

A new Rational Generating Function for the Frobenius Coin Problem

Deepak Ponvel Chermakani, IEEE Member

deepakc@pmail.ntu.edu.sg d.chermakani@sms.ed.ac.uk deepakc@usa.com deepakc@myfastmail.com

Abstract: - An important question arising from the Frobenius Coin Problem is to decide whether or not a given monetary sum S can be obtained from N coin denominations. We develop a new Generating Function $G(x)$, where the coefficient of x^i is equal to the number of ways in which coins from the given denominations can be arranged as a stack whose total monetary worth is i . We show that the Recurrence Relation for obtaining $G(x)$, is linear, enabling $G(x)$ to be expressed as a rational function, that is, $G(x) = P(x)/Q(x)$, where both $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are Polynomials whose degrees are bounded by the largest coin denomination.

1. Introduction

In the language of mathematics, the Frobenius Coin Problem is as follows: - Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N be fixed positive integers. Find the largest integer S , such that $S \neq A_1 X_1 + A_2 X_2 + \dots + A_N X_N$, for all non-negative integers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N [2]. An important question arising from the Frobenius Coin Problem is therefore to decide whether or not, for some given target positive integer S , there exist non-negative integers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N , such that $S = A_1 X_1 + A_2 X_2 + \dots + A_N X_N$ [1].

If we denote F_i to be an integer sequence, such that F_i is the number of ways in which i can be expressed using the given N coin denominations, then one can obtain a Generating Function given by $\text{SUMMATION}(F_i X^i, i \text{ being integers } \geq 0)$ [3]. The Generating Function helps predict whether or not some monetary sum S can be obtained using the given coin denominations, and is expressible as $P(x)/Q(x)$ where both $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are Polynomials. It has been proved that if N is fixed, then the Generating Function for F_i can be expressed as a sum of short rational functions [4]. For $N \geq 4$, there is no explicit bound on the number of terms in $P(x)$ [3][5], though $Q(x)$ is bounded [3][5].

In this paper, we do not aim to develop a Generating Function explicitly for the Frobenius Coin Problem, i.e. for the sequence F_i mentioned in the above paragraph. Instead, we develop a Generating Function for the sequence of integers (which we shall denote as E_i) representing the number of ways that coins from the given N denominations may be arranged as a stack, one coin over the other, such that the sum of the values of all coins in this stack add up to i . Clearly, the sequence F_i is different from E_i , for example, given 2 coin denominations of 2 units and 5 units, then F_7 is 1, while E_7 is 2. It is also clear that F_i is 0, if and only if, E_i is 0. And we shall see that the Generating Function for E_i can be expressed as a rational function, i.e. as $P(x)/Q(x)$, where both $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are Polynomials, and where the degrees, of both $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$, are equal to L , the largest coin denomination.

In the next Section 2, we shall prove a Theorem on a Linear Integer Recurrence Relation, and demonstrate how it represents the sequence E_i . In Section 3, we shall show the Generating Function for E_i .

2. The Linear Integer Sequence E_i

Theorem-1: Let L be the largest of the given N coin denominations, and the binary vector $\langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_j, \dots, B_{L-1}, B_L \rangle$ be such that B_j is 1, if j is a coin denomination, and 0 otherwise. Then the number of ways that coins from the given denominations may be arranged as a stack, such that the total monetary worth of the stack is equal to i , is given by the following Linear Recurrence Relation for E_i

$E_0 = 1$, and,

$E_i = B_L E_{i-L} + B_{L-1} E_{i-L+1} + \dots + B_2 E_{i-2} + B_1 E_{i-1}$ when i is an integer greater than 0, and,

$E_i = 0$ when i is an integer lesser than 0.

Proof: Expanding the sequence E_i and expressing solely in terms of the B vector, we get the following

$$E_1 = B_1$$

$$E_2 = B_2 + B_1^2$$

$$E_3 = B_3 + B_2 B_1 + B_1 B_2 + B_1^3 = B_3 + 2B_1 B_2 + B_1^3$$

$$E_4 = B_4 + B_3 B_1 + B_2^2 + B_2 B_1^2 + B_1 B_3 + 2B_1^2 B_2 + B_1^4 = B_4 + 2B_1 B_3 + B_2^2 + 3B_1^2 B_2 + B_1^4$$

$$E_5 = B_5 + 2B_1 B_4 + 2B_2 B_3 + 3B_2^2 B_1 + 4B_2 B_1^3 + 3B_1^2 B_3 + B_1^5$$

and so on, showing that the sum of subscripts in each of the terms generated so far, are the same as the subscript of the corresponding E_i , and represent all possible stack formations. Next, let us prove by induction, that if we assume that the

expressions via the B vector for $E_{i-L}, E_{i-L+1}, \dots, E_{i-2}, E_{i-1}$ represent all possible stack formations with monetary worth equal to their corresponding subscripts, then $E_i = B_L E_{i-L} + B_{L-1} E_{i-L+1} + \dots + B_2 E_{i-2} + B_1 E_{i-1}$ will represent all possible stack formations of monetary value equal to i . To show this is true, we shall verify two things.

First, we verify that all terms of the form $B_1^{K_1} B_2^{K_2} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L}$ are represented in E_i for non-negative integers K_1, K_2, \dots, K_L such that $K_1 + 2K_2 + \dots + LK_L = i$. If, for all integers t less than i , one were to consider all possible ways of representing terms in E_t (i.e. the sum of subscripts in the product should be equal to t), then it suffices to have the element B_{i-t} appended to the terms of E_t , and represent that product in E_i , because every term in E_p is the subset of some term in E_q , where $p < q$. For example, E_t need not be multiplied by the product $B_{t_1} B_{t_2} B_{t_3}$, where $t_1+t_2+t_3 = i-t$, because terms generated by this product would already be coming into E_i through other routes, namely from $B_{t_1} E_{i-t_1}$, from $B_{t_2} E_{i-t_2}$, and from $B_{t_3} E_{i-t_3}$.

Second, we verify the coefficient of the term $B_1^{K_1} B_2^{K_2} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L}$ in E_i , where K_1, K_2, \dots, K_L are positive integers. Clearly, this term gets linearly additive contributions from the coefficient of $B_1^{K_1-1} B_2^{K_2} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L}$ in E_{i-1} , from the coefficient of $B_1^{K_1} B_2^{K_2-1} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L}$ in E_{i-2} , ... and so on, and finally from the coefficient of $B_1^{K_1} B_2^{K_2} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L-1}$ in E_{i-L} . So, if $n!$ denotes the factorial of n , then the coefficient of $B_1^{K_1} B_2^{K_2} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L}$ in E_i , is equal to the following.

$$\frac{(K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_L - 1)!}{(K_1 - 1)! K_2! K_3! \dots K_L!} + \frac{(K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_L - 1)!}{K_1! (K_2 - 1)! K_3! \dots K_L!} + \frac{(K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_L - 1)!}{K_1! K_2! (K_3 - 1)! \dots K_L!} + \dots + \frac{(K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_L - 1)!}{K_1! K_2! K_3! \dots (K_L - 1)!}$$

For each of the above L additive components, multiply and divide the j^{th} component by K_j , to obtain the following.

$$\frac{(K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_L)!}{K_1! K_2! K_3! \dots K_L!}, \text{ which verifies to be the number of ways of arranging the term } B_1^{K_1} B_2^{K_2} B_3^{K_3} \dots B_L^{K_L} \text{ in a stack.}$$

Finally, as B_j is either 1 or 0, depending on whether or not coin denomination j is available, the value of E_i will always equal the number of ways in which a monetary stack worth i , may be obtained from the given coin denominations.

Hence Proved Theorem-1

3. The Generating Function

The corresponding Generating Function $G(x)$, for the sequence E_i mentioned in Section 2, can be shown to be given by

$$G(x) = \frac{x^{L-1}(B_{L-1} + B_{L-2}E_1 + \dots + B_1E_{L-2} - E_{L-1}) + x^{L-2}(B_{L-2} + B_{L-3}E_1 + \dots + B_1E_{L-3} - E_{L-2}) + \dots + x(B_1 - E_1) - 1}{B_L x^L + B_{L-1} x^{L-1} + \dots + B_1 x - 1}$$

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a Linear Recurrence Relation for the integer sequence E_i , representing the number of ways in which a stack of coins worth i , may be built from the given coin denominations. This linearity enabled its Generating Function $G(x)$ to be expressed as a rational function, i.e. as $P(x)/Q(x)$, where both $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are Polynomials, and where the degrees of both $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$, are bounded by the largest coin denomination. As E_i is zero, if and only if, a monetary amount of i cannot be obtained from the coin denominations, $G(x)$ will be of use to the Frobenius Coin Problem.

References

- [1] Sebastian Bocker, Zsuzsanna Liptak, *The Money Changing Problem Revisited: Computing the Frobenius Number in Time $O(k a_1)$* , Sep-2005, Computing and Combinatorics, Volume 3595/2005, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, ISBN 978-3-540-28061-3.
- [2] Jorge L. Ramirez Alfonsin, *The Diophantine Frobenius problem*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [3] Matthias Beck, *The Coin Exchange Problem of Frobenius*, San Fransisco State University, <http://math.sfsu.edu/beck/papers/frobeasy.slides.pdf>
- [4] Alexander Barvinok, Kevin Woods, *Short rational generating functions for lattice point problems*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 16 pp 957-979, April-2003.
- [5] Book Chapter, *The Coin-Exchange Problem of Frobenius*, Chapter 1, pp 3-24, Computing the Continuous Discretely, Springer Publishers New York, ISBN 978-0-387-46112-0, Nov-2007.

About the Author

I, Deepak Ponvel Chermakani, have written this paper, out of my own interest and initiative, during my spare time. I am currently a student at the University of Edinburgh UK (www.ed.ac.uk), where since Sep-2009, I have been enrolled in a fulltime one year Master Degree course in *Operations Research with Computational Optimization*. In Jul-2003, I completed a four year fulltime four year Bachelor Degree course in *Electrical and Electronic Engineering*, from Nanyang Technological University Singapore (www.ntu.edu.sg). I completed my high schooling from the National Public School in Bangalore in India in Jul-1999.