda_t(s) = \lambda_0(s + \frac{1}{2}t)$ along any N -trajectory $\gamma(s)$ in the (t, s) -plane. From the EG flow equation $\partial_t g_t = \lambda_0(s + \frac{1}{2}t)\hat{g}_t$ we obtain $\hat{g}_t(s) = \hat{g}_0(s) e^{\int_0^t \lambda_0(s+\xi/2) d\xi}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). For compact M^2 , by (64) we have $\operatorname{vol}_t = \operatorname{const}$.

(c) Let $\psi = \lambda^2$. Then $\partial_t \lambda + \lambda N(\lambda) = 0$ (the inviscid Burgers equation). If $N(\lambda_0) \geq 0$ (for $t = 0$), then the solution λ_t exists for all $t \geq 0$.

Example 5 Let a function $f \in C^2(-1, 1)$ have vertical asymptotes $x = \pm 1$. Consider the foliation \mathcal{F} in the closed strip, whose leaves are $L_{\pm} = \{x = \pm 1\}$ and $L_s(x) = \{(x, f(x) + s), |x| < 1\}$, where $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The normal N at the origin is directed along y -axis. The tangent and normal to \mathcal{F} unit vector fields (on the whole strip) are $X = [\cos \alpha(x), \sin \alpha(x)]$, $N = [-\sin \alpha(x), \cos \alpha(x)]$, where $\alpha(x)$ is the angle between the leaves L_s and the x -axis at the intersection points. Indeed, f and α are related by

$$f'(x) = \tan \alpha(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \cos \alpha = [1 + (f')^2]^{-1/2}, \quad \sin \alpha = f' [1 + (f')^2]^{-1/2}.$$

The curvature of L_s is

$$\lambda_0(x) = f''(x)[1 + (f'(x))^2]^{-3/2} = \alpha'(x) \cdot |\cos \alpha(x)|, \quad |x| < 1.$$

The N -trajectories through the critical points of f are vertical, and divide Π into sub-strips. Typical foliations in the strip $|x| < 1$ with one vertical trajectory $x = 0$ are the following two:

- (i) f has exactly one strong minimum at $x = 0$.
- (ii) f is monotone increasing with one critical point $x = 0$;

Taking $f = \frac{1}{10}[e^{x^2/(1-x^2)} - 1]$ or $\alpha(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}x$ for (i), we get the Reeb foliation. For (ii) one may take $f = \tan(\frac{\pi}{2}x)$, or $\alpha(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}x^2$.

Let $\psi = \psi(\lambda_t)$, where $\lambda_t(x)$ is known for a positive time interval $[0, \varepsilon]$, see Proposition 3. We use $X \in T\mathcal{F}$ and normal N to represent the standard frame $e_1 = \cos \alpha(x)X - \sin \alpha(x)N$, $e_2 = \sin \alpha(x)X + \cos \alpha(x)N$ in the (x, y) -plane. By $\hat{g}_t = \hat{g}_0 e^{\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(x)) dt}$, we get $g_t(X, X) = e^{\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(x)) dt}$, $g_t(X, N) = 0$, and $g_t(N, N) = 1$. The g_t -scalar products of the frame $\{e_1, e_2\}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} E_t &= g_t(e_1, e_1) = \sin^2 \alpha + \cos^2 \alpha e^{\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(x)) dt}, \\ F_t &= g_t(e_1, e_2) = \sin \alpha \cos \alpha [e^{\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(x)) dt} - 1], \\ G_t &= g_t(e_2, e_2) = \cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha e^{\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(x)) dt}. \end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian curvature K_t of the metric $g_t = E dx^2 + 2F dx dy + G dy^2$ is given by known formula

$$K_t = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{EG-F^2}} \left[\partial_x \left(\frac{\partial_x G - \partial_y F}{\sqrt{EG-F^2}} \right) + \partial_y \left(\frac{\partial_y E - \partial_x F}{\sqrt{EG-F^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{4(EG-F^2)^2} \begin{vmatrix} E & \partial_x E & \partial_y E \\ F & \partial_x F & \partial_y F \\ G & \partial_x G & \partial_y G \end{vmatrix} \right]$$

which in our case, when the coefficients of g_t do not depend on y -coordinate, reads as $K_t = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{E_t G_t - F_t^2}} \partial_x \left(\frac{\partial_x G_t}{\sqrt{E_t G_t - F_t^2}} \right)$. We have $E_t G_t - F_t^2 = e^{\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(x)) dt}$.

The N -trajectories satisfy ODE's $dx/dt = -\sin \alpha(x)$, $dy/dt = \cos \alpha(x)$. From the first of above ODE's for N -trajectories, we deduce the implicit formula $t = -\int_x^{\phi_t(x)} \frac{dx}{\sin \alpha(x)}$ for local diffeomorphisms $\phi_t(x)$ ($|x| < 1$, $t \geq 0$).

