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#### Abstract

We study the statistical properties of one-dimensional directed polymers in a short-range random potential by mapping the replicated problem to a many body quantum boson system with attractive interactions. We find the full set of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the many-body system and perform the summation over the entire spectrum of excited states. The analytic continuation of the obtained exact expression for the replica partition function from integer to non-integer replica parameter $N$ turns out to be ambiguous. Performing the analytic continuation simply by assuming that the parameter $N$ can take arbitrary complex values, and going to the thermodynamic limit of the original directed polymer problem, we obtain the explicit universal expression for the probability distribution function of free energy fluctuations.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Directed polymers in a quenched random potential have been the subject of intense investigations during the past two decades [1]. Diverse physical systems such as domain walls in magnetic films [2], vortices in superconductors [3], wetting fronts on planar systems [4], or Burgers turbulence [5] can be mapped to this model, which exhibits numerous non-trivial features deriving from the interplay between elasticity and disorder. The best understanding has been achieved for a string confined to a plane. In this case we deal with an elastic string directed along the $\tau$-axis within an interval $[0, L]$. Randomness enters the problem through a disorder potential $V[\phi(\tau), \tau]$, which competes against the elastic energy. The problem is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H[\phi(\tau), V]=\int_{0}^{L} d \tau\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\tau} \phi(\tau)\right]^{2}+V[\phi(\tau), \tau]\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the simplest case the disorder potential $V[\phi, \tau]$ is Gaussian distributed with a zero mean $\overline{V(\phi, \tau)}=0$ and the $\delta$-correlations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{V(\phi, \tau) V\left(\phi^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)}=u \delta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the parameter $u$ describes the strength of the disorder. Historically, the problem of central interest was the scaling behavior of the polymer mean squared displacement which in the thermodynamic limit $(L \rightarrow \infty)$ is believed to have a universal scaling form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left\langle\phi^{2}\right\rangle}(L) \propto L^{2 \zeta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $\langle\ldots\rangle$ and $\overline{(\ldots)}$ denote the thermal and the disorder averages), with $\zeta$ the so-called wandering exponent. More general problem for all directed polymer systems of the type, Eq.(1), is the statistical properties of their free energy fluctuations. Besides the usual extensive (linear in $L$ ) self-averaging part $f_{0} L$ (where $f_{0}$ is the linear free energy density), the total free energy $F$ of such systems contains disorder dependent fluctuating contribution $\tilde{F}$, which is characterized by non-trivial scaling in $L$. It is generally believed (at list in the systems with short-ranged correlations) that in the limit of large $L$ the typical value of the free energy fluctuations scales with $L$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F} \propto L^{\omega} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. they are characterized by a single universal exponent $\omega<1$. In other words, in the limit of large $L$ the total (random) free energy of the system can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f_{0} L+\tilde{f} L^{\omega} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is the random quantity which in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ is described by a non-trivial universal distribution function $P_{*}(\tilde{f})$. The derivation of this function for the system with $\delta$-correlated random potential, Eqs.(11)-(2) is the central issue of the present work.

One can easily note that the above two exponents $\zeta$ and $\omega$ are not independent. Indeed, since the free energy fluctuation $\tilde{F} \sim L^{\omega}$ can be estimated by the typical value of the elastic energy, $\tilde{F} \propto \phi^{2} / L$, where, according to Eq. (3), the typical deviation $\phi \sim L^{\zeta}$, one finds $\omega=2 \zeta-1$.

It is generally believed that for all short-range correlated disorder potentials, the free energy fluctuations exponent assumes a universal value $\omega=1 / 3$. Numerical studies [6] as well as the solution via mapping to the Burgers equation 7] confirm this conjecture. One arrives to the same conclusion studying scaling properties of the free energy by mapping the replicated problem to $N$ particle quantum bosons system [8] and using the Bethe-Anzats solution. However, in this latter case, the resulting distribution function $P_{*}(\tilde{f})$ exhibits severe pathologies such as the vanishing of its second moment, which assumes that the distribution function is not positively defined.

Let us consider this point in more detail. For the string with the zero boundary conditions at $\tau=0$ and at $\tau=L$ the partition function of a given sample is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[V]=\int_{\phi(0)=0}^{\phi(L)=0} \mathcal{D}[\phi(\tau)] \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H[\phi, V]} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=1 / T$ denotes the inverse temperature. On the other hand, the partition function is related to the total free energy $F[V]$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[V]=\exp (-\beta F[V]) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free energy $F[V]$ is defined for a specific realization of the random potential $V$ and thus represent a random variable. Let us take the $N$-th power of both sides of Eq.(7) and perform the averaging over the random potential $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[N, L]=\overline{(\exp (-\beta N F[V]))} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity in the l.h.s of the above equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[N, L] \equiv \overline{Z^{N}[V]} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the replica partition function, and it is defined originally for an arbitrary integer parameter $N$. Substituting $F=f_{0} L+\tilde{f} L^{\omega}$, into Eq. (8) and redefining

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[N, L]=\tilde{Z}[N, L] \mathrm{e}^{-\beta N f_{0} L} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}[N, L]=\overline{\left(\exp \left(-\beta N L^{\omega} \tilde{f}\right)\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The averaging in the r.h.s of the above equation can be represented in terms of the distribution function $P_{L}(\tilde{f})$ (which depends on the system size $L$ ). In this way we arrive to the following general relation between the replica partition function $\tilde{Z}[N, L]$ and the distribution function of the free energy fluctuations $P_{L}(\tilde{f})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}[N, L]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \tilde{f} P_{L}(\tilde{f}) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta N L^{\omega} \tilde{f}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation is the bilateral Laplace transform of the function $P_{L}(\tilde{f})$, and at least formally it allows to restore this function in terms of the replica partition function $\tilde{Z}[N, L]$. In order to do so we have to compute $\tilde{Z}[N, L]$ for an arbitrary integer $N$ and then perform analytical continuation of this function from integer to arbitrary complex values of $N$. Introducing a new complex variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{s}=\beta N L^{\omega} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denoting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}\left[\frac{\tilde{s}}{\beta L^{\omega}}, L\right] \equiv \tilde{Z}_{L}(\tilde{s}) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we could reconstruct the distribution function $P_{L}(\tilde{f})$ via the inverse Laplace transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}(\tilde{f})=\int_{-i \infty}^{+i \infty} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{2 \pi i} \tilde{Z}_{L}(\tilde{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\tilde{s} \tilde{f}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, provided there are exist a finite thermodynamic limit function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{Z}_{L}(\tilde{s}) \equiv \tilde{Z}_{*}(\tilde{s}) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can find the distribution function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{*}(\tilde{f})=\int_{-i \infty}^{+i \infty} \frac{d \tilde{s}}{2 \pi i} \tilde{Z}_{*}(\tilde{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\tilde{s} \tilde{f}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which would describe the statistics of the rescaled free energy fluctuations $\tilde{f}$ in the infinite system. The above equation defining $P_{*}(\tilde{f})$ contains no parameters and hence is expected to be universal. Therefore according to the relation $\tilde{s}=\beta N L^{\omega}$ we see that in the thermodynamic limit the relevant values of the original replica parameter are

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \sim L^{-\omega} \rightarrow 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This explains why the two limits $L \rightarrow \infty$ and $N \rightarrow 0$ do not commute [9], and the approximation of the replica partition function through the ground state wave function fails (see also Ref. [10]). In Kardar's original solution [8], after mapping the replicated problem to interacting quantum bosons, one arrives at the replica partition function for positive integer parameters $N>1$. Assuming a large $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit, one is tempted to approximate the result by the ground state contribution only, as for any $N>1$ the contributions of excited states are exponentially small for $L \rightarrow \infty$. However, in the analytic continuation for arbitrary complex $N$ the contributions which are exponentially small at positive integer $N>1$ can become essential in the region $N \rightarrow 0$, which according to Eq. (17) defines the function $P_{*}(\tilde{f})$ Thus, it is the neglection of the excited states which is the origin of non-physical nature of the obtained solution. In other words, for the proper analytic continuation of the replica solution to the region $N \rightarrow 0$, first one has to calculate the replica partition function $Z[N, L]$ exactly for arbitrary integer $N$, and only after that one can take the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ while keeping the value of the parameter $\tilde{s}=\beta N L^{\omega}$ finite.

In the present paper, we report the results of the calculation of the replica partition function $Z(N, L)$ for arbitrary integer $N$ which in terms of the Bethe-Ansatz solution for quantum bosons with attractive $\delta$-interactions (Sections II - III) involves the summation over the entire spectrum of exited states (Section IV). Unfortunately the analytic continuation of the obtained exact expression $Z(N, L)$ from integer to non-integer $N$ turns out to be ambiguous, since our replica partition function growth as $\exp \left(N^{3}\right)$ at large $N$ (similar problem of the analytic continuation to the region $N \rightarrow 0$ one faces in the replica theory of the mean-field spin-glasses where the replica partition function growth as $\exp \left(N^{2}\right)$ 11]). Performing a kind of a "replica symmetric" analytic continuation, i.e. just assuming that originally integer-value parameter $N$ can take arbitrary complex values and taking the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ in $Z(N, L)$ (Section V) allows us to compute an inverse Laplace transformation, cf. Eq. (17), which provides us with
the explicit expression for the distribution function of the free-energy fluctuations (Section VI, Eq. (102)). Although up to the present moment, we have not uncovered any unphysical properties in the obtained probability function $P_{*}(f)$, this solution could be considered as distant analog of the "replica symmetric approximation" in the mean-field spin-glasses. In particular, it should be noted that our result is different from the Tracy-Widom distribution [12], which describes the statistics of fluctuations in various statistical systems 13-18] which are widely believed to belong to the same universality class as the present model [19-21] (for further discussion of this issue see Section VII).

Various technical aspects of the calculations are moved to the Appendices. In particular, in Appendices A, B, and C, we analyze the structure and properties of $N$-particle wave functions of one-dimensional quantum bosons, both with repulsive and with attractive interactions.

## II. MAPPING TO QUANTUM BOSONS

Explicitly, the replica partition function, Eq.(9), of the system described by the Hamiltonian, Eq.(1), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{a}(0)=0}^{\phi_{a}(L)=0} \mathcal{D} \phi_{a}(\tau) \overline{\exp \left[-\beta \int_{0}^{L} d \tau \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{\tau} \phi_{a}(\tau)\right]^{2}+V\left[\phi_{a}(\tau), \tau\right]\right\}\right]} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since it is assumed that the random potential $V[\phi, \tau]$ has the Gaussian distribution the disorder average $\overline{(\ldots)}$ in the above equation is very simple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\exp \left[-\beta \int_{0}^{L} d \tau \sum_{a=1}^{N} V\left[\phi_{a}(\tau), \tau\right]\right]}=\exp \left[\frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \iint_{0}^{L} d \tau d \tau^{\prime} \sum_{a, b=1}^{N} \overline{V\left[\phi_{a}(\tau), \tau\right] V\left[\phi_{b}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right), \tau^{\prime}\right]}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq.(2) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{a}(0)=0}^{\phi_{a}(L)=0} \mathcal{D} \phi_{a}(\tau) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta \int_{0}^{L} d \tau\left\{\sum_{a=1}^{N}\left[\partial_{\tau} \phi_{a}(\tau)\right]^{2}-\beta u \sum_{a, b=1}^{N} \delta\left[\phi_{a}(\tau)-\phi_{b}(\tau)\right]\right\}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the second term in the exponential of the above equation contain formally divergent contributions proportional to $\delta(0)$ (due to the terms with $a=b$ ). In fact, this is just an indication that the continuous model, Eqs.(1)-(2) is ill defined as short distances and requires proper lattice regularization. Of course, the corresponding lattice model would contain no divergences, and the terms with $a=b$ in the exponential of the corresponding replica partition function would produce irrelevant constant $\frac{1}{2} L \beta^{2} u N \delta(0)$ (where the lattice version of $\delta(0)$ has a finite value). Since the lattice regularization has no impact on the continuous long distance properties of the considered system this term will be just omitted in our further study.

Introducing the $N$-component scalar field replica Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}[\phi]=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} d \tau\left(\sum_{a=1}^{N}\left[\partial_{\tau} \phi_{a}(\tau)\right]^{2}-\beta u \sum_{a \neq b}^{N} \delta\left[\phi_{a}(\tau)-\phi_{b}(\tau)\right]\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the replica partition function, Eq.(21), we obtain the standard expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{a}(0)=0}^{\phi_{a}(L)=0} \mathcal{D} \phi_{a}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_{N}[\phi]} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \equiv\left\{\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{N}\right\}$. According to the above definition this partition function describe the statistics of $N$ $\delta$-interacting (attracting) trajectories $\phi_{a}(\tau)$ all starting (at $\tau=0$ ) and ending (at $\tau=L$ ) at zero: $\phi_{a}(0)=\phi_{a}(L)=0$

In order to map the problem to one-dimensional quantum bosons, let us introduce more general object

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t)=\prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{a}(0)=0}^{\phi_{a}(t)=x_{a}} \mathcal{D} \phi_{a}(\tau) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_{N}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes $N$ trajectories $\phi_{a}(\tau)$ all starting at zero $\left(\phi_{a}(0)=0\right)$, but ending at $\tau=t$ in arbitrary given points $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$. One can easily show that instead of using the path integral, $\Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t)$ may be obtained as the solution of
the linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t)=\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{a}}^{2} \Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t)+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} u \sum_{a \neq b}^{N} \delta\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right) \Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\mathbf{x} ; 0)=\Pi_{a=1}^{N} \delta\left(x_{a}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily see that Eq.(25) is the imaginary-time Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{t} \Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t)=\hat{H} \Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=-\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{a}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} u \sum_{a \neq b}^{N} \delta\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes $N$ bose-particles of mass $\beta$ interacting via the attractive two-body potential $-\beta^{2} u \delta(x)$. The original replica partition function, Eq.(23), then is obtained via a particular choice of the final-point coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\Psi(\mathbf{0} ; L) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard general strategy of the further calculations is in the following. Let us denote the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian Eq. (28) by $\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$ where the index $\xi$ (which can be both integer and continuous) labels the eigenstates. Provided the wave functions $\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{x})$ constitute the orthonormal and complete set, the time dependent solution of the equation (25) with the initial conditions, Eq.(26), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\mathbf{x} ; t)=\sum_{\xi} \Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\xi}^{*}(\mathbf{0}) \mathrm{e}^{-E(\xi) t} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(\xi)$ denotes the energy of the $\xi$-th eigenstate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H} \Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{x})=E(\xi) \Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, according to Eq.(29), the replica partition function $Z(N, L)$ of the original polymer system is obtained just by the summation over all eigenstates of the quantum Hamiltonian (28):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\sum_{\xi}\left|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-E(\xi) L} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the crucial point of the present approach is finding the eigenfunctions and the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian (28), which is the topic of the next section.

## III. EIGENSTATES OF THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL QUANTUM BOSONS SYSTEM

## A. Repulsive bosons

The eigenfunctions of one-dimensional $\delta$-interacting repulsive $(u<0)$ quantum bosons, Eq. (28), have been derived by Lieb and Liniger in 1963 [22]. An eigenstate of this system is characterized by $N$ continuous momenta $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\} \equiv \mathbf{q}$ with the wave function (see Appendix A)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=C^{(N)}(\mathbf{q}) \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q_{p_{a}}-q_{p_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\beta^{3} u \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The summation in Eq.(33) goes over all permutations $P$ of the $N$ momenta $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\}$ over $N$ particles located at $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$, and $[P]$ denotes the parity of the permutation. The normalization constant $C^{(N)}(\mathbf{q})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{(N)}(\mathbf{q})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q_{a}-q_{b}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the associated energy $E_{N}(\mathbf{q})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N}(\mathbf{q})=\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{a}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A useful alternative representations of these wave functions are

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x}) & =C^{(N)}(\mathbf{q}) \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right]  \tag{37}\\
& \left.=C^{(N)}(\mathbf{q})\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \operatorname{det}[\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}})\right] \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where the symbol $\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x})}$ denotes the $N \times N$ matrix with the elements $\exp \left(i q_{a} x_{b}\right)(a, b=1, \ldots, N)$. Here, by definition, the differential operators $\partial_{x_{a}}$ act only on the exponential terms and not on the signum functions $\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)$. One can easily see that the above wave functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ represent a set of plane waves in any sector of the type $x_{a_{1}}<x_{a_{2}}<\ldots<x_{a_{N}}$ with a finite jump (equal to $\kappa$ ) of the derivatives at all "boundary" points $x_{a_{i}}=x_{a_{j}}$. These functions are symmetric with respect to any permutation of the particle coordinate $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$ and antisymmetric with respect to permutations of the momenta $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\}$. It can be proven that the wave functions, Eq.(33), are orthonormal and constitute the complete set (see Appendix A; a detailed discussion one can found e.g. in Refs. [23, 24]). Specifically, for any two functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ considered in the sectors $q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots<q_{N}$ and $q_{1}^{\prime}<q_{2}^{\prime}<\ldots<q_{N}^{\prime}$ orthonormality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{N} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=(2 \pi)^{N} \delta\left(q_{1}^{\prime}-q_{1}\right) \delta\left(q_{2}^{\prime}-q_{2}\right) \ldots \delta\left(q_{N}^{\prime}-q_{N}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for any two functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ considered in the sectors $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}<x_{2}^{\prime}<$ $\ldots<x_{N}^{\prime}$, completeness implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d q_{1} \ldots d q_{N} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=(2 \pi)^{N} \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \ldots \delta\left(x_{N}-x_{N}^{\prime}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for a repulsive interaction $(u<0)$ the time dependent solution of the differential equation (25) (with the starting condition, Eq.(26)) would be sufficiently simple:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{(N)}(\mathbf{x} ; t)=\int_{q_{1}<\ldots<q_{N}} \frac{d q_{1} \ldots d q_{N}}{(2 \pi)^{N}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{0}) \exp \left[-\frac{t}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{a}^{2}\right] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately, from the point of view of the replica theory of disordered polymers the repulsive bosons make no physical meaning since the parameter $u$ (according to its definition, Eq.(2)) is positively defined.

## B. Attractive bosons

The situation with attractive $(u>0)$ bosons is more complicated. One can easily prove that (irrespective of the sign of the parameter $u$ ) the functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$, Eq.(33), are othonormal eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian, Eq. (28). However, unlike the repulsive case, this set of functions is not complete. In other words, for $u>0$ the completeness conditions, Eqs.(40), are not satisfied. Physically this indicates that besides the continuous spectrum (or free particles) states, our system must have another types of eigenstates, in which the particles are bound into localized clusters.

The spectrum and some properties of the eigenfunctions for attractive one-dimensional quantum bosons have been derived by McGuire [25] and by Yang [26] (see also Ref. [27, 28]). However, since attractive bosons do not have a proper thermodynamic limit (in the number of particles $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) due to the scaling $E_{N} \propto-N^{3}$, the interest in this system has been rather limited.

We first consider the ground state wave function $\Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$ in which all $N$ particles are bound into one cluster with the free center of mass motion controlled by the momentum $q$ (see Appendix B):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})=C^{(1)}(q) \exp \left[i q \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}-\frac{1}{4} \kappa \sum_{a \neq b}^{N}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{(1)}(q)=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^{N} N!}{\kappa N}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the normalization constant defined by the orthonormality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{N} \Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{q^{\prime}}^{(1)^{*}}(\mathbf{x})=(2 \pi) \delta\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy of this state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(q ; N)=\frac{N}{2 \beta} q^{2}-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{24 \beta}\left(N^{3}-N\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, one can also easily prove that the above ground state wave function, Eq.(42), can be represented in the form similar to the free particle structure, $\mathrm{Eq}(33)$, by introducing (discrete) imaginary parts for the momenta $q_{a}$. Indeed, due to the symmetry of this function with respect to permutations of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$ it is sufficient to consider it in the sector $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}$. Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{a}=q-\frac{i}{2} \kappa(N+1-2 a) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting these momenta into the general expression for the wave function, Eq.(33) one easily recovers Eq.(42) (see Appendix B for details) Also, substituting Eq.(46) into the general expression for the energy spectrum, Eq.(36) one can also recover, Eq. (45).

Now, using the above scheme, one can construct the eigenfunctions of a generic excited state. It consists of $M(1 \leq M \leq N)$ "clusters" $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ of bound particles, where $\alpha=1, \ldots, M$ labels a given cluster. Each cluster is characterized by the momentum $q_{\alpha}$ of its center of mass motion, and by the number $n_{\alpha}$ of particles contained in it (such that $\left.\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}=N\right)$. Instead of $N$ independent real momenta $q_{a}(a=1, \ldots, N)$ one introduces $M$ complex "vector" momenta

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{a} \rightarrow q_{r}^{\alpha}=q_{\alpha}-\frac{i}{2} \kappa\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=1,2, \ldots, n_{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}=N \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ is characterized by $M$ continuous parameters $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{M}\right)$ (which are the momenta of the center of mass motion of the clusters) and $M$ integer parameters $\mathbf{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)$ (which are the numbers of particles of each cluster). Explicitly this wave function is given by Eq.(38) where $N \times N$ matrix $\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x})}$ is now composed of $N$ columns

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{q_{1}^{1}, q_{2}^{1}, \ldots, q_{n_{1}}^{1} ; q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}, \ldots, q_{n_{2}}^{2} ; \ldots \ldots ; q_{1}^{M}, q_{2}^{M}, \ldots, q_{n_{M}}^{M}\right\} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N$ rows $x_{a}$. One can easily see that as in the case of repulsive bosons, this wave function is symmetric with respect to permutation of particles coordinates. However, for practical applications the general representation, Eq. (38), is
not very convenient. Writing the determinant of the matrix $\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x})}$ explicitly, after a few efforts in simple algebra one can derive more transparent structure of the wave function (see Appendix C for details). Assuming first that the position of particles are ordered, $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}$, let us consider a permutation $P$ of $N$ momenta $q_{r}^{\alpha}$, Eq. (49), over $N$ particles $x_{a}$, so that a particle number $a$ is attributed a momentum component $q_{r(a)}^{\alpha(a)}$. The particles getting the momenta with the same $\alpha$ (having the same real part $q_{\alpha}$ ) will be called belonging to a cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$. For a given permutation $P$ the particles belonging to the same cluster are numbered by the "internal" index $r=1, \ldots, n_{\alpha}$. From now on we have to take into account not all the permutations, but only those for which the internal particle number $r(a)$ is the growing function of the particle number $a$ in every cluster. Namely, let a cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$ consists of the particles $x_{a_{1}}, x_{a_{2}}, \ldots, x_{a_{n_{\alpha}}}$ (where $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{n_{\alpha}}$ and the positions of particles are ordered: $x_{a_{1}}<x_{a_{2}}<\ldots<x_{a_{n_{\alpha}}}$ ). Then, among all $n_{\alpha}$ ! "internal" permutations of the momenta components $q_{1}^{\alpha}, q_{2}^{\alpha}, \ldots, q_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$, non-zero contribution is given only by the one in which $r\left(a_{i+1}\right)=r\left(a_{i}\right)+1$. In this case the explicit form of the wave function (for $M \geq 2$ ) reeds (see Appendix C)

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[\left(q_{\alpha(a)}-\frac{i}{2} \kappa\left(n_{\alpha(a)}+1-2 r(a)\right)\right)-\left(q_{\alpha(b)}-\frac{i}{2} \kappa\left(n_{\alpha(b)}+1-2 r(b)\right)\right)-i \kappa\right] \times \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{\alpha(a)} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(n_{\alpha(a)}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

where the product goes only over pairs of particles belonging to different clusters and the symbol $\sum_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ means that the summation goes only over the permutations $P$ in which the "internal" indices $r(a)$ are ordered inside each cluster.

For generic positions of the particles (beyond the sector $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}$ ) the expression for the wave function reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[q_{\alpha(a)}-q_{\alpha(b)}+\frac{i \kappa}{2} \sum_{c \in \Omega_{\alpha(a)}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{c}\right)-\frac{i \kappa}{2} \sum_{c \in \Omega_{\alpha(b)}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{b}-x_{c}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, a^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{a^{\prime}}\right|\right] \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the summation goes only over the permutations in which "internal" indices $r(a)$ in the clusters are ordered according to the spatial ordering of the particles belonging to these clusters. For example, let a cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$ be composed of the particles $\left\{x_{a_{1}}, x_{a_{2}}, \ldots, x_{a_{n_{\alpha}}}\right\}$ and the spatial positions of these particles are such that $x_{a_{1}}<x_{a_{2}}<\ldots<x_{a_{n_{\alpha}}}$, while the particles numbering $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n_{\alpha}}$ is now arbitrary. Then the ordering of the internal index $r(a)$ of the permutations involved in Eq. (51) is such that $r\left(a_{i+1}\right)=r\left(a_{i}\right)+1$ (i.e. it goes from the smallest $x_{a}$ in the cluster to the largest one).

One can easily see that the expression for $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$, Eq. (51), can also be rewritten in more compact form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})=C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\ \alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right] \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, a^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{a^{\prime}}\right|\right] \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to describe the orthogonality of these wave functions, we have to specify their symmetry structure. First of all, like in the case of repulsion, Eq.(33), the wave function of unbound particles (the case $M=N$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}=$ $\ldots=n_{N}=1$ ) is fully antisymmetric with respect to any momenta permutation. For the generic case, $2 \leq M<N$ the situation is slightly more tricky as the symmetry with respect to the momenta permutations depends on the values of the corresponding integer parameters $n_{\alpha}$. According to Eq.(38), the permutation of any two momenta $q_{\alpha_{1}}$ and $q_{\alpha_{2}}$ belonging to the clusters which have the same numbers of particles, $n_{\alpha_{1}}=n_{\alpha_{2}}=n$ produces the factor $(-1)^{n}$ (this operation corresponds to the permutation of $n$ columns of the matrix $\left.\exp \left(i q_{a} x_{b}\right)\right)$. Hence two eigenstates which differ one from another only by such momenta permutations could be called equivalent. On the other hand, the permutation of the momenta between two clusters with different numbers of particles reveals no specific symmetry at all. In other words, the wave functions with permuted momenta belonging to two clusters with different numbers of particles are just two different wave functions describing two different eigenstates. Thus for comparing the wave
functions described by the parameters $\left(q_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}\right)(\alpha=1, \ldots, M)$ (in particular for the study of their orthogonality) it is crucial to specify "subsets" of equal $n$ 's. Namely, a generic eigenstate ( $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}$ ) with $M$ clusters could be specified in terms of the following set of parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})=\{(\underbrace{\left.q_{1}, m_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(q_{s_{1}}, m_{1}\right)}_{s_{1}} ; \underbrace{\left(q_{s_{1}+1}, m_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(q_{s_{1}+s_{2}}, m_{2}\right)}_{s_{2}} ; \ldots ; ; \underbrace{\left(q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+1}, m_{k}\right), \ldots,\left(q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k}}, m_{k}\right)}_{s_{k}}\} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}(i=1, \ldots k ; 1 \leq k \leq M)$ are the numbers of clusters which have the same numbers of particles and $k$ denotes the number of different cluster types. For a given $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}+s_{2}+\ldots+s_{k}=M \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} m_{i}=N \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this representations all the integers $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ are assumed to be different:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<\ldots<m_{k} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the symmetry with respect to the momenta permutations inside the subsets of equal $n$ 's it is sufficient to consider the wave functions in the sectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots<q_{s_{1}}  \tag{57}\\
& q_{s_{1}+1}<q_{s_{1}+2}<\ldots<q_{s_{1}+s_{2}} \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+1}<q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+2}<\ldots<q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+s_{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown (see Appendix C) that any two wave functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M^{\prime}\right)}(\mathbf{x})$ in which the parameters $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})$ and $\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are assumed to have the structure described above (Eqs.(53)-(57)), are orthogonal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{N} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{*}}(\mathbf{x})=\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}(2 \pi) \delta\left(q_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\delta}(n, m)$ is the Kronecker symbol and $\delta(q)$ is the $\delta$-function. The above orthonormality condition defines the normalization constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{(M)}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})=\left[\frac{1}{N!\prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-i \kappa\left(\frac{n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}}{2}-r+r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \frac{\left(n_{\alpha}!\right)^{2} \kappa^{n_{\alpha}}}{n_{\alpha} \kappa}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the wave functions, Eqs.(51) or (52) form the orthonormal set. Although, at present we are not able to prove that this set is complete, the suggestion of the completeness (which assumes that there are exist no other eigenstates besides those described above) looks quite natural.

Finally, substituting Eq.(47)-(48) into Eq.(36), for the energy spectrum one easily obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}}\left(q_{k}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^{2}-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{24 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left(n_{\alpha}^{3}-n_{\alpha}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. REPLICA PARTITION FUNCTION

The time dependent solution $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of Eq.(25), satisfying the starting condition Eq.(26) can be represented in terms of the linear combination of the eigenfunctions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$, Eq. (50)-(52):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)=\sum_{M=1}^{N} \sum_{\mathbf{n}}^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{q} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)^{*}}(\mathbf{0}) \exp \left[-E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}) t\right] \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the summations over $n_{\alpha}$ are performed in terms of the parameters $\left\{s_{i}, m_{i}\right\}$, Eqs. (54)-(56):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathbf{n}}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{s_{1} \ldots s_{k}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq m_{1} \ldots<m_{k}}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}, M\right) \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} m_{i}, N\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for simplicity, due to the presence of the Kronecker symbols the summations over $m_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ are extended to infinity. The symbol $\int^{\prime} \mathcal{D q}$ in Eq.(61) denotes the integration over $M$ momenta $q_{\alpha}$ in the sectors, Eq.(57); the energy spectrum $E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})$ is given by Eq.(60)

Now according Eq.(29) for the replica partition function of the original directed polymer problem we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\Psi(\mathbf{0} ; L)=\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{n}}^{\prime} \int^{\prime} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{q}\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \exp \left[-E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}) L\right] \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where due to the presence of the Kronecker symbols in Eq.(62) the summation over $M$ can also be extended to infinity. Using Eq. (50), and taking into account antisymmetry with respect to the momenta permutations, one can easily prove that for $M \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0}) & =C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left(q_{\alpha(a)}-q_{\alpha(b)}-i \kappa\left[\frac{n_{\alpha(a)}-n_{\alpha(b)}}{2}-r(a)+r(b)\right]-i \kappa\right) \\
& =C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left(q_{\alpha(a)}-q_{\alpha(b)}-i \kappa\left[\frac{n_{\alpha(a)}-n_{\alpha(b)}}{2}-r(a)+r(b)\right]\right) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

Given the antisymmetry of the product with respect to permutations of the momenta, it is sufficient to consider only one (trivial) permutation and multiply the result by the total number of permutations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})=C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \frac{N!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots n_{M}!} \prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left(q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-i \kappa\left[\frac{n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}}{2}-r+r^{\prime}\right]\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $M=1$, according to Eqs.(42)-(43),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{0})=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^{N} N!}{\kappa N}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the expression $\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \exp \left[-E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}) L\right]$ in Eq. 63$)$ is symmetric with respect to the permutations of the momenta $q_{\alpha}$ belonging to the clusters with the same number of particles. In this case the expression for the partition function can be written in terms of the unconstrained integration over the momenta $q_{\alpha}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{s_{1} \ldots s_{k}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq m_{1} \ldots<m_{k}}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\sum_{i} s_{i}, M\right) \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\sum_{i} s_{i} m_{i}, N\right)}{s_{1}!s_{2}!\ldots s_{k}!}\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi}\right)\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}) L} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n} \equiv\{\underbrace{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{1}}_{s_{1}}, \underbrace{m_{2}, \ldots, m_{2}}_{s_{2}}, \ldots \ldots, \underbrace{m_{k}, \ldots, m_{k}}_{s_{k}}\} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(67) contains the summations of the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right)=\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi}\right)\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}) L} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the function of $M$ integer parameters $n_{\alpha}$. Using explicit expressions, Eq. (60), (59) and (65) one can easily prove that this function is fully symmetric with respect to permutations of all its $M$ arguments. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{s_{1} \ldots s_{k}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq m_{1} \ldots<m_{k}}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\sum_{i} s_{i}, M\right) \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\sum_{i} s_{i} m_{i}, N\right)}{s_{1}!s_{2}!\ldots s_{k}!} f(\mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{M!} \sum_{n_{1}=1}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{n_{M}=1}^{\infty} \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right) f(\mathbf{n}) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the summations in the expression for the replica partition function, Eq.(67), can be essentially simplified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!}\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi}\right) \sum_{n_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{\infty} \ldots \sum_{n_{M}=1}^{\infty} \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right)\left|\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}) L} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting here the explicit expressions for $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{0})$, $\operatorname{Eqs}(65)-(66)$, for $E_{M}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})$, Eqs. (60), as well as for the normalization constant $C^{(M)}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})$, Eq.(59), one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(N . L) & =N!\kappa^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q}{2 \pi \kappa N} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{N L}{2 \beta} q^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{2} L}{24 \beta}\left(N^{3}-N\right)}+ \\
& +N!\kappa^{N} \sum_{M=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!}\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{n_{\alpha}=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi \kappa n_{\alpha}}\right] \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{L}{2 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{2} L}{24 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left(n_{\alpha}^{3}-n_{\alpha}\right)} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}} \frac{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in the above expression is the contribution of the ground state ( $M=1$ ), and the next terms $(M \geq 2)$ are the contributions of the rest of the energy spectrum. Next, after a few lines of slightly cumbersome transformations (see Appendix D) Eq.(72) can be reduced to the form (cf. Eq.(10))

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=N!\kappa^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta N L f_{0}} \tilde{Z}(N, L) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0}$ the linear (selfaveraging) free energy density,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=\frac{1}{24} \beta^{4} u^{2} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Z}(N, \lambda) & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda N t-\lambda N p^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \lambda^{3} N^{3}}+ \\
& +\sum_{M=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!} \sum_{n_{1} \ldots n_{M}=1}^{\infty}\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p_{\alpha}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t_{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda n_{\alpha} t_{\alpha}-\lambda n_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \lambda^{3} n_{\alpha}^{3}}\right] \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \frac{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Here instead of the system length $L$ we have introduced a new parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(L)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{L}{\beta} \kappa^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^{5} u^{2} L\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we linearize the terms cubic in $n_{\alpha}$ in the exponentials of Eq. (75) with the help of Airy functions, using the standard relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\frac{1}{3} \lambda^{3} n^{3}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d y \operatorname{Ai}(y) \exp (\lambda n) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

After shifting the Airy function parameters of integration $y_{\alpha} \rightarrow y_{\alpha}+t_{\alpha}+p_{\alpha}^{2}$ the expression for $\tilde{Z}(N, \lambda)$ becomes sufficiently compact:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Z}(N, \lambda) & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d y \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \operatorname{Ai}\left(y+t+p^{2}\right) \exp (\lambda N y)+ \\
& +\sum_{M=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!}\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d y_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p_{\alpha}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t_{\alpha} \operatorname{Ai}\left(y_{\alpha}+t_{\alpha}+p_{\alpha}^{2}\right)\right] \times \\
& \times \sum_{n_{1} \ldots n_{M}=1}^{\infty} \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right) \prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \frac{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \exp \left(\lambda n_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}\right) \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, after performing summations over $\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{M}\right\}$ (see Appendix E) the above expression can be represented as an analytic function of two parameters: $\lambda N$ and $\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}(N, \lambda)=Z_{1}(\lambda N)+\sum_{M=2}^{\infty} Z_{M}(\lambda N ; \lambda) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}(\lambda N)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d y \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \operatorname{Ai}\left(y+t+p^{2}\right) \exp (\lambda N y) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{M}(\lambda N ; \lambda)=\frac{1}{(M-1)!} \int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda N\left(y_{1}+i \eta_{1}\right)} \prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)}}{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{1}+i \eta_{1}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\alpha} \equiv \eta_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^{M}\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\psi_{\beta \alpha}^{2}-\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}-\phi_{\beta \alpha}^{2}+2 \chi_{\alpha \beta}-2 \chi_{\beta \alpha}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above we have introduced the integration operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \equiv \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d y_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p_{\alpha}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t_{\alpha} \operatorname{Ai}\left(y_{\alpha}+t_{\alpha}+p_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the integro-differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \equiv\left[\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha \beta} d \phi_{\alpha \beta}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha \beta}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M}\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}-2 \chi_{\alpha \beta}\right)} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is assumed that the derivatives over $\left\{\chi_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ are taken at $\chi_{\alpha \beta}=0$.
The crucial point is that all these factors: $\int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}), \int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}), \eta_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})$ as well as the last product in Eq.(81) do not contain the replica parameter $N$; the latter enters the expression only in the combination $\lambda N$ within the exponentials of Eqs.(80)-(81). Thus, we have obtained the exact expression for the partition function, Eqs.(79)-(81), in the form of an analytic function of the replica parameter $N$, which until now was assumed to be an arbitrary integer. In the following, we will consider the analytic continuation of this function to arbitrary complex values of $N$ and, in particular, in the limit $N \rightarrow 0$. Unfortunately this crucial step of the analytic continuation is ambiguous, as our partition function grows as $\exp \left(N^{3}\right)$ at large $N$ (it is well known that in this case there can exist many distribution functions which have the same moments). We will return to this problem with a further discussion in Section VII.

## V. THERMODYNAMIC LIMIT

Assuming now that the parameter $N$ is an arbitrary complex quantity we are going to take the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ in the replica partition function, Eqs.(79)-(81), where, according to Eq.(76), the length $L$ enters in terms of the parameter $\lambda(L)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^{5} u^{2} L\right)^{1 / 3}$. It is crucial that the replica parameter $N$ appears in Eqs. (79)-(81) only in the combination $\lambda N$. Keeping in mind further calculations of the free energy distribution function, Eqs. (12)-(18), in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we have to keep the value of the parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \equiv \lambda N=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^{5} u^{2} L\right)^{1 / 3} N \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

finite. In other words, in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, the replica parameter $N \sim 1 / \lambda \sim L^{-1 / 3} \rightarrow 0$.

It turns out that in the thermodynamic limit the expression for the replica partition function, Eqs. (79)- (81), simplifies dramatically. Indeed, since the functions $\eta_{\alpha}$, Eq. (82), take only real values, we have

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp \left[\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)\right]}{\exp \left[\lambda\left(y_{1}+i \eta_{1}\right)\right]-\exp \left[\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)\right]}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { for } y_{\alpha}<y_{1}  \tag{86}\\ -1, & \text { for } y_{\alpha}>y_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Substituting this into Eq.(81) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} Z_{M}(s ; \lambda) \equiv \tilde{Z}_{M}(s)=\frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \mathrm{e}^{s y_{1}}\left[\prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \theta\left(y_{\alpha}-y_{1}\right)\right] \int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \mathrm{e}^{i s \eta_{1}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown (see Appendix F) that the last term in the above equation is unity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \mathrm{e}^{i s \eta_{1}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})} \equiv 1 \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, introducing the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \operatorname{Ai}\left(x+t+p^{2}\right) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting the definition of the operator $\int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p})$, Eq.(83), into Eq.(87) one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}_{M}(s)=\frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x \Phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{s x}\left[\int_{x}^{+\infty} d y \Phi(y)\right]^{M-1} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting Eqs.(90) and (80) into Eq.(79) one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{Z}(N, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{Z}(s)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x \Phi(x) \mathrm{e}^{s x} \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{(M-1)!}\left[\int_{x}^{+\infty} d y \Phi(y)\right]^{M-1} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

The summation of this series leads to the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}(s)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x \Phi(x) \exp \left(s x-\int_{x}^{+\infty} d y \Phi(y)\right) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the function $\Phi(x)$ is defined in Eq. (89). Note that the prefactor $N!\kappa^{N}$ in the expression for the full replica partition function, Eq.(73), is irrelevant in the thermodynamic limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, since for fixed parameter $s=N \lambda$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N!\kappa^{N}=\Gamma(1+N) \kappa^{N}=\left.\Gamma\left(1+\frac{s}{\lambda}\right) \kappa^{\frac{s}{\lambda}}\right|_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 1 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty}\left[Z(N, L) \mathrm{e}^{\beta N L f_{0}}\right]=\tilde{Z}(s) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

## VI. FREE ENERGY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

The distribution function of the free energy fluctuations can now be derived following the lines of the general approach discussed in the Introduction, Eqs.(6)-(17). According to the definition of the replica partition function, Eq.(8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(N, L)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d F \mathcal{P}_{L}(F) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta N F} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{L}(F)$ is the distribution function of the total free energy of the system. Redefining the replica partition function according to Eq.(73) and introducing rescaled free energy fluctuations $f$ according to the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f_{0} L+\frac{1}{\beta} \lambda f \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $f_{0}$ and $\lambda$ are defined in Eqs.(74) and (76)) instead of Eq.(95) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}(N, L)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d f P_{L}(f) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda N f} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{L}(f)$ is the distribution function of the free energy fluctuations, which is related with $\mathcal{P}_{L}(F)$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}(f)=\frac{\lambda}{\beta N!\kappa^{N}} \mathcal{P}_{L}\left(f_{0} L+\frac{\lambda}{\beta} f\right) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking now the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of Eq.(97), at fixed $\lambda N \equiv s$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Z}(s)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d f P_{*}(f) \mathrm{e}^{-s f} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{Z}(s)$ is given in $\mathrm{Eq}(92)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{*}(f)=\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} P_{L}(f) \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the a universal thermodynamic limit distribution function of the free energy fluctuations. This function is obtained from the relation, Eq.(99), via inverse Laplace transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{*}(f)=\int_{-i \infty}^{+i \infty} \frac{d s}{2 \pi i} \tilde{Z}(s) \mathrm{e}^{s f} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting here the explicit expression for $\tilde{Z}(s)$, Eq(92), we find after a trivial integrations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{*}(f)=\Phi(-f) \exp \left[-\int_{-f}^{+\infty} d y \Phi(y)\right] \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $\Phi(x)$ is defined in Eq. (89).


FIG. 1: The probability distribution function $P_{*}(f)$, eq.(102)

This is the central result of the paper. The shape of the distribution function $P_{*}(f)$ is represented in Fig.1. One can easily check that the function $P_{*}(f)$, Eq.(102), is positively defined and properly normalized. Indeed, the function $\Phi(x)$, Eq.(89), is positive for all values of $x(-\infty<x<+\infty)$ and its asymptotics are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(x \rightarrow+\infty) \sim \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3} x^{3 / 2}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \Phi(x \rightarrow-\infty) \sim|x|^{1 / 2} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, according to Eq.(102),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d f P_{*}(f)=-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d f \frac{d}{d f} \exp \left[-\int_{-f}^{+\infty} d y \Phi(y)\right]=1 \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotics form of the left and the right tails are

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{*}(f \rightarrow-\infty) \sim \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3}|f|^{3 / 2}\right)  \tag{105}\\
& P_{*}(f \rightarrow+\infty) \sim \exp \left(-c_{0} f^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{0} \simeq 0.11$.

## VII. DISCUSSION

Obtained result for the distribution function of the free energy fluctuations, Eq.(102), is rather surprising. At present there are exists an appreciable list of statistical systems for which similar distribution functions have been computed exactly in the thermodynamic limit. These systems are: the polynuclear growth (PNG) model 13], the longest increasing subsequences (LIS) model [14], the longest common subsequences (LCS) [15], the oriented digital boiling model [16], the ballistic decomposition model [17], and finally the zero-temperature lattice version of the directed polymers with a specific (non-Gaussian) site-disorder distribution [18]. It is remarkable that in all these systems (which are not always look similar) the fluctuations of the quantities which play the role of "energy" are described by the same distribution function, the so-called the Tracy-Widom (TW) distribution [12].

The result obtained in the present work is not the the Tracy-Widom distribution, although it is widely believed that the system considered here belongs to the same universality class as the models listed above. It is not clear, however, which way the term "universality class" should be understood. As far as only the scaling exponents are concerned, all these systems including the present one, indeed belong to the same universality class. On the other hand, the shapes of the distribution functions of the systems listed above and the result obtained for the present system are different. What is really surprising is that they are different not just at the level of "details" but in the qualitative properties, such as the asymptotic behavior of the left an right tails. The TW distribution $P_{T W}(f)$ is strongly asymmetric in its asymptotics:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{T W}(f \rightarrow-\infty) \sim \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3}|f|^{3 / 2}\right)  \tag{107}\\
& P_{T W}(f \rightarrow+\infty) \sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{12} f^{3}\right) \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

hence, the right tail decays much faster than the left one. In contrast, our distribution function is "almost symmetric" in its right and left tails, cf. Eq.(105)-(106), and moreover, due to numerical factors its left tail decays slightly faster than the right one.

One may propose three possible explanations of the discrepancy discussed above:
(1) All the systems described by the TW distributions are essentially the zero-temperature models, while our system by its definition is the "high-temperature" one. Moreover, formally, our system has no zero-temperature limit at all. To study the limit $T \rightarrow 0$, one would need to introduce a lattice or a "finite width" $\delta$-function regularization in the model, cf. Eqs.(11)-(21). In both cases, the Bethe anzats solution leading to the result, Eq.(102), would no longer be valid. On the other hand, from a general physical point of view the conclusion that the thermodynamic limit of directed polymers at $T=0$ and in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ look different would be quite surprising. In particular, the solution in the thermodynamic limit of the present system confined to a cylinder geometry reveals no such difference 19 .
(2) Unlike the present system, the disorder in all the systems described by the TW distribution is essentially nonGaussian. Formally, any deviation from the Gaussian statistics of the disorder would again ruin our Bethe anzats solution, and at present it is not clear to what extend this solution is "stable" with respect to non-Gaussian deviations.
(3) Much more likely appears the technical problem given by the third option. According to Eq. (99) the distribution function $P_{*}(f)$ is defined by the replica partition function $\tilde{Z}(s)$ at finite (both positive and negative) values of the parameter $s \propto L^{1 / 3} N$, which means that in the thermodynamic limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ the function $P_{*}(f)$ is defined by the replica partition function with the "number of replicas" $N \rightarrow 0$ (both positive and negative). In the present work we have computed the replica partition function for arbitrary positive integer $N$ with the aim to perform an alytic continuation for the values $N \rightarrow 0$. Unfortunately, the analytic continuation from integers to arbitrary (real or complex) N is unambiguous only if the corresponding function growth at infinity not faster than $\exp (N)$. In our case the partition function growth as $\exp \left(N^{3}\right)$, and hence the knowledge of this function at arbitrary integer N does not guarantee an unambiguously reconstruction in the region $|N| \ll 1$ [11]. The classical example of such type of situation is well known in the theory of the mean-field spin-glasses, such as the Sherrington and Kirkpatrick (SK) model [29] and the Random Energy Model (REM) [30]. For both models one can relatively easy compute the replica partition functions for arbitrary positive integer number of replicas $N$, and in both cases the replica partition function growths as $\exp \left(N^{2}\right)$ at large $N$. Further "direct" analytic continuation of these solutions to the region $0<N<1$ yields nothing else but the replica-symmetric solutions, which at first sight look sufficiently reasonable (at least in the SK model), but more detailed investigation reveals that they are unphysical. As we know, the solution which is believed to be valid in the region $0<N<1$ reveals the Parisi replica symmetry breaking (RSB) structure (one-step RSB in the case of REM), and it is derived in terms of a heuristic procedure (directly in the interval $0<N<1$ ) and not as a proper analytic continuation from integer to non integer values of $N$. Moreover, in the case of REM there is a kind of the phase transition at $N=0$, which means that at negative $N$ the replica partition function should be computed separately 31].

Although up to the present moment, we have not uncovered any unphysical properties in obtained probability function $P_{*}(f)$, the above arguments indicate that the present solution, Eq. (102), could as well be a kind of a distant analog of the "replica symmetric approximation", while the derivation of the "true" solution would require more sophisticated ideas.
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## Appendix A

## Wave functions of quantum bosons with repulsive interactions

Explicitly the eigenstate equation (31) reeds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{a}}^{2} \Psi(\mathbf{x})+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} u \sum_{a \neq b}^{N} \delta\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right) \Psi(\mathbf{x})=-E \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the symmetry of the wave function with respect to permutations of its arguments it is sufficient to consider it in the sector

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as at its boundary. Inside this sector the wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$ satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{a}}^{2} \Psi(\mathbf{x})=-E \Psi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes $N$ free particles, and its generic solution is the linear combination of $N$ plane waves characterized by $N$ momenta $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\} \equiv \mathbf{q}$. Integrating Eq. (A.1) over the variable $\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)$ in a small interval around zero, $\left|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right|<\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and assuming that the other variables $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ (with $j \neq i, i+1$ ) belong to the sector, Eq. (A.2), one easily finds that the wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{x})$ must satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\partial_{x_{i+1}}-\partial_{x_{i}}+\kappa\right) \Psi(\mathbf{x})\right|_{x_{i+1}=x_{i}+0}=0 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa=\beta^{3} u$. Functions satisfying both Eq. (A.3) and the boundary conditions Eq. (A.4) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Psi_{q_{1} \ldots q_{N}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \equiv \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=C\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}+\kappa\right]\right) \operatorname{det}[\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}})\right] \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the normalization constant to be defined later, and the symbol $\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x})}$ denotes the $N \times N$ matrix with the elements $\exp \left(i q_{a} x_{b}\right)(a, b=1, \ldots, N)$. First of all, it is evident that being the linear combination of the plane waves, the above wave function satisfy Eq. (A.3). To demonstrate which way this function satisfy the boundary conditions, Eq.(A.4), let us check it, as an example, for the case $i=1$. According to Eq.(A.5), the wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=-\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-\partial_{x_{1}}-\kappa\right) \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=C\left(\prod_{a=3}^{N}\left[\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{1}}+\kappa\right]\left[\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{2}}+\kappa\right]\right)\left(\prod_{3 \leq a<b}^{N}\left[\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}+\kappa\right]\right) \operatorname{det}[\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x})}] \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily see that this function is antisymmetric with respect to the permutation of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Substituting Eq.(A.6) into Eq.(A.4) (with $i=1$ ) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left.\left[\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-\partial_{x_{1}}\right)^{2}-\kappa^{2}\right] \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})\right|_{x_{2}=x_{1}}=0 \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the antisymmetry of the l.h.s expression with respect to the permutation of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ the above condition is indeed satisfied at boundary $x_{1}=x_{2}$.

Since the eigenfunction $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying Eq. (A.1) must be symmetric with respect to permutations of its arguments, the function, Eq. (A.5), can be easily continued beyond the sector, Eq.(A.2), to the entire space of variables $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \in R_{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=C\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \operatorname{det}[\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}})\right] \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, by definition, the differential operators $\partial_{x_{a}}$ act only on the exponential terms and not on the $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ functions, and for further convenience we have redefined $i^{N(N-1) / 2} C \rightarrow C$. Explicitly the determinant in the above equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}[\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x}})]=\sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]} \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right] \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation goes over the permutations $P$ of $N$ momenta $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{N}\right\}$ over $N$ particles $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$, and $[P]$ denotes the parity of the permutation. In this way the eigenfunction, Eq.(A.9), can be represented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=C \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right] \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivatives, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})=C \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[q_{p_{a}}-q_{p_{b}}+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right] \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident from these representations that the eigenfunctions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ are antisymmetric with respect to permutations of the momenta $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}$.

Substituting the expression for the eigenfunctions, Eq.(A.5) (which is valid in the sector, Eq.(A.2)), into Eq.(A.3) for the energy spectrum we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{a}^{2} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now one can easily prove that the above eigenfunctions with different momenta are orthogonal to each other. Let us consider two wave functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ where it is assumed that

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots<q_{N}  \tag{A.14}\\
& q_{1}^{\prime}<q_{2}^{\prime}<\ldots<q_{N}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the representation, Eq.(A.11), for the overlap of these two function we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})} & \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& =|C|^{2} \sum_{P, P^{\prime}}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x}\left\{\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)-i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[-i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}^{\prime}}^{\prime} x_{a}\right]\right\} \times \\
& \times\left\{\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right]\right\} \tag{A.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})} & =|C|^{2} \sum_{P, P^{\prime}}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \exp \left[-i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}^{\prime}}^{\prime} x_{a}\right] \times  \tag{A.16}\\
& \left.\times\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)-i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})}=|C|^{2} \sum_{P, P^{\prime}}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \exp \left[-i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}^{\prime}}^{\prime} x_{a}\right]\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right] \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivatives and performing the integrations we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})} & =|C|^{2} \sum_{P, P^{\prime}}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q_{p_{a}}-q_{p_{b}}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(q_{p_{a}}-q_{p_{a}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) x_{a}\right] \\
& =|C|^{2} \sum_{P, P^{\prime}}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q_{p_{a}}-q_{p_{b}}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right)\left[\prod_{a=1}^{N}(2 \pi) \delta\left(q_{p_{a}}-q_{p_{a}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{A.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account the constraint, Eq.(A.14), one can easily note that the only the terms which survive in the above summation over the permutations are $P=P^{\prime}$, all contributing equal value. Thus, we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{(N)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})}=|C|^{2} N!\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q_{a}-q_{b}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right)\left[\prod_{a=1}^{N}(2 \pi) \delta\left(q_{a}-q_{a}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the normalization constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q_{a}-q_{b}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]}} \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude that the set of the eigenfunctions, Eq. A.11) or A.12), are orthonormal. The proof of completeness of this set is given in Ref. [24]. It should be noted that the above wave functions present the orthonormal set of eigenfunctions of the problem, Eq. (A.1), for any sign of the interactions $\kappa$, e.i. both for the repulsive, $\kappa<0$, and for the attractive, $\kappa>0$, cases. However, only in the case of repulsion this set is complete, while in the case of attractive interactions, $\kappa>0$, in addition to the solutions, Eq. (A.11), which describe the continuous free particles spectrum, one finds the whole family of discrete bound eigenstates (which do not exist in the case of repulsion) (see Appendices B and C).

## Appendix B

## Ground state of quantum bosons with attractive interactions

The simplest example of the bound state is the one in which all $N$ particles are bound into one finite size "cluster":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})=C \exp \left[i q \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}-\frac{1}{4} \kappa \sum_{a, b=1}^{N}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the normalization constant (see below) and $q$ is the (continuous) momentum of free center of mass motion. Substituting this function in Eq. (A.1), one can easily check that this is indeed the eigenfunction with the energy spectrum given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left[i q-\frac{1}{2} \kappa \sum_{b=1}^{N} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is assumed (by definition) that $\operatorname{sgn}(0)=0$. Since the result of the above summations does not depend on the mutual particles positions, for simplicity we can order them according to Eq. (A.2). Then, using well known relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{b=1}^{N} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right) & =-(N+1-2 a)  \tag{B.3}\\
\sum_{a=1}^{N} a & =\frac{1}{2} N(N+1)  \tag{B.4}\\
\sum_{a=1}^{N} a^{2} & =\frac{1}{6}(N+1)(2 N+1) \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for the energy spectrum, Eq.( (B.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{N}{2 \beta} q^{2}-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{24 \beta}\left(N^{3}-N\right) \equiv E_{1}(q ; N) \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization constant $C$ is defined by the orthonormality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Psi_{q^{\prime}}^{(1)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{N} \Psi_{q^{\prime}}^{(1)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})=(2 \pi) \delta\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting here Eq.( (B.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Psi_{q^{\prime}}^{(1)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})} & =|C|^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \ldots d x_{N} \exp \left[i\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}-\frac{1}{2} \kappa \sum_{a, b=1}^{N}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \\
& =|C|^{2} N!\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \int_{x_{1}}^{+\infty} d x_{2} \ldots \int_{x_{N-1}}^{+\infty} d x_{N} \exp \left[i\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}+\kappa \sum_{a=1}^{N}(N+1-2 a) x_{a}\right] \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where for the ordering, Eq. (A.2), we have used the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=1}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|=-\sum_{a=1}^{N}(N+1-2 a) x_{a} \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating first over $x_{N}$, then over $x_{N-1}$, and proceeding until $x_{1}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Psi_{q^{\prime}}^{(1)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{q}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})} & =|C|^{2} N!\left(\prod_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{r\left[(N-r) \kappa-i\left(q-q^{\prime}\right)\right]}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1} \exp \left[i N\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) x_{1}\right] \\
& =|C|^{2} N!\left(\prod_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{r(N-r) \kappa}\right)(2 \pi) \delta\left(N\left(q-q^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =|C|^{2} \frac{N \kappa}{N!\kappa^{N}}(2 \pi) \delta\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \tag{B.10}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Eq.(B.7) this defines the normalization constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C|=\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^{N} N!}{\kappa N}} \equiv C^{(1)}(q) \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the eigenstate described by the considered wave function, Eq. (B.1), exists only in the case of attraction, $\kappa>0$, otherwise this function is divergent at infinity and consequently it is not normalizable.

It should be noted that the wave function, Eq.(B.1), can also be derived from the general eigenfunctions structure, Eq. A.12), by introducing (discrete) imaginary parts for the momenta $q_{a}$. We assume again that the position of particles are ordered according to Eq.(A.2), and define the particles' momenta according to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{a}=q-\frac{i}{2} \kappa(N+1-2 a) \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into Eq. (A.12) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{q}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}\right) \propto & \left.\sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[\left(q-\frac{i}{2} \kappa\left(N+1-2 P_{a}\right)\right)-\left(q-\frac{i}{2} \kappa\left(N+1-2 P_{b}\right)\right)-i \kappa\right)\right]\right) \times \\
& \times \exp \left[i q \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(N+1-2 P_{a}\right) x_{a}\right]  \tag{B.13}\\
\propto & \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[P_{b}-P_{a}+1\right]\right) \exp \left[i q \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(N+1-2 P_{a}\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{B.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here one can easily note that due to the presence of the product $\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[P_{b}-P_{a}+1\right]$ in the summation over permutations only the trivial one, $P_{a}=a$, gives non-zero contribution (if we permute any two numbers in the sequence $1,2, \ldots, N$ then we can always find two numbers $a<b$, such that $P_{b}=P_{a}-1$ ). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{q}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}\right) \propto \exp \left[i q \sum_{a=1}^{N} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N}(N+1-2 a) x_{a}\right] \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the relation, Eq. (B.9), we recover the function, Eq.(B.1), which is symmetric with respect to its $N$ arguments and therefore can be extended beyond the sector, Eq. A.2), for arbitrary particles positions. Finally, substituting the momenta, Eq.( (B.12), into the general expression for the energy spectrum, Eq.(A.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2 \beta} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left[q-\frac{i}{2} \kappa(N+1-2 a)\right]^{2} \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Performing here simple summations (using Eqs.(B.4), (B.5)) one recovers Eq.(B.6).

