ALGEBRAIC METHODS FOR PARAMETERIZED CODES AND INVARIANTS OF VANISHING IDEALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

CARLOS RENTER´IA-MARQUEZ, ARON SIMIS, AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL ´

ABSTRACT. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and let X be a subset of a projective space \mathbb{P}^{s-1} , over the field K, parameterized by Laurent monomials. Let $I(X)$ be the vanishing ideal of X. Some of the main contributions of this paper are in determining the structure of $I(X)$ to compute some of its invariants. It is shown that $I(X)$ is a lattice ideal. We introduce the notion of a parameterized code arising from X and present algebraic methods to compute and study its dimension, length and minimum distance. For a parameterized code, arising from a connected graph, we are able to compute its length and to make our results more precise. If the graph is non-bipartite, we show an upper bound for the minimum distance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and let y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} be a finite set of Laurent monomials. Given $v_i = (v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we set

$$
y^{v_i} = y_1^{v_{i1}} \cdots y_n^{v_{in}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, s,
$$

where y_1, \ldots, y_n are the indeterminates of a ring of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in K.

An object of study here is the following set parameterized by these monomials

$$
X := \{ [(x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}}, \dots, x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}})] \mid x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1},
$$

where $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$ and \mathbb{P}^{s-1} is a projective space over the field K. Following [\[27\]](#page-21-0) we call X an *algebraic toric set* parameterized by y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} . We are especially interested in measuring the size of X, in terms of q, n and s, because $|X|$ is the length of the linear codes that we will introduce and examine here.

Let $S = K[t_1, \ldots, t_s] = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d$ be a polynomial ring over the field K with the standard grading. Another object of study is the graded ideal $I(X) \subset S$ generated by the homogeneous polynomials of S that vanish on X. The ideal $I(X)$ is called the vanishing ideal of X.

Some of the main contributions of this paper are in determining the structure of $I(X)$ to compute some of its invariants. The other main contributions are estimates (in certain cases formulas) of the basic parameters of certain linear codes.

The main application we foresee is to algebraic coding theory because our results can be used to study the performance of a new class of evaluation codes that we now introduce. Let $[P_1], \ldots, [P_m]$ be the points of X and let $f_0(t_1, \ldots, t_s) = t_1^d$. The evaluation map

$$
\mathrm{ev}_d\colon S_d = K[t_1,\ldots,t_s]_d \to K^{|X|}, \qquad f \mapsto \left(\frac{f(P_1)}{f_0(P_1)},\ldots,\frac{f(P_m)}{f_0(P_m)}\right)
$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13P25; Secondary 14G50, 14G15, 11T71, 94B27, 94B05.

The first author was partially supported by COFAA-IPN and SNI. The second author was partially supported by a grant of CNPq. The third author was partially supported by CONACyT grant 49251-F and SNI.

defines a linear map of K-vector spaces. The image of ev_d , denoted by $C_X(d)$, defines a linear code that we call a parameterized code of order d. By a linear code we mean a linear subspace of $K^{|X|}$. The kernel of ev_d is the homogeneous part $I(X)_d$ of degree d of $I(X)$. Therefore there is an isomorphism of K-vector spaces

$$
S_d/I(X)_d \simeq C_X(d).
$$

The dimension and the length of $C_X(d)$ are given by $\dim_K C_X(d)$ and |X| respectively. We will provide algebraic methods to compute and study the dimension and the length of $C_X(d)$, which are two of the basic parameters of a linear code. A third basic parameter is the *minimum* distance of $C_X(d)$, which is given by $\delta_d = \min\{|v|: 0 \neq v \in C_X(d)\}$, where $||v||$ is the number of non-zero entries of v. The basic parameters of $C_X(d)$ are related by the Singleton bound for the minimum distance:

$$
\delta_d \le |X| - \dim_K C_X(d) + 1.
$$

A good parameterized code should have large |X| and with $\dim_K C_X(d)/|X|$ and $\delta_d/|X|$ as large as possible. Evaluation codes associated to a projective torus are called generalized Reed-Solomon codes [\[14\]](#page-20-0). Parameterized codes are a natural extension of this sort of codes. Some special families of evaluation codes have been extensively studied, including several variations of Reed-Muller codes [\[5,](#page-20-1) [12,](#page-20-2) [13,](#page-20-3) [15,](#page-20-4) [22,](#page-20-5) [26\]](#page-21-1).

Two of the basic parameters of $C_X(d)$ can be expressed using Hilbert functions of standard graded algebras [\[31\]](#page-21-2) as we now explain. Recall that the *Hilbert function* of $S/I(X)$ is given by

$$
H_X(d) := \dim_K (S/I(X))_d = \dim_K S_d/I(X)_d = \dim_K C_X(d).
$$

The unique polynomial $h_X(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ such that $h_X(d) = H_X(d)$ for $d \gg 0$ is called the Hilbert polynomial of $S/I(X)$. In our situation $h_X(t)$ is a non-zero constant. Furthermore $h_X(d) = |X|$ for $d \geq |X| - 1$, see [\[19,](#page-20-6) Lecture 13]. This means that |X| equals the *degree* of $S/I(X)$. Thus $H_X(d)$ and deg $S/I(X)$ equal the dimension and the length of $C_X(d)$ respectively.

The results of this paper will allow to compute the dimension and the length of $C_X(d)$ using Hilbert functions. In certain interesting cases we show a nice formula for the length. For algebraic toric sets arising from combinatorial structures, we are able to estimate the length in terms of n, q, and the rank of a certain subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . When $C_X(d)$ arises from a connected non-bipartite graph, we will show an upper bound for the minimum distance and compare this bound with the Singleton bound (see Section [5\)](#page-16-0).

The contents of this paper are as follows. The main theorems in Section [2](#page-2-0) are algebraic expressions for $I(X)$, which can be used to extract information about the basic parameters of $C_X(d)$ using Gröbner bases. Before introducing the theorems, recall that an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^s is called a *lattice*. A *lattice ideal* of S is an ideal of the form

$$
I(\mathcal{L}) := (\{t^a - t^b | a, b \in \mathbb{N}^s \text{ with } a - b \in \mathcal{L}\}) \subset S
$$

for some lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$. A polynomial of the form $t^a - t^b$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^s$, is called a *binomial* of S. An ideal generated by binomials is called a *binomial ideal*. The concept of a lattice ideal is a natural generalization of a toric ideal [\[36,](#page-21-3) Corollary 7.1.4].

In Theorem [2.1](#page-3-0) we show that $I(X)$ is a radical Cohen-Macaulay lattice ideal of dimension 1. Moreover, if $v_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ for all *i*, we prove the equality

$$
I(X) = (t_1 - y^{v_1}z, \dots, t_s - y^{v_s}z, y_1^{q-1} - 1, \dots, y_n^{q-1} - 1) \cap S,
$$

where z is a new indeterminate. A similar statement holds for arbitrary v_i 's (see Theorem [2.13\)](#page-10-0). In light of this result, we can compute the reduced Gröbner basis of $I(X)$, with respect to any term order of the monomials of S, using the computer algebra system $Macaulay2$ [\[6,](#page-20-7) [16\]](#page-20-8). Thus, we can compute the Hilbert function and the degree of $S/I(X)$, i.e., we can compute the dimension and the length of $C_X(d)$.

We present a different expression for $I(X)$ —via a saturation process—valid for a wide class of algebraic toric sets (see Theorem [2.5](#page-6-0) and Corollary [2.10\)](#page-8-0). As a consequence, if

$$
\mathbb{T} = \{ [(x_1, \dots, x_s)] | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}
$$

is a projective torus, then $I(\mathbb{T}) = (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\})$ $\{q-1\}_{i=2}^s$) (see Corollary [2.8\)](#page-8-1). This equality was first shown in [\[14\]](#page-20-0). Then we obtain a family of algebraic toric sets—arising from connected graphs—where $I(X)$ can be computed using a saturation process (see Corollary [2.11\)](#page-9-0).

In Section [3](#page-10-1) we focus on the computation of $|X|$, the length of $C_X(d)$. We uncover a direct method, based on integer programming techniques, to compute $|X|$ (see Proposition [3.3\)](#page-11-0). Under certain conditions we prove that $(q-1)^{r-1}$ divides the length of $C_X(d)$, where r is the rank of the subgroup generated by $\{(v_i, 1)\}_{i=1}^s$ (see Theorem [3.5\)](#page-11-1). In some cases—when X comes from a connected graph—we give a formula for the length of $C_X(d)$ (see Corollary [3.8\)](#page-13-0).

The elements of $C_X(d)$ can be interpreted as rational functions on X. For this reason, in Section [4,](#page-14-0) we study the geometric structure of X. Let I_A be the toric ideal of $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$, i.e., I_A is the prime ideal of S of polynomial relations of y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} . We call A homogeneous if A lies on an affine hyperplane not containing the origin. We prove that if A is homogeneous, then the projective toric variety $V(I_A)$ intersected with a projective torus $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ is always parameterized by Laurent monomials (see Theorem $4.1(i)$). This gives a method to produce projective varieties parameterized by Laurent monomials. As a byproduct, letting V_A denote $V(I_A) \cap \mathbb{T}$, our results allow to compute $I(V_A)$ using Gröbner bases (see Theorem [4.1\(](#page-14-1)ii)). As we will see, often an algebraic toric set X is in fact a projective variety defined by binomials (see Proposition [4.3\)](#page-15-0). In particular, we obtain the equality $X = V_A$ for any A arising from the edges of a connected graph. As a consequence, we show a finite Nullstellensatz (see Corollary [4.4\)](#page-16-1).

The dimension of $C_X(d)$ is increasing, as a function of d, until it reaches a constant value [\[5,](#page-20-1) [11\]](#page-20-9). We observe that the minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ has the opposite behaviour: it is decreasing, as a function of d , until it reaches a constant value (see Proposition [5.2\)](#page-16-2).

Finally, in Section [5,](#page-16-0) we present an application of our results and techniques to algebraic coding theory. We show upper bounds for the minimum distance of parameterized codes arising from a connected non-bipartite graph (see Theorem [5.3\)](#page-17-0). The geometric perspective of Section [4](#page-14-0) plays a role here. A comparison between our bound and the Singleton bound is given (see Remark [5.4](#page-18-0) and Example [5.5\)](#page-18-1). We give an explicit formula for the minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ when X is a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^2 (see Proposition [5.7\)](#page-19-0). Part of this formula was already known [\[14\]](#page-20-0); our contribution here is to use a result of [\[18\]](#page-20-10) together with the proof of Theorem [5.3](#page-17-0) to treat the cases not covered in [\[14\]](#page-20-0).

For all unexplained terminology and additional information, we refer to [\[25,](#page-21-4) [33\]](#page-21-5) (for the theory of binomial and toric ideals), [\[7,](#page-20-11) [35\]](#page-21-6) (for computational commutative algebra), [\[2\]](#page-20-12) (for graph theory), and [\[23,](#page-20-13) [32,](#page-21-7) [34\]](#page-21-8) (for the theory of error-correcting codes and linear codes).

2. The ideal of an algebraic toric set parameterized by monomials

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction. Here we study the structure of the graded ideal $I(X)$ and show algebraic methods to compute a finite set of binomials generating $I(X)$. We begin this section by introducing X^* , the affine companion of X, that shares some of the properties of X, such as being a multiplicative group. Some of our

results will admit affine versions for X^* as well. However, as a matter of staying focused, we will deal mostly with X while X^* will play by and large an auxiliary role.

