

ON JACOBI QUASI-NIJENHUIS ALGEBROIDS AND COURANT-JACOBI ALGEBROID MORPHISMS

RAQUEL CASEIRO, ANTONIO DE NICOLA, AND JOANA M. NUNES DA COSTA

ABSTRACT. We propose a definition of Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid and show that any such Jacobi algebroid has an associated quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid. Therefore, also an associated Courant-Jacobi algebroid is obtained. We introduce the notions of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism and Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism providing also some examples of Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphisms.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 53D17, 17B62, 17B66.

Keywords: Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid, quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid, Courant-Jacobi algebroid.

INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that one can consider, on a Lie algebroid, some additional geometric tools that provide richer structures such as, among others, Poisson Lie algebroids, Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids [10, 7], Lie bialgebroids [13] and quasi-Lie bialgebroids [18]. This procedure can be repeated if we start with a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ) , i.e. a Lie algebroid A together with a 1-cocycle $\phi \in \Gamma(A^*)$. The notion of Jacobi algebroid was introduced in [5] and [9] and it is the one that fits in the Jacobi framework. As in the Poisson case, one can define Jacobi bialgebroids [5, 9], quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids [16], Jacobi-Nijenhuis algebroids [4] and so on. In the case of a Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid (A, π, N) , π is a Poisson bivector on A which is compatible, in a certain sense, with the Nijenhuis operator N , i.e. a vector bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ with vanishing torsion. Relaxing the condition which imposes the vanishing of the torsion, and admitting that its nonzero value depends on a certain closed 3-form, one obtains what is called a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, a notion recently introduced in [20] for the case of manifolds and then extended to general Lie algebroids in [1]. It is worthwhile to mention that the notion considered in [1] is even more general since the compatibility between the Poisson bivector and the operator N is less restrictive, leading to the so-called Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid with background. Either in the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis case or in the Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis with background case, there is an associated quasi-Lie bialgebroid. Since the double of a quasi-Lie bialgebroid is a Courant algebroid, one has a Courant algebroid associated to each Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid (with background).

In the Jacobi setting, the notion of Jacobi-Nijenhuis algebroid was introduced in [4] and it is a quadruple (A, ϕ, π, N) where (A, ϕ) is a Jacobi algebroid, π is a Jacobi bivector (i.e., $[\pi, \pi]^\phi = 0$) that is compatible with the operator N which has vanishing torsion with respect to the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^\phi$. Admitting a nonzero torsion that depends on a certain d^ϕ -closed 3-form, we define a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid and show that it has an associated quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid and therefore also an associated Courant-Jacobi algebroid [6, 14]. Another concept we introduce, having a relevant role in the paper, is that of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism.

An important notion related to Courant and Courant-Jacobi algebroids $A \rightarrow M$ is that of Dirac structure – a special vector subbundle $L \rightarrow M$ of $A \rightarrow M$ which inherits, by restriction, a Lie algebroid structure. In [2, 3], given a Courant algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, the authors considered a vector subbundle $L \rightarrow P$ over a submanifold P of M and introduced the notion of Dirac structure supported on a submanifold, which is obviously a generalization of a Dirac structure. In a natural way, we extend the definition to the case of Dirac structures of Courant-Jacobi algebroids supported on submanifolds of the base manifold and, using this notion, we introduce the concept of Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism. We prove that a Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism is associated to any morphism of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids, as well as to certain Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis structures.

Very recently, while we were finishing this paper, the notion of Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid was also introduced in [19] where the author claims the equivalence between a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis structure on a Jacobi algebroid and a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid structure on its dual. The author proves his result just on functions and exact 1-forms but these in general do not generate the space of 1-forms in Jacobi (or Lie) algebroids so that the proof is not correct. Only in the case of the tangent bundle to a manifold does the equivalence hold.

This paper is divided into two sections. In section 1 we introduce the notion of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism, we give a simpler definition of Courant-Jacobi algebroids and we extend to Courant-Jacobi algebroids the notion of Dirac structure supported on a submanifold of the base manifold, which was initially given in [2, 3] for Courant algebroids morphisms. Finally, we define Courant-Jacobi morphisms and show that in the case where the Courant-Jacobi algebroids are doubles of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids, a morphism (Φ, ϕ) of Courant-Jacobi algebroids provides an example of a Dirac structure supported on $\text{graph}\phi$. In section 2, after introducing the concept of Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid, we show that it has an associated Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid. The main result of this section states that to each Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid one can associate a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid and in the case where the Lie algebroid is the tangent bundle to a manifold, one has a one-to-one correspondence. For a special kind of Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid, we show that the graph of the quasi-Nijenhuis operator determines a Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism.

1. QUASI-JACOBI BIALGEBROIDS MORPHISMS

1.1. Quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids. Recall that a *Jacobi algebroid* [5] or *generalized Lie algebroid* [9] is a pair (A, ϕ) where $A = (A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid over a manifold M and $\phi \in \Gamma(A^*)$ is a 1-cocycle, i.e. $d\phi = 0$. A Jacobi algebroid has an associated Schouten-Jacobi bracket on the graded algebra $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A)$ of multivector fields on A given by

$$(1) \quad [P, Q]^\phi = [P, Q] + (p-1)P \wedge i_\phi Q - (-1)^{p-1}(q-1)i_\phi P \wedge Q,$$

for $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^p A), Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^q A), p \geq 1, q \geq 1$.

In a Jacobi algebroid the anchor ρ is replaced by ρ^ϕ which is the representation of the Lie algebra $\Gamma(A)$ on $C^\infty(M)$ given by

$$\rho^\phi(X)f = \rho(X)f + f\langle \phi, X \rangle.$$

The cohomology operator d^ϕ associated with this representation is called the *ϕ -differential* of A and is given by

$$(2) \quad d^\phi \omega = d\omega + \phi \wedge \omega, \quad \omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*).$$

Any vector bundle map $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $\Psi^* : \Gamma(B^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$ which assigns to each section $\alpha \in \Gamma(B^*)$ the section $\Psi^*\alpha$ given by

$$\Psi^*\alpha(X)(m) = \langle \alpha(\psi(m)), \Psi_m X(m) \rangle, \quad \forall m \in M, X \in \Gamma(A),$$

where $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ is the map induced by Ψ on the base manifolds. We denote by the same symbol Ψ^* the extension of this map to the multisections of B^* , where we set $\Psi^*f = f \circ \psi$, for $f \in C^\infty(N)$.

Now, let $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow N$ be two Lie algebroids and $\phi_A \in \Gamma(A^*)$, $\phi_B \in \Gamma(B^*)$ 1-cocycles, so that (A, ϕ_A) and (B, ϕ_B) are Jacobi algebroids. Then it is natural to give the following definition.

Definition 1.1. A *Jacobi algebroid morphism* from (A, ϕ_A) to (B, ϕ_B) is a vector bundle map $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\Psi^* : (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet B^*), d_B^{\phi_B}) \rightarrow (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), d_A^{\phi_A})$ is a chain map.

The definition of Jacobi algebroid morphism was already given in [8] in terms of Lie algebroid morphisms. The two definitions are equivalent, as is stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2. *Let (A, ϕ_A) and (B, ϕ_B) be Jacobi algebroids and $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ a vector bundle map. Then Ψ is a Jacobi algebroid morphism if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- i) $\Psi^* : (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet B^*), d_B) \rightarrow (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), d_A)$ is a chain map, i.e. Ψ is a Lie algebroid morphism;
- ii) $\Psi^*\phi_B = \phi_A$.

Proof. If conditions i) and ii) hold, then Ψ is clearly a Jacobi algebroid morphism. Conversely, if Ψ is a Jacobi algebroid morphism, then by evaluating the condition

$$\Psi^* \circ d_B^{\phi_B} = d_A^{\phi_A} \circ \Psi^*$$

over the constant function $1 : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ one obtains condition ii). Then, condition i) follows as well. \square

In the Jacobi framework, the structure analogous to that of quasi-Lie bialgebroid is given by the following definitions.

Definition 1.3. Let (A, ϕ) be a Jacobi algebroid and $W \in \Gamma(A)$. Then a (degree-one) *W-quasi differential* on (A, ϕ) is a linear operator $\tilde{d}_* : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$ such that

- i) $\tilde{d}_*(P \wedge Q) = \tilde{d}_*P \wedge Q + (-1)^p P \wedge \tilde{d}_*Q - W \wedge P \wedge Q$,
- ii) $\tilde{d}_*[P, Q]_A^\phi = [\tilde{d}_*P, Q]_A^\phi + (-1)^{p+1} [P, \tilde{d}_*Q]_A^\phi$,

for any $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^p A)$, $Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^q A)$.

If \tilde{d}_* is a *W-quasi differential* on (A, ϕ) , then considering the constant function $1 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, from i) we get $\tilde{d}_*1 = W$. So $d_*P = \tilde{d}_*P - W \wedge P$ is the associated degree one derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [,]_A)$.

Definition 1.4. A *quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid* is a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ) equipped with a *W-quasi differential* \tilde{d}_* and a 3-section of A , $X_A \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A)$ such that

$$\tilde{d}_*X_A = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{d}_*^2 = [X_A, -]_A^\phi.$$

Remark 1.5. This definition is equivalent to that of [15]. Given a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid $(A, \phi_A, \tilde{d}_*, X_A)$, by using d_* one can define a map $\rho_{A^*} : A^* \rightarrow TM$ by

$$\rho_{A^*}(\alpha)(f) = \alpha(d_*f)$$

and a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$ on the sections of A^* by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{A^*}(X) = \rho_{A^*}(\alpha)(\beta(X)) - \rho_{A^*}(\beta)(\alpha(X)) - d_*X(\alpha, \beta),$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(A)$. Then, the Jacobi bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}^W$ on $\Gamma(A^*)$ is defined in the same way as in (1).

In particular, when $\phi = 0$ and $W = 0$, then (A, d_*, X_A) is a *quasi-Lie bialgebroid* [18]. On the other hand, when $X_A = 0$ then a Jacobi bialgebroid (A, ϕ, \tilde{d}_*) is defined.

Examples of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids are given by the quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid associated to a twisted-Jacobi manifold [15, 16].

We propose the following definition of morphism between quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids:

Definition 1.6. Let $(A, \phi_A, \tilde{d}_{*A}, X_A)$ and $(B, \phi_B, \tilde{d}_{*B}, X_B)$ be quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids over M and N , respectively. A vector bundle map $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ is a *quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism* if

- 1) Ψ is a Jacobi algebroid morphism;
- 2) Ψ^* is compatible with the brackets on the sections of A^* and B^* :

$$[\Psi^*\alpha, \Psi^*\beta]_{A^*} = \Psi^*[\alpha, \beta]_{B^*};$$

- 3) the vector fields $\rho_{B^*}(\alpha)$ and $\rho_{A^*}(\Psi^*\alpha)$ are ψ -related:

$$T\psi \cdot \rho_{A^*}(\Psi^*\alpha) = \rho_{B^*}(\alpha) \circ \psi;$$

- 4) $\Psi X_A = X_B \circ \psi$;
- 5) $\Psi W_A = W_B \circ \psi$;

where $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(B^*)$ and $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ is the smooth map induced by Ψ on the base and $W_A = \tilde{d}_{*A}1, W_B = \tilde{d}_{*B}1$ are the associated sections of A^*, B^* , respectively.

Example 1.7. When $X_A = X_B = 0$ we have a *Jacobi bialgebroid morphism*, i.e., a Jacobi algebroid morphism $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that conditions 2) and 3) above are satisfied and $\Psi W_A = W_B \circ \psi$.

Example 1.8. Let $(A, \phi_A, \tilde{d}_{*A}, X_A)$ and $(B, \phi_B, \tilde{d}_{*B}, X_B)$ be quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids over the same base manifold M . We can see that a base-preserving quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism (i.e. such that $\psi = \text{id}$) is a vector bundle map $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\Psi^* \circ d_B^{\phi_B} = d_A^{\phi_A} \circ \Psi^*$, $\Psi \circ \tilde{d}_{*B} = \tilde{d}_{*A} \circ \Psi$ and $\Psi X_A = X_B$.

In Definition 1.6, when $\phi = 0$ and $W = 0$, then (A, d_{*A}, X_A) and (B, d_{*B}, X_B) are quasi-Lie bialgebroids and we obtain as a special case the definition of a *quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism* as a vector bundle map $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that Ψ is a Lie algebroid morphism and satisfies conditions 2)-4).

1.2. Courant-Jacobi algebroids. Next, following the ideas of [11], we will present a definition of Courant-Jacobi algebroid which is simpler than the original one in [6].

Definition 1.9. A *Courant-Jacobi algebroid* is a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ equipped with a fiberwise nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a vector bundle map $\rho : E \rightarrow TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and a bilinear bracket \circ on $\Gamma(E)$ satisfying:

$$\text{CJ1) } e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3);$$

$$\text{CJ2) } \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle = \langle e_1, e_2 \circ e_3 + e_3 \circ e_2 \rangle;$$

$$\text{CJ3) } \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle = \langle e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \rangle + \langle e_2, e_1 \circ e_3 \rangle;$$

for all $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$.

Recall that in the definition of Courant-Jacobi algebroid in [6], instead of CJ1)-CJ3) one has the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{CJ'1)} \quad & e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) = (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3); \\
 \text{CJ'2)} \quad & \rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]^1; \\
 \text{CJ'3)} \quad & \langle e_1 \circ e, e \rangle = \langle e_1, e \circ e \rangle; \\
 \text{CJ'4)} \quad & \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e, e \rangle = 2 \langle e_1 \circ e, e \rangle;
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$.

The next proposition proves that Definition 1.9 is equivalent to the one in [6].

Proposition 1.10. *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle equipped with a fiberwise non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, a vector bundle map $\rho : E \rightarrow TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and a bilinear bracket \circ on $\Gamma(E)$. Then, the conditions CJ1)-CJ3) are equivalent to CJ'1)-CJ'4).*

Proof. Conditions CJ'1) and CJ1) are the same. Let us first show that conditions CJ'2)-CJ'4) imply conditions CJ2) and CJ3). For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ we have from condition CJ'4) and the bilinearity of \circ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2 + e_3, e_2 + e_3 \rangle = 2 \langle e_1 \circ e_2, e_2 \rangle + 2 \langle e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \rangle + 2 \langle e_1 \circ e_3, e_2 \rangle + 2 \langle e_1 \circ e_3, e_3 \rangle.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2 + e_3, e_2 + e_3 \rangle = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle + 2 \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_3, e_3 \rangle.$$

From (3) and (4), and using again condition CJ'4), we obtain CJ3). Condition CJ3) allows us to write

$$\langle e_1 \circ (e_3 + e_2), e_3 + e_2 \rangle = \langle e_1, (e_3 + e_2) \circ (e_3 + e_2) \rangle,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\langle e_1 \circ e_3, e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \rangle = \langle e_1, (e_2 \circ e_3) + (e_3 \circ e_2) \rangle,$$

which expresses the equality of the right-hand sides of equations CJ2) and CJ3) and therefore CJ2) holds.

Now let us prove that, conversely, CJ2) and CJ3) imply CJ'2)-CJ'4). If we take $e_3 = e_2$ in CJ3), we obtain

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = 2 \langle e_1 \circ e_2, e_2 \rangle,$$

which is exactly CJ'4). Moreover, if we take $e_3 = e_2$ in CJ2), we get

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = 2 \langle e_1, e_2 \circ e_2 \rangle.$$

From (6) and (5), we conclude that CJ'3) holds.

It remains to show that $\rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$, for all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$. For any $e_1 \in \Gamma(E)$, $\rho(e_1)$ is a first order differential operator on M . Then, $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)}(1) = \theta(e_1)$ with θ a 1-cocycle and, using CJ3), we have:

$$(7) \quad e_1 \circ f e_2 = f e_1 \circ e_2 + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f - f \theta(e_1)) e_2,$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Starting with the Jacobi identity and applying (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ f e_3) &= (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ f e_3 + e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ f e_3) = f(e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1 \circ e_2)} f) e_3 - \theta(e_1 \circ e_2) f e_3 \\
 &\quad + f e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3) + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} f)(e_1 \circ e_3) - \theta(e_2) f e_1 \circ e_3 + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f)(e_2 \circ e_3) + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)}(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f) e_3 \\
 &\quad - \theta(e_2)(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f) e_3 - \theta(e_1) f e_2 \circ e_3 - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)}(\theta(e_1) f) e_3 + \theta(e_2) \theta(e_1) f e_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

¹The bracket on the right hand side is the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(TM \oplus \mathbb{R})$ defined by $[(X, f), (Y, g)] = ([X, Y], X \cdot g - Y \cdot f)$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ f e_3) &= e_1 \circ (f e_2 \circ e_3 + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} f) e_3 - \theta(e_2) f e_3) \\
&= f e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f) e_2 \circ e_3 - \theta(e_1) f e_2 \circ e_3 + (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} f) e_1 \circ e_3 + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)}(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} f) e_3 \\
&\quad - \theta(e_1)(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} f) e_3 - \theta(e_2) f e_1 \circ e_3 - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)}(\theta(e_2) f) e_3 + \theta(e_1) \theta(e_2) f e_3.
\end{aligned}$$

From (8) and (9), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1 \circ e_2)} f) - \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)}(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)} f) + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_2)}(\mathcal{L}_{\rho(e_1)} f) = 0$$

and so,

$$\rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)].$$

□

An alternate definition which was also proved to be equivalent to that of Courant-Jacobi algebroid was given in [14] under the name of *generalized Courant algebroid*.

Associated with the bracket \circ , we can define a skew-symmetric bracket on the sections of E by:

$$[[e_1, e_2]] = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 \circ e_2 - e_2 \circ e_1)$$

and the properties CJ1)-CJ3) can be expressed in terms of this bracket.

Remark 1.11. Note that in Definition 1.9 when $\rho^*(0, 1) = 0$ (where $(0, 1) \in \Gamma(T^*M \times \mathbb{R}) = \Omega^1(M) \times C^\infty(M)$), then by replacing ρ with its natural projection $\rho' := pr_1 \circ \rho: E \rightarrow TM$ we obtain a Courant algebroid structure on the vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$.

Example 1.12 (Double of a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid [15]). Let $(A, \phi, \tilde{d}_*, X_A)$ be a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid over M and let $W \in \Gamma(A)$ be the associated section. Its double $E = A \oplus A^*$ is a Courant-Jacobi algebroid when it is equipped with the pairing $\langle X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(Y) + \beta(X))$, the anchor $\rho = \rho_A^\phi + \rho_{A^*}^W$ and the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
(X + \alpha) \circ (Y + \beta) &= \left([X, Y]_A^\phi + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{*\alpha} Y - i_\beta \tilde{d}_* X + X_A(\alpha, \beta, -) \right) \\
&\quad + \left([\alpha, \beta]_*^W + \mathcal{L}_X^\phi \beta - i_Y d^\phi \alpha \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L}^ϕ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_*$ are the Lie derivative and the quasi-Lie derivative operators defined, respectively, by d^ϕ and \tilde{d}_* .

Taking $X_A = 0$ we have the Courant-Jacobi algebroid structure on the double of a Jacobi bialgebroid. In particular, when the Jacobi bialgebroid is $(TM \times \mathbb{R}, (0, 1), \tilde{d}_* = 0)$, its double is the standard Courant-Jacobi algebroid associated to the manifold M , $\mathcal{E}^1(M) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$. The bilinear form reads:

$$\langle (X_1, f_1) + (\alpha_1, g_1), (X_2, f_2) + (\alpha_2, g_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(i_{X_2} \alpha_1 + i_{X_1} \alpha_2 + f_1 g_2 + f_2 g_1),$$

the bilinear bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
((X_1, f_1) + (\alpha_1, g_1)) \circ ((X_2, f_2) + (\alpha_2, g_2)) &= ([X_1, X_2], X_1(f_2) - X_2(f_1)) + \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{L}_{X_1} \alpha_2 - i_{X_2} d\alpha_1 + f_1 \alpha_2 - f_2 \alpha_1 + g_2 df_1 + f_2 dg_1, \\
&\quad X_1(g_2) - X_2(g_1) + i_{X_2} \alpha_1 + f_1 g_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and the anchor is

$$\rho((X_1, f_1) + (\alpha_1, g_1)) = (X_1, f_1),$$

for $(X_i, f_i) + (\alpha_i, g_i) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$, with $i = 1, 2$.

1.3. Dirac structures supported on a submanifold. A *Dirac subbundle* or *Dirac structure of a Courant-Jacobi algebroid* E is a vector subbundle $A \subset E$, which is maximal isotropic with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and is integrable in the sense that the space of the sections of A is closed under the bracket on $\Gamma(E)$. Restricting the skew-symmetric bracket of E and the natural projection $pr_1 \circ \rho: E \rightarrow TM$ of the anchor ρ to A , we obtain a Lie algebroid structure on A .

As a way to generalize Dirac structures we have the concept of generalized Dirac structures or Dirac structures supported on a submanifold of the base manifold. In the case of Courant algebroids they were dealt with in [2, 3]. That definition can be generalized to the Courant-Jacobi case as follows.

Definition 1.13. On a Courant-Jacobi algebroid $E \rightarrow M$, a *Dirac structure supported on a submanifold P of M* or a *generalized Dirac structure* is a vector subbundle F of $E|_P$ such that:

- D1) for each $x \in P$, F_x is maximal isotropic;
- D2) F is compatible with the anchor, i.e. $\rho|_P(F) \subset TP \times \mathbb{R}$;
- D3) For each $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, such that $e_1|_P, e_2|_P \in \Gamma(F)$, we have $(e_1 \circ e_2)|_P \in \Gamma(F)$.

Obviously, a Dirac structure supported on the whole base manifold M is a Dirac structure in the usual sense.

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a Courant-Jacobi algebroid and $L \rightarrow P$ a vector subbundle of A over a submanifold P of M . We denote by $L^\perp \rightarrow P$ the vector subbundle of A over P whose fiber over any $x \in P$ is $L_x^\perp := \{e_x \in E_x \mid \forall e'_x \in L_x, \langle e_x, e'_x \rangle = 0\}$. The conormal bundle $\nu^*(P) \rightarrow P$ is defined by $\nu^*(P) := (T_x P)^0 = \{\alpha_x \in E_x^* \mid \forall e_x \in L_x, \alpha_x(e_x) = 0\}$.

Theorem 1.14. Let $E = A \oplus A^*$ be the double of a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid $(A, \phi, \tilde{d}_*, X_A)$ over the manifold M , $L \rightarrow P$ a vector subbundle of A over a submanifold P of M , $F = L \oplus L^\perp$. Then F is a Dirac structure supported on P if and only if the following conditions hold:

- 1) L is a Lie subalgebroid of A ;
- 2) L^\perp is closed for the bracket on A^* defined by \tilde{d}_* ;
- 3) L^\perp is compatible with the anchor, i.e., $\rho|_P(L^\perp) \subset TP \times \mathbb{R}$;
- 4) $X_{A|_P} \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 L)$.

Proof. Since $F = L \oplus L^\perp$, this is a Lagrangian subbundle of E . If F is a Dirac structure supported on P , then we immediately see that the stated four conditions are satisfied.

Conversely, suppose L is a Lie subalgebroid of A , $L^\perp \subset A^*$ is closed for $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A^*}$, $\rho|_P(L^\perp) \subset TP \times \mathbb{R}$ and $X_{A|_P} \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 L)$. Obviously F is compatible with the anchor, i.e., $\rho|_P(L \oplus L^\perp) = \rho|_P(L) + \rho|_P(L^\perp) \subset TP \times \mathbb{R}$. We are left to prove that F is closed with respect to the bracket on E . Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ such that $X + \alpha$ and $Y + \beta$ restricted to P are sections of F . By definition, the bracket on E is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (X + \alpha) \circ (Y + \beta) &= [X, Y]_A + i_\alpha \tilde{d}_* Y - i_\beta \tilde{d}_* X + \tilde{d}_*(\alpha(Y)) + X_A(\alpha, \beta, -) \\ &\quad + \langle \alpha, W \rangle Y - \langle \beta, W \rangle X + \langle \beta, X \rangle W \\ &\quad + [\alpha, \beta]_* + \mathcal{L}_X \beta - i_Y \tilde{d}_* \alpha + \langle X, \phi \rangle \beta - \langle Y, \phi \rangle \alpha + \langle \alpha, Y \rangle \phi \end{aligned}$$

where $W = \tilde{d}_* 1$.

By hypothesis, we immediately have that

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_{A|_P} &= [X|_P, Y|_P]_L \in \Gamma(L), \\ [\alpha, \beta]_{A^*|_P} &= [\alpha|_P, \beta|_P]_{L^\perp} \in \Gamma(L^\perp), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, Y \rangle_{|P} \phi_{|P} + \langle \beta, X \rangle_{|P} W_{|P} &= 0, \\ \langle \alpha, W \rangle_{|P} Y_{|P} - \langle \beta, W \rangle_{|P} X_{|P} + \langle X, \phi \rangle_{|P} \beta_{|P} - \langle Y, \phi \rangle_{|P} \alpha_{|P} &\in F \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_A(\alpha, \beta, -)_{|P} \in \Gamma(L).$$

Now, notice that $\alpha(Y)_{|P} = 0$, so $d\alpha(Y)_{|P} \in \nu^*(P) = (TP)^0$. Since $\rho_{A^*|P}(L^\perp) \subset TP$, we have that

$$d_*\alpha(Y)_{|P} = \rho_{A^*|P}^* d\alpha(Y)_{|P} \in \Gamma(L).$$

Analogously, $d\beta(X)_{|P} = \rho_A^* d\beta(X)_{|P} \in \Gamma(L^\perp)$.

