

A NOTE ON THE EXISTENCE OF TRANSITION PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR LÉVY PROCESSES

V. KNOPOVA AND R.L. SCHILLING

ABSTRACT. We prove several necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of (smooth) transition probability densities for Lévy processes and isotropic Lévy processes. Under some mild conditions on the characteristic exponent we calculate the asymptotic behaviour of the transition density as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ and show a ratio-limit theorem.

MSC 2010: Primary: 60G51. Secondary: 60E10, 60F99, 60J35.

Key Words: transition probability density; absolute continuity; Hartman-Wintner condition; monotone rearrangement; anisotropic Sobolev spaces; volume doubling.

INTRODUCTION

An n -dimensional Lévy process is a stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in \mathbb{R}^n , with independent and stationary increments, and with càdlàg (right-continuous, finite left limits) sample paths. It is well known that the transition probability p_t of a Lévy process can be characterized by the inverse Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t(\xi) = \mathbb{E}_x e^{iX_t \cdot \xi} = e^{-t\psi(\xi)}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called the *characteristic exponent* and it is determined by its *Lévy-Khintchine representation*

$$(1) \quad \psi(\xi) = i\ell \cdot \xi + \frac{1}{2} \xi \cdot Q\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - e^{iy \cdot \xi} + \frac{iy \cdot \xi}{1 + |y|^2} \right) \nu(dy);$$

here $\ell = (\ell^1, \dots, \ell^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q = (q^{jk}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive semi-definite matrix and ν is the Lévy measure, i.e. a measure on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (1 \wedge |y|^2) \nu(dy) < \infty$. If $\ell = 0$ and $Q = 0$, we will call the corresponding Lévy process a *pure jump Lévy process*.

Many papers are devoted to distributional properties of Lévy processes and to the existence of (necessary and) sufficient conditions under which the transition probability $p_t(dx)$ of a Lévy process is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. The classic paper [HW42] by Hartman and Wintner gives sufficient conditions in terms of the characteristic exponent ψ under which there exists a transition density $p_t(x)$ of X_t ; these conditions guarantee that $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all continuous functions which vanish at infinity. More precisely, if

$$(HW_\infty) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} = \infty,$$

R.L. Schilling: Institut für Mathematische Stochastik, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany, rene.schilling@tu-dresden.de.

V. Knopova: V.M.Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics NAS of Ukraine, 03187, Kiev, Ukraine, vic_knopova@gmx.de.

then $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$, and $p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, if

$$(HW_{1/t}) \quad \liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} > \frac{n}{t},$$

then $p_s(dx) = p_s(x) dx$ for all $s \geq t$ and $p_s \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that the important issue is the speed at which the function ψ tends to infinity. Since $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t = e^{-t\psi}$, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma entails that

$$(2) \quad p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx \text{ for some } t > 0 \implies \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) = \infty,$$

but this necessary condition does not tell anything about the rate of growth of ψ . Hartman and Wintner remark that *the difficulties of the gap between these two conditions—i.e. (HW $_\infty$) and (2)—[...] are rather obscure* [HW42, p. 287]. Tucker [Tu65] provides (complete but rather technical) necessary and sufficient criteria for the existence of a transition density. He mentions that Fourier analytic techniques *are too crude for such a problem* ([Tu65, p. 317]). These two quotations are also the main motivation of our paper: to explain for which densities (HW $_\infty$) is indeed necessary and sufficient and how far we can get with Fourier analytic techniques.

Let us briefly review some of the other known criteria. Hawkes [H79] shows that a Lévy process has the strong Feller property—i.e. $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}_x f(X_t)$ is continuous for all bounded measurable functions f —if, and only if, the transition probabilities are absolutely continuous; in this case, the densities $p_t(x)$ are lower semicontinuous. Zabczyk [Z70] shows that for an isotropic Lévy process X in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, (see below for the precise definition) the following dichotomy holds: either X is a compound Poisson process, or it is absolutely continuous for all $t > 0$ with lower semi-continuous transition density.

Further sufficient conditions in dimension one were found by Kallenberg [K81, Section 5]: if

$$(K_\infty) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} y^2 \nu(dy)}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty,$$

then $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$ and $p_t \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$; and if

$$(K_{1/t}) \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} y^2 \nu(dy)}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} > \frac{1}{t},$$

then $p_s(dx) = p_s(x) dx$ for all $s \geq t > 0$ and $p_s \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For an n -dimensional analogue of (K $_\infty$) and (K $_{1/t}$) we refer to [BK08]. See also Orey [O68] for yet another sufficient condition, as well as the monograph of Sato [Sat] for more references and results.

The main result of this note is to show for which class of Lévy processes the Hartman-Wintner condition (HW $_\infty$) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a (smooth) transition density $p_t(x)$. For isotropic processes we can express (HW $_\infty$) in terms of the Lévy measure ν . Finally we show that we can, under some mild conditions, express the behaviour of the transition density at zero $p_t(0)$ in terms of the measure of a ball with radius $t^{-1/2}$ in the metric given by the characteristic exponent ψ . As an application we show that our result gives an easy way to estimate the transition probability density at zero for anisotropic stable processes and tempered stable processes, see [Sto10b], [R07], [Sto10a]. In some sense, Theorem 1 sharpens [Sto10b, Lemma 3.1] where an upper bound for

the gradient of the transition density p_t of an α -stable Lévy process is obtained whose Lévy measure ν is a γ -measure. Although Theorem 1 does not provide a gradient estimate in terms of the particular structure of the Lévy measure, it shows that the gradients of any order are in L_1 .

Notation: We denote by $\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx$ the Fourier transform, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}w(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi$ is the inverse Fourier transform or characteristic function. By J_ν and K_ν we denote the (modified) Bessel functions of the first and third kind, cf. [GR]. We write $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the continuous functions vanishing at infinity. Throughout this paper we will use the same letter p_t to denote the transition probability $p_t(dx)$ and its density $p_t(x)$ w.r.t. Lebesgue measure. For functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ we write $f \asymp g$ if there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that $cf(x) \leq g(x) \leq Cf(x)$ and we write $f \sim g$ (as $x \rightarrow a$) if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)/g(x) = 1$. All other notation should be standard or self-explanatory.

1. MAIN RESULTS

An n -dimensional (Lévy) process X is called *isotropic*, if for any isometry $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $L(0) = 0$, and all Borel sets $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mathbb{P}_0(X_t \in B) = \mathbb{P}_0(X_t \in LB), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In this case the Lévy exponent is of the form $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some continuous $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. For $n = 1$ the notions of isotropy and symmetry coincide.

For an isotropic process we define $G(r) := -\omega_{n-1}\nu(B(0, r)^c)$, $n \geq 1$, where $\omega_{n-1} = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})$ is a surface volume of the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and Γ is Euler's Gamma function.

For isotropic processes in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, we will need the n -dimensional analogue of (K_∞) :

$$(K'_\infty) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^\varepsilon r^2 dG(r)}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty.$$

Note that (K'_∞) fails if, and only if, $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\varepsilon r^2 dG(r) / (\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|) < \infty$.

We can now state our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let X be an n -dimensional Lévy process, $n \geq 1$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (HW_∞) ;
- (b) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists, $p_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla^\alpha p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$;
- (c) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t, \nabla p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If X is isotropic such that $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ with an increasing function g , then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (d) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (e) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (f) $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 2. *Let X be an isotropic Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with characteristic exponent $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$. If (K'_∞) fails, then (HW_∞) is equivalent to*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(B(0, \varepsilon)^c)}{|\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty.$$

Before we proceed with the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 we add a few remarks and give some examples.

Example 1. Since the existence and smoothness of a transition density is a time-dependent property, cf. [Sat], the specification ‘for all $t > 0$ ’ is essential in Theorem 1. A simple counterexample in dimension $n = 1$ is the Gamma process, that is the Lévy process with transition density

$$p_t(x) = \frac{x^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)} e^{-x}, \quad t > 0, x > 0.$$

It is not hard to see that its characteristic exponent is

$$\psi(\xi) = \ln(1 + i\xi)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \xi^2) + i \arctan(\xi).$$

The two-sided (i.e. symmetrized) Gamma process whose transition density is $q_t := p_t * \tilde{p}_t$, $\tilde{p}_t(x) = p_t(-x)$, has

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\xi) = \ln(1 + \xi^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} e^{-s} e^{-|x|^2/(4s)} \frac{ds}{s} \right) dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) \frac{K_{1/2}(|x|)}{\sqrt{|x|}} dx \end{aligned}$$

as characteristic exponent; this follows from a combination of [BF, 9.23.4, 10.3] and [GR, 8.437, p. 959].

Note that p_t is for $t > 1$ of class C_∞ , while for $t = 1$ the density is bounded and Borel measurable, while for $t \in (1/q, 1)$ the density has a pole at $x = 0$ but is still contained in L_p , p, q being conjugate: $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. A similar picture is true for the density of the symmetrized process $q_t(x)$ which is given by $\Gamma(t)^{-1} \pi^{-1/2} (|x|/2)^{t-1/2} K_{t-1/2}(|x|)$ for $t > 1/2$, cf. [GR, 17.344, p. 1151].

In n dimensions we get that for $t > n/2$ the density $q_t(x)$ is given by

$$q_t(x) = \frac{2^{1-n}}{\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(t)} \left(\frac{|x|}{2} \right)^{t-n/2} K_{t-n/2}(|x|), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > \frac{n}{2},$$

cf. [Wen, Theorem 6.13, p. 76] (but mind the different norming of the Fourier transform).

Example 2. Let $n = 1$. (K_∞) implies (HW_∞) , but (HW_∞) does not imply (K_∞) . Assume that $\ell = 0, Q = 0$ and $\nu(dy) = \frac{1}{|y|} \ln \frac{1}{|y|} \mathbf{1}_{B(0,1)}(y) dy$ in (1). After some straightforward calculations we obtain that the related characteristic exponent behaves like $\psi(\xi) \sim \ln^2 |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ which implies (HW_∞) . But

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2 \int_0^\varepsilon y \ln \frac{1}{y} dy}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{-2\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon}{-2\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon - \varepsilon} = 1,$$

i.e. we have only $(K_{1/t})$ for some $t > 0$, but not (K_∞) .

Example 3. The condition $n \geq 2$ in [Z70] is essential, since an isotropic Lévy process in \mathbb{R} is just a Lévy process with symmetric Lévy measure, and such a process can be even continuous singular. Consider, for example, for $a \geq 2$, $a_j := a^j$, the Lévy measure

$$(4) \quad \nu(dx) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{2} (\delta_{a_j}(dx) + \delta_{-a_j}(dx)),$$

where $b_j \geq 0$, and $\sup_j b_j < \infty$. The corresponding pure jump Lévy process is continuous singular for any $t > 0$, see [W98] or [Sat, Theorem 27.19].

Example 4. Let $(a_j)_{j \geq 0}$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j = 0$ and let $(b_j)_{j \geq 0}$ be a decreasing sequence such that $b_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j \geq 1} b_j = \infty$. If ν is of the form (4), the corresponding pure jump characteristic exponent is given by

$$\psi(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [1 - \cos(a_j \xi)] b_j.$$

For $a_j := 2^{-j}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(2^m \cdot 2\pi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [1 - \cos(2^{m-j} \cdot 2\pi)] b_j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 - \cos(2^{-k} \cdot 2\pi)] b_{k+m} \\ &\leq \frac{(2\pi)^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+m} 2^{-2k} \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi^2 b_m}{3} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is symmetric,

$$\liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = 0.$$

On the other hand, $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j = \infty$, hence

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = \infty.$$

The corresponding transition probability $p_t(dx)$ is not absolutely continuous, otherwise we would have by [HW42] $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = \infty$. Hence by [HW42], see also [Sat, Theorem 27.16], $p_t(dx)$ is continuous singular.

We will see in Lemma 3 and Example 5 below that (HW_{∞}) is indeed not necessary for the existence of a C_{∞} -transition density.

