

The distribution of the maximum of a second order autoregressive process: the continuous case

by

Christopher S. Withers
Applied Mathematics Group
Industrial Research Limited
Lower Hutt, NEW ZEALAND

Saralees Nadarajah
School of Mathematics
University of Manchester
Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Abstract: We give the distribution function of M_n , the maximum of a sequence of n observations from an autoregressive process of order 2. Solutions are first given in terms of repeated integrals and then for the case, where the underlying random variables are absolutely continuous. When the correlations are positive,

$$P(M_n \leq x) = a_{n,x},$$

where

$$a_{n,x} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{jx} \nu_{jx}^n = O(\nu_{1x}^n),$$

where $\{\nu_{jx}\}$ are the eigenvalues of a non-symmetric Fredholm kernel, and ν_{1x} is the eigenvalue of maximum magnitude. The weights β_{jx} depend on the j th left and right eigenfunctions of the kernel.

These results are large deviations expansions for estimates, since the maximum need not be standardized to have a limit. In fact such a limit need not exist.

Keywords: Autoregressive process; Fredholm kernel; Maximum.

1 Introduction and summary

Many authors have considered extreme value theory for moving average processes, see Rootzén (1978), Leadbetter *et al.* (1983, page 59), Davis and Resnick (1985), Rootzén (1986), O'Brien (1987), Resnick (1987, page 239), Davis and Resnick (1989), Park (1992), Hall (2002), Hall (2005) and Klüppelberg and Lindner (2005). However, the results either give the limiting extreme value distributions or assume that the errors come from a specific class (e.g. integer-valued, exponential type, heavy tailed, light tailed, etc). We are aware of no work giving the *exact* distribution of the maximum of moving average processes.

This paper applies a powerful new method for giving the *exact* distribution of extremes of n correlated observations as weighted sums of n th powers of associated eigenvalues. The method was first illustrated for a moving average of order 1 in Withers and Nadarajah (2009a) and an autoregressive process of order 1 in Withers and Nadarajah (2009b).

Let $\{e_i\}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables from some distribution function F on R . We consider the autoregressive process of order 2,

$$X_i = e_i + r_1 X_{i-1} + r_2 X_{i-2}. \quad (1.1)$$

We restrict ourselves to the case where

$$r_1 > 0, \quad r_1^2 + r_2 > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

This includes the most important case, $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0$. (When this condition does not hold the method can be adapted as done in Withers and Nadarajah (2009b).) In Section 2, we give expressions for the distribution function of the maximum

$$M_n = \max_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad n \geq 1,$$

in terms of repeated integrals. This is obtained via the recurrence relationship

$$G_n(y) = \mathcal{K}G_{n-2}(y), \quad y = (y_0, y_1), \quad n \geq 2, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$G_n(y) = P(M_n \leq x, X_n \leq y_0, X_{n-1} \leq y_1), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathcal{K}r(y) = E \int r(g_y(z_1, e_1, e_0), dz_1), \quad (1.5)$$

$$g_y(z_1, e_1, e_0) = \min_{j=1,2} g_j,$$

$$g_1 = (y_0x - e_0 - r_1e_1 - r_1r_2z_1)/(r_1^2 + r_2), \quad g_2 = (y_1x - e_1 - r_2z_1)/r_1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$y_{ix} = \min(y_i, x), \quad (1.7)$$

$I(A) = 1$ or 0 for A true or false and dependency on x is suppressed except in y_{ix} . So, \mathcal{K} is a linear integral operator depending on x . For (1.3) to work at $n = 2$ we define $M_0 = -\infty$ so that

$$G_0(y) = P(X_0 \leq y_0, X_{-1} \leq y_1) = H(y) \text{ say.} \quad (1.8)$$

In Section 3, we consider the case when F is absolutely continuous with density $f(x)$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. In this case we show that corresponding to \mathcal{K} is a Fredholm kernel $K(y, z)$. We give a solution in terms of its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. This leads easily to the asymptotic results stated in the abstract.

Our expansions for $P(M_n \leq x)$ for fixed x are large deviation results. If x is replaced by x_n such that $P(M_n \leq x_n)$ tends to the generalized extreme value distribution function, then the expansion still holds, but not the asymptotic expansion in terms of a single eigenvalue, since this may approach 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For a, b functions on R^2 , set $\int a = \int a(y)dy = \int_{R^2} a(y)dy$ and similarly for $\int ab$.

2 Solutions using repeated integrals

Theorem 2.1 G_n of (1.4) satisfies the recurrence relation (1.3) in terms of the integral operator \mathcal{K} of (1.5).

Proof: Set

$$c_y(X, e) = \min((y_{0x} - e - r_2 X)/r_1, y_{1x}).$$

For $n \geq 1$, G_n of (1.4) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(y) &= P(M_n \leq x, X_n \leq y_{0x}, X_{n-1} \leq y_{1x}) \\ &= P(M_{n-1} \leq x, e_n + r_1 X_{n-1} + r_2 X_{n-2} \leq y_{0x}, X_{n-1} \leq y_{1x}), \quad n \geq 1, \\ &= P(M_{n-1} \leq x, X_{n-1} \leq c_y(X_{n-2}, e_n)) \text{ since } r_1 > 0 \\ &= P(M_{n-2} \leq x, e_{n-1} + r_1 X_{n-2} + r_2 X_{n-3} \leq c_y(X_{n-2}, e_n)), \quad n \geq 2, \\ &= P(M_{n-2} \leq x, X_{n-2} \leq g_y(X_{n-3}, e_{n-1}, e_n)) = \mathcal{K}G_{n-2}(y). \end{aligned}$$

So for $n \geq 2$, (1.3) holds. This ends the proof. \square

Our goal is to determine $u_n = P(M_n \leq x) = G_n(\infty)$ where $\infty = (\infty, \infty)$. Our next result gives these in terms of

$$a_n = [\mathcal{K}^n H(y)]_{y=\infty}, \quad b_n = [\mathcal{K}^n H(y_{0x}, y_1)]_{y=\infty}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1, \quad a_1 = E \int H(g_\infty(s, e_1, e_0), ds), \\ b_0 &= H(x, \infty) = P(X_0 \leq x), \quad b_1 = E \int G_1(g_\infty(s, e_1, e_0), ds), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_\infty(s, e_1, e_0) = \min\{(x - e_0 - r_1 e_1 - r_1 r_2 s)/(r_1^2 + r_2), (x - e_1 - r_2 s)/r_1\}.$$

Theorem 2.2

$$u_{2n} = a_n, \quad u_{2n-1} = b_n, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof: by Theorem 2.1, for $n \geq 0$

$$G_{2n}(y) = \mathcal{K}^n G_0(y), \quad G_{2n+1}(y) = \mathcal{K}^n G_1(y).$$

Also

$$G_0(y) = H(y), \quad G_1(y) = H(y_{0x}, y_1).$$

Putting $y = \infty$ gives (2.1). \square

Note that

$$G_2(y) = H(y_{0x}, y_{1x}).$$

3 The case of F absolutely continuous

Our solution Theorem 2.2 does not tell us how u_n behaves for large n . Also calculating a_n requires repeated integration. Here we give another solution that overcomes these problems, using Fredholm integral theory given in Appendix A of Withers and Nadarajah (2009a), referred to below as “the appendix”.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that F has first and second derivatives f and $f_{.1}$. Suppose that*

$$\gamma_y(z)r(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } z &= (z_0, z_1), \\ \gamma_y(z) &= (r_1 + r_2/r_1)\gamma_{y1}(z) + r_1\gamma_{y2}(z), \\ \gamma_{y1}(z) &= \int_{z_0 < \beta_y(w_0)} f(c_y(w_0, z))f(w_0)dw_0, \\ \beta_y(w_0) &= (y_{0x} - r_1y_{1x} - w_0)/r_2, \\ c_y(w_0, z) &= [y_{0x} - r_1r_2z_1 - (r_1^2 + r_2)z_0 - w_0]/r_1, \\ \gamma_{y2}(z) &= f(y_{1x} - r_2z_1 - r_1z_0) F(\delta_y(z_0)), \\ \delta_y(z_0) &= y_{0x} - r_1y_{1x} - r_2z_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write (1.5) in the form

$$\mathcal{K}r(y) = \int K(y, z)r(z)dz \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(y, z) &= (r_1 + r_2/r_1)r_2 \int^{\delta(z_0)} f(\alpha_1(w_0, y, z))f(w_0)dw_0 + r_1r_2F(\delta(z_0))f_{.1}(\alpha_2(y, z)), \\ \alpha_1(w_0, y, z) &= [y_{0x} - (r_1^2 + r_2)z_0 - r_1r_2z_1 - w_0]/r_1, \\ \alpha_2(y, z) &= y_{1x} - r_1z_0 - r_2z_1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF Set

$$h_y(e_0, z_1) = [(r_1^2 + r_2)y_{1x} - r_1y_{0x} + r_1e_0 - r_2^2z_1]/r_2.$$

Then for g_i of (1.6),

$$g_1 \leq g_2 \iff e_1 \leq h_y(e_0, z_1).$$

So $\mathcal{K}r(y) = I_1 + I_2$ where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int f(w_1)dw_1 \int_{w_1 \leq h_y(w_0, z_1)} f(w_0)dw_0 \int r(g_1, dz_1), \\ I_2 &= r_1 \int f(w_1)dw_1 \int_{g_2 \geq \beta_y(w_0)} f(w_0)dw_0 \int r(g_2, dz_1), \\ \text{since } r_1\beta_y(w_0) &= y_{1x} - r_2z_1 - h_y(w_0, z_1). \end{aligned}$$

