

# Morse Theory of Attractors via Lyapunov Functions

Desheng Li

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Tianjin University  
Tianjin 300072, China

*E-mail:* lidsmath@tju.edu.cn, lidsmath@hotmail.com

## Abstract

This paper is concerned with the Morse theory of attractors for semiflows on complete metric spaces. First, we construct global Morse-Lyapunov functions for Morse decompositions of attractors. Then we extend some well known deformation results in the critical-point theory to Morse-Lyapunov functions which are only continuous. Based on these works, we finally introduce the concept of critical groups for Morse sets and establish Morse inequalities and Morse equations for attractors.

**Keywords:** Semiflow, attractor, Morse decomposition, Morse set, Morse-Lyapunov function, deformation lemma, critical group, Morse equation, Morse inequality.

**Running Head:** Morse Theory of Attractors.

**Date:** April 20, 2009.

# 1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the Morse theory of attractors for semiflows on complete (not necessarily locally compact) metric spaces.

The attractors of a semiflow are of crucial importance, this is because that much of the longtime dynamics is represented by the dynamics on and near the attractors. Of special interest is the global attractor. Of course not every semiflow has a global attractor. However many dissipative systems do, and when this global attractor exists, it is the depository of “all” the longtime dynamics of the given system.

The existence of attractors (especially attractors for infinite dimensional systems) has been extensively studied in the past decades for both autonomous and nonautonomous systems; see, e.g., [2, 7, 8, 15, 16, 19, 23, 28, 32, 31, 35] and [36]. In most examples coming from different evolution problems, one can give an estimate on the Hausdorff (or fractional, or informational) dimension of an attractor. It can even be proved for an infinite-dimensional system that the global attractor is actually contained in a finite-dimensional manifold. Indeed, should such a manifold exist, the analysis of the longtime behavior of the original system will be reduced to the finite-dimensional case. This question is addressed by the theory of inertial manifolds; see [11, 35] for details. On the other hand, even in the finite-dimensional situation, if the attractor of a dissipative system has pathological geometry, then the asymptotic behavior of the system can be very complicated, as in the case of the Lorenz one. To have a better understanding on the dynamics of a semiflow it is thus necessary for us to take a look at the structure of the flow inside its attractors.

Morse decomposition describes geometric and topological structures of flows inside attractors and invariant sets. It has important applications not only in dynamical systems theory itself, but also in many other different areas; see, e.g., [6, 9, 15, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 29]. It is well known that an attractor of a gradient system with finite number of equilibria has a natural Morse decomposition with each Morse set being precisely an equilibrium. The general theory concerning Morse decompositions for autonomous systems can be found in the original work of Conley [10] (see also [1, 25, 29]). Extensions to non-autonomous systems can be found in [27], and to random dynamical systems in [12, 22] etc.

In this present work we want to go a further step towards Morse decomposition theory of attractors for semidynamical systems on complete metric spaces. Our main purpose is to develop an easy approach which allows us to work with Morse theory of attractors completely in the framework of the classical Morse theory for smooth functionals. First, we construct some nice global Morse-Lyapunov functions for Morse decompositions of attractors, where *global* means that the functions are defined on the whole attraction basins of attractors in comparison with those in the literature which only have definitions on the attractors themselves. More precisely, let  $X$  be a complete

metric space, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor of the semiflow  $S(t)$  on  $X$  with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$  and Morse decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$ . We will construct a Lyapunov function  $V \in C(\Omega)$  such that

- (1)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} V(x) = +\infty$ ; (radial unboundedness)
- (2)  $V$  is constant on each Morse set with

$$V(M_1) < V(M_2) < \dots < V(M_l); \quad (1.1)$$

- (3) there is a nonnegative function  $v \in C(\Omega)$  with  $v(x) > 0$  (in  $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{R}$ ) such that

$$D^+V(x) < -v(x), \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{R},$$

where  $D^+V(x)$  is the Dini derivative of  $V$  along the flow, and

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq l} M_k.$$

In addition, if  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , then for any given  $k \geq 1$  we can construct  $V$  so that it belongs to  $C^k(\Omega)$ .

Since Morse decomposition theory plays an important role in the dynamical theory of nonlinear control systems [6, 9, 18], such global Morse-Lyapunov functions can be expected to have significant applications in the design of feedback controls for nonlinear systems, therefore they are also of independent interest.

Second, we are interested in the deformation of level sets of Morse-Lyapunov functions. As one of our main concerns here, we will try to extend some classical deformation results in the critical-point theory on smooth functionals to Morse-Lyapunov functions of semiflows. Specifically, let  $V$  be a strict Morse-Lyapunov function of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\Omega$ . We will show that if  $V^{-1}([a, b])$  contains no Morse sets, then  $V_a$  is a strong deformation retract of  $V_b$ , where  $V_R$  denotes the level set of  $V$ ,

$$V_R = \{x \in \Omega \mid V(x) \leq R\}.$$

If  $M_k$  consists of exactly one equilibrium of the semiflow, we further prove that  $V_c$  is a strong deformation retract of  $V_b$ , where  $c = V(M_k)$ , and  $b > c$  is any number such that  $V^{-1}((c, b])$  contains no Morse sets.

Deformation results are of crucial importance in the critical-point theory and variational methods [4, 34]. Note that our results here only require continuity of Morse-Lyapunov functions, therefore we hope that some ideas and techniques used here will be helpful in developing the critical-point theory for nonsmooth variational functionals.

Third, we try to develop Morse theory of attractors completely in the framework of the classical Morse theory by using global Morse-Lyapunov functions and deformation

lemmas. First, we introduce the concept of critical groups for Morse sets. Let  $V$  be a strict Morse-Lyapunov function (i.e.,  $V$  satisfies (1.1)), and let  $a < c < b$  be such that  $c = V(M_k)$  is the unique generalized critical value of  $V$  in  $[a, b]$ . (Generalized critical values are the values of  $V$  on Morse sets.) Then we define the critical group  $C_*(M_k)$  of  $M_k$  to be the sequence of singular homology groups:

$$C_q(M_k) = H_q(V_b, V_a), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $H_*$  denotes the usual relative singular homology theory of space pairs. We show that  $C_*(M_k)$  is independent of the choice of numbers  $a$  and  $b$  as well as the Morse-Lyapunov functions. As a matter of fact, we actually prove that

$$C_q(M_k) \cong H_q(W_k, W_{k-1}) \tag{1.2}$$

for any positively invariant neighborhoods  $W_k \subset \Omega(A_k)$  of  $A_k$  and  $W_{k-1} \subset \Omega(A_{k-1})$  of  $A_{k-1}$ , where

$$\emptyset = A_0 \not\subset A_1 \not\subset \dots \not\subset A_l = \mathcal{A}$$

is the Morse filtration of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\Omega(A_k)$  denotes the attraction basin of  $A_k$ . (Lemma 2.8 below implies  $\Omega(A_k)$  is an open neighborhood of  $A_k$ .) This makes the computation of the critical groups easier and more flexible.

Then we establish Morse inequalities and equations for attractors. Let

$$m_q = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} \text{rank } C_q(M_k)$$

be the  $q$ -th Morse type number of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We prove that if all the critical groups are of finite rank, then for any  $q \geq 0$  we have

$$m_q - m_{q-1} + \dots + (-1)^q m_0 \geq \beta_q - \beta_{q-1} + \dots + (-1)^q \beta_0, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\beta_q$  is the  $q$ -th Betti number of the attraction basin  $\Omega$ . In addition,

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q m_q = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \beta_q = \chi(\Omega), \tag{1.4}$$

provided that the left-hand side of the above equation is convergent, where  $\chi(\Omega)$  is the Euler number of  $\Omega$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the global attractor, then  $\beta_q$  is precisely the  $q$ -th Betti number of the phase space  $X$ . In such a case it is interesting to note that the right-hand sides of (1.3) and (1.4) are independent of the concrete systems and attractors. This suggests that the Euler number  $\chi(X)$  is somewhat an invariant for dissipative systems on  $X$ .

A very particular but important case is that  $X = M^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional compact  $C^1$ -manifold, in which all the critical groups are of finite rank. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$

be a Morse decomposition of  $M^n$  induced by any semiflow  $S(t)$  on  $M^n$ . Then (1.3) and (1.4) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &\geq \beta_0, \\ m_1 - m_0 &\geq \beta_1 - \beta_0, \\ &\dots\dots \\ m_n - m_{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n m_0 &= \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \beta_0 = \chi(M^n). \end{aligned}$$

The quotient critical group  $\tilde{C}_*(M_k)$  of Morse sets and Morse inequalities and equations are also addressed in the quotient phase space  $\tilde{X}$ .

Morse theory of attractors can be established by using Conley index theory; see [25, 29, 30]. Because of the potentially pathological geometry of attractors and Morse sets, in general the computation of homotopy types of these sets may be somewhat a difficult problem. Recently Kapitanski and Rodnianski developed an alternative approach to construct Morse theory of attractors by making use of the shape theory and Čech homologies [17]. In contrast, the approach here seems to be more direct and simple, and is easier to be handled.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to some preliminary works, and Section 3 is concerned with Lyapunov functions of attractors. In Section 4 we construct strict Morse-Lyapunov functions for Morse decompositions, and in Section 5 we prove two deformation lemmas. Section 6 consists of the discussions on critical groups of Morse sets and Morse inequalities as well as Morse equations of attractors.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic definitions and facts in the theory of dynamical systems for semiflows on complete metric spaces.

### 2.1 Semiflows

Let  $X$  be a complete metric space with metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . For convenience we will always identify a singleton  $\{x\}$  with the point  $x \in X$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of  $X$ . Define the distance  $d(A, B)$  between  $A$  and  $B$  as

$$d(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} d(x, y).$$

The closure and interior of  $A$  are denoted by  $\bar{A}$  and  $\text{int } A$ . A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is called a neighborhood of  $A$ , this means that  $\bar{A} \subset \text{int } U$ . The boundary and  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $A$  are defined, respectively, as

$$\partial A = \bar{A} \setminus \text{int } A, \quad B(A, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(y, A) < \varepsilon\}.$$

**Definition 2.1** A semiflow (semidynamical system) on  $X$  is a continuous mapping  $S : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X \rightarrow X$  that satisfies

$$S(0, x) = x, \quad S(t + s, x) = S(t, S(s, x))$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t, s \geq 0$ .

We usually write  $S(t, x)$  as  $S(t)x$ . Therefore a semiflow  $S$  can be viewed as a family of operators  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying:

$$S(0) = \text{id}_X, \quad S(t + s) = S(t)S(s) \quad (\forall t, s \geq 0).$$

**Remark 2.2** Since  $S(t)x$  is continuous in  $(t, x)$ , it is uniformly continuous in  $(t, x)$  on any compact set  $[0, T] \times K \subset \mathbb{R}^+ \times X$ . Consequently we see that if  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , then for any  $T > 0$ ,  $S(t)x_n$  converges to  $S(t)x_0$  uniformly with respect to  $t$  on any compact interval  $[0, T]$ .

From now on we will always assume that there has been given a semidynamical system  $S(t)$  on  $X$ ; moreover, we assume  $S(t)$  is **asymptotically compact**, that is,  $S(t)$  satisfies the following assumption:

(AC) For any bounded sequence  $x_n \in X$  and  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , if the sequence  $S(t_n)x_n$  is bounded, then it has a convergent subsequence.

The asymptotic compactness property (AC) is fulfilled by a large number of infinite dimensional semiflows generated by PDEs in applications [32].

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. A trajectory  $\gamma$  of  $S(t)$  on  $I$  is a mapping  $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $\gamma(t) = S(t - s)\gamma(s)$  for any  $s, t \in I$  with  $s \leq t$ . In case  $I = (-\infty, +\infty)$ , we will simply say that  $\gamma$  is a complete trajectory. A complete trajectory  $\gamma$  through  $x \in X$  means a complete trajectory with  $\gamma(0) = x$ .

The following basic fact can be deduced by using the asymptotic compactness property of  $S(t)$  and Remark 2.2.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $x_n$  be a bounded sequence of  $X$ . Assume that  $S(t)x_n$  is contained in a bounded subset  $B$  of  $X$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . For any sequence  $\tau_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , define

$$\gamma_n(t) = S(\tau_n + t)x_n, \quad t \in (-\tau_n, +\infty).$$

Then there is a subsequence of  $\gamma_n$  that converges to a complete trajectory  $\gamma$  of  $S(t)$  uniformly on any compact interval.

## 2.2 Attractors

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ .

We say that  $A$  attracts  $B \subset X$ , this means that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $T > 0$  such that

$$S(t)B \subset B(A, \varepsilon), \quad \forall t > T.$$

The attraction basin of  $A$ , denoted by  $\Omega(A)$ , is defined as

$$\Omega(A) = \{x \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(S(t)x, A) = 0\}.$$

The set  $A$  is said to be positively invariant (resp. invariant), if

$$S(t)A \subset A \quad (\text{resp. } S(t)A = A), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(A)$  of  $A$  is defined as

$$\omega(A) = \{y \in X \mid \exists x_n \in A \text{ and } t_n \rightarrow +\infty \text{ such that } S(t_n)x_n \rightarrow y\}.$$

Let  $\gamma$  be a trajectory on  $(t_0, +\infty)$  (resp.  $(-\infty, t_0)$ ). We define the  $\omega$ -limit set (resp.  $\alpha$ -limit set) of  $\gamma$  as follows:

$$\omega(\gamma) = \{y \in X \mid \exists t_n \rightarrow +\infty \text{ such that } \gamma(t_n) \rightarrow y\}.$$

$$\alpha(\gamma) = \{y \in X \mid \exists t_n \rightarrow -\infty \text{ such that } \gamma(t_n) \rightarrow y\}.$$

The proofs of the basic facts listed below can be found in [32], we omit the details.

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $A \subset X$ . If  $\bigcup_{t \geq t_0} S(t)A$  is bounded for some  $t_0 > 0$ , then  $\omega(A)$  is a nonempty compact invariant set of  $S(t)$ . In addition,  $\omega(A)$  attracts  $A$ .*

*If  $A$  is connected, then so is  $\omega(A)$ .*

**Definition 2.5** *A compact set  $\mathcal{A} \subset X$  is said to be an attractor of  $S(t)$ , if it is invariant and attracts a neighborhood  $U$  of itself.*

*An attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be the global attractor of  $S(t)$ , if it attracts each bounded subset of  $X$ .*

**Proposition 2.6** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ . Then*

- (1) *for any  $x \in \Omega$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  attracts  $B(x, \varepsilon)$ , hence  $\Omega$  is open;*
- (2)  *$\mathcal{A}$  attracts each compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$ ;*
- (3)  *$\mathcal{A}$  is Lyapunov stable.*

**Theorem 2.7 (Existence of attractors)** *Assume that there is a bounded closed subset  $A \subset X$  which attracts a neighborhood of itself.*

*Then the semiflow  $S(t)$  has an attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  contained in  $A$ .*

### 2.3 Morse decomposition of attractors

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor of  $S(t)$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is invariant, the restriction  $S_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$  of  $S(t)$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is also a semidynamical system.

A compact set  $A$  is said to be an attractor of  $S(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , this means that  $A$  is an attractor of the restricted system  $S_{\mathcal{A}}(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 2.8** [17, 20] *Let  $A$  be an attractor of  $S(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then it is an attractor of  $S(t)$  in  $X$ .*

For an attractor  $A$  of  $S(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , define

$$A^* = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid \omega(x) \cap A = \emptyset\}. \quad (2.1)$$

$A^*$  is said to be the **repeller** of  $S(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  dual to  $A$ , and  $(A, A^*)$  is said to be an **attractor-repeller pair** in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A repeller  $A^*$  is invariant; moreover,

$$A^* = \mathcal{A} \setminus \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(A) = \mathcal{A} \setminus \Omega(A), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(A)$  is the attraction basin of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ; see, e.g., [17, 20].

**Definition 2.9** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor. An ordered collection  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a Morse decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , if there exists an increasing sequence*

$$\emptyset = A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq A_l = \mathcal{A} \quad (2.3)$$

*of attractors of  $S(t)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that*

$$M_k = A_k \cap A_{k-1}^*, \quad 1 \leq k \leq l. \quad (2.4)$$

For convenience, the attractor sequence in (2.3) will be referred to as a **Morse filtration**, and each  $M_k$  in (2.4) a **Morse set**.

It is well known that an attractor of a gradient system with finite number of equilibria has a natural Morse decomposition with each Morse set being precisely an equilibrium. This was used by many authors to study continuity of attractors with respect to perturbations [3, 9, 13, 15, 21, 28].

**Theorem 2.10** [17] *Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$  be a Morse decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  with Morse filtration*

$$\emptyset = A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq A_l = \mathcal{A}.$$

*Then*

- (1) *for each  $k$ ,  $(A_{k-1}, M_k)$  is an attractor-repeller pair in  $A_k$ ;*



- (2)  $M_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq l$ ) are pair-wise disjoint invariant compact sets;
- (3) if  $\gamma$  is a complete trajectory, then either  $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \subset M_k$  for some  $k$ , or else there are indices  $i < j$  such that  $\alpha(\gamma) \subset M_j$  and  $\omega(\gamma) \subset M_i$ ;
- (4) the attractors  $A_k$  are uniquely determined by the Morse sets, that is,

$$A_k = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} W^u(M_i), \quad 1 \leq k \leq l,$$

where

$$W^u(M_i) = \{\gamma(0) \mid \gamma \text{ is a complete trajectory in } \mathcal{A} \text{ with } \alpha(\gamma) \subset M_i\}.$$

**Theorem 2.11** [17] *Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$  be an ordered collection of pairwise disjoint compact invariant subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and every complete trajectory  $\gamma$  through  $x$ , we have either  $\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \subset M_i$  for some  $i$ , or else there are indices  $i < j$  such that  $\alpha(\gamma) \subset M_j$  and  $\omega(\gamma) \subset M_i$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Morse decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

### 3 Lyapunov Functions of Attractors

In general it is easy to construct continuous Lyapunov functions of attractors for semiflows in metric spaces; see, for instance, [17]. However, to construct global Morse-Lyapunov functions for Morse decompositions, we need some Lyapunov functions of attractors which possess nice properties. This is our main concern in this section.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor,  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{A}$ . A function  $V \in C(U)$  is said to be a **Lyapunov function** of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $U$ , if  $V$  is decreasing along trajectories in  $U$  with the restriction  $V|_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $V$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  being a constant function.

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$ , and  $V \in C(U)$ . For  $x \in U$ , define

$$D^+V(x) = D_S^+V(x) := \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(S(t)x) - V(x)}{t}.$$

$D^+V(x)$  is called the **Dini derivative** of  $V$  along the semiflow  $S(t)$ .

The main result in this section is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1 (Existence of good Lyapunov functions)** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ . Then there exists a function  $V \in C(X)$  satisfying:*

$$V(x) \equiv 0 \text{ (on } \mathcal{A}\text{)}, \quad V(x) \equiv 1 \text{ (on } X \setminus \Omega\text{)}; \quad (3.1)$$

$$D^+V(x) \leq -v(x), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $v \in C(X)$  is a nonnegative function satisfying

$$v(x) > 0 \ (x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}), \quad v(x) = 0 \ (x \notin \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}). \quad (3.3)$$

In case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we can also require  $V \in C^k(X)$ .

To construct such a good Lyapunov function, we first need to do some auxiliary works.

