

## DECOMPOSITIONS OF FUNCTIONS BASED ON ARITY GAP

MIGUEL COUCEIRO, ERKKO LEHTONEN, AND TAMÁS WALDHAUSER<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We study the arity gap of functions of several variables defined on an arbitrary set  $A$  and valued in another set  $B$ . The arity gap of such a function is the minimum decrease in the number of essential variables when variables are identified. We establish a complete classification of functions according to their arity gap, extending existing results for finite functions. This classification is refined when the codomain  $B$  has a group structure, by providing unique decompositions into sums of functions of a prescribed form. As an application of the unique decompositions, in the case of finite sets we count, for each  $n$  and  $p$ , the number of  $n$ -ary functions that depend on all of their variables and have arity gap  $p$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Essential variables of functions have been investigated in multiple-valued logic and computer science, especially, concerning the distribution of values of functions whose variables are all essential (see, e.g., [9, 16, 22]), the process of substituting constants for variables (see, e.g., [2, 3, 14, 16, 18]), and the process of substituting variables for variables (see, e.g., [5, 10, 16, 21]).

The latter line of study goes back to the 1963 paper by Salomaa [16] who considered the following problem: How does identification of variables affect the number of essential variables of a given function? The minimum decrease in the number of essential variables of a function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) which depends on all of its variables is called the arity gap of  $f$ . Salomaa [16] showed that the arity gap of any Boolean function is at most 2. This result was extended to functions defined on arbitrary finite domains by Willard [21], who showed that the same upper bound holds for the arity gap of any function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ , provided that  $n > |A|$ . In fact, he showed that if the arity gap of such a function  $f$  is 2, then  $f$  is totally symmetric. Salomaa's [16] result on the upper bound for the arity gap of Boolean functions was strengthened in [5], where Boolean functions were completely classified according to their arity gap. In [6], by making use of tools provided by Berman and Kisielewicz [1] and Willard [21], a similar explicit classification was obtained for all pseudo-Boolean functions, i.e., functions  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow B$ , where  $B$  is an arbitrary set. This line of study culminated in a complete classification of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with finite domains according to their arity gap in terms of so-called quasi-arity; see Theorem 3.6, first presented in [6].

---

*Date:* July 14, 2021.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 08A40.

*Key words and phrases.* Arity gap, variable identification minors, Boolean groups.

<sup>1</sup>The present project is supported by the National Research Fund, Luxembourg, and cofunded under the Marie Curie Actions of the European Commission (FP7-COFUND), and supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under grant no. K77409.

Although Theorem 3.6 was originally stated in the setting of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with finite domains, it actually holds for functions with arbitrary, possibly infinite domains (see Remark 3.7 in Section 3). Alas, this classification is not quite explicit. However, as we will see in Section 4, provided that the codomain  $B$  has a group structure, this classification can be refined to a unique decomposition of functions as a sum of functions of a prescribed type (see Theorem 4.1). This result can be further strengthened by assuming that  $B$  is a Boolean group (see Section 5). As an application of the unique decomposition theorem, in Section 6, assuming that sets  $A$  and  $B$  are finite, we will count for each  $n$  and  $p$  the number of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  that depend on all of their variables and have arity gap  $p$ .

## 2. ESSENTIAL ARITY AND QUASI-ARITY

Throughout this paper, let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary sets with at least two elements. A  $B$ -valued function (of several variables) on  $A$  is a mapping  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  for some positive integer  $n$ , called the *arity* of  $f$ .  $A$ -valued functions on  $A$  are called *operations on  $A$* . Operations on  $\{0, 1\}$  are called *Boolean functions*. For an arbitrary  $B$ , we refer to  $B$ -valued functions on  $\{0, 1\}$  as *pseudo-Boolean functions*.

A *partial function* from  $X$  to  $Y$  is a map  $f: S \rightarrow Y$  for some  $S \subseteq X$ . In the case that  $S = X$ , we speak of *total functions*. Thus, an  $n$ -ary *partial function* from  $A$  to  $B$  is a map  $f: S \rightarrow B$  for some  $S \subseteq A^n$ .

Let  $f: S \rightarrow B$  be a partial function with  $S \subseteq A^n$ . We say that the  $i$ -th variable is *essential* in  $f$ , or  $f$  *depends* on  $x_i$ , if there is a pair

$$((a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)) \in S^2,$$

called a *witness of essentiality* of  $x_i$  in  $f$ , such that

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \neq f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

The number of essential variables in  $f$  is called the *essential arity* of  $f$ , and it is denoted by  $\text{ess } f$ . If  $\text{ess } f = m$ , we say that  $f$  is *essentially  $m$ -ary*. Note that the only essentially nullary total functions are the constant functions, but this does not hold in general for partial functions.