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and let $K[y_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, y_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a ring of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in K. Consider a set y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} of Laurent monomials with $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $v_i = (v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The following set is called the *affine algebraic toric set* parameterized by these monomials:

$$
X^* := \{ (x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}}, \dots, x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}}) | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \}.
$$

This model of parametrization was introduced in [\[27\]](#page-21-0). In [\[21,](#page-20-14) [27\]](#page-21-0) a classification of the affine toric varieties that are parameterized by monomials is given. The set $(K^*)^s$ is called an *affine* algebraic torus of dimension s and is denoted by \mathbb{T}^* . The affine torus \mathbb{T}^* is a multiplicative group under the product operation

$$
\alpha \cdot \alpha' = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \cdot (\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_s) = (\alpha_1 \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha_s \alpha'_s).
$$

Clearly, the set X^* is also a group under componentwise multiplication. We have the inclusions $X^* \subset \mathbb{T}^* \subset \mathbb{A}^s \setminus \{0\}$, where \mathbb{A}^s denotes the affine space K^s .

The projective space of dimension $s-1$ over K, denoted by \mathbb{P}^{s-1} , is the quotient space

$$
(K^s \setminus \{0\})/\sim
$$

where two points α , β in $K^s \setminus \{0\}$ are equivalent if $\alpha = \lambda \beta$ for some $\lambda \in K$. We denote the equivalence class of α by $[\alpha]$. By definition, there is a structure map

$$
\varphi_s \colon \mathbb{A}^s \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{s-1}, \qquad \alpha \longmapsto [\alpha].
$$

The image of X^* under φ_s will be denoted by X. The set X is the *algebraic toric set* parameterized by y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} that was defined earlier in the introduction:

$$
X := \{ [(x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}}, \dots, x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}})] \mid x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}.
$$

The set X is a multiplicative group with the product operation induced by that of X^* . The group structure of X and X^* will come into play in Section [3.](#page-10-1)

Let $S = K[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ be a polynomial ring with coefficients in the field K with the standard grading $S = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d$ induced by setting $\deg(t_i) = 1$ for all i. We are interested in the radical ideal $I(X)$ generated by the homogeneous polynomials of S that vanish on X.

Recall the following notion from commutative ring theory, which will be used a few times in the exposition. Let D be a commutative ring with unit and let M be a D-module. The set

$$
\mathcal{Z}_D(M) := \{ r \in D \mid rm = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \neq m \in M \}
$$

is called the set of *zero divisors* of M . If D is the ring of integers, we denote the set of zero divisors of M simply by $\mathcal{Z}(M)$.

We come to one of the main results of this section, an structure theorem allowing—with the help of *Macaulay* 2 [\[6,](#page-20-7) [16\]](#page-20-8)—the computation of the Hilbert function and the degree of $S/I(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $B = K[t_1, \ldots, t_s, y_1, \ldots, y_n, z]$ be a polynomial ring over the finite field $K = \mathbb{F}_q$. If $v_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ for all i, then the following holds:

- (a) $I(X) = (\{t_i y^{v_i}z\}_{i=1}^s \cup \{y_i^{q-1} 1\}_{i=1}^n) \cap S$ and $I(X)$ is a binomial ideal.
- (b) $t_i \notin \mathcal{Z}_S(S/I(X))$ for all i and $I(X)$ is a radical lattice ideal.
- (c) $S/I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension 1.

Proof. (a) We set $I' = (t_1 - y^{v_1}z, \ldots, t_s - y^{v_s}z, y_1^{q-1} - 1, \ldots, y_n^{q-1} - 1) \subset B$. First we show the inclusion $I(X) \subset I' \cap S$. Take a homogeneous polynomial $F = F(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$ of degree d that vanishes on X. We can write

(2.1)
$$
F = \lambda_1 t^{m_1} + \dots + \lambda_r t^{m_r} \quad (\lambda_i \in K^*; m_i \in \mathbb{N}^s),
$$

where $\deg(t^{m_i}) = d$ for all i. Write $m_i = (m_{i1}, \ldots, m_{is})$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Applying the binomial theorem to expand the right hand side of the equality

$$
t_j^{m_{ij}} = [(t_j - y^{v_j}z) + y^{v_j}z]^{m_{ij}}, \quad 1 \le i \le r, \ 1 \le j \le s,
$$

and then substituting all the $t_i^{m_{ij}}$ $j^{m_{ij}}_{j}$ in Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-4-0), we obtain that F can be written as:

(2.2)
$$
F = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i(t_i - y^{v_i}z) + z^d F(y^{v_1}, \dots, y^{v_s})
$$

for some g_1, \ldots, g_s in B. By the division algorithm in $K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ (see [\[4,](#page-20-15) Theorem 3, p. 63]) we can write

(2.3)
$$
F(y^{v_1}, \dots, y^{v_s}) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(y_i^{q-1} - 1) + G(y_1, \dots, y_n)
$$

for some h_1, \ldots, h_n in $K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$, where the monomials that occur in $G = G(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ are not divisible by any of the monomials y_1^{q-1} $a_1^{q-1}, \ldots, a_n^{q-1}$, i.e., $\deg_{y_i}(G) < q-1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, using Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) , we obtain the equality

(2.4)
$$
F = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i(t_i - y^{v_i}z) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(y_i^{q-1} - 1)\right) z^d + G(y_1, \dots, y_n) z^d.
$$

Thus to show that $F \in I' \cap S$ we need only show that $G = 0$. We claim that G vanishes on $(K^*)^n$. Take an arbitrary sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n of elements of K^* . Making $t_i = x^{v_i}$ for all i in Eq. (2.4) and using that F vanishes on X, we obtain

$$
(2.5) \qquad 0 = F(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_s}) = \sum_{i=1}^s g'_i(x^{v_i} - y^{v_i}z) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(y_i^{q-1} - 1)\right) z^d + G(y_1, \dots, y_n) z^d.
$$

Since (K^*, \cdot) is a group of order $q-1$, we can then make $y_i = x_i$ for all i and $z = 1$ in Eq. [\(2.5\)](#page-4-4) to get that G vanishes on (x_1, \ldots, x_n) . This completes the proof of the claim. Therefore G vanishes on $(K^*)^n$ and $\deg_{y_i}(G) < q-1$ for all i. By induction on n it follows that $G = 0$. We can also show that $G = 0$ by a direct application of the combinatorial Nullstellensatz [\[1\]](#page-20-16).

Next we show the inclusion $I(X) \supset I' \cap S$. Let $\mathcal G$ be a Gröbner basis of I' with respect to the lexicographic order $y_1 \succ \cdots \succ y_n \succ z \succ t_1 \succ \cdots \succ t_s$. By Buchberger algorithm [\[4,](#page-20-15) Theorem 2, p. 89] the set G consists of binomials and by elimination theory [\[4,](#page-20-15) Theorem 2, p. 114] the set $\mathcal{G} \cap \overline{S}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I' \cap S$. Hence $I' \cap S$ is a binomial ideal. Thus to show the inclusion $I(X) \supset I' \cap S$ it suffices to show that any binomial in $I' \cap S$ is homogeneous and vanishes on X. Take a binomial $f = t^a - t^b$ in $I' \cap S$, where $a = (a_i)$ and $b = (b_i)$ are in \mathbb{N}^s . Then we can write

(2.6)
$$
f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i(t_i - y^{v_i}z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i(y_i^{q-1} - 1)
$$

for some polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_s, h_1, \ldots, h_n$ in B. Making $y_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $t_i = y^{v_i}z$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$, we get

$$
z^{a_1}\cdots z^{a_s}-z^{b_1}\cdots z^{b_s}=0\implies a_1+\cdots+a_s=b_1+\cdots+b_s.
$$

Hence f is homogeneous. Take a point [P] in X with $P = (x^{v_1}, \ldots, x^{v_s})$. Making $t_i = x^{v_i}$ in Eq. [\(2.6\)](#page-4-5), we get

$$
f(x^{v_1}, \ldots, x^{v_s}) = \sum_{i=1}^s g'_i(x^{v_i} - y^{v_i}z) + \sum_{i=1}^n h'_i(y_i^{q-1} - 1).
$$

Hence making $y_i = x_i$ for all i and $z = 1$, we get that $f(P) = 0$. Thus f vanishes on X.

Thus, we have shown the equality $I(X) = I' \cap S$. The proof of the inclusion $I(X) \supset I' \cap S$ shows that $I' \cap S$ is a binomial ideal. Hence $I(X)$ is a binomial ideal.

(b) Observe that a binomial ideal $J \subset S$ is a lattice ideal if and only if $t_i \notin \mathcal{Z}_S(S/J)$ for all *i*. This is a consequence of [\[8,](#page-20-17) Corollary 2.5]. Thus by part (a) we need only show that t_i is not a zero divisor of $S/I(X)$ for all i. Let $[P]$ be a point in X, with $P = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s)$, and let $I_{[P]}$ be the ideal generated by the homogeneous polynomials of S that vanish at $[P]$. Then

(2.7)
$$
I_{[P]} = (\alpha_1 t_2 - \alpha_2 t_1, \alpha_1 t_3 - \alpha_3 t_1, \dots, \alpha_1 t_s - \alpha_s t_1) \text{ and } I(X) = \bigcap_{[P] \in X} I_{[P]}
$$

and the later is the primary decomposition of $I(X)$, because $I_{[P]}$ is a prime ideal of S for any $[P] \in X$. Thus rad $I(X) = I(X)$, i.e., $I(X)$ is a radical ideal. Since

$$
\mathcal{Z}_S(S/I(X)) = \bigcup_{[P]\in X} I_{[P]}
$$

it is seen that t_i is not a zero divisor for any i.

(c) As $I_{[P]}$ has height s – 1 for any $[P] \in X$, we get that $\dim S/I(X) = 1$. By (b) any variable t_i is a S-regular element of $S/I(X)$. Thus any variable t_i form a homogeneous regular system of parameters of $S/I(X)$, i.e., $S/I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring by [\[36,](#page-21-3) Proposition 2.2.7]. \Box

By Theorem [2.1\(](#page-3-0)a), the ideal $I(X)$ is generated by binomials. This fact is surprising, because according to Eq. [\(2.7\)](#page-5-0) $I(X)$ is a radical ideal and all its minimal primes, except $\mathfrak{p} = (\{t_i - t_1\}_{i=2}^s)$, are non-binomial.

The next notion that we need is that of the saturation of an ideal with respect to a polynomial. We will determine when $I(X)$ can be obtained by a saturation process (see Corollary [2.10\)](#page-8-0).