Let  $A, B$  be two subsets of  $X$ . We will use the notation “ $A \subset\subset B$ ” to indicate that  $\bar{A} \subset \text{int } B$ , in addition,

$$d(A, \partial B) > 0.$$

**Definition 3.2** A function  $\alpha \in C(\Omega)$  is said to be *radially unbounded on  $\Omega$* , if for any  $R > 0$ , there exists a bounded closed subset  $B \subset\subset \Omega$  such that

$$\alpha(x) > R, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus B.$$

Let  $K$  be a bounded closed subset of  $\Omega$  with  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ . A *radially unbounded function  $\alpha \in C(\Omega)$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function of  $K$  on  $\Omega$* , if it satisfies:

$$\alpha(x) = 0 \iff x \in K.$$

A  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function  $\alpha$  of  $K$  is said to be *coercive*, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\alpha(x) \geq \delta > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus B(K, \varepsilon).$$

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $X$ ,  $K$  be a bounded closed subset of  $\Omega$  with  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ . Then there exists a coercive  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function  $\alpha$  of  $K$  on  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** Since  $K \subset\subset \Omega$ , we have  $r := d(K, \partial\Omega) > 0$ . Set  $\Omega_0 = \bar{B}(K, r/2)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n &= \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq r/(n+2)\} \cap \bar{B}(K, nr), \\ \Omega_{-n} &= \bar{B}(K, r/2(n+1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain a sequence of bounded closed subsets  $\Omega_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) of  $\Omega$  such that

(1)  $\Omega_k \subset\subset \Omega_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_k = \Omega, \quad \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_k = K;$$

(2) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\Omega_{-k} \subset B(K, \varepsilon)$  for  $k > 0$  sufficiently large;

(3) for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $B(K, \varepsilon) \subset \Omega_k$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  take a continuous function  $\sigma_k : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\sigma_k(x) = 0 \quad (x \in \Omega_k), \quad \sigma_k(x) = 1 \quad (x \in \partial\Omega_{k+1}).$$

Pick a sequence  $r_k \in (0, +\infty)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) so that

$$r_k \leq r_{k+1} \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} r_k = 0.$$

Let  $H_k = \Omega_k \setminus \Omega_{k-1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\Omega_{k-1} \subset \text{int}\Omega_k$ , we have  $\partial H_k = \partial\Omega_{k-1} \cup \partial\Omega_k$ . Now define  $\alpha$  as

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} r_{k-1} + \sigma_{k-1}(x)(r_k - r_{k-1}), & x \in H_k \text{ with } k \leq 0; \\ (r_{k-1} + k - 1) + \sigma_{k-1}(x)(r_k - r_{k-1} + 1), & x \in H_k \text{ with } k > 0; \\ 0, & x \in K. \end{cases}$$

It is trivial to check that  $\alpha$  is continuous and is a coercive  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function of  $K$  on  $\Omega$ . We omit the details of the argument.

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$  with  $K := \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta) \subset\subset \Omega$ , there exists a function  $V \in C(X)$  such that*

$$V(x) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}), \quad 0 < V(x) \leq 1 \quad (x \notin \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta)), \quad (3.4)$$

$$V(x) = 1, \quad x \in X \setminus \Omega; \quad (3.5)$$

$$D^+V(x) \leq -v(x), \quad x \in X, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $v \in C(X)$  is a nonnegative function satisfying

$$0 < v(x) \leq 1 \quad (x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta)), \quad v(x) = 0 \quad (x \notin \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}). \quad (3.7)$$

If  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , then for any given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $V$  can be constructed to be a function of  $C^k$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $K := \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta) \subset\subset \Omega$ , and let  $\alpha$  be a coercive  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function of  $K$  on  $\Omega$ . Define

$$\phi(x) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \alpha(S(t)x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

For each  $x \in \Omega$ , since  $\mathcal{A}$  attracts a neighborhood  $B(x, r) \subset \Omega$ , we have

$$S(t)B(x, r) \subset B(\mathcal{A}, \delta/2), \quad \forall t > T \quad (3.8)$$

for some  $T > 0$ . Thus

$$\phi(y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \alpha(S(t)y), \quad y \in B(x, r),$$

from which it can be easily seen that  $\phi$  is well defined and continuous on  $\Omega$ . We observe that for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$\phi(S(\tau)x) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \alpha(S(t)S(\tau)x) = \sup_{t \geq \tau} \alpha(S(t)x) \leq \phi(x). \quad (3.9)$$

By invariance of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the definition of  $\phi$  we see that

$$\phi(x) = 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{A}), \quad \phi(x) \geq \alpha(x) \quad (x \in \Omega).$$

Fix a  $\lambda > 0$  and define  $\psi$  on  $\Omega$  as

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} \alpha(S(t)x) dt, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (3.10)$$

We deduce by (3.8) that  $\psi$  is well defined and continuous on  $\Omega$ . Again by invariance of  $\mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\psi(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Now we evaluate  $D^+V(x)$ . Let  $\tau > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(\tau)x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} \alpha(S(t)S(\tau)x) dt \\ &= e^{-\lambda\tau} \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda(t+\tau)} \alpha(S(t+\tau)x) dt \\ &= e^{-\lambda\tau} \int_\tau^\infty e^{\lambda t} \alpha(S(t)x) dt \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda\tau} \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this implies

$$D^+\psi(x) \leq -\lambda\psi(x). \quad (3.11)$$

Set

$$L(x) = \phi(x) + \psi(x).$$

Then

$$D^+L(x) \leq D^+\psi(x) \leq -\lambda\psi(x).$$

Since  $\phi(x) \geq \alpha(x)$ ,  $L$  is radially unbounded on  $\Omega$ . It is clear that

$$L(x) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{on } \mathcal{A}), \quad L(x) > 0 \quad (x \in \Omega \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{A}, \delta)).$$

Let  $\mu(s) = 1 - e^{-s}$  ( $s \geq 0$ ). Define

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(L(x)), & x \in \Omega; \\ 1, & x \in X \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $V$  is continuous at any point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , and hence  $V \in C(X)$ .

Indeed for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $R > 0$  such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < \mu(s) < 1, \quad \forall s \geq R.$$

By radial unboundedness of  $L$  one deduces that there exists a bounded closed subset  $K \subset\subset \Omega$  such that  $L(x) > R$  for all  $x \in \Omega \setminus K$ . It then follows that

$$1 - \varepsilon < V(x) = \mu(L(x)) < 1, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus K,$$

which proves the claim.

Let  $w(x) = d(x, X \setminus \Omega)$ . Define

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} \min(\lambda \mu'(L(x))\psi(x), w(x), 1), & x \in \Omega; \\ 0, & x \in X \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then  $v \in C(X)$  and satisfies (3.7). For  $x \in \Omega$ , we observe that

$$D^+V(x) = \mu'(L(x))D^+L(x) \leq -\lambda \mu'(L(x))\psi(x) \leq -v(x).$$

Hence (3.6) holds true.

The proof for the general case is complete.

Now consider the case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ . Pick a sequence of compact subsets  $K_n$  of  $\Omega$  so that

$$\overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta) \subset\subset K_1 \subset\subset K_2 \subset\subset \dots, \quad \Omega = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n.$$

We claim that for each  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a  $\tau_n > 0$  such that

$$t_n(x) \geq \tau_n, \quad \forall x \in \partial K_n, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$t_n(x) = \sup\{t > 0 \mid S([0, t])x \subset \Omega \setminus K_{n-1}\}.$$

Indeed, if this was not the case, there would exist a sequence  $x_i \in \partial K_n$  such that  $s_i := t_n(x_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . By compactness we can assume that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x_0$ . Of course  $x_0 \in \partial K_n$ . On the other hand, since  $S(s_i)x_i \in K_{n-1}$ , setting  $i \rightarrow \infty$  one finds

$$x_0 = S(0)x_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(s_i)x_i \in K_{n-1}.$$

This leads to a contradiction and proves (3.12).

Take a nonnegative function  $a_0 \in C^\infty(X)$  with

$$a_0(x) \equiv 0 \text{ on } B(\mathcal{A}, \delta/2), \quad \text{and } a_0(x) > 0 \text{ on } X \setminus \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta). \quad (3.13)$$

For each  $n \geq 2$  we choose a nonnegative function  $a_n \in C^\infty(X)$  with

$$a_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1}, \\ 0, & x \notin K_{n+1} \setminus K_{n-2}. \end{cases}$$

(  $a_n$  can be obtained by appropriately smoothing some continuous ones. ) Let

$$\alpha(x) = a_0(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\tau_n} a_n(x). \quad (3.14)$$

Note that the righthand side of (3.14) is in fact a finite sum over  $n$ . Consequently  $\alpha \in C^\infty(X)$ . Define  $\psi(x)$  as in (3.10). Since  $\alpha(x) = 0$  for  $x \in B(\mathcal{A}, \delta/2)$ , by (3.8) one easily sees that  $\psi$  is well defined; moreover,  $\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  and satisfies (3.11). It is easy to verify that

$$\psi(x) \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{A}, \quad \psi(x) > 0 \text{ on } \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta).$$

We show that  $\psi(x)$  is radially unbounded on  $\Omega$ . Let  $x \in \Omega \setminus K_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), and let

$$s_n = s_n(x) := \sup\{t > 0 \mid S([0, t])x \subset \Omega \setminus K_{n-1}\}.$$

Then

$$S(t)x \in K_n \setminus K_{n-1}, \quad t \in [s_n - \tau_n, s_n),$$

Therefore

$$\psi(x) \geq \int_{s_n - \tau_n}^{s_n} \alpha(S(t)x) dt \geq \frac{n}{\tau_n} \int_{s_n - \tau_n}^{s_n} a_n(S(t)x) dt = n,$$

and the conclusion follows.

Take a sequence  $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$  so that

$$\overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta) \subset B_n := \{x \mid \psi(x) \leq r_n\}$$

for all  $n$ . Then  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n$ . For each  $n$ , define

$$\psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x), & x \in B_n; \\ r_n, & x \in X \setminus B_n. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Clearly  $\psi_n \in C^\infty(X \setminus \partial B_n)$ . We will make a slight modification with  $\psi_n$  to obtain a smooth function  $V_n \in C^k(X)$ . For this purpose we first note that  $\psi_n$  is globally Lipschitz on  $X$ . Therefore

$$|\psi_n(y) - \psi_n(x)| \leq C|x - y|, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega, y \in X \quad (3.16)$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Set

$$G(s) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(s)e^{-1/s^2}, & s > 0; \\ 0, & s = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{sgn}(s)$  is the signal function. Then  $G \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$G^{(n)}(0) = 0 \quad (\forall n \geq 0), \quad G'(s) > 0 \quad (\forall s \neq 0).$$

Define

$$V_n(x) = G(\psi_n(x) - r_n) + G(r_n).$$

By (3.16) one easily checks that  $V_n \in C^\infty(X)$ .  $V_n$  satisfies:

$$V_n(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{A}, \quad V_n(x) > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta).$$

If  $x \in B_n$ , then

$$D^+V_n(x) = G'(\psi_n(x) - r_n) D^+\psi_n(x) \leq -\lambda G'(\psi_n(x) - r_n) \psi(x).$$

The above estimate naturally holds for  $x \in X \setminus B_n$ , as in this case both sides equal 0.