Lemma 3. *Let X be an isotropic Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$(5) \quad p_{t/2}(x) \text{ exists and } p_{t/2} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} > \frac{n}{t}.$$

Proof. Note that the left-hand side of (5) implies $\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L_1} < \infty$. Indeed, if $p_{t/2} \in C_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $p_{t/2} \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence $\mathcal{F}^{-1} p_{t/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This shows that $|e^{-t\psi}| = |e^{-\frac{t}{2}\psi}|^2 \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since X is isotropic, $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some continuous function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L_1} &= \int_0^\infty m \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(\xi) \leq -\frac{\ln s}{t} \right\} ds \\
(6) \qquad &= t \int_0^\infty m \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(|\xi|^2) \leq x \} e^{-tx} dx \\
&\geq tc_n \int_0^\infty (g^{-1}(x))^{n/2} e^{-tx} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $g^{-1}(x) := \inf\{u : g(u) \geq x\}$ and m denotes Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n . The left-hand side of (6) is finite and we conclude that $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tx/n} = 0$.

By the very definition of the generalized inverse we get $g^{-1}(g(x)) \leq x \leq g(g^{-1}(x))$. Therefore,

$$(7) \qquad g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tx/n} \geq g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tg(g^{-1}(x))} \geq 0.$$

Since the transition density exists, it is an easy consequence of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = \infty$, cf. (2). Therefore, g and g^{-1} are onto. If we combine this fact with the inequality (7), we see that $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tx/n} = 0$ entails $\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} ue^{-2tg(u)/n} = 0$. Consequently,

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{2tg(u)}{n \ln u} \right) \ln u < 0,$$

implying $\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} g(u^2)/\ln u > n/t$. \square

We will now construct a characteristic exponent ψ with $\liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi)/\ln |\xi| = 0$ and $\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi)/\ln |\xi| = \infty$.

Example 5. Let ν be a Lévy measure of the form (4) with $a_j = 2^{-j}$ and

$$b_j := \begin{cases} \ln j, & j = 2k, \\ j^2, & j = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

In Example 4 we proved the upper bound

$$\psi(2^m \cdot 2\pi) \leq \frac{2\pi^2}{3} b_m.$$

Similarly, since $\sin^2 x \geq c_1 x^4$ for small x , we have for some $c_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(2^m \cdot 2\pi) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \cos(2^{-k} \cdot 2\pi)) b_{k+m} \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2^k} \right) b_{k+m} \\
&\geq 2c_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{2^k} \right)^4 b_{k+m} \\
&= c_2 b_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln \xi} \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(2^{2m+1} \cdot 2\pi)}{\ln(2^{2m+1} \cdot 2\pi)} \geq c_3 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{2m+1}}{2m+1} = c_3 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2m+1)^2}{2m+1} = \infty,$$

while

$$\liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln \xi} \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(2^{2m} \cdot 2\pi)}{\ln(2^{2m} \cdot 2\pi)} \leq c_4 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{2m}}{2m} = c_4 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln 2m}{2m} = 0.$$

2. PROOFS

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. In a first step, Lemma 4 below, we show that (HW_∞) is also a sufficient condition for the existence of a $C_b^\infty \cap C_\infty$ density.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that (HW_∞) holds true. Then $p_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\nabla^\alpha p_t \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.*

Proof. Observe that (HW_∞) implies for large $|\xi|$

$$|\xi|^k \exp(-t\psi(\xi)) = \exp\left(-\ln|\xi| \left[t \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln|\xi|} - k\right]\right) \leq \exp(-c \ln|\xi|)$$

for some constant $c > n$. This shows

$$|\xi|^k e^{-t\psi(\xi)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1,$$

which means that

$$\nabla^\alpha p_t \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

where $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the L_2 -Sobolev space of order k . □

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Without loss of generality we may assume that ψ is real-valued. We decompose the characteristic exponent into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\xi) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \xi \cdot Q\xi + \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) \nu(dy) \right) + \int_{|y| \geq 1} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) \nu(dy) \\ &=: \psi_1(\xi) + \tilde{\psi}_1(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By construction, ψ_1 and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ are characteristic exponents of two (symmetric, independent) Lévy processes. Denote their transition probabilities by $p_{1,t}$ and $\tilde{p}_{1,t}$, respectively. Because of independence, $p_t = p_{1,t} * \tilde{p}_{1,t}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}_1$ is bounded and ψ_1 is infinitely often differentiable. Indeed, for any multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$(8) \quad \nabla^\alpha \psi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^n q^{\ell k} \xi_k + \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} \sin(y \cdot \xi) y_\ell \nu(dy), & \alpha = e_\ell, \\ q^{\ell m} + \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} \cos(y \cdot \xi) y_\ell y_m \nu(dy), & \alpha = e_\ell + e_m, \\ \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} \cos^{(|\alpha|)}(y \cdot \xi) y^\alpha \nu(dy), & |\alpha| > 2, \end{cases}$$

which shows, in particular, that all derivatives of ψ_1 are polynomially bounded.

From

$$\psi_1(\xi) \leq \psi(\xi) \leq \psi_1(\xi) + \|\tilde{\psi}_1\|_\infty$$

we see that (HW_∞) holds for ψ if, and only if, (HW_∞) holds for ψ_1 .

Since ψ_1 is smooth and has polynomially bounded derivatives, (HW_∞) implies that $\xi \mapsto \exp(-t\psi_1(\xi))$ and all of its derivatives are bounded. Therefore, $\exp(-t\psi_1) \in$

$S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions. Thus,

$$p_{1,t}(x) = \mathcal{F}e^{-t\psi_1}(x),$$

i.e. $p_{1,t}(dx) = p_{1,t}(x) dx$ with a density from $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The identity $p_t = p_{1,t} * \tilde{p}_{1,t}$ shows that $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ with a C^∞ -density which satisfies

$$\nabla^\alpha p_t(x) = (\nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}) * \tilde{p}_{1,t}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}(x-y) \tilde{p}_{1,t}(dy).$$

Using Fubini's theorem, and the fact that $\tilde{p}_{1,t}$ is a probability measure, yields

$$\|\nabla^\alpha p_t\|_{L_1} \leq \iint |\nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}(x-y)| dx \tilde{p}_{1,t}(dy) = \|\nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}\|_{L_1}.$$

That p_t and $\nabla^\alpha p_t$ are in C_∞ follows from Lemma 4.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Since $\nabla p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma shows that

$$|\xi|e^{-t\psi(\xi)} = \exp\left(-\ln|\xi| \left[\frac{\psi(\xi)}{\frac{1}{t}\ln|\xi|} - 1\right]\right) \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $t > 0$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ implies (HW_∞) .

From now on we assume that X is isotropic with $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ and with an increasing function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e): This follows from the above statements.

(e) \Rightarrow (f): By assumption, $p_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$. In particular $p_t \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, by Plancherel's theorem, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t = e^{-t\psi} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since this holds for all $t > 0$, we see for $t = 2s$ that $e^{-s\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s > 0$.

(f) \Rightarrow (a): Since $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1$, we get $(2\pi)^n p_t(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} d\xi < \infty$. Introducing polar coordinates and integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-tg(|\xi|^2)} d\xi \\ &= \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-tg(r^2)} r^{n-1} dr \\ &\geq \omega_{n-1} \int_1^\infty e^{-tg(r^2)} r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tg(s^2)} s^n - e^{-tg(1)} - \int_1^\infty r^n dr e^{-tg(r^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \mapsto e^{-tg(r^2)}$ is decreasing, the integral appearing in the last line is negative and the calculation shows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tg(s^2)} s^n$ is finite. Therefore, $e^{-tg(r^2)} \leq c_t r^{-n}$ for all $r > 1$ and with some suitable constant $c_t < \infty$. Then

$$\frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln|\xi|} = \frac{g(|\xi|^2)}{\ln|\xi|} \geq \frac{n}{t} - \frac{\ln c_t}{t \ln|\xi|}, \quad |\xi| > 1,$$

implying

$$\liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln|\xi|} \geq \frac{n}{t}.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we get (HW_∞) . □

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Recall that the Bessel function of the first kind, $J_\nu(z)$, is defined by

$$(9) \quad J_\nu(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n + \nu + 1)n!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu}, \quad \nu, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ be the characteristic exponent of a pure jump isotropic n -dimensional Lévy process. Then*

$$g(u^2) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty |G(ru^{-1})| \cdot r \cdot H_{n/2}(r) dr$$

where $G(r) = -\omega_{n-1}\nu(B(0, r)^c)$, $\omega_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}$, and $H_\nu(r) = 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r)$.

Proof. Switching to polar coordinates in the Lévy-Khintchine formula (1), we get

$$(10) \quad g(u^2) = \int_0^\infty (1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(ur)) dG(r), \quad n \geq 1,$$

cf. [Boc, p. 99]. Note that $H_\nu(0) = 1$. Moreover, using

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(z) &\sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \\ J_\nu(z) &\sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos\left(z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ \frac{d}{dz}(z^{-\nu} J_\nu(z)) &= -z^{-\nu} J_{\nu+1}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}$, see [WW, pp. 359, 368], we get

$$(11) \quad zH_\nu(z) \sim z, \quad z \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(12) \quad H_\nu(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{z^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} \cos\left(z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(13) \quad \frac{d}{dz} H_\nu(z) = -\frac{z}{2(\nu + 1)} H_{\nu+1}(z).$$

From the series representation (9) for J_ν , we see $1 - H_\nu(z) \sim \frac{z^2}{2(\nu+1)}$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 G(r) = 0$, see [BG61, Theorem 2.1], we find

$$\infty > \int_0^1 r^2 dG(r) = r^2 G(r) \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 2rG(r) dr = G(1) - 2 \int_0^1 rG(r) dr,$$

hence $\int_0^1 rG(r) dr < \infty$. Because of (13) we can use integration by parts in (10) to get (with $\nu = \frac{n-2}{2}$)

$$g(u^2) = \frac{1}{2(\nu + 1)} \int_0^\infty |G(ru^{-1})| r H_{\nu+1}(r) dr;$$

the properties (11)-(12) show that the integral on the right hand side is convergent, and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$ (which will be determined later) we split the integral expression appearing in (10) and get

$$\frac{g(\varepsilon^{-1})}{|\ln \varepsilon|} = \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\int_0^{\gamma\varepsilon} + \int_{\gamma\varepsilon}^{\infty} \right) (1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r\varepsilon^{-1})) dG(r) =: I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon).$$

Note that the I_1 and I_2 are nonnegative. By (9) there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 r^2 \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r) \leq c_2 r^2 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq r \leq 1,$$

which gives

$$c_1 \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} dG(r) \leq I_1(\varepsilon) \leq c_2 \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} dG(r).$$

This means that (K'_{∞}) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_1(\varepsilon) = \infty.$$

Consider the second term. By (12) there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad 1 - \frac{C_1}{r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r) \leq 1 + \frac{C_2}{r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1.$$

If we replace in (14) r by $r\varepsilon^{-1}$ we get

$$1 - C_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r\varepsilon^{-1}) \leq 1 + C_2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}};$$

for $r > \gamma\varepsilon$ with a sufficiently large constant γ (depending only on C_1, C_2 and n), we get new constants $0 < C_3, C_4 < \infty$ such that

$$0 < C_3 \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r\varepsilon^{-1}) \leq C_4 \quad \text{for all } r \geq \gamma\varepsilon.$$

Integrating this expression over $[\gamma\varepsilon, \infty)$ w.r.t. $dG(r)$ reveals that $\frac{|G(\gamma\varepsilon)|}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \asymp I_2(\varepsilon)$. This shows

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_2(\varepsilon) = \infty \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|G(\varepsilon)|}{|\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty. \quad \square$$

3. EXTENSIONS

Let us give two generalizations of Theorem 1. For this we need to recall the notions of de- and increasing rearrangements of a function. Our standard reference is the monograph [BS]. Let u be a real-valued measurable function defined on a measurable subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. As usual, $\mu(t) := m\{\xi \in B : |u(\xi)| > t\}$ (m is Lebesgue measure) is the *distribution function* of u and

$$u^*(s) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \mu(t) \leq s\} = \sup\{t \geq 0 : \mu(t) > s\}$$

is called the *decreasing rearrangement* of u . Note that $u^* : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is decreasing and that u^* is the generalized right-continuous inverse of μ_u . Analogously one can define an increasing rearrangement u_* of a function u .

An important property of decreasing rearrangements is that u and u^* have the same distribution function, and therefore

$$(15) \quad \int_B f(u(\xi)) d\xi = \int_0^{\infty} f(u^*(s)) ds \quad \text{for all measurable } f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let X be a Lévy process with characteristic exponent ψ . Set $u(\xi) := e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}$ and denote by

$$(16) \quad \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(s) := m\{\xi : \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \leq s\}.$$

Then we find for the decreasing rearrangement of the function u that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} u^*(s) &= \inf \{ \tau \geq 0 : m\{\xi : u(\xi) > \tau\} < s \} \\ &= \inf \{ \tau \geq 0 : \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(-t^{-1} \ln \tau) < s \} \\ &= \exp(-t \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}^{-1}(s)), \quad s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}^{-1}$ is the generalized right-continuous inverse of $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}$, i.e. the increasing rearrangement $(\operatorname{Re} \psi)_*$ of $\operatorname{Re} \psi$. In particular, $(\operatorname{Re} \psi)_*$ is increasing. This allows to apply the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 to find a necessary and sufficient criterion when $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 6. *Let X be an n -dimensional Lévy process with characteristic exponent ψ . Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (a) $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$;
- (b) $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$, and $p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (c) $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$, and $p_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (d) *The increasing rearrangement $(\operatorname{Re} \psi)_*$ satisfies the following Hartman-Wintner-type condition:*

$$(HW_\infty^*) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\operatorname{Re} \psi)_*(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} = \infty.$$

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma we see that $p_t = \mathcal{F}e^{-t\psi} \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$; since p_t is the density of a probability measure, $p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is automatically satisfied.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Since p_t is a transition density, $p_{t/2} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which implies

$$e^{-(t/2)\psi} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}p_{t/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies |e^{-t\psi}| \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(d) \Rightarrow (a): This follows from (15) and (17).

(a) \Rightarrow (d): This follows from (15), (17) and the proof of (f) \Rightarrow (a) in Theorem 1. \square

The conditions (HW_∞) and $(HW_{1/t})$ can be seen as comparison conditions: indeed we compare the growth rates (as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$) of the the characteristic exponent ψ of the Lévy process X with the logarithm of the characteristic exponent of the symmetric Cauchy process. It is, therefore, a natural question how one can generalize Theorem 1. Consider the following Hartman-Wintner-type condition

$$(HW_\infty^\phi) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + \phi(\xi))} = \infty$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic exponent of a further Lévy process. For $\phi(\xi) = |\xi|$ and the corresponding Cauchy process (HW_∞^ϕ) and (HW_∞) coincide. We are interested in the question which properties of ϕ imply that the Lévy process X with characteristic exponent ψ admits a transition density p_t such that $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For this we need to introduce a class of function spaces. Let ϕ be a real-valued characteristic exponent of a Lévy process. Then $\kappa = 1 + \phi$ is a temperate weight function in the sense of [Hör] and it is possible to define the following class of *anisotropic L_2 -Bessel potential spaces*

$$H_2^{\phi, \kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + \phi)^{\kappa/2} \mathcal{F}u] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

see [FJS01a, FJS01b] for the general L_p -theory. For $p = 2$ similar spaces were introduced by Hörmander [Hör] and, independently, by Volevich and Paneyah [VP65] in order to study regularity properties of certain partial differential equations. For these spaces, the condition

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + \phi)^{-\kappa/2}] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad (1 + \phi)^{-\kappa/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is necessary and sufficient for the following Sobolev embedding

$$(19) \quad H_2^{\phi, \kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see e.g. [FJS01b, Theorem 2.3.4].

In order to formulate the next theorem we need the notion of a Fourier integral resp. pseudodifferential operator: Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be polynomially bounded. Then

$$\Psi(D)u(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Psi \cdot \mathcal{F}u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \Psi(\xi) \cdot \mathcal{F}u(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

defines a linear operator with *symbol* Ψ . If $\Psi(\xi)$ is a polynomial, $\Psi(D)$ is a differential operator, e.g. the symbol $\Psi(\xi) = \xi$ corresponds to the operator $D = -i\nabla$.

Theorem 7. *Let X be an n -dimensional Lévy process, $n \geq 1$, with characteristic exponent ψ and let ϕ be the characteristic exponent of a symmetric Lévy process. If (18) holds for some $\kappa > 0$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (HW_∞^ϕ) ;
- (b) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists, $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi(D)^m p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t, \phi(D)p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Denote by ν and μ the Lévy measures of ψ and ϕ , respectively. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we write ψ_1 and ϕ_1 for the characteristic exponents which we obtain from $\text{Re } \psi$ and ϕ by restricting the respective Lévy measures to the unit ball: $\nu \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < |y| \leq 1\}} \nu(dy)$ and $\mu \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < |y| \leq 1\}} \mu(dy)$. Then we see that ψ_1 and ϕ_1 are infinitely often differentiable and

$$\psi_1(\xi) \leq \text{Re } \psi(\xi) \leq c(\psi_1(\xi) + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1(\xi) \leq \phi(\xi) \leq c(\phi_1(\xi) + 1)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some constant c . Thus, (HW_∞^ϕ) holds for ψ if, and only if, $(\text{HW}_\infty^{\phi_1})$ holds for ψ_1 . Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Without loss of generality we may assume that ψ is real-valued. By the chain rule

$$\nabla^\alpha e^{-t\psi} = (-t)^{|\alpha|} P(\psi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi) e^{-t\psi}$$

where $P(\psi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi)$ is a polynomial of degree less or equal than $|\alpha|$ depending on the derivatives of ψ of order $\beta \leq \alpha$. Using (8) it is not hard to see that

$$\nabla^\alpha \psi_1(\xi) \leq c_{|\alpha|} \begin{cases} \psi(\xi), & |\alpha| = 0 \\ \sqrt{\psi(\xi)} & |\alpha| = 1, \\ 1 & |\alpha| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

This observation is due to Hoh [H98]. The same is true for the exponent ϕ . Therefore, $\xi \mapsto \nabla^\alpha e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$ is bounded for all $t > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Combining this with (HW_∞^ϕ) , we find suitable polynomials Q, R of degree less or equal than $|\alpha| + m$ such that for all $\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |(1 + \phi)^\kappa \nabla_\xi^\alpha [(1 + \phi)^m e^{-t\psi}](\xi)| &= \left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_\beta \nabla^\beta (1 + \phi)^m \nabla^{\alpha - \beta} e^{-t\psi} \right| \\ &\leq c_t Q(\phi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \phi) R(\psi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi) e^{-t\psi} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Because of (18),

$$\nabla_\xi^\alpha [(1 + \phi)^m e^{-t\psi}](\xi) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

From the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma we get

$$|x|^k (1 + \phi(D))^m p_t(x) \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $k, m \geq 0$. Choosing $k > n$ we can divide by $(1 + |x|^k)$ and find, in particular, $(1 + \phi(D))^m p_t(x) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): This follows as in the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 8. (a) If we choose $\phi = \operatorname{Re} \psi$ in Theorem 7, (HW_∞^ϕ) holds if, and only if, $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) = \infty$. In this case the statement

(i) (18) holds for $\phi = \operatorname{Re} \psi$ and some $\kappa > 0$, i.e. $(1 + \operatorname{Re} \psi)^{-\kappa/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

always implies

(ii) for all $t > 0$ we have $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ with $p_t \in \bigcap_{r>0} H_2^{\operatorname{Re} \psi, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(D)^m p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $m \geq 0$.

This follows immediately from Theorem 7, (18) and (19).

We cannot expect the converse to be true. Even if (HW_∞^ϕ) holds true, the condition $\phi^m(D)p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not imply (18). To see this, consider the function ψ from Example 2. In this example $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(\xi) \sim \ln^2 |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\phi(\xi) := \ln(1 + \psi(\xi))$. Since ψ and ϕ are infinitely often differentiable and, by (HW_∞) , the function $\nabla^\alpha [\phi(\xi) e^{-t\psi(\xi)}]$ is bounded for any $m, \alpha \geq 1$, we have $\phi \cdot e^{-t\psi} \in S(\mathbb{R})$, implying

$$\phi^m(D)p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

But since $\phi(\xi) \sim \ln \ln |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, the condition (18) does not hold for any κ .

(b) Theorem 2 has also an obvious generalization: if we replace in the statement (HW_∞) by (HW_∞^ϕ) , then we have to change $|\ln \varepsilon|$ to $\ln \phi(1/\varepsilon)$ in (3). The proof should be fairly obvious.

The proof of Theorem 7 shows that the embedding condition (18) is important to assure that p_t and $\phi(D)^m p_t$ are continuous functions. Let us briefly discuss the meaning of (18).

Lemma 9. *Let ϕ be a real-valued characteristic exponent of some n -dimensional Lévy process such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi) = \infty$. Then (18) is equivalent to*

$$(18') \quad \nu_\phi(x) := m(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \phi(\xi) \leq x) \leq c x^\lambda \quad \text{for all } x \geq 1$$

where $c, \lambda > 0$ are suitable constants.

The condition (18'), in turn, is implied by the following volume-doubling condition

$$(20) \quad \frac{\nu_\phi(2x)}{\nu_\phi(x)} \leq C \quad \text{for some constant } C > 0 \text{ and all } x \geq 1.$$

Proof. Recall that $\phi_*(x) = \nu_\phi^{-1}(x)$ is the increasing rearrangement of the function ϕ . Therefore,

$$(21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + \phi(\xi))^\kappa} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(1 + \phi_*(x))^\kappa} = \kappa \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x)^{\kappa+1}} \nu_\phi(x) dx;$$

the last equality follows from integration by parts.

If (18) holds, the above integrals are finite. Since ϕ_* is increasing, the usual Abelian trick (cf. the proof of (f) \Rightarrow (a) in Theorem 1) guarantees that

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \phi_*(x))^\kappa} \leq \frac{c}{1+x} \implies \frac{1}{(1+y)^\kappa} \leq \frac{c}{1 + \nu_\phi(y)}$$

which gives (18').

Conversely, if (18') holds, the second equality in (21) shows that the integrals are finite as soon as $\kappa > \lambda$. This gives (18).

Assume finally that (20) holds. Fix $x \geq 1$ and set $k := \lceil \ln x / \ln 2 \rceil$. Since ν_ϕ is increasing, we find

$$\nu_\phi(x) \leq \nu_\phi(2^{k+1}) \leq C^{k+1} \nu_\phi(1) = C x^\lambda \nu_\phi(1)$$

with $\lambda = \ln C / \ln 2$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\lambda > 0$, and (20) follows. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

The integral representation (6) allows to determine in some cases the asymptotic behaviour of $p_t(0)$ in terms of the Lévy exponent ψ . In what follows we do not assume isotropy.

Write $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x) := m\{\xi : \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \leq x\}$ for the distribution function (16) of $\operatorname{Re} \psi$. Then

$$(2\pi)^n p_t(0) = \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L_1} = \|e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi}\|_{L_1} = t \int_0^\infty \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x) e^{-tx} dx.$$

The following proposition below is essentially Theorem 4 from [Fel, Chapter XIII.5].

Proposition 10. *Suppose that (HW_∞) holds true and $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x) \sim x^{\rho-1} L(x)$, $0 < \rho < \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$ [resp. as $x \rightarrow 0$] where $L(x)$ is a function which is slowly varying at infinity [resp. at zero]. Then*

$$p_t(0) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{t^{\rho-1}} L(t^{-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad [\text{resp. as } t \rightarrow \infty].$$

The above statement can be generalized to the case when $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}$ is of bounded increase.

Proposition 11. *Suppose that (HW_∞) holds true and the function $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(x)$ satisfies for $\lambda \geq 1$ the following volume doubling property:*

$$(22) \quad \frac{\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(2x)}{\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(x)} \leq C \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad [\text{resp. as } x \rightarrow 0]$$

for some constant $C < \infty$. Then

$$(23) \quad c_1 \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1}) \leq p_t(0) \leq c_2 \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1}) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow 0 \quad [\text{resp. for } t \rightarrow \infty].$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda \geq 1$ and set $k := \lceil \ln \lambda / \ln 2 \rceil$. Since $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}$ is increasing, we find

$$\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(\lambda x) \leq \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(2^{k+1}x) \leq C^{k+1} \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(x) = C \lambda^\alpha \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(x)$$

with $\alpha = \ln C / \ln 2$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha \geq 0$. Therefore, (22) is equivalent to saying that

$$(24) \quad \frac{\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(\lambda x)}{\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(x)} \leq C(1 + o(1)) \lambda^\alpha \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad [\text{resp. as } x \rightarrow 0].$$

It is enough to consider the case where $x \rightarrow \infty$, the arguments for $x \rightarrow 0$ are similar. Since $(2\pi)^n p_t(0) = \int_0^\infty \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(\frac{y}{t}) e^{-y} dy$ we have by monotonicity

$$(2\pi)^n p_t(0) \geq \int_1^\infty \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(yt^{-1}) e^{-y} dy \geq \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1}) \int_1^\infty e^{-y} dy = c_1 \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1})$$

Because of (24),

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n p_t(0) &= \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(yt^{-1}) e^{-y} dy \\ &\leq \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1}) \int_0^1 e^{-y} dy + C \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1}) \int_1^\infty y^\alpha e^{-y} dy \\ &= c_2 \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(t^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 12. The volume doubling property (22) for $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}$ is important: for example, if $\psi(\xi) \sim \ln^2 |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, one can show by the Laplace method, see [Cop], that $c_1 e^{c_2/t} \leq p_t(0) \leq c_3 e^{c_4/t}$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. We refer to [KK10] for more results on transition density estimates in small time.