Definition 2.2. For an ideal $Q \subset S$ and a polynomial $h \in S$, the *saturation* of Q with respect to h is the ideal

$$
(Q: h^{\infty}) := \{ f \in S \mid fh^m \in Q \text{ for some } m \ge 1 \}.
$$

We will only deal with the case where $h = t_1 \cdots t_s$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ be its associated *toric ideal*, i.e., $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the prime ideal of S given by (see [\[33\]](#page-21-5)):

(2.8)
$$
I_{\mathcal{A}} = \left(t^a - t^b \middle| a = (a_i), b = (b_i) \in \mathbb{N}^s, \sum_i a_i v_i = \sum_i b_i v_i\right) \subset S.
$$

The toric ideal I_A is the kernel of the following epimorphism of K-algebras

$$
K[t_1,\ldots,t_s] \longrightarrow K[y^{v_1},\ldots,y^{v_s}]
$$

induced by $t_i \mapsto y^{v_i}$. We call A homogeneous if there is a vector $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ such that $\langle v_i, x_0 \rangle = 1$ for all *i*. From Eq. [\(2.8\)](#page-5-1) it follows that any binomial in I_A vanishes on X. If A is homogeneous, then any binomial in I_A is homogeneous, in the standard grading of S, hence belongs to $I(X)$. The binomial $t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}$ j_1^{q-1} vanishes on $(K^*)^s$ because (K^*, \cdot) is a group of order $q-1$. Hence $t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}$ 1^{q-1} belongs to $I(X)$ for all i. Thus if A is homogeneous, then $I(X)$ contains the binomial

ideal $Q = I_A + (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\})$ $\{q-1\}_{i=2}^s$). For a large class of algebraic toric sets, we show that $I(X)$ is the saturation of Q with respect to $t_1 \cdots t_s$. We also describe when $I(X)$ is the saturation of Q with respect to $t_1 \cdots t_s$.

Let us introduce some more notation. Given $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n generated by Γ is denoted by ZΓ.

Lemma 2.3. If $c = (c_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ and $\sum_i c_i = 0$, then c is in $\mathbb{Z}\{e_2 - e_1, \ldots, e_s - e_1\}$, where e_i is the ith unit vector of \mathbb{Z}^s .

Proof. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}\{e_2 - e_1, \ldots, e_s - e_1\} + \mathbb{Z}e_1 = \mathbb{Z}^s$. Then $c = \lambda_1 e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^s \lambda_i (e_i - e_1)$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $\sum_i c_i = 0$, we get $\lambda_1 = 0$.

Definition 2.4. Given $a \in \mathbb{R}^s$, its support is defined as supp $(a) = \{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$. Note that a can be uniquely written as $a = a^+ - a^-$, where a^+ and a^- are two non-negative vectors with disjoint support which are called the *positive* and *negative* part of a respectively.

We come to another of the main results of this section.

Theorem 2.5. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field, let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, and let $\phi \colon \mathbb{Z}^n/L \to \mathbb{Z}^n/L$ be the multiplication map $\phi(\overline{a}) = (q-1)\overline{a}$, where $L = \mathbb{Z}\{v_i - v_1\}_{i=2}^s$. If A is homogeneous, then

(2.9)
$$
(I_{\mathcal{A}} + (t_2^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}, \dots, t_s^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}) \colon (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty}) \subset I(X)
$$

with equality if and only if the map ϕ is injective.

Proof. We set $Q = I_A + (t_2^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1})$ $t_1^{q-1}, \ldots, t_s^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}$ $\binom{q-1}{1}$. From the discussion above we have the inclusion $Q \subset I(X)$. By Theorem [2.1\(](#page-3-0)b) each variable t_i is not a zero divisor of $S/I(X)$. It follows readily that $(Q: (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty}) \subset I(X)$.

To prove the second part of the theorem we first need to identify the left hand side of Eq. [\(2.9\)](#page-6-1) with a lattice ideal for some specific lattice. Let A be the matrix with column vectors v_1, \ldots, v_s and consider the lattice

$$
\mathcal{L} = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) + \mathbb{Z}\{(q-1)(e_i - e_1)\}_{i=2}^s \subset \mathbb{Z}^s,
$$

where $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^s | Ax = 0\}$ and e_i denotes the *i*th unit vector of \mathbb{R}^s . It is seen that

$$
I(\mathcal{L}) = (Q: (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty}),
$$

see [\[8,](#page-20-17) Corollary 2.5] or [\[25,](#page-21-4) Lemma 7.6]. This equality is valid over any field K .

 \Rightarrow) Assume that equality holds in Eq. [\(2.9\)](#page-6-1). Let $\overline{b} = \overline{(b_i)}$ be an element of ker(ϕ). Then we can write

(2.11)
$$
(q-1)b = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i v_i \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i = 0.
$$

Consider the homogeneous binomial $f = t^{a^+} - t^{a^-}$, where $a = (a_i) = a^+ - a^-$. From Eq. [\(2.11\)](#page-6-2) we get the equality

$$
x_i^{a_1^+ v_{1i} + \dots + a_s^+ v_{si}} = x_i^{a_1^- v_{1i} + \dots + a_s^- v_{si}} \text{ for any } x_i \in K^*.
$$

Consequently $f(x^{v_1},...,x^{v_s})=0$ for any sequence $x_1,...,x_n$ in K^* . Then f vanishes on X and is homogeneous, i.e., $f \in I(X)$. By hypothesis and using Eq. [\(2.10\)](#page-6-3), we obtain the equality $I(X) = I(\mathcal{L})$. Thus $f = t^{a^+} - t^{a^-}$ belongs to $I(\mathcal{L})$. It is seen that $a = a^+ - a^-$ belongs to \mathcal{L} .

Then we can write $a = k + c$, where $k \in \text{ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}\{(q-1)(e_i - e_1)\}_{i=2}^s$. Then from Eq. [\(2.11\)](#page-6-2) it follows readily that

$$
(q-1)b = Aa = Ak + Ac = Ac = (q-1)Ac',
$$

for some $c' \in \mathbb{Z}\{(e_i - e_1)\}_{i=2}^s$. Hence $b = Ac'$, i.e., b belongs to L. This means that $\overline{b} = 0$ and we have shown that ϕ is injective, as required.

 \Leftarrow) Assume that ϕ is injective. We now prove the inclusion $(Q: (t_1 \cdots t_s)^\infty)$ ⊃ $I(X)$. Take a binomial $f = t^a - t^b$ in $I(X)$ with $a = (a_i)$ and $b = (b_i)$ in \mathbb{N}^s . By Theorem [2.1\(](#page-3-0)a) it suffices to prove that f is in $(Q: (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty})$. Thus by Eq. [\(2.10\)](#page-6-3) we need only show that $a - b \in \mathcal{L}$. We set $v_i = (v_{i1}, \ldots, v_{in})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Since f vanishes on X we get

$$
[x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}}]^{a_1} \cdots [x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}}]^{a_s} = [x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}}]^{b_1} \cdots [x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}}]^{b_s}
$$
 for all $x_i \in K^*$.

Let β be a generator of the cyclic group (K^*, \cdot) . Then for any (ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_n) in $[1, q-1]^n \cap \mathbb{N}^n$ we can substitute $x_i = \beta^{\ell_i}$ for $i = 1, ..., n$ in the equality above to obtain

$$
[(\beta^{\ell_1})^{v_{11}} \cdots (\beta^{\ell_n})^{v_{1n}}]^{a_1} \cdots [(\beta^{\ell_1})^{v_{s1}} \cdots (\beta^{\ell_n})^{v_{sn}}]^{a_s} =
$$

$$
[(\beta^{\ell_1})^{v_{11}} \cdots (\beta^{\ell_n})^{v_{1n}}]^{b_1} \cdots [(\beta^{\ell_1})^{v_{s1}} \cdots (\beta^{\ell_n})^{v_{sn}}]^{b_s} \text{ for all } 1 \leq \ell_i \leq q-1, \ell_i \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Therefore for any $\ell = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n) \in [1, q-1]^n \cap \mathbb{N}^n$ we get

$$
\beta^{a_1 \langle \ell, v_1 \rangle} \cdots \beta^{a_s \langle \ell, v_s \rangle} = \beta^{b_1 \langle \ell, v_1 \rangle} \cdots \beta^{b_s \langle \ell, v_s \rangle}.
$$

Since β has order $q-1$ we obtain

$$
a_1 \langle \ell, v_1 \rangle + \cdots + a_s \langle \ell, v_s \rangle \equiv b_1 \langle \ell, v_1 \rangle + \cdots + b_s \langle \ell, v_s \rangle \mod (q-1).
$$

If we set $c_i = a_i - b_i$ for all i and $\delta = (\delta_i) := c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_sv_s$, then

$$
\langle \ell, \delta \rangle \equiv 0 \mod (q-1)
$$

for any ℓ in $[1, q-1]^n \cap \mathbb{N}^n$. Making $\ell = (q-1, 1, ..., 1)$ and $\ell' = (q-2, 1, ..., 1)$ in Eq. [\(2.12\)](#page-7-0) we get the equalities

$$
\langle \ell, \delta \rangle = (q-1)\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots + \delta_n \equiv 0 \mod (q-1),
$$

$$
\langle \ell', \delta \rangle = (q-2)\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots + \delta_n \equiv 0 \mod (q-1).
$$

Consequently, subtracting these equalities, we get that $\delta_1 \equiv 0 \mod (q-1)$. By an appropriate choice of ℓ and ℓ' a similar argument shows that $\delta_i \equiv 0 \mod (q-1)$ for all i. Therefore we can write $\delta = (q-1)\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Notice that $\delta \in L$ because $t^a - t^b$ is homogeneous, i.e., because $\sum_i c_i = 0$. Since the map ϕ is injective we obtain that $\gamma \in L \subset \mathbb{Z}A$. Hence we can write

$$
\delta = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_s v_s = (q-1)(d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_s v_s)
$$

for some d_i 's in Z. Setting $c = (c_i)$ and $d = (d_i)$, the vector $k = (k_i) = c - (q - 1)d$ is in $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. Notice that $\sum_{i} k_i = 0$, because $\sum_{i} k_i v_i = 0$ and A is homogeneous. Since $\sum_{i} c_i = 0$, by Lemma [2.3](#page-6-4) we get that c and k are in $\mathbb{Z}\{e_i - e_1\}_{i=2}^s$. From the equality $k = c - (q-1)d$ we obtain that $(q-1)d \in \mathbb{Z}\{e_i - e_1\}_{i=2}^s$ and since the quotient group

$$
\mathbb{Z}^s/\mathbb{Z}\{e_i - e_1\}_{i=2}^s
$$

is torsion-free we get that $d \in \mathbb{Z}\{e_i - e_1\}_{i=2}^s$. Altogether we conclude that $c = k + (q - 1)d$, where $k \in \text{ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ and $(q-1)d \in \mathbb{Z}\{(q-1)(e_i-e_1)\}_{i=2}^s$, that is, $c \in \mathcal{L}$, as required.

Remark 2.6. If equality occurs in Eq. (2.9) , then X is the projective variety defined by the binomial ideal $I_A + (\lbrace t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1} \rbrace)$ $\{q-1\}_{i=2}^s$). This will follow from Lemma [4.2](#page-15-1) and the proof of Proposition [4.3.](#page-15-0)

Remark 2.7. The map ϕ is injective if and only if $q-1$ is not a zero divisor of \mathbb{Z}^n/L if and only if the equality $(L: \mathbb{Z}^n(q-1)) = L$ holds, where the left hand side of the equality is a colon ideal consisting of all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $(q-1)a \in L$.

Corollary 2.8. [\[14,](#page-20-0) Theorem 1] Let $\mathbb{T}^* = (K^*)^s$ be an affine algebraic torus and let \mathbb{T} be its image in \mathbb{P}^{s-1} under the map φ_s . Then $I(\mathbb{T}) = (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\})$ $_{1}^{q-1}\rbrace_{i=2}^{s}).$

Proof. The set $\mathbb T$ is an algebraic toric set parameterized by the monomials y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} , where $v_i = e_i$ for all i. Since $I_A = (0)$ and the group $\mathbb{Z}^s / L = \mathbb{Z}^s / \mathbb{Z} \{e_i - e_1\}_{i=2}^s$ is torsion-free, the equality follows from Theorem [2.5.](#page-6-0)

In [\[14\]](#page-20-0) the evaluation codes associated to T are called *generalized Reed-Solomon* codes. Thus parameterized codes are a natural extension of this sort of codes.

If D is an integral domain and M is a D-module, then the *torsion sub-module* of M, denoted by $T_D(M)$, is the set of all m in M such that $pm = 0$ for some $0 \neq p \in D$. We say that M is torsion-free if $T_D(M) = (0)$. In what follows D will always be the ring of integers. Thus, we denote the set of zero divisors and the torsion sub-module of M simply by $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ and $T(M)$ respectively.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $L = \mathbb{Z}\{v_i - v_1\}_{i=2}^s$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \{(v_i, 1)\}_{i=1}^s$. Then

- (i₁) there is an isomorphism of groups $\tau: T(\mathbb{Z}^n/L) \to T(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B})$, given by $\tau(\overline{a}) = \overline{(a,0)}$,
- (i₂) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^n/L) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}),$
- (i₃) if A is homogeneous, then $I_A = I_B$.

Proof. (i₁): The map τ is clearly a well defined one to one homomorphism of groups. To prove that τ is onto let $\overline{(a,b)} \in T(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}B)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is $0 \neq p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
p(a,b) = \lambda_1(v_1,1) + \cdots + \lambda_s(v_s,1) \qquad (\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}).
$$

Then $pa = \lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s v_s$ and $pb = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$. Hence we obtain the equality

$$
p(a - bv_1) = \lambda_2(v_2 - v_1) + \cdots + \lambda_s(v_s - v_1).
$$

This means that $\overline{a - bv_1}$ is an element of $T(\mathbb{Z}^n/L)$. It follows readily that $\tau(\overline{a - bv_1}) = \overline{(a, b)}$. Thus τ is onto. (i₂): This is not hard to prove. It follows using that the map τ is an isomorphism. (i_3) : This follows by a direct application of [\[36,](#page-21-3) Corollary 7.2.42].

Using this lemma we will prove the next generalized version of Theorem [2.5,](#page-6-0) valid for any A. The trick to show the next result is to lift A to a homogeneous set B in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} .

Corollary 2.10. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_s, 1)\}$. Then

- (a) $(I_{\mathcal{B}} + (t_2^{q-1} t_1^{q-1})$ $t_1^{q-1}, \ldots, t_s^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}$ $\binom{q-1}{1}$: $(t_1 \cdots t_s)^\infty$ $\subset I(X)$.
- (b) Equality in (a) holds if and only if $q 1 \notin \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B})$.
- (c) Let p_1, \ldots, p_m be the prime numbers (if any) that occur in the factorizations of the invariant factors of the $\mathbb{Z}\text{-}module \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$. Equality in (a) holds if and only if either $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$ is torsion-free or $q \not\equiv 1 \mod p_i$ for all i.

Proof. Let w be a new parameter and let X^w be the image under the map φ_s of the set

$$
(X^*)^w = \{ (x_1^{v_{11}} \cdots x_n^{v_{1n}} w, \dots, x_1^{v_{s1}} \cdots x_n^{v_{sn}} w) | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i, w \in K^* \}.
$$

Clearly B is homogeneous because if we set $x_0 = e_{n+1}$, we get $\langle x_0, (v_i, 1) \rangle = 1$ for all i. By Lemma [2.9](#page-8-2) we have $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^n/L) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B})$, where $L = \mathbb{Z}\{v_i - v_1\}_{i=2}^s$. Therefore (a) and (b) follow at once from Theorem [2.5](#page-6-0) and Remark [2.7](#page-8-3) because $X = X^w$.

We now prove (c). If $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}B$ is torsion-free, then equality holds in (a) by part (b). Hence we may assume that this module has torsion. By the fundamental structure theorem of finitely generated abelian groups (see [\[20,](#page-20-18) pp. 187-188]) we have

(2.13)
$$
\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{r_0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_1^{a_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_r^{\alpha_r}},
$$

where $q_i \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ and $r_0 = n + 1 - \text{rank}(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B})$. From Eq. [\(2.13\)](#page-9-1) it is seen that one has the equality $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (p_i)$. Therefore, by (b), equality holds in (a) if and only if $q-1 \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} (p_i)$ if and only if $q \neq 1 \mod p_i$ for all i.

Corollary 2.11. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V_G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, edge set E_G , and let A be the set of all $e_i + e_j$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\} \in E_G$. If c_1 is the number of non-bipartite connected components of G, then the equality

(2.14)
$$
(I_{\mathcal{A}} + (t_2^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}, \dots, t_s^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}) \colon (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty}) = I(X)
$$

holds if and only if either $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1$ or $c_1 \geq 2$ and $char(K) = 2$. In particular equality holds for any finite field K if G is connected or if G is bipartite.

Proof. Let $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ and let $B = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_s, 1)\}$ be a lifting of A. Notice that $I_A = I_B$ because A is homogeneous, see Lemma [2.9\(](#page-8-2)i₃). We denote the matrix whose columns are the vectors in A (resp. B) by A (resp. B). The matrices A and B have the same rank r. We denote the greatest common divisor of all the non-zero $r \times r$ sub-determinants of A (resp. B) by $\Delta_r(A)$ (resp. $\Delta_r(B)$).

We claim that $\Delta_r(B) = 2^{c_1-1}$ if $c_1 \geq 1$ and $\Delta_r(B) = 1$ if $c_1 = 0$. If $c_1 = 0$, then G is bipartite. Thus $\Delta_r(B) = 1$ because in this case A is totally unimodular [\[29,](#page-21-9) p. 273], i.e., any sub-determinant of A is equal to 0 or ± 1 . Assume that $c_1 \geq 1$, i.e., G is not bipartite. There is an exact sequence of groups

(2.15)
$$
0 \longrightarrow T(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}) \stackrel{\vartheta}{\longrightarrow} T(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where the homomorphisms are defined as follows. For $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$
\vartheta(\overline{a,b}) = \overline{a}
$$
 and $\psi(\overline{a}) = \overline{a_1 + \cdots + a_n}$.

It is not hard to verify that ϑ is injective, ψ is onto, and $\text{im}(\vartheta) = \text{ker}(\psi)$. The exact sequence of Eq. [\(2.15\)](#page-9-2) is a particular case of [\[30,](#page-21-10) Eq. (∗), p. 2044]. It is well known [\[20,](#page-20-18) pp. 187-188] that the orders of the groups $T(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{A})$ and $T(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B})$ are $\Delta_r(A)$ and $\Delta_r(B)$ respectively. Therefore, using the exact sequence above, we get $\Delta_r(A) = 2\Delta_r(B)$. By a result of [\[17\]](#page-20-19) we have

(2.16)
$$
\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{c_1} = \mathbb{Z}^{c_0} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{c_1}
$$

and $r = n - c_0$, where c_0 is the number of bipartite components of G. Hence $\Delta_r(A) = 2^{c_1}$, and consequently $\Delta_r(B) = 2^{c_1-1}$ as claimed. This means that $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}B$ is torsion-free if and only if $c_1 = 1$. It also means that $p_1 = 2$ is the only prime factor that can occur in the factorizations of the invariant factors of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}$. The number of elements of K is equal to $q = p^u$ for some prime number p and some $u \geq 1$, where p is the characteristic of the field K. Altogether, by Corollary [2.10\(](#page-8-0)c), we get that equality holds in Eq. [\(2.14\)](#page-9-3) if and only if $0 \le c_1 \le 1$ or $c_1 \ge 2$ and $p^u \not\equiv 1 \mod 2$ if and only if $0 \le c_1 \le 1$ or $c_1 \ge 2$ and $p = 2$.

Example 2.12. Let A be the point configuration consisting of the following points in \mathbb{Z}^6 :

$$
v_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), v_2 = (0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0), v_3 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0),
$$

$$
v_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0), v_5 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), v_6 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1).
$$

In this case we have $\mathbb{Z}^6/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}^7/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If K is a finite field with $q = 2^m$ elements, then $q \neq 1 \mod 2$ and $I_A = I_B = 0$. Thus using Corollary [2.10\(](#page-8-0)c) we get the equality $I(X) = \left(\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\}\)$ $\binom{q-1}{1}_{i=2}^6$. If K is a field with 3 elements, then using Macaulay2 [\[16\]](#page-20-8) together with Theorem [2.1](#page-3-0) it is seen that $I(X)$ is minimally generated by 15 binomials. In this case we do not have equality in Corollary [2.10\(](#page-8-0)a).

The next result can be shown using the argument in the proof of Theorem [2.1.](#page-3-0)

Theorem 2.13. Let $B = K[t_1, \ldots, t_s, y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n, z]$ be a polynomial ring over a finite field $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ and let $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for all i. The following holds:

- (a) $I(X) = (y^{v_1^-}t_1 y^{v_1^+}z, \dots, y^{v_s^-}t_s y^{v_s^+}z, y_1^{q-1} 1, \dots, y_n^{q-1} 1, y_0y_1 \dots y_n 1) \cap S.$
- (b) $I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay lattice ideal and dim $S/I(X) = 1$.
	- 3. THE LENGTH OF PARAMETERIZED CODES AND THE DEGREE OF $S/I(X)$

We continue using the definitions and terms from the introduction and from Section [2.](#page-2-0) Let $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let X be an algebraic toric set parameterized by the Laurent monomials y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} . In this section we study |X|, the degree of $S/I(X)$. The motivation to study |X| comes from coding theory because this number represents the length of $C_X(d)$, the parameterized code of order d.

As before, we denote the Hilbert polynomial of $S/I(X)$ by $h_X(t)$. The *index of regularity* of $S/I(X)$, denoted by reg $(S/I(X))$, is the least integer $p \ge 0$ such that $h_X(d) = H_X(d)$ for $d \ge p$. The degree and the regularity index can be read off the Hilbert series as we now explain. The Hilbert series of $S/I(X)$ can be written as

$$
F_X(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_X(i) t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_K(S/I(X))_i t^i = \frac{h_0 + h_1 t + \dots + h_r t^r}{1 - t},
$$

where h_0, \ldots, h_r are positive integers. Indeed $h_i = \dim_K(S/(I(X), t_s))_i$. This follows from the fact that $I(X)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay lattice ideal. The number r equals the regularity index of $S/I(X)$ and the degree of $S/I(X)$ equals $h_0 + \cdots + h_r$ (see [\[31\]](#page-21-2) or [\[36,](#page-21-3) Corollary 4.1.12]).

Although Theorems [2.1](#page-3-0) and [2.13](#page-10-0) provide an effective method to compute the degree with Macaulay2 [\[16\]](#page-20-8), we seek other methods that can lead to explicit formulas for $|X|$ for certain families of point configurations, especially for these arising from finite graphs.

At the other end, the number of elements of X^* , the affine counterpart of X , can alternatively be obtained by using linear algebra methods over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., by solving linear systems over this ring. This may then be used to estimate $|X|$. As mentioned before, some of the results of this paper have an affine version. We can think of this linear algebra approach to compute $|X^*|$ as the analog of Proposition [3.3,](#page-11-0) which is a device that enables to use linear programming methods. The multiplicity of approaches is a hint of the mathematical richness embodied in the parametrization models dealt with in this work.

We begin by presenting a direct method, based on integer programming [\[29\]](#page-21-9), to compute the degree of $S/I(X)$. A key element here is the fact that X is a multiplicative group as explained in Section [2.](#page-2-0) Let $\mathbb{T}^* = (K^*)^n$ be an affine algebraic torus of dimension n. There is a surjective homomorphism of multiplicative groups

 $\theta \colon \mathbb{T}^* \longrightarrow X$; $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \stackrel{\theta}{\longmapsto} [(x^{v_1}, \ldots, x^{v_s})].$

Therefore $\mathbb{T}^* / \text{ker}(\theta) \simeq X$ and $|\mathbb{T}^*| = (q-1)^n = |X||\text{ker}(\theta)|$. Thus computing |X| amounts to computing $|\text{ker}(\theta)|$.

12 CARLOS RENTER´IA-MARQUEZ, ARON SIMIS, AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL ´

Lemma 3.1. Let $(x_i) = (\beta^{\ell_1}, \ldots, \beta^{\ell_n}) \in \mathbb{T}^*$ with β a generator of (K^*, \cdot) and $0 \leq \ell_i \leq q-2$ for all i. Then $(x_i) \in \text{ker}(\theta)$ if and only if there are unique integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s, \mu$ such that

$$
\ell A = (q-1)\lambda + \mu \mathbf{1}; \ \ 0 \leq \mu \leq q-2; \ \ell = (\ell_i); \ \lambda = (\lambda_i); \ \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1).
$$

Proof. Assume that $(x_i) \in \text{ker}(\theta)$. Then $[(x^{v_1},...,x^{v_s})] = [\mathbf{1}]$. This means that there is an integer μ such that $0 \leq \mu \leq q-2$ and

$$
\beta^{\langle v_i,\ell\rangle} = \beta^{\mu}
$$
 for all *i*.

Hence there are integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$ such that

$$
\langle v_i, \ell \rangle - \mu = (q-1)\lambda_i
$$
 for all $i \Rightarrow \ell A = (q-1)\lambda + \mu \mathbf{1}$,

as required. To show the uniqueness assume that $\langle v_i, \ell \rangle - \mu = (q-1)\lambda_i$ and $\langle v_i, \ell \rangle - \mu' = (q-1)\lambda'_i$ for some *i*. Then $(q-1)(\lambda_i - \lambda'_i) = \mu' - \mu$. Since $|\mu' - \mu|$ is at most $q-2$, we get $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i$ and $\mu' = \mu$. The converse follows readily by direct substitution of $x_i = \beta^{\ell_i}$ into $[(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_s})]$. \Box

Remark 3.2. If $v_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then $\lambda_i \geq 0$. This follows by dividing $\langle v_i, \ell \rangle$ by $(q-1)$.

Proposition 3.3. The map $\beta^{\ell} \mapsto (\ell, \lambda, \mu)$ gives a bijection between ker(θ) and the integral vectors of the polytope

$$
\mathcal{P} = \{ (\ell, \lambda, \mu) | \ell = (\ell_i); \lambda = (\lambda_i); \ell A = (q-1)\lambda + \mu \mathbf{1}; 0 \leq \ell_i \leq q-2 \text{ for all } i; 0 \leq \mu \leq q-2 \}.
$$

In particular the number of integral vectors of P equals $|\text{ker}(\theta)|$.

Proof. By Lemma [3.1](#page-11-2) the map $\beta^{\ell} \mapsto (\ell, \lambda, \mu)$ is well defined and bijective.

Example 3.4. Let A be the matrix with column vectors $v_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0), v_2 = (0, 1, 1, 0),$ $v_3 = (0, 0, 1, 1), v_4 = (1, 0, 0, 1).$ Let K be a field with $q = 5$ elements. The integral points of P and the elements of $\text{ker}(\theta)$ can be found directly using Porta [\[3\]](#page-20-20). A computation with this program shows that $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+s+1}$ has 16 points and that $\ker(\theta)$ is equal to

$$
(\beta^0, \beta^0, \beta^0, \beta^0), \quad (\beta^0, \beta^1, \beta^0, \beta^1), \quad (\beta^0, \beta^2, \beta^0, \beta^2), \quad (\beta^0, \beta^3, \beta^0, \beta^3), (\beta^1, \beta^0, \beta^1, \beta^0), \quad (\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta^1, \beta^1), \quad (\beta^1, \beta^2, \beta^1, \beta^2), \quad (\beta^1, \beta^3, \beta^1, \beta^3), (\beta^2, \beta^0, \beta^2, \beta^0), \quad (\beta^2, \beta^1, \beta^2, \beta^1), \quad (\beta^2, \beta^2, \beta^2, \beta^2), \quad (\beta^2, \beta^3, \beta^2, \beta^3), (\beta^3, \beta^0, \beta^3, \beta^0), \quad (\beta^3, \beta^1, \beta^3, \beta^1), \quad (\beta^3, \beta^2, \beta^3, \beta^2), \quad (\beta^3, \beta^3, \beta^3, \beta^3).
$$

Hence in this case one has $4^4 = (q-1)^n = |X||\text{ker}(\theta)| = |X|16$. Then $|X| = 16$.

Before we state our next result, recall that a subset $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is called a *Hilbert basis* if $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{B}=\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{B}\cap\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, where $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{B}$ is the semigroup generated by \mathcal{B} , and $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{B}$ is the polyhedral cone generated by β consisting of the linear combinations of β with non-negative coefficients. A polyhedral cone containing no lines is called *pointed*. The subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} generated by \mathcal{B} is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}B$. The ideal $I(X)$ is called a *complete intersection* if it can be generated by $s - 1$ homogeneous polynomials of S.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_s, 1)\}$ and let $r = \text{rank}(\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B})$. If the polyhedral cone $\mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{B}$ is pointed and B is a Hilbert basis, then $(q-1)^{r-1}$ divides |X|.

Proof. By [\[10\]](#page-20-21), after permutation of the $(v_i, 1)$'s, we may assume that $\mathcal{B}' = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_r, 1)\}$ is a Hilbert basis and a linearly independent set. It is a fact that β is a Hilbert basis if and only if $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{N}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}$ is a torsion-free group. This fact can be shown using lattice theory. In Lemma [3.7](#page-12-0) we show the part of this fact that we really need, namely that \mathcal{B}' is a Hilbert basis if and only if the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B}'$ is torsion-free.

Consider the algebraic toric set parameterized by y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_r} :

$$
X_1 = \{[(x^{v_1}, \ldots, x^{v_r})] | x_i \in K^*
$$
 for all $i\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Since $I_{\mathcal{B}'} = (0)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}'$ is torsion-free, by Corollary [2.10\(](#page-8-0)b) we obtain the equality

$$
I(X_1) = (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\}_{i=2}^r).
$$

Thus $I(X_1)$ is a complete intersection generated by $r-1$ forms of degree $q-1$. For complete intersections there is an explicit formula for the Hilbert series [\[36,](#page-21-3) p. 104]. Hence using this formula we get that the degree of $K[t_1, \ldots, t_r]/I(X_1)$ is equal to $(q-1)^{r-1}$, i.e., $|X_1| = (q-1)^{r-1}$. To complete the proof consider the epimorphism

$$
\theta_1: \mathbb{T}^* \longrightarrow X_1;
$$
 $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\theta_1} [(x^{v_1}, \ldots, x^{v_r})],$

where $\mathbb{T}^* = (K^*)^n$ is an affine algebraic torus. Since $\ker(\theta) \subset \ker(\theta_1)$, there is an epimorphism $\overline{\theta}_1: X \to X_1$ such that the diagram

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice polytope, i.e., \mathcal{P} is the convex hull of a finite set of integral points in \mathbb{R}^n . The *relative volume* of P , denoted by vol (P) , is given by

$$
\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{P}) := \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{Z}^n \cap i\mathcal{P}|}{i^d},
$$

where $d = \dim(\mathcal{P}), i \in \mathbb{N}, i\mathcal{P} = \{ix | x \in \mathcal{P}\}.$

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathcal{B}' = \{u_1, \ldots, u_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ be a set of linearly independent vectors. Then \mathcal{B}' is a Hilbert basis if and only if $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{B}'$ is torsion-free.

Proof. Let B' be the matrix with column vectors u_1, \ldots, u_r and let $\Delta_r(B')$ be the greatest common divisor of all the non-zero $r \times r$ sub-determinants of B'. Assume that \mathcal{B}' is a Hilbert basis. Since $|T(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}')|$ is equal to $\Delta_r(B')$, we need only show $\Delta_r(B')=1$. According to [\[9,](#page-20-22) Lemma 2.1] there are vectors $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} such that

$$
\mathbb{R}\mathcal{B}'\cap\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}=\mathbb{Z}\gamma_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}\gamma_r,
$$

where $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{B}'$ is the vector space spanned by \mathcal{B}' . Then we can write

$$
u_i = c_{i1}\gamma_1 + \dots + c_{ir}\gamma_r \quad (i = 1, \dots, r)
$$

where $C = (c_{ij})$ is an integral matrix. By [\[9,](#page-20-22) Remark 2.2], we have

$$
\Delta_r(B') = r! \text{vol}(\text{conv}(0, u_1, \dots, u_r)) = |\det(C)|.
$$

To complete the proof it suffices to show that $|\det(C)| = 1$. Let c_1, \ldots, c_r be the rows of C. As B' is a Hilbert basis, it is seen that the rows of C form a Hilbert basis. Let $\mathcal{Q} = [0,1]^r$ and let P be the parallelotope

$$
\mathcal{P} = \{\lambda_1 c_1 + \dots + \lambda_r c_r | 0 \le \lambda_i \le 1\}.
$$

14 CARLOS RENTER´IA-MARQUEZ, ARON SIMIS, AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL ´

Recall that $vol(\mathcal{P}) = |det(C)|$. As c_1, \ldots, c_r are linearly independent and form a Hilbert basis, we have

$$
(k+1)^r = |k\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^r| = |k\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^r| \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Therefore

$$
1 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{(k+1)^r}{k^r} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|k \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^r|}{k^r} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|k \mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^r|}{k^r} = \text{vol}(\mathcal{P}).
$$

Thus we have shown $1 = vol(\mathcal{P}) = |\det(C)|$, as required. The converse follows readily.

Corollary 3.8. Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $V_G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, edge set E_G , and let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ be the set of all $e_i + e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\} \in E_G$. Then $|X| = (q-1)^{n-1}$ if G is non-bipartite and $|X| = (q-1)^{n-2}$ if G is bipartite.

Proof. Assume that G is non-bipartite. Then G has a connected subgraph H with the same vertex set and with a unique cycle of odd length. We may assume that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the set of all $e_i + e_j$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of H. Let B' be the matrix whose columns are the vectors in $\mathcal{B}' = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_n, 1)\}.$ Then $\Delta_n(B') = 1$, see the proof of Corollary [2.11.](#page-9-0) As $|T(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}')|$ equals $\Delta_n(B')$, we obtain that $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}B'$ is torsion-free. Therefore, by Lemma [3.7,](#page-12-0) the set \mathcal{B}' is a Hilbert basis and generates a group of rank n. Hence by Theorem [3.5](#page-11-1) we get that $(q-1)^{n-1}$ divides X_1 , where

$$
X_1 = \{ [(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_n})] | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.
$$

There is a well defined epimorphism

$$
\overline{\theta}_1 \colon X \longrightarrow X_1; \qquad [(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_s})] \stackrel{\overline{\theta}_1}{\longmapsto} [(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_n})]
$$

induced by the projection map $[(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s)] \mapsto [(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)]$. Thus $|X_1|$ divides $|X|$. Hence $(q-1)^{n-1}$ divides |X|. On the other hand the kernel of the map

$$
\theta \colon \mathbb{T}^* \to X \, ; \qquad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \stackrel{\theta}{\longmapsto} [(x^{v_1}, \ldots, x^{v_s})]
$$

contains the diagonal subgroup $\mathcal{D}^* = \{(\lambda, \ldots, \lambda) | \lambda \in K^*\}$. Thus |X| divides $(q-1)^{n-1}$. Putting altogether we get $|X| = (q-1)^{n-1}$.

Assume that G is bipartite. We may assume that $V_1 = \{y_1, \ldots, y_p\}, V_2 = \{y_{p+1}, \ldots, y_n\}$ is the bipartition of G . The graph G has a spanning tree H with the same vertex set. We may assume that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}\$ is the set of all $e_i + e_j$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of H. Let B' be the matrix whose columns are the vectors in $\mathcal{B}' = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_{n-1}, 1)\}\.$ Then $\Delta_{n-1}(B') = 1$, see the proof of Corollary [2.11.](#page-9-0) Therefore, by Lemma [3.7,](#page-12-0) the set \mathcal{B}' is a Hilbert basis and generates a group of rank $n-1$. Hence by Theorem [3.5](#page-11-1) we get that $(q-1)^{n-2}$ divides $|X_1|$, where

$$
X_1 = \{ [(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_{n-1}})] | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-2}.
$$

There is an epimorphism $\overline{\theta}_1 : X \to X_1$. Thus |X₁| divides |X| and consequently $(q-1)^{n-2}$ divides |X|. On the other hand the kernel of the map $\theta: \mathbb{T}^* \to X$ contains the set Γ of all vectors of the form

$$
\underbrace{(\beta^a, \dots, \beta^a}_{p-\text{entries}} \underbrace{\beta^b, \dots, \beta^b}_{(n-p)-\text{entries}}
$$

with $0 \le a, b \le q-2$. Indeed any of these vector maps to $[(\beta^{a+b}, \dots, \beta^{a+b})] = [1]$ under the map θ . Since $|\Gamma| = (q-1)^2$ we obtain that $|X| \leq (q-1)^{n-2}$. Altogether $|X| = (q-1)^{n-2}$. \Box

Parameterized codes arising from complete bipartite graphs have been studied in [\[13\]](#page-20-3). In loc. cit. one can find formulas for some of its basic parameters. As an application we recover a formula for the length of these codes.

Corollary 3.9. [\[13,](#page-20-3) Theorem 5.1] If G is a complete bipartite graph with n vertices, then the length of the parameterized code $C_X(d)$ is equal to $(q-1)^{n-2}$.

The hypothesis that G is connected is essential in Corollary [3.8:](#page-13-0)

Example 3.10. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_7$ and let X be the algebraic toric set parameterized by the monomials $y_1y_2, y_2y_3, y_1y_3, y_4y_5, y_5y_6, y_4y_6$. Using Theorem [2.1](#page-3-0) and *Macaulay* 2[16] we get:

$$
|X| = \text{degree } S/I(X) = (q-1)^{n-1}/2 = 3888, \text{ reg } S/I(X) = 16,
$$

the ideal $I(X)$ is generated by 15 binomials, and the Hilbert function of $S/I(X)$ is given by

 $H_X(0) = 1,$ $H_X(1) = 6,$ $H_X(2) = 21,$ $H_X(3) = 56,$ $H_X(4) = 126,$ $H_X(5) = 252$, $H_X(6) = 457$, $H_X(7) = 762$, $H_X(8) = 1182$, $H_X(9) = 1712$, $H_X(10) = 2313$, $H_X(11) = 2898$, $H_X(12) = 3373$, $H_X(13) = 3678$, $H_X(14) = 3828$, $H_X(15) = 3878$, $H_X(16) = 3888$.

Thus the length of the parameterized code $C_X(d)$ of order d is 3888 and its dimension is $H_X(d)$. Then the Singleton bound gives that the minimum distance of $C_X(15)$ is at most 11.

4. The vanishing ideal of certain projective binomial varieties

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field and let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a point configuration. In this section we study the geometric structure of X , the algebraic toric set parameterized by y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} . A sufficient condition is given for X to be a projective variety defined by binomials and a finite Nullstellensatz is brought up in this connection. We prove that certain projective binomial varieties are parameterized by Laurent monomials.

Let $V(I_A) = \{[\alpha] \in \mathbb{P}^{s-1} | f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I_A \text{ with } f \text{ homogeneous}\}\$ be the projective toric variety defined by the toric ideal I_A . We shall be interested in the following projective binomial variety V_A and in its corresponding ideal $I(V_A)$:

$$
V_{\mathcal{A}} := V(I_{\mathcal{A}}) \cap \mathbb{T} = V(I_{\mathcal{A}} + (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\}_{i=2}^s)),
$$

where $\mathbb{T} = V(\lbrace t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1} \rbrace)$ $\{a_i^{q-1}\}_{i=2}^s$) = $\{[(\alpha_i)] \in \mathbb{P}^{s-1} | \alpha_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i\}$ is a projective torus.

First we prove that V_A is parameterized by Laurent monomials provided that A is homogeneous. As in previous sections, let A be the matrix with column vectors v_1, \ldots, v_s . Recall that $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank. Let c_1, \ldots, c_m be a set of generators of $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. Write $c_i = (c_{i1}, \ldots, c_{is})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Consider the linear system

(4.1)
$$
c_{11}x_1 + \cdots + c_{1s}x_s - (q-1)x_{s+1} = 0
$$

$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots
$$

$$
c_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + c_{ms}x_s - (q-1)x_{s+m} = 0.
$$

The integral solutions of this system form a free abelian group of finite rank. Let

(4.2)
\n
$$
\gamma_1 = (\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{s1}, \alpha_{(s+1)1}, \dots, \alpha_{(s+m)1})
$$
\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots
$$
\n
$$
\gamma_k = (\alpha_{1k}, \dots, \alpha_{sk}, \alpha_{(s+1)k}, \dots, \alpha_{(s+m)k})
$$

be a set of generators for this group and let $\alpha_1 = (\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{1k}), \ldots, \alpha_s = (\alpha_{s1}, \ldots, \alpha_{sk}).$

Theorem 4.1. Let $Z = \{[(z_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{1k}}, \dots, z_1^{\alpha_{s1}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{sk}})] | z_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ be the algebraic toric set parameterized by $y^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, y^{\alpha_s}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ is homogeneous, then

16 CARLOS RENTERÍA-MÁRQUEZ, ARON SIMIS, AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL

(i)
$$
Z = V_A
$$
.
\n(ii) $I(V_A) = (y^{\alpha_1^-} t_1 - y^{\alpha_1^+} z, \dots, y^{\alpha_s^-} t_s - y^{\alpha_s^+} z, y_1^{q-1} - 1, \dots, y_k^{q-1} - 1, y_0 y_1 \dots y_k - 1) \cap S$.

Proof. (i) First we prove the inclusion "⊂": Take $[w] \in Z$. Let $f = t^a - t^b$ be a binomial in I_A with $a = (a_i)$ and $b = (b_i)$ in \mathbb{N}^s . Notice that f is homogeneous because so is A. By Theorem [2.1](#page-3-0) the ideal I_A is a binomial ideal. Thus we need only show that $f(w) = 0$. We can write

$$
w = (w_i) = (z_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{1k}}, \ldots, z_1^{\alpha_{s1}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{sk}})
$$

for some z_1, \ldots, z_k in K^* . Let β be a generator of the cyclic group (K^*, \cdot) . Each z_i can be written as $z_i = \beta^{\ell_i}$ for some $0 \le \ell_i \le q-2$. Hence

$$
(4.3) \quad f(w) = (z_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{1k}})^{a_1} \cdots (z_1^{\alpha_{s1}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{sk}})^{a_s} - (z_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{1k}})^{b_1} \cdots (z_1^{\alpha_{s1}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{sk}})^{b_s}
$$

= $\beta^{p_1} - \beta^{p_2}$, where

$$
(4.4) \ \ p_1-p_2 \ = \ \ell_1\langle a-b,(\alpha_{11},\ldots,\alpha_{s1})\rangle+\cdots+\ell_k\langle a-b,(\alpha_{1k},\ldots,\alpha_{sk})\rangle.
$$

From Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2) we have

(4.5)
$$
\langle (c_{j1},\ldots,c_{js}),(\alpha_{1i},\ldots,\alpha_{si})\rangle \equiv 0 \mod (q-1)
$$

for all i, j. The difference $a - b$ is in the kernel of A. Thus we can write

(4.6)
$$
a - b = \eta_1(c_{11}, \dots, c_{1s}) + \dots + \eta_m(c_{m1}, \dots, c_{ms})
$$

for some η_i in \mathbb{Z} . If we substitute the right hand side of Eq. [\(4.6\)](#page-15-2) into Eq. [\(4.4\)](#page-15-3), and then use Eq. [\(4.5\)](#page-15-4), we obtain that $p_1 - p_2 \equiv 0 \mod (q-1)$. Thus $\beta^{p_1} = \beta^{p_2}$ and $f(w) = 0$.

" \sup ": Take $[w] \in V_A$. We can write $w = (\beta^{h_1}, \dots, \beta^{h_s})$, where β is a generator of the cyclic group K^{*}. Since A is homogeneous and $Ac_i = 0$, we get that $f = t^{c_i^+} - t^{c_i^-}$ is a homogeneous binomial in I_A . Thus the evaluation of f at w is zero. This means that $\beta^{(h,c_i)} = 1$ for all i, where $h = (h_i)$. Hence $\langle h, c_i \rangle \equiv 0 \mod (q-1)$ for all i. Hence using Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-14-2) and the choice of the α_i 's we obtain

$$
h = \lambda_1(\alpha_{11},\ldots,\alpha_{s1}) + \cdots + \lambda_k(\alpha_{1k},\ldots,\alpha_{sk}), \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Making $z_i = \beta^{\lambda_i}$ we have $w = (\beta^{h_1}, \dots, \beta^{h_s}) = (z_1^{\alpha_{11}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{1k}}, \dots, z_1^{\alpha_{s1}} \cdots z_k^{\alpha_{sk}})$. Thus $[w] \in Z$. Part (ii) follows from (i) and Theorem [2.13.](#page-10-0)

Lemma 4.2. If $X \subset Y \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $I(X) = I(Y)$, then $X = Y$.