Also,

$$d_*Y(\alpha, \beta)_{|P} = (\rho_{A^*}(\alpha) \cdot \beta(Y) - \rho_{A^*}(\beta) \cdot \alpha(Y) - [\alpha, \beta]_{A^*}(Y))_{|P} = 0,$$

so $i_\alpha d_*Y \in \Gamma(L)$. Analogously, $i_\beta d_*X \in \Gamma(L)$ and $i_X d\beta, i_Y d\alpha \in \Gamma(L^\perp)$.

All these conditions allow us to say that $(X + \alpha) \circ (Y + \beta)_{|P} \in \Gamma(L \oplus L^\perp)$ and, consequently, F is a Dirac structure supported on P . \square

Corollary 1.15. *Let $E = A \oplus A^*$ be the double of a Jacobi bialgebroid then $F = L \oplus L^\perp$ is a Dirac structure supported on P if and only if L and L^\perp are Lie subalgebroids of A and A^* .*

Notice that when $\phi = 0$ and $W = 0$, then $E = A \oplus A^*$ is the double of a Lie bialgebroid. Then, if we have also $P = M$, i.e. the Dirac structure is global, we obtain Proposition 7.1 of [13].

Corollary 1.16. *Let $\mathcal{E}_\omega^1(M) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$ be the standard Courant-Jacobi algebroid twisted by the 3-form $(d\omega, \omega) \in \Gamma(\wedge^3(T^*M \times \mathbb{R}))$ and $i : P \hookrightarrow M$ a submanifold of M . Then $F = (TP \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (TP \times \mathbb{R})^\perp$ is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}_\omega^1(M)$ supported on P if and only if $i^*\omega = 0$.*

Proof. Since the Jacobi algebroid structure on $T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$ is the null structure, we immediately have the three first conditions of Theorem 1.14. Condition 4) is ensured by $i^*\omega = 0$ because, in this case, we also have $i^*d\omega = 0$ and these two conditions are equivalent to $(d\omega, \omega) \in \Gamma(\wedge^3(TP \times \mathbb{R})^\perp)$. \square

Definition 1.17. A *Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism* between two Courant-Jacobi algebroids $E \rightarrow M$ and $E' \rightarrow M'$ is a Dirac structure in $E \times \overline{E'}$ supported on graph ϕ , where $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$ is a smooth map and $\overline{E'}$ denotes the Courant-Jacobi algebroid obtained from E' by changing the sign of the bilinear form.

If E and E' are in particular Courant algebroids, then a Dirac structure in $E \times \overline{E'}$ supported on graph ϕ defines a Courant algebroid morphism [2, 3]. Several examples of Courant-Jacobi morphisms, extending the known ones for Courant algebroids, appear naturally (see for instance [12]).

Example 1.18. An orthogonal vector bundle isomorphism $\Phi : E \rightarrow E'$ covering a diffeomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\Phi[X, Y]_E = [\Phi X, \Phi Y]_{E'}$ and $\rho_{E'}\Phi(X) = (\phi_*, id)\rho_E(X)$ defines an isomorphism between Courant-Jacobi algebroids.

Example 1.19. A Courant-Jacobi morphism from E to the zero Courant algebroid over a point is the same as a Dirac structure of the Courant-Jacobi algebroid E .

Example 1.20. Given a smooth map $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$ there is a standard Courant-Jacobi morphism between $\mathcal{E}^1(M) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{E}^1(M') = (TM' \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M' \times \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$L_\phi = \{((X, \phi^*f) + (\phi^*\alpha, \phi^*g), (\phi_*X, f) + (\alpha, g)) \mid X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M), \alpha \in \Omega^1(M'), f, g \in C^\infty(M')\}.$$

Example 1.21. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a Courant-Jacobi algebroid and $\phi : M \rightarrow M \times M$ the diagonal map. The vector subbundle of $E \times \overline{E} \times \overline{\mathcal{E}^1(M)}$ over $\text{graph } \phi$,

$$L = \{(X, X - \rho_E^*(\alpha, f), \rho_E(X) + (\alpha, f)) \mid X \in \Gamma(E), (\alpha, f) \in \Gamma(T^*M \times \mathbb{R})\}$$

is a Courant-Jacobi morphism between $E \times \overline{E}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}^1(M)}$.

Theorem 1.22. Let $E_1 = A \oplus A^*$ and $E_2 = B \oplus B^*$ be doubles of quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids $(A, \phi_A, \tilde{d}_{*A}, X_A)$ and $(B, \phi_B, \tilde{d}_{*B}, X_B)$ and $(\Phi, \phi) : A \rightarrow B$ a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism, then

$$F = \{(a + \Phi^*b^*, \Phi a + b^*) \mid a \in A_x \text{ and } b^* \in B_{\phi(x)}^*, x \in M\} \subset E_1 \times \overline{E_2}$$

is a Dirac structure supported on $\text{graph } \phi$, i.e. F is a Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism.

Proof. Consider the following vector bundles over M (or $\text{graph } \phi \simeq M$)

$$L_x = \text{graph } \Phi_x = \{(a, \Phi_x a) \mid a \in A_x\} \subset A_x \times B_{\phi(x)}$$

and

$$L_x^\perp = \{(\Phi^*b^*, -b^*) \mid b^* \in B_{\phi(x)}^*\} \subset A_x^* \times B_{\phi(x)}^*.$$

Since Φ is a Jacobi algebroid morphism, L is clearly a Lie subalgebroid of $A \times B$ (when seen as a vector bundle over $\text{graph } \phi \simeq M$). Analogously, we can also conclude that L^\perp is closed for the bracket on $A^* \times B^*$ (where B^* denotes the vector bundle B^* with bracket $-[\cdot, \cdot]_{B^*}$) and it is compatible with the anchor $\rho_{A^* \times B^*} = (\rho_{A^*}, -\rho_{B^*})$. Since $\Phi X_A = X_B$, we conclude that $L \oplus L^\perp$ is a Dirac structure supported on $\text{graph } \phi$ of the double $(A \times B) \oplus (A^* \times B^*)$ which is the Courant-Jacobi algebroid $(A \oplus A^*) \times (B \oplus B^*)$. Finally, observe that the vector bundle morphism $b + b^* \mapsto b - b^*$ induces a canonical isomorphism between F and $L \oplus L^\perp$ and the result follows. \square

Corollary 1.23. Let $E_1 = A \oplus A^*$ and $E_2 = B \oplus B^*$ be doubles of quasi-Lie bialgebroids (A, d_{*A}, X_A) and (B, d_{*B}, X_B) and $(\Phi, \phi) : A \rightarrow B$ a quasi-Lie bialgebroid morphism, then

$$F = \{(a + \Phi^*b^*, \Phi a + b^*) \mid a \in A_x \text{ and } b^* \in B_{\phi(x)}^*, x \in M\} \subset E_1 \times \overline{E_2}$$

is a Courant algebroid morphism.

2. JACOBI-QUASI-NIJENHUIS ALGEBROIDS

Let (A, ϕ) be a Jacobi algebroid. Recall that the induced Lie algebroid structure from A by ϕ on the vector bundle $\hat{A} = A \times \mathbb{R}$ over $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the anchor

$$(10) \quad \hat{\rho}(X) = \rho(X) + \langle \phi, X \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad X \in \Gamma(A),$$

and the bracket induced by $[\cdot, \cdot]$ for time independent multivectors

$$(11) \quad [P, Q]_{\hat{A}} = [P, Q], \quad P, Q \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A).$$

The differential in \hat{A} induced by (A, ϕ) is denoted by \hat{d} . The differential obtained in \hat{A} when $\phi = 0$ is denoted by \tilde{d} .

Let (A, ϕ) be a Jacobi algebroid and suppose that a Jacobi bivector is given on A , i.e., a bivector $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ such that $[\pi, \pi]^\phi = 0$. It follows that $\tilde{\pi} = e^{-t}\pi$ is a Poisson bivector on \hat{A} and, consequently, it defines a Lie algebroid structure on \hat{A}^* (over $M \times \mathbb{R}$) given by

$$(12) \quad [\alpha, \beta]_{\tilde{\pi}} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\pi}^\sharp \alpha} \beta - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\pi}^\sharp \beta} \alpha - \hat{d}\tilde{\pi}(\alpha, \beta),$$

$$(13) \quad \hat{\rho}_*(\alpha) = \hat{\rho} \circ \tilde{\pi}^\sharp(\alpha)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(\hat{A}^*)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is the Lie derivative in \hat{A} . In particular, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, we have

$$(14) \quad [e^t\alpha, e^t\beta]_{\hat{\pi}} = e^t(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\alpha}^\phi\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\beta}^\phi\alpha - d^\phi\pi(\alpha, \beta)).$$

The Lie bracket

$$(15) \quad [\alpha, \beta]_{\pi} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\alpha}^\phi\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\beta}^\phi\alpha - d^\phi\pi(\alpha, \beta),$$

together with the anchor

$$(16) \quad \rho_* = \rho \circ \pi^\sharp,$$

endows A^* with a Lie algebroid structure over M .

In order to introduce Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroids we recall now the notion of torsion of a vector bundle map in a Jacobi algebroid.

Let (A, ϕ) , with $A = (A, [,], \rho)$, be a Jacobi algebroid over a manifold M . The torsion of a vector bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ (over the identity) is defined by

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) := [NX, NY] - N[X, Y]_N, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(A),$$

where $[,]_N$ is given by:

$$[X, Y]_N := [NX, Y] + [X, NY] - N[X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(A).$$

When $\mathcal{T}_N = 0$, the vector bundle map N is called a *Nijenhuis operator*, the triple $A_N = (A, [,]_N, \rho_N = \rho \circ N)$ is a new Lie algebroid and $\phi_1 = N^*\phi$ is a 1-cocycle of A_N so that (A_N, ϕ_1) is a new Jacobi algebroid. Finally, $N : (A_N, \phi_1) \rightarrow (A, \phi)$ is an example of Jacobi algebroid morphism.

Now assume that also a Jacobi bivector $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ is given on (A, ϕ) .

Definition 2.1. On a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ) with a Jacobi bivector $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, we say that a vector bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ is *compatible* with π if $N\pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp N^*$ and the *Magri-Morosi concomitant* vanishes:

$$\mathcal{C}(\pi, N)(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} - [\alpha, \beta]_{\pi}^{N^*} = 0,$$

where $[,]_{N\pi}$ is the bracket defined by the bivector field $N\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$, and $[,]_{\pi}^{N^*}$ is the Lie bracket obtained from the Lie bracket $[,]_{\pi}$ by deformation along the tensor N^* .

Definition 2.2. A *Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid* $(A, \phi, \pi, N, \varphi)$ is a Jacobi algebroid (A, ϕ) equipped with a Jacobi bivector π , a vector bundle map $N : A \rightarrow A$ compatible with π and a 3-form $\varphi \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 A^*)$ such that $d^\phi\varphi = 0$ (i.e. φ is d^ϕ -closed), and

$$\mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) = -\pi^\sharp(i_{X \wedge Y}\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad d^\phi(i_N\varphi) = 0,$$

where i_N is the degree-zero derivation of $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$ given, for the case of a 3-form φ , by

$$i_N\varphi(X, Y, Z) = \varphi(NX, Y, Z) + \varphi(X, NY, Z) + \varphi(X, Y, NZ).$$

In particular, when $\phi = 0$, we have a *Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid* (A, π, N, φ) [1, 20].

Proposition 2.3. *If $(A, \phi, \pi, N, \varphi)$ is a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid, then its Poissonization $(\hat{A}, e^{-t}\pi, N, e^t\varphi)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid.*

Proof. It is well known that $(\hat{A}, e^{-t}\pi)$ is a Poisson Lie algebroid over $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Also we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}(e^t\varphi) &= e^t d^\phi\varphi = 0, \\ \hat{d}(i_N e^t\varphi) &= \hat{d}(e^t i_N\varphi) = e^t d^\phi(i_N\varphi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) &= -\pi^\#(i_{X \wedge Y} \varphi) \\ &= -(e^{-t}\pi)^\#(i_{X \wedge Y} e^t \varphi),\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that $(\hat{A}, e^{-t}\pi, N, e^t \varphi)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid. \square

When $(A, \phi) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$ and $\mathcal{N} : TM \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TM \times \mathbb{R}$ is the $C^\infty(M)$ -linear map given, for any section (X, f) of $\Gamma(TM \times \mathbb{R})$, by $\mathcal{N}(X, f) = (NX + fY, i_X \gamma + fg)$, where N is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field on M , $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $g \in C^\infty(M)$, we may introduce the notion of Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis manifold.

