

ON THE CORRELATIONS, SELBERG INTEGRAL AND SYMMETRY OF SIEVE FUNCTIONS IN SHORT INTERVALS, III

by G.Coppola¹ and M.Laporta

Abstract. An arithmetic function f is called a sieve function of range Q , if it is the convolution product of the constantly 1 function and g such that $g(q) \ll_{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon}$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, for $q \leq Q$, and $g(q) = 0$ for $q > Q$. Here we establish a new result on the autocorrelation of f by using a famous theorem on bilinear forms of Kloosterman fractions by Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec. In particular, for such correlations we obtain non-trivial asymptotic formulæ that are actually unreachable by the standard approach of the distribution of f in the arithmetic progressions. Moreover, we apply our asymptotic formulæ to obtain new bounds for the so-called Selberg integral and symmetry integral of f , which are basic tools for the study of the distribution of f in short intervals.

1. Introduction and statement of the results

A basic tool for the study of the distribution of an arithmetic function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in short intervals is the so-called *Selberg integral* of f , that is

$$J_f(N, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{x < n \leq x+h} f(n) - M_f(x, h) \right|^2 dx,$$

where $M_f(x, H)$ is the (short interval) *mean-value* of f and $h, N \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $h = o(N)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, non-trivial bounds for $J_f(N, h)$ might yield results on the distribution of f in *almost all* the short intervals $(x, x + h]$, i.e. for all $x \in [N, 2N] \cap \mathbb{N}$ with $o(N)$ exceptions. On the other side, the symmetry properties of f in almost all the short intervals are linked to the *symmetry integral* of f ,

$$I_f(N, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_N^{2N} \left| \sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \text{sgn}(n-x) f(n) \right|^2 dx,$$

where the *sign* function is defined as $\text{sgn}(0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$, and $\text{sgn}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |t|/t$ if $t \neq 0$.

The aim of the present paper is to continue the study of $J_f(N, h)$ and $I_f(N, h)$, started in [C1] and considered also in [C2], [CL1] and [CL2], for a *sieve function* f of range $Q \ll N$, meaning that its *Eratosthenes transform* $g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f * \mu$ is supported in $[1, Q]$ and g is *essentially bounded*, i.e. $g(q) \ll_{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon}$ ($\forall \varepsilon > 0$). Here, we recall that \ll is Vinogradov's notation, synonymous to Landau's O -notation. In particular, \ll_{ε} means that the implicit constant might depend on an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, which might change at each occurrence. Since by the Möbius inversion formula one has

$$f(n) = (g * \mathbf{1})(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \leq Q}} g(d),$$

where $\mathbf{1}(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then g is essentially bounded if and only if so is f . Moreover, since

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \leq Q} g(d) \left[\frac{x}{d} \right] = \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{g(d)}{d} + O\left(\frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \leq Q} |g(d)| \right),$$

where $[t]$ is the *integer part* of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (hereafter, in sums over positive integers like $\sum_{a \leq x} 1$ it is implicit that $a \geq 1$), we expect the (short interval) mean-value of f to be independent of x , namely given by (see [CL2] for further comments)

$$M_f(h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{g(d)}{d}.$$

¹ titolare di un Assegno "Ing.Giorgio Schirillo" dell'Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (Fellow "Ing.Giorgio Schirillo" of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica).

Before stating our results, let us introduce some auxiliary notation and convention. When $h = [N^\theta]$, with $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we refer to θ as the *width* of the short interval $[x - h, x + h]$ or $(x, x + h]$. We adopt the convention that $\theta < \theta_0$ (resp. $\theta > \theta_0$) means $\theta \leq \theta_0 - \delta$ (resp. $\theta \geq \theta_0 + \delta$) for some absolute constant $\delta > 0$. Further, we say that f has *level* $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ if it is a sieve function of range $Q = [N^\lambda]$, and for λ we adopt the same convention as for the width. Finally, given the arithmetic functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , we write $\phi_1(n) \ll \ll \phi_2(n)$ to mean that $\phi_1(n) \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon \phi_2(n) \forall \varepsilon > 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

THEOREM 1. *Fix a small $\delta > 0$. If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has level $\lambda \in (1/2, 1)$, then*

$$J_f(N, h) \ll \ll Nh + N^\delta Q^{2-\Delta} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3} h^2 + Qh^2,$$

$$I_f(N, h) \ll \ll Nh + N^\delta Q^{2-\Delta} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3} h^2,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $Q = [N^\lambda]$, $h = [N^\theta]$ with $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$, and $\Delta = 1/48$.

REMARK. In [C1] the above inequalities hold with $\Delta = 0$ (for a small h). In particular, such inequalities yield the non-trivial bound $N^{1-\varepsilon} h^2$ for both integrals $J_f(N, h)$ and $I_f(N, h)$ with f of level $\lambda < (1 + \theta)/2$ and for any width $\theta \in (0, 1)$ (see Corollary 1.1 of [C1]), whereas the previous theorem holds for $\lambda > 1/2$. By combining Theorem 1 above with the results given by Corollary 1.1 of [C1] we immediately obtain the following non-trivial bounds that however improve on [C1] estimates only in very short intervals, namely $\theta \in (0, 1/95)$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$ be fixed. If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has level $\lambda \in (0, \max\{(1 + \theta)/2, 48/95\})$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\theta, \lambda) > 0$ such that,*

$$J_f(N, h) \ll_{\varepsilon_0} N^{1-\varepsilon_0} h^2, \quad I_f(N, h) \ll_{\varepsilon_0} N^{1-\varepsilon_0} h^2,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $h = [N^\theta]$.