For  $n \geq 2$ , define

$$A_{\neq}^n := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n : a_i = a_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}.$$

We also define  $A_{\neq}^1 := A$ . Note that if  $A$  has less than  $n$  elements, then  $A_{\neq}^n = A^n$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\text{ess } f < n$ . Then for each essential variable  $x_i$ , there exists a pair of points  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in (A_{\neq}^n)^2$  that is a witness of essentiality of  $x_i$  in  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{ess } f < n$ ,  $f$  has an inessential variable. Assume, without loss of generality, that  $x_n$  is inessential in  $f$ . Assume that  $x_i$  is an essential variable in  $f$ , and let

$$((a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)) \in (A_{\neq}^n)^2$$

be a witness of essentiality of  $x_i$  in  $f$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{i\}$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_j) &= f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) \\ &\neq f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_j), \end{aligned}$$

where the two equalities hold by the assumption that  $x_j$  is inessential in  $f$ , and the inequality holds by our choice of a witness of essentiality of  $x_i$  in  $f$ . Thus,

$$((a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_j), (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_j)) \in (A_{\underline{n}})^2$$

is a witness of essentiality of  $x_i$  in  $f$ .  $\square$

We say that a function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  is obtained from  $g: A^m \rightarrow B$  by *simple variable substitution*, or  $f$  is a *simple minor* of  $g$ , if there is a mapping  $\sigma: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)}).$$

If  $\sigma$  is not injective, then we speak of *identification of variables*. If  $\sigma$  is not surjective, then we speak of *addition of inessential variables*. If  $\sigma$  is a bijection, then we speak of *permutation of variables*.

The simple minor relation constitutes a quasi-order  $\leq$  on the set of all  $B$ -valued functions of several variables on  $A$  which is given by the following rule:  $f \leq g$  if and only if  $f$  is obtained from  $g$  by simple variable substitution. If  $f \leq g$  and  $g \leq f$ , we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are *equivalent*, denoted  $f \equiv g$ . If  $f \leq g$  but  $g \not\leq f$ , we denote  $f < g$ . It can be easily observed that if  $f \leq g$  then  $\text{ess } f \leq \text{ess } g$ , with equality if and only if  $f \equiv g$ . For background, extensions and variants of the simple minor relation, see, e.g., [4, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 23].

Consider  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ . Any function  $g: A^n \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = g|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is called a *support* of  $f$ . The *quasi-arity* of  $f$ , denoted  $\text{qa } f$ , is defined as the minimum of the essential arities of the supports of  $f$ , i.e.,  $\text{qa } f = \min_g \text{ess } g$ , where  $g$  ranges over the set of all supports of  $f$ . If  $\text{qa } f = m$ , we say that  $f$  is *quasi- $m$ -ary*.

The following two lemmas were proved in [6].

**Lemma 2.2.** *For every function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $n \neq 2$ , we have  $\text{qa } f = \text{ess } f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *If any variable of a quasi- $m$ -ary function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  is inessential, then  $f$  is essentially  $m$ -ary.*

*Remark 2.4.* If  $A$  is a finite set and  $n > |A|$ , then  $A_{\underline{n}} = A^n$ , and hence for every  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  we have  $\text{qa } f = \text{ess } f$ .

In the sequel, we will make use of the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . If  $\text{ess } f = n > m = \text{qa } f$ , then  $f$  has a unique essentially  $m$ -ary support.*

*Proof.* Let  $g: A^n \rightarrow B$  be an essentially  $m$ -ary support of  $f$ , say, with  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  essential. By Lemma 2.1,  $g$  and  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  have the same essential variables. Now if  $h: A^n \rightarrow B$  is an essentially  $m$ -ary support of  $f$ , then  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are exactly the essential variables of  $h$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_m, \dots, x_m) = f(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_m, \dots, x_m) \\ &= g(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_m, \dots, x_m) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h$  and  $g$  coincide.  $\square$

## 3. ARITY GAP

Recall that simple variable substitution induces a quasi-order on the set of  $B$ -valued functions on  $A$ , as described in Section 2. For a function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with at least two essential variables, we denote

$$\text{ess}^< f = \max_{g < f} \text{ess } g,$$

and we define the *arity gap* of  $f$  by  $\text{gap } f = \text{ess } f - \text{ess}^< f$ .

In the sequel, whenever we consider the arity gap of some function  $f$ , we will assume that all variables of  $f$  are essential. This is not a significant restriction, because every non-constant function is equivalent to a function with no inessential variables and equivalent functions have the same arity gap.

Salomaa [16] proved that the arity gap of every Boolean function with at least two essential variables is at most 2. This result was generalized by Willard [21, Lemma 1.2] in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite set. Suppose  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  depends on all of its variables. If  $n > |A|$ , then  $\text{gap } f \leq 2$ .*

In [5], Salomaa's result was strengthened by completely classifying all Boolean functions in terms of arity gap: for  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\text{gap } f = 2$  if and only if  $f$  is equivalent to one of the following Boolean functions:

- $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m + c$ ,
- $x_1 x_2 + x_1 + c$ ,
- $x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 + c$ ,
- $x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 + x_1 + x_2 + c$ ,

where addition and multiplication are done modulo 2 and  $c \in \{0, 1\}$ . Otherwise  $\text{gap } f = 1$ .

Based on this, a complete classification of pseudo-Boolean functions according to their arity gap was presented in [6].

**Theorem 3.2.** *For a pseudo-Boolean function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow B$  which depends on all of its variables,  $\text{gap } f = 2$  if and only if  $f$  satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- $n = 2$  and  $f$  is a nonconstant function satisfying  $f(0, 0) = f(1, 1)$ ,
- $f = g \circ h$ , where  $g: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow B$  is injective and  $h: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is a Boolean function with  $\text{gap } h = 2$ , as listed above.

Otherwise  $\text{gap } f = 1$ .

The study of the arity gap of functions  $A^n \rightarrow B$  culminated into the characterization presented in Theorem 3.6, originally proved in [6]. We need to introduce some terminology to state the result. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  the power set of  $A$ , and define the function  $\text{oddsupp}: \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  by

$$\text{oddsupp}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{a_i : |\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : a_j = a_i\}| \text{ is odd}\}.$$

We say that a partial function  $f: S \rightarrow B$ ,  $S \subseteq A^n$ , is *determined by*  $\text{oddsupp}$  if  $f = f^* \circ \text{oddsupp}|_S$  for some function  $f^*: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow B$ . In order to avoid cumbersome notation, if  $f: S \rightarrow B$ ,  $S \subseteq A^n$ , is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ , then whenever we refer to the decomposition  $f = f^* \circ \text{oddsupp}|_S$ , we may write simply “ $\text{oddsupp}$ ” in place of “ $\text{oddsupp}|_S$ ”, omitting the subscript indicating the domain restriction as it will be obvious from the context.

*Remark 3.3.* The notion of a function being determined by oddsupp is due to Berman and Kisielewicz [1]. Willard [21] showed that if  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  where  $A$  is finite,  $n > \max(|A|, 3)$  and  $\text{gap } f = 2$ , then  $f$  is determined by oddsupp.

*Remark 3.4.* It is easy to verify that for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\text{Im oddsupp}|_{A^n} = \{S \subseteq A : |S| \equiv n \pmod{2}, |S| \leq n - 2\}.$$

Thus, if  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  is determined by oddsupp, i.e.,  $f = f^* \circ \text{oddsupp}|_{A^n}$ , then within the domain  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  of  $f^*$ , only the subsets of  $A$  of order at most  $n - 2$  with the same parity as  $n$  (odd or even) are relevant.

*Remark 3.5.* A function  $f: A^n \rightarrow A$  is determined by oddsupp if and only if  $f|_{A^n}$  is determined by oddsupp and  $f$  is totally symmetric.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary sets with at least two elements. Suppose that  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , depends on all of its variables.*

- (i) *For  $3 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $\text{gap } f = p$  if and only if  $\text{qa } f = n - p$ .*
- (ii) *For  $n \neq 3$ ,  $\text{gap } f = 2$  if and only if  $\text{qa } f = n - 2$  or  $\text{qa } f = n$  and  $f|_{A^n}$  is determined by oddsupp.*
- (iii) *For  $n = 3$ ,  $\text{gap } f = 2$  if and only if there is a nonconstant unary function  $h: A \rightarrow B$  and  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1\}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_0, x_0) &= h(x_{i_1}), \\ f(x_0, x_1, x_0) &= h(x_{i_2}), \\ f(x_0, x_0, x_1) &= h(x_{i_3}). \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) *Otherwise  $\text{gap } f = 1$ .*

*Remark 3.7.* While Theorem 3.6 was originally presented in the setting of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  where  $A$  is a finite set, its proof does not make use of any assumption on the cardinality of  $A$  – except for  $A$  having at least two elements – so it immediately generalizes to functions with arbitrary domains.

#### 4. A DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will establish the following classification of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) with arity gap  $p \geq 3$ , which also provides a decomposition of such functions into a sum of a quasi-nullary function and an essentially  $(n - p)$ -ary function.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that  $(B; +)$  is a group with neutral element 0. Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $3 \leq p \leq n$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{gap } f = p$ .*
- (2) *There exist functions  $g, h: A^n \rightarrow B$  such that  $f = h + g$ ,  $h|_{A^n} \equiv 0$ ,  $h \not\equiv 0$ , and  $\text{ess } g = n - p$ .*

*The decomposition  $f = h + g$  given above is unique.*

We will prove Theorem 4.1 using the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $(B; +)$  is a group with neutral element 0. Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{qa } f = n - p$ .*
- (b) *There exist functions  $g, h: A^n \rightarrow B$  such that  $f = h + g$ ,  $h|_{A^n} \equiv 0$ ,  $h \not\equiv 0$ , and  $\text{ess } g = n - p$ .*

The decomposition  $f = h + g$  given above is unique.

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b). Assume that  $\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{qa } f = n - p$ . By the definition of quasi-arity, there exists an essentially  $(n - p)$ -ary support  $g: A^n \rightarrow B$  of  $f$ . Setting  $h := f - g$ , we have  $f = h + g$ . Since  $g|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  by the definition of support, we have that  $h|_{A_{\underline{n}}} \equiv 0$ . Furthermore,  $h$  is not identically 0, because otherwise we would have that  $f = g$ , which constitutes a contradiction to  $\text{ess } g = n - p < n = \text{ess } f$ .

(b)  $\implies$  (a). Assume (b). By Lemma 2.2,  $\text{qa } f = \text{ess } f|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = \text{ess } g|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$ , and by Lemma 2.1,  $\text{ess } g|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = \text{ess } g = n - p$ ; hence  $\text{qa } f = n - p$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $\text{ess } f < n$ , then  $\text{ess } f = \text{qa } f = n - p$  by Lemma 2.3. Both  $f$  and  $g$  are essentially  $(\text{qa } f)$ -ary supports of  $f$ ; therefore it follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $f = g$ . Thus  $h \equiv 0$ , which yields a contradiction.