## Appendix C

## Wave functions of quantum bosons with attractive interactions

## 1. Eigenfunctions

The general expression for the eigenfunctions both for the case of repulsion and for the case of attraction is given in Eqs.(33) or (37)-(38). A generic eigenfunction is characterized by $N$ momenta parameters $\left\{q_{a}\right\}(a=1,2, \ldots N)$ which in the case of attractive interactions may have imaginary parts. It is convenient to group these parameters into $M(1 \leq M \leq N)$ "vector" momenta,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{r}^{\alpha}=q_{\alpha}-\frac{i}{2} \kappa\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r\right) \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2, \ldots, M)$ are the continuous (real) parameters, and the (discrete) imaginary components of each "vector" are labeled by an index $r=1,2, \ldots, n_{\alpha}$. With the given total number of particles equal to $N$, the integers $n_{\alpha}$ have to satisfy the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}=N \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, a generic eigenstate is characterized by the discrete number $M$ of complex "vector" momenta, by the set of $M$ integer parameters $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}\right\} \equiv \mathbf{n}$ (which are the numbers of imaginary components of each "vector") and by the set of $M$ real continuous momenta $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{M}\right\} \equiv \mathbf{q}$.

To understand the structure of the determinant of the $N \times N$ matrix $\exp \left(i q_{a} x_{b}\right)$, which defines the wave functions, Eqs.(37)-(38), the $N$ momenta $q_{a}=q_{r}^{\alpha}$ can be ordered as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{q_{a}\right\}=\left\{q_{1}^{1}, q_{2}^{1}, \ldots, q_{n_{1}}^{1} ; q_{1}^{2}, q_{2}^{2}, \ldots, q_{n_{2}}^{2} ; \ldots ; q_{1}^{M}, q_{2}^{M}, \ldots, q_{n_{M}}^{M}\right\} \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}[\widehat{\exp (i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{x})}]=\sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]} \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{p_{a}} x_{a}\right] \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation goes over the permutations of $N$ momenta $\left\{q_{a}\right\}$, Eq. (C.3), over $N$ particles $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$, and $[P]$ denotes the parity of the permutation. For a given permutation $P$ a particle number $a$ is attributed a momentum component $q_{r(a)}^{\alpha(a)}$. The particles getting the momenta with the same $\alpha$ (having the same real part $q_{\alpha}$ ) will be called belonging to a cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$. For a given permutation $P$ the particles belonging to the same cluster are numbered by the "internal" index $r=1, \ldots, n_{\alpha}$. Thus, according to Eq.(38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})=C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]}\left(\prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{r(a)}^{\alpha(a)} x_{a}\right] \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}$ is the normalization constant to be defined later. Substituting here Eq.(C.1) and taking derivatives we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{a<b}^{N}\left[q_{\alpha(a)}-q_{\alpha(b)}-i \kappa\left[\frac{n_{\alpha(a)}-n_{\alpha(b)}}{2}-r(a)+r(b)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right] \times \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{\alpha(a)} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(n_{\alpha(a)}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{C.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The pre-exponential product in the above equation contains two types of term: the pairs of points ( $a, b$ ) which belong to different clusters $(\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b))$, and pairs of points which belong to the same cluster $(\alpha(a)=\alpha(b))$. In the last case, the product $\Pi_{\alpha}$ over the pairs of points which belong to a cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Pi_{\alpha} \propto \prod_{a<b \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left[r(b)-r(a)-\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right] \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the ground state wave function Eq. $(\overline{\mathrm{B} .14})-(\overline{\mathrm{B} .15})$, one can easily note that due to the presence of this product in the summations over $n_{\alpha}$ ! "internal" (inside the cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$ ) permutations $r(a)$ only one permutation gives nonzero contribution. To prove this statement, we note that the wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of its $N$ arguments $\left\{x_{a}\right\}$; it is then sufficient to consider the case where the positions of the particles are ordered, $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{N}$. In particular, the particles $\left\{x_{a_{k}}\right\}\left(k=1,2, \ldots, n_{\alpha}\right)$ belonging to the same cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}$ are also ordered $x_{a_{1}}<x_{a_{2}}<\cdots<x_{a_{n_{\alpha}}}$. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\alpha} \propto \prod_{k<l}^{n_{\alpha}}[r(l)-r(k)+1] \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is evident that the above product is non-zero only for the trivial permutation, $r(k)=k$ (since if we permute any two numbers in the sequence $1,2, \ldots, n_{\alpha}$, we can always find two numbers $k<l$, such that $\left.r(l)=r(k)-1\right)$. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\alpha} \propto \prod_{k<l}^{n_{\alpha}}[l-k+1] \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Including the values of all these "internal" products, Eq.(C.9), into the redefined normalization constant $C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}$, the wave function, Eq.(C.6) (with $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{N}$ ), reeds

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[q_{\alpha(a)}-q_{\alpha(b)}-i \kappa\left[\frac{n_{\alpha(a)}-n_{\alpha(b)}}{2}-r(a)+r(b)+1\right]\right] \times \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_{\alpha(a)} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(n_{\alpha(a)}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{C.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the product now goes only over the pairs of particles belonging to different clusters, and the symbol $\sum_{P}{ }^{\prime}$ means that the summation goes only over the permutations $P$ in which the "internal" indices $r(a)$ are ordered inside each cluster.

Note that although the positions of particles belonging to the same cluster are ordered, the mutual positions of particles belonging to different clusters could be arbitrary, so that geometrically the clusters are free to "penetrate" each other. In other words, the name "cluster" does to assume geometrically compact particles positions.

Now using the symmetry of the wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ with respect to the permutations of its arguments the expression in Eq. C.10) can be easily continued beyond the the sector $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N}$ for the entire coordinate space $R_{N}$. Using the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}=\sum_{k=1}^{n_{\alpha}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 k\right) x_{a_{k}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a_{k}}-x_{a_{l}}\right| \tag{C.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 k\right)=-\sum_{l=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a_{k}}-x_{a_{l}}\right) \tag{C.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $x_{a_{1}}<x_{a_{2}}<\ldots<x_{a_{n_{\alpha}}}$ ), the wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$, Eq. (C.10), with arbitrary particles positions reeds

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[q_{\alpha(a)}-q_{\alpha(b)}+\frac{i \kappa}{2} \sum_{c \in \Omega_{\alpha(a)}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{c}\right)-\frac{i \kappa}{2} \sum_{c \in \Omega_{\alpha(b)}} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{b}-x_{c}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, a^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{a^{\prime}}\right|\right] \tag{C.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the summation goes only over the permutations $P$ of the momenta, Eq.(C.3), in which "internal" indices $r(a)$ in the clusters are ordered according to the actual spatial ordering of the particles belonging to these clusters (i.e. $r(a)$ increases from the smallest $x_{a}$ in the cluster to the largest one). This wave function can also be re-written in the more compact form:
$\left.\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})=C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]} \prod_{\substack{a<b \\ \alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right] \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, a^{\prime} \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{a^{\prime}}\right|\right]$

## 2. Orthogonality

We define the overlap of two wave functions characterized by the two sets of parameters, $(M, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{q})$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{*}}(\mathbf{x}) \Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting here Eq.(C.14) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} C_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{P}^{\prime} \sum_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x}  \tag{C.16}\\
& \left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha^{\prime}(a) \neq \alpha^{\prime}(b)}}^{N}\left[i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)-i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M^{\prime}} q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{n_{\alpha}^{\prime}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M^{\prime}} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{n_{\alpha}^{\prime}}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \times \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}}^{M}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ denote the clusters of the permutations $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ correspondingly. Integrating by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} C_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M^{\prime}\right.} \sum_{P}^{\prime} \sum_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}(-1)^{[P]+\left[P^{\prime}\right]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \exp \left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M^{\prime}} q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{n_{\alpha}^{\prime}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M^{\prime}} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{n_{\alpha}^{\prime}}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \times \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha^{\prime}(a) \neq \alpha^{\prime}(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)-i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right)\left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \times \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \tag{C.17}
\end{align*}
$$

First, let us consider the case when the integer parameters of the two functions coincide, $M=M^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{n}^{\prime}$, and for the moment let us suppose that all these integer parameters $\left\{n_{\alpha}\right\}$ are different, $1 \leq n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots<n_{M}$. Then, in the summations over the permutations in Eq.(C.17), we find two types of terms:
(A) the "diagonal" ones in which the two permutations coincide, $P=P^{\prime}$;
(B) the "off-diagonal" ones in which the two permutations are different, $P \neq P^{\prime}$.

The contribution of the "diagonal" ones reeds

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M, M)^{(A)}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} C_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \sum_{P}^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \exp \left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}}^{n_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}}^{n_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \times \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha(a) \neq \alpha(b)}}^{N}\left[-\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \tag{C.18}
\end{align*}
$$

It is evident that all permutations $\alpha(a)$ in the above equation give the same contribution and therefore it is sufficient to consider only the contribution of the "trivial" permutation which is represented by line in Eq.(C.3). The cluster ordering given by this permutation we denote by $\alpha_{0}(a)$. For this particular configuration of clusters we can redefine the particles numbering, so that instead of a "plane" index $a=1,2, \ldots, N$ the particles would be counted by two indices $(\alpha, r):\left\{x_{a}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{x_{r}^{\alpha}\right\}(\alpha=1, \ldots, M)\left(r=1, \ldots, n_{\alpha}\right)$ indicating to which cluster $\alpha$ a given particle belongs and what is its "internal" cluster number $r$. Due to the symmetry of the integrated expression in Eq.(C.18) with respect to the permutations of the particles inside the clusters, we can introduce the "internal" particles ordering for every cluster: $x_{1}^{\alpha}<x_{2}^{\alpha}<\ldots<x_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$. In this way, using the relation, Eq.(C.11), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M, M)^{(A)}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} C_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} \frac{N!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots n_{M}!}\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left(n_{\alpha}!\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1}^{\alpha} \int_{x_{1}^{\alpha}}^{+\infty} d x_{2}^{\alpha} \ldots \int_{x_{n_{\alpha}-1}^{\alpha}}^{+\infty} d x_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right)\right] \times \\
& \times \exp \left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} x_{r}^{\alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r\right) x_{r}^{\alpha}\right] \times \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[-\left(\partial_{x_{r}^{\alpha}}-\partial_{x_{r^{\prime}}^{\beta}}\right)^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \times \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} x_{r}^{\alpha}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r\right) x_{r}^{\alpha}\right] \tag{C.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the factor $N!/ n_{1}!\ldots n_{M}$ ! is the total number of permutations of $M$ clusters over $N$ particles. Taking the derivatives and reorganizing the terms we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M, M)^{(A)}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} C_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)} N!\left(\prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{1}^{\alpha} \int_{x_{1}^{\alpha}}^{+\infty} d x_{2}^{\alpha} \ldots \int_{x_{n_{\alpha}-1}^{\alpha}}^{+\infty} d x_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{i\left(q_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} x_{r}^{\alpha}+\kappa \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r\right) x_{r}^{\alpha}}}\right\} \tag{C.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Simple integrations over $x_{r}^{\alpha}$ yields (cf. Eqs.( (B.8)-(B.11))

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M, M)^{(A)}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & \left(C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}\right)^{2} N!\left(\prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left[\frac{n_{\alpha} \kappa}{\left(n_{\alpha}!\right)^{2} \kappa^{n_{\alpha}}}(2 \pi) \delta\left(q_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{C.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us prove that the "off-diagonal" terms of Eq.(C.17), in which the permutations $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are different, give no contribution. Here we can also chose one of the permutations, say the permutation $P$, to be the "trivial" one represented by line in Eq.(C.3) with the cluster ordering denoted by $\alpha_{0}(a)$. Given the symmetry of the wave functions it will be sufficient to consider the contribution of the sector $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{n_{N}}$. According to Eq.(C.17), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M, M)^{(B)}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right) \propto & \sum_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}(-1)^{\left[P^{\prime}\right]} \int_{x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n_{N}}} d^{N} \mathbf{x} \exp \left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{n_{\alpha}} x_{a}-\frac{\kappa}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a, b \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}^{n_{\alpha}}\left|x_{a}-x_{b}\right|\right] \times \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha_{0}(a) \neq \alpha_{0}(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)+i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right)\left(\prod_{\substack{a<b \\
\alpha^{\prime}(a) \neq \alpha^{\prime}(b)}}^{N}\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)-i \kappa \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{a}-x_{b}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \times \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{o}} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{o}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{C.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the symbols $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}^{o}\right\}$ denote the clusters of the trivial permutation $\alpha_{0}(a)$. Since $P^{\prime} \neq P$, some of the clusters $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ must be different from $\Omega_{\alpha}^{o}$. As an illustration, let us consider a particular case of $N=10$, with three clusters $n_{1}=5$ (denoted by the symbol " $\bigcirc$ ") , $n_{2}=2$ (denoted by the symbol " $\times$ ") and $n_{3}=3$ (denoted by the symbol ' $\triangle$ "):

| particle number $a$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| permutation $\alpha_{0}(a)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
| permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |

Here in the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ the particle $a=4$ belong to the cluster $\alpha=3$ (and not to the cluster $\alpha=1$ as in the permutation $\alpha_{0}(a)$ ), and the particle $a=8$ belong to the cluster $\alpha=1$ (and not to the cluster $\alpha=3$ as in the permutation $\left.\alpha_{0}(a)\right)$. Now let us look carefully at the structure of the products in Eq. (C.22). Unlike the first product, which contains no "internal" products among particles belonging to the cluster $\Omega_{1}^{o}$, the second product does. Besides, the signs of the differential operators $\left(\partial_{x_{a}}-\partial_{x_{b}}\right)$ in the second product is opposite to the "normal" ones in the first product (cf. Eqs.(C.7)-(C.9)). It is these two factors (the presence of the "internal" products and the "wrong" signs of the differential operators) which makes the "off-diagonal" contributions, Eq.(C.22), to be zero. Indeed, in the above example, the second product contains the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{4,5}^{\prime} \equiv\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{4}}-\partial_{x_{5}}\right)+i \kappa\right] \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{o}} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{o}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{C.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we remind that the particles in the clusters $\Omega_{\alpha}^{o}$ are ordered, and in particular $x_{4}<x_{5}$ ). Taking the derivatives, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{4,5}^{\prime} & \propto\left[-\left(i q_{1}+\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(n_{1}+1-2 r(4)\right)-i q_{1}-\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(n_{1}+1-2 r(5)\right)\right)+\kappa\right] \\
& \propto[r(4)-r(5)+1]=0 \tag{C.24}
\end{align*}
$$

since in the first cluster $r(a)=a$.
One can easily understand that the above example reflect the general situation. Since all the cluster sizes $n_{\alpha}$ are supposed to be different, whatever the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ is, we can always find a cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}^{o}$ such that some of its
particles belong to the same cluster number $\alpha$ in the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ while the others do not. Then one has to consider the contribution of the product of two neighboring number points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{k, k+1}^{\prime}=\left[-i\left(\partial_{x_{k}}-\partial_{x_{k+1}}\right)+i \kappa\right] \exp \left[i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} q_{\alpha} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{o}} x_{a}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{a \in \Omega_{\alpha}^{o}}\left(n_{\alpha}+1-2 r(a)\right) x_{a}\right] \tag{C.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ the particle $k$ belong to the cluster number $\alpha$ and the particle $(k+1)$ belong to some other cluster. Taking the derivatives one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{k, k+1}^{\prime} \propto[r(k)-r(k+1)+1]=0 \tag{C.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r(a)$ is the "internal" particle number in the cluster $\Omega_{\alpha}^{o}$, where $r(k+1)=r(k)+1$ (cf. Eqs.(C.7)-(C.9)).
Thus, the only non-zero contribution to the overlap, Eq.(C.15), of two wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ (having the same number of clusters $M$ and characterized by the same set of the integer parameters $1 \leq n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots<n_{M}$ ) comes from the "diagonal" terms, Eq.(C.21):

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M, M)}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & \left(C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}\right)^{2} N!\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left[\frac{n_{\alpha} \kappa}{\left(n_{\alpha}!\right)^{2} \kappa^{n_{\alpha}}}\right] \times \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left[(2 \pi) \delta\left(q_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{C.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The situation when there are clusters which have the same numbers of particles $n_{\alpha}$ is somewhat more complicated. Let us consider the overlap between two wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ (which, as before have the same $M$ and $\mathbf{n}$ ) such that in the set of $M$ integers $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}$ there are two $n_{\alpha}$ 's which are equal, say $n_{\alpha_{1}}=n_{\alpha_{2}}$ (where $\left.\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}\right)$. In the eigenstate $\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}\right)$ these two clusters have the center of mass momenta $q_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $q_{\alpha_{2}}^{\prime}$, and in the the eigenstate $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n})$ they have the momenta $q_{\alpha_{1}}$ and $q_{\alpha_{2}}$ correspondingly. According to the above discussion, the non-zero contributions in the summation over the cluster permutations $\alpha(a)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ in Eq.(C.17) appears only if the clusters $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ of the permutation $\alpha(a)$ totally coincide with the clusters $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ of the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$. In the case when all $n_{\alpha}$ are different this is possible only if the permutation $\alpha(a)$ coincides with the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$. In contrast to that, in the case when we have $n_{\alpha_{1}}=n_{\alpha_{2}}$, there are two non-zero options. The first one, as before, is given by the "diagonal" terms with $\alpha(a)=\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ (so that the clusters $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ are just the same), and this contribution is proportional to $\delta\left(q_{\alpha_{1}}-q_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(q_{\alpha_{2}}-q_{\alpha_{2}}^{\prime}\right)$. The second ("off-diagonal") contribution is given by such permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ in which the cluster $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime}$ (of the permutation $\left.\alpha^{\prime}(a)\right)$ coincide with the cluster $\Omega_{\alpha_{2}}$ (of the permutation $\alpha(a)$ ) and the cluster $\Omega_{\alpha_{2}}^{\prime}$ (of the permutation $\alpha^{\prime}(a)$ ) coincide with the cluster $\Omega_{\alpha_{1}}$ (of the permutation $\alpha(a)$ ) while the rest of the clusters of these two permutations are the same, $\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\Omega_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \neq \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$. Correspondingly, this last contribution is proportional to $\delta\left(q_{\alpha_{1}}-q_{\alpha_{2}}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(q_{\alpha_{2}}-q_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime}\right)(-1)^{n_{\alpha_{1}}}$. In fact this situation with two equivalent contributions is the consequence of the symmetry of the wave function $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}(\mathbf{x})$ : the permutation of two momenta $q_{\alpha_{1}}$ and $q_{\alpha_{2}}$ belonging to the clusters which have the same numbers of particles, $n_{\alpha_{1}}=n_{\alpha_{2}}$ produces just the factor $(-1)^{n_{\alpha_{1}}}$ (see discussion below Eq.(52)). Therefore considering the clusters with equal numbers of particles as equivalent and restricting analysis to the sectors $q_{\alpha_{1}}<q_{\alpha_{2}} ; q_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime}<q_{\alpha_{2}}^{\prime}$ we find that the second contribution, $\delta\left(q_{\alpha_{1}}-q_{\alpha_{2}}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(q_{\alpha_{2}}-q_{\alpha_{1}}^{\prime}\right)$ is identically equal to zero, thus returning to the above result Eq.(C.27).

In a generic case the $M$-component vector $\mathbf{n}$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}=\{\underbrace{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{1}}_{s_{1}}, \underbrace{m_{2}, \ldots, m_{2}}_{s_{2}}, \ldots \ldots, \underbrace{m_{k}, \ldots, m_{k}}_{s_{k}}\} \tag{C.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{1}+s_{2}+\ldots+s_{k}=M$ and $k$ integers $\left\{m_{i}\right\}(1 \leq k \leq M)$ are all supposed to be different:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq m_{1}<m_{2}<\ldots<m_{k} \tag{C.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the symmetry with respect to the momenta permutations inside the subsets of equal $n$ 's it is sufficient to consider the wave functions in the sectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots<q_{s_{1}}  \tag{C.30}\\
& q_{s_{1}+1}<q_{s_{1}+2}<\ldots<q_{s_{1}+s_{2}} \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+1}<q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+2}<\ldots<q_{s_{1}+\ldots+s_{k-1}+s_{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

In this representation we again recover the above result Eq. (C.27)
Finally, let us consider the overlap of two eigenstates described by two different sets of integer parameters, $\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}$. In fact this situation is quite simple because if the clusters of the two states are different from each other, it means that in the summation over the pairs of permutations $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ in Eq.(C.17) there exist no two permutations for which these two sets of clusters $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ would coincide. Which, according to the above analysis, means that this expression is equal to zero. Note that the condition $M^{\prime} \neq M$ automatically implies that $\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \neq \mathbf{n}$.

Thus we have proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}}^{\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)= & \left(C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}\right)^{2} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(M, M^{\prime}\right)\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}(2 \pi) \delta\left(q_{\alpha}-q_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right) \times \\
& \times N!\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M}\left[\frac{n_{\alpha} \kappa}{\left(n_{\alpha}!\right)^{2} \kappa^{n_{\alpha}}}\right]\left(\prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right]\right) \tag{C.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integer parameters $\left\{n_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{n_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ are assumed to have the generic structure represented in Eqs. (C.28)(C.29), and the momenta $\left\{q_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ of the clusters with equal numbers of particles are restricted in the sectors, Eq.(C.30). Finally, according to Eq.(C.31), the orthonormality condition defines the normalization constant $C_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{n}}^{(M)}$ as it is given in Eq. (59).

## Appendix D

In this Appendix we simplify the last term in the r.h.s of Eq.(72), and prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi} \equiv \prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}} \frac{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left[q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}-2 r+2 r^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2}+\kappa^{2}}=\frac{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}+i \kappa r\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}+i \kappa r^{\prime}\right)-i \kappa\right]\left[\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}+i \kappa r\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}+i \kappa r^{\prime}\right)+i \kappa\right]} \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Redefining the indices $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ of the product in the left brackets [...], of the denominator

$$
\begin{align*}
r & \rightarrow n_{\alpha}+1-r  \tag{D.3}\\
r^{\prime} & \rightarrow n_{\beta}+1-r^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left[\left(q_{\alpha}+\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}+\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}+1\right)\right]\left[\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}+1\right)\right]} \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}+1\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, shifting the product over $r$ in the denominator by 1 we obtain
$\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r=2}^{n_{\alpha}+1} \prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}=\frac{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa\left(n_{\alpha}+1-r^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}$

Redefining $r^{\prime}$ in the product in the denominator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime} \rightarrow n_{\beta}+1-r^{\prime} \tag{D.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\frac{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}+\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}+\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)+i \kappa r^{\prime}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa r^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \tag{D.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, shifting the product in the numerator by $(-1)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}=\frac{\prod_{r^{\prime}=0}^{n_{\beta}-1}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa r^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\prod_{r^{\prime}=1}^{n_{\beta}}\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa r^{\prime}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|\left(q_{\alpha}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\alpha}\right)-\left(q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2} n_{\beta}\right)-i \kappa n_{\beta}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{D.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves Eq.(D.1).

Next, we substitute Eq. (D.1) into the expression Eq. (72) for the partition function $Z(N, L)$ and represent it in the form Eq. (73), where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Z}(N . L) & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\kappa N} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{N L}{2 \beta} q^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{2} L}{24 \beta} N^{3}}+ \\
& +\sum_{M=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!} \sum_{n_{1} \ldots n_{M}=1}^{\infty}\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\kappa n_{\alpha}}\right] \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{L}{2 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha} q_{\alpha}^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{2} L}{24 \beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}^{3}} \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \frac{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{D.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Redefining all the momenta

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha}=\left(\frac{\beta \kappa}{L}\right)^{1 / 3} p_{\alpha} \tag{D.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introducing a new parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{L \kappa^{2}}{\beta}\right)^{1 / 3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta^{5} u^{2} L\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{D.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rewrite:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{L}{2 \beta} q_{\alpha}^{2}=\lambda p_{\alpha}^{2}  \tag{D.13}\\
\frac{q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)}{q_{\alpha}-q_{\beta}-\frac{i \kappa}{2}\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)}=\frac{p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-\lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)}{p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-\lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)} \tag{D.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and obtain the integrals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\kappa n_{\alpha}}[\ldots]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d q_{\alpha}}{2 \pi} \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda n_{\alpha} t}[\ldots]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p_{\alpha}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda n_{\alpha} t}[\ldots] \tag{D.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the transformations, Eq.( (D.13)-( (D.15), into Eq. ( (D.10), we get Eq. (75).

## Appendix E

To perform the summation over $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{M}$ in Eq.(78), let us modify the pre-exponential factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{p} ; \mathbf{n}) \equiv \prod_{\alpha<\beta}^{M} \frac{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right|^{2}}=\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M} \frac{\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|+i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|+i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right)\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right)}} \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the auxiliary fields $\chi_{\alpha \beta}, \phi_{\alpha \beta}$, and $\psi_{\alpha \beta}$ to generate the numerator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|+i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha \beta}} \exp \left[\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|+i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right)\right) \chi_{\alpha \beta}\right]\right|_{\chi_{\alpha \beta}=0} \tag{E.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the denominators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|+i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right)}}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \phi_{\alpha \beta}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|+i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right) \phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}\right]  \tag{E.3}\\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right)}}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha \beta}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|-i \lambda\left(n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}\right)\right) \psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}\right] \tag{E.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining together Eqs. (E.2)-(E.4) we rewrite the prefactor as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{p} ; \mathbf{n})=\left.\left(\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha \beta} d \phi_{\alpha \beta}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha \beta}}\right) \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M}\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|\left(\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}-2 \chi_{\alpha \beta}\right)+i \lambda \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \eta_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}\right]\right|_{\left\{\chi_{\alpha \beta}\right\}=0} \tag{E.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\alpha} \equiv \eta_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}^{M}\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\psi_{\beta \alpha}^{2}-\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}-\phi_{\beta \alpha}^{2}+2 \chi_{\alpha \beta}-2 \chi_{\beta \alpha}\right) \tag{E.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing now the integro-differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \equiv\left[\prod_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha \beta} d \phi_{\alpha \beta}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha \beta}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M}\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}-2 \chi_{\alpha \beta}\right)} \tag{E.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the integration operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \equiv \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d y_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d p_{\alpha}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d t_{\alpha} \operatorname{Ai}\left(y_{\alpha}+t_{\alpha}+p_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \tag{E.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the partition function, Eq.(78), can be represented as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Z}(N, \lambda) & =\int \mathcal{D}_{1}(y, p) \exp (\lambda N y)+ \\
& +\sum_{M=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!} \int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \sum_{n_{1} \ldots n_{M}=1}^{\infty} \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right) n_{\alpha}} \tag{E.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
S(N, M) & \equiv \sum_{n_{1} \ldots n_{M}=1}^{\infty} a_{1}^{n_{1}} a_{2}^{n_{2}} a_{3}^{n_{3}} \ldots a_{M}^{n_{M}} \delta\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} n_{\alpha}, N\right)= \\
& =a_{1}^{N} \frac{a_{2}}{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} \frac{a_{3}}{\left(a_{1}-a_{3}\right)} \ldots \frac{a_{M}}{\left(a_{1}-a_{M}\right)}+a_{2}^{N} \frac{a_{1}}{\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)} \frac{a_{3}}{\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)} \ldots \frac{a_{M}}{\left(a_{2}-a_{M}\right)}+ \\
& +a_{3}^{N} \frac{a_{1}}{\left(a_{3}-a_{1}\right)} \frac{a_{2}}{\left(a_{3}-a_{2}\right)} \ldots \frac{a_{M}}{\left(a_{3}-a_{M}\right)}+\ldots+a_{M}^{N} \frac{a_{1}}{\left(a_{M}-a_{1}\right)} \frac{a_{2}}{\left(a_{M}-a_{2}\right)} \ldots \frac{a_{M-1}}{\left(a_{M}-a_{M-1}\right)} \tag{E.10}
\end{align*}
$$

To unbound the summations over $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M}$ in the above series, let us introduce the integral representation of the Kronecker symbol:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\delta}(k, m)=\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d z}{2 \pi i} z^{m-k-1} \tag{E.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $k$ and $m$ are assumed to be positive integers and the integration in the complex plane goes over a closed contour $\mathcal{C}$ around zero. Using this representation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(N, M)=\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d z}{2 \pi i} z^{N-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \sum_{n_{\alpha}=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a_{\alpha}}{z}\right)^{n_{\alpha}} \tag{E.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it is assumed that the radius $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the the contour $\mathcal{C}$ is big enough, namely $R_{\mathcal{C}}>\max _{\alpha}\left|a_{\alpha}\right|$, so that all the complex numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{M}$ are contained inside $\mathcal{C}$. In this case all the summations in Eq. (E.12) are convergent, and we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(N, M)=\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{d z}{2 \pi i} z^{N-1} \frac{a_{1}}{\left(z-a_{1}\right)} \cdot \frac{a_{2}}{\left(z-a_{2}\right)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{a_{M}}{\left(z-a_{M}\right)} \tag{E.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the above integral is equal to the sum of $M$ pole contributions at $z=a_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2, \ldots M)$, one gets Eq.(E.10).
Now substituting Eq.(E.10) (with $a_{\alpha}=\exp \left[\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)\right]$ ) into Eq.(E.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Z}(N, \lambda) & =\int \mathcal{D}_{1}(y, p) \exp (\lambda N y)+ \\
& +\sum_{M=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!} M \int \mathcal{D}_{M}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda N\left(y_{1}+i \eta_{1}\right)} \prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)}}{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{1}+i \eta_{1}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)}} \tag{E.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the symmetry of the expressions in Eqs.(E.7), (E.8) with respect to permutations of $\left\{\left(y_{\alpha}+i \eta_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$.

## Appendix F

In this Appendix, we show that, independently of the values of the momenta $p_{\alpha}$ and the parameter $s$, the integrodifferential factor in Eq. (87) provides unity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G} \equiv \int \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{M}(\mathbf{p} ; \boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \mathrm{e}^{i s \eta_{1}(\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})}=1 \tag{F.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting here the definitions, Eqs(E.6) and (E.7), the factor $\mathcal{G}$ can be split into two factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_{A} \mathcal{G}_{B} \tag{F.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{A}=\left.\left[\prod_{2 \leq \alpha \neq \beta}^{M} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha \beta} d \phi_{\alpha \beta}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha \beta}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{M}\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}+\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{2}-2 \chi_{\alpha \beta}\right)}\right|_{\left\{\chi_{\alpha \beta}\right\}=0} \tag{F.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\mathcal { G }}_{B}= & {\left[\prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha 1} d \phi_{\alpha 1}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha 1}} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{1 \alpha} d \phi_{1 \alpha}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{1 \alpha}}\right] \times } \\
\times & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{M}\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|\left(\psi_{\alpha 1}^{2}+\phi_{\alpha 1}^{2}+\psi_{1 \alpha}^{2}+\phi_{1 \alpha}^{2}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=2}^{M}\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|\left(\chi_{\alpha 1}+\chi_{1 \alpha}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{i}{2} s \sum_{\alpha=2}^{M}\left(\psi_{\alpha 1}^{2}-\phi_{\alpha 1}^{2}+\psi_{1 \alpha}^{2}-\phi_{1 \alpha}^{2}\right)+i s \sum_{\alpha=2}^{M}\left(\chi_{1 \alpha}-\chi_{\alpha 1}\right)\right]\left.\right|_{\left\{\chi_{\alpha 1}, \chi_{1 \alpha}\right\}=0} \tag{F.4}
\end{align*}
$$

For the factor $\mathcal{G}_{A}$, Eq. ( (F.3), we easily find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{A}=\prod_{2 \leq \alpha \neq \beta}^{M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right|}} \cdot\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{\beta}\right| \equiv 1 \tag{F.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way we obtain for the factor $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { G }}_{B}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{B} & =\prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{\alpha 1}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|-i s\right] \psi_{\alpha 1}^{2}\right) \times \prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \phi_{\alpha 1}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|+i s\right] \phi_{\alpha 1}^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times \prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \psi_{1 \alpha}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|-i s\right] \psi_{1 \alpha}^{2}\right) \times \prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d \phi_{1 \alpha}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|+i s\right] \phi_{1 \alpha}^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times\left.\prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{\alpha 1}} \exp \left(\left[\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|-i s\right] \chi_{\alpha 1}\right)\right|_{\chi_{\alpha 1}=0} \times\left.\prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{1 \alpha}} \exp \left(\left[\left|p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right|+i s\right] \chi_{1 \alpha}\right)\right|_{\chi_{1 \alpha}=0}  \tag{F.6}\\
& =\prod_{\alpha=2}^{M} \frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right)^{2}+s^{2}} \times\left[\left(p_{\alpha}-p_{1}\right)^{2}+s^{2}\right] \equiv 1 \tag{F.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves Eq. (F.1).
[1] T. Halpin-Healy and Y-C. Zhang, Phys. Rep. 254, 215 (1995).
[2] S. Lemerle, J. Ferré, C. Chappert, V. Mathet, T. Giamarchi, and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 849 (1998).
[3] G. Blatter, M.V. Feigel'man, V.B. Geshkenbein, A.I. Larkin, and V.M. Vinokur, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 1125 (1994).
[4] D. Wilkinson and J.F. Willemsen, J. Phys. A 16, 3365 (1983).
[5] J.M. Burgers, The Nonlinear Diffusion Equation (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974).
[6] D.A. Huse and C.L. Henley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 2708 (1985);
M. Kardar and Y-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2087 (1987).
[7] D.A. Huse, C.L. Henley, and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2924 (1985).
[8] M. Kardar, Nucl. Phys. B 290, 582 (1987).
[9] E. Medina and M. Kardar, J. Stat. Phys. 71, 967 (1993).
[10] V.S. Dotsenko, L.B. Ioffe, V.B. Geshkenbein, S.E. Korshunov and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 050601 (2008)
[11] We thank B. Derrida for turning our attention to the issue of analytic continuation.
[12] C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, Commun. Math. Phys. 159, 151 (1994)
[13] M. Prähofer and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4882 (2000).
[14] J. Baik, P.A. Deift and K. Johansson, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, 1119 (1999)
[15] S.N. Majumdar and S. Nechaev, Phys. Rev. E 72, 020901(R) (2005)
[16] J. Gravner, C.A. Tracy and H. Widom, J. Stat. Phys. 102, 1085 (2001)
[17] S.N. Majumdar and S. Nechaev, Phys. Rev. E 69, 011103 (2004)
[18] K. Johansson, Comm. Math. Phys. 209, 437 (2000)
[19] E. Brunet and B. Derrida, Phys. Rev. E 61, 6789 (2000).
[20] I.V. Kolokolov and S.E. Korshunov, Phys. Rev. B 75, 140201(R) (2007).
[21] M. Prähofer and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 115, 255 (2004)
[22] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963)
[23] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, and A.G. Izergin, Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993)
[24] M. Gaudin, La fonction d'onde de Bethe, (Paris, Masson, 1983)
[25] J.B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. 5, 622 (1964).
[26] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 168, 1920 (1968)
[27] M. Takahashi, Thermodynamics of one-dimensional solvable models (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
[28] P. Calabrese and J.-S. Caux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 150403 (2007).
[29] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1792 (1975); A.C.C. Coolen, R.W. Penney, and D. Sherrington, Phys. Rev. B 48, 16116 (1993).
[30] B. Derrida, Phys. Rev. B 24, 2613 (1981).
[31] E. Gardner and B. Derrida, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22, 1975 (1989).