Since  $V_n$  is constant on  $X \setminus B_n$ , we see that  $\frac{\partial^l V_n(x)}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_l}}$  is bounded on  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$  for any  $l \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_l \leq m$ . Let

$$c_n = \max_{x \in X} |V_n(x)| + \sum_{1 \leq l \leq k} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_l \leq m} \max_{x \in X} \left| \frac{\partial^l V_n(x)}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_l}} \right| \right).$$

We may assume that  $c_n \geq 1$ . Define

$$V(x) = \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n c_n} V_n(x), \quad x \in X, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $\gamma = 1 / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n c_n} G(r_n)$ . Noting that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n c_n} \frac{\partial^l V_n(x)}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_l}}$  is uniformly convergent on  $X$  for any  $0 \leq l \leq k$  and  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_l \leq m$ , one concludes that  $V \in C^k(X)$ .

It is trivial to check that  $V$  satisfies all the other properties required in the lemma.

The proof of the lemma is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Take a sequence of positive numbers

$$\delta_0 > \delta_1 > \cdots > \delta_n \rightarrow 0$$

with  $\overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, \delta_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ . Then for each  $\delta_n$  one can find functions  $V_n, v_n \in C(X)$  satisfying all the properties in Lemma 3.4 with  $V, v$  and  $\delta$  therein replaced by  $V_n, v_n$  and  $\delta_n$ , respectively. Define

$$V(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} V_n(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then  $V$  is a function satisfying (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.1 with

$$v(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} v_n(x).$$

In case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , one can define a function  $V \in C^k(\Omega)$  in the same manner as in (3.17). We omit the details.

The following result can be obtained directly from Theorem 3.1. It is also readily implied in the proof of Lemma 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a radially unbounded Lyapunov function  $L$  on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$L(x) \equiv 0 \text{ (on } \mathcal{A}), \quad D^+L(x) \leq -v(x) \text{ (} \forall x \in \Omega), \quad (3.18)$$

where  $v \in C(\Omega)$  is a nonnegative function satisfying

$$v(x) > 0 \text{ (for } x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}), \quad v(x) \equiv 0 \text{ (on } \mathcal{A}). \quad (3.19)$$

If  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , then for any given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L$  can be constructed in  $C^k(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be a Lyapunov function of  $\mathcal{A}$  given by Theorem 3.1 Define

$$L(x) = \eta(V(x)), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $\eta(s) = -\ln(1-s)$  ( $s \in [0, 1)$ ). Then  $L$  is a radially unbounded Lyapunov function on  $\Omega$  that satisfies all the desired properties.

The validity of the conclusions in the following remarks can be easily seen from the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.5.

**Remark 3.6** *If we replace the attraction basin  $\Omega$  in Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 by any positively invariant open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then all the conclusions in the theorems remain valid.*

**Remark 3.7** *If we replace the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  by any positively invariant bounded closed neighborhood  $W$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $W \subset\subset \Omega$ , then all the conclusions in Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 hold true.*

## 4 Morse-Lyapunov Functions

Let there be given an attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$  and Morse decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq l} M_k$ .



**Definition 4.1**  $V \in C(\Omega)$  is said to be a (global) Morse-Lyapunov function (M-L function in short) of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\Omega$ , if

- (1)  $V$  is constant on each Morse set  $M_k$ ;
- (2)  $V(S(t)x)$  is strictly decreasing in  $t$  for  $x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{R}$ .

$V$  is said to be a strict M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$ , if in addition it satisfies

$$V(M_1) < V(M_2) < \cdots < V(M_l).$$

**Remark 4.2** If we restrict the system on the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$ , then a M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$  can be easily formulated as in [10]. See also [25].

The main result in this section is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3 (Existence of strict M-L functions)**  $\mathcal{M}$  has a radially unbounded strict M-L function  $V$  satisfying

$$D^+V(x) \leq -v(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $v \in C(\Omega)$  is a nonnegative function satisfying

$$v(x) \equiv 0 \quad (x \in \mathcal{R}), \quad v(x) > 0 \quad (x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{R}). \quad (4.2)$$

In case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , for any given  $n \geq 1$  we can also construct  $V$  so that  $V \in C^n(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\emptyset = A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq A_l = \mathcal{A}$  be the Morse filtration of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

For  $k = l$ , we infer from Theorem 3.5 that there is a radially unbounded nonnegative function  $V_l \in C(\Omega)$  such that

$$V_l(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in A_l = \mathcal{A}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$D^+V_l(x) \leq -v_l(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $v_l \in C(\Omega)$  is a nonnegative function satisfying (3.19).

For each  $1 \leq k \leq l-1$ , by Theorem 3.1 there exists a  $V_k \in C(X)$  such that

$$V_k(x) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{on } A_k), \quad V_k(x) \equiv 1 \quad (\text{on } X \setminus \Omega(A_k)); \quad (4.5)$$

$$D^+V_k(x) \leq -v_k(x), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $v_k \in C(X)$  is a nonnegative function with

$$v_k(x) > 0 \quad (x \in \Omega(A_k) \setminus A_k), \quad v_k(x) = 0 \quad (x \notin \Omega(A_k) \setminus A_k). \quad (4.7)$$

Define  $V \in C(\Omega)$  as:

$$V(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} V_k(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (4.8)$$

We show that  $V$  has all the required properties with  $v(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} v_k(x)$ .

First we recall that

$$M_k = A_k \cap A_{k-1}^* = A_k \cap (\mathcal{A} \setminus \Omega(A_{k-1})) = A_k \cap \Omega(A_{k-1})^c,$$

where  $\Omega(A_{k-1})^c = X \setminus \Omega(A_{k-1})$ . Thus if  $i \leq k-1$ , then  $M_k \subset \Omega(A_{k-1})^c \subset \Omega(A_i)^c$ . It follows that

$$V_i(M_k) = 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

On the other hand if  $i \geq k$ , then  $M_k \subset A_k \subset A_i$ . Therefore we find

$$V_i(M_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \geq k.$$

Hence we deduce that

$$V(M_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} V_i(M_k) = k-1.$$

We observe that

$$D^+V(x) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} D^+V_i(x) \leq - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} v_i(x) = -v(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

There remains to check (4.2).

Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . We may assume that  $x \in M_k = A_k \cap A_{k-1}^*$ . If  $i \geq k$ , then we have  $x \in A_i$  for all  $i \geq k$ , therefore  $v_i(x) = 0$ . If  $i \leq k-1$ , then we deduce by  $x \in A_{k-1}^*$  that  $x \notin \Omega(A_{k-1}) \supset \Omega(A_i)$ , which implies  $v_i(x) = 0$ . In conclusion,  $v_i(x) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Consequently  $v(x) = 0$ .

Now assume  $x \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{R}$ . Then there is a smallest  $k$  such that  $x \in \Omega(A_k)$ . We claim that  $x \notin A_k$ . Indeed, if  $x \in A_k$ , then either  $x \in M_k$ , or  $x \in \Omega(A_{k-1})$ . In any case one will get a contradiction. Hence the claim holds true. Since  $x \in \Omega(A_k) \setminus A_k$ , we see that  $v_k(x) > 0$ , and hence  $v(x) \geq v_k(x) > 0$ .

In case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ , each function  $V_k$  can be constructed in  $C^n$ . Consequently  $V \in C^n(\Omega)$ . The proof is complete.

The following interesting result indicates that we can modify any M-L function to a strict one by ‘‘preserving’’ its values in small neighborhoods of Morse sets. Therefore for many purposes it suffices to consider strict M-L functions.

**Theorem 4.4** *There exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small such that for any M-L function  $V$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , one can find a strict M-L function  $L$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$(L - V)(x) \equiv \text{const.} \quad (4.9)$$

*on the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $B(M_k, \varepsilon)$  of each Morse set  $M_k$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M_k$  be any given Morse set. To prove the result, it suffices to show that there exist an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an M-L function  $L$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that (4.9) holds true, moreover,

$$L(M_j) > L(M_k) > L(M_i), \quad \forall j > k > i. \quad (4.10)$$

Take a positively invariant bounded closed neighborhood  $W$  of  $A_k$  with  $W \subset\subset \Omega(A_k)$  (this can be done by using Lyapunov functions of  $A_k$ ). By Remark 3.7 and Theorem 3.5 we deduce that there exists a  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function  $\Phi$  of  $W$  on  $\Omega(A_k)$  such that

$$\Phi(x) \equiv 0 \quad (x \in W), \quad D^+\Phi(x) \leq 0 \quad (x \in \Omega(A_k)).$$

Fix an  $R > 0$  so that

$$W \subset \Phi_R := \{x \in \Omega(A_k) \mid \Phi(x) \leq R\} \subset\subset \Omega(A_k).$$

Let

$$V_1(x) = \min\left(\frac{1}{R}\Phi(x), 1\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Then  $V_1$  satisfies

$$V_1(x) = 0 \quad (x \in W), \quad V_1(x) = 1 \quad (x \in X \setminus \Phi_R). \quad (4.11)$$

Clearly  $D^+V_1(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Since  $\Phi_R \subset\subset \Omega(A_k)$ , we deduce that

$$d(M_i, \Phi_R) > 0, \quad \text{for all } i > k.$$

Therefore if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is taken sufficiently small then one has

$$B(M_i, \varepsilon) \subset W \quad (\text{for } i \leq k), \quad B(M_i, \varepsilon) \subset X \setminus \Phi_R \quad (\text{for } i > k).$$

It follows by (4.11) that

$$V_1|_{B(M_i, \varepsilon)} \equiv 0 \quad (\text{for } i \leq k), \quad V_1|_{B(M_i, \varepsilon)} \equiv 1 \quad (\text{for } i > k). \quad (4.12)$$

Now we choose a positively invariant closed neighborhood  $U$  of  $A_{k-1}$  so that

$$U \subset\subset \Omega(A_{k-1}) \cap W.$$

Again by Remark 3.7 and Theorem 3.5 there exists a  $\mathcal{K}_0^\infty$  function  $\Psi$  of  $U$  on  $\Omega(A_{k-1})$  such that

$$\Psi(x) \equiv 0 \quad (x \in U), \quad D^+\Psi(x) \leq 0 \quad (x \in \Omega(A_{k-1})). \quad (4.13)$$

Take a positive number  $a > 0$  so that

$$U \subset \Psi_a := \{x \in \Omega(A_{k-1}) \mid \Psi(x) \leq a\} \subset\subset \Omega(A_{k-1}).$$

Let

$$V_2(x) = \min\left(\frac{1}{a}\Psi(x), 1\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Then  $V_2$  satisfies

$$V_2(x) = 0 \quad (x \in U), \quad V_2(x) = 1 \quad (x \in X \setminus \Psi_a). \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover, we have  $D^+V_2(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We further restrict  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small so that

$$B(M_i, \varepsilon) \subset U \subset W \quad (\text{for } i < k), \quad B(M_i, \varepsilon) \subset X \setminus \Psi_a \quad (\text{for } i \geq k).$$

Then (4.14) implies that

$$V_2|_{B(M_i, \varepsilon)} \equiv 0 \quad (\text{for } i < k), \quad V_2|_{B(M_i, \varepsilon)} \equiv 1 \quad (\text{for } i \geq k). \quad (4.15)$$

Now for any M-L function  $V$ , define

$$L(x) = V(x) + V_1(x) + V_2(x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then by (4.12) and (4.15) one finds that for  $x \in B(M_i, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$L(x) = \begin{cases} V(x) + 2, & \text{if } i > k; \\ V(x) + 1, & \text{if } i = k; \\ V(x), & \text{if } i < k. \end{cases}$$

Since  $D^+L(x) \leq D^+V(x)$ , we conclude immediately that  $L$  is a M-L function and satisfies (4.10).