Remark 13. Let ψ be a characteristic exponent of a Lévy process. It is known that ψ induces via $\rho(x, y) := \sqrt{\text{Re}\psi(x - y)}$ a metric on \mathbb{R}^n , see [Jac1, Lemma 3.6.21]. Define by $B(x, r; \rho) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sqrt{\psi(x - y)} \leq r\}$ a ball of radius r centred at x in the metric ρ . Then $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(r) = m(B(x, \sqrt{r}; \rho))$. This allows the following interpretation of Proposition 11: if the measure of a ball in the metric ρ is regular enough, its behaviour at infinity controls the behaviour of the transition density at zero.

Example 6. Consider the isotropic case, i.e. $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some continuous g , which we assume in addition to be monotone. Under the conditions of Proposition 11 we get for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$

$$c_1 (g^{-1}(1/t))^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq p_t(0) \leq c_2 (g^{-1}(1/t))^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$ (resp., $t \rightarrow \infty$). As an application of Proposition 11 we note that (23) immediately tells us that the asymptotic behaviour of the transition density of the tempered α -stable or truncated α -stable process is

$$c_1 t^{-\frac{n}{\alpha}} \leq p_t(0) \leq c_2 t^{-\frac{n}{\alpha}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, since the real part of the characteristic exponent of a tempered α -stable processes behaves like $\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \sim c|\xi|^\alpha$, see [R07, Theorem 2.9]), we can apply Proposition 10 to get the asymptotic behaviour of $p_t(0)$. For the truncated α -stable process the asymptotic behaviour of $p_t(0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ follows from Proposition 11 and the observation that the characteristic exponent ψ_R behaves like $\psi_R(\xi) \sim |\xi|^\alpha$ if $\psi(\xi) \sim |\xi|^\alpha$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us finally prove a straightforward ratio-limit theorem for Lévy processes. We begin with an approximation result.

Lemma 14. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the characteristic exponent of a Lévy process given by (1). Assume that $e^{-t\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Then the normalized function $\chi_t := e^{-t\psi} / \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}$ satisfies for all $\delta > 0$*

$$(25) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi = 0.$$

Proof. Let $t > t_0$. Then $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ with $p_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t = e^{-t\psi} \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) = \infty$ which means that the following infimum

$$m_\delta := \inf_{|\xi| > \delta} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) > 0, \quad \delta > 0,$$

is attained and strictly positive. Otherwise there would be some ξ_0 with $\psi(\xi_0) = 0$ and ψ would be periodic; in this case we would find for all $\epsilon > 0$ some $h = h_\epsilon$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $e^{-t\psi}|_{B_h(k\xi_0)} > 1 - \epsilon$. This, however would contradict the assumption that $e^{-t\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, since ψ is unbounded, $\nu(B_\delta(0)) = \infty$ for any $\delta > 0$.

Therefore, for all $t > t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |e^{-t\psi(\xi)}| d\xi &= \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-(t-t_0) \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi \\ &\leq e^{-(t-t_0)m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

From the Lévy-Khinchine formula (1) we get for every $R > 0$

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \leq c_R^\psi |\xi|^2 + d_R^\psi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $c_R^\psi \asymp \|Q\| + \int_{|y| \leq R} |y|^2 \nu(dy)$ and $d_R^\psi \asymp \nu(B_R^c(0))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \frac{e^{-tm_\delta} e^{t_0 m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-tm_\delta} e^{t_0 m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t c_R^\psi |\xi|^2} d\xi e^{-t d_R^\psi}} \\ &= (\sqrt{t})^n e^{-t(m_\delta - d_R^\psi)} \frac{e^{t_0 m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-c_R^\psi |\xi|^2} d\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose R so large that $m_\delta > d_R^\psi$ and let $t \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (25). \square

The following result is, in one dimension, due to W. Schenk [Sche75].

Theorem 15. *Let X_t be a Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n with characteristic exponent ψ and with transition semigroup T_t . If $e^{-t\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \geq t_0$, then the following limits exist locally uniformly for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, resp. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$(26) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_t f(x)}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) dz \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

$$(27) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_t f(x)}{T_{s+t} g(y)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z) dz} \quad \text{for all } f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), s \geq 0;$$

$$(28) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_t(x)}{p_t(0)} = 1.$$

Proof. It is clearly enough to prove (26). For $u \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get from Lemma 14 with $\chi_t(\xi) = e^{-t\psi(\xi)} / \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t(\xi) u(\xi) d\xi = u(0).$$

Indeed, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t(\xi) d\xi = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t(\xi) (u(\xi) - u(0)) d\xi \right| &\leq \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| |u(\xi) - u(0)| d\xi + 2 \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi \|u\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sup_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |u(\xi) - u(0)| \cdot \|\chi_t\|_{L^1} + 2 \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi \|u\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (25) the second term vanishes as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ makes the first term tend to zero since u is uniformly continuous.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t > t_0$ we have

$$\frac{T_t f(x)}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} = \frac{1}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} [e^{-t\psi} \mathcal{F} f] (x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \chi_t(\xi) \mathcal{F} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

The above calculation shows for $u(\xi) := e^{ix\xi} \mathcal{F} f(\xi)$ and uniformly for x from compact sets that

$$\frac{T_t f(x)}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} f(0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) dz. \quad \square$$

If we combine (26) of Theorem 15 with Propositions 10, 11 or with Example 6, it is possible to get estimates for the speed of convergence in (26)

REFERENCES

- [BS] Bennett, C. and Sharpley, R.: *Interpolation of operators*. Academic Press, Boston 1988.
- [BF] Berg, C. and Forst, G.: *Potential theory on locally compact Abelian groups*. Springer, Berlin 1975.
- [BGT] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., and Teugles, J.L.: *Regular variation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987.
- [BG61] Blumenthal, R.M. and Gettoor, R.K.: Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary independent increments. *J. Math. Mech.* **10** (1961), 493–516.
- [Boc] Bochner, S.: *Harmonic analysis and the theory of probability*. University of California Press, Berkeley 1955.
- [BK08] Bodnarchuk, S. and Kulik, A.: Conditions for existence and smoothness of the distribution density for an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with Lévy noise. Preprint 2008.
- [Cop] Copson, E.: *Asymptotic expansions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1965.
- [Fel] Feller, W.: *An Introduction to probability theory and its applications (vol. 2)*. Wiley, New York, 1971 (2nd ed).
- [FJS01a] Jacob, N., Farkas, W. and Schilling, R.L.: Feller semigroups, L^p -sub-Markovian semigroups and applications to pseudo-differential operators with negative definite symbols. *Forum Math.* (2001) **13** (2001), 51-90.
- [FJS01b] Jacob, N., Farkas, W. and Schilling, R.L.: Function spaces related to continuous negative definite functions: ψ -Bessel potential spaces. *Dissertationes Mathematicae* (2001) **393** (2001), 1-62.
- [GR] Gradshteyn, I. and Ryzhik, I.: *Tables of integrals, series, and products. Corrected and enlarged edition*. Academic Press, San Diego (CA) 1992 (4th ed.).
- [HW42] Hartman, P. and Wintner, A.: On the infinitesimal generators of integral convolutions. *Am. J. Math.* **64** (1942), 273–298.
- [H79] Hawkes, J.: Potential theory of Levy processes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1979), 335–352.
- [H98] Hoh, W.: A symbolic calculus for pseudo differential operators generating Feller semigroups. *Osaka J. Math.* **35** (1998), 798–820.
- [Hör] Hörmander, L.: *Linear partial differential operators*. Springer, Berlin 1964.
- [Jac1] Jacob, N.: *Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. I. Fourier analysis and semigroups*. Imperial College Press, London 2001.
- [Jac2] Jacob, N.: *Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. II. Generators and their potential theory*. Imperial College Press, London 2002.
- [K81] Kallenberg, O.: Splitting at backward times in regenerative sets. *Ann. Probab.* **9** (1981), 781–799.
- [KK10] Knopova, V. and Kulik, A.: Small time asymptotics of the distribution density for a Lévy process. In preparation.
- [O68] Orey, S.: On continuity properties of infinitely divisible distribution functions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **39** (1968), 936–937.
- [R07] Rosiński, J.: Tempering stable processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **117** (2007), 677–707.
- [Sat] Sato, K.: *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999.
- [Sche75] Schenk, W.: Über das asymptotische Verhalten der Übergangswahrscheinlichkeiten eines stochastischen Prozesses mit stationären und unabhängigen Zuwächsen. *Wiss. Z. TU Dresden* **24** (1975), 945–947.
- [Sto10a] Sztonyk, P.: Estimates of tempered stable densities. *J. Theor. Probab.* **23** (2010), 127–147.
- [Sto10b] Sztonyk, P.: Regularity of harmonic functions for anisotropic fractional Laplacians. *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), 289–311.
- [Tu65] Tucker, H.G.: On a necessary and sufficient condition that an infinitely divisible distribution be absolutely continuous. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **118** (1965), 316–330.
- [VP65] Volevich, L.R. and Paneyach, B.P.: Some spaces of generalized functions and imbedding theorems. *Uspechi Mat. Nauk* **121** (1965), 3–74 (in Russian).

- [W98] Watanabe, T.: Absolute continuity of some semi-selfdecomposable distributions and self-similar measures. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **117** (2000), 387–405.
- [Wen] Wendland, H: *Scattered data approximation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2005.
- [WW] Whittaker, E.T. and Watson, G.N.: *A course of modern analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1958.
- [Z70] Zabczyk, J.: Sur la théorie semi-classique du potentiel pour les processus à accroissements indépendants. *Studia Math.* **35** (1970), 227–247.

A NOTE ON THE EXISTENCE OF TRANSITION PROBABILITY DENSITIES FOR LÉVY PROCESSES

V. KNOPOVA AND R.L. SCHILLING

ABSTRACT. We prove several necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of (smooth) transition probability densities for Lévy processes and isotropic Lévy processes. Under some mild conditions on the characteristic exponent we calculate the asymptotic behaviour of the transition density as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ and show a ratio-limit theorem.

MSC 2010: Primary: 60G51. Secondary: 60E10, 60F99, 60J35.

Key Words: transition probability density; absolute continuity; Hartman-Wintner condition; monotone rearrangement; anisotropic Sobolev spaces; volume doubling.

Publication: This paper has been published in the present version (but with different numbering) in *Forum Mathematicum* **25** (2013) 125–149.

INTRODUCTION

An n -dimensional Lévy process is a stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with values in \mathbb{R}^n , with independent and stationary increments, and with càdlàg (right-continuous, finite left limits) sample paths. It is well known that the transition probability p_t of a Lévy process can be characterized by the inverse Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t(\xi) = \mathbb{E}_x e^{iX_t \cdot \xi} = e^{-t\psi(\xi)}, \quad t > 0, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called the *characteristic exponent* and it is determined by its *Lévy-Khintchine representation*

$$(1) \quad \psi(\xi) = i\ell \cdot \xi + \frac{1}{2} \xi \cdot Q\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - e^{iy \cdot \xi} + \frac{iy \cdot \xi}{1 + |y|^2} \right) \nu(dy);$$

here $\ell = (\ell^1, \dots, \ell^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Q = (q^{jk}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive semi-definite matrix and ν is the Lévy measure, i.e. a measure on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (1 \wedge |y|^2) \nu(dy) < \infty$. We call a Lévy process X *symmetric* if for all $t \geq 0$ the random variables X_t and $-X_t$ have the same distribution. Note that the characteristic exponent of symmetric Lévy processes is real-valued and non-negative.

Many papers are devoted to distributional properties of Lévy processes and to the existence of (necessary and) sufficient conditions under which the transition probability $p_t(dx)$ of a Lévy process is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. The classic paper [12] by Hartman and Wintner gives sufficient conditions in terms of the characteristic exponent ψ under which there exists a transition density $p_t(x)$ of X_t ; these conditions guarantee that $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes

R.L. Schilling: Institut für Mathematische Stochastik, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany, rene.schilling@tu-dresden.de.

V. Knopova: V.M.Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics NAS of Ukraine, 03187, Kiev, Ukraine, vic_knopova@gmx.de.

the set of all continuous functions which vanish at infinity. More precisely, if

$$(HW_\infty) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} = \infty,$$

then $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$, and $p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, if

$$(HW_{1/t}) \quad \liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} > \frac{n}{t},$$

then $p_s(dx) = p_s(x) dx$ for all $s \geq t$ and $p_s \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that the important issue is the speed at which the function ψ tends to infinity. Since $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t = e^{-t\psi}$, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma entails that

$$(2) \quad p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx \text{ for some } t > 0 \implies \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) = \infty,$$

but this necessary condition does not tell anything about the rate of growth of ψ . Hartman and Wintner remark that *the difficulties of the gap between these two conditions*—i.e. (HW_∞) and (2)—*are rather obscure* [12, p. 287]. Tucker [26] provides (complete but rather technical) necessary and sufficient criteria for the existence of a transition density. He mentions that Fourier analytic techniques *are too crude for such a problem* ([26, p. 317]). These two quotations are also the main motivation of our paper: to explain for which densities (HW_∞) is indeed necessary and sufficient and how far we can get with Fourier analytic techniques.

Let us briefly review some of the other known criteria. Hawkes [13] shows that a Lévy process has the strong Feller property—i.e. $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}_x f(X_t)$ is continuous for all bounded measurable functions f —if, and only if, the transition probabilities are absolutely continuous; in this case, the densities $p_t(x)$ are lower semicontinuous. Zabczyk [30] shows that for an isotropic Lévy process X in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, (see below for the precise definition) the following dichotomy holds: either X is a compound Poisson process, or it is absolutely continuous for all $t > 0$ with lower semi-continuous transition density.

Further sufficient conditions in dimension one were found by Kallenberg [18, Section 5]: if

$$(K_\infty) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} y^2 \nu(dy)}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty,$$

then $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$ and $p_t \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$; and if

$$(K_{1/t}) \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} y^2 \nu(dy)}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} > \frac{1}{t},$$

then $p_s(dx) = p_s(x) dx$ for all $s \geq t > 0$ and $p_s \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. For an n -dimensional analogue of (K_∞) and $(K_{1/t})$ we refer to [6]. See also Orey [20] for yet another sufficient condition, as well as the monograph of Sato [22] for more references and results.

The main result of this note is to show for which class of Lévy processes the Hartman-Wintner condition (HW_∞) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a (smooth) transition density $p_t(x)$. For isotropic processes we can express (HW_∞) in terms of the Lévy measure ν . Finally we show that we can, under some mild conditions, express the behaviour of the transition density at zero $p_t(0)$ in terms of the measure of a ball with radius $t^{-1/2}$ in the metric given by the characteristic exponent ψ . An important application of our results is a simple and at the same time sharp estimates for the on-diagonal behaviour or the transition probability density

both as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$, see Proposition 10. These estimates have an interpretation in geometric terms since it is given by the growth of balls related to a metric given by the characteristic exponent of the Lévy process. These estimates extend previously known estimates for anisotropic stable and tempered stable processes, see [25], [21], [24]. In some sense, Theorem 1 sharpens [25, Lemma 3.1] where an upper bound for the gradient of the transition density p_t of an α -stable Lévy process is obtained whose Lévy measure ν is a γ -measure. Although Theorem 1 does not provide a gradient estimate in terms of the particular structure of the Lévy measure, it shows that the gradients of any order are in L_1 .

Notation: We denote by $\mathcal{F}u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx$ the Fourier transform, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}w(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi$ is the inverse Fourier transform or characteristic function. By J_ν and K_ν we denote the (modified) Bessel functions of the first and third kind, cf. [11]. We write $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the continuous functions vanishing at infinity. Throughout this paper we will use the same letter p_t to denote the transition probability $p_t(dx)$ and its density $p_t(x)$ w.r.t. Lebesgue measure. For functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ we write $f \asymp g$ if there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that $cf(x) \leq g(x) \leq Cf(x)$ and we write $f \sim g$ (as $x \rightarrow a$) if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)/g(x) = 1$. All other notation should be standard or self-explanatory.

1. MAIN RESULTS

An n -dimensional (Lévy) process X is called *isotropic*, if for any isometry $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $L(0) = 0$, and all Borel sets $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mathbb{P}_0(X_t \in B) = \mathbb{P}_0(X_t \in LB), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In this case the Lévy exponent is of the form $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some continuous $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. For $n = 1$ the notions of isotropy and symmetry coincide.

For an isotropic process we define $G(r) := -\omega_{n-1} \nu(B(0, r)^c)$, $n \geq 1$, where $\omega_{n-1} = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})$ is a surface volume of the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and Γ is Euler's Gamma function.

We can now state our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let X be an n -dimensional Lévy process, $n \geq 1$, without a Gaussian component. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (HW_∞) ;
- (b) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists, $p_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla^\alpha p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$;
- (c) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t, \nabla p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If X is isotropic such that $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ with an increasing function g , then the above conditions are equivalent to

- (d) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (e) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (f) $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 2. *Let X be an isotropic Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with characteristic exponent $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$. We assume that X has no Gaussian component. Then (HW_∞) is equivalent to*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(B(0, \varepsilon)^c)}{|\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty.$$

Before we proceed with the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 we add a few remarks and give some examples.

Example 1. Since the existence and smoothness of a transition density is a time-dependent property, cf. [22], the specification ‘for all $t > 0$ ’ is essential in Theorem 1. A simple counterexample in dimension $n = 1$ is the Gamma process, that is the Lévy process with transition density

$$p_t(x) = \frac{x^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)} e^{-x}, \quad t > 0, x > 0.$$

It is not hard to see that its characteristic exponent is

$$\psi(\xi) = \ln(1 + i\xi)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \xi^2) + i \arctan(\xi).$$

The two-sided (i.e. symmetrized) Gamma process whose transition density is $q_t := p_t * \tilde{p}_t$, $\tilde{p}_t(x) = p_t(-x)$, has

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\xi) = \ln(1 + \xi^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} e^{-s} e^{-|x|^2/(4s)} \frac{ds}{s} \right) dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) \frac{\exp(-|x|)}{\sqrt{|x|}} dx \end{aligned}$$

as characteristic exponent; this follows from a combination of [2, 9.23.4, 10.3] and [11, 8.437, p. 959].

Note that p_t is for $t > 1$ continuous and vanishes at infinity, while for $t = 1$ the density is bounded and Borel measurable, while for $t \in (1/q, 1)$ the density has a pole at $x = 0$ but is still contained in L_p , p, q being conjugate: $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. A similar picture is true for the density of the symmetrized process $q_t(x)$ which is given by $\Gamma(t)^{-1} \pi^{-1/2} (|x|/2)^{t-1/2} K_{t-1/2}(|x|)$ for $t > 1/2$, cf. [11, 17.344, p. 1151].

The following example explores the differences between the conditions (HW_∞) and (K_∞) .

Example 2. Let $n = 1$. (K_∞) implies (HW_∞) , but (HW_∞) does not imply (K_∞) . Assume that $\ell = 0, Q = 0$ and $\nu(dy) = \frac{1}{|y|} \ln \frac{1}{|y|} \mathbb{1}_{B(0,1)}(y) dy$ in (1). After some straightforward calculations we obtain that the related characteristic exponent behaves like $\psi(\xi) \sim \ln^2 |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ which implies (HW_∞) . But

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2 \int_0^\varepsilon y \ln \frac{1}{y} dy}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{-2\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon}{-2\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon - \varepsilon} = 1,$$

i.e. we have only $(K_{1/t})$ for some $t > 0$, but not (K_∞) .

The next example shows that the condition $n \geq 2$ in [30] is essential: for $n = 1$ one can construct symmetric (hence, isotropic!) but continuous singular processes; the example shows also that the characteristic exponents can oscillate as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$.

Example 3. Fix any $\lambda \in (0, 2)$ and choose $M = M(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M > \frac{2}{2-\lambda}$. It is not hard to see that

$$(4) \quad \nu(dx) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} (\delta_{2^{-M^j}}(dx) + \delta_{-2^{-M^j}}(dx))$$

is a Lévy measure. The corresponding characteristic exponent is of the form

$$\psi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(x\xi)) \nu(dx) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} \left(1 - \cos\left(2^{-M^j} \xi\right)\right).$$

This function enjoys the following properties:

$$(5) \quad \liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = 0;$$

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{|\xi|^{\lambda - \epsilon}} = \infty \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0;$$

$$(7) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{|\xi|^{\lambda + \epsilon}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

The corresponding transition probability $p_t(dx)$ is not absolutely continuous, otherwise we would have by [12] $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = \infty$. Hence by [12], see also [22, Theorem 27.16], $p_t(dx)$ is continuous singular.

Indeed: We will need the following elementary inequalities

$$(8) \quad \frac{t^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{12}\right)^+ \leq 1 - \cos(t) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}$$

and

$$(9) \quad 1 - \cos(t) \leq 2^{1-\lambda} |t|^\lambda.$$

Consider the sequence $2\pi 2^{M^k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2\pi 2^{M^k} = \infty$ and $2^{M^k} 2^{-M^j} \in \mathbb{N}$ for $j \leq k$. Using (8) and the fact that $\lambda < 2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(2\pi 2^{M^k}\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} \left(1 - \cos\left(2\pi 2^{M^k} 2^{-M^j}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j>k} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} \left(1 - \cos\left(2\pi 2^{M^k} 2^{-M^j}\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2\pi^2 \sum_{j>k} 2^{(\lambda-2)M^j - j} 2^{2M^k} \\ &\leq 2\pi^2 \sum_{j>k} 2^{(\lambda-2)M^{k+1} - j} 2^{2M^k} \\ &= 2\pi^2 2^{(M\lambda - 2M + 2)M^k} \sum_{j>k} 2^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of $M = M(\lambda)$ we have $M\lambda - 2M + 2 \leq 0$, thus $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(2\pi 2^{M^k}\right) = 0$, and (5) follows.

Using (9) we find for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\xi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} \left(1 - \cos\left(2^{-M^j} \xi\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2^{1-\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} 2^{-\lambda M^j} |\xi|^\lambda \\ &= 2^{1-\lambda} |\xi|^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (7). For $\epsilon > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have by (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{|\xi|^{\lambda-\epsilon}} &= |\xi|^{-\lambda+\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda M^j - j} \left(1 - \cos\left(2^{-M^j} \xi\right)\right) \\ &\geq |\xi|^{-\lambda+\epsilon} 2^{\lambda M^k - k} \left(1 - \cos\left(2^{-M^k} \xi\right)\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} |\xi|^{2-\lambda+\epsilon} 2^{(\lambda-2)M^k - k} \left(1 - \frac{\xi^2}{12} 2^{-2M^k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\xi_k := 2^{M^k}$ this gives

$$\frac{\psi(\xi_k)}{|\xi_k|^{\lambda-\epsilon}} \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{\epsilon M^k - k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12}\right) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \infty$$

and (6) follows.

Lemma 3 combined with Zabczyk's result [30] indicates that (HW_∞) is indeed not necessary for the existence of a C_∞ -transition density.

Lemma 3. *Let X be an isotropic Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$(10) \quad p_{t/2}(x) \text{ exists and } p_{t/2} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} > \frac{n}{t}.$$

Proof. Note that the left-hand side of (10) implies $\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L_1} < \infty$. Indeed, if $p_{t/2} \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $p_{t/2} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_{t/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This shows that $|e^{-t\psi}| = |e^{-\frac{t}{2}\psi}|^2 \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since X is isotropic, $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some continuous function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L_1} &= \int_0^\infty m \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(\xi) \leq -\frac{\ln s}{t} \right\} ds \\ (11) \quad &= t \int_0^\infty m \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(|\xi|^2) \leq x \right\} e^{-tx} dx \\ &\geq tc_n \int_0^\infty (g^{-1}(x))^{n/2} e^{-tx} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $g^{-1}(x) := \inf\{u : g(u) \geq x\}$ and m denotes Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n . The left-hand side of (11) is finite and we conclude that $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tx/n} = 0$.