Also $g_1 = w_1 \iff w_1 = c_y(w_0, z_1, g_1)$. So transforming from g_1 to z_0 ,

$$I_1 = \int f(w_0)dw_0 \int A(w_0, z_1)$$

where

$$A(w_0, z_1) = \int_{h_y(w_0, z_1)}^{\infty} dw_1 f(w_1) r(z_0, dz_1) = (r_1 + r_2/r_1) \int^{\beta_y(w_0)} f(c(w_0, z)) r(z_0, dz_1).$$

$$\text{So } I_1 = (r_1 + r_2/r_1) \int dz_0 \int r(z_0, dz_1) \gamma_{y_1}(z).$$

Also

$$I_2 = \int dw_0 f(w_0) \int \int^{h_y(w_0, z_1)} dw_1 r(g_2, dz_1) f(w_1)$$

where $r_1 g_2 = y_{1x} - w_1 - r_2 z_1$, that is, $w_1 = y_{1x} - r_2 z_1 - r_1 g_2$. So transforming from g_2 to z_0 ,

$$I_2 = r_1 \int dw_0 f(w_0) \int \int_{\beta(w_0)}^{\infty} dz_0 f(y_{1x} - r_2 z_1 - r_1 z_0) r(z) = r_1 \int dz_0 \int \gamma_{y_2}(z) r(z_0, dz_1).$$

So $\mathcal{K}r(y) = I_1 + I_2 = \int dg \int \gamma_y(z) r(z_0, dz_1)$. Integrating by parts, (3.1) gives

$$\mathcal{K}r(y) = \int \gamma_y(z) r_{.1}(z) dz = \int K_0(y, z) r(z) dz$$

where $r_{.1}(z) = -(\partial/\partial z_1)r(z)$ and $K_0(y, z) = -\gamma_{y.1}(z) = -(\partial/\partial z_1)\gamma_y(z)$ by (3.1). Also $K_0(y, z) = K(y, z)$. This ends the proof. \square

We now assume that

$$0 < \int \int K(y, z) K(z, y) dy dz < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

So $K(y, z)$ is a (non-symmetric) Fredholm kernel with respect to Lebesgue measure, allowing the Fredholm theory of the appendix to be applied, in particular the functional forms of the Jordan form and singular value decomposition.

Let $\{\lambda_j, r_j, l_j : j \geq 1\}$ be the eigenvalues and associated right and left eigenfunctions of \mathcal{K} ordered so that $|\lambda_j| \geq |\lambda_{j+1}|$. If $\{\lambda_j\}$ are real then $\{r_j, l_j\}$ can be taken as real. By the appendix referred to, these satisfy

$$\mathcal{K}r_j = \lambda_j r_j, \quad \bar{l}_j \mathcal{K} = \lambda_j \bar{l}_j, \quad \int_{R^2} r_j \bar{l}_k = \int_{R^2} r_j(y) \bar{l}_k(y) dy = \delta_{jk},$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker function. So, $\{r_j(y), l_k(y)\}$ are biorthogonal functions with respect to Lebesgue measure.

We now assume that

$$K(y, z) \text{ has diagonal Jordan form.} \quad (3.4)$$

(This holds, for example, when the eigenvalues are distinct. This will generally be the case for our applications.) The functional equivalent of the Jordan form is, by (3.6) of Withers and Nadarajah (2008b),

$$K(y, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j r_j(y) \bar{l}_j(z).$$

This implies that

$$K_n(y, z) = \mathcal{K}^{n-1}K(y, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^n r_j(y) \bar{l}_j(z)$$

where \mathcal{K}^n is the operator corresponding to the iterated kernel $K_n(y, z)$. By (A.8) of Withers and Nadarajah (2009a) with μ Lebesgue measure on R^2 , if $\mathcal{K}G$ is in $L_2(R^2)$ then

$$\mathcal{K}^n G(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j(G) r_j(y) \lambda_j^n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.5)$$

where $B_j(G) = \int_{R^2} G \bar{l}_j$. Putting $y = \infty$ and $G = G_0, G_1$ in (3.5) gives

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that (3.3) (3.4) hold. Then for B_j of (3.5) and $n \geq 1$*

$$a_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(\infty) B_j(H) \lambda_j^n, \quad b_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(\infty) B_j(G_1) \lambda_j^n.$$

Corollary 3.1 *Suppose that the eigenvalue λ_1 of largest magnitude has multiplicity M . Then under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2,*

$$a_n = B(H) \lambda_1^n (1 + \epsilon_n), \quad b_n = B(G_1) \lambda_1^n (1 + \epsilon_n), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$B(G) = \sum_{j=1}^M r_j(\infty) B_j(G).$$

So, for $n \geq 1$, by (2.1)

$$u_{2n} = B(H) \lambda_1^n (1 + \epsilon_n), \quad u_{2n+1} = B(G_1) \lambda_1^n (1 + \epsilon_n).$$

3.1 A numerical solution

We now give a numerical method for obtaining the eigenvalues and $r_j(\infty)$ and $B_j(G)$ needed for Theorem 3.2.