For the uniqueness of the decomposition  $f = h + g$ , the function  $g$  in the decomposition  $f = h + g$  is clearly an essentially  $(\text{qa } f)$ -ary support of  $f$ . By the assumption that  $\text{qa } f < \text{ess } f$ , Proposition 2.5 implies that  $g$  is uniquely determined, and therefore so is  $h$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Observe that condition (2) is the same as condition (b) of Lemma 4.2. The latter is equivalent to (a) by Lemma 4.2, and (a) is equivalent to (1) by Theorem 3.6 (i). The uniqueness of the decomposition  $f = h + g$  follows from Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

## 5. FUNCTIONS WITH ARITY GAP 2

We prove an analogue of Theorem 4.1 for the case  $\text{gap } f = 2$ . If  $\text{qa } f = n - 2$ , then Lemma 4.2 can be applied, so we only consider the case when  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$  (see Theorem 3.6 (ii)). In this case we cannot expect  $f$  to have a support of arity  $n - 2$ , but we may look for a support which is a sum of  $(n - 2)$ -ary functions. We will prove that such a support exists if  $B$  is a *Boolean group*, i.e., it is an Abelian group such that  $x + x = 0$  holds identically. (However, this is not true for arbitrary groups; this will be discussed in a forthcoming paper [7].)

First we need to introduce a notation. Let  $\varphi: A^{n-2} \rightarrow B$  be a function that is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ , i.e.,  $\varphi = \varphi^* \circ \text{oddsupp}$ , for some function  $\varphi^*: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow B$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be the  $n$ -ary function defined by

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{k < n \\ 2 | n - k}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})).$$

Observe that each summand is a variable identification minor of  $\varphi$ , namely

$$\varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})) = \varphi(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, y, \dots, y),$$

where the number of  $y$ 's is  $n - 2 - k$ , which is an even number; therefore  $y$  is indeed an inessential variable of the function on the right-hand side; moreover, the order of the variables is irrelevant. The function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is obviously totally symmetric, and according to the following lemma,  $\tilde{\varphi}|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ ; hence  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$  as well according to Remark 3.5.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume that  $(B; +)$  is a Boolean group with neutral element 0. Let  $\varphi: A^{n-2} \rightarrow B$  be a function determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ . Then for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_{\underline{n}}^n$  we have*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

*Proof.* We have to show that  $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0$  holds identically on  $A_{\underline{n}}$ . This function differs from the right-hand side of (1) only by a summand corresponding to  $k = n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ = \sum_{\substack{k \leq n \\ 2 | n-k}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})). \end{aligned}$$

Let us fix a set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subseteq A$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_{\underline{n}}$ . We count how many summands there are in the above sum with  $\text{oddsupp}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ . If this set occurs at all, then  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  can be found among the components of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let us denote the rest of the elements appearing in  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  by  $a_{r+1}, \dots, a_t$ , and for  $j = 1, \dots, t$  let  $s_j$  stand for the number of occurrences of  $a_j$  in  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Thus  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$  and  $s_1 + \dots + s_t = n$ ; moreover,  $t < n$ , because  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_{\underline{n}}$ . If we want to choose  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  such that  $\text{oddsupp}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ , then we have to choose an odd number of the  $s_j$  places occupied by  $a_j$  in  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , and an even number of the  $s_j$  places occupied by  $a_j$  for  $j = r+1, \dots, t$ . A set of  $s_j$  elements has  $2^{s_j-1}$  subsets with odd cardinality, and likewise  $2^{s_j-1}$  subsets with even cardinality, so the number of possibilities is  $2^{s_j-1}$  in both cases. Thus there are altogether  $2^{s_1-1} \dots 2^{s_t-1} = 2^{n-t}$  summands with the same  $\text{oddsupp}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ . This number is even since  $t < n$ ; therefore the terms will cancel each other. This holds for any set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$  and any  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_{\underline{n}}$ ; hence  $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  is identically zero on  $A_{\underline{n}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Assume that  $(B; +)$  is a Boolean group with neutral element 0. Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  be a function such that  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ . Then  $f$  has a support that is a sum of functions of arity at most  $n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ , there is a function  $f^*: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow B$  such that  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = f^* \circ \text{oddsupp}$ . The function  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) := f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, y, y)$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ , and we can suppose that the corresponding function  $\varphi^*$  coincides with  $f^*$ , since

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, y, y) = f^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}))$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) \in A^{n-2}$ . Applying Lemma 5.1 we get the following equality for every  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_{\underline{n}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \varphi^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= f^*(\text{oddsupp}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a support of  $f$ , and from (1) it is clear that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a sum of at most  $(n - 2)$ -ary functions.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* Let us note that if  $A$  is finite and  $n > |A|$ , then  $A^n = A_{\underline{n}}$ ; hence the only support of  $f$  is  $f$  itself. In this case the above theorem implies that  $f$  itself can be expressed as a sum of functions of arity at most  $n - 2$ .

Next we prove a uniqueness companion to the above theorem. Here we do not need the assumption that  $B$  is a Boolean group: if there exists a support that is a sum of at most  $(n - 2)$ -ary functions, then it is unique for any Abelian group  $B$ . Note that this does not exclude the possibility that this unique support can be

written in more than one way as a sum of at most  $(n - 2)$ -ary functions. Observe also that the following theorem generalizes Proposition 2.5 in the case  $m = n - 2$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Assume that  $(B; +)$  is an Abelian group with neutral element 0. Then a function  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  can have at most one support that is a sum of functions of arity at most  $n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are supports of  $f$  and both of them can be expressed as sums of at most  $(n - 2)$ -ary functions. Then  $g = g_1 - g_2$  is also a sum of at most  $(n - 2)$ -ary functions, and  $g|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is constant zero. Let us choose the smallest  $k$  such that  $g$  can be written as a sum of functions of arity at most  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , then  $g$  is constant; hence  $g = 0$  and then we can conclude that  $g_1 = g_2$ . To complete the proof, we just have to show that the assumption  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$  leads to a contradiction.