Note that $48/95 = 1/2 + 1/190 > (1 + \theta)/2$ if and only if $\theta < 1/95$. Unlike [C1], we derive Theorem 1 from a slight generalization concerning the *mixed* Selberg integral and the *mixed* symmetry integral of the sieve functions f_1 and f_2 , namely

$$J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_N^{2N} \prod_{c=1,2} \left(\sum_{x < n \leq x+h} f_c(n) - M_{f_c}(h) \right) dx,$$

$$I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_N^{2N} \prod_{c=1,2} \left(\sum_{|n-x| \leq h} \text{sgn}(n-x) f_c(n) \right) dx.$$

where as before we set

$$M_{f_c}(h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h \sum_{d \leq Q_c} \frac{g_c(d)}{d}, \quad (c = 1, 2),$$

provided that g_c and Q_c are the Eratosthenes transform and the range of f_c , respectively.

THEOREM 2. *Fix a small $\delta > 0$. If for each $c = 1, 2$ the real sieve function f_c has level $\lambda_c \in (1/2, 1)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$, then*

$$J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \ll \ll Nh + N^\delta Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3} h^2 + Q_1 h^2,$$

$$I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \ll \ll Nh + N^\delta Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3} h^2,$$

where $Q_c = [N^{\lambda_c}]$ and $h = [N^\theta]$ with $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$.

It is plain that Theorem 1 follows immediately by taking $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $Q_1 = Q_2$, and $f_1 = f_2 = f$ in Theorem 2. On the other side, since Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 of [C1] can be easily extended to

$J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$ and $I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$, then we can combine such a generalization with Theorem 2 to get the following immediate consequence.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1/2)$ be fixed and let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 > 0$ be such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$ or $53\lambda_1 + 42\lambda_2 < 48$. If for each $c = 1, 2$ the real sieve function f_c has level λ_c , then there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\theta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) > 0$ such that*

$$J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \ll_{\varepsilon_0} N^{1-\varepsilon_0} h^2, \quad I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \ll_{\varepsilon_0} N^{1-\varepsilon_0} h^2,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $h = [N^\theta]$.

Summarizing, we need to prove only Theorem 2 and this is accomplished in §4. To this end, we premise a short section on some further notation and basic formulæ, where we introduce the crucial auxiliary function $\mathcal{R}(a)$ in terms of the first Bernoulli periodic function. In §3 we give the necessary lemmata for Theorem 2. The first lemma is a famous theorem of Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec and it is the novelty of the present approach to estimating $\mathcal{R}(a)$. Such an estimate is the theme of the second lemma. The link to $J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$ and $I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$ is provided by the *correlations* of the sieve functions f_1, f_2 for which the third and last lemma gives a formula, with an error term taken under control by the new bound of $\mathcal{R}(a)$. We conclude the paper with a section of further comments and with an appendix including the proof of the Fourier expansion of the first Bernoulli periodic function on the rational numbers.

2. Some further notation and recurrent properties

As usual in number theory, (m, n) denotes the greatest common divisor of integers m and n . Although (x, y) denotes also the pair with coordinates x, y or the open interval with real endpoints x, y , the meaning will be evident from the context. For the same sake of brevity, we use to write $n \equiv a \pmod{q}$ instead of $n \equiv a \pmod{q}$. Moreover, we set $e(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i \alpha} \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $e_q(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e(n/q) \forall (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$.

The *distance* of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ from the integers is $\|\alpha\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha - n|$ and $\{\alpha\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha - [\alpha]$ is its *fractional part*. For

the main variable N we set $L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log N$.

Without further references, throughout the paper we will appeal to the well-known inequalities

$$\sum_{V_1 < v \leq V_2} e(v\alpha) \ll \min\left(V_2 - V_1, \frac{1}{\|\alpha\|}\right), \quad \sum_{d|t} 1 \ll t^\varepsilon \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \varepsilon > 0).$$

Let us recall that the *first Bernoulli periodic function* is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_1(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \{\alpha\} - 1/2 & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

whose (finite) Fourier expansion on the rational numbers is given by (see the Appendix for the proof)

$$\mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) = -\frac{1}{q} \sum_{j \leq \frac{q}{2}} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \sin \frac{2\pi j n}{q} \quad \forall (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \quad (1)$$

See that $\cot(\pi/2 + k\pi) = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Such expansion is interpreted as $\mathcal{B}_1 = 0$ when $q = 1$. It is easy to see that, for any $\alpha \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, $d, q \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\#\{m \in (\alpha, 2\alpha] : m \equiv d(q)\} = \frac{[2\alpha] - [\alpha]}{q} + \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{[\alpha] - d}{q}\right) - \mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{[2\alpha] - d}{q}\right) & \text{if } q \nmid [c\alpha] - d \text{ for } c = 1, 2, \\ O(1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Given the functions g_1, g_2 supported in $[1, Q_1], [1, Q_2]$, respectively, for all $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ we set

$$\mathcal{R}(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{c=1,2} (-1)^{c+1} \left(\mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{[\alpha_c] + \bar{q}_1 b}{q_2}\right) + \mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{[\alpha_c] - \bar{q}_1 b}{q_2}\right) \right),$$

where $x \sim X$ means that $x \in (X, 2X) \cap \mathbb{N}$, the integer $\bar{q}_1 \in [1, q_2]$ is defined by $\bar{q}_1 q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{q_2}$ when $(q_1, q_2) = 1$, and we set $b \stackrel{def}{=} |a|/\ell$, $\alpha_c \stackrel{def}{=} cN/\ell q_1$. We explicitly remark that $\mathcal{R}(a)$ depends also on g_1, g_2 and N . Note that $Q_c \geq |a|$ ensures $(Q_c/\ell, 2Q_c/\ell) \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ for every $\ell|a$. On the other side, $Q_1 \ll |a|$ yields $\mathcal{R}(a) \ll |a|Q_2$, which in turn becomes $\mathcal{R}(a) \ll N^{1-\delta}$ when we assume also $Q_2 = o(N^{1-\delta}/|a|)$ (the same property holds by interchanging the roles of Q_1 and Q_2).