The proof of the theorem is complete.

## 5 Deformation Lemmas

In this section we extend some well known deformation results in the critical-point theory to semiflows on metric spaces.

Let  $E$  be a topological space. A subset  $F \subset E$  is said to be a strong deformation retract of  $E$ , if there exists a continuous mapping  $H : [0, 1] \times E \rightarrow E$  such that

$$H(0, \cdot)|_E = \text{id}_E, \quad H(1, E) \subset F,$$

$$H(\sigma, \cdot)|_F = \text{id}_F, \quad \forall \sigma \in [0, 1].$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor of the system  $S(t)$  with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$  and Morse decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$ , and let  $V$  be a strict M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$ . For convenience in statement we will call

$$c_k = V(M_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, l$$

the **generalized critical values** of  $V$ .

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $V_a$  the level set of  $V$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $V_a = \{x \in \Omega \mid V(x) \leq a\}$ .  $V_a$  is clearly positively invariant, in addition, one easily checks that

$$V_a \subset \Omega(A_k), \quad \text{if } a < c_{k+1}.$$

**Theorem 5.1 (First Deformation Lemma)** *Let  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ . If  $V$  has no generalized critical values in  $[a, b]$ , then  $V_a$  is a strong deformation retract of  $V_b$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $V$  attains its minimum on  $M_1$ . Therefore  $V_a = V_b = \emptyset$  if  $a, b < c_1$ . So it can be assumed that  $c_k < a < b < c_{k+1}$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq l$  (set  $c_{l+1} = +\infty$  for convenience). Recall that  $V_b \subset \Omega(A_k)$ .

Define a function  $t(x)$  on  $V_b$  as

$$t(x) = \begin{cases} \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid S([0, t])x \subset V_b \setminus V_a\}, & x \in V_b \setminus V_a; \\ 0, & x \in V_a. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

We first show that  $t(x) < +\infty$  for any  $x$ . Suppose that  $t(x) = +\infty$  for some  $x \in V_b$ . Then  $V(S(t)x) > a$  for all  $t > 0$ . It follows that  $V(y) \geq a$  for all  $y \in \omega(x)$ . On the other hand since  $V_b \subset \Omega(A_k)$ , we find that  $\omega(x) \subset A_k$ , therefore

$$V(y) \leq V(M_k) = c_k < a, \quad \forall y \in \omega(x),$$

which leads to a contradiction!

Note that  $S(t(x))x \in V_a$ .

**Lemma 5.2**  *$t(x)$  is a continuous function of  $x$  on  $V_b$ .*

**Proof.** We first consider  $x_0 \in V_b \setminus V_a$ . Assume  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $x_n \in V_b \setminus V_a$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given arbitrary. We prove that for some  $n_0 > 0$ ,

$$t(x_n) > t(x_0) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall n > n_0.$$

Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a subsequence of  $x_n$  (still denoted by  $x_n$ ) such that  $t(x_n) \leq t(x_0) - \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ . We may assume  $t(x_n) \rightarrow t_0 \leq t(x_0) - \varepsilon$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  one finds that

$$S(t_0)x_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(t(x_n))x_n \in V_a,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

In what follows we show that

$$t(x_n) \leq t(x_0) + \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Indeed, if this was not the case, there would exist a subsequence  $n_k$  such that  $t(x_{n_k}) \geq t(x_0) + \varepsilon$  for all  $k$ . Passing to the limit one finds that

$$S([0, t(x_0) + \varepsilon])x_0 \subset V^{-1}([a, b]).$$

However, since  $S(t(x_0))x_0 \in V_a$  and  $S(t(x_0))x_0 \notin \mathcal{R}$ , we see that

$$V(S(t(x_0) + \delta)x_0) < V(S(t(x_0))x_0) \leq a$$

for  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small, which yields a contradiction.

Now we consider the case  $x_0 \in V_a$  in which we have  $t(x_0) = 0$ . Let  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ . We need to prove that  $t(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . If  $x_n \in V_a$ , then by definition of  $t(x)$  one has  $t(x_n) = 0$ . So it can be assumed that  $x_n \in V_b \setminus V_a$ . Consequently we have  $V(x_0) = a$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(x_n) \neq 0$ , then there is a subsequence of  $t(x_n)$ , still denoted by  $t(x_n)$ , such that  $t(x_n) \geq \tau > 0$  for all  $n$ . Since  $S([0, \tau])x_n \subset V_b \setminus V_a$  and  $S(t)x_n$  converges to  $S(t)x_0$ , one deduces that  $S([0, \tau])x_0 \subset V_b \setminus V_a$ , which implies that

$$a = V(x_0) \geq V(S(t)x_0) \geq a, \quad t \in [0, \tau],$$

that is,  $V(S(t)x_0) \equiv a$  on  $[0, \tau]$ . On the other hand, since  $x_0 \notin \mathcal{R}$ , we should have

$$V(S(t)x_0) < V(x_0) = a$$

for  $t > 0$  sufficiently small. This is a contradiction which finishes the proof of the lemma.

Now we continue to prove Theorem 5.1. Define

$$H(\sigma, x) = S(\sigma t(x))x, \quad x \in V_b.$$

Then  $H : [0, 1] \times V_b \rightarrow V_b$  satisfies:

$$H(0, \cdot) = \text{id}_{V_b}, \quad H(1, V_b) \subset V_a,$$

$$H(\sigma, x) = x, \quad \forall (\sigma, x) \in [0, 1] \times V_a.$$

Since  $t(x)$  is continuous on  $V_b$ , we see that  $H$  is a continuous mapping.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete.

It is interesting to consider the case  $M_k$  is an equilibrium of the flow. In this case we have the following stronger conclusion.

**Theorem 5.3 (Second Deformation Lemma)** *Assume that for some  $1 \leq k_0 \leq l$  the Morse set  $M_{k_0}$  consists of exactly one equilibrium  $E$  of the flow. Let  $c = c_{k_0} := V(E)$ , and let  $b > c$  be a number such that  $V$  has no generalized critical values in  $(c, b]$ .*

*Then  $V_c$  is a strong deformation retract of  $V_b$ .*

**Proof.** Define a function  $t(\sigma, x)$  on  $[0, 1] \times V_b$  as

$$t(\sigma, x) = \begin{cases} \sup\{t \geq 0 \mid S([0, t])x \subset V_b \setminus V_{\sigma c + (1-\sigma)b}\}, & x \in V_b \setminus V_{\sigma c + (1-\sigma)b}; \\ 0, & x \in V_{\sigma c + (1-\sigma)b}. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Then  $t(\sigma, x) < +\infty$  for any  $(\sigma, x) \in [0, 1] \times V_b$ , and  $S(t(\sigma, x))x \in V_{\sigma c + (1-\sigma)b}$ . By slightly modifying the proof of Lemma 5.2 it can be easily shown that  $t(\sigma, x)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1] \times V_b$ . We observe that  $t(\mu, x)$  is nondecreasing in  $\mu$ . Therefore the limit

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1^-} t(\mu, x) = T(x) \quad (5.3)$$

exists.

Define  $H : [0, 1] \times V_b \rightarrow V_b$  as

$$H(\sigma, x) = \begin{cases} S(\sigma t(\sigma, x))x, & \sigma < 1, \ x \in V_b; \\ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1^-} S(\mu t(\mu, x))x, & \sigma = 1, \ x \in V_b. \end{cases}$$

We first show that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 1^-} S(\mu t(\mu, x))x = \lim_{t \rightarrow T(x)} S(t)x \in V_c$$

do exist, hence  $H$  is well defined.

If  $T(x) < +\infty$ , then clearly  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T(x)} S(t)x = S(T(x))x$ . So we assume  $T(x) = +\infty$ . In this case we necessarily have  $S([0, +\infty))x \subset V_b \setminus V_c$ . Noting that for any  $\sigma < 1$ ,

$$S(t)x \in V_{\sigma c + (1-\sigma)b}, \quad \forall t > t(\sigma, x),$$

one easily deduces that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(S(t)x) = c$ , and consequently  $V(\omega(x)) = c$ . Because  $\omega(x) \subset \mathcal{R} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l} M_i$ , we conclude that  $\omega(x) = M_{k_0} = E$ , that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T(x)} S(t)x = E. \quad (5.4)$$

It is trivial to examine that  $H$  satisfies:

$$H(0, \cdot) = \text{id}_{V_b}, \quad H(1, V_b) \subset V_c,$$

$$H(\sigma, x) = x, \quad \forall (\sigma, x) \in [0, 1] \times V_c.$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, there remains to verify the continuity of  $H$ .

By the definition of  $H$  it is clear that  $H$  is continuous on  $[0, 1] \times V_b$ , so we only consider the continuity of  $H$  at any point  $(1, x_0) \in \{1\} \times V_b$ , at which we have

$$H(1, x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T(x_0)} S(t)x_0.$$

Case 1) “ $H(1, x_0) = E$ ,  $x_0 \notin V_c$ ”.

This is the worst case we meet, in which one necessarily has  $T(x_0) = +\infty$ . Let  $(\sigma_n, x_n) \rightarrow (1, x_0)$ . Then  $x_n \in V_b \setminus V_c$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. By some similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 it can be shown that  $T(x_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ . Consequently

$$s_n := \sigma_n t(\sigma_n, x_n) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

(Here we set  $t(\sigma_n, x_n) = T(x_n)$  if  $\sigma_n = 1$ .) We need to prove that

$$H(\sigma_n, x_n) = S(s_n)x_n := y_n \rightarrow E. \quad (5.6)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given so that  $B(M_i, \varepsilon) \cap B(M_j, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Let  $r > 0$  be such that  $\mathcal{A}$  attracts  $B := \overline{B}(\mathcal{A}, r) \subset \Omega$ . Then for some  $t_0 > 0$ ,

$$S(t)B \subset B, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Let  $N = \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq t_0} S(t)B}$ . Take a  $\delta_0 > 0$  sufficiently small so that  $\mathcal{A}$  attracts  $B(x_0, \delta_0)$ . Then there exists a  $\tau > 0$  such that  $S(t)B(x_0, \delta_0) \subset N$  for  $t \geq \tau$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , we can assume that  $x_n \in B(x_0, \delta_0)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and hence

$$S(t)x_n \in N, \quad t \geq \tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We claim that there exists a sequence  $t_n$  with  $s_n/2 < t_n < s_n$  such that

$$S(t_n)x_n \rightarrow E \quad (5.7)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Indeed, if this was not the case, one would find a subsequence  $n_k$  and an  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$d(S(t)x_{n_k}, E) \geq \eta, \quad t \in (s_n/2, s_n). \quad (5.8)$$

It can be assumed that  $s_n/2 > \tau$  for all  $n$ . Define a sequence of trajectories  $\gamma_k$  as:

$$\gamma_k(t) = S(t + 3s_{n_k}/4)x_{n_k}, \quad -s_{n_k}/4 < t < s_{n_k}/4.$$

Then  $\gamma_k$  is contained in  $N$ . By virtue of Proposition 2.3 one easily deduces that there is a subsequence of  $\gamma_k$  which converges uniformly on any compact interval to a complete trajectory  $\gamma$  of the flow contained in  $N$ . (5.8) then implies that

$$d(\gamma(t), E) \geq \eta > 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.9)$$

It is also clear that

$$c \leq V(\gamma(t)) \leq b, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, since  $\gamma$  lies in the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $V_b \subset \Omega(A_{k_0})$ , we deduce that  $\gamma$  is contained in  $A_{k_0}$ . It then follows by  $V(\gamma(t)) \geq c = V(E)$  that  $\gamma(t) \equiv E$ . This contradicts (5.9) and proves (5.7).

Now we show that

$$d(H(\sigma_n, x_n), E) = d(S(s_n)x_n, E) \leq \varepsilon \quad (5.10)$$

for  $n$  large enough, which completes the proof of the continuity of  $H$  at  $(1, x_0)$ .



Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a subsequence of  $n$ , which we relabel as  $n$ , such that

$$d(S(s_n)x_n, E) > \varepsilon$$

for all  $n$ . By (5.7) we deduce that there exists an  $n_0 > 0$  such that for each  $n > n_0$ , one can find an interval  $[\theta_n, \tau_n] \subset [t_n, s_n]$  such that

$$d(S(\theta_n)x_n, E) = \varepsilon/2, \quad d(S(\tau_n)x_n, E) = \varepsilon, \quad (5.11)$$

and that

$$S(t)x_n \in N \cap (\overline{B}(E, \varepsilon) \setminus B(E, \varepsilon/2)), \quad \text{for } t \in (\theta_n, \tau_n).$$

We claim that there exist  $T, \sigma > 0$  such that

$$0 < \sigma \leq \tau_n - \theta_n \leq T < +\infty \quad (5.12)$$

for all  $n$ . Indeed, if  $\tau_n - \theta_n$  is unbounded from above, then by some similar argument as above one will find a complete trajectory  $\gamma$  contained in  $\overline{B}(E, \varepsilon) \setminus B(E, \varepsilon/2)$  with (5.9) holds, which leads to a contradiction. Now suppose that there is a subsequence  $n_k$  such that  $\tau_{n_k} - \theta_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\theta_n \geq t_n$  and  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ , by asymptotic compactness of  $S(t)$  it can be assumed that  $S(\theta_{n_k})x_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ . Then

$$S(\tau_{n_k})x_{n_k} = S(\tau_{n_k} - \theta_{n_k})S(\theta_{n_k})x_{n_k} \rightarrow y, \quad \text{as } n_k \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(\theta_{n_k})x_{n_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(\tau_{n_k})x_{n_k} = y$ . Passing to the limit in (5.11) for the subsequence  $n_k$ , it yields

$$d(y, E) = \varepsilon/2, \quad d(y, E) = \varepsilon,$$

a contradiction! Hence (5.12) holds true.

We now prove that there exists an  $r > 0$  such that

$$V(S(\tau_n)x_n) \leq V(S(\theta_n)x_n) - r, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.13)$$

Indeed, if (5.13) fails to be true, there would exist a subsequence of  $n$  (still denoted by  $n$ ) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [V(S(\theta_n)x_n) - V(S(\tau_n)x_n)] = 0.$$

We may assume that  $\tau_n - \theta_n \rightarrow \sigma > 0$ , and that  $S(\theta_n)x_n \rightarrow y$  (recall that  $\theta_n \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Passing to the limit in the above equation one obtains  $V(S(\sigma)y) = V(y)$ , which leads to a contradiction and proves (5.13).

Now by (5.13) and the choice of  $\theta_n$  we have

$$V(S(\tau_n)x_n) \leq V(S(\theta_n)x_n) - r \leq V(S(t_n)x_n) - r.$$

It then follows by (5.7) that

$$V(S(\tau_n)x_n) < c - r/2$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. This contradicts to the fact that  $V(S(t)x_n) \geq c$  for  $t \leq s_n$  and completes the proof of (5.10).

*Case 2) “ $H(1, x_0) = E, x_0 \in V_c$ ”.*

In this case by definition of  $H$  we must have  $x_0 = E$ . Let  $(\sigma_n, x_n) \rightarrow (1, x_0)$ . Note that if  $x_{n_k} \in V_c$ , then  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$  implies  $H(\sigma_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) = x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$ . Thus it can be assumed that  $x_n \in V_b \setminus V_c$ .

If there exists a  $T > 0$  such that  $T(x_n) \leq T < +\infty$  for all  $n$ , then the sequence  $s_n$  in (5.5) is bounded. Therefore we directly have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\sigma_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(s_n)x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(s_n)x_0 = E.$$

If  $T(x_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ , then we come back to a situation as in Case 1).

The general case in which neither  $T(x_n)$  is bounded nor  $T(x_n) \rightarrow +\infty$  can be treated by a simple contradiction argument.

*Case 3) “ $H(1, x_0) \neq E$ ”.*

This is the simplest case in which  $T(x_0) < +\infty$ . Since the argument is an easy excise, we omit the details. The proof of the theorem is finished.

Now we define an equivalence relation “ $\sim$ ” on  $X$  as follows:

If  $x \neq y$ , then  $x \sim y \iff x$  and  $y$  belong to the same Morse set.

Denote by  $[x]$  the equivalence class of  $x$ , and let  $\tilde{X} = X / \sim$  be the quotient space. Then “ $\sim$ ” collapses each Morse set  $M_k$  to one point of  $\tilde{X}$ , simply denoted by  $[M_k]$ .

Let  $q : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  be the quotient map, i.e.,  $q(x) = [x]$  for each  $x \in X$ . Set

$$\tilde{S}(t)[x] = q \circ S(t)x, \quad x \in \tilde{X}, t \geq 0.$$

Then  $\tilde{S}(t)$  is a semiflow on  $\tilde{X}$ , which will be referred to as the quotient semiflow.  $\tilde{S}(t)$  has a corresponding attractor  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = q(\mathcal{A})$  and Morse decomposition

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{[M_1], \dots, [M_l]\}.$$

Define  $\tilde{V}([x]) = V(x)$  (for  $[x] \in \tilde{\Omega} := q(\Omega)$ ). Since  $V$  is constant on each Morse set  $M_k$ , the function  $\tilde{V}$  is well defined and continuous on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{V}$  is a strict M-L function of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ .

As a direct consequence of Theorem 5.3, we have

**Theorem 5.4** *Let  $c = c_k$  be a generalized critical value of  $V$ , and let  $b > c$  be a number such that  $V$  has no generalized critical value in  $(c, b]$ . Then  $\tilde{V}_c$  is a strong deformation retract of  $\tilde{V}_b$ .*

## 6 Morse Theory of Attractors

### 6.1 Critical groups of Morse sets

In this subsection we introduce and discuss the concept of critical groups for Morse sets.

We will denote by  $H_*$  the usual singular homology theory with coefficients in a given Abelian group  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an attractor of the system  $S(t)$  with attraction basin  $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{A})$ , and let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_l\}$  be a Morse decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  with Morse filtration

$$\emptyset = A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq A_l = \mathcal{A},$$

$V$  be a strict M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Set

$$c_k = V(M_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq l.$$

**Definition 6.1** *Take two numbers  $a < b$  be such that  $c_k$  is the unique generalized critical value of  $V$  in  $[a, b]$ . Then the **critical group**  $C_*(M_k)$  of the Morse set  $M_k$  is defined to be the homology theory given by*

$$C_q(M_k) = H_q(V_b, V_a), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots.$$

By virtue of the First Deformation Lemma, one easily understands that the definition of the critical group does not depend on the choice of the numbers  $a$  and  $b$ . In case  $M_k$  consists of exactly an equilibrium  $E$  of the semiflow, we even have the following stronger conclusion.

**Proposition 6.2** *Assume that  $M_k$  consists of exactly an equilibrium  $E$  of the semiflow. Let  $c = c_k$  be the unique generalized critical value of  $V$  in  $[a, b]$ . Then*

$$H_q(V_b, V_a) \cong H_q(V_c, V_c \setminus M_k), \quad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (6.1)$$

**Proof.** Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_q(V_a) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_q(V_b) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_q(V_b, V_a) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{q-1}(V_a) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{q-1}(V_b) \\ \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow r_* & & \downarrow j_* \circ r_* & & \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow r_* \\ H_q(V_c \setminus M_k) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_q(V_c) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_q(V_c, V_c \setminus M_k) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{q-1}(V_c \setminus M_k) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{q-1}(V_c) \end{array}$$

The upper and lower rows present the exact homology sequences for the pairs  $(V_b, V_a)$  and  $(V_c, V_c \setminus M_k)$ . The homomorphisms  $i_*$ 's in the vertical arrows are induced by inclusions, and  $r_*$ 's by deformation retracts from  $V_b$  to  $V_c$ .