In the expression of  $g$  as a sum of at most  $k$ -ary functions we can combine functions depending on the same set of variables to a single function, and by introducing dummy variables we can make all of the summands  $n$ -ary functions. Then  $g$  takes the following form:

$$(2) \quad g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_I g_I(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $I$  ranges over the  $k$ -element subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and  $g_I: A^n \rightarrow B$  is a function which only depends on some of the variables  $x_i$  ( $i \in I$ ). Let us choose a constant  $c \in A$  and substitute this into the last  $n - k$  variables. Since  $n - k \geq 2$ , the resulting vector will lie in  $A_{\underline{n}}$ ; hence the value of  $g$  will be zero:

$$0 = g(x_1, \dots, x_k, c, \dots, c) = \sum_I g_I(x_1, \dots, x_k, c, \dots, c).$$

Let  $J = \{1, \dots, k\}$ , and let us express  $g_J$  from the above equation:

$$g_J(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_J(x_1, \dots, x_k, c, \dots, c) = - \sum_{I \neq J} g_I(x_1, \dots, x_k, c, \dots, c).$$

For each  $k$ -element subset  $I$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the function  $g_I(x_1, \dots, x_k, c, \dots, c)$  depends only on the variables  $x_i$  ( $i \in I \cap J$ ); thus its essential arity is at most  $k - 1$  whenever  $I$  is different from  $J$ . This means that the above expression for  $g_J$  can be regarded as a sum of at most  $(k - 1)$ -ary functions (after getting rid of the dummy variables). We can get a similar expression for  $g_J$  for any  $k$ -element subset  $J$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and substituting these into (2) we see that  $g$  is a sum of at most  $(k - 1)$ -ary functions. This contradicts the minimality of  $k$ , which shows that  $k \geq 1$  is indeed impossible.  $\square$

Combining the above results with Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.2 we get the characterization of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{gap } f = 2$  for the case when  $B$  is a Boolean group.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Assume that  $(B; +)$  is a Boolean group with neutral element 0. Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  be a function of arity at least 4. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{gap } f = 2$ .
- (2) *There exist functions  $g, h: A^n \rightarrow B$  such that  $f = h + g$ ,  $h|_{A_{\underline{n}}} \equiv 0$ , and either*



- (a)  $\text{ess } g = n - 2$  and  $h \not\equiv 0$ , or
- (b)  $g = \tilde{\varphi}$  for some nonconstant  $(n - 2)$ -ary function  $\varphi$  that is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ .

The decomposition  $f = h + g$  given above is unique.

*Proof.* The uniqueness follows immediately from Theorem 5.4, so we just need to show that (1) and (2) are equivalent.

(1)  $\implies$  (2). By Theorem 3.6 (ii) we have two cases: either  $\text{qa } f = n - 2$ , or  $\text{qa } f = n$  and  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ . In the first case Lemma 4.2 shows that (2a) holds. In the second case we apply Theorem 5.2 to find an  $(n - 2)$ -ary function  $\varphi$  such that  $g = \tilde{\varphi}$  is a support of  $f$ , and we let  $h = f + g$ . If  $\varphi$  is constant, then so is  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , and then  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is constant as well, contradicting that  $\text{qa } f = n$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1). The case (2a) is settled by Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.6 (ii), so let us assume that (2b) holds. It is clear that  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by  $\text{oddsupp}$ , so according to Theorem 3.6 it suffices to show that  $\text{qa } f = \text{ess } f = n$ . The function  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = \tilde{\varphi}|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is totally symmetric, hence it either depends on all of its variables, or on one of them, i.e., either  $\text{qa } f = n$  or  $\text{qa } f = 0$ . In the first case we are done, since  $\text{ess } f$  cannot be less than  $\text{qa } f$ . In the second case Lemma 5.1 implies that  $\varphi^*$  takes on the same value for every subset of  $A$  of size  $n - 2, n - 4, \dots$ . Since only these values of  $\varphi^*$  are relevant for determining  $\varphi = \varphi^* \circ \text{oddsupp}$ , we can conclude that  $\varphi$  is constant, contrary to our assumption.  $\square$

## 6. THE NUMBER OF FINITE FUNCTIONS WITH A GIVEN ARITY GAP

The classification of functions according to their arity gap (Theorem 3.6) and the unique decompositions of functions provided by Theorem 4.1 can be applied to count, for finite sets  $A$  and  $B$ , and for each  $n$  and  $p$  the number of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{gap } f = p$ .

For positive integers  $m, i$ , we will denote by  $(m)_i$  the *falling factorial*

$$(m)_i := m(m - 1) \cdots (m - (i - 1)).$$

Note that if  $i > m$ , then  $(m)_i = 0$ , because one of the factors in the above expression is 0.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite sets with  $|A| = k, |B| = \ell$ . Let us denote by  $G_{np}^{k\ell}$  the number of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{gap } f = p$ , and let us denote by  $Q_{nm}^{k\ell}$  the number of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{qa } f = m$ .