Definition 2.4. A *Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis manifold* is a Jacobi manifold (M, Λ, E) together with a $C^\infty(M)$ -linear map $\mathcal{N} := (N, Y, \gamma, g) : TM \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TM \times \mathbb{R}$ compatible with (Λ, E) and a 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}_N = -(\Lambda, E)^\# \circ (d\omega, \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad d^{(0,1)}(i_{\mathcal{N}}(d\omega, \omega)) = 0.$$

In the case where $\omega = 0$, $(M, (\Lambda, E), \mathcal{N})$ is a *Jacobi-Nijenhuis manifold* [17].

Let us now consider the Poissonization $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Lambda})$ of the Jacobi manifold (M, Λ, E) , i.e. $\hat{M} = M \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{\Lambda} = e^{-t}(\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E)$, and the tensor field \hat{N} of type $(1, 1)$ on \hat{M} given by

$$\hat{N} = N + Y \otimes dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \gamma + g \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes dt.$$

Proposition 2.5. *The quadruple $(M, (\Lambda, E), \mathcal{N}, \omega)$ is a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis manifold if and only if $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{N}, \hat{d}(e^t \omega))$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifold.*

Proof. It is known [17] that \mathcal{N} is compatible with (Λ, E) if and only if \hat{N} is compatible with $\hat{\Lambda}$. Moreover, a direct computation shows that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\hat{N}}((X_1, f_1), (X_2, f_2)) = -(\Lambda, E)^\#((d\omega, \omega)((X_1, f_1), (X_2, f_2), -))$$

is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{T}_{\hat{N}}\left(X_1 + f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_2 + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) = -\hat{\Lambda}^\#\left(\hat{d}(e^t \omega)\left(X_1 + f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_2 + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, -\right)\right),$$

for all $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M)$, $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Finally,

$$i_{\mathcal{N}}(d\omega, \omega) = (i_N d\omega, i_Y d\omega) + (\gamma \wedge \omega, g\omega) + (0, i_N \omega)$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{d}(i_{\hat{N}} \hat{d}(e^t \omega))\left(X_1 + f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_2 + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_3 + f_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) &= \\ &= e^t(d^{(0,1)}(i_N d\omega, i_Y d\omega) + d^{(0,1)}(\gamma \wedge \omega, g\omega) \\ &\quad - (0, 1) \wedge (di_N \omega, -di_Y \omega))((X_1, f_1), (X_2, f_2), (X_3, f_3)),\end{aligned}$$

the proof is completed. \square

The main aim of this section is to prove that for any Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid there is an associated quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid structure on its dual.

Theorem 2.6. *If $(A, \phi, \pi, N, \varphi)$ is a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid, then $(A_\pi^*, W, d_N^{N^* \phi}, \varphi)$ is a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid, where $W = -\pi^\#(\phi)$.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.3 we know that $(\hat{A}, \tilde{\pi}, N, e^t\varphi)$, with $\tilde{\pi} = e^{-t}\pi$, is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid. By [1, Theorem 3.2] it follows that $(\hat{A}_{\tilde{\pi}}^*, \hat{d}_N, e^t\varphi)$ is a quasi-Lie bialgebroid. Notice that \hat{d}_N is the derivation associated to \hat{A}_N , i.e., to the deformation by the tensor N of the Lie algebroid \hat{A} . Consider now A_N , i.e., the deformation by the tensor N of the Lie algebroid A . Since N is not Nijenhuis, then A_N is not necessarily a Lie algebroid. Nevertheless, the procedure described in (10), (11) can be applied to $(A_N, N^*\phi)$ obtaining a new bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\widehat{A}_N}$ and a new anchor $\widehat{\rho}_N$ on \widehat{A} or, equivalently, a differential \widehat{d}_N . This differential coincides with \hat{d}_N because, for $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_{\widehat{A}_N} &:= [NX, Y]_{\widehat{A}} + [X, NY]_{\widehat{A}} - N[X, Y]_{\widehat{A}} \\ &= [NX, Y]_A + [X, NY]_A - N[X, Y]_A = [X, Y]_{A_N} = [X, Y]_{\widehat{A}_N} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\rho}_N(X) &:= \widehat{\rho} \circ N(X) = \rho(NX) + \langle \phi, NX \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ &= \rho \circ N(X) + \langle N^*\phi, X \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ &= \widehat{\rho}_N(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\hat{A}_{\tilde{\pi}}^*, \hat{d}_N, e^t\varphi) = (\hat{A}_{\tilde{\pi}}^*, \widehat{d}_N, e^t\varphi)$ and this is known to induce a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid structure on A^* : $(A_{\tilde{\pi}}^*, W, d_N^{N^*\phi}, \varphi)$ (see [16, Theorem 4.1]). \square

For the case of Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds we have an equivalence:

Proposition 2.7. *The quadruple $(M, (\Lambda, E), \mathcal{N}, \omega)$ is a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis manifold if and only if $((T^*M \times \mathbb{R})_{(\Lambda, E)}, (-E, 0), d_N^{(\gamma, g)}, (d\omega, \omega))$ is a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.5, $(M, (\Lambda, E), \mathcal{N}, \omega)$ is a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis manifold if and only if $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Lambda}, \hat{N}, e^t\omega)$ is a Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifold, which is equivalent to $((T^*\hat{M})_{\hat{\Lambda}}, \hat{d}_{\hat{N}}, e^t\omega)$ being a quasi-Lie bialgebroid over \hat{M} [20]. This, in turn, is equivalent to $((T^*M \times \mathbb{R})_{(\Lambda, E)}, (-E, 0), d_N^{(\gamma, g)}, (d\omega, \omega))$ being a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid over M [16]. Notice that $(\Lambda, E)^\sharp(0, 1) = (E, 0)$ and $\mathcal{N}^*(0, 1) = (\gamma, g)$. \square

Suppose $(A, \phi, \pi, N, \varphi)$ is a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid. The double of the quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid $(A_{\tilde{\pi}}^*, W, d_N^{N^*\phi}, \varphi)$ is a Courant-Jacobi algebroid (see Example 1.12) that we denote by $E_{\tilde{\pi}}^\varphi$.

