

Timelike Bertrand Curves in Semi-Euclidean Space

Soley ERSOY and Murat TOSUN

sersoy@sakarya.edu.tr and tosun@sakarya.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Sakarya University, Sakarya, 54187 TURKEY

November 19, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, it is proved that, no special timelike Frenet curve is a Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 and also, in \mathbb{E}_ν^{n+1} ($n \geq 3$), such that the notion of Bertrand curve is definite only in \mathbb{E}_1^2 and \mathbb{E}_1^3 . Therefore, a generalization of timelike Bertrand curve is defined and called as timelike (1,3)-Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 . Moreover, the characterization of timelike (1,3)-Bertrand curve is given in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53B30, 53A35, 53A04.

Keywords: Bertrand curve, Semi-Euclidean Space.

1 Introduction

In Euclidean ambient, a curve C is called C^∞ -special Frenet curve in \mathbb{E}^3 if there exist three C^∞ -vector fields, that is, the unit vector tangent field \mathbf{t} , the unit principal normal vector field \mathbf{n} and the unit binormal vector field \mathbf{b} and two C^∞ -scalar functions, that is, the curvature function $k_1 (> 0)$ and torsion function $k_2 (\neq 0)$, [6].

In \mathbb{E}^3 , a C^∞ -special Frenet curve C is called a Bertrand curve if there exist another C^∞ -special Frenet curve \bar{C} and a C^∞ -mapping $\varphi : C \rightarrow \bar{C}$, such that the principal normal vector fields of C and \bar{C} coincide at the corresponding points, [4].

If we focus our attention to timelike curves in three dimensional Minkowski space \mathbb{E}_1^3 , then we can give the well known theorem that a C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve is a Bertrand curve if and only if its curvature function k_1 and torsion function k_2 satisfy $ak_1 + bk_2 = 1$ for all $s \in L$, where a and b are constant real numbers. This theorem suffices to define the timelike curve

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \mathbf{c}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \mathbf{n}(s)$$

then it is immediate that \bar{C} is the timelike Bertrand mate curve of C , [1, 3]. As a result of this theorem, in \mathbb{E}_1^3 every timelike circular helix is an example of timelike Bertrand curve. Moreover, any timelike planar curve is a timelike Bertrand curve whose Bertrand mates are parallel curves of C , [1].

In this paper, we prove that there is no C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve which is a Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 and also, in \mathbb{E}_ν^{n+1} ($n \geq 3$). Thus, the notion of timelike Bertrand curve stands only in \mathbb{E}_1^2 and \mathbb{E}_1^3 . According to this reason, we suggest an idea of generalization of timelike Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

2 Preliminaries

To meet the requirements in the next sections, the basic elements of the theory of curves in the semi Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_2^4 are briefly presented in this section. A more complete elementary treatment can be found in [5].

Semi-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_2^4 is an Euclidean space provided with standard flat metric given by

$$g = -dx_1^2 - dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2$$

where (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) is a rectangular coordinate system in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Since g is an indefinite metric, recall that a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{E}_2^4$ can have one of three Lorentzian causal characters: it can be spacelike if $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) > 0$ or $\mathbf{v} = 0$, timelike if $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) < 0$ and null (lightlike) if $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$, [5].

Similarly, an arbitrary curve $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}(s)$ in \mathbb{E}_2^4 can locally be spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike) if all of its velocity vectors $\mathbf{c}'(s)$ are, respectively, spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike). The norm of $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{E}_2^4$ is defined as $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{|g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})|}$. Therefore, \mathbf{v} is a unit vector if $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = \mp 1$. Furthermore, vectors \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are said to be orthogonal if $g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$. The velocity of the curve C is given by $\|\mathbf{c}'\|$. Thus, a timelike curve C is said to be parametrized by arc length function s if $g(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{c}') = -1$, [5].

Let $\{\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}_1(s), \mathbf{n}_2(s), \mathbf{n}_3(s)\}$ denotes the moving Frenet frame along C in the semi-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_2^4 , then \mathbf{t} , \mathbf{n}_1 , \mathbf{n}_2 , and \mathbf{n}_3 are called the tangent, the principal normal, the first binormal, and the second binormal vector fields of C , respectively.

Let C be a C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve with timelike principal normal, spacelike first binormal and second binormal vector fields in \mathbb{E}_2^4 , parametrized by arc length function s . Moreover, non-zero C^∞ -scalar functions k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 be the first, second, and third curvatures of C , respectively. Then for the C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve C , the following Frenet formula is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}' \\ \mathbf{n}'_1 \\ \mathbf{n}'_2 \\ \mathbf{n}'_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -k_1 & 0 & 0 \\ k_1 & 0 & k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 & k_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n}_1 \\ \mathbf{n}_2 \\ \mathbf{n}_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbf{t} , \mathbf{n}_1 , \mathbf{n}_2 , \mathbf{n}_3 mutually orthogonal vector fields satisfying

$$g(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}) = g(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_1) = -1 \quad , \quad g(\mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_2) = g(\mathbf{n}_3, \mathbf{n}_3) = 1$$

(for the semi-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_ν^{n+1} , see [2]).

3 Timelike Bertrand Curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4

The following two theorems related to Bertrand curves in \mathbb{E}_1^2 and \mathbb{E}_1^3 are well known [1, 3].

Theorem 3.1 *In \mathbb{E}_1^2 , every timelike C^∞ -planar curve is a Bertrand curve,[1].*

Theorem 3.2 *In \mathbb{E}_1^3 , a C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve with first and second curvatures k_1 and k_2 is a timelike Bertrand curve if and only if there exist a linear relation $ak_1 + bk_2 = 1$ for all $s \in L$, where a, b are nonzero constant real numbers, [1].*

Now, let us investigate Bertrand curves in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Definition 3.1 *A C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve C ($C : L \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_2^4$) is called timelike Bertrand curve if there exist another C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve \bar{C} ($\bar{C} : \bar{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_2^4$), distinct from C , and a regular C^∞ -map $\varphi : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$, ($\bar{s} = \varphi(s)$, $\frac{d\varphi(s)}{ds} \neq 0$ for all $s \in L$), such that curve has the same 1-normal line at each pair of corresponding points $\mathbf{c}(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ under φ . Here, s and \bar{s} are arc length parameters of timelike curves C and \bar{C} , respectively. In this case, \bar{C} is called a timelike Bertrand mate of C .*

Theorem 3.3 *In \mathbb{E}_2^4 , no C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve is a Bertrand curve.*

Proof. Let (C, \bar{C}) be a mate of Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 . Also, the pair of $\mathbf{c}(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s})$ be the corresponding points of C and \bar{C} , respectively. Then for all $s \in L$ the curve \bar{C} is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \mathbf{c}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) \quad (3.1)$$

where α is C^∞ -function on L . By differentiating the equation (3.1) with respect to s , then

$$\varphi'(s) \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s})}{d\bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} = \mathbf{c}'(s) + \alpha'(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \alpha(s) \mathbf{n}'_1(s)$$

is obtained. Here and hereafter, the subscript prime denotes the differentiation with respect to s . By using the Frenet formulas, it is seen that

$$\varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (1 + \alpha(s) k_1(s)) \mathbf{t}(s) + \alpha'(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \alpha(s) k_2(s) \mathbf{n}_2(s).$$

Considering $\mathbf{n}_1(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))$ are coincident and $g(\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \mathbf{n}_1(s)) = 0$ for all $s \in L$, we get

$$\alpha'(s) = 0,$$

that is, α is a constant function on L . Thus, the Bertrand mate of C can be rewritten as

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \mathbf{c}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \mathbf{n}_1(s). \quad (3.2)$$

The differentiation of this last equation with respect to s is

$$\varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (1 + \alpha k_1(s)) \mathbf{t}(s) + \alpha k_2(s) \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $s \in L$.

By the fact that C and \bar{C} are timelike curves, the tangent vector field of Bertrand mate of C can be given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \theta(s) \mathbf{t}(s) + \sinh \theta(s) \mathbf{n}_2(s), \quad (3.4)$$

where θ is a hyperbolic angle between the timelike tangent vector fields $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))$ and $\mathbf{t}(s)$. According to the equations (3.3) and (3.4), the hyperbolic functions are defined by

$$\cosh \theta(s) = (1 + \alpha k_1(s)) / \varphi'(s), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\sinh \theta(s) = \alpha k_2(s) / \varphi'(s). \quad (3.6)$$

By differentiating the equation (3.4) and applying Frenet formulas,

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) &= \frac{d(\cosh \theta(s))}{ds} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &+ (-k_1(s) \cosh \theta(s) + k_2(s) \sinh \theta(s)) \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &+ \frac{d(\sinh \theta(s))}{ds} \mathbf{n}_2(s) + k_3(s) \sinh \theta(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. Since $\mathbf{n}_1(s)$ is coincident with $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))$, from the above equation, it is seen that

$$k_3(s) \sinh \theta(s) = 0.$$

If we notice that k_3 is different from zero, then $\sinh \theta(s) = 0$. Considering the equation (3.6) and $k_2 \neq 0$, then $\alpha = 0$. In that time the equation (3.2) implies that \bar{C} is coincident with C . This is a contradiction. So, the proof is complete.

■

Also, in the same way we can generalize this theorem to semi-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_ν^{n+1} ($n \geq 3$) by taking into consideration the Frenet formulas in \mathbb{E}_ν^{n+1} . The following result can be easily proved.

Result 3.1 *There is no C^∞ -special Frenet curve which is a Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_ν^{n+1} , ($n \geq 3$).*

4 Timelike $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand Curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4

According to previous section, there is no Bertrand curve in semi-Euclidean space. Therefore, let us suggest an idea of a generalization of Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Definition 4.1 Let C and \bar{C} be C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curves in \mathbb{E}_2^4 and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : L &\rightarrow \bar{L} \\ s &\rightarrow \varphi(s) = \bar{s} \end{aligned} \quad \left(\frac{d\varphi(s)}{ds} \neq 0 \text{ for all } s \in L \right)$$

be a regular C^∞ -map at the corresponding points $\mathbf{c}(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ of C and \bar{C} , respectively. If the Frenet $(1, 3)$ -normal plane at the each point of C is coincident with the Frenet $(1, 3)$ -normal plane at the corresponding point $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s})$ of \bar{C} , then C is called a timelike $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 . Also, \bar{C} is called a timelike $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand mate of C , which is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \mathbf{c}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s), \quad (4.1)$$

where α and β are C^∞ -functions on L .

The following theorem gives us a characterization of timelike $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Theorem 4.1 Let C be a C^∞ -special timelike Frenet curve with non-zero curvatures k_1, k_2 , and k_3 in \mathbb{E}_2^4 . Then C is a timelike $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve if and only if there exist the constant real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ satisfying

- i.) $\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) \neq 0$
- ii.) $\gamma(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) - \alpha k_1(s) = 1$
- iii.) $\delta k_3(s) = -\gamma k_1(s) + k_2(s)$
- iv.) $(\gamma^2 + 1)k_1(s)k_2(s) - \gamma(k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s)) \neq 0$

for all $s \in L$.

Proof. Firstly, let us prove the necessary condition of the theorem. Let C be a timelike $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve parametrized by arc length s in \mathbb{E}_2^4 . Then the timelike Bertrand mate \bar{C} of C is given by (4.1). Substituting the Frenet formulas into the differentiation of the equation (4.1) with respect to s , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) &= (1 + \alpha(s)k_1(s)) \mathbf{t}(s) + \alpha'(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + (\alpha(s)k_2(s) - \beta(s)k_3(s)) \mathbf{n}_2(s) + \beta'(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$.

Since \mathbf{n}_1 and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ principal normal vector fields of C and \bar{C} are timelike and the plane spanned by \mathbf{n}_1 and \mathbf{n}_3 is coincident with the plane spanned by $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3$, then we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \theta(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \sinh \theta(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) = \sinh \theta(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \cosh \theta(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.3)$$

where $\sinh \theta(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in L$ and θ is a hyperbolic angle between the timelike vector fields \mathbf{n}_1 and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$.

By considering differentiation of the equation (4.1) and the last two equations, we see

$$\begin{aligned} g(\varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))) &= \alpha'(s) \cosh \theta(s) + \beta'(s) \sinh \theta(s) = 0 \\ g(\varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s))) &= \alpha'(s) \sinh \theta(s) + \beta'(s) \cosh \theta(s) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and since $\sinh \theta(s) \neq 0$,

$$\alpha'(s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta'(s) = 0$$

that is, α and β are constant functions on L . Thus, the equation (4.1) is rewritten as

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \mathbf{c}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.4)$$

and its differentiation with respect to s is

$$\varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (1 + \alpha k_1(s)) \mathbf{t}(s) + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (4.5)$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ and \mathbf{t} are timelike tangent vector fields of C and \bar{C} , then

$$-(\varphi'(s))^2 = -(1 + \alpha k_1(s))^2 + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))^2. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, we can write

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \tau(s) \mathbf{t}(s) + \sinh \tau(s) \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\cosh \tau(s) = (1 + \alpha k_1(s)) / \varphi'(s),$$

$$\sinh \tau(s) = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) / \varphi'(s),$$

where τ is a hyperbolic angle between the timelike tangent vector fields $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))$ and $\mathbf{t}(s)$ of C and \bar{C} . By differentiating the equation (4.7) with respect to s and applying Frenet formulas,

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) &= \frac{d(\cosh \tau(s))}{ds} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &\quad + (-k_1(s) \cosh \tau(s) + k_2(s) \sinh \tau(s)) \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{d(\sinh \tau(s))}{ds} \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ &\quad + k_3(s) \sinh \tau(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. Since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))$ is a linear combination $\mathbf{n}_1(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}_3(s)$, it easily seen that

$$\frac{d(\cosh \tau(s))}{ds} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d(\sinh \tau(s))}{ds} = 0,$$

that is, τ is a constant function on L with value τ_0 . Thus, we rewrite the equation (4.7) as

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \tau_0 \mathbf{t}(s) + \sinh \tau_0 \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\varphi'(s) \cosh \tau_0 = 1 + \alpha k_1(s), \quad (4.9)$$

$$\varphi'(s) \sinh \tau_0 = \alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s), \quad (4.10)$$

for all $s \in L$. According to these last two equations, it is seen that

$$(1 + \alpha k_1(s)) \sinh \tau_0 = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \cosh \tau_0. \quad (4.11)$$

If $\sinh \tau_0 = 0$, then it satisfies $\cosh \tau_0 = 1$ and $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{t}(s)$. The differentiation of this equality with respect to s is

$$-\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = -k_1(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s),$$

that is, $\mathbf{n}_1(s)$ is linear dependence with $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))$. According to Theorem 3.3, this is a contradiction. Thus, only the case of $\sinh \tau_0 \neq 0$ must be considered. The equation (4.10) satisfies

$$\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) \neq 0,$$

that is, the relation given in *i.*) is proved. Since $\sinh \tau_0 \neq 0$, the equation (4.11) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\cosh \tau_0}{\sinh \tau_0} (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) - \alpha k_1(s) = 1.$$

Let us denote the constant value $(\cosh \tau_0) (\sinh \tau_0)^{-1}$ by the constant real number γ , then γ is an element of interval $(-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and

$$\gamma (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) - \alpha k_1(s) = 1.$$

This proves the relation *ii.*) of the theorem.

By differentiating the equation (4.8) with respect to s and applying Frenet formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) &= (k_1(s) \cosh \tau(s) - k_2(s) \sinh \tau(s)) \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad - k_3(s) \sinh \tau(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$.

Taking into consideration the equations (4.9), (4.10) and the relation *ii.*), the above equality satisfy

$$(\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)))^2 = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))^2 \left[(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s) \right] (\varphi'(s))^{-2}.$$

From the equation (4.6) and the relation *ii.*), we get

$$(\varphi'(s))^2 = (\gamma^2 - 1) (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))^2. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)))^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \left((\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s) \right) \quad (4.13)$$

where $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$.

From the equations (4.9), (4.10) and the relation *ii.*, we can give

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \eta(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \sinh \eta(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\cosh \eta(s) = \frac{(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))}{\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) (\varphi'(s))^2}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\sinh \eta(s) = -\frac{(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) k_3(s)}{\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) (\varphi'(s))^2}, \quad (4.16)$$

for all $s \in L$ and $\eta \in C^\infty$ -function on L . By differentiating the equation (4.14) with respect to s and applying Frenet formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \\ + \varphi'(s) \bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) = k_1(s) \cosh \eta(s) \mathbf{t}(s) \\ + \frac{d(\cosh \eta(s))}{ds} \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ + (k_2(s) \cosh \eta(s) - k_3(s) \sinh \eta(s)) \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ + \frac{d(\sinh \eta(s))}{ds} \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$ and this satisfies

$$\frac{d(\cosh \eta(s))}{ds} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d(\sinh \eta(s))}{ds} = 0,$$

that is, η is a constant function on L with value η_0 . Let us denote $(\cosh \tau_0) (\sinh \tau_0)^{-1}$ by the constant real number δ , then $\delta \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$. The ratio of (4.15) and (4.16) holds

$$\delta = -\frac{\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)}{k_3(s)},$$

that is,

$$\delta k_3(s) = -\gamma k_1(s) + k_2(s)$$

for all $s \in L$ and $\delta \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$. Thus the relation *iii.* of the theorem is obtained. Moreover, we can give

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) = -\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) + k_1(s) \cosh \eta(s) \mathbf{t}(s) \\ + (k_2(s) \cosh \eta(s) - k_3(s) \sinh \eta(s)) \mathbf{n}_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute the equations (4.5), (4.15) and (4.16) into the above equality, we obtain

$$\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) = (\varphi'(s))^{-2} (\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)))^{-1} [A(s) \mathbf{t}(s) + B(s) \mathbf{n}_2(s)]$$

where

$$A(s) = -(\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)))^2 (1 + \alpha k_1(s)) \\ + k_1(s) (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))$$

and

$$B(s) = (\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)))^2 (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \\ + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) k_2(s) \\ + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) k_3^2(s)$$

for all $s \in L$. By the relation *ii.*) and the equation (4.13), $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ can be rewritten as;

$$A(s) = (\gamma^2 - 1)^{-1} (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) [(\gamma^2 + 1) k_1(s) k_2(s) - \gamma (k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s))]$$

and

$$B(s) = \gamma (\gamma^2 - 1)^{-1} (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) [(\gamma^2 + 1) k_1(s) k_2(s) - \gamma (k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s))]$$

By the fact that $\varphi'(s) \bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \in L$, it is proved that

$$(\gamma^2 + 1) k_1(s) k_2(s) - \gamma (k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s)) \neq 0.$$

This is the relation *iv.*) of theorem.

Now, we will prove the sufficient condition of the theorem.

Thus, we assume that C is a timelike C^∞ -special Frenet curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 with curvatures k_1, k_2 and k_3 satisfying the relations *i.*), *ii.*), *iii.*) and *iv.*) for the constant real numbers α, β, γ , and δ .

We define a timelike curve \bar{C} by

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(s) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.17)$$

where s is the arc length parameter of C .

By differentiating the equation (4.17) with respect to s and applying Frenet formulas,

$$\frac{d\bar{\mathbf{c}}(s)}{ds} = (1 + \alpha k_1(s)) \mathbf{t}(s) + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \mathbf{n}_2(s)$$

is obtained. Considering the relation *ii.*), the last equation is rewritten as;

$$\frac{d\bar{\mathbf{c}}(s)}{ds} = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) (\gamma \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s))$$

for all $s \in L$. From the relation *i.*) it is seen that \bar{C} is regular curve. Thus, arc length parameter of \bar{C} denoted by \bar{s} can be given by

$$\bar{s} = \varphi(s) = \int_0^s \left\| \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{c}}(t)}{dt} \right\| dt$$

where $\varphi : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is a regular map.

The differentiation of φ with respect to s is

$$\varphi'(s) = \varepsilon \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1} (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) > 0 \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & , \alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) > 0 \\ -1 & , \alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Also, here we notice that $\gamma \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and $\gamma^2 - 1 > 0$. Thus the timelike curve \bar{C} is rewritten as;

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta \mathbf{n}_3(s)$$

for all $s \in L$. Differentiating this equation with respect to s , we get

$$\varphi'(s) \left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s})}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) (\gamma \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)).$$

Now, let us define a unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ along \bar{C} by $\frac{d\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s})}{d\bar{s}}$, then

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \varepsilon (\gamma^2 - 1)^{-1/2} (\gamma \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)). \quad (4.19)$$

By differentiating the equation (4.19) with respect to s and using Frenet formulas,

$$\varphi'(s) \left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} = \varepsilon (\gamma^2 - 1)^{-1/2} [-(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + k_3(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s)]$$

and

$$\left\| \varphi'(s) \left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \right\| = \frac{\sqrt{|-(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + k_3^2(s)|}}{\varphi'(s) \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}}.$$

By relations *iii.*), it is seen that

$$\sqrt{|-(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + k_3^2(s)|} = \sqrt{|-\delta^2 + 1| k_3^2(s)}$$

and we notice that $\delta \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and $\gamma^2 - 1 > 0$.

Thus, we can write

$$\left\| \left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \right\| = \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2 - 1} k_3^2(s)}{\varphi'(s) \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}}.$$

Since $k_3(s) > 0$ and $\varphi'(s) > 0$ for all $s \in L$, we obtain

$$\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) = \left\| \left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \right\| > 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Thus, $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ timelike unit vector field can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathbf{n}}(\bar{s}) &= \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{k_1(\varphi(s))} \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \mathbf{n}_1(s) - k_3(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ can be given in the form

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \xi(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \sinh \xi(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.21)$$

where

$$\cosh \xi = \frac{\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\sinh \xi = \frac{-k_3(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}}, \quad (4.23)$$

for all $s \in L$. Here ξ is a C^∞ -function on L .

By differentiating (4.21) with respect to s and using the Frenet formulas, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \right\| &= k_1(s) \cosh \xi(s) \mathbf{t}(s) + \frac{d(\cosh \xi(s))}{ds} \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + (k_2(s) \cosh \xi(s) - k_3(s) \sinh \xi(s)) \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{d(\sinh \xi(s))}{ds} \mathbf{n}_3(s).\end{aligned}$$

The differentiation of the relation *iii.*) with respect to s is

$$(\gamma k_1'(s) - k_2'(s)) k_3(s) + (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) k_3'(s) = 0 \quad (4.24)$$

Substituting the equation (4.24), we get

$$\frac{d(\cosh \xi(s))}{ds} = 0, \quad \frac{d(\sinh \xi(s))}{ds} = 0,$$

that is, ξ is a constant function on L with value ξ_0 . Thus, we write

$$\cosh \xi_0 = \frac{\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\sinh \xi_0 = \frac{-k_3(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}}. \quad (4.26)$$

Then, from the equation (4.2), it satisfies

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \cosh \xi_0(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \sinh \xi_0(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s). \quad (4.27)$$

By considering the equations (4.19) and (4.20), we obtain

$$\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \frac{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}{\varepsilon \varphi'(s) (\gamma^2 - 1) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}} (\gamma \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)).$$