Proof. Let $[\alpha] = [(\alpha_i)]$ be a point in Y. The ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (\{\alpha_1 t_i - \alpha_i t_1\}_{i=2}^s)$ is a minimal prime of $I(Y)$, then **p** is a minimal prime of $I(X)$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} = (\{\gamma_1 t_i - \gamma_i t_1\}_{i=2}^s)$ for some $[(\gamma_i)] \in X$. Notice that $G_1 = \{t_i - (\alpha_i/\alpha_1)t_1\}_{i=2}^s$ and $G_2 = \{t_i - (\gamma_i/\gamma_1)t_1\}_{i=2}^s$ are both reduced Gröbner basis of p with respect to the lex ordering $t_s \succ \cdots \succ t_1$. Then by the uniqueness of such basis [\[4\]](#page-20-15) we obtain $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_2$. Hence $\alpha_i/\alpha_1 = \gamma_i/\gamma_1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $(\alpha_i) = (\alpha_1/\gamma_1)(\gamma_i)$, i.e., $[(\alpha_i)] = [(\gamma_i)]$. This prove that $[(\alpha_i)] \in X$, as required.

Proposition 4.3. If A is homogeneous and $\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}\{v_i - v_1\}_{i=2}^s$ is torsion-free, then $X = V_{\mathcal{A}}$. In particular we have equality for any A arising from a connected or bipartite graph.

Proof. The inclusion $X \subset V_{\mathcal{A}}$ is easy to see. The ideal $I(V_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a graded radical ideal such that t_i is not a zero divisor of $S/I(V_A)$ for all i. This follows by observing the equality

$$
I(V_{\mathcal{A}}) = \cap_{[P] \in V_{\mathcal{A}}} I_{[P]}
$$

where $I_{[P]} = (\alpha_1 t_2 - \alpha_2 t_1, \alpha_1 t_3 - \alpha_3 t_1, \dots, \alpha_1 t_s - \alpha_s t_1)$ is the prime ideal generated by the homogeneous polynomials of S that vanish on $[P] = [(\alpha_i)]$. Hence it is seen that

$$
(I_{\mathcal{A}} + (t_2^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}, \dots, t_s^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}) \colon (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty}) \subset I(V_{\mathcal{A}}) \subset I(X).
$$

By Theorem [2.5](#page-6-0) equality holds everywhere. Thus $I(V_A) = I(X)$. Then by Lemma [4.2](#page-15-1) we get $V_{\mathcal{A}} = X.$

Combining this result with Theorem [2.5](#page-6-0) we obtain:

Corollary 4.4. (Finite Nullstellensatz) If A is homogeneous and $\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}\{v_i - v_1\}_{i=2}^s$ is torsionfree, then

$$
(I_{\mathcal{A}} + (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\}_{i=2}^s) : (t_1 \cdots t_s)^{\infty}) = I(V(I_{\mathcal{A}} + (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\}_{i=2}^s))).
$$

In particular this equality holds for any A arising from a connected or bipartite graph.

5. Minimum distance in parameterized codes

As an application of our results, in this section we present an upper bound for the minimum distance of a parameterized code arising from a connected non-bipartite graph. A comparison between our bound and the Singleton bound will be given. The geometric perspective of Section [4](#page-14-0) plays a role here. We will give an explicit formula for the minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ when X is a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^2 .

We begin with a general fact about parameterized linear codes. The dimension of $C_X(d)$ is increasing, as a function of d , until it reaches a constant value. This behaviour was pointed out in [\[5\]](#page-20-1) (resp. [\[11\]](#page-20-9)) for finite (resp. infinite) fields.

Proposition 5.1 ([\[5,](#page-20-1) [11\]](#page-20-9)). Let $H_X(d)$ be the dimension of the parameterized linear code $C_X(d)$ and let r be the regularity index of $S/I(X)$. Then

$$
1 = H_X(0) < H_X(1) < \cdots < H_X(r-1) < H_X(d) = |X| \quad \text{for } d \ge r.
$$

The minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ has the opposite behaviour. It is decreasing, as a function of d, until it reaches a constant value.

Proposition 5.2. If $\delta_d > 1$ (resp. $\delta_d = 1$), then $\delta_d > \delta_{d+1}$ (resp. $\delta_{d+1} = 1$).

Proof. To show the first assertion assume that $\delta_d > 1$. For any homogeneous polynomial F in S we set $Z_X(F) = \{ [P] \in X \mid F(P) = 0 \}.$ By definition of δ_d it suffices to show that

$$
\max\{|Z_X(F)|\colon F\in S_d; \operatorname{ev}_d(F)\neq 0\} < \max\{|Z_X(F)|\colon F\in S_{d+1}; \operatorname{ev}_{d+1}(F)\neq 0\},\
$$

Let F be a polynomial in S_d such that $ev_d(F) \neq 0$ and with $|Z_X(F)|$ as large as possible. As $\delta_d > 1$, there are $[P_1] \neq [P_2]$ in X with $P_1 = (1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)$ and $P_2 = (1, b_2, \ldots, b_s)$ such that $F(P_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $a_k \neq b_k$ for some k. Let $G = F(a_k t_1 - t_k)$. Thus $G \in S_{d+1}$, G does not vanish on X because $G(P_2) \neq 0$ and G has more zeros than F. This proves the inequality above. The second assertion is also easy to show.

The method of proof of the next result can also be applied to other families of parameterized codes, e.g., to parameterized codes arising from Ehrhart clutters [\[24\]](#page-21-11) or from bipartite graphs.

We come to our main application.

Theorem 5.3. Let G be a connected non-bipartite graph with s edges, let $V_G = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ be its vertex set, and let X be the algebraic toric set parameterized by the set of monomials $y_i y_j$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of G. If δ_d is the minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ and $d \geq 1$, then

$$
\delta_d \le \begin{cases} (q-1)^{n-(k+2)}(q-1-\ell) & \text{if } d \le (q-2)(n-1)-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } d \ge (q-2)(n-1), \end{cases}
$$

where k and ℓ are the unique integers so that $k \geq 0$, $1 \leq \ell \leq q-2$ and $d = k(q-2) + \ell$.

Proof. Let v_1, \ldots, v_s be the set of all $e_i + e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of G. Thus X is the algebraic toric set parameterized by y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_s} . As G is a connected non-bipartite graph, there is a connected subgraph H of G with the same vertex set as G and with a unique cycle of odd length. Thus H is connected non-bipartite has n vertices and n edges. We may assume that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the set of all $e_i + e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of H.

Consider the algebraic toric set parameterized by y^{v_1}, \ldots, y^{v_n} :

$$
X_1 = \{ [(x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_n})] | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i \} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.
$$

We claim that $I(X_1) = (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_n^{q-1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1})$. Let B' be the matrix whose columns are the vectors in $\mathcal{B}' = \{(v_1, 1), \ldots, (v_n, 1)\}\.$ From the proof of Corollary [3.8,](#page-13-0) we obtain that the group $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{B}'$ is torsion-free, and since \mathcal{B}' is linearly independent, using Corollary [2.10\(](#page-8-0)b) we obtain

$$
(\{t_i^{q-1} - t_n^{q-1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}) = (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_n^{q-1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}) : (t_1 \cdots t_n)^{\infty})
$$

= $(I_{\mathcal{B}'} + (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_n^{q-1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1})) : (t_1 \cdots t_n)^{\infty})$
= $I(X_1).$

This completes the proof of the claim. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{[(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] | x_i \in K^* \forall i\}$ be a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . By Corollary [2.8,](#page-8-1) we have $I(\mathbb{T}) = I(X_1)$. Consequently by Lemma [4.2,](#page-15-1) we conclude the equality $\mathbb{T} = X_1$.

Let δ'_d be the minimum distance of $C_{X_1}(d)$. Next we show that $\delta_d \leq \delta'_d$. By Corollary [3.8](#page-13-0) one has $|X| = |X_1| = (q-1)^{n-1}$. Therefore the projection map

$$
\overline{\theta}_1 \colon X \to X_1, \qquad \left[(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s) \right] \mapsto \left[(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \right]
$$

is an isomorphism of multiplicative groups. For any homogeneous polynomial F , we denote its zero set by $Z_X(F) = \{ [P] \in X \mid F(P) = 0 \}$. Let $S' = K[t_1, \ldots, t_n] = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d'$ and let $F_1 \in S_d'$ be a polynomial such that $ev_d(F_1) \neq 0$ and with $|Z_{X_1}(F_1)|$ as large as possible, i.e., we choose F_1 so that $\delta'_d = |X_1| - |Z_{X_1}(F_1)|$. We can regard the polynomial $F_1 = F_1(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ as an element of S and denote it by F. The map $\overline{\theta}_1$ induces a bijective map

$$
\overline{\theta}_1: Z_X(F) \mapsto Z_{X_1}(F_1), \qquad [P] \mapsto \overline{\theta}_1([P]).
$$

Therefore we have the inequality

$$
\max\{|Z_X(F)|\colon F\in S_d; \operatorname{ev}_d(F)\neq 0\} \geq \max\{|Z_{X_1}(F_1)|\colon F_1\in S_d'; \operatorname{ev}_d(F_1)\neq 0\}.
$$

Consequently $\delta_d \leq \delta_d'$.

Case (I): First we consider the case $1 \leq d \leq (q-2)(n-1) - 1$. Let

$$
M = \max\{|Z_{X_1}(F_1)| \colon F_1 \in S_d'; \operatorname{ev}_d(F_1) \neq 0\},\
$$

$$
M_1 = (q-1)^{n-k-2}((q-1)^{k+1} - (q-1) + \ell).
$$

Next we show that $M \geq M_1$. It suffices to exhibit a homogeneous polynomial F_1 in S' of degree d with exactly M_1 roots in $X_1 = \mathbb{T}$. Let β be a generator of the cyclic group (K^*, \cdot) . Consider the polynomial $F_1 = f_1 f_2 \dots f_k g_\ell$, where f_1, \dots, f_k, g_ℓ are given by

$$
f_1 = (\beta t_1 - t_2)(\beta^2 t_1 - t_2) \cdots (\beta^{q-2} t_1 - t_2),
$$

\n
$$
f_2 = (\beta t_1 - t_3)(\beta^2 t_1 - t_3) \cdots (\beta^{q-2} t_1 - t_3),
$$

\n
$$
\vdots \qquad \vdots
$$

\n
$$
f_k = (\beta t_1 - t_{k+1})(\beta^2 t_1 - t_{k+1}) \cdots (\beta^{q-2} t_1 - t_{k+1}),
$$

\n
$$
g_{\ell} = (\beta t_1 - t_{k+2})(\beta^2 t_1 - t_{k+2}) \cdots (\beta^{\ell} t_1 - t_{k+2}).
$$

Now, the roots of F_1 in X_1 are in one to one correspondence with the union of the following sets:

$$
\{1\} \times \{\beta^i\}_{i=1}^{q-2} \times (K^*)^{n-2},
$$

$$
\{1\} \times \{1\} \times \{\beta^i\}_{i=1}^{q-2} \times (K^*)^{n-3},
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$
\{1\} \times \cdots \times \{1\} \times \{\beta^i\}_{i=1}^{q-2} \times (K^*)^{n-(k+1)}
$$

$$
\{1\} \times \cdots \times \{1\} \times \{\beta^i\}_{i=1}^{q-2} \times (K^*)^{n-(k+2)}
$$

,

.

