

Monocyte and T-lymphocyte trans-endothelial migration in relation to cardiovascular disease: some alternative boundary conditions in a model recently proposed by Little *et al.* (PLoS Comput Biol 2009 5(10) e1000539)

Mark P. Little^{a, b}

^aDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College Faculty of Medicine, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, UK

^bTo whom all correspondence should be addressed at: tel +44 (0)20 7594 3312; Fax +44 (0)20 7402 2150; Email mark.little@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

We consider a slight modification to the monocyte and T-lymphocyte boundary conditions of Little *et al.* (1) and derive alternative parameter estimates. No changes to the results and conclusions of the paper of Little *et al.* (1) are implied.

Introduction and Results

We shall consider a slight modification of the boundary monocyte and T-lymphocyte flux given by equations (17) and (18) in Little *et al.* (1) namely:

$$J_m(C, m_L, m_I) = \begin{cases} D_m \beta_m(C, m_L, m_I) = D_m \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_{m,0}[m_L - m_I] + \\ \beta_{m,1}[m_L - m_I + \kappa \cdot m_L] C 1_{C > C_{Tm}} \end{array} \right\} \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$J_T(C, T_L, T_I) = \begin{cases} D_T \beta_T(C, T_L, T_I) = D_T \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_{T,0}[T_L - T_I] + \\ \beta_{T,1}[T_L - T_I + \kappa T_L] C 1_{C > C_{Tt}} \end{array} \right\} \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where m_L, m_I are the monocyte concentrations in the lumen and intima, respectively, and T_L, T_I are the T-lymphocyte concentrations in the lumen and intima, respectively. The fundamentally linear form of these is inspired by data in Takaku *et al.* (2) and Klouche *et al.* (3). If we set $m_T = m_L + m_I$, then in the context of the experimental system studied by Takaku *et al.* (2), with a fixed monocyte population, a proportion of which transmigrates, this leads to the differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial m_L}{\partial t} = -D_m \left[\beta_{m,0}[2m_L - m_T] + \beta_{m,1}[(2 + \kappa)m_L - m_T] C 1_{C > C_{Tm}} \right] \quad (3)$$

Setting $P = m_L / m_T$ we have that:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -D_m \left[\beta_{m,0}[2P - 1] + \beta_{m,1}[(2 + \kappa)P - 1] C 1_{C > C_{Tm}} \right] \quad (4)$$

With the initial condition $P(0) = 1$ this has solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(t) &= \exp \left[-2D_m t \left(\beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1} C \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} + 1 \right] 1_{C > C_{Tm}} \right) \right] + 0.5 \frac{D_m (\beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1} C 1_{C > C_{Tm}})}{D_m (\beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1} C \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} + 1 \right] 1_{C > C_{Tm}}} \\
&= \exp \left[-2D_m t \left(\beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1} C \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} + 1 \right] 1_{C > C_{Tm}} \right) \right] + 0.5 \frac{\beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1} C 1_{C > C_{Tm}}}{\beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1} C \left[\frac{\kappa}{2} + 1 \right] 1_{C > C_{Tm}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We fit this by least squares to the data in Figure 4 of Takaku *et al.* (2) (the inferred values on proportion of non-migrant monocytes are given in Table 1 below) to obtain values of $D_m \beta_{m,0}, D_m \beta_{m,1}, \kappa$. We divide the first two of these by $D_m = 3.03 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (as derived by Little *et al.* (1)) to give $\beta_{m,0} = 1.54 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^{-2}$, $\beta_{m,1} = 5.37 \times 10^{23} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml m}^{-2}$ and $\kappa = 0.787$. Both $\beta_{m,0}, \beta_{m,1}$ values are somewhat larger than those originally derived by Little *et al.* (1), namely $\beta_{m,0} = 1.72 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-2}$ and $\beta_{m,1} = 2.53 \times 10^{19} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml m}^{-2}$. [Note: there was a typographical error in the paper where this value was recorded as $\beta_{m,1} = 2.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml m}^{-2}$.]

As in the paper of Little *et al.* (1) we scale these values to corresponding ones for T-lymphocytes using the relations $D_T \beta_{T,0} = D_m \beta_{m,0} \cdot \frac{10.4}{11.9} = 4.08 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$,

$$D_T \beta_{T,1} = D_m \beta_{m,1} \cdot \frac{(35.8 - 10.4)}{(47.2 - 11.9)} = 1.17 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml s}^{-1},$$

using values given in Figure 4 of Klouche *et al.* (3) (and reproduced in Table 2 below). Finally dividing these by $D_T = 6.21 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (as derived by Little *et al.* (1)) we obtain $\beta_{T,0} = 6.58 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^{-2}$, $\beta_{T,1} = 1.88 \times 10^{23} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml m}^{-2}$ and (as for monocytes) $\kappa = 0.787$. Both $\beta_{T,0}, \beta_{T,1}$ values are somewhat larger than those originally derived by Little *et al.* (1), namely $\beta_{T,0} = 7.33 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^{-2}$ and $\beta_{T,1} = 8.85 \times 10^{18} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml m}^{-2}$. [Note: again, there was a typographical error in the paper where this value was recorded as $\beta_{T,1} = 8.9 \times 10^{12} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ ml m}^{-2}$.] Some of the differences may relate to the fact that the original data-fitting was to absolute numbers rather than (as here) to the ratios, $P = m_L / m_T$, which we do because of evidence that the total number of cells does not appear to be constant.

As the results of the paper of Little *et al.* (1) assumed zero boundary flux of monocytes and T-lymphocytes, these possible changes in the form of the model, and to the derived coefficients, have no implications for the results and conclusions of that paper.

Table 1. Migrant and non-migrant monocyte values derived from Figure 4 of Takaku *et al.* (2)

MCP concentration (nM ml ⁻¹)	1 Days start	after	Migrant cells	Non-migrant cells	Migrant proportion (%)	Non-migrant proportion (%)
0.0	1		7300	63700	10.3	89.7
0.0	4		27800	55200	33.5	66.5

0.0	7	19000	27400	40.9	59.1
0.03	1	61800	38800	61.5	38.5
0.03	4	54100	34800	60.9	39.1
0.03	7	66600	28500	70.0	30.0

Table 2. Migrant monocyte and T-lymphocyte values derived from Figure 4 of Klouche *et al.* (3)

Group	Migrant monocyte proportion (%)	Migrant T-lymphocyte proportion (%)
Control	11.9	10.4
25 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ E-LDL	47.2	35.8

Reference List

- (1) Little MP, Gola A, Tzoulaki I. A model of cardiovascular disease giving a plausible mechanism for the effect of fractionated low-dose ionizing radiation exposure. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2009 October;5(10):e1000539.
- (2) Takaku M, Wada Y, Jinnouchi K, Takeya M, Takahashi K, Usuda H, Naito M, Kurihara H, Yazaki Y, Kumazawa Y, Okimoto Y, Umetani M, Noguchi N, Niki E, Hamakubo T, Kodama T. An in vitro coculture model of transmigrant monocytes and foam cell formation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999 October;19(10):2330-9.
- (3) Klouche M, May AE, Hemmes M, Messner M, Kanse SM, Preissner KT, Bhakdi S. Enzymatically modified, nonoxidized LDL induces selective adhesion and transmigration of monocytes and T-lymphocytes through human endothelial cell monolayers. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1999 March;19(3):784-93.