Suppose that $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda$. Since $\lambda_t(s) = \lambda_0(s + t/2)$ is a simple wave along N -trajectories, we have $\lambda_t(x) = \lambda_0(\phi_{t/2}(x))$. For example, $\lambda_t(0) = \lambda_0(0)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Substitution E_t, F_t and G_t into above formula for K_t yields

$$K_t = \frac{1}{8}(\cos(2\alpha) - 1) \left[2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \lambda_t dt + \left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lambda_t dt \right)^2 \right] - \left[\cos(2\alpha)(\alpha')^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\alpha) \alpha'' \right] \left[1 - e^{-\int_0^t \lambda_t dt} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \sin(2\alpha) \alpha' \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lambda_t dt \left[3 + e^{-\int_0^t \lambda_t dt} \right]. \quad (68)$$

Since $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\lambda_t(0) = \lambda_0(0)$, one has $K_t(0) = -(\alpha'(0))^2 [1 - e^{-t\lambda_0(0)}]$. For (i), we get $\alpha'(0) > 0$ and $\lambda_0(0) > 0$, so $K_t(0) < 0$ for $t > 0$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t(x) = 0$ for $|x| \leq 1$, there also exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t(x) = \lambda_0(0) > 0$. Hence for any $x \in [-1, 1]$ there is $t_x > 0$ such that $K_t(x) < 0$ for $t > t_x$.

For (ii) with $\alpha(x) = (\pi/2)x^2$, we have $\alpha'(0) = 0$ and $\alpha''(0) = \pi$. Moreover, $\lambda_0(0) = 0$ and $\lambda_0'(0) = \pi \neq 0$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t(x) = 0$ for all $0 < x \leq 1$, there also exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(\phi_{t/2}(x)) = \lambda_0(0) = 0$. By (68) we have $K_t(0) \equiv 0$ and the series expansion

$$K_t(x) = -\frac{5}{2} \pi^2 \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \lambda_t dt x^3 + O_t(x^4).$$

We conclude that $K_t(x)$ for $t > 0$ changes its sign when we cross the line $x = 0$.

Example 6 Consider a foliation \mathcal{F} by circles $L_\rho = \{\rho = c\}$ in the ring $\Omega = \{c_1 \leq \rho \leq c_2\}$ for some $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ with polar coordinates (ρ, θ) . Then $X = \partial_\theta$ and $N = \partial_\rho$ are tangent and normal vector fields to the foliation. The metric is $ds^2 = d\rho^2 + G_t(\rho) d\theta^2$. Notice that $\lambda_0(\rho) = 1/\rho$. Since $\partial_t G_t = \psi(\lambda_t(\rho), t) G_t$, we have $G_t = \rho^2 \exp(\int_0^t \psi(\lambda_t(\rho), t) dt)$. From the formula for Gaussian curvature of Example 5 we have $K_t = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{G_t}} \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\partial_\rho G_t}{\sqrt{G_t}} \right)$. Let $\psi = \lambda$. Then $\lambda_t(s) = \lambda_0(s + t/2)$ on the trajectories of N . For the foliation by circles $\rho = c$ we have $\lambda_t(\rho) = (\rho + t/2)^{-1}$. The Gaussian curvature $K_t \equiv 0$.

References

[CC] A. Candel and L. Conlon, *Foliations, I*, AMS, Providence, 2000.

- [CY] H.-D. Cao, and S.-T. Yau, *Geometric Flows*. Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol. 12, International Press, 2008.
- [CK] B. Chow and D. Knopf, *The Ricci Flow: An Introduction*, AMS, 2004.
- [CL] W. Chen and J. Louck, *The Combinatorial Power of the Companion Matrix*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 232 (1996) 261–278.
- [HW] P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *On Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations*, Amer. J. Math., 74 (1952) 834–864.
- [Je] A. Jeffrey, *Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems and Waves*, Pitman Publishing, London, 1976.
- [LT] P. Lancaster and M. Tismenesky, *The Theory of Matrices*, Academic Press, 1985.
- [Pet] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*. Springer, Verlag, 2006, 401 pp.
- [Rov] V. Rovenski, *Foliations on Riemannian Manifolds and Submanifolds*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, 286 pp.
- [RW2] V. Rovenski, and P. Walczak, *Variational formulae for the total mean curvatures of a codimension-one distribution*, Proc. of the 8-th International Colloquium, Santiago-de Compostela, Spain, July 7-11, 2008, 83–93, World Scientific, 2009.
- [Su] D. Sullivan, *A homological characterization of foliations consisting of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Math. Helv., **54** (1979) 218–223.
- [To] P. Topping, *Lectures on the Ricci flow*, LMS Lecture Notes 325, London Math. Soc. and Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
- [Wa] P. Walczak, *Mean curvature invariant foliations*, Illinois J. Math., 37 (1993) 609–623.
- [Wa1] P. Walczak, *An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions*. Colloq. Math. 58 (1990) 243–252.