Consider the j th eigenvalue and eigenfunctions $\lambda = \lambda_j$, $r = r_j$, $l = l_j$. The right and left eigenfunctions satisfy

$$\lambda r = \mathcal{K}r, \quad \lambda \bar{l} = \bar{l} \mathcal{K},$$

that is

$$\lambda r(y) = \mathcal{K}r(y) = \int_{R^2} K(y, z) r(z) dz, \quad \lambda \bar{l}(z) = \bar{l}(z) \mathcal{K} = \int_{R^2} \bar{l}(y) K(y, z) dy. \quad (3.7)$$

Let us approximate an integral over R^2 by by Gaussian quadrature (see for example Section 25.4 of Abramowitz and Stegun (1964)), say

$$\int_{R^2} a(z) dz \approx \sum_{j=1}^r w_j a(z_j),$$

where $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ are given points in R^2 and $\{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$ are given weights. Let \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L} denote the r -vectors with k th elements $r(z_k), l(z_k)$. Let \mathbf{K} denote the $r \times r$ matrix with (i, j) th element $K(z_i, z_j)$. Then we can write (3.7) as

$$\lambda \mathbf{R} \approx \mathbf{K} \mathbf{R}, \quad \lambda \bar{\mathbf{L}} \approx \bar{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{K}.$$

So to this order of approximation, the eigenvalues are just those of \mathbf{K} , and $r(z_k), l(z_k)$ are just the k th elements of the right and left eigenvectors of \mathbf{K} corresponding to λ .

Also

$$r(\infty) = \lambda^{-1} \int K(\infty, z)r(z)dz \approx \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^r w_k K(\infty, z_k)r(z_k)$$

and

$$B_j(G) = \int_{R^2} G \bar{l}_j \approx \sum_{k=1}^r w_k G(z_k) \bar{l}_j(z_k).$$

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. (1964) *Handbook of mathematical functions*. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series **55**.
- [2] Davis, R. A. and Resnick, S. I. (1985). Limit theory for moving averages of random variables with regularly varying tail probabilities. *Annals of Probability*, **13**, 179–195.
- [3] Davis, R. A. and Resnick, S. I. (1989). Basic properties and prediction of max–ARMA processes. *Advances in Applied Probability*, **21**, 781–803.
- [4] Hall, A. (2002). Extremes of integer–valued moving average models with regularly varying tails. *Extremes*, **4**, 219–239.
- [5] Hall, A. (2005). Extremes of integer–valued moving average models with exponential type tails. *Extremes*, **6**, 361–379.
- [6] Klüppelberg, C. and Lindner, A. (2005). Extreme value theory for moving average processes with light-tailed innovations. *Bernoulli*, **11**, 381–410.
- [7] Leadbetter, M. R., Lindgren, G. and Rootzén, H. (1983). *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [8] O’Brien, G. L. (1987). Extreme values for stationary and Markov sequences. *Annals of Probability*, **15**, 281–291.
- [9] Park, Y. S. (1992). Extreme value of moving average processes with negative binomial noise distribution. *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*, **21**, 167–177.
- [10] Resnick, S. I. (1987). *Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [11] Rootzén, H. (1978). Extremes of moving averages of stable processes. *Annals of Probability*, **6**, 847–869.

- [12] Rootzén, H. (1986). Extreme value theory for moving average processes. *Annals of Probability*, **14**, 612–652.
- [13] Withers, C. S. (1975). Fredholm theory for arbitrary measure spaces. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **12**, 283–292.
- [14] Withers, C. S. (1978). Fredholm equations have uniformly convergent solutions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications*, **64**, 602–609.
- [15] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. (2008a). The n th power of a matrix and approximations for large n . *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics*, **38**, 171–178.
- [16] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. (2008b). Fredholm equations for non-symmetric kernels with applications to iterated integral operators. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **204**, 499–507.
- [17] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. (2009a). The distribution of the maximum of a first order moving average: the continuous case. *Technical Report*, Applied Mathematics Group, Industrial Research Ltd., Lower Hutt, New Zealand. Available on-line at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0523>.
- [18] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. (2009b). The distribution of the maximum of a first order autoregressive process: the continuous case. *Technical Report*, Applied Mathematics Group, Industrial Research Ltd., Lower Hutt, New Zealand.