3. Lemmata

The first lemma comes in a straightforward way from Theorem 2 of [DFI].

LEMMA 1. *Let $N, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Q_1, Q_2 \leq N$ and $k \ll Q_1 Q_2$, as $Q_1, Q_2 \rightarrow \infty$. If $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are essentially bounded and supported in $[Q_1, 2Q_1]$ and $[Q_2, 2Q_2]$, respectively, then*

$$\sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1} g_1(q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim Q_2 \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(q_2) e_{q_2}(k \bar{q}_1) \ll (Q_1 Q_2)^{\frac{7}{8}} (Q_1 + Q_2)^{\frac{11}{48}}.$$

The first part of next lemma is basically Lemma B of [C2], reformulated for a pair of essentially bounded functions with support in a bounded interval. Here we take this opportunity to give a more detailed proof. The second part is where we apply the previous lemma and it constitutes the novelty of the method, in comparison with [C1] and [C2].

LEMMA 2. *Fix a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Let $N, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Q_1 Q_2 \gg N^{1-2\delta/3}$, $Q_2 \ll Q_1 = o(N^{1-\delta})$ and $|a| = o(N)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. If $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are essentially bounded and supported in $[Q_1, 2Q_1]$ and $[Q_2, 2Q_2]$, respectively, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ one has*

$$I) \quad \mathcal{R}(a) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1/\ell} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{j \leq J} \frac{\Delta_j}{j} + O_\varepsilon(N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}),$$

where $J = J(\ell, q_1, q_2, N, \delta) \stackrel{def}{=} [\ell q_1 q_2 N^{\delta-1}]$ and

$$\Delta_j = \Delta_j(\ell, q_1, q_2, a, N) \stackrel{def}{=} \left(\sin \frac{2\pi[2N/\ell q_1]j}{q_2} - \sin \frac{2\pi[N/\ell q_1]j}{q_2} \right) \cos \frac{2\pi \bar{q}_1 |a| j}{\ell q_2}.$$

Also,

$$II) \quad \mathcal{R}(a) \ll_\varepsilon N^\varepsilon (N^\delta Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} + N^{1-\delta}).$$

PROOF. I) For every $\ell|a$, let us set $b \stackrel{def}{=} |a|/\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and note that $J = o(Q_2/\ell)$, while $M \stackrel{def}{=} Q_1 Q_2 N^{\delta-1} L^{-1} \geq 1$ from the hypothesis $Q_1 Q_2 \gg N^{1-2\delta/3}$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{B}_1 \ll 1$, the contribution to $\mathcal{R}(a)$ from all $\ell|a$ such that $\ell > M$ is trivially

$$\ll Q_1 Q_2 \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ \ell > M}} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \ll N^{1-\delta}.$$

Thus, let us consider the sum over $\ell \leq M$ such that $\ell|a$. Together with $q_1 \sim Q_1/\ell$ and $q_2 \sim Q_2/\ell$, the condition $\ell \leq M$ yields $J = [\ell q_1 q_2 N^{\delta-1}] \rightarrow \infty$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By using formula (1) and the identity $\sin(x-w) - \sin(y-w) + \sin(x+w) - \sin(y+w) = 2(\sin x - \sin y) \cos w$, it is readily seen that

$$\mathcal{R}(a) = O_\varepsilon(N^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}) + 2 \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ \ell \leq M}} \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1/\ell} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_2} \sum_{j \leq q_2/2} \Delta_j \cot \frac{\pi j}{q_2}. \quad (3)$$

Let us split the last sum as

$$\sum_{j \leq q_2/2} \Delta_j \cot \frac{\pi j}{q_2} = \sum_{j \leq J} \Delta_j \cot \frac{\pi j}{q_2} + \sum_{J < j \leq q_2/2} \Delta_j \cot \frac{\pi j}{q_2} = \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2, \text{ say,}$$

and first evaluate the contribution to (3) from \mathcal{D}_2 . To this end, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j &= \frac{1}{2i} \cos \left(\frac{2\pi \bar{q}_1 b j}{q_2} \right) \sum_{c=1,2} (-1)^c \left(e_{q_2}(j[\alpha_c]) - e_{q_2}(-j[\alpha_c]) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4i} \left(\mathcal{E}_{2,j} - \mathcal{E}_{1,j} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1,j} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2,j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where for $c = 1, 2$ we set $\alpha_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} cN/\ell q_1$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_{c,j} = \mathcal{E}_{c,j}(\ell, q_1, q_2, b, N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_{q_2}(j([\alpha_c] + \bar{q}_1 b)) + e_{q_2}(j([\alpha_c] - \bar{q}_1 b)).$$

Since $\cot(\pi/2) = 0$, by partial summation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2 &\ll \left| \int_J^{q_2/2} \left(\sum_{J < j \leq v} \Delta_j \right) \left(\frac{d}{dv} \cot \frac{\pi v}{q_2} \right) dv \right| \\ &\ll q_2 \int_J^{q_2/2} \frac{1}{v^2} \left| \sum_{J < j \leq v} \left(\mathcal{E}_{2,j} - \mathcal{E}_{1,j} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{1,j} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{2,j} \right) \right| dv. \end{aligned}$$

The contribution from $q_2 |q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b$ is trivially $\ll q_2 \left| \int_J^{q_2/2} \frac{dv}{v} \right| \ll q_2$, which in turn contributes to (3) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1/\ell} \#\{q_2 \sim Q_2/\ell : (q_2, q_1) = 1 \text{ and } q_2 |q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b\} \\ &\ll \sum_{\ell|a} \left(\sum_{\substack{q_1 \sim Q_1/\ell \\ q_1 \nmid b}} \sum_{\substack{(q_2, q_1)=1 \\ q_2 |q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b}} 1 + \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \sum_{q_1|b} 1 \right) \ll (Q_1 + Q_2) \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \ll N^{1-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have taken into account: $q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b \leq (cN \pm |a|)/\ell \ll N/\ell$, $0 < |a| \ll N$ and $Q_2 \ll Q_1 = o(N^{1-\delta})$. On the other side, the contribution to \mathcal{D}_2 from $q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}$ amounts to

$$\ll \frac{q_2}{J} \sum_{c=1,2} \left(\left\| \frac{[\alpha_c] + \bar{q}_1 b}{q_2} \right\|^{-1} + \left\| \frac{[\alpha_c] - \bar{q}_1 b}{q_2} \right\|^{-1} \right).$$

Now, observe that $q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}$, with $(q_1, q_2) = 1$, yields $q_1[\alpha_c] \pm b \equiv r q_1 \pmod{q_2}$ for some r such that $1 \leq |r| \leq q_2/2$, i.e.

$$\left\| \frac{[\alpha_c] \pm \bar{q}_1 b}{q_2} \right\| = \frac{|r|}{q_2}.$$

Therefore, since $J = \lfloor \ell q_1 q_2 N^{\delta-1} \rfloor$, the contribution to (3) from $q_1 \lfloor N/\ell q_1 \rfloor + b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}$ through \mathcal{D}_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q_1 Q_2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim Q_2/\ell \\ (q_2, q_1)=1 \\ q_1 \lfloor N/\ell q_1 \rfloor + b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}}} \left\| \frac{\lfloor N/\ell q_1 \rfloor + \bar{q}_1 b}{q_2} \right\|^{-1} \\
&\lll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q_1 Q_2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \sum_{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell}} q_2 \sum_{1 \leq |r| \leq \frac{q_2}{2}} \frac{1}{|r|} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \sim Q_1/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1 \\ q_1 \lfloor N/\ell q_1 \rfloor + b \equiv r q_1 \pmod{q_2}}} 1 \\
&\lll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q_1 Q_2} \sum_{\ell|a} \ell \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} \sum_{1 \leq |r| \leq \frac{Q_2}{\ell}} \frac{1}{|r|} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim Q_2/\ell \\ q_2 \mid (\lfloor N/\ell q_1 \rfloor - r) q_1 + b}} q_2 \\
&\lll \frac{N^{1-\delta} Q_2}{Q_1} \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell} \\ q_1 \nmid b}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |r| \leq \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ ((N/\ell q_1) - r) q_1 + b = 0}} \frac{1}{|r|} \\
&\quad + \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q_1} \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell^\varepsilon} \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |r| \leq \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ ((N/\ell q_1) - r) q_1 + b \neq 0}} \frac{1}{|r|} \\
&\lll \frac{N^{1-\delta} Q_2}{Q_1} + N^{1-\delta} \lll N^{1-\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same bound holds in the other three cases $q_1 \lfloor 2N/\ell q_1 \rfloor \pm b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}$, $q_1 \lfloor N/\ell q_1 \rfloor - b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_2}$.

Now, we turn our attention to \mathcal{D}_1 . By using the expansion of the cotangent function in power series, for a fixed $K > 1$ we can write

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{q_2}{\pi} \sum_{j \leq J} \frac{\Delta_j}{j} + \sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \frac{a_n}{q_2^n} \sum_{j \leq J} j^n \Delta_j + O\left(J \left(\frac{J}{q_2}\right)^K\right),$$

where the coefficients $a_n \ll 1$ are given in terms of the Bernoulli numbers (see [MV], Appendix B, exercise 11 and formula (B.20)). Note that the first sum gives the main term of the stated formula for $\mathcal{R}(a)$, whereas the O -term is $\ll q_2 (Q_1/N^{1-\delta})^{K+1} \ll q_2$ from the hypothesis $Q_1 = o(N^{1-\delta})$. In order to see that also the sum over n contributes to (3) as a remainder term, we first apply partial summation to write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \frac{a_n}{q_2^n} \sum_{j \leq J} j^n \Delta_j \ll \left(\sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \frac{J^n}{q_2^n} \right) \max_{v \leq J} \left| \sum_{j \leq v} \Delta_j \right|.$$

Then we observe that the argument previously used for \mathcal{D}_2 applies here, because it turns out that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \frac{J^n}{q_2^n} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \left(\frac{Q_1}{N^{1-\delta}} \right)^n \ll 1.$$

The formula I) is completely proved.

In order to prove the inequality stated in II), from what we have seen in the proof of I), it is plain that we may confine to consider only

$$\mathcal{R}_c(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\substack{\ell|a \\ \ell \leq M}} \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{j \leq 4 \frac{ML}{\ell}} \frac{\Sigma_j(c)}{j}, \quad (c = 1, 2),$$

where $M = Q_1 Q_2 N^{\delta-1} L^{-1}$ is defined as above, and

$$\Sigma_j(c) \stackrel{def}{=} \sin \frac{2\pi\{\alpha_c\}j}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 bj}{q_2}, \quad \text{with } \alpha_c = \frac{cN}{\ell q_1}, \quad b = \frac{|a|}{\ell}.$$

Thus, we have to show that $\mathcal{R}_c(a) \ll N^\delta Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} + N^{1-\delta}$, $\forall c = 1, 2$. To this end, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_j(c) &= \sin \frac{2\pi\alpha_c j}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 bj}{q_2} \\ &\quad - (1 - \cos \frac{2\pi\{\alpha_c\}j}{q_2}) \sin \frac{2\pi\alpha_c j}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 bj}{q_2} \\ &\quad - \sin \frac{2\pi\{\alpha_c\}j}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\alpha_c j}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 bj}{q_2} \\ &= \Sigma_j^{(0)}(c) - \Sigma_j^{(1)}(c) - \Sigma_j^{(2)}(c), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly we have $\mathcal{R}_c(a) = \mathcal{R}_c^{(0)}(a) - \mathcal{R}_c^{(1)}(a) - \mathcal{R}_c^{(2)}(a)$ with

$$\mathcal{R}_c^{(\nu)}(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{\substack{\ell \leq M \\ \ell \leq M}} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{j \leq \frac{4ML}{\ell}} \frac{\Sigma_j^{(\nu)}(c)}{j}, \quad (\nu = 0, 1, 2).$$

