

New Phase-Integral Method Platform Function

S. Yngve^{1, a)} and B. Thidé^{2, b)}¹⁾*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University, P. O. Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden,*²⁾*Swedish Institute of Physics, Physics in Space, P. O. Box 537, SE-751 21 Uppsala, Sweden*^{c)}

(Dated: 12 December 2009; Revised 30 November 2021)

The phase-integral method (PIM) is an asymptotic method of the geometrical optics or semi-classical type for solving approximately, but in many cases very accurately, a wide class of differential equations in physics. Unlike the related (J)WKB method, the higher-order corrections in the PIM can be generated from a generic, unspecified base function, providing added symmetry and flexibility. However, with the conventional approach of using the next-to-lowest (third) order correction to the integrand in the phase integral as a platform for calculating higher (fifth, seventh, ninth, . . .) order corrections, the higher-order calculations very often become quite complicated.

We therefore introduce a new platform function, which considerably simplifies the calculation of the third-order contribution for a wide range of problems. We also present directly integrable conditions for the phase integral, which so far seem to have gone unnoticed.

For a large number of observables, our analysis makes a clearer distinction between physical and, in a sense, unphysical contributions.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Sq, 02.30.Hq, 02.30.Mv, 02.60.Nm

Keywords: WKB method, phase-integral approximations, semiclassical applications

I. INTRODUCTION

In their pioneering 1936 article on the calculation of Coulomb wave functions in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, Yost, Wheeler and Breit¹ commented on the fact that the WKB method in the first-order approximation sometimes has an unsatisfactory accuracy. Moreover, they found that the replacement, originally suggested by Kramers,² of $l(l+1)$ by $(l+1/2)^2$ where l is the orbital angular momentum quantum number, does not always improve the results obtained in the first-order WKB approximation. This situation can mostly be remedied by going to the next-order approximation. The phase-integral method of Fröman and Fröman³ provides a straightforward structure for calculating the contributions of order five and higher. For this, Ref 4 introduces a platform function $\epsilon_0(z)$ which, apart from a factor $1/2$, is the third-order contribution.

In the Fröman and Fröman PIM, the third-order contribution is the most important correction. Hence, it is desirable to find ways to simplify the calculation of this contribution. For this purpose, we here introduce a more flexible platform function $P_s(z)$ which generalizes $\epsilon_0(z)$ in a way that depends on the number of singularities in the integrand and which has the potential to simplify the evaluation of higher-order correction terms. As a result, the choice of the so called base function, usually denoted $Q(z)$, becomes more transparent.

II. HIGHER-ORDER PHASE-INTEGRAL APPROXIMATIONS AND THE PLATFORM FUNCTION

Let us consider the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + R(z)\psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $R(z)$ is a meromorphic function of the complex variable z . Following Ref. 4, we introduce into equation (1) a “small” book-keeping parameter λ , ultimately to be set equal to unity, such that we obtain the auxiliary differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{Q^2(z)}{\lambda^2} + R(z) - Q^2(z) \right) \psi = 0 \quad (2)$$

which goes over into equation (1) when $\lambda = 1$. The meromorphic function $Q(z)$ is the unspecified base which determines the phase-integral approximation of order one. The auxiliary differential equation (2) has two linearly independent solutions

$$f_+ = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) e^{+iw(z)} \quad (3a)$$

$$f_- = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) e^{-iw(z)} \quad (3b)$$

where

$$w(z) = \int^z q(z) dz \quad (4)$$

and

$$q^2(z) - q^{\frac{1}{2}}(z) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z) = R(z) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) Q^2(z) \quad (5)$$

^{a)}Electronic mail: staffan.yngve@fysast.uu.se.

^{b)}Electronic mail: bt@irfu.se.; Home page: www.physics.irfu.se/~bt.

^{c)}Also at LOIS Space Centre, Växjö University, SE-351 95 Växjö, Sweden.

or, alternatively,

$$\left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^2 - \lambda^2 \frac{1}{Q^2(z)} [q(z)\lambda]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [q(z)\lambda]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^2 \frac{R(z) - Q^2(z)}{Q^2(z)} = 1 \quad (6)$$

Introducing the complex variable

$$\zeta = \int_{z_0}^z Q(z) dz \quad (7)$$

where, typically, $\{z_0 : Q^2(z_0) = 0\}$, one finds, after some calculations, that equation (6) can be rewritten

$$\left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^2 - \lambda^2 \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^2 \frac{R(z) - Q^2(z)}{Q^2(z)} - \lambda^2 \frac{1}{Q^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \frac{1}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)} = 1 \quad (8)$$