It is well-known (see Wernick [20]) that the number of functions  $g: A^n \rightarrow B$  that depend on exactly  $r$  variables ( $0 \leq r \leq n$ ) is

$$U_{nr}^{k\ell} := \binom{n}{r} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \ell^{k(r-i)}.$$

The number of functions  $h: A^n \rightarrow B$  such that  $h|_{A_{\underline{n}}} \equiv 0, h \not\equiv 0$  is

$$V_n^{k\ell} := \ell^{(k)_n} - 1.$$

**Lemma 6.1.** For  $k \geq 2, \ell \geq 2, n \geq 3$ ,

$$(3) \quad Q_{nm}^{k\ell} = \begin{cases} U_{nm}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell}, & \text{if } m < n, \\ U_{nn}^{k\ell} \ell^{(k)_n} - V_n^{k\ell} \ell^{k^n}, & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2, for  $3 \leq n \leq k$  and  $m < n$ ,

$$Q_{nm}^{k\ell} = U_{nm}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell}.$$

If  $n > k$ , then  $V_n^{k\ell} = 0$  and hence the right-hand side of the above equation is 0 as well. Indeed,  $Q_{nm}^{k\ell} = 0$  in this case, because for  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$ ,  $\text{qa } f = \text{ess } f$  whenever  $n > k$ .

Consider then the case that  $m = n$ . By the above formula, we have

$$(4) \quad Q_{nn}^{k\ell} = U_{nn}^{k\ell} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Q_{ni}^{k\ell} = U_{nn}^{k\ell} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{ni}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell} = U_{nn}^{k\ell} - V_n^{k\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{ni}^{k\ell}.$$

The sum  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{ni}^{k\ell}$  counts the number of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{ess } f < n$ ; hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{ni}^{k\ell} = \ell^{k^n} - U_{nn}^{k\ell}.$$

Substituting this back to (4), we have

$$Q_{nn}^{k\ell} = U_{nn}^{k\ell} - V_n^{k\ell} (\ell^{k^n} - U_{nn}^{k\ell}) = U_{nn}^{k\ell} (1 + V_n^{k\ell}) - V_n^{k\ell} \ell^{k^n} = U_{nn}^{k\ell} \ell^{(k)_n} - V_n^{k\ell} \ell^{k^n}. \quad \square$$

Let us denote by  $O_n^{k\ell}$  the number of functions  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  such that  $\text{ess } f = n$ ,  $\text{qa } f = n$  and  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by oddsupp.

**Lemma 6.2.** For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$O_n^{k\ell} = \begin{cases} \ell^{2^{k-1}} - \ell, & \text{if } n > k, \\ \ell^{(k)_n} (\ell^{S_n^k} - \ell), & \text{if } n \leq k, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(5) \quad S_n^k = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \binom{k}{2i}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \binom{k}{2i+1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  be a map such that  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is determined by oddsupp. It is clear that then  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is totally symmetric; hence, either all variables are essential in  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  or none of them is. In the former case,  $\text{qa } f = n$ , and in the latter case  $\text{qa } f = 0$  (i.e.,  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  is constant). Therefore  $O_n^{k\ell}$  equals the number of nonconstant maps  $\text{Im oddsupp}|_{A_{\underline{n}}} \rightarrow B$  multiplied by the number of maps  $A^n \setminus A_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow B$ . By Remark 3.4,

$$\text{Im oddsupp}|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = \{S \subseteq A : |S| \equiv n \pmod{2}, |S| \leq n-2\}.$$

Consider first the case that  $n > k$ . Then  $A_{\underline{n}} = A^n$  and there is only one map  $A^n \setminus A_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow B$ , namely the empty map. In this case,  $\text{Im oddsupp}|_{A_{\underline{n}}}$  equals the set of odd subsets of  $A$  or the set of even subsets of  $A$ , depending on the parity of  $n$ . It is well-known that the number of odd subsets of  $A$  equals the number of even subsets of  $A$ , and this number is  $2^{k-1}$ . Thus  $O_n^{k\ell}$  equals the number of nonconstant functions from the set of even (or odd) subsets of  $A$  to  $B$ , which is  $\ell^{2^{k-1}} - \ell$ . Note that this number does not depend on  $n$ .

Consider then the case that  $n \leq k$ . If  $n = 2q$ , then

$$|\text{Im oddsupp}|_{A_{\underline{n}}}| = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \binom{k}{2i}.$$

If  $n = 2q + 1$ , then

$$|\text{Im oddsupp}|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \binom{k}{2i+1}.$$

The number of maps  $A^n \setminus A_{\underline{n}} \rightarrow B$  is  $\ell^{(k)n}$ . Thus,

$$O_n^{k\ell} = \ell^{(k)n} (\ell^{S_n^k} - \ell),$$

where  $S_n^k$  is as given in equation (5).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\ell \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .*

(i) *If  $n > k$  and  $3 \leq p \leq n$ , then  $G_{np}^{k\ell} = 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $n > k$  and  $n \geq 4$ , then*

$$G_{n2}^{k\ell} = O_n^{k\ell} = \ell^{2^{k-1}} - \ell, \quad G_{n1}^{k\ell} = U_{nn}^{k\ell} - G_{n2}^{k\ell}.$$

(iii) *If  $3 \leq n \leq k$  and  $3 \leq p \leq n$ , then  $G_{np}^{k\ell} = U_{n(n-p)}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell}$ .*

(iv) *If  $4 \leq n \leq k$ , then*

$$G_{n2}^{k\ell} = U_{n(n-2)}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell} + O_n^{k\ell}, \quad G_{n1}^{k\ell} = U_{n(n-1)}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell} + U_{nn}^{k\ell} \ell^{(k)n} - V_n^{k\ell} \ell^{k^n} - O_n^{k\ell}$$

(v)  $G_{32}^{k\ell} = (8\ell^{(k)3} - 3)(\ell^k - \ell)$ ,  $G_{31}^{k\ell} = U_{33}^{k\ell} - G_{33}^{k\ell} - G_{32}^{k\ell}$ .