By applying partial summation with respect to q_1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \Sigma_j^{(0)}(c) &= \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sin \frac{\pi c N j}{Q_1 q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 bj}{q_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2\pi c N j}{\ell} \int_{Q_1/\ell}^{2Q_1/\ell} \sum_{\substack{Q_1/\ell < q_1 \leq v \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 bj}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi c N j}{\ell q_2 v} \frac{dv}{v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Lemma 1 it follows that $\mathcal{R}_c^{(0)}(a) \ll N^\delta Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8}$. Now let us prove $\mathcal{R}_c^{(1)}(a), \mathcal{R}_c^{(2)}(a) \ll N^{1-\delta}$. To this end, by using the expansion of the cosine function in power series, we fix an integer $K > 1$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \leq 4ML/\ell} \frac{\Sigma_j^{(1)}(c)}{j} &= \sum_{j \leq 4ML/\ell} \left(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi\{\alpha_c\}j}{q_2}\right) \frac{\Sigma_j^{(0)}(c)}{j} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \frac{b_n}{q_2^n} \sum_{j \leq 4ML/\ell} j^{n-1} \Sigma_j^{(0)}(c) + O\left(\left(\frac{ML}{\ell q_2}\right)^K\right) \\ &\ll \frac{\ell}{ML} \max_{v \leq 4ML/\ell} \left| \sum_{j \leq v} \Sigma_j^{(0)}(c) \right| \sum_{n=1}^{K-1} \left(\frac{4ML}{\ell q_2}\right)^n \\ &\ll \frac{\ell N^{1-\delta}}{Q_1 Q_2} \max_{v \leq \frac{4Q_1 Q_2}{\ell N^{1-\delta}}} \left| \sum_{j \leq v} (\mathcal{E}'_{c,j} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}'_{c,j}) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\mathcal{E}'_{c,j} \stackrel{def}{=} e_{q_2}(j(\alpha_c + \bar{q}_1 b)) + e_{q_2}(j(\alpha_c - \bar{q}_1 b))$, and we have used $b_n \ll 1$, $ML/(\ell q_2) = o(1)$ (the latter following straightforwardly from the hypothesis $Q_1 = o(N^{1-\delta})$). Now, it is easy to see that, according as $q_2 | \alpha_c \pm \bar{q}_1 b$ or not, the same arguments adopted in the proof of I) to treat the exponential sums lead to

$$\mathcal{R}_c^{(1)}(a) \ll \frac{N^{1-\delta}}{Q_1 Q_2} \sum_{\ell \leq M} \ell \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \max_{v \leq \frac{4Q_1 Q_2}{\ell N^{1-\delta}}} \left| \sum_{j \leq v} (\mathcal{E}'_{c,j} - \bar{\mathcal{E}}'_{c,j}) \right| \ll N^{1-\delta}.$$

In a completely similar way, we conclude also that $\mathcal{R}_c^{(2)}(a) \ll N^{1-\delta}$ after using the expansion of the sine function in power series and noticing that

$$4 \cos \frac{2\pi\alpha_c j}{q_2} \cos \frac{2\pi\bar{q}_1 b j}{q_2} = \mathcal{E}'_{c,j} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}'_{c,j}.$$

The lemma is completely proved. \square

Now, we can state and prove the main lemma of the paper. It gives a fairly general asymptotic formula for the *correlation*

$$\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{n \sim N} f_1(n) f_2(n-a)$$

of real sieve functions f_1, f_2 . In particular, it provides a strong level ($> 1/2$) for the autocorrelation $\mathcal{C}_f = \mathcal{C}_{f, f}$ of a real sieve function f . It is also worthwhile to remark that next Lemma applications to J_{f_1, f_2} and I_{f_1, f_2} (i.e., Theorem 2) improve the non-trivial bounds given in [C1].

LEMMA 3. Fix a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Let $N, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and $Q_2 \ll Q_1 \ll N^{1-\delta}$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. If $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are essentially bounded and supported in $[1, Q_1]$ and $[1, Q_2]$, respectively, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and uniformly $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, with $|a| = o(N)$, one has

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) + \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a)}{2} = N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + O_\varepsilon(N^{\delta+\varepsilon} Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} + N^{1-2\delta/3+\varepsilon}),$$

where $f_1 = g_1 * \mathbf{1}$, $f_2 = g_2 * \mathbf{1}$.

PROOF. First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) &= \sum_{n \sim N} f_1(n) \sum_{\substack{q_2 | n-a \\ q_2 \leq Q_2}} g_2(q_2) = \sum_{q_2 \leq Q_2} g_2(q_2) \sum_{\substack{n \sim N \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q_2}}} \sum_{\substack{q_1 | n \\ q_1 \leq Q_1}} g_1(q_1) \\ &= \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_2 \leq Q_2} g_2(q_2) \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1 \\ (q_1, q_2)=\ell}} g_1(q_1) \sum_{\substack{n \sim N \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{q_1} \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q_2}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_1 \leq \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \leq \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{\substack{m \sim \frac{N}{\ell q_1} \\ m \equiv \bar{q}_1 b \pmod{q_2}}} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $b = |a|/\ell$ as before. Then, plainly we can write

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) + \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_1 \leq \frac{Q_1}{\ell}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \leq \frac{Q_2}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{\substack{m \sim \frac{N}{\ell q_1} \\ m \equiv \pm \bar{q}_1 b \pmod{q_2}}} 1.$$

Now, let us set $Q_{c,k} \stackrel{def}{=} 2^{-k-1} Q_c$ for all $k = 0, \dots, [\log_2 Q_c]$, $c = 1, 2$, and confine to the dyadic intervals $(Q_{c,k}/\ell, 2Q_{c,k}/\ell]$, where we define $\mathcal{R}_k(a)$ analogously to $\mathcal{R}(a)$. Thus, by the formula (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_{1,k}}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_1(\ell q_1) \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_{2,k}}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} g_2(\ell q_2) \sum_{\substack{m \sim \frac{N}{\ell q_1} \\ m \equiv \pm \bar{q}_1 b \pmod{q_2}}} 1 &= N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{q_c \sim \frac{Q_{c,k}}{\ell} \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_k(a)}{2} \\ &\quad + O_\varepsilon \left(N^\varepsilon \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{q_1 \sim \frac{Q_{1,k}}{\ell}} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \sim \frac{Q_{2,k}}{\ell} \\ q_2 | \alpha_c | \pm b}} 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|a| = o(N)$ yields $q_1[cN/(\ell q_1)] \pm b \neq 0$, the latter O -term is $\lll Q_1 \lll N^{1-\delta}$. Moreover, we can assume that $Q_{1,k}Q_{2,k} \gg N^{1-2\delta/3}$, for otherwise trivially $\mathcal{R}_k(a) \lll N^{1-2\delta/3}$. Hence, the conclusion follows from II) of Lemma 2. \square

REMARK. Since f_1, f_2 are essentially bounded, then for any $a > 0$ one has

$$\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a) = \sum_{n \sim N} f_1(n) f_2(n+a) = \sum_{N+a < n \leq 2N+a} f_2(n) f_1(n-a) = \mathcal{C}_{f_2, f_1}(a) + O_\varepsilon(aN^\varepsilon),$$

In particular, if $f_1 = f_2$, this implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) + \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a)}{2} = \mathcal{C}_f(a) + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon |a|).$$

Therefore, from the previous lemma we obtain the following formula for the value attained at $a = o(N)$ by the autocorrelation of a sieve function $f = g * \mathbf{1}$ of range $Q \ll N^{1-\delta}$:

$$\mathcal{C}_f(a) = N \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{q_1, q_2 \sim Q/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g(\ell q_1) g(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + O_\varepsilon(N^{\delta+\varepsilon} Q^{\frac{95}{48}} + N^{1-2\delta/3+\varepsilon}),$$

that means level $\lambda = 1/2 + 1/190$ for autocorrelations of f .

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let us consider the symmetry integral first, and write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) &= \sum_{\substack{N-h < n, m \leq 2N+h \\ 0 \leq |n-m| \leq 2h}} f_1(n) f_2(m) \int_{\substack{N \leq x \leq 2N \\ |x-n| \leq h \\ |x-m| \leq h}} \operatorname{sgn}(x-n) \operatorname{sgn}(x-m) dx \\ &= \sum_{\substack{N+h < n, m \leq 2N-h \\ 0 \leq |n-m| \leq 2h}} f_1(n) f_2(m) \int_{\substack{|t| \leq h \\ |t+(n-m)| \leq h}} \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(t+(n-m)) dt + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon h^3) \\ &= \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f_1(n) \sum_{0 \leq |a| \leq 2h} f_2(n-a) \int_{\substack{|t| \leq h \\ |t+a| \leq h}} \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(t+a) dt + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon h^3). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify our exposition, somewhere the symbol (T) within some of the next formulæ will warn the reader of some *tails*, i.e. terms being $\lll h^3 \lll Nh$, that are discarded to abbreviate the formulæ themselves. Thus, the above equation becomes

$$I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \stackrel{(T)}{\sim} \sum_{0 \leq |a| \leq 2h} W(a) \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a), \quad \text{with } W(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{\substack{|t| \leq h \\ |t-a| \leq h}} \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(t-a) dt.$$

Since f_1, f_2 are essentially bounded, then

$$W(0) \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(0) = 2h \sum_{n \sim N} f_1(n) f_2(n) \lll Nh.$$

Moreover, note that W is even and $W(a) \ll h$ uniformly for all a . Therefore, Lemma 3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < |a| \leq 2h} W(a) \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) &= \sum_{0 < a \leq 2h} W(a) (\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a) + \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a)) \\ &= 2N \sum_{0 < a \leq 2h} W(a) \sum_{\ell|a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} \\ &\quad + O_\varepsilon(N^{\delta+\varepsilon} Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3+\varepsilon} h^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the stated inequality for $I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$ follows from

$$\sum_{0 < a \leq 2h} W(a) \sum_{\ell | a} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} \ll \sum_{\ell \leq 2h} \frac{1}{\ell} \left| \sum_{0 < b \leq 2h/\ell} W(\ell b) \right| \ll h,$$

where we have applied the property (see [C1], Lemma 2.4)

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < a \leq 2h \\ a \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}}} W(a) = 2\ell \left\| \frac{h}{\ell} \right\| \ll h, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \ell \leq 2h.$$

Now, let us turn our attention to the Selberg integral $J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$. First we observe that for any $c = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N^{2N} \sum_{x < n \leq x+h} f_c(n) dx &= \sum_{N < n \leq 2N+h} f_c(n) \int_{\substack{N \leq x \leq 2N \\ n-h \leq x < n}} dx \\ &= \sum_{N+h < n < 2N-h} f_c(n) \int_{n-h}^n dx + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon h^2) \\ &= h \sum_{n \sim N} f_c(n) + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon h^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n \sim N} f_c(n) = \sum_d g_c(d) \left(\left[\frac{2N}{d} \right] - \left[\frac{N}{d} \right] \right) = N \frac{M_{f_c}(h)}{h} + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon Q_c),$$

then, by recalling that $Q_1 \geq Q_2$ and $M_{f_c}(h) \ll h$, we can write

$$J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) = \int_N^{2N} \sum_{x < n, m \leq x+h} f_1(n) f_2(m) dx - N M_{f_1}(h) M_{f_2}(h) + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon (Q_1 h^2 + h^3)).$$