Therefore the number of zeros of F_1 in X_1 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned} |Z_{X_1}(F_1)| &= (q-2) \left[(q-1)^{n-2} + (q-1)^{n-3} + \dots + (q-1)^{n-(k+1)} \right] + \ell(q-1)^{n-(k+2)} \\ &= (q-1)^{n-(k+2)} \left[(q-1)^{k+1} - (q-1) + \ell \right] = M_1, \end{aligned}
$$

as required. Thus $M \geq M_1$. Altogether we get

$$
\delta_d \le \delta'_d = \min\{ ||\text{ev}_d(F_1)|| : \text{ev}_d(F_1) \ne 0; F_1 \in S'_d \}
$$

= $|X_1| - \max\{ |Z_{X_1}(F_1)| : F_1 \in S'_d; \text{ev}_d(F_1) \ne 0 \}$
 $\le (q-1)^{n-1} - ((q-1)^{n-k-2}((q-1)^{k+1} - (q-1) + \ell))$
= $(q-1)^{n-k-2}((q-1) - \ell),$

where $\|\text{ev}_d(F_1)\|$ is the number of non-zero entries of $\text{ev}_d(F_1)$. This completes the proof of the case $1 \leq d \leq (q-2)(n-1)-1$.

Case (II): Next we consider the case $d \ge (q - 2)(n - 1)$. Since $I(X_1) = (\{t_i^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}\}$ $_{1}^{q-1}\}_{i=2}^{n}$), the Hilbert series of $S'/I(X_1)$ is given by $F_{X_1}(t) = (1 - t^{q-1})^{n-1}/(1-t)^n$. Hence the regularity index of $S'/I(X_1)$ equals $(n-1)(q-2)$. Thus $\dim_K C_{X_1}(d) = |X_1|$ for $d \ge (n-1)(q-2)$. By the Singleton bound we get

$$
1 \le \delta_d \le \delta'_d \le |X_1| - \dim_K C_{X_1}(d) + 1 = 1
$$

for $d \ge (n-1)(q-2)$. Thus $\delta_d = 1$ for $d \ge (n-1)(q-2)$.

Remark 5.4. If G is an odd cycle of length $n \geq 3$ and X is the algebraic toric set parameterized by the edges of G, then the minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ equals δ'_d [\[28\]](#page-21-12). This means that for any odd cycle the bound of Theorem [5.3](#page-17-0) is sharper that the Singleton bound for any $d \geq 1$. For connected non-bipartite graphs which are not cycles, our bound is sharper than the Singleton bound within a certain range (see Example [5.5\)](#page-18-1).

Example 5.5. Let G be the following complete graph on five vertices and let X be the algebraic toric set parameterized by all $y_i y_j$ such that $\{y_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of G.

Let $C_X(d)$ be the parameterized code of order d over the field $K = \mathbb{F}_7$ and let b_d (resp. δ_d') be the Singleton bound (resp. the bound of Theorem [5.3\)](#page-17-0). Then the minimum distance of $C_X(d)$ is bounded by $\min\{b_d, \delta_d'\}$. Using *Macaulay* 2[16], together with Theorem [2.1,](#page-3-0) we obtain:

Thus our bound is better than the Singleton bound for $d = 1, \ldots, 6$. For $d > 7$ is the other way around. If T is a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^4 , it is seen that the minimum distance of $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$ is exactly δ_d' , i.e., the upper bound δ_d' is the minimum distance of a linear code.

A linear code is called maximum distance separable (MDS for short) if equality holds in the Singleton bound. Reed-Solomon codes are MDS [\[32,](#page-21-7) p. 42]. The next result is not hard to show. It follows by adapting the argument of [\[32,](#page-21-7) p. 42].

Proposition 5.6. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{[(x_1, x_2)] | x_i \in K^* \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$ be a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^1 . Then the minimum distance δ_d of the parameterized code $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$ is given by

$$
\delta_d = \begin{cases} q-1-d & \text{if } 1 \le d \le q-3, \\ 1 & \text{if } d \ge q-2, \end{cases}
$$

and $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$ is an MDS code.

Finally we compute the minimum distance for the parameterized code defined by a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Proposition 5.7. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{[(x_1, x_2, x_3)] | x_i \in K^* \text{ for all } i\}$ be a projective torus in \mathbb{P}^2 . Then the minimum distance δ_d of the parameterized code $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$ is given by

$$
\delta_d = \begin{cases}\n(q-1)^2 - d(q-1) & \text{if } 1 \le d \le q-2, \\
2q - d - 3 & \text{if } q-1 \le d \le 2q-5, \\
1 & \text{if } d \ge 2q-4.\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The case $1 \leq d \leq q-2$ was shown in [\[14,](#page-20-0) Theorem 2]. To show the second case assume that $q - 1 \leq d \leq 2q - 5$. By Corollary [2.8,](#page-8-1) the vanishing ideal $I(\mathbb{T})$ is a complete intersection generated by $t_2^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}$ $_1^{q-1}$ and $t_3^{q-1} - t_1^{q-1}$ $_1^{q-1}$. Therefore the inequality $\delta_d \geq 2q - d - 3$ is a direct consequence of [\[18,](#page-20-10) Theorem 4.4]. Next, we write $d = (q - 2) + \ell$ where $1 \leq \ell \leq q - 3$. Let β be a generator of (K^*, \cdot) . The homogeneous polynomial

$$
F = (\beta t_1 - t_2) \cdots (\beta^{(q-2)} t_1 - t_2) (\beta t_1 - t_3) \cdots (\beta^{\ell} t_1 - t_3)
$$

has degree d and the zero set $Z_{\mathbb{T}}(F)$ of F in T is the set:

$$
(\{1\} \times \{\beta^i\}_{i=1}^{q-2} \times K^*) \cup (\{1\} \times \{1\} \times \{\beta^i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}).
$$

Therefore the number of zeros of F in $\mathbb T$ is given by

$$
|Z_{\mathbb{T}}(F)| = (q-2)(q-1) + \ell.
$$

This implies that

$$
\delta_d \le (q-1)^2 - ((q-2)(q-1) + \ell) = 2q - d - 3.
$$

Thus $\delta_d = 2q - d - 3$. Finally, since the vanishing ideal of T is a complete intersection, the regularity index of $K[t_1, t_2, t_3]/I(\mathbb{T})$ is equal to $2(q-2)$. Thus by the Singleton bound we get that $\delta_d = 1$ for $d \geq 2q - 4$.

The lower bound of Hansen [\[18,](#page-20-10) Theorem 4.4]—for the minimum distance of evaluation codes on complete intersections—that we used in the proof above has been nicely generalized in [\[12,](#page-20-2) Theorem 3.2].

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Recent trends in combinatorics (Ma´trah´aza, 1995), Combin. Probab. Comput. 8 (1999), no. 1-2, 7–29.
- [2] B. Bollobás, Modern Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 184 Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [3] T. Christof, revised by A. Löbel and M. Stoer, PORTA: A Polyhedron Representation Transformation Algorithm, 1997.

http://www.zib.de/Optimization/Software/Porta.

- [4] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O'Shea, Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [5] I. M. Duursma, C. Rentería and H. Tapia-Recillas, Reed-Muller codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 11 (2001), no. 6, 455–462.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, D. R. Grayson, and M. Stillman, eds., Computations in algebraic geometry with Macaulay 2, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics 8, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a view toward Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [8] D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 1–45.
- [9] C. Escobar, J. Mart´ınez-Bernal and R. H. Villarreal, Relative volumes and minors in monomial subrings, Linear Algebra Appl. 374 (2003), 275–290.
- [10] A. M. H. Gerards and A. Sebö, Total dual integrality implies local strong unimodularity, Math. Programming 38 (1987), no. 1, 69–73.
- [11] A. V. Geramita, M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, Cayley-Bacharach schemes and their canonical modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1993), no. 1, 163–189.
- [12] L. Gold, J. Little and H. Schenck, Cayley-Bacharach and evaluation codes on complete intersections, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 196 (2005), no. 1, 91–99.
- [13] M. González-Sarabia and C. Rentería, Evaluation codes associated to complete bipartite graphs, Int. J. Algebra 2 (2008), no. 1-4, 163–170.
- [14] M. González-Sarabia, C. Rentería and M. Hernández de la Torre, Minimum distance and second generalized Hamming weight of two particular linear codes, Congr. Numer. 161 (2003), 105–116.
- [15] M. González-Sarabia, C. Rentería and H. Tapia-Recillas, Reed-Muller-type codes over the Segre variety, Finite Fields Appl. 8 (2002), no. 4, 511–518.
- [16] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, Macaulay2, 1996. Available via anonymous ftp from math.uiuc.edu.
- [17] J. Grossman, D. M. Kulkarni and I. Schochetman, On the minors of an incidence matrix and its Smith normal form, Linear Algebra Appl. 218 (1995), 213–224.
- [18] J. Hansen, Linkage and codes on complete intersections, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 14 (2003), no. 3, 175–185.
- [19] J. Harris, Algebraic Geometry. A first course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [20] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra I, Second Edition, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1996.
- [21] A. Katsabekis and A. Thoma, Parametrizations of toric varieties over any field, J. Algebra 308 (2007), no. 2, 751–763.
- [22] G. Lachaud, The parameters of projective Reed-Muller codes, Discrete Math. 81 (1990), no. 2, 217–221.
- [23] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, The Theory of Error-correcting Codes, North-Holland, 1977.
- [24] J. Martínez-Bernal, E. O'Shea and R. H. Villarreal, Ehrhart clutters: regularity and max-flow min-cut, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010), no. 1, R52.
- [25] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, Combinatorial Commutative Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 227, Springer, 2004.
- [26] C. Rentería and H. Tapia-Recillas, Linear codes associated to the ideal of points in \mathbf{P}^d and its canonical module, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), no. 3, 1083–1090.
- [27] E. Reyes, R. H. Villarreal and L. Zárate, A note on affine toric varieties, Linear Algebra Appl. 318 (2000), 173–179.
- [28] E. Sarmiento, M. Vaz Pinto and R. H. Villarreal, The minimum distance of parameterized codes of complete intersection vanishing ideals over finite fields, preprint.
- [29] A. Schrijver, Theory of Linear and Integer Programming, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [30] A. Simis and R. H. Villarreal, Constraints for the normality of monomial subrings and birationality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 2043–2048.
- [31] R. Stanley, Hilbert functions of graded algebras, Adv. Math. 28 (1978), 57–83.
- [32] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic function fields and codes, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [33] B. Sturmfels, Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes, University Lecture Series 8, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1996.
- [34] M. Tsfasman, S. Vladut and D. Nogin, Algebraic geometric codes: basic notions, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 139, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [35] W. V. Vasconcelos, Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [36] R. H. Villarreal, Monomial Algebras, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics 238, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ESCUELA SUPERIOR DE FÍSICA Y MATEMÁTICAS, INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO Nacional, 07300 Mexico City, D.F.

E-mail address: renteri@esfm.ipn.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO, 50740-540 RECIFE, PE, BRAZIL

E-mail address: aron@dmat.ufpe.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL IPN, Apartado Postal 14–740, 07000 Mexico City, D.F.

E-mail address: vila@math.cinvestav.mx