(vi)  $G_{22}^{k\ell} = \ell^{(k)2+1} - \ell$ ,  $G_{21}^{k\ell} = U_{22}^{k\ell} - G_{22}^{k\ell}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Follows from Theorem 3.1.

(ii) If  $f: A^n \rightarrow B$  depends on all of its variables and  $n > k$ , then by Remark 2.4  $\text{qa } f = \text{ess } f = n$ . Thus  $\text{gap } f = 2$  if and only if  $f|_{A_{\underline{n}}} = f$  is determined by oddsupp. Thus,  $G_{n2}^{k\ell} = O_n^{k\ell} = \ell^{2^{k-1}} - \ell$  by Lemma 6.2. The equality for  $G_{n1}^{k\ell}$  follows immediately from (i) and the equality for  $G_{n2}^{k\ell}$ .

(iii) By Theorem 3.6 (i), for  $3 \leq n \leq k$  and  $3 \leq p \leq n$ , we have  $G_{np}^{k\ell} = Q_{n(n-p)}^{k\ell}$ , and  $Q_{n(n-p)}^{k\ell} = U_{n(n-p)}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell}$  by Lemma 6.1.

(iv) By Theorem 3.6, and Lemma 6.1, for  $n \geq 4$ , we have

$$G_{n2}^{k\ell} = Q_{n(n-2)}^{k\ell} + O_n^{k\ell} = U_{n(n-2)}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell} + O_n^{k\ell}$$

and

$$G_{n1}^{k\ell} = Q_{n(n-1)}^{k\ell} + Q_{nn}^{k\ell} - O_n^{k\ell} = U_{n(n-1)}^{k\ell} V_n^{k\ell} + U_{nn}^{k\ell} \ell^{(k)n} - V_n^{k\ell} \ell^{k^n} - O_n^{k\ell}.$$

(v) We apply Theorem 3.6 (iii) in order to determine  $G_{32}^{k\ell}$ . It is easy to verify that given nonconstant functions  $h, h': A \rightarrow B$ , elements  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i'_1, i'_2, i'_3 \in \{0, 1\}$  and functions  $f, f': A^3 \rightarrow B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_0, x_0) &= h(x_{i_1}), & f(x_0, x_1, x_0) &= h(x_{i_2}), & f(x_0, x_0, x_1) &= h(x_{i_3}) \\ f'(x_1, x_0, x_0) &= h'(x_{i'_1}), & f'(x_0, x_1, x_0) &= h'(x_{i'_2}), & f'(x_0, x_0, x_1) &= h'(x_{i'_3}), \end{aligned}$$

it holds that  $f|_{A_{\underline{3}}} = f'|_{A_{\underline{3}}}$  if and only if  $h = h'$ ,  $i_1 = i'_1$ ,  $i_2 = i'_2$ ,  $i_3 = i'_3$ .

There are  $2^3 = 8$  choices for  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ , there are  $\ell^k - \ell$  nonconstant maps  $h: A \rightarrow B$ , and there are  $\ell^{(k)3}$  ways to choose values for a function on  $A^3 \setminus A_{\underline{3}}$ . Thus the number of functions of the form given in Theorem 3.6 (iii) is

$$8(\ell^k - \ell)\ell^{(k)3}.$$

However, some of the functions corresponding to Theorem 3.6 (iii) are not essentially ternary, and we have to subtract the number of these functions from

$k$	$\ell$	$n$	$U_{nn}^{k\ell}$	$G_{n1}^{k\ell}$	$G_{n2}^{k\ell}$	$G_{n3}^{k\ell}$	$G_{n4}^{k\ell}$	$G_{n5}^{k\ell}$
2	2	2	10	4	6	—	—	—
		3	218	208	10	0	—	—
		4	64594	64592	2	0	0	—
		5	4294642034	4294642032	2	0	0	0
3	3	2	19632	17448	2184	—	—	—
		3	7625597426016	7625597283936	139896	2184	—	—
		4	$4.4 \cdot 10^{38}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{38}$	78	0	0	—
		5	$8.7 \cdot 10^{115}$	$8.7 \cdot 10^{115}$	78	0	0	0
4	4	2	4294966788	4227857928	67108860	—	—	—
		3	$3.4 \cdot 10^{38}$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{38}$	$5.7 \cdot 10^{17}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{15}$	—	—
		4	$1.3 \cdot 10^{154}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{154}$	$7.3 \cdot 10^{24}$	$2.8 \cdot 10^{17}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{15}$	—
		5	$3.2 \cdot 10^{616}$	$3.2 \cdot 10^{616}$	65532	0	0	0

TABLE 1.  $G_{np}^{k\ell}$  for small values of  $k, \ell, n, p$ .

the above number. We claim that  $f: A^3 \rightarrow B$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.6 (iii) and  $\text{ess } f < 3$  if and only if  $\text{ess } f = 1$ . For, every essentially unary function  $f: A^3 \rightarrow B$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.6 (iii) with  $(i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$  and  $h(x) = f(x, x, x)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $f$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.6 (iii) and  $\text{ess } f < 3$ , say, the last variable of  $f$  is inessential. Then we have

$$f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = f(x_0, x_1, x_0) = h(x_{i_2}),$$

i.e.,  $f$  is equivalent to the nonconstant unary function  $h$ .