Now, by arguing as we have done before for $I_{f_1, f_2}(N, h)$, it is easy to see that

$$\int_N^{2N} \sum_{x < n, m \leq x+h} f_1(n) f_2(m) dx = \sum_{0 \leq |a| \leq h} (h - |a|) \sum_{n \sim N} f_1(n) f_2(n+a) + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon h^3),$$

which yields

$$J_{f_1, f_2}(N, h) \stackrel{(\text{T})}{\approx} \sum_{0 < |a| \leq h} (h - |a|) \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a) - N M_{f_1}(h) M_{f_2}(h) + O_\varepsilon(N^\varepsilon (N h + Q_1 h^2)),$$

Note that $h - |a|$ is an even function of the variable a . Thus, the previous calculations and Lemma 3 apply again here to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < |a| \leq h} (h - |a|) \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a) &= \sum_{0 < a \leq h} (h - a) (\mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a) + \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(a)) \\ &= 2N \sum_{\ell \leq h} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{b \leq h/\ell} (h - \ell b) \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2)=1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} \\ &\quad + O_\varepsilon(N^{\delta+\varepsilon} Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3+\varepsilon} h^2). \end{aligned}$$

By using the formula

$$\sum_{b \leq h/\ell} (h - lb) = \frac{h^2}{2\ell} + O(h), \quad \forall \ell \leq h,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 2N \sum_{\ell \leq h} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{b \leq h/\ell} (h - lb) \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2) = 1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} &= Nh^2 \sum_{\ell \leq h} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2) = 1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + O_\varepsilon(N^{1+\varepsilon} h) \\ &\stackrel{(\text{T})}{\sim} Nh^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1/\ell \\ q_2 \leq Q_2/\ell \\ (q_1, q_2) = 1}} \frac{g_1(\ell q_1) g_2(\ell q_2)}{q_1 q_2} \\ &= Nh^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1 \\ q_2 \leq Q_2 \\ (q_1, q_2) = \ell}} \frac{g_1(q_1) g_2(q_2)}{q_1 q_2} \\ &= Nh^2 \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q_1 \\ q_2 \leq Q_2}} \frac{g_1(q_1)}{q_1} \frac{g_2(q_2)}{q_2} = NM_{f_1}(h) M_{f_2}(h). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\sum_{0 < |a| \leq h} (h - |a|) \mathcal{C}_{f_1, f_2}(-a) - NM_{f_1}(h) M_{f_2}(h) \ll_\varepsilon N^{\delta+\varepsilon} Q_1^{53/48} Q_2^{7/8} h^2 + N^{1-2\delta/3+\varepsilon} h^2 + N^{1+\varepsilon} h.$$

Theorem 2 is completely proved. \square

5. Further comments and remarks

1. Though analogous definitions and results can be easily formulated for complex valued sieve functions, here we stick to the real case for simplicity.
2. A famous example of sieve function is given by the truncated divisor sum Λ_R , which has been exploited by Goldston, Pintz and Yıldırım for their recent results [GPY]. We refer the reader to [CL2] for an application of our recent study about the distribution of sieve functions to the case of Λ_R .
3. The key of the present approach is the treatment of the *error term* $\mathcal{R}_f(a)$ arising from the formula of the autocorrelation of a sieve function $f = g * \mathbf{1}$ written for any nonzero integer $a = o(N)$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_f(a) = \sum_{\ell|a} \sum_{(d, q)=1} g(\ell q_1) g(\ell q_2) \frac{1}{q_2} \left(\left[\frac{2N}{\ell q_1} \right] - \left[\frac{N}{\ell q_1} \right] \right) + \mathcal{R}_f(a).$$

In [C1] such an error term is defined by using the orthogonality of the additive characters. The estimate of $\mathcal{R}_f(a)$ leads to the gain $\Delta = 1/48$ given in Theorem 1 and, as noted above, it is essentially due to the non-trivial bound of the bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions by Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec (see Lemma 1). In this respect, the recent improvement obtained by Bettin and Chandee [BC] would yield $\Delta = 1/20$. Moreover, we think that this result might lead to an improvement of ours in respect of the short length h as well. We are going to show such a further achievement in a future paper.

4. In the literature the *level* of distribution of an arithmetic function f in the arithmetic progressions is usually meant to be a positive real number $\lambda_{AP}(f)$ such that

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \max_{(a, q)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} f(n) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q)=1}} f(n) \right| \ll_\varepsilon x^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad (\varphi(q) \stackrel{def}{=} |\{a \leq q, (a, q) = 1\}|),$$

holds for $Q \leq x^{\lambda_{AP}(f)-\delta}$ (where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small and depends on $\varepsilon > 0$). For example, the celebrated Bombieri-Vinogradov Theorem gives $\lambda_{AP}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2}$ for the von-Mangoldt function Λ .

It is a classical and standard argument (see [E] for example) to deduce from the previous inequality an asymptotic formula for the autocorrelations of a sieve function f given by

$$f(n) = \sum_{\substack{q|n \\ q \leq Q}} g(q), \quad \text{with } Q \ll N^{\lambda_{AP}(f)-\delta}.$$

Somehow this justifies our definition of *level* when we refer to a sieve function. Unfortunately, it seems to be very hard to reverse such a process, that is to say, information on the *level* of distribution of f in the arithmetic progressions seems to be much stronger than knowledge about the autocorrelation of f . From this point of view, our Lemma 3 provides a substitute for what is still lacking from the study of distribution of f in the arithmetic progressions.