By the very definition of the generalized inverse we get $g^{-1}(g(x)) \leq x \leq g(g^{-1}(x))$. Therefore,

$$(12) \quad g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tx/n} \geq g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tg(g^{-1}(x))/n} \geq 0.$$

Since the transition density exists, it is an easy consequence of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi) = \infty$, cf. (2). Therefore, g and g^{-1} are onto. If we combine this fact with the inequality (12), we see that $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} g^{-1}(x)e^{-2tx/n} = 0$ entails $\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} ue^{-2tg(u)/n} = 0$. Consequently,

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{2tg(u)}{n \ln u}\right) \ln u < 0,$$

implying $\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} g(u^2)/\ln u > n/t$. \square

A small modification of Example 3 shows that we can have a density for all $t > 0$ even if (HW_∞) fails.

Example 4. Let $\psi(\xi)$ be the characteristic exponent constructed in Example 3 and observe that $\psi_1(\xi) := \psi(\xi) + \ln(1 + |\xi|^2)$ is again a characteristic exponent of a Lévy process Y . Since the Lévy process corresponding to $\log(1 + |\xi|^2)$ has a density for all $t > 0$, cf. Example 1, we infer that all Y_t are absolutely continuous.

On the other hand,

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_1(\xi)}{\ln |\xi|} = \infty \quad \text{while} \quad \liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_1(\xi)}{\ln |\xi|} = 2.$$

2. PROOFS

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. In a first step, Lemma 4 below, we show that (HW_∞) is also a sufficient condition for the existence of a $C_b^\infty \cap C_\infty$ density.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that (HW_∞) holds true. Then $p_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\nabla^\alpha p_t \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.*

Proof. Observe that (HW_∞) implies $\frac{\text{Re } \psi(\xi)}{\ln |\xi|} > c$ for any $c > 0$ and all ξ with $|\xi| \geq |\xi_0(c)|$. For every $t > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ we can achieve that $tc - k > n$ and that for $|\xi|$ large enough we get

$$|\xi|^k \exp(-t \text{Re } \psi(\xi)) = \exp\left(-\ln |\xi| \left[t \frac{\text{Re } \psi(\xi)}{\ln |\xi|} - k\right]\right) \leq \exp(-(tc - k) \ln |\xi|).$$

This shows

$$|\xi|^k e^{-t\psi(\xi)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1,$$

which means that

$$\nabla^\alpha p_t \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

where $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the L_2 -Sobolev space of order k . □

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) \Rightarrow (b): We decompose the characteristic exponent into a sum, $\psi = \psi_1 + \tilde{\psi}_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(\xi) &= \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} \left(1 - e^{iy \cdot \xi} + iy \cdot \xi\right) \nu(dy) \\ \tilde{\psi}_1(\xi) &= \int_{|y| > 1} \left(1 - e^{iy \cdot \xi} + \frac{iy \cdot \xi}{1 + |y|^2}\right) \nu(dy) + i \left(\ell \cdot \xi - \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} \frac{y \cdot \xi |y|^2}{1 + |y|^2} \nu(dy)\right). \end{aligned}$$

By construction, ψ_1 and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ are characteristic exponents of two independent Lévy processes. Denote their transition probabilities by $p_{1,t}$ and $\tilde{p}_{1,t}$, respectively. Because of independence, $p_t = p_{1,t} * \tilde{p}_{1,t}$. Moreover, ψ_1 is infinitely often differentiable; for any multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$(13) \quad \nabla^\alpha \psi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} i \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} (1 - e^{iy \cdot \xi}) y_\ell \nu(dy), & \alpha = e_\ell; \\ i^{|\alpha| - 2} \int_{0 < |y| \leq 1} e^{iy \cdot \xi} y^\alpha \nu(dy), & |\alpha| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

(As usual, $y^\alpha := \prod_{j=1}^n y_j^{\alpha_j}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$) This shows, in particular, that all derivatives of ψ_1 are polynomially bounded. Since $\text{Re } \tilde{\psi}_1$ is bounded,

$$\text{Re } \psi_1(\xi) \leq \text{Re } \psi(\xi) \leq \text{Re } \psi_1(\xi) + \|\text{Re } \tilde{\psi}_1\|_\infty,$$

indicating that (HW_∞) holds for ψ if, and only if, (HW_∞) holds for ψ_1 .

By the chain rule, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ there is a polynomial P such that

$$\nabla^\alpha e^{-t\psi_1(\xi)} = (-t)^{|\alpha|} P(\psi_1, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi_1) e^{-t\psi_1};$$

since ψ_1 and all of its derivatives are polynomially bounded, (HW_∞) implies that $\sup_\xi |\xi^\beta \nabla^\alpha e^{-t\psi_1(\xi)}| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,t} < \infty$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Therefore, $\exp(-t\psi_1) \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions. Thus,

$$p_{1,t}(x) = \mathcal{F}e^{-t\psi_1}(x),$$

i.e. $p_{1,t}(dx) = p_{1,t}(x) dx$ with a density from $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The identity $p_t = p_{1,t} * \tilde{p}_{1,t}$ shows that $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ with a C^∞ -density which satisfies

$$\nabla^\alpha p_t(x) = (\nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}) * \tilde{p}_{1,t}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}(x-y) \tilde{p}_{1,t}(dy).$$

Using Fubini's theorem, and the fact that $\tilde{p}_{1,t}$ is a probability measure, yields

$$\|\nabla^\alpha p_t\|_{L_1} \leq \iint |\nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}(x-y)| dx \tilde{p}_{1,t}(dy) = \|\nabla^\alpha p_{1,t}\|_{L_1}.$$

That p_t and $\nabla^\alpha p_t$ are in C_∞ follows from Lemma 4.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Since $\nabla p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma shows that

$$|\xi| e^{-t\psi(\xi)} = \exp\left(-\ln|\xi| \left[\frac{\psi(\xi)}{\frac{1}{t} \ln|\xi|} - 1\right]\right) \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $t > 0$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ implies (HW_∞) .

From now on we assume that X is isotropic with $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ and with an increasing function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e): This follows from the above statements.

(e) \Rightarrow (f): By assumption, $p_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$. In particular $p_t \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, by Plancherel's theorem, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t = e^{-t\psi} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since this holds for all $t > 0$, we see for $t = 2s$ that $e^{-s\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $s > 0$.

(f) \Rightarrow (a): Since $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1$, we get $(2\pi)^n p_t(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} d\xi < \infty$. Introducing polar coordinates and integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-tg(|\xi|^2)} d\xi \\ &= \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-tg(r^2)} r^{n-1} dr \\ &\geq \omega_{n-1} \int_1^\infty e^{-tg(r^2)} r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tg(s^2)} s^n - e^{-tg(1)} - \int_1^\infty r^n dr e^{-tg(r^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \mapsto e^{-tg(r^2)}$ is decreasing, the integral appearing in the last line is negative and the calculation shows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tg(s^2)} s^n$ is finite. Therefore, $e^{-tg(r^2)} \leq c_t r^{-n}$ for all $r > 1$ and with some suitable constant $c_t < \infty$. Then

$$\frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln|\xi|} = \frac{g(|\xi|^2)}{\ln|\xi|} \geq \frac{n}{t} - \frac{\ln c_t}{t \ln|\xi|}, \quad |\xi| > 1,$$

implying

$$\liminf_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(\xi)}{\ln |\xi|} \geq \frac{n}{t}.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we get (HW_∞) . \square

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Recall that the Bessel function of the first kind, $J_\nu(z)$, is defined by

$$(14) \quad J_\nu(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n + \nu + 1)n!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+\nu}, \quad \nu, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Recall that $G(r) = -\omega_{n-1}\nu(B(0, r)^c)$, $\omega_{n-1} = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})$. Switching to polar coordinates in the Lévy-Khintchine formula (1), we get

$$(15) \quad g(u^2) = \int_0^\infty (1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(ur)) dG(r), \quad n \geq 1,$$

cf. [5, p. 99], where $H_\nu(r) := 2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r)$. Note that $H_\nu(0) = 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$ (which will be determined later) we split the integral expression appearing in (15) into two parts and get

$$\frac{g(\varepsilon^{-2})}{|\ln \varepsilon|} = \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\int_0^{\gamma\varepsilon} + \int_{\gamma\varepsilon}^\infty \right) (1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r\varepsilon^{-1})) dG(r) =: I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon).$$

Note that both I_1 and I_2 are nonnegative. By (14),

$$r^{-\nu} J_\nu(r) = \frac{1}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left[1 - \frac{r^2}{4(\nu + 1)} + o(r^2) \right] \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

implying that there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 r^2 \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r) \leq c_2 r^2 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq r \leq \gamma,$$

which gives

$$c_1 \int_0^{\varepsilon\gamma} \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} dG(r) \leq I_1(\varepsilon) \leq c_2 \int_0^{\varepsilon\gamma} \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} dG(r).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_1(\varepsilon) = \infty \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2 |\ln \varepsilon|} dG(r) = \infty.$$

Consider the second term. From [29, pp. 359, 368] we know that

$$H_\nu(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{z^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}} \cos\left(z - \frac{\pi\nu}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$(16) \quad 1 - \frac{C_1}{r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r) \leq 1 + \frac{C_2}{r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \quad \text{for all } r \geq \gamma.$$

If we replace in (16) r by $r\varepsilon^{-1}$ we get

$$1 - C_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r\varepsilon^{-1}) \leq 1 + C_2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}};$$

for $r > \gamma\varepsilon$ with a sufficiently large constant γ (depending only on C_1, C_2 and n), we get new constants $0 < C_3, C_4 < \infty$ such that

$$0 < C_3 \leq 1 - H_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r\varepsilon^{-1}) \leq C_4 \quad \text{for all } r \geq \gamma\varepsilon.$$

Integrating this expression over $[\gamma\varepsilon, \infty)$ w.r.t. $dG(r)$ reveals that $\frac{|G(\gamma\varepsilon)|}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \asymp I_2(\varepsilon)$. This shows

$$(17) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_2(\varepsilon) = \infty \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|G(\varepsilon)|}{|\ln \varepsilon|} = \infty.$$

From (17) we see that (3) implies (HW_∞) .

Conversely, assume that (3) fails, but that (HW_∞) holds. In this case there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_k > 0$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{G(\varepsilon_k)}{\ln \varepsilon_k} \right| < \infty.$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^\varepsilon r^2 dG(r) = \varepsilon^2 G(\varepsilon) - 2 \int_0^\varepsilon r G(r) dr.$$

By l'Hospital's rule we see

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \int_0^{\varepsilon_k} r G(r) dr}{\varepsilon_k^2 \ln \varepsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\varepsilon_k G(\varepsilon_k)}{2\varepsilon_k \ln \varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2G(\varepsilon_k)}{2 \ln \varepsilon_k + 1}.$$

Since the latter is finite, this shows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k^2 G(\varepsilon_k) - 2 \int_0^{\varepsilon_k} r G(r) dr}{\varepsilon_k^2 |\ln \varepsilon_k|} = 0$$

and, in particular, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_1(\varepsilon_k) = 0$. By construction, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_2(\varepsilon_k) < \infty$ and, therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\varepsilon_k^{-2})}{|\ln \varepsilon_k|} < \infty,$$

i.e. (HW_∞) fails and we have reached a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

3. EXTENSIONS

Let us give two generalizations of Theorem 1. For this we need to recall the notions of de- and increasing rearrangements of a function. Our standard reference is the monograph [1]. Let u be a real-valued measurable function defined on a measurable subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. As usual, $\mu_u(t) := m\{\xi \in B : |u(\xi)| > t\}$ (m is Lebesgue measure) is the *distribution function* of u and

$$u^*(s) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \mu_u(t) \leq s\} = \sup\{t \geq 0 : \mu_u(t) > s\}$$

is called the *decreasing rearrangement* of u . Note that $u^* : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is decreasing and that u^* is the generalized right-continuous inverse of μ_u . Analogously one can define an increasing rearrangement u_* of a function u .