As in the proof of the First Deformation Lemma we can show that  $V_a$  is a strong deformation retract of  $V_c \setminus M_k$ , hence the vertical arrows number 1 and 4 are isomorphisms. We also infer from the Second Deformation Lemma that the vertical arrows number 2 and 5 are isomorphisms. Thus the conclusion follows from the ‘‘Five-lemma’’ (see [33], Lemma IV.5.11).

**Remark 6.3** *Assume the hypothesis in Proposition 6.2. Let  $U$  be any neighborhood of  $M_k$  with  $U \cap M_i = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq k$ . Then by excision of homologies we deduce that*

$$H_q(V_c, V_c \setminus M_k) \cong H_q(V_c \cap U, (V_c \cap U) \setminus M_k).$$

*Therefore the concept of critical groups for equilibria of semiflows coincides with the one for critical points of smooth functionals [4].*

*We do not know whether (6.1) holds true in the general case.*

The following proposition suggests that the definition of the critical group of Morse sets is also independent of the choice of M-L functions.

**Proposition 6.4** *Let  $V, L$  be two strict M-L functions of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and let  $c = V(M_k)$ ,  $c' = L(M_k)$ . Assume  $a < c < b$ ,  $\alpha < c' < \beta$  are such that  $c$  and  $c'$  are the unique generalized critical values of  $V$  and  $L$  in  $[a, b]$  and  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , respectively. Then*

$$H_q(V_b, V_a) \cong H_q(L_\beta, L_\alpha), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots \quad (6.2)$$

**Proof.** We may assume that

$$c' = L(M_k) = V(M_k) = c.$$

Otherwise one can replace  $L$  by  $\Phi = L - c' + c$  (note that  $L_\alpha = \Phi_{\alpha - c' + c}$ , and  $L_\beta = \Phi_{\beta - c' + c}$ ). Define a strict M-L function  $F$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  as:

$$F(x) = \max(V(x), W(x)), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Take an  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficient small so that  $c$  is the unique generalized critical value of  $F$  in the interval  $(c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$  with  $(c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon) \subset (a, b) \cap (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then

$$H_q(V_b, V_a) \cong H_q(V_{c+\varepsilon}, V_{c-\varepsilon}), \quad H_q(L_\beta, L_\alpha) \cong H_q(L_{c+\varepsilon}, L_{c-\varepsilon}). \quad (6.3)$$

Note that  $F_{c \pm \varepsilon} \subset V_{c \pm \varepsilon} \cap L_{c \pm \varepsilon}$ . By slightly modifying the proof of Theorem 5.1 one can show that  $F_{c \pm \varepsilon}$  is a strong deformation retract of both  $V_{c \pm \varepsilon}$  and  $L_{c \pm \varepsilon}$ . Hence the vertical arrows number 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the following diagram are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H_q(F_{c-\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_q(F_{c+\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_q(F_{c+\varepsilon}, F_{c-\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{q-1}(F_{c-\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{q-1}(F_{c+\varepsilon}) \\ \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow i_* & & \downarrow i_* \\ H_q(V_{c-\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_q(V_{c+\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_q(V_{c+\varepsilon}, V_{c-\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{q-1}(V_{c-\varepsilon}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{q-1}(V_{c+\varepsilon}) \end{array}$$

It then follows by Five-lemma that the vertical arrow number 3 is an isomorphism. That is,

$$H_q(F_{c+\varepsilon}, F_{c-\varepsilon}) \cong H_q(V_{c+\varepsilon}, V_{c-\varepsilon}).$$

Similarly we have

$$H_q(F_{c+\varepsilon}, F_{c-\varepsilon}) \cong H_q(L_{c+\varepsilon}, L_{c-\varepsilon}).$$

Now the conclusion follows from (6.3). The proof is complete.

To compute critical groups of Morse sets, by definition one needs to find a strict M-L function of the Morse decomposition  $\mathcal{M}$ . Here we show that critical groups can be successfully computed by using any positively invariant neighborhoods of attractors  $A_k$  in their attraction basins. This makes the computation of the critical groups easier and more flexible.

**Theorem 6.5** *Let  $W_k \subset \Omega(A_k)$  and  $W_{k-1} \subset \Omega(A_{k-1})$  be any positively invariant neighborhoods of  $A_k$  and  $A_{k-1}$ , respectively. Then*

$$C_q(M_k) = H_q(W_k, W_{k-1}), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots \quad (6.4)$$

**Proof.** By using primitive Lyapunov functions (see Theorem 3.1) one can find positively invariant open neighborhoods  $U_k$  of  $A_k$  and  $U_{k-1}$  of  $A_{k-1}$  such that

$$U_k \subset W_k, \quad U_{k-1} \subset W_{k-1} \cap U_k.$$

Further we infer from Remark 3.6 that for  $i \in \{k-1, k\}$  there exists a nonnegative function  $V_i \in C(X)$  such that

$$V_i(x) \equiv 0 \text{ (on } A_i), \quad V_i(x) \equiv 1 \text{ (on } X \setminus U_i); \quad (6.5)$$

$$D^+V_i(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in U_i \setminus A_i. \quad (6.6)$$

Let  $V$  be a strict M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Without loss of generality we can assume

$$V(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

(Otherwise one can use  $\widehat{V}(x) = V(x) - V(M_1) + 1$  to replace  $V$ .) Take two positive numbers  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  with

$$\mu > V(M_{k-1}), \quad \lambda > V(M_k).$$

Define

$$L(x) = V(x) + \mu V_{k-1}(x) + \lambda V_k(M_k), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Then  $L$  is a strict M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We claim that

$$L_\mu \subset U_{k-1} \subset W_{k-1}, \quad L_{\lambda+\mu} \subset W_k. \quad (6.7)$$

Indeed, if  $x \notin U_{k-1}$ , then by (6.5) we have  $V_{k-1}(x) = 1$ . Thus

$$L(x) > \mu V_{k-1}(x) = \mu.$$

It follows that  $L_\mu \subset U_{k-1}$ . Similarly one can show that  $L_{\lambda+\mu} \subset W_k$ .

Noticing that

$$M_{k-1} \subset A_{k-1} \subset A_k, \quad M_k \subset A_k \setminus U_{k-1}, \quad M_{k+1} \subset X \setminus U_k \subset X \setminus U_{k-1},$$

by (6.5) we deduce that

$$L(M_{k-1}) = V(M_{k-1}) + \mu V_{k-1}(M_{k-1}) + \lambda V_k(M_{k-1}) = V(M_{k-1}) < \mu,$$

$$L(M_k) = V(M_k) + \mu V_{k-1}(M_k) + \lambda V_k(M_k) = V(M_k) + \mu,$$

$$L(M_{k+1}) = V(M_{k+1}) + \mu V_{k-1}(M_{k+1}) + \lambda V_k(M_{k+1}) = V(M_{k+1}) + \mu + \lambda > \mu + \lambda.$$

As  $0 < V(M_k) < \lambda$ , we see that

$$\mu < L(M_k) = V(M_k) + \mu < \mu + \lambda.$$

Therefore  $c = L(M_k)$  is the unique generalized critical value of  $L$  in the interval  $[\mu, \mu + \lambda]$ .

It follows that

$$C_q(M_k) = H_q(L_{\mu+\lambda}, L_\mu).$$

On the other hand, since  $W_{k-1} \subset \Omega(A_{k-1})$  and  $W_k \subset \Omega(A_k)$  are positively invariant, by slightly modifying the proof of the First Deformation Lemma one can show that  $L_\mu$  and  $L_{\mu+\lambda}$  are strong deformation retracts of  $W_{k-1}$  and  $W_k$ , respectively. Using a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.4 below (6.3), we can easily prove that

$$C_q(M_k) = H_q(L_{\mu+\lambda}, L_\mu) \cong H_q(W_k, W_{k-1}).$$

The proof is complete.

## 6.2 Morse inequalities

Now we try to establish Morse inequalities and Morse equations for attractors. Let

$$m_q = \sum_{k=1}^l \text{rank } C_q(M_k), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (6.8)$$

$m_q$  is called the  $q$ -th **Morse type number** of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $V$  be a given strict M-L function of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $c_k = V(M_k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq l$ ). Take  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$a < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_l < b.$$

Then  $\emptyset = V_a \subset \mathcal{A} \subset V_b$ . Define

$$\beta_q = \beta_q(a, b) = \text{rank } H_q(V_b, V_a) = \text{rank } H_q(V_b). \quad (6.9)$$

**Theorem 6.6 (Morse inequality)** *Suppose that all the critical groups of each Morse set  $M_k$  are of finite rank. Then for any  $q \geq 0$ , we have*

$$m_q - m_{q-1} + \cdots + (-1)^q m_0 \geq \beta_q - \beta_{q-1} + \cdots + (-1)^q \beta_0. \quad (6.10)$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q m_q = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \beta_q, \quad (6.11)$$

provided that the left-hand side of the above equation is convergent.

**Remark 6.7** *Define formal Poincaré-polynomials*

$$P_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \beta_q t^q, \quad M_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} m_q t^q.$$

Then (6.10) can be reformulated in a very simplified manner:

$$M_{\mathcal{A}}(t) - P_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = (1+t)Q_{\mathcal{A}}(t), \quad (6.12)$$

where  $Q_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \gamma_q t^q$  is a formal polynomial with  $\gamma_q$  being nonnegative integers.

To prove Theorem 6.6, we first need to recall some basic facts.

A real function  $\Phi$  defined on a suitable family  $D(\Phi)$  of pairs of spaces is said to be **subadditive**, if  $Z \subset Y \subset X$  implies

$$\Phi(X, Z) \leq \Phi(X, Y) + \Phi(Y, Z).$$

If  $\Phi$  is subadditive, then for any  $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_n$  with  $(X_k, X_{k-1}) \in D(\Phi)$ ,

$$\Phi(X_n, X_0) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi(X_k, X_{k-1}).$$

For any pair  $(X, Y)$  of spaces, set

$$R_q(X, Y) = \text{rank } H_q(X, Y) \quad (q\text{-th **Betti number**}).$$

Define

$$\Phi_q(X, Y) = \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} R_j(X, Y), \quad \chi(X, Y) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q R_q(X, Y).$$

$\chi(X, Y)$  is usually called the **Euler number** of  $(X, Y)$ .