An interesting case is when the 3-form φ is the image by N^* of another d^ϕ -closed 3-form ψ :

$$\varphi = N^*\psi \quad \text{and} \quad d^\phi\psi = 0.$$

In this case $(A, \phi, N\pi, \psi)$ is a twisted Jacobi algebroid because

$$[N\pi, N\pi]^\phi = 2\pi^\sharp(\varphi) = 2\pi^\sharp(N^*\psi) = 2N\pi^\sharp(\psi)$$

and A^* has a structure of Lie algebroid: $A_{N\pi}^{*\psi} = (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{N\pi}^\psi, N\pi^\sharp)$ with 1-cocycle $W_1 = -N\pi^\sharp(\phi)$ (see [16]).

Equipping A with the differential d' given by

$$d'f = df, \quad \text{and} \quad d'\alpha = d\alpha - i_{N\pi^\sharp\alpha}\psi,$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^*)$, we obtain a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid: $(A_{N\pi}^{*\psi}, W, d', \phi, \psi)$ [16]. Its double is a Courant-Jacobi algebroid and we denote it by $E_{N\pi}^\psi$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $(A, \phi, \pi, N, \varphi)$ be a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroid and suppose that $\varphi = N^*\psi$, for some d^ϕ -closed 3-form ψ , then*

$$F = \{(a + N^*\alpha, Na + \alpha) \mid a \in A \text{ and } \alpha \in A^*\} \subset E_{N\pi}^\psi \times \overline{E_\pi^\varphi}$$

defines a Courant-Jacobi algebroid morphism between $E_{N\pi}^\psi$ and E_π^φ .

In order to prove the theorem, we need to remark the following property.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(A, \phi, \pi, N, \varphi)$ be a Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis Lie algebroid, then*

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \varphi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, X),$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. The compatibility between N and π implies that (see [10])

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{T}_N(X, \pi^\sharp\beta) \rangle,$$

so

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta), X \rangle = \langle \alpha, -\pi^\sharp(i_{X \wedge \pi^\sharp\beta}\varphi) \rangle = -\varphi(X, \pi^\sharp\beta, \pi^\sharp\alpha) = \varphi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, X).$$

□

Proof of the Theorem. First notice that $N^* : A_{N\pi}^{*\psi} \rightarrow A_\pi^*$ is a Jacobi algebroid morphism because it is obviously compatible with the anchors, $NW = -N\pi^\sharp\phi = W_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N^*[\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi}^\psi &= N^*[\alpha, \beta]_{N\pi} + N^*\psi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, -) \\ &= [N^*\alpha, N^*\beta]_\pi - \mathcal{T}_{N^*}(\alpha, \beta) + \varphi(\pi^\sharp\alpha, \pi^\sharp\beta, -) = [N^*\alpha, N^*\beta]_\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[\cdot, \cdot]'$ be the bracket on the sections of A induced by the differential d' . First notice that N^* preserves all the cocycles. Also

$$[X, f]' = \langle d'f, X \rangle = \langle df, X \rangle,$$

so $N^*d'f = d_Nf$, for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(A)$. And since

$$[X, Y]' = [X, Y] - (N\pi)^\sharp(\psi(X, Y, -)),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} N[X, Y]_N &= [NX, NY] - \mathcal{T}_N(X, Y) = [NX, NY] + \pi^\sharp(i_{X \wedge Y}N^*\psi) \\ &= [NX, NY] + \psi(NX, NY, N\pi^\sharp-) = [NX, NY]', \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$.

In this way we may conclude that $N^* : A_{N\pi}^{*\psi} \rightarrow A_\pi^*$ is a quasi-Jacobi bialgebroid morphism (see Definition 1.6) and the result follows from Theorem 1.22. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by CMUC/FCT. The first and third author also acknowledge partial financial support by projects PTDC/MAT/69635/2006 and PTDC/MAT/099880/2008. The second author acknowledges partial financial support by a FCT/Ciência 2008 research fellowship and a Juan de la Cierva postdoctoral grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] Antunes, P.: Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis structures with background. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **86** (2008), 33–45.
- [2] Alekseev, A. and Xu, P.: Derived brackets and Courant algebroids. *Unpublished manuscript*.
- [3] Bursztyn, H., Iglesias Ponte, D. and Ševera, P.: Courant morphisms and moment maps. *Math. Res. Lett.* **16** (2009), 215–232.
- [4] Caseiro, R., Nunes da Costa, J. M.: Jacobi-Nijenhuis algebroids and their modular classes. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007), 13311–13330.
- [5] Grabowski J. and Marmo G.: Jacobi structures revisited. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001), 10975–10990.
- [6] Grabowski J. and Marmo G.: The graded Jacobi algebras and (co)homology. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003), 161–181.
- [7] Grabowski, J. and Urbanski, P.: Lie algebroids and Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **40** (1997), 195–208.
- [8] Iglesias-Ponte, D., Lie Groups and Groupoids and Jacobi structures, Ph.D. thesis, 2003.
- [9] Iglesias-Ponte, D. and Marrero J.C.: Generalized Lie bialgebroids and Jacobi structures, *J. Geom. Phys.* **40** (2001), 176–199.
- [10] Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y. and Magri, F.: Poisson-Nijenhuis structures. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35–81.
- [11] Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.: Quasi, twisted, and all that ... In Poisson geometry and Lie algebroid theory. In: Marsden, J.E., Ratiu, T.S. (eds.) The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 232, pp. 363-389. Birkhauser, Boston (2005)
- [12] Li-Bland, D. and Meinrenken, E.: Courant algebroids and Poisson geometry. *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2009).
- [13] Liu, Z.-J., Weinstein, A. and Xu, P.: Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids. *J. Diff. Geom.* **45** (1997), 547–574.
- [14] Nunes da Costa, J.M., Clemente-Gallardo J.: Dirac structures for generalized Lie bialgebroids. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004), 2671–2692.
- [15] Nunes da Costa, J.M., Petalidou, F.: Twisted Jacobi manifolds, twisted Dirac-Jacobi structures and quasi-Jacobi bialgebroids. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 10449–10475.
- [16] Nunes da Costa, J.M., Petalidou, F.: On quasi-Jacobi and Jacobi-quasi bialgebroids. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **80** (2007), 155–169.
- [17] Petalidou, F., Nunes da Costa, J.M.: Local structure of Jacobi-Nijenhuis manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.* **45** (2003), 323–367.
- [18] Roytenberg, D.: Quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61** (2002), 123–137.
- [19] Sheng, Y.: Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroids. *arXiv:0903.4332*.
- [20] Stiénon, M. and Xu, P.: Poisson Quasi-Nijenhuis Manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **50** (2007), 709–725.

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: raquel@mat.uc.pt

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: antondenicola@gmail.com

CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: jmcosta@mat.uc.pt