6. Appendix: the first Bernoulli function on the rational numbers

Here we prove the formula (1), that equivalently we state as

$$\mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) = \frac{i}{2q} \sum_{0 < |j| \leq q/2} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} e_q(jn), \quad \forall (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

To this end, it suffices to establish the following equality for the Fourier coefficient of \mathcal{B}_1 :

$$c_{j,q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq |r| \leq q/2} \mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{r}{q}\right) e_q(-jr) = \frac{i}{2q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q}.$$

First, since \mathcal{B}_1 is odd, note that $c_{j,q} = \frac{i}{q}\Sigma$, where we set

$$\Sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{2}{q} \sum_{r \leq [q/2]} r \sin \frac{2\pi jr}{q} + \sum_{r \leq [q/2]} \sin \frac{2\pi jr}{q}.$$

Note that, for $R = [q/2]$ by Abel's lemma (see [MV], Appendix A, exercise 3) one has

$$\sum_{r \leq R} r \sin \frac{2\pi jr}{q} = R \sum_{r \leq R} \sin \frac{2\pi jr}{q} - \sum_{r \leq R-1} \left(\sum_{\ell \leq r} \sin \frac{2\pi j\ell}{q} \right).$$

Therefore, by using the identities (see [GR], formulæ n.1.342.1 and n.1.342.2), $\forall X \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \leq X} \sin(2\pi \alpha r) &= \sin^2(\pi \alpha X) \cot(\pi \alpha) + \frac{\sin(2\pi \alpha X)}{2}, \\ \sum_{r \leq X} \cos(2\pi \alpha r) &= \frac{\sin(2\pi \alpha X) \cot(\pi \alpha)}{2} - \frac{1 - \cos(2\pi \alpha X)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \left(-\frac{2R}{q} + 1 \right) \sum_{r \leq R} \sin \frac{2\pi jr}{q} + \frac{2}{q} \sum_{r \leq R-1} \left(\sum_{\ell \leq r} \sin \frac{2\pi j\ell}{q} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \sum_{r \leq R-1} \sin^2 \frac{\pi jr}{q} + \left(1 - \frac{2R}{q} + \frac{1}{q} \right) \sum_{r \leq R} \sin \frac{2\pi jr}{q} - \frac{1}{q} \sin \frac{2\pi jR}{q} \\ &= \frac{R}{q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} + \frac{1}{q} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi jR}{q} - 1 \right) \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} - \frac{1}{2q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \left(\sin \frac{2\pi jR}{q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} + \cos \frac{2\pi jR}{q} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + 2\{q/2\}}{q} \left(\sin^2 \frac{\pi jR}{q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{2\pi jR}{q} \right) - \frac{1}{q} \sin \frac{2\pi jR}{q}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$2\{q/2\} = q - 2[q/2] = q - 2R = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, since from $2\pi jR = \pi j q - 2\pi j\{q/2\}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \frac{2\pi jR}{q} &= (-1)^j \cos \frac{2\pi j\{q/2\}}{q}, \\ \sin \frac{2\pi jR}{q} &= (-1)^{j+1} 2\left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\} \sin \frac{\pi j}{q}, \\ \sin^2 \frac{\pi jR}{q} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{2} \cos \frac{2\pi j\{q/2\}}{q}, \end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} - 2\left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\} \frac{1}{2q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} + \frac{1}{2q} \left((-1)^j \cos \frac{\pi j 2\{q/2\}}{q} - 1 \right) \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \\ &\quad + 2\left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\} \frac{(-1)^j}{2q} \sin \frac{\pi j}{q} \cot^2 \frac{\pi j}{q} + \frac{1 + 2\{q/2\}}{q} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{(-1)^j}{2} \cos \frac{\pi j 2\{q/2\}}{q} \right) \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{2q} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q} \left(q - 2\left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\} + 2\left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\} (-1)^j \cos \frac{\pi j}{q} + 2\left\{\frac{q}{2}\right\} \left(1 - (-1)^j \cos \frac{\pi j 2\{q/2\}}{q} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{\pi j}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [BC] S. Bettin, V. Chandee, *Trilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions*, preprint at arXiv:1502.00769v1.
- [C1] G. Coppola, *On the Correlations, Selberg integral and symmetry of sieve functions in short intervals*, J. Combinatorics and Number Theory **2.2** (2010), Article 1, 91–105.
- [C2] G. Coppola, *On the Correlations, Selberg integral and symmetry of sieve functions in short intervals, II*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **58.3** (2010), 281–298.
- [CL1] G. Coppola, M. Laporta, *Symmetry and short interval mean-squares*, (submitted), preprint available at arXiv:1312.5701v2.
- [CL2] G. Coppola, M. Laporta, *Sieve functions in arithmetic bands*, (submitted), preprint available at arXiv:1503.07502v2.
- [DFI] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, H. Iwaniec, *Bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 1, 23–43.
- [E] P.D.T.A. Elliott, *On the Correlation of Multiplicative and the Sum of Additive Arithmetic Functions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **112** (1994), no. 538.
- [GPY] D.A. Goldston, J. Pintz, C. Yildirim, *Primes in tuples. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 2, 819–862.
- [GR] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of integrals, series, and products*, Fifth Edition, Academic Press, 1994.
- [MV] H.L. Montgomery, R.C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory. I. Classical Theory*, Studies in Advanced Math., vol. 97, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

Giovanni Coppola
 Università degli Studi di Napoli
 Home address : Via Partenio 12 -
 - 83100, Avellino(AV), ITALY
 e-page : www.giovanncoppola.name
 e-mail : giovanni.coppola@unina.it

Maurizio Laporta
 Università degli Studi di Napoli
 Dipartimento di Matematica e Appl.
 Compl.Monte S.Angelo
 Via Cinthia - 80126, Napoli, ITALY
 e-mail : mlaporta@unina.it