**Lemma 6.8** [5, 24] *The functions  $R_q$ ,  $\Phi_q$  are subadditive, and  $\chi$  are additive.*

**Proof of Theorem 6.6.** Taking

$$a = a_0 < c_1 < a_1 < c_2 < a_2 < \cdots < c_l < a_l = b,$$

by Lemma 6.8 one immediately deduces that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} R_j(V_{a_i}, V_{a_{i-1}}) \geq \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} R_j(V_{a_l}, V_{a_0}),$$

that is,

$$\sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} m_j \geq \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} \beta_j.$$

In case  $\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q m_q$  is convergent, there is a  $q_0$  such that  $m_q = 0$  for all  $q \geq q_0$ . It then follows by (6.10) that  $\beta_q = 0$  for  $q \geq q_0$ , and the conclusion (6.11) automatically follows. The proof is complete.

**Remark 6.9** *As in Theorem 6.5, we can show that for any  $b > c_l$  and positively invariant neighborhood  $W$  of the attractor  $\mathcal{A}$ ,*

$$H_*(V_b) \cong H_*(W).$$

*Thus  $\beta_q = \text{rank } H_q(V_b) = \text{rank } H_q(W)$ . Therefore taking  $W = \Omega$ , one sees that  $\beta_q$  is the  $q$ -th Betti number of the attraction basin  $\Omega$ .*

**Remark 6.10** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the global attractor of the flow, then we see that  $\beta_q$  is precisely the  $q$ -th Betti number of the phase space  $X$ . In such a case, it is interesting to note that the right-hand sides of (6.10) and (6.11) are independent of the attractor and the flow. It suggests that the quantity*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q m_q = \chi(X)$$

*is actually an invariant for dissipative systems.*

*A very particular but important case is that  $X = M^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional compact  $C^1$ -manifold, in which all the critical groups are of finite rank. We infer from the above argument that for any Morse decomposition  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M^n$  induced by any flow  $S(t)$ ,*

$$m_0 \geq \beta_0,$$

$$m_1 - m_0 \geq \beta_1 - \beta_0,$$

.....

$$m_n - m_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n m_0 = \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \beta_0 = \chi(M^n).$$



### 6.3 Morse theory in the quotient phase space

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the quotient phase space introduced in Section 5, and  $\tilde{V}([x]) = V(x)$  for  $[x] \in \tilde{X}$ . We define the **quotient critical group**  $\tilde{C}_*(M_k)$  of  $M_k$  to be the homology theory given by

$$\tilde{C}_q(M_k) = H_q(\tilde{V}_b, \tilde{V}_a), \quad q = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are two real numbers such that  $c_k$  is the unique generalized critical value of  $V$  in  $[a, b]$ ,  $\tilde{V}_R$  denotes the level sets of  $\tilde{V}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ .

Clearly all the conclusions concerning the critical group  $C_*(M_k)$  hold true for the quotient one. In particular, let

$$\tilde{m}_q = \sum_{k=1}^l \text{rank } \tilde{C}_q(M_k), \quad \tilde{\beta}_q = \text{rank } H_q(\tilde{V}_b),$$

where  $b$  is any number with  $b > c_l$ . ( $\tilde{m}_q$  is called the  $q$ -th quotient Morse type number of  $\mathcal{M}$ .) Then we have

**Theorem 6.11 (Quotient Morse inequality)** *Assume that all the quotient critical groups of the Morse sets are of finite rank. Then for any  $q \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\tilde{m}_q - \tilde{m}_{q-1} + \dots + (-1)^q \tilde{m}_0 \geq \tilde{\beta}_q - \tilde{\beta}_{q-1} + \dots + (-1)^q \tilde{\beta}_0.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \tilde{m}_q = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \tilde{\beta}_q,$$

provided that the left-hand side of the above equation is convergent.

It should be pointed that in general the critical groups and quotient critical groups of Morse sets can be different, as is shown in the following easy example. We suspect that the quotient critical groups might contain some information of the flow lost by the critical ones.

**Example 1.** Consider the planar system which takes the form

$$\dot{r} = -(r-1)^2, \quad \dot{\theta} = 1 \tag{6.13}$$

in the polar coordinates. The system has a global attractor  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{B}(0, 1)$  with the Morse decomposition  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2\}$ , where  $M_1 = 0$  and  $M_2 = S^1$ ; see Fig 1.




Fig1

It is clear that  $V(x, y) = r := \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  is a Morse-Lyapunov function of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Now let us first compute  $\tilde{C}_*(M_2)$ . Observe that  $\tilde{V}_1 = V_1/M_2 = S^2$ . Since  $[0]$  is a strong deformation retract of  $\tilde{V}_1 \setminus [M_2]$ , we deduce by Proposition 6.2 that

$$\tilde{C}_*(M_2) = H_*(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_1 \setminus [M_2]) \cong H_*(S^2, [0]).$$

Using the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_q([0]) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_q(S^2) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_q(S^2, [0]) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{q-1}([0]) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

one finds that

$$H_q(S^2, [0]) \cong H_q(S^2)/\text{Ker}(j_*) = H_q(S^2)/\text{Im}(i_*). \quad (6.14)$$

Since

$$H_q(S^2) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}, & q = 0, 2; \\ 0, & q \neq 0, 2, \end{cases}$$

we immediately obtain by (6.14) that

$$\tilde{C}_q(M_2) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}, & q = 2; \\ 0, & q \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

For  $C_*(M_2)$  we have by definition that

$$C_*(M_2) = H_*(\overline{B}(0, 2), \overline{B}(0, 1/2)).$$

Since  $\overline{B}(0, 1/2)$  is a strong deformation retract of  $\overline{B}(0, 2)$ , we see that

$$C_q(M_2) \cong H_q(\overline{B}(0, 1/2), \overline{B}(0, 1/2)) = 0, \quad q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

## References

- [1] E. Akin, *The General Topology of Dynamical Systems*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1993.
- [2] A.V. Babin, M.I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolutionary Equations*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989; English translation, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [3] A.N. Carvalho, J.A. Langa, Non-autonomous perturbation of autonomous semilinear differential equations: Continuity of local stable and unstable manifolds, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 233 (2007) 622-653.
- [4] C.K. Chang, *Critical Point Theory and its Applications*, Shanghai Science and Technology Press, 1986.
- [5] C.K. Chang, *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1993.
- [6] G.N. Chen, K. Mischaikow, R. S. Laramée, P. Pilarczyk, and E. Zhang, Vector field editing and periodic orbit extraction using Morse decomposition, *IEEE Trans. Visual. Comp. Graphics* 13(2007), 769-785.
- [7] V. V. Chepyzhov, M.I. Vishik, *Attractors of Equations of Mathematical Physics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI., 2002.
- [8] D.N. Cheban, *Global Attractors of Non-autonomous Dissipative Dynamical Systems*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2004.
- [9] F. Colonius, W. Kliemann, *The Dynamics of Control*, Birkhäuser, Boston.Basel.Berlin, 2000.
- [10] C. Conley, *Isolated Invariant Sets and the Morse Index*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1978.
- [11] P. Constantin, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, and R. Temam, *Integral manifolds and inertial manifolds for dissipative partial differential equations*. *Appl. Math. Sciences* 70. Springer, New York-Berlin, 1989.
- [12] H. Crauel, L.H. Duc, and S. Siegmund, Towards a Morse theory for random dynamical systems, *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 4 (2004) 277-296.
- [13] C.M. Elliott and A.M. Stuart, Viscous Cahn-Hilliard equations II: Analysis, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 128 (1996) 387-414.
- [14] C. Foias, G.R. Sell, and R. Temam, Inertial Manifolds of Nonlinear Evolutionary Equations. *J. Diff. Eqns.* 73(1984) 309-353.
- [15] J. K. Hale, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, Mathematical Surveys Monographs 25, AMS Providence, RI, 1998.
- [16] A. Haraux, Attractors of asymptotically compact processes and applications to nonlinear partial differential equations, *Comm. PDEs* 13 (1988) 1383-1414.
- [17] L. Kapitanski and I. Rodnianski, Shape and morse theory of attractors, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* LIII (2000) 0218C0242.

- [18] E. Kappos, The role of Morse-Lyapunov functions in the design of global feedback dynamics, in A. Zinober ed: Variable Structure and Lyapunov Control, Springer Lecture Notes on Control and Information Sciences, 1994.
- [19] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, Attractors for Semigroups and Evolution Equations. Lizioni Lincei, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, New-York, 1991.
- [20] D.S. Li, Morse decompositions for general flows and differential inclusions with applications to control systems, *SIAM J. Cont. Opt.* 46 (2007) 36-60.
- [21] D.S. Li and P.E. Kloeden, Robustness of asymptotic stability to small time delays, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Systems*, 13 (2005) 1007-1034.
- [22] Z. Liu, The random case of Conleys theorem, *Nonlinearity* 19(2006) 277C91.
- [23] Q.F. Ma, S.H. Wang and C.K. Zhong, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of global attractors for semigroups and applications, *Indiana Univ. Math. J* 51 (2002) 1541-1559.
- [24] J.M. Milnor, Morse Theory, Annals of Study, Princeton, 1965.
- [25] K. Mischaikow and M. Mrozek. Conley Index Theory. In B. Fiedler, editor, Handbook of Dynamical Systems, vol.2, 393-460, Elsevier, 2002.
- [26] S. Maier-Paape and K. Mischaikov, Structure of the attractor of the Cahn-Hilliard equation on a square, Reports of Institute for Mathematics No.5, RWTH Aachen University, Germany, 2005.
- [27] M. Rasmussen, Morse decompositions of nonautonomous dynamical systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359(2007) 5091-5115.
- [28] J.C. Robinson, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [29] K.P. Rybakowski, The Homotopy Index and Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.Heidelberg, 1987.
- [30] K.P. Rybakowski and E. Zehnder, On a Morse equation in Conley's index theory for semiflows on metric spaces, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* 5(1985) 123-143.
- [31] B. Schmalfuss, Attractors for the Non-Autonomous Dynamical Systems. In K. GrÖger B. Fiedler and J. Sprekels, editors, Proceedings EQUADIFF 99, World Scientific, 2000, pp. 684-690.
- [32] G.R. Sell and Y.C. You, Dynamics of Evolution Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [33] E.H. Spanier, Algebraic Topology. McGraw-Hill, New YorkCTorontoCLondon, 1966.
- [34] M. Struwe, Variational Methods, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [35] R. Temam, Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics. 2nd edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [36] M.I., Vishik, Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions of Evolutionary Equations. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.