The number of essentially unary ternary functions is  $3(\ell^k - \ell)$ ; hence

$$G_{32}^{k\ell} = 8(\ell^k - \ell)\ell^{(k)3} - 3(\ell^k - \ell) = (8\ell^{(k)3} - 3)(\ell^k - \ell).$$

It is clear that

$$G_{31}^{k\ell} = U_{33}^{k\ell} - G_{33}^{k\ell} - G_{32}^{k\ell}.$$

(vi) For  $f: A^2 \rightarrow B$ ,  $\text{gap } f = 2$  if and only if  $f|_{A_2}$  is constant (but  $f$  itself is not constant). Thus  $G_{22}^{k\ell} = \ell^{(k)2+1} - \ell$ . It is clear that  $G_{21}^{k\ell} = U_{22}^{k\ell} - G_{22}^{k\ell}$ .  $\square$

We have evaluated  $G_{np}^{k\ell}$  for some values of  $k, \ell, n, p$  in Table 1.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

An idea of a decomposition scheme of functions based on the arity gap and the problem of counting the number of operations  $f: A^n \rightarrow A$  with  $\text{ess } f = n$  and  $\text{gap } f = p$ , for every  $n$  and  $p$ , were presented by Shtrakov and Koppitz [17]. However, the results presented in [17] seem to be inconclusive or incorrect.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. BERMAN, A. KISIELEWICZ, On the number of operations in a clone, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1994) 359–369.
- [2] K. N. ČIMEV, On some properties of functions. In: B. Csákány, I. Rosenberg (eds.), *Finite Algebra and Multiple-Valued Logic*, Abstracts of lectures of the colloquium on finite algebra and multiple-valued logic (Szeged, 1979), North-Holland, 1981, pp. 38–40.

- [3] K. N. ČIMEV, *Separable Sets of Arguments of Functions*, Studies 180/1986, Computer and Automation Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 1986.
- [4] M. COUCEIRO, On the lattice of equational classes of Boolean functions and its closed intervals, *J. Multiple-Valued Logic Soft Comput.* **18** (2008) 81–104.
- [5] M. COUCEIRO, E. LEHTONEN, On the effect of variable identification on the essential arity of functions on finite sets, *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.* **18** (2007) 975–986.
- [6] M. COUCEIRO, E. LEHTONEN, Generalizations of Świerczkowski’s lemma and the arity gap of finite functions, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009) 5905–5912.
- [7] M. COUCEIRO, E. LEHTONEN, T. WALDHAUSER, The arity gap of polynomial functions over fields. In preparation.
- [8] M. COUCEIRO, M. POUZET, On a quasi-ordering on Boolean functions, *Theoretical Computer Science* **396** (2008) 71–87.
- [9] R. O. DAVIES, Two theorems on essential variables, *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1966) 333–335.
- [10] K. DENECKE, J. KOPPITZ, Essential variables in hypersubstitutions, *Algebra Universalis* **46** (2001) 443–454.
- [11] A. FEIGELSON, L. HELLERSTEIN, The forbidden projections of unate functions, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **77** (1997) 221–236.
- [12] E. LEHTONEN, Descending chains and antichains of the unary, linear, and monotone subfunction relations, *Order* **23** (2006) 129–142.
- [13] E. LEHTONEN, Á. SZENDREI, Equivalence of operations with respect to discriminator clones, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009) 673–685.
- [14] O. B. LUPANOV, On a class of schemes of functional elements, *Problemy Kibernetiki* **7** (1962) 61–114 (in Russian).
- [15] N. PIPPENGER, Galois theory for minors of finite functions, *Discrete Math.* **254** (2002) 405–419.
- [16] A. SALOMAA, On essential variables of functions, especially in the algebra of logic, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math.* **339** (1963) 3–11.
- [17] S. SHTRAKOV, J. KOPPITZ, On finite functions with non-trivial arity gap, arXiv:0810.2279.
- [18] N. A. SOLOVJEV, On the question of the essential dependence of functions of the algebra of logic, *Problemy Kibernetiki* **9** (1963) 333–335 (in Russian).
- [19] C. WANG, Boolean minors, *Discrete Math.* **141** (1991) 237–258.
- [20] W. WERNICK, An enumeration of logical functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **45** (1939) 885–887.
- [21] R. WILLARD, Essential arities of term operations in finite algebras, *Discrete Math.* **149** (1996) 239–259.
- [22] S. V. YABLONSKI, Functional constructions in a  $k$ -valued logic, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **51** (1958) 5–142 (in Russian).
- [23] I. E. ZVEROVICH, Characterizations of closed classes of Boolean functions in terms of forbidden subfunctions and Post classes, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **149** (2005) 200–218.

(M. Couceiro) MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI, L-1359 LUXEMBOURG, LUXEMBOURG

*E-mail address:* miguel.couceiro@uni.lu

(E. Lehtonen) COMPUTER SCIENCE RESEARCH UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI, L-1359 LUXEMBOURG, LUXEMBOURG

*E-mail address:* erkko.lehtonen@uni.lu

(T. Waldhauser) MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI, L-1359 LUXEMBOURG, LUXEMBOURG AND BOLYAI INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF SZEGED, ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1, H-6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY

*E-mail address:* twaldha@math.u-szeged.hu