An important property of decreasing rearrangements is that u and u^* have the same distribution function, and therefore

$$(18) \quad \int_B f(u(\xi)) d\xi = \int_0^\infty f(u^*(s)) ds \quad \text{for all measurable } f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let X be a Lévy process with characteristic exponent ψ . Set $u(\xi) := e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)}$ and denote by

$$(19) \quad \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(s) := m\{\xi : \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \leq s\}.$$

Then we find for the decreasing rearrangement of the function u that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^*(s) &= \inf \{ \tau \geq 0 : m\{\xi : u(\xi) > \tau\} < s \} \\
 (20) \quad &= \inf \{ \tau \geq 0 : \nu_{\text{Re}\psi}(-t^{-1} \ln \tau) < s \} \\
 &= \exp(-t\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}^{-1}(s)), \quad s > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}^{-1}$ is the generalized right-continuous inverse of $\nu_{\text{Re}\psi}$, i.e. the increasing rearrangement $(\text{Re}\psi)_*$ of $\text{Re}\psi$. In particular, $(\text{Re}\psi)_*$ is increasing. This allows to apply the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 to find a necessary and sufficient criterion when $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is worth noticing that the increasing rearrangement has an geometric meaning since it is the inverse volume growth function of metric balls of the form $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : d_\psi(\xi, 0) \leq r\}$ with the intrinsic metric $d_\psi(\xi, \eta) := \sqrt{\psi(\xi - \eta)}$ —see also Lemma 8 and Proposition 10 below.

Proposition 5. *Let X be an n -dimensional Lévy process with characteristic exponent ψ . Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (a) $e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$;
- (b) $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$, and $p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (c) $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ for all $t > 0$, and $p_t \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (d) *The increasing rearrangement $(\text{Re}\psi)_*$ satisfies the following Hartman-Wintner-type condition:*

$$(\text{HW}_\infty^*) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\text{Re}\psi)_*(\xi)}{\ln(1 + |\xi|)} = \infty.$$

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma we see that $p_t = \mathcal{F}e^{-t\psi} \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$; since p_t is the density of a probability measure, $p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is automatically satisfied.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Since p_t is a transition density, $p_{t/2} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which implies

$$e^{-(t/2)\psi} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}p_{t/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies |e^{-t\psi}| \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies e^{-t\psi} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

(d) \Rightarrow (a): This follows from (18) and (20).

(a) \Rightarrow (d): This follows from (18), (20) and the proof of (f) \Rightarrow (a) in Theorem 1. \square

The conditions (HW_∞) and $(\text{HW}_{1/t})$ can be seen as comparison conditions: indeed we compare the growth rates (as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$) of the the characteristic exponent ψ of the Lévy process X with the logarithm of the characteristic exponent of the symmetric Cauchy process. It is, therefore, a natural question how one can generalize Theorem 1. Consider the following Hartman-Wintner-type condition

$$(\text{HW}_\infty^\phi) \quad \lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Re}\psi(\xi)}{\ln(1 + \phi(\xi))} = \infty$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic exponent of a further Lévy process. For $\phi(\xi) = |\xi|$ and the corresponding Cauchy process (HW_∞^ϕ) and (HW_∞) coincide. We are interested in the question which properties of ϕ imply that the Lévy process X with characteristic exponent ψ admits a transition density p_t such that $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For this we need to introduce a class of function spaces. Let ϕ be a real-valued characteristic exponent of a Lévy process. Then $w = 1 + \phi$ is a temperate weight

function in the sense of [15] and it is possible to define the following class of *anisotropic L_2 -Bessel potential spaces*

$$H_2^{\phi, \kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + \phi)^{\kappa/2} \mathcal{F}u] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad \kappa > 0,$$

see [9, 10] for the general L_p -theory. For $p = 2$ similar spaces were introduced by Hörmander [15] and, independently, by Volevich and Paneyah [27] in order to study regularity properties of certain partial differential equations. For these spaces, the condition

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + \phi)^{-\kappa/2}] \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad (1 + \phi)^{-\kappa/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is necessary and sufficient for the following Sobolev embedding

$$(22) \quad H_2^{\phi, \kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see e.g. [10, Theorem 2.3.4].

In order to formulate the next theorem we need the notion of a Fourier integral resp. pseudodifferential operator: Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be polynomially bounded. Then

$$\Psi(D)u(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\Psi \cdot \mathcal{F}u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \Psi(\xi) \cdot \mathcal{F}u(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

defines a linear operator with *symbol* Ψ . If $\Psi(\xi)$ is a polynomial, $\Psi(D)$ is a differential operator, e.g. the symbol $\Psi(\xi) = \xi$ corresponds to the operator $D = -i\nabla$.

Theorem 6. *Let X be an n -dimensional Lévy process, $n \geq 1$, with characteristic exponent ψ having no Gaussian part and let ϕ be the characteristic exponent of a symmetric Lévy process. If (21) holds for some $\kappa > 0$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (HW_∞^ϕ) ;
- (b) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists, $p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi(D)^m p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) for all $t > 0$ the transition density exists and $p_t, \phi(D)p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Denote by ν and μ the Lévy measures of ψ and ϕ , respectively. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we write ψ_1 and ϕ_1 for the characteristic exponents which we obtain from $\text{Re } \psi$ and ϕ by restricting the respective Lévy measures to the unit ball: $\nu \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < |y| \leq 1\}} \nu(dy)$ and $\mu \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < |y| \leq 1\}} \mu(dy)$. Then we see that ψ_1 and ϕ_1 are infinitely often differentiable and

$$\psi_1(\xi) \leq \text{Re } \psi(\xi) \leq c(\psi_1(\xi) + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1(\xi) \leq \phi(\xi) \leq c(\phi_1(\xi) + 1)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some constant c . Thus, (HW_∞^ϕ) holds for ψ if, and only if, $(\text{HW}_\infty^{\phi_1})$ holds for ψ_1 . Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Without loss of generality we may assume that ψ is real-valued. By the chain rule

$$\nabla^\alpha e^{-t\psi} = (-t)^{|\alpha|} P(\psi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi) e^{-t\psi}$$

where $P(\psi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi)$ is a polynomial of degree less or equal than $|\alpha|$ depending on the derivatives of ψ of order $\beta \leq \alpha$. Using (13) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality it is not hard to see that

$$|\nabla^\alpha \psi_1(\xi)| \leq c_{|\alpha|} \begin{cases} |\psi(\xi)|, & |\alpha| = 0 \\ \sqrt{\text{Re } \psi(\xi)}, & |\alpha| = 1, \\ 1, & |\alpha| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

For real-valued ψ this observation is due to Hoh [14]. The same is true for the exponent ϕ . Therefore, $\xi \mapsto \nabla^\alpha e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$ is bounded for all $t > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Combining this with (HW_∞^ϕ) , we find suitable polynomials Q, R of degree less or equal than $|\alpha| + m$ such that for all $\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |(1 + \phi)^\kappa \nabla_\xi^\alpha [(1 + \phi)^m e^{-t\psi}](\xi)| &= \left| \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_\beta \nabla^\beta (1 + \phi)^m \nabla^{\alpha-\beta} e^{-t\psi} \right| \\ &\leq c_t Q(\phi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \phi) R(\psi, \dots, \nabla^\alpha \psi) e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Because of (21),

$$\nabla_\xi^\alpha [(1 + \phi)^m e^{-t\psi}](\xi) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

From the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma we get

$$|x|^k (1 + \phi(D))^m p_t(x) \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for all $k, m \geq 0$. Choosing $k > n$ we can divide by $(1 + |x|^k)$ and find, in particular, $(1 + \phi(D))^m p_t(x) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): This is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): This follows as in the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 7. (a) If we choose $\phi = \operatorname{Re} \psi$ in Theorem 6, (HW_∞^ϕ) holds if, and only if, $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) = \infty$. In this case the statement

(i) (21) holds for $\phi = \operatorname{Re} \psi$ and some $\kappa > 0$, i.e. $(1 + \operatorname{Re} \psi)^{-\kappa/2} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

always implies

(ii) for all $t > 0$ we have $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ with $p_t \in \bigcap_{r>0} H_2^{\operatorname{Re} \psi, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(D)^m p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $m \geq 0$.

This follows immediately from Theorem 6, (21) and (22).

We cannot expect the converse to be true. Even if (HW_∞^ϕ) holds true, the condition $\phi^m(D)p_t \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not imply (21). To see this, consider the function ψ from Example 2. In this example $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(\xi) \sim \ln^2 |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\phi(\xi) := \ln(1 + \psi(\xi))$. Since ψ and ϕ are infinitely often differentiable and, by (HW_∞) , the function $\nabla^\alpha [\phi(\xi) e^{-t\psi(\xi)}]$ is bounded for any $m, \alpha \geq 1$, we have $\phi \cdot e^{-t\psi} \in S(\mathbb{R})$, implying

$$\phi^m(D)p_t \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

But since $\phi(\xi) \sim \ln \ln |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, the condition (21) does not hold for any κ .

(b) Theorem 2 has also an obvious generalization: if we replace in the statement (HW_∞) by (HW_∞^ϕ) , then we have to change $|\ln \varepsilon|$ to $\ln \phi(1/\varepsilon)$ in (3). The proof should be fairly obvious.

The proof of Theorem 6 shows that the embedding condition (21) is important to assure that p_t and $\phi(D)^m p_t$ are continuous functions. Let us briefly discuss the meaning of (21).

Lemma 8. *Let ϕ be a real-valued characteristic exponent of some n -dimensional Lévy process such that $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\xi) = \infty$. Then (21) is equivalent to*

$$(21') \quad \nu_\phi(x) := m(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \phi(\xi) \leq x) \leq c x^\lambda \quad \text{for all } x \geq 1$$

where $c, \lambda > 0$ are suitable constants.

The condition (21'), in turn, is implied by the following volume-doubling condition

$$(23) \quad \frac{\nu_\phi(2x)}{\nu_\phi(x)} \leq C \quad \text{for some constant } C > 0 \text{ and all } x \geq 1.$$

Proof. Recall that $\phi_*(x) = \nu_\phi^{-1}(x)$ is the increasing rearrangement of the function ϕ . Therefore,

$$(24) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + \phi(\xi))^\kappa} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(1 + \phi_*(x))^\kappa} = \kappa \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{\kappa+1}} \nu_\phi(x) dx;$$

the last equality follows from integration by parts.

If (21) holds, the above integrals are finite. Since ϕ_* is increasing, the usual Abelian trick (cf. the proof of (f) \Rightarrow (a) in Theorem 1) guarantees that

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \phi_*(x))^\kappa} \leq \frac{c}{1 + x} \implies \frac{1}{(1 + y)^\kappa} \leq \frac{c}{1 + \nu_\phi(y)}$$

which gives (21').

Conversely, if (21') holds, the second equality in (24) shows that the integrals are finite as soon as $\kappa > \lambda$. This gives (21).

Assume finally that (23) holds. Fix $x \geq 1$ and set $k := \lceil \ln x / \ln 2 \rceil$. Since ν_ϕ is increasing, we find

$$\nu_\phi(x) \leq \nu_\phi(2^{k+1}) \leq C^{k+1} \nu_\phi(1) = C x^\lambda \nu_\phi(1)$$

with $\lambda = \ln C / \ln 2$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\lambda > 0$, and (23) follows. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

The integral representation (11) allows to determine in some cases the asymptotic behaviour of $p_t(0)$ in terms of the Lévy exponent ψ . In what follows we do not assume isotropy.

Write $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x) := m \{ \xi : \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \leq x \}$ for the distribution function (19) of $\operatorname{Re} \psi$. Then

$$(2\pi)^n p_t(0) = \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L_1} = \|e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi}\|_{L_1} = t \int_0^\infty \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x) e^{-tx} dx.$$

The following proposition below is essentially Theorem 4 from [8, Chapter XIII.5].

Proposition 9. *Suppose that $(\operatorname{HW}_\infty)$ holds true and $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x) \sim x^{\rho-1} L(x)$, $1 < \rho < \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$ [resp. as $x \rightarrow 0$] where $L(x)$ is a function which is slowly varying at infinity [resp. at zero]. Then*

$$p_t(0) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\rho)}{t^{\rho-1}} L(t^{-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad [\text{resp. as } t \rightarrow \infty].$$

The above statement can be generalized to the case when $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}$ is of bounded increase.