[cf. equation (2.2.5), with (2.2.1), in Ref. 4]. Using equation (7), and the equality

$$\frac{1}{Q^{\frac{3}{2}}(z)} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \frac{1}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)} = \frac{1}{Q(z)} \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{1}{2} z^s \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^s Q(z)} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} z^s \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^s Q(z)} \right)^2 + \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2 Q^2(z)} \quad (9)$$

this can be rewritten

$$\left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^2 - \lambda^2 \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} \left(\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{Q^2(z) - R(z) - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{Q^2(z)} - \frac{dP_s(z)}{d\zeta} + P_s^2(z) \right) = 1 \quad (10)$$

where

$$P_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^s \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^s Q(z)} \quad (11)$$

This is our new platform function. It allows us to write the right hand member of (3.5c) in Ref. 3 as

$$\frac{R(z) - Q^2(z)}{Q^2(z)} + \frac{dP_0(z)}{d\zeta} - P_0^2(z) \quad (12)$$

In practice, the choice of s in $P_s(z)$ more or less determines the base function $Q(z)$ as we shall show next.

III. BASE FUNCTION

To obtain a solution of equation (10), we make the formal Ansatz

$$\frac{q(z)\lambda}{Q(z)} = \sum_n Y_{2n}(z) \lambda^{2n} \quad (13)$$

TABLE I. Properties of the platform function $P_s(z)$, equation (11), for various choices of s .

s	Platform function	Base function	Typical z range
0	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{Q(z)}$	$Q^2(z) = R(z)$	$-\infty < z < \infty$
1	$\frac{1}{2} z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{zQ(z)}$	$Q^2(z) = R(z) - \frac{1}{4z^2}$	$0 < z < \infty$
$-2l$	$\frac{1}{2z^{2l}} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{z^{2l}}{Q(z)}$	$Q^2(z) = R(z) + \frac{l(l+1)}{z^2}$	$0 < z < \infty$

and find that

$$Y_0 = 1 \quad (14a)$$

$$Y_2 = -\frac{1}{2} P_s^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dP_s}{d\zeta} - \frac{Q^2(z) - R(z) - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{2Q^2(z)} \quad (14b)$$

Using equations (3) and (13) with $\lambda = 1$, and truncating after $n = 2$, we obtain the approximate local solutions of the original differential equation (1) as

$$\psi_{\pm} = [Q(z)(1 + Y_2(z))]^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm i \int^z Q(z)(1 + Y_2(z)) dz} \quad (15)$$

It should be noted that the integrands of these solutions contain the total derivative

$$\frac{1}{2} Q(z) \frac{dP_s(z)}{d\zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dP_s(z)}{dz} \quad (16)$$

which is integrable irrespective of the expression for $R(z)$ in the original differential equation (1) and of the choice of the base function $Q(z)$. Hence, we can write

$$\psi_{\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{dP_s}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2\right) Q - \frac{Q^2 - R - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{2Q^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times e^{\pm i \left[\frac{1}{2} P_s + \int^z \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2\right) Q - \frac{Q^2 - R - \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2}}{2Q^2} \right) dz \right]} \quad (17)$$

From this we conclude that an obvious choice for the (square of the) base function is

$$Q^2(z) = R(z) + \frac{s(s-2)}{4z^2} \quad (18)$$

For the new platform function (11), three cases can be discerned (see also Table I):

1. $s = 0$. This corresponds to the case of an unmodified base function, $Q^2(z) = R(z)$. One can show that the use of the platform function $P_0(z)$ simplifies the calculation of phase-integral approximations, *e.g.*, for the Weber functions.
2. $s = 1$. This corresponds to the well-known Kramers-Langer modification for obtaining the base function $Q^2(z) = R(z) - 1/(4z^2)$. One can show that the use of the platform function $P_1(z)$ simplifies the calculation of phase-integral approximations, *e.g.*, for the Coulomb wave functions.

3. $s = -2l$. This corresponds to the omission of the centrifugal barrier in the base function, *i.e.*, the choice $Q^2(z) = R(z) + l(l+1)/z^2$. This is (for $l \neq 0$) a less known alternative; see, *e.g.*, Chapter 7 in Ref. 3. One can show that the use of the platform function $P_{-2l}(z)$ simplifies the calculation of phase-integral approximations for spherically and cylindrically symmetric problems.

In all the three above cases, the third-order solution becomes

$$\psi_{\pm} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{dP_s}{dz} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2 \right) Q \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times e^{\pm i \left[\frac{1}{2} P_s + \int^z \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} P_s^2 \right) Q dz \right]} \quad (19)$$

which exhibits the simplification attainable if the new platform function $P_s(z)$ is used.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have derived a platform function $P_s(z)$ for the third-order correction of the phase-integral method. Since $P_s(z)$ is

readily generalized to problems involving more than one singular point in $R(z)$ and makes computational simplifications possible, this new platform function is in many cases preferable to the conventional PIