PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF PARAQUATERNIONIC KÄHLER MANIFOLDS AND SEMI-RIEMANNIAN SUBMERSIONS

STERE IANUŞ, STEFANO MARCHIAFAVA, GABRIEL EDUARD VÎLCU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifolds and state some basic results on their differential geometry. We also study a class of semi-Riemannian submersions from paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15.

Key Words and Phrases: paraquaternionic Kähler manifold, foliation, CR-submanifold, semi-Riemannian submersion.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of CR-submanifold of a Kähler manifold was introduced by Bejancu [6] as a generalization both of totally real and of holomorphic submanifolds of Kähler manifolds. Then many papers appeared studying geometry of CR-submanifolds of Kähler manifolds and this notion was further extended to other ambient spaces; in the monographs [7, 12, 35] we can find the most significant results concerning CR-submanifolds. A class of examples of CR-submanifolds of manifolds endowed with different geometric structures is given in [30].

On the other hand, the paraquaternionic structures, firstly named quaternionic structures of second kind, have been introduced by P. Libermann in [26]. The differential geometry of manifolds endowed with this kind of structures is a very interesting subject and these manifolds have been intensively studied by many authors (see, e.g., [2, 3, 10, 14, 17, 23, 24, 36]). The study of submanifolds of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is also of interest and several types of such submanifolds we can find in the recent literature: paraquaternionic submanifolds [31], Kähler and para-Kähler submanifolds [2, 27], normal semi-invariant submanifolds [1, 8], lightlike submanifolds [19, 20], *F*-invariant submanifolds [32]. In this note we define a new class of submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds, which we call paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds, as a natural extension of CR-submanifolds in paraquaternionic setting.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we collect basic definitions, some formulas and results for later use. In Section 3 we introduce the concept of paraquaternionic CR-submanifold and show that on the normal bundle of a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold exist two complementary orthogonal distributions. In Section 4 we investigate the integrability of the distributions involved in the definition of a paraquaternionic CRsubmanifold. In Section 5, following the same techniques as in [18], we study the canonical foliation induced on a paraquaternionic CRsubmanifold; conditions on total geodesicity are derived. We also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold to be a ruled submanifold with respect to the canonical foliation. In Section 6 we define the paraquaternionic CR-submersions (in the sense of Kobayashi [25]) as semi-Riemannian submersions from paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds onto an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold and obtain some properties concerning their geometry. In Section 7 we discuss curvature properties of fibers and base manifold for paraquaternionic CR-submersions.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a smooth manifold endowed with an almost paraquaternionic structure σ , that is, a rank-3 subbundle σ of End(TM) which admits a local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ on a coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$ such that we have:

(1)
$$J_{\alpha}^{2} = -\epsilon_{\alpha} I d, \ J_{\alpha} J_{\alpha+1} = -J_{\alpha+1} J_{\alpha} = \epsilon_{\alpha+2} J_{\alpha+2}$$

where $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = -1$ and the indices are taken from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ modulo 3. Moreover, the pair (M, σ) is said to be an almost paraquaternionic manifold and $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ is called a canonical local basis of M.

In an almost paraquaternionic manifold (M, σ) we take intersecting coordinate neighborhoods U and U'. Let $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ and $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ be canonical local bases of σ in U and U' respectively. Then $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ are linear combinations of $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ in $U \cap U'$:

(2)
$$J'_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} a_{\alpha\beta} J_{\beta}, \ \alpha = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $a_{\alpha\beta}$ are functions in $U \cap U'$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ and $A = (a_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta=1,2,3} \in SO(2,1)$.

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and let σ be an almost paraquaternionic structure on M. The metric g is said to be *adapted* to the almost paraquaternionic structure σ if it satisfies:

(3)
$$g(J_{\alpha}X, J_{\alpha}Y) = \epsilon_{\alpha}g(X, Y), \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M and any local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of σ ; or, equivalently, if all endomorphisms of σ are skew-symmetric with respect to g. In this case, (M, σ, g) is said to be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. The existence of paraquaternionic hermitian structures on manifolds and tangent bundles has been recently investigated in [21].

It is easy to see that any almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold is of dimension 4m, $m \ge 1$, and any adapted metric is necessarily of neutral signature (2m, 2m).

Let $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ be a canonical local base of σ in a coordinate neighborhood U of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) . If we denote by:

$$\Omega_{J_{\alpha}}(X,Y) = g(X,J_{\alpha}Y), \alpha = 1,2,3$$

for any vector fields X and Y, then, by means of (2), we see that:

$$\Omega = \Omega_{J_1} \wedge \Omega_{J_1} - \Omega_{J_2} \wedge \Omega_{J_2} - \Omega_{J_3} \wedge \Omega_{J_3}$$

is a globally well-defined 4-form on M, called the fundamental 4-form of the manifold.

If (M, σ, g) is an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold such that the bundle σ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\nabla}$ of g, then (M, σ, g) is said to be a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold. Equivalently, locally defined 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ exist such that we have for all $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

(4)
$$\overline{\nabla}_X J_{\alpha} = -\epsilon_{\alpha} [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1} - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2}]$$

for any vector field X on M, where the indices are taken from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ modulo 3 (see [17]). Moreover, it can be proved that an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold of dimension strictly greater than 4 is paraquaternionic Kähler if and only if $\nabla \Omega = 0$ (see [22]).

We remark that any paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is an Einstein manifold, provided that dim M > 4 (see [10, 17, 23]).

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and let N be an immersed submanifold of M. Then N is said to be a non-degenerate submanifold of M if the restriction of the semi-Riemannian metric g to TN is non-degenerate at each point of N. We denote by the same symbol g the semi-Riemannian metric induced by g on N and by TN^{\perp} the normal bundle to N.

For the rest of this section we will assume that the induced metric on N is non-degenerate.

Then we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$TM = TN \oplus TN^{\perp}.$$

Also, we denote by $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on M and N, respectively. Then the Gauss formula is given by:

(5)
$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$, where $B : \Gamma(TN) \times \Gamma(TN) \to \Gamma(TN^{\perp})$ is the second fundamental form of N in M.

On the other hand, the Weingarten formula is given by:

(6)
$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TN)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(TN^{\perp})$, where $-A_{\xi}X$ is the tangent part of $\overline{\nabla}_X \xi$ and $\nabla_X^{\perp} \xi$ is the normal part of $\overline{\nabla}_X \xi$; A_{ξ} and ∇^{\perp} are called the shape operator of N with respect to ξ and the normal connection, respectively. Moreover, B and A_{ξ} are related by:

(7)
$$g(B(X,Y),\xi) = g(A_{\xi}X,Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(TN^{\perp})$ (see [29]).

If we denote by \overline{R} and R the curvature tensor fields of $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ we have the Gauss equation:

(8)
$$\overline{R}(X,Y,Z,U) = R(X,Y,Z,U) - g(B(X,Z),B(Y,U)) + g(B(Y,Z),B(X,U)),$$

for all $X, Y, Z, U \in \Gamma(TN)$.

3. PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS

Definition 3.1. Let N be an n-dimensional non-degenerate submanifold of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) . We say that (N, g) is a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of M if there exists a non-degenerate distribution

 $\mathcal{D}: x \to \mathcal{D}_x \subseteq T_x N$ such that on any $U \cap N$ we have: i. \mathcal{D} is a paraquaternionic distribution, i.e.

(9)
$$J_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_x = \mathcal{D}_x, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and

ii. \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is a totally real distribution, i.e.

(10)
$$J_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_{x}^{\perp} \subset T_{x}^{\perp}N, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

for any local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of σ on U and $x \in U \cap N$, where \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is the orthogonal complementary distribution to \mathcal{D} in TN.

Definition 3.2. A non-degenerate submanifold N of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) is called *a paraquaternionic* (respectively, *totally real*) submanifold if $\mathcal{D}^{\perp} = 0$ (respectively, $\mathcal{D} = 0$). A paraquaternionic CR-submanifold is said to be *proper* if it is neither paraquaternionic nor totally real.

Example 3.3. i. The canonical immersion of $P^n \mathbb{B}(c)$ into $P^m \mathbb{B}(c)$, where $n \leq m$, provides us a very natural example of paraquaternionic submanifold (see [27]).

ii. The real projective space $P_s^n \mathbb{R}(\frac{c}{4})$ is a totally-real submanifold of the paraquaternionic projective space $P^n \mathbb{B}(c)$, where $s \in \{0, ..., n\}$ denotes the index of the manifold, defined as the dimension of the largest negative definite vector subspace of the tangent space.

iii. Let (M_1, g_1, σ_1) and (M_2, g_2, σ_2) be two paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds. If U_1 and U_2 are open subsets of M_1 and M_2 respectively, on which local basis $\{J_1^{(1)}, J_2^{(1)}, J_3^{(1)}\}$ and $\{J_1^{(2)}, J_2^{(2)}, J_3^{(2)}\}$ for σ_1 and σ_2 respectively, are defined, then the product manifold $U = U_1 \times U_2$ can be endowed with an almost paraquaternionic hermitian non-Kähler structure (g, σ) (see [32]). Now, if N_1 is a paraquaternionic submanifold of U_1 and N_2 is a totally-real submanifold of U_2 , then $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of the almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (U, g, σ) .

iv. A large class of examples of proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds can be constructed using the paraquaternionic momentum map [33] and the technique from [30]. Suppose that a Lie group G acts freely and isometrically on the paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) , preserving the fundamental 4-form Ω of the manifold. We denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G, by \mathfrak{g}^* its dual and by V the unique Killing vector field corresponding to a vector $V^* \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists a unique section f of bundle $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \sigma$ such that (see [33])

(11)
$$\nabla f_{V^*} = \theta_{V^*},$$

for all $V^* \in \mathfrak{g}$, where the section θ_{V^*} of the bundle $\Omega^1(\sigma)$ with values in σ is well defined globally by

$$\theta_{V^*}(X) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \omega_\alpha(V, X) J_\alpha, \ \forall X \in TM.$$

Moreover, the group G acts by isometries on the pre-image $f^{-1}(0)$ of the zerosection $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \sigma$. Similarly as in [30], we have the decomposition

$$T_x(f^{-1}(0)) = T_x(G \cdot x) \oplus H_x, \forall x \in M,$$

where $G \cdot x$ represents the orbit of G through x, supposed to be non-degenerate, and H_x is the orthogonal complementary subspace of $T_x(G \cdot x)$ in $T_x(f^{-1}(0))$. Because

 H_x is invariant under the action of σ and $T_x(G \cdot x)$ is totally real, we can state now the following result.

Proposition 3.4. If $f^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) , then $f^{-1}(0)$ is a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of M.

We remark that, in general, $f^{-1}(0)$ is not a differentiable submanifold of M, but always we can take a subset $N \subset f^{-1}(0)$ which is invariant under the action of G and which is a submanifold of M. A particular example is given in [11], as a paraquaternionic version of the example constructed by Galicki and Lawson in [16]: if p and q are distinct and relatively prime natural numbers, then we have the action of the Lie group $G = \{e^{jt} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on $P^2\mathbb{B}$ defined by

$$\phi_{p,q}(t) \cdot [u_0, u_1, u_2] := [e^{jqt}u_0, e^{jpt}u_1, e^{jpt}u_2],$$

where $e^{jt} = \cosh t + j \sinh t$ and $[u_0, u_1, u_2]$ are homogenous coordinates on $P^2 \mathbb{B}$. We can see that this action is free, isometric and preserves the para-quaternionic structure on $P^2 \mathbb{B}$ and, moreover, we have that the pre-image by the momentum map $f_{p,q} : P^2 \mathbb{B} \to \text{Im} \mathbb{B}$ of the zero-section $0 \in \text{Im} \mathbb{B}$ is (see also [33]):

$$f_{p,q}^{-1}(0) = \{ [u_0, u_1, u_2] \in P^2 \mathbb{B} | q\bar{u}_0 j u_0 + p\bar{u}_1 j u_1 + p\bar{u}_2 j u_2 = 0 \}.$$

Finally, we conclude that the subset N of the regular points of $f_{p,q}^{-1}(0)$, given by

$$\mathbf{N} = \{ [u_0, u_1, u_2] \in f_{p,q}^{-1}(0) |q^2| u_0|^2 + p^2 |u_1|^2 + p^2 |u_2|^2 \neq 0 \}$$

is a a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of $P^2\mathbb{B}$.

Definition 3.5. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) . Then we say that:

i. N is \mathcal{D} -geodesic if $B(X, Y) = 0, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D});$

ii. N is \mathcal{D}^{\perp} -geodesic if $B(X, Y) = 0, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp});$

iii. N is mixed geodesic if B(X, Y) = 0, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$;

iv. N is mixed foliated if N is mixed geodesic and \mathcal{D} is integrable.

We may easily prove the next result (see [4, 31]):

Proposition 3.6. Any paraquaternionic submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is a totally geodesic paraquaternionic Kähler submanifold.

By using this proposition, we deduce the next consequences.

Corollary 3.7. Let (N, g) be a paraquaternionic submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then:

i. $dimN = 4n, n \ge 1$ and the signature of $g|_{TN}$ is (2n, 2n);

ii. N is an Einstein manifold, provided that dim N > 4.

Corollary 3.8. The paraquaternionic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}_{2m}^{4m} and of paraquaternionic projective space $P^m\mathbb{B}$ are locally isometric with \mathbb{R}_{2n}^{4n} and $P^n\mathbb{B}$, respectively, where $n \leq m$.

Next, let (N, g) be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . We put $\nu_{\alpha x} = J_{\alpha}(D_x^{\perp})$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\nu_x^{\perp} = \nu_{1x} \oplus \nu_{2x} \oplus \nu_{3x}$, and remark that ν_{1x} , ν_{2x} , ν_{3x} are mutually orthogonal non-degenerate vector subspaces of $T_x N^{\perp}$, for any $x \in U \cap N$. We also note that the subspaces $\nu_{\alpha x}$ depends on the choice of the local base $(J_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$, while ν_x^{\perp} does'nt depend from it. **Proposition 3.9.** Let (N, g) be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then we have:

i. $J_{\alpha}(\nu_{\alpha x}) = \mathcal{D}_x^{\perp}, \ \forall x \in U \cap N, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\};$

ii. $J_{\alpha}(\nu_{\beta x}) = \nu_{\gamma x}$, for any even permutation (α, β, γ) of (1, 2, 3) and $x \in U \cap N$; iii. The mapping $\nu^{\perp} : x \in N \to \nu_x^{\perp}$ defines a non-degenerate distribution of dimension 3s, where $s = \dim D_x^{\perp}$;

iv. $J_{\alpha}(\nu_x) = \nu_x, \ \forall x \in U \cap N, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \ where \ \nu \ is \ the \ complementary \ orthogonal \ distribution \ to \ \nu^{\perp} \ in \ TN^{\perp}.$

Proof. The assertions i. and ii. are obvious from (1). The assertion iii. follows from the Definition 3.1, since J_{α} , $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, are automorphisms of $T_x N$, for any $x \in U \cap N$. Concerning the proof of (iv.), firstly one can remark that ν_x does'nt depend from the choice of the local base $(J_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ and secondly, one could observe that the subspace $TN_x^Q = \mathcal{D}_x \oplus \mathcal{D}_x^{\perp} \oplus \nu_x^{\perp}$ is the paraquaternionic subspace generated by TN_x , hence its orthogonal ν is also a paraquaternionic subspace, i.e. closed under $J_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

4. INTEGRABILITY OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Theorem 4.1. The distribution \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is integrable.

Proof. For any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ we have: $g(\nabla_U V, X) = g(\overline{\nabla}_U V, X)$ $= \epsilon_{\alpha} g(J_{\alpha} \overline{\nabla}_U V, J_{\alpha} X)$ $= \epsilon_{\alpha} g(\epsilon_{\alpha} [\omega_{\alpha+2}(U)J_{\alpha+1}V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(U)J_{\alpha+2}V] + \overline{\nabla}_U J_{\alpha} V, J_{\alpha} X)$ $= \epsilon_{\alpha} g(\overline{\nabla}_U J_{\alpha} V, J_{\alpha} X)$

and by using (6) we obtain:

(12)
$$g(\nabla_U V, X) = -\epsilon_{\alpha} g(A_{J_{\alpha}V} U, J_{\alpha}X).$$

On the other hand, if we take $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $C \in \Gamma(TN)$ we have:

$$g(A_{J_{\alpha}V}U,C) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_{C}J_{\alpha}V,U)$$

= $g(\epsilon_{\alpha}[\omega_{\alpha+2}(C)J_{\alpha+1}V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(C)J_{\alpha+2}V],U) - g(J_{\alpha}\overline{\nabla}_{C}V,U)$

hence

$$g(A_{J_{\alpha}V}U,C) = -g(J_{\alpha}\overline{\nabla}_{C}V,U).$$

Moreover, from $C \cdot g(J_{\alpha}U, V) = 0$, one has

$$g(J_{\alpha}\overline{\nabla}_{C}U,V) = g(J_{\alpha}\overline{\nabla}_{C}V,U).$$

We conclude:

(13) $g(A_{J_{\alpha}V}U,C) = g(A_{J_{\alpha}U}V,C).$

From (12) and (13) we deduce that for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ we have:

$$g(\nabla_U V - \nabla_V U, X) = 0,$$

which implies $[U, V] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \forall U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$. Thus \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is integrable. \Box

Theorem 4.2. The paraquaternionic distribution \mathcal{D} is integrable if and only if N is \mathcal{D} -geodesic.

Proof. Similarly as in above theorem, we obtain:

$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = \epsilon_{\alpha} g(\overline{\nabla}_X J_{\alpha} Y, J_{\alpha} U)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, and taking into account (5) we obtain:

(14)
$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = \epsilon_{\alpha} g(B(X, J_{\alpha} Y), J_{\alpha} U).$$

If we suppose that N is \mathcal{D} -geodesic, from (14) we derive $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, which implies $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. Thus \mathcal{D} is integrable.

Conversely, if we suppose that \mathcal{D} is integrable, then the leaves are invariant of the paraquaternionic structure and so they are totally geodesic in N. In particular, N is \mathcal{D} -geodesic.

Remark 4.3. If \mathcal{Q} is a non-degenerate distribution on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), then we can consider a well-defined \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} -valued vector field on N, called the mean curvature vector of \mathcal{Q} (see [9]), given by:

$$H^{\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_i h^{\mathcal{Q}}(E_i, E_i),$$

where $h^{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the second fundamental forms of \mathcal{Q} , $q = dim\mathcal{Q}$, $\{E_1, ..., E_q\}$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis of \mathcal{Q} and $\theta_i = g(E_i, E_i) \in \{-1, 1\}, \forall i \in \{1, ..., q\}.$

The distribution \mathcal{Q} is said to be *minimal* if the mean curvature vector $H^{\mathcal{Q}}$ of \mathcal{Q} vanishes identically.

Theorem 4.4. The paraquaternionic distribution \mathcal{D} is minimal.

Proof. For any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $U \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ we obtain similarly as in above theorems:

(15)
$$g(\nabla_X X, U) = \epsilon_{\alpha} g(A_{J_{\alpha}U} J_{\alpha} X, X)$$

and

(16)
$$g(\nabla_{J_{\alpha}X}J_{\alpha}X,U) = -g(A_{J_{\alpha}U}X,J_{\alpha}X) = -g(A_{J_{\alpha}U}J_{\alpha}X,X),$$

for all $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

From (15) and (16) we deduce:

$$g(\nabla_X X + \epsilon_\alpha \nabla_{J_\alpha X} J_\alpha X, U) = 0$$

and so

(17)
$$h^{\mathcal{D}}(X,X) + \epsilon_{\alpha}h^{\mathcal{D}}(J_{\alpha}X,J_{\alpha}X) = 0, \ \forall \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}.$$

From (17) it follows that

 $h^{\mathcal{D}}(X,X) = -h^{\mathcal{D}}(J_1X,J_1X) = -h^{\mathcal{D}}(J_2J_3X,J_2J_3X) = -h^{\mathcal{D}}(J_3X,J_3X) = -h^{\mathcal{D}}(X,X)$ and so

(18)
$$h^{\mathcal{D}}(X,X) = 0, \ \forall X \in \Gamma(D).$$

We obtain $H^{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ and the assertion follows. We can remark that the relation (18) not imply $h^{\mathcal{D}} = 0$, since $h^{\mathcal{D}}$ is not symmetric in general, unless \mathcal{D} is integrable.

Remark 4.5. For any paraquaternionic CR-submanifold (N, g) of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M^{4m}, σ, g) , having $\dim \mathcal{D} = 4r$ and $\dim \mathcal{D}^{\perp} = p$, we can choose a local pseudo-orthonormal frame in M:

 $\{e_1, ..., e_r, e_{r+1}, ..., e_{r+p}, e_{r+p+1}, ..., e_m, J_1e_1, ..., J_1e_m, J_2e_1, ..., J_2e_m, J_3e_1, ..., J_3e_m\}$ such that restricted to N, $\{e_i, J_1e_i, J_2e_i, J_3e_i\}_{i \in \{1,...,r\}}$ are in \mathcal{D} and $\{e_{r+1}, ..., e_{r+p}\}$ are in \mathcal{D}^{\perp} .

Let $\{\omega^1, ..., \omega^{4r}\}$ be the 4r 1-forms on N satisfying:

(19)
$$\omega^{i}(Z) = 0, \ \omega_{i}(E_{j}) = \delta_{ij}, \ i, j \in \{1, ..., 4r\}$$

for any $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, where $E_j = e_j$, $E_{r+j} = J_1 e_j$, $E_{2r+j} = J_2 e_j$ and $E_{3r+j} = J_3 e_j$, $j \in \{1, ..., r\}$. Then $\omega = \omega^1 \wedge ... \wedge \omega^{4r}$ does not depend on the particular base $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ and defines a 4*r*-form on *N*. Therefore, we have

(20)
$$d\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{4r} (-1)^i \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\omega^i \dots \wedge \omega^{4r}$$

and taking account of (19), we deduce that $d\omega = 0$ if and only if

(21) $d\omega(Z_1, Z_2, X_1, ..., X_{4r-1}) = 0$

and

(22)
$$d\omega(Z_1, X_1, ..., X_{4r}) = 0$$

for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $X_1, ..., X_{4r} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. We remark now easily that (21) holds if and only if \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is integrable and (22) holds if and only if \mathcal{D} is minimal (see also [13]). On another hand, if $\{\omega^{4r+1}, ..., \omega^{4r+p}\}$ is the dual frame to the pseudo-orthonormal frame $\{e_{r+1}, ..., e_{r+p}\}$ of \mathcal{D}^{\perp} , we can define a *p*-form ω^{\perp} on M by $\omega^{\perp} = \omega^{4r+1} \wedge ... \wedge \omega^{4r+p}$. Similarly we find that ω^{\perp} is closed if \mathcal{D} is integrable and \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is minimal.

Consequently, from Theorems 4.1 and 4.4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. Let N be a closed paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then the 4r-form ω is closed and defines a canonical de Rham cohomology class $[\omega]$ in $H^{4r}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, this cohomology class is non-trivial if \mathcal{D} is integrable and \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is minimal.

5. CANONICAL FOLIATIONS ON PARAQUATERNIONIC CR-SUBMANIFOLDS

Since the totally real distribution \mathcal{D}^{\perp} of a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold N of a paraquaternion Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) is always integrable we conclude that we have a foliation \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} on N with structural distribution \mathcal{D}^{\perp} and transversal distribution \mathcal{D} (see [9]). We say that \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} is the canonical totally real foliation on N.

Theorem 5.1. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . The next assertions are equivalent:

i. \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} is totally geodesic; ii. $B(X,Y) \in \Gamma(\nu), \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp});$ iii. $A_N X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), N \in \Gamma(\nu^{\perp});$ iv. $A_N Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \forall Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), N \in \Gamma(\nu^{\perp}).$ *Proof.* By using (3), (4), (5) and (6) we obtain for any $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$:

$$g(J_{\alpha}(\nabla_X Z), Y) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, J_{\alpha} Y)$$

= $g(\epsilon_{\alpha}[\omega_{\alpha+2}(X)J_{\alpha+1}Z - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X)J_{\alpha+2}Z] + \overline{\nabla}_X J_{\alpha}Z, Y)$
= $g(-A_{J_{\alpha}Z}X + \nabla_X^{\perp}J_{\alpha}Z, Y)$
= $-g(A_{J_{\alpha}Z}X, Y)$

and taking into account (7) we derive:

(23)
$$g(J_{\alpha}(\nabla_X Z), Y) = -g(B(X, Y), J_{\alpha} Z).$$

i. \Rightarrow ii. If \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} is totally geodesic, then $\nabla_X Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, for $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and from (23) we derive:

$$g(B(X,Y),J_{\alpha}Z) = 0$$

and the implication is clear.

ii. \Rightarrow i. If we suppose $B(X, Y) \in \Gamma(\mu)$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, then from (23) we derive:

$$g(J_{\alpha}(\nabla_X Z), Y) = 0$$

and we conclude $\nabla_X Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$. Thus \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} is totally geodesic. ii. \Leftrightarrow iii. This equivalence is clear from (7).

iii. \Leftrightarrow iv. This equivalence is true because A_N is a self-adjoint operator.

Corollary 5.2. If N is a mixed-geodesic paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) , then the canonical totally real foliation \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} on N is totally geodesic.

Corollary 5.3. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) with $\nu = 0$. Then the canonical totally real foliation \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} on N is totally geodesic if and only if N is mixed geodesic.

We note that the condition $\nu = 0$ in Corollary 5.3 characterizes the following interesting class of paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds (compare with the definition in the complex case given in [35], pag. 78).

Definition 5.4. A paraquaternionic CR-submanifold N of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) is called *generic* if the restriction $TM_{|N|}$ to N of the tangent bundle of ambient manifold M is generated over the paraquaternions by the tangent bundle of N, i.e. if $TN + \sigma TN = TM_{|N|}$ or, equivalently, if $\nu = 0$.

Remark 5.5. The proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds given by Proposition 3.4 are generic because we have

$$T_x^{\perp}(f^{-1}(0)) = \sigma T_x(G \cdot x).$$

Proposition 5.6. Let N be a generic paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then the paraquaternionic distribution \mathcal{D} is geodesic.

Proof. N generic means that

$$TM_{|N} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \oplus \sigma \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$$

where

$$\nu^{\perp} = \sigma \mathcal{D}^{\perp}, \quad TN = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp}.$$

From (3) and (14) we have

 $g(J_{\alpha}\nabla_X Y, J_{\alpha}U) = \epsilon_{\alpha}g(\nabla_X Y, U)) = g(B(X, J_{\alpha}Y), J_{\alpha}U),$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and since $TN^{\perp} = \nu^{\perp}$,

$$(J_{\alpha}\nabla_X Y)^{\perp} = B(X, J_{\alpha}Y)$$

where W^{\perp} means the component of the vector $W \in TN$ in the orthogonal to TN, that is in ν^{\perp} .

More explicitly, we have

$$(J_{\alpha}\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}}Y + J_{\alpha}\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}}Y)^{\perp} = B(X, J_{\alpha}Y)$$

where $\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}} Y, \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} Y$ are the $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ components of $\nabla_X Y$ respectively. Equivalently, since $J_{\alpha} \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}} Y \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$J_{\alpha} \nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} Y = B(X, J_{\alpha} Y)$$

that is

(24)
$$\nabla_X^{\mathcal{D}^\perp} Y = -\epsilon_\alpha J_\alpha B(X, J_\alpha Y)$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\epsilon_{\alpha}J_{\alpha}B(X,J_{\alpha}Y) = \epsilon_{\beta}J_{\beta}B(X,J_{\beta}Y), \ \forall \alpha,\beta$$

and, for $J_{\alpha}J_{\beta} = \epsilon_{\gamma}J_{\gamma}$,

$$B(X, J_{\alpha}Y) = -\epsilon_{\beta}\epsilon_{\gamma}J_{\gamma}B(X, J_{\beta}Y).$$

Hence

(25)
$$B(X,Y) = -\epsilon_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta}J_{\gamma}B(X,J_{\gamma}Y)$$

and also

(26)
$$B(X, J_{\gamma}Y) = J_{\gamma}B(X, Y)$$

since $\epsilon_{\gamma}\epsilon_{\alpha}\epsilon_{\beta} = 1$.

Note that from (26) and symmetry of B it follows

$$B(X, J_{\gamma}Y) = B(Y, J_{\gamma}X)$$

from which we deduce:

(27)
$$B(X,Y) = -\epsilon_{\gamma}B(J_{\gamma}X,J_{\gamma}Y)$$

By applying repeatedly the (27) we find

 $B(X,Y) = -B(J_1X,J_1Y) = -B(J_2J_3X,J_2J_3Y) = -B(J_3X,J_3Y) = -B(X,Y)$ hence, B

$$= 0$$
.

Definition 5.7. [9] A submanifold N of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a *ruled submanifold* if it admits a foliation whose leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds immersed in (M, q).

Definition 5.8. A paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold which is a ruled submanifold with respect to the foliation \mathfrak{F}^{\perp} is called totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold.

10

Theorem 5.9. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . Then the following assertions are mutually equivalent: i. N is a totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold. ii. N is \mathcal{D}^{\perp} -geodesic and:

$$B(X,Y) \in \Gamma(\nu), \ \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \ Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}).$$

iii. The subbundle ν^{\perp} is \mathcal{D}^{\perp} -parallel, i.e.

$$\nabla_X^{\perp} J_{\alpha} Z \in \Gamma(\nu^{\perp}), \ \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and the second fundamental form satisfies:

$$B(X,Y) \in \Gamma(\nu), \ \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \ Y \in \Gamma(TN).$$

iv. The shape operator satisfies:

$$A_{J_{\alpha}Z}X = 0, \ \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$A_N X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \ \forall X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \ N \in \Gamma(\nu).$$

Proof. i. \Leftrightarrow ii. This equivalence follows from Theorem 5.1 since for any $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ we have:

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Z = \nabla_X Z + B(X, Z)$$
$$= \nabla_X^{D^{\perp}} Z + h^{\perp}(X, Z) + B(X, Z)$$

and thus the leaves of \mathcal{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic immersed in M if and only if $h^{\perp} = 0$ and N is \mathcal{D}^{\perp} -geodesic.

i. \Leftrightarrow iii. If $X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $N \in \Gamma(\nu)$, then we have:

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, N) = \epsilon_{\alpha} g(J_{\alpha} \overline{\nabla}_X Z, J_{\alpha} N)$$

= $\epsilon_{\alpha} g(\epsilon_{\alpha} [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1} Z - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2} Z] + \overline{\nabla}_X J_{\alpha} Z, J_{\alpha} N)$
= $\epsilon_{\alpha} g(-A_{J_{\alpha} Z} X + \nabla_X^{\perp} J_{\alpha} Z, J_{\alpha} N)$

and thus we obtain:

(28)
$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, N) = \epsilon_{\alpha} g(\nabla_X^{\perp} J_{\alpha} Z, J_{\alpha} N).$$

Similarly we find:

(

29)
$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, U) = -\epsilon_{\alpha} g(B(X, J_{\alpha} U), J_{\alpha} Z), \ \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \ U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}).$$

On the other hand, from (5) we deduce for any $X, Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$:

(30)
$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, J_\alpha W) = g(B(X, Z), J_\alpha W).$$

But M is a totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold iff $\overline{\nabla}_X Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}), \forall X, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and by using (28), (29) and (30) we deduce the equivalence.

ii. \Leftrightarrow iv. This equivalence follows from(7).

Corollary 5.10. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) . If N is totally geodesic, then N is a totally real ruled paraquaternionic CR-submanifold.

6. Semi-Riemannian submersions from paraquaternionic CR-SUBMANIFOLDS

Semi-Riemannian submersions were introduced by O'Neill [29]. Let (M, q) and (M',g') be two connected semi-Riemannian manifolds of index $s \ (0 \le s \le dimM)$ and s' $(0 \le s' \le dimM')$ respectively, with $s' \le s$. Roughly speaking, a semi-Riemannian submersion is a smooth map $\pi: M \to M'$ which is onto and satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\pi_{*|p}: T_pM \to T_{\pi(p)}M'$ is onto for all $p \in M$; (ii) The fibres $\pi^{-1}(p'), p' \in M'$, are semi-Riemannian submanifolds of M;

(iii) π_* preserves scalar products of vectors normal to fibres.

The vectors tangent to fibres are called vertical and those normal to fibres are called horizontal. We denote by \mathcal{V} the vertical distribution, by \mathcal{H} the horizontal distribution and by v and h the vertical and horizontal projection. An horizontal vector field X on M is said to be basic if X is π -related to a vector field X' on M'. It is clear that every vector field X' on M' has a unique horizontal lift X to M and X is basic.

Remark 6.1. If $\pi: (M,g) \to (M',g')$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion and X, Yare basic vector fields on M, π -related to X' and Y' on M', then we have the next properties (see [28]):

(i) $g(X, Y) = g'(X', Y') \circ \pi;$

(ii) h[X, Y] is a basic vector field and $\pi_* h[X, Y] = [X', Y'] \circ \pi$;

(iii) $h(\nabla_X Y)$ is a basic vector field π -related to $\nabla'_{X'}Y'$, where ∇ and ∇' are the Levi-Civita connections on M and M';

(iv) $[E, U] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}), \forall U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \text{ and } \forall E \in \Gamma(TM).$

Remark 6.2. A semi-Riemannian submersion $\pi: M \to M'$ determines, as well as in the Riemannian case (see [15]), two (1,2) tensor field T and A on M, by the formulas:

(31)
$$T(E,F) = h\nabla_{vE}vF + v\nabla_{vE}hF$$

and respectively:

(32)
$$A(E,F) = v\nabla_{hE}hF + h\nabla_{hE}vF$$

for any $E, F \in \Gamma(TM)$. We remark that for $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}), T(U, V)$ coincides with the second fundamental form of the immersion of the fibre submanifolds and for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}), A(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}v[X,Y]$ characterizes the complete integrability of the horizontal distribution \mathcal{H} .

It is easy to see that T and A satisfy:

$$(33) T(U,V) = T(V,U),$$

$$(34) A(X,Y) = -A(Y,X)$$

(35)
$$\nabla_X Y = h \nabla_X Y + A(X, Y),$$

(36)
$$\nabla_U V = v \nabla_U V + T(U, V),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$.

Remark 6.3. In [25], S. Kobayashi observed the next similarity between a Riemannian submersion and a CR-submanifold of a Kähler manifold: both involve two distributions (the vertical and horizontal distribution), one of them being integrable. Then he introduced the concept of CR-submersion, as a Riemannian submersion from a CR-submanifold to an almost hermitian manifold. Next, we'll consider CRsubmersions from paraquaternionic CR-submanifolds of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold.

Definition 6.4. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost hermitian manifold. A semi-Riemannian submersion $\pi : N \to M'$ is said to be a *para*quaternionic CR-submersion if the following conditions are satisfied:

i. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{D}^{\perp};$

ii. For each $p \in N$, $\pi_* : \mathcal{D}_p \to T_{\pi(p)}M'$ is an isometry with respect to each complex and product structure of \mathcal{D}_p and $T_{\pi(p)}M'$, where $T_{\pi(p)}M'$ denotes the tangent space to M' at $\pi(p)$.

Proposition 6.5. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then

(37)
$$h\nabla_X J_{\alpha} Y = J_{\alpha} h \nabla_X Y - \epsilon_{\alpha} [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1} Y - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2} Y]$$

(38)
$$A(X, J_{\alpha}Y) = J_{\alpha}\overline{v}B(X, Y)$$

(39)
$$\overline{v}B(X, J_{\alpha}Y) = J_{\alpha}A(X, Y)$$

(40)
$$\overline{h}B(X, J_{\alpha}Y) = J_{\alpha}\overline{h}B(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on N and \overline{h} , \overline{v} denote the canonical projections on ν and ν^{\perp} , respectively.

Proof. From Gauss equations and (35), we have

(41)
$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = h \nabla_X Y + A(X, Y) + \overline{h} B(X, Y) + \overline{v} B(X, Y),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on M. From (41) we obtain:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X J_{\alpha})Y = h\nabla_X J_{\alpha}Y + A(X, J_{\alpha}Y) + \overline{h}B(X, J_{\alpha}Y) + \overline{v}B(X, J_{\alpha}Y) (42) - J_{\alpha}h\nabla_X Y - J_{\alpha}A(X, Y) - J_{\alpha}\overline{h}B(X, Y) - J_{\alpha}\overline{v}B(X, Y).$$

On another hand, from (4) we have:

(43)
$$(\overline{\nabla}_X J_{\alpha})Y = -\epsilon_{\alpha}[\omega_{\alpha+2}(X)J_{\alpha+1}Y - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X)J_{\alpha+2}Y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

Comparing now (42) and (43) and identifying the components from $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, $\Gamma(\nu)$ and $\Gamma(\nu^{\perp})$, we obtain the wanted identities.

Proposition 6.6. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then

(44)
$$\overline{v}\nabla^{\perp}_{U}J_{\alpha}V = J_{\alpha}v\nabla_{U}V - \epsilon_{\alpha}[\omega_{\alpha+2}(U)J_{\alpha+1}V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(U)J_{\alpha+2}V]$$

(45)
$$J_{\alpha}T(U,V) = -hA_{J_{\alpha}V}U$$

S. IANUŞ, S. MARCHIAFAVA, G.E. VÎLCU

(46)
$$J_{\alpha}\overline{v}B(U,V) = -vA_{J_{\alpha}V}U$$

(47)
$$\overline{h}\nabla^{\perp}_{U}J_{\alpha}V = J_{\alpha}\overline{h}B(U,V)$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on N and ∇^{\perp} is the normal connection.

Proof. From Gauss equations and (36), we have:

(48)
$$\overline{\nabla}_U V = T(U, V) + v \nabla_U V + \overline{h} B(U, V) + \overline{v} B(U, V),$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on M. On the other hand, from Weingarten formula, we have:

(49)
$$\overline{\nabla}_U J_{\alpha} V = -h A_{J_{\alpha}V} U - v A_{J_{\alpha}V} U + \overline{h} \nabla_U^{\perp} J_{\alpha} V + \overline{v} \nabla_U^{\perp} J_{\alpha} V,$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$.

From (48) and (49) we deduce:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{U}J_{\alpha})V = -hA_{J_{\alpha}V}U - vA_{J_{\alpha}V}U + \overline{h}\overline{\nabla}_{U}^{\perp}J_{\alpha}V + \overline{v}\overline{\nabla}_{U}^{\perp}J_{\alpha}V$$

(50)
$$-J_{\alpha}T(U,V) - J_{\alpha}v\overline{\nabla}_{U}V - J_{\alpha}\overline{h}B(U,V) - J_{\alpha}\overline{v}B(U,V),$$

On another hand, from (4) we have:

(51)
$$(\overline{\nabla}_U J_{\alpha})V = -\epsilon_{\alpha}[\omega_{\alpha+2}(U)J_{\alpha+1}V - \omega_{\alpha+1}(U)J_{\alpha+2}V] \in \Gamma(\mu^{\perp}),$$

for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$.

Comparing now (50) and (51) and identifying the components from $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$, $\Gamma(\nu)$ and $\Gamma(\nu^{\perp})$, we obtain the wanted identities.

Theorem 6.7. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then (M', σ', g') is a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold.

Proof. We have from (37):

(52)
$$h\nabla_X J_{\alpha} Y - J_{\alpha} h\nabla_X Y = -\epsilon_{\alpha} [\omega_{\alpha+2}(X) J_{\alpha+1} Y - \omega_{\alpha+1}(X) J_{\alpha+2} Y]$$

for any local basic vector fields X, Y on N.

We define the local 1-forms ω'_{α} on M' by:

(53)
$$\omega'_{\alpha}(X') \circ \pi = \omega_{\alpha}(X)$$

for any local vector field X' on M', π -related with an horizontal vector field X on N.

On the other hand, from the definition of a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, we deduce that for any local bases $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ of σ' we have a corresponding local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of σ such that:

(54)
$$\pi_* \circ J_\alpha = J'_\alpha \circ \pi_*, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Using (52), (53), (54) and Remark 6.1 we obtain:

(55)
$$(\nabla'_X J'_{\alpha})Y = -\epsilon_{\alpha} [\omega'_{\alpha+2}(X')J'_{\alpha+1}Y' - \omega'_{\alpha+1}(X')J'_{\alpha+2}Y']$$

for any local vector fields X', Y' on M', π -related with two local basic vector fields X, Y on N, where ∇' is the Levi-Civita connection on M'. Hence (M', σ', g') is a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold.

14

Theorem 6.8. Let N be a mixed foliated paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CRsubmersion, then N is locally a semi-Riemannian product of a paraquaternionic submanifold and a totally real submanifold of M. In particular, if N is complete and simply connected then it is a global semi-Riemannian product.

Proof. Because N is a mixed foliated paraquaternionic CR-submanifold, in particular N is a mixed geodesic submanifold, and from (23) we obtain that the distribution \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is parallel. On another hand, \mathcal{D} being integrable, we have $A(X,Y) = v\nabla_X Y =$ 0, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and therefore the distribution \mathcal{D}^{\perp} is also parallel. Hence N is locally a semi-Riemannian product $(N_1, g_1) \times (N_2, g_2)$, where N_1 and N_2 are leaves of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}^{\perp} .