Proposition 10. *Suppose that $(\operatorname{HW}_\infty)$ holds true and the function $\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x)$ satisfies for $\lambda \geq 1$ the following volume doubling property:*

$$(25) \quad \frac{\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(2x)}{\nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(x)} \leq C \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad [\text{resp. as } x \rightarrow 0]$$

for some constant $C < \infty$. Then

$$(26) \quad c_1 \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(t^{-1}) \leq p_t(0) \leq c_2 \nu_{\operatorname{Re} \psi}(t^{-1}) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow 0 \quad [\text{resp. for } t \rightarrow \infty].$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda \geq 1$ and set $k := \lceil \ln \lambda / \ln 2 \rceil$. Since $\nu_{\text{Re } \psi}$ is increasing, we find

$$\nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(\lambda x) \leq \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(2^{k+1}x) \leq C^{k+1} \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(x) = C \lambda^\alpha \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(x)$$

with $\alpha = \ln C / \ln 2$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha \geq 0$. Therefore, (25) is equivalent to saying that

$$(27) \quad \frac{\nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(\lambda x)}{\nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(x)} \leq C(1 + o(1)) \lambda^\alpha \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad [\text{resp. as } x \rightarrow 0].$$

It is enough to consider the case where $x \rightarrow \infty$, the arguments for $x \rightarrow 0$ are similar. Since $(2\pi)^n p_t(0) = \int_0^\infty \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(\frac{y}{t}) e^{-y} dy$ we have by monotonicity

$$(2\pi)^n p_t(0) \geq \int_1^\infty \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(yt^{-1}) e^{-y} dy \geq \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(t^{-1}) \int_1^\infty e^{-y} dy = c_1 \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(t^{-1})$$

Because of (27),

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n p_t(0) &= \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(yt^{-1}) e^{-y} dy \\ &\leq \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(t^{-1}) \int_0^1 e^{-y} dy + C \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(t^{-1}) \int_1^\infty y^\alpha e^{-y} dy \\ &= c_2 \nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(t^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 11. The volume doubling property (25) for $\nu_{\text{Re } \psi}$ is important: for example, if $\psi(\xi) \sim \ln^2 |\xi|$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, one can show by the Laplace method, see [7], that $c_1 e^{c_2/t} \leq p_t(0) \leq c_3 e^{c_4/t}$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. We refer to [19] for more results on transition density estimates in small time.

Remark 12. Let ψ be a characteristic exponent of a Lévy process. It is known that ψ induces via $\rho(x, y) := \sqrt{\text{Re } \psi(x - y)}$ a metric on \mathbb{R}^n , see [16, Lemma 3.6.21]. Define by $B(x, r; \rho) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sqrt{\text{Re } \psi(x - y)} \leq r\}$ a ball of radius r centred at x in the metric ρ . Then $\nu_{\text{Re } \psi}(r) = m(B(x, \sqrt{r}; \rho))$. This allows the following interpretation of Proposition 10: if the measure of a ball in the metric ρ is regular enough, its behaviour at infinity controls the behaviour of the transition density at zero.

Example 5. Consider the isotropic case, i.e. $\psi(\xi) = g(|\xi|^2)$ for some continuous g , which we assume in addition to be monotone. Under the conditions of Proposition 10 we get for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$

$$c_1 (g^{-1}(1/t))^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq p_t(0) \leq c_2 (g^{-1}(1/t))^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$ (resp., $t \rightarrow \infty$). As an application of Proposition 10 we note that (26) immediately tells us that the asymptotic behaviour of the transition density of the tempered α -stable or truncated α -stable process is

$$c_1 t^{-\frac{n}{\alpha}} \leq p_t(0) \leq c_2 t^{-\frac{n}{\alpha}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, since the real part of the characteristic exponent of a tempered α -stable processes behaves like $\text{Re } \psi(\xi) \sim c|\xi|^\alpha$, see [21, Theorem 2.9]), we can apply Proposition 9 to get the asymptotic behaviour of $p_t(0)$. For the truncated α -stable process the asymptotic behaviour of $p_t(0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ follows from Proposition 10 and the observation that the characteristic exponent ψ_R behaves like $\psi_R(\xi) \sim |\xi|^\alpha$ if $\psi(\xi) \sim |\xi|^\alpha$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us finally prove a straightforward ratio-limit theorem for Lévy processes. We begin with an approximation result.

Lemma 13. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the characteristic exponent of a Lévy process given by (1). Assume that $e^{-t\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Then the normalized function $\chi_t := e^{-t\psi} / \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}$ satisfies for all $\delta > 0$*

$$(28) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi = 0.$$

Proof. Let $t > t_0$. Then $p_t(dx) = p_t(x) dx$ with $p_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}p_t = e^{-t\psi} \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) = \infty$ which means that the following infimum

$$m_\delta := \inf_{|\xi| > \delta} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) > 0, \quad \delta > 0,$$

is attained and strictly positive. Otherwise there would be some $\xi_0 \neq 0$ with $\psi(\xi_0) = 0$ and ψ would be periodic; this follows at once from an inequality for the characteristic exponent ψ of a Lévy process

$$|\psi(\xi) + \overline{\psi(\eta)} - \psi(\xi - \eta)|^2 \leq 4|\psi(\xi)| |\psi(\eta)| \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

cf. Jacob [16, Lemma 3.6.21] or Berg and Forst [2, p. 46, proof of Lemma 7.15]. In this case we would find for all $\epsilon > 0$ some $h = h_\epsilon$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $e^{-t\psi}|_{B_h(k\xi_0)} > 1 - \epsilon$.

This, however would contradict the assumption that $e^{-t\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, since ψ is unbounded, $\nu(B_\delta(0)) = \infty$ for any $\delta > 0$.

Therefore, for all $t > t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |e^{-t\psi(\xi)}| d\xi &= \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-(t-t_0) \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi \\ &\leq e^{-(t-t_0)m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

From the Lévy-Khinchine formula (1) we get for every $R > 0$

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi) \leq c_R^\psi |\xi|^2 + d_R^\psi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $c_R^\psi \asymp \|Q\| + \int_{|y| \leq R} |y|^2 \nu(dy)$ and $d_R^\psi \asymp \nu(B_R^c(0))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi &\leq \frac{e^{-tm_\delta} e^{t_0 m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-t \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-tm_\delta} e^{t_0 m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-tc_R^\psi |\xi|^2} d\xi e^{-td_R^\psi}} \\ &= (\sqrt{t})^n e^{-t(m_\delta - d_R^\psi)} \frac{e^{t_0 m_\delta} \int_{|\xi| > \delta} e^{-t_0 \operatorname{Re} \psi(\xi)} d\xi}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-c_R^\psi |\xi|^2} d\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose R so large that $m_\delta > d_R^\psi$ and let $t \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (28). \square

The following result is, in one dimension, due to W. Schenk [23].

Theorem 14. *Let X_t be a Lévy process in \mathbb{R}^n with characteristic exponent ψ and with transition semigroup T_t . If $e^{-t\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \geq t_0$, then the following limits exist locally uniformly for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, resp. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$(29) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_t f(x)}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) dz \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

$$(30) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_t f(x)}{T_{s+t} g(y)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z) dz} \quad \text{for all } f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), s \geq 0;$$

$$(31) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_t(x)}{p_t(0)} = 1.$$

Proof. It is clearly enough to prove (29). For $u \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get from Lemma 13 with $\chi_t(\xi) = e^{-t\psi(\xi)} / \|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t(\xi) u(\xi) d\xi = u(0).$$

Indeed, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t(\xi) d\xi = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_t(\xi) (u(\xi) - u(0)) d\xi \right| &\leq \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| |u(\xi) - u(0)| d\xi + 2 \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi \|u\|_\infty \\ &\leq \sup_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |u(\xi) - u(0)| \cdot \|\chi_t\|_{L^1} + 2 \int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\chi_t(\xi)| d\xi \|u\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (28) the second term vanishes as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ makes the first term tend to zero since u is uniformly continuous.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t > t_0$ we have

$$\frac{T_t f(x)}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} = \frac{1}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} [e^{-t\psi} \mathcal{F} f] (x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \chi_t(\xi) \mathcal{F} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

The above calculation shows for $u(\xi) := e^{ix\xi} \mathcal{F} f(\xi)$ and uniformly for x from compact sets that

$$\frac{T_t f(x)}{\|e^{-t\psi}\|_{L^1}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} f(0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) dz. \quad \square$$

If we combine (29) of Theorem 14 with Propositions 9, 10 or with Example 5, it is possible to get estimates for the speed of convergence in (29)

Acknowledgement. Financial support through the Ministry of Science of Ukraine (grant no. M/7-2008—for V.K.) and DFG (grant Schi 419/5-1—for R.L.S.) is gratefully acknowledged. We would like to thank an anonymous referee for his careful reading and helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bennett, C. and Sharpley, R.: *Interpolation of operators*. Academic Press, Boston 1988.
- [2] Berg, C. and Forst, G.: *Potential theory on locally compact Abelian groups*. Springer, Berlin 1975.
- [3] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., and Teugles, J.L.: *Regular variation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987.
- [4] Blumenthal, R.M. and Gettoor, R.K.: Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary independent increments. *J. Math. Mech.* **10** (1961), 493–516.
- [5] Bochner, S.: *Harmonic analysis and the theory of probability*. University of California Press, Berkeley 1955.

- [6] Bodnarchuk, S. and Kulik, A.: Conditions for existence and smoothness of the distribution density for an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with Lévy noise. *Theor. Probab. Math. Stat.* **79** (2008), 20–33.
- [7] Copson, E.: *Asymptotic expansions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1965.
- [8] Feller, W.: *An Introduction to probability theory and its applications (vol. 2)*. Wiley, New York, 1971 (2nd ed).
- [9] Jacob, N., Farkas, W. and Schilling, R.L.: Feller semigroups, L^p -sub-Markovian semigroups and applications to pseudo-differential operators with negative definite symbols. *Forum Math.* (2001) **13** (2001), 51-90.
- [10] Jacob, N., Farkas, W. and Schilling, R.L.: Function spaces related to continuous negative definite functions: ψ -Bessel potential spaces. *Dissertationes Mathematicae* (2001) **393** (2001), 1-62.
- [11] Gradshteyn, I. and Ryzhik, I.: *Tables of integrals, series, and products. Corrected and enlarged edition*. Academic Press, San Diego (CA) 1992 (4th ed.).
- [12] Hartman, P. and Wintner, A.: On the infinitesimal generators of integral convolutions. *Am. J. Math.* **64** (1942), 273–298.
- [13] Hawkes, J.: Potential theory of Levy processes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1979), 335–352.
- [14] Hoh, W.: A symbolic calculus for pseudo differential operators generating Feller semigroups. *Osaka J. Math.* **35** (1998), 798–820.
- [15] Hörmander, L.: *Linear partial differential operators*. Springer, Berlin 1964.
- [16] Jacob, N.: *Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. I. Fourier analysis and semigroups*. Imperial College Press, London 2001.
- [17] Jacob, N.: *Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. II. Generators and their potential theory*. Imperial College Press, London 2002.
- [18] Kallenberg, O.: Splitting at backward times in regenerative sets. *Ann. Probab.* **9** (1981), 781–799.
- [19] Knopova, V. and Kulik, A.: Small time asymptotics of the distribution density for a Lévy process. In preparation.
- [20] Orey, S.: On continuity properties of infinitely divisible distribution functions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **39** (1968), 936–937.
- [21] Rosiński, J.: Tempering stable processes. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **117** (2007), 677–707.
- [22] Sato, K.: *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999.
- [23] Schenk, W.: Über das asymptotische Verhalten der Übergangswahrscheinlichkeiten eines stochastischen Prozesses mit stationären und unabhängigen Zuwächsen. *Wiss. Z. TU Dresden* **24** (1975), 945–947.
- [24] Sztonyk, P.: Estimates of tempered stable densities. *J. Theor. Probab.* **23** (2010), 127–147.
- [25] Sztonyk, P.: Regularity of harmonic functions for anisotropic fractional Laplacians. *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), 289–311.
- [26] Tucker, H.G.: On a necessary and sufficient condition that an infinitely divisible distribution be absolutely continuous. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **118** (1965), 316–330.
- [27] Volevich, L.R. and Paneyach, B.P.: Some spaces of generalized functions and imbedding theorems. *Uspechi Mat. Nauk* **121** (1965), 3–74 (in Russian).
- [28] Watanabe, T.: Absolute continuity of some semi-selfdecomposable distributions and self-similar measures. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **117** (2000), 387–405.
- [29] Whittaker, E.T. and Watson, G.N.: *A course of modern analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1958.
- [30] Zabczyk, J.: Sur la théorie semi-classique du potentiel pour les processus à accroissements indépendants. *Studia Math.* **35** (1970), 227–247.