Also, by substituting the equations (4.25) and (4.26) into equation (4.20), we get

$$\left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} = \frac{k_1(s)(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))}{\varepsilon \varphi'(s) (\gamma^2 - 1) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}} \mathbf{t}(s) + \frac{k_2(s)(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) + k_3(s)}{\varepsilon \varphi'(s) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}} \mathbf{n}_2(s)$$

for $s \in L$. By the last two equations, we obtain

$$\left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} - \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \frac{P(s)}{R(s)} \mathbf{t}(s) + \frac{Q(s)}{R(s)} \mathbf{n}_2(s)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(s) &= (\gamma^2 + 1) k_1(s) k_2(s) - \gamma (k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s)), \\ Q(s) &= \gamma [(\gamma^2 + 1) k_1(s) k_2(s) - \gamma (k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s))], \\ R(s) &= \varepsilon \varphi'(s) (\gamma^2 - 1) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the fact that

$$\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) = \left\| \left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} - \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \right\| > 0$$

for all $s \in L$, we see

$$\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) = \frac{|(\gamma^2 + 1) k_1(s) k_2(s) - \gamma (k_1^2(s) + k_2^2(s) - k_3^2(s))|}{\varphi'(s) \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - 1) [(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)]}}.$$

Thus, we can define a unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\bar{s})$ along \bar{C} by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\bar{s}) &= \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_2(\varphi(s))} \left[\left. \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} - \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \right], \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}} (\mathbf{t}(s) + \gamma \mathbf{n}_2(s)). \quad (4.28)$$

Also, since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) = \sinh \xi_0(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \cosh \xi_0(s) \mathbf{n}_3(s)$ for all $s \in L$, another unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3$ along \bar{C} can

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\bar{s}) &= \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}} (-k_3(s) \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \mathbf{n}_3(s)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Now, from the equations (4.19), (4.27), (4.28) and (4.29), it is seen that

$$\det [\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s))] = \det [\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}_1(s), \mathbf{n}_2(s), \mathbf{n}_3(s)] = 1.$$

$\bar{\mathbf{t}}, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3$ are mutually orthogonal vector fields satisfying

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s))) = g(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))) = -1,$$

$$g(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s))) = g(\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s))) = 1.$$

Thus the tetrahedron $\{\bar{\mathbf{t}}, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3\}$ along \bar{C} is an orthonormal frame where $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ are timelike vector fields, $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3$ are spacelike vector fields. On the other hand, by considering the equations (4.29) and the differentiation of the equation (4.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{k}_3(\varphi(s)) &= - \left\langle \frac{d\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s))}{d\bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)}, \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1} k_1(s) k_3(s)}{\varphi'(s) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 - k_3^2(s)}} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. Therefore, \bar{C} is a C^∞ -special curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 and the Frenet (1,3)-normal plane at the corresponding point $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ of \bar{C} . Thus, (C, \bar{C}) is a mate of (1,3)-Bertrand curve in \mathbb{E}_2^4 .

Finally, the proof of the theorem is completed. ■

References

- [1] Balgetir, H., Bektaş, M. and Ergüt, M., *Bertrand Curves For Nonnull Curves in 3-Dimensional Lorentzian Space*, Hadronic Journal, 27, 229-236, (2004).
- [2] Çöken, A. C. and Görgülü, A., *On The Joachimsthal's Theorems in semi-Euclidean Spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, Vol.70, Issue. 11, 3932-3942, (2009).
- [3] López R., *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Mini-Course taught at the Instituto de Matemática e Estatística (IME-USP) University of Sao Paulo, Brazil, October 18, (2008).
- [4] Matsuda, H., Yorozu, S., *Notes on Bertrand curves*, Yokohama Math. J. 50, no. 1-2, 41-58,(2003).
- [5] O'Neill B., *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York (1983).
- [6] Wong, Y.-C. and H.-F. Lai, *A critical examination of the theory of curves in three-dimensional geometry*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 19, 1-31,(1967).