Finally, if N is complete and simply connected, applying the decomposition theorem for semi-Riemannian manifolds (see [34]) we obtain the last part of the theorem. \Box

Example 6.9. We remarked in Section 3 that

$$\mathbf{N} = \{ [u_0, u_1, u_2] \in f_{p,q}^{-1}(0) |q^2| |u_0|^2 + p^2 |u_1|^2 + p^2 |u_2|^2 \neq 0 \}$$

is a a proper paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of $P^2\mathbb{B}$. Moreover, the Lie group $G = \{e^{jt} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ acts freely and isometrically on N. Using now the paraquaternionic Kähler reduction (see Theorem 5.2 from [33]) we obtain that the manifold M' = N/G equipped with the submersed metric (i.e the one g' which makes the projection $\pi : (N,g) \to (M',g')$ a semi-Riemannian submersion) is again a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold with respect to the structure σ' induced on M' from the structure σ by the projection π . Moreover, $\pi : N \to N/G$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion.

7. Some curvature properties of paraquaternionic CR-submersions

Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold. The sectional curvature K of a 2-plane in T_pM , $p \in M$, spanned by $\{X, Y\}$, is defined by:

(56)
$$K(X \wedge Y) = \frac{R(X, Y, X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}$$

It is clear that the above definition makes sense only for non-degenerate planes, i.e. those satisfying $Q(X \wedge Y) = g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2 \neq 0$.

If $\pi : (N,g) \to (M',g')$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion, then the tensor fields A and T defined in the above Section play a fundamental role in expressing the curvatures of the (N,g), (M',g') and of any fibre $(\pi^{-1}(p'), \hat{g}_{p'})$, $p' \in M'$, because we have the following formulas stated in [28]:

(57)
$$R(U, V, W, W') = R(U, V, W, W') - g(T(U, W), T(V, W')) + g(T(V, W), T(U, W')),$$

(58)
$$R(X,Y,Z,Z') = R^*(X,Y,Z,Z') - 2g(A(X,Y),A(Z,Z')) +g(A(Y,Z),A(X,Z')) - g(A(X,Z),A(Y,Z')),$$

for any $U, V, W, W' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ and $X, Y, Z, Z' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, where R is the Riemannian curvature of (N, g), \hat{R} is the Riemannian curvature of fibre and $R^*(X, Y, Z, Z') = g(R^*(Z, Z')Y, X), R^*(\cdot, \cdot)$ being the (1,3)-tensor field on $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ with values in $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$

which associates to any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in N$, the horizontal lift $(R^*(X, Y)Z)_p$ of $R'_{\pi(p)}(\pi_{*p}(X_p), \pi_{*p}(Y_p))\pi_{*p}(Z_p), R'$ denoting the Riemannian curvature of (M', g').

Theorem 7.1. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then the sectional curvatures of M and the fibres are related by:

$$\overline{K}(U \wedge V) = \widehat{K}(U \wedge V) - \epsilon_{\alpha} \theta_{U} \theta_{V} [g(A_{J_{\alpha}U}U, A_{J_{\alpha}V}V) - g(A_{J_{\alpha}V}U, A_{J_{\alpha}V}U)]
(59) - \epsilon_{\alpha} \theta_{U} \theta_{V} [g(\overline{h} \nabla_{U}^{\perp} J_{\alpha}U, \overline{h} \nabla_{V}^{\perp} J_{\alpha}V) - g(\overline{h} \nabla_{U}^{\perp} J_{\alpha}V, \overline{h} \nabla_{U}^{\perp} J_{\alpha}V)]$$

for any unit space-like or time-like orthogonally vector fields $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$ and $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $\theta_U = g(U, U) \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\theta_V = g(V, V) \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Proof. From (57) and Gauss equation we have:

$$\overline{R}(U, V, W, W') = \widehat{R}(U, V, W, W') - g(T(U, W), T(V, W')) + g(T(V, W), T(U, W'))$$
(60)
$$-g(B(U, W), B(V, W')) + g(B(V, W), B(U, W')),$$

for any $U, V, W, W' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$.

From (56) we see that the sectional curvatures of M and the fibres for a 2-plane spanned by two unit space-like or time-like orthogonally vector fields U, V are:

(61)
$$\overline{K}(U \wedge V) = \theta_U \theta_V \overline{R}(U, V, U, V)$$

and, respectively,

(62)
$$\hat{K}(U \wedge V) = \theta_U \theta_V \hat{R}(U, V, U, V),$$

where $\theta_U = g(U, U) \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\theta_V = g(V, V) \in \{-1, 1\}$. From (60), (61) and (62), using (33), we obtain:

$$\overline{K}(U \wedge V) = \hat{K}(U \wedge V) - \theta_U \theta_V[g(T(U,U), T(V,V)) - g(T(U,V), T(U,V)) + g(B(U,U), B(V,V)) - g(B(U,V), B(U,V))]$$
(63)

for any unit space-like or time-like orthogonally vector fields $U, V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\perp})$.

Finally, using (45), (46) and (47) in (63), we obtain (59).

Theorem 7.2. Let N be a paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CR-submersion, then for any unit space-like or time-like horizontal vector field X one has:

(64)
$$\overline{H}_{\alpha}(X) = H'_{\alpha}(\pi_*X) - 4g(\overline{v}B(X,X),\overline{v}B(X,X)) + 2g(\overline{h}B(X,X),\overline{h}B(X,X)),$$

for $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where \overline{H}_{α} and H'_{α} are the holomorphic sectional curvatures of Mand M', defined by $\overline{H}_{\alpha}(X) = \overline{K}(X \wedge J_{\alpha}X)$ and $H'_{\alpha}(X) = K'(X \wedge J_{\alpha}X)$, respectively.

Proof. From (58) and Gauss equation we have:

$$\overline{R}(X, Y, Z, Z') = R^{*}(X, Y, Z, Z') - 2g(A(X, Y), A(Z, Z'))
+g(A(Y, Z), A(X, Z')) - g(A(X, Z), A(Y, Z'))
+g(B(Y, Z), B(X, Z')) - g(B(Y, Z'), B(X, Z)),$$
(65)

for any $X, Y, Z, Z' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

From (3) and (56) we see that the holomorphic sectional curvature of M is:

(66)
$$H_{\alpha}(X) = \epsilon_{\alpha} R(X, J_{\alpha} X, X, J_{\alpha} X)$$

for any unit space-like or time-like vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

On the other hand we can easily see that:

(67)
$$H'_{\alpha}(\pi_*X) = \epsilon_{\alpha}R^*(X, J_{\alpha}X, X, J_{\alpha}X)$$

for any unit space-like or time-like vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. From (65), (66) and (67), using (34), we obtain:

$$\overline{H}_{\alpha}(X) = H'_{\alpha}(\pi_*X) - 3\epsilon_{\alpha}g(A(X, J_{\alpha}X), A(X, J_{\alpha}X))$$

(68)
$$+\epsilon_{\alpha}[g(B(X,J_{\alpha}X),B(X,J_{\alpha}X)) - g(B(X,X),B(J_{\alpha}X,J_{\alpha}X))].$$

From (34) and (39) one has:

$$0 = A(X, X) = -\epsilon_{\alpha} J_{\alpha} \overline{v} B(X, J_{\alpha} X)$$

and so we derive:

(69)
$$\overline{v}B(X, J_{\alpha}X) = 0$$

Similarly, from (34), (38) and (39) we derive:

(70)
$$\overline{v}B(J_{\alpha}X, J_{\alpha}X) = \epsilon_{\alpha}\overline{v}B(X, X).$$

and from (40), using the symmetry of B, we obtain:

(71)
$$\overline{h}B(J_{\alpha}X, J_{\alpha}X) = -\epsilon_{\alpha}\overline{h}B(X, X).$$

Finally, using (69), (70) and (71) in (68) we obtain (64).

Corollary 7.3. Let N be a totally geodesic paraquaternionic CR-submanifold of a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold (M, σ, g) and (M', σ', g') be an almost paraquaternionic hermitian manifold. If $\pi : N \to M'$ is a paraquaternionic CRsubmersion one has:

(72)
$$\overline{H}_{\alpha}(X) = H'_{\alpha}(\pi_* X),$$

for any unit space-like or time-like horizontal vector field X.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Professor Liviu Ornea for valuable discussions concerning this subject. S. Ianuş and G.E. Vîlcu are supported by a CNCSIS PN2-IDEI grant, no. 525/2009. S. Marchiafava activity was done under the programs of G.N.S.A.G.A. of C.N.R.-Indam and "Riemannian metrics and differentiable structures" of MIUR (Italy).

References

- A. Al-Aqeel, A. Bejancu, On normal semi-invariant submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds, Toyama Math. J. 30 (2007), 63-75.
- D. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, The twistor spaces of a para-quaternionic Kähler manifold, Osaka J. Math., 45(1) (2008), 215-251.
- [3] D. Alekseevsky, Y. Kamishima, Quaternionic and para-quaternionic CR structure on (4n+3)-dimensional manifolds, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 2(5) (2004), 732-753.
- [4] D.V. Alekseevsky, S. Marchiafava, Almost complex submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold, Steps in Differential Geometry, Inst. Math. Inf. Debrecen 2001, 23-38.
- M. Barros, B.-Y. Chen and F. Urbano, Quaternion CR-submanifolds of quaternion manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 4 (1981), 399-417.
- [6] A. Bejancu, CR submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 69 (1978), 135-142.
- [7] A. Bejancu, Geometry of CR-submanifolds, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series) 23, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1986.

- [8] A. Bejancu, Normal semi-invariant submanifolds of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng., 33(2) 2006, 33-46.
- [9] A. Bejancu and H. R. Farran, *Foliations and geometric structures*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Springer, 2006.
- [10] N. Blažić, Para-quaternionic projective spaces and pseudo Riemannian geometry, Publ. Inst. Math, 60 (1996), 101-107.
- [11] N. Blažić, S. Vukmirović, Solutions of Yang-Mills equations on generalized Hopf bundles, J. Geom. Phys. 41(1-2) (2002), 57–64.
- [12] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of submanifolds and its applications, Science University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 1981.
- [13] B.-Y. Chen, Cohomology of CR-submanifolds, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 3, 167-172 (1981).
- [14] A.S. Dancer, H.R. Jørgensen, A.F. Swann, Metric geometries over the split quaternions, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 63(2) (2005), 119-139.
- [15] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianuş, A.M. Pastore, *Riemannian submersions and related topics*, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2004.
- [16] K. Galicki, B. Lawson, Quaternionic reduction and quaternionic orbifolds, Math. Ann. 282(1) (1988), 1–21.
- [17] E. Garcia-Rio, Y.Matsushita, R. Vasquez-Lorenzo, Paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds, Rocky Mt. J. Math., 31 (2001), 237-260.
- [18] S. Ianuş, A.M. Ionescu, G.E. Vîlcu, Foliations on quaternion CR-submanifolds, Houston J. Math., 34(3) (2008), 739-751.
- [19] S. Ianuş, R. Mazzocco, G.E. Vîlcu, Real lightlike hypersurfaces of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds, Mediterr. J. Math., 3 (2006), 581-592.
- [20] S. Ianuş, G.E. Vîlcu, Hypersurfaces of paraquaternionic space forms, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2(3) (2008), 175-179.
- [21] S. Ianuş, G.E. Vîlcu, Some constructions of almost para-hyperhermitian structures on manifolds and tangent bundles, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., 5(6) (2008), 893-903.
- [22] A.M. Ionescu, G.E. Vîlcu, A note on paraquaternionic manifolds, Missouri J. Math. Sci. 19(3) (2007), 213–218.
- [23] S. Ivanov, S. Zamkovoy, Para-hermitian and para-quaternionic manifolds, Differ. Geom. Appl., 23 (2005), 205-234.
- [24] S. Ivanov, I. Minchev, S. Zamkovoy, Twistor and Reflector Spaces of Almost Para-Quaternionic Manifolds, to appear in Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics.
- [25] S. Kobayashi, Submersions of CR submanifolds, Tôhoku Math. J. II. Ser. 39 (1987), 95-100.
- [26] P. Libermann, Sur le problème d'équivalence de certaines structures infinitésimales, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 36 (1954), 27-120.
- [27] S. Marchiafava, Submanifolds of (para)-quaternionic Kähler manifolds, Note Mat., 28 (2008), suppl. no. 1, 295–316.
- [28] B. O'Neill, Fundamental equations of submersions, Michigan Math. J. 39 (1966), 459-464.
- [29] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity, Academic Press, New York-London, 1983.
- [30] L. Ornea, CR-submanifolds. A class of examples, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 51(1) (2006), 77-85.
- [31] M. Vaccaro, Kaehler and para-Kaehler submanifolds of a para-quaternionic Kaehler manifold, ph. d. thesis, Università degli Studi di Roma II "Tor Vergata", 2007.
- [32] G.E. Vîlcu, Submanifolds of an Almost Paraquaternionic Kähler Product Manifold, Int. Math. Forum 2(15) (2007), 735-746.
- [33] S. Vukmirović, Paraquaternionic reduction, math.DG/0304424.
- [34] H. Wu, On the de Rham decomposition theorem, Illinois J. Math. 8 (1964), 291-311.
- [35] K. Yano, M. Kon, CR submanifolds of Kählerian and Sasakian manifolds, Progress in Mathematics 30, Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [36] S. Zamkovoy, Geometry of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds with torsion, J. Geom. Phys., 57(1) (2006), 69-87.

Stere Ianuş University of Bucharest, Department of Mathematics, Str. Academiei, Nr. 14, Bucharest 70109, Romania e-mail: ianus@gta.math.unibuc.ro Stefano Marchiafava Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Matematica, P.le Aldo Moro N. 2, 00185 Rome, Italy e-mail: marchiafava@mat.uniroma1.it Gabriel Eduard Vîlcu^{1,2} ¹University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Research Center in Geometry, Topology and Algebra, Str. Academiei, Nr. 14, Sector 1, Bucharest 70109, Romania ²Petroleum-Gas University of Ploiești, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Bulevardul București, Nr. 39, Ploiești 100680, Romania e-mail: gvilcu@mail.upg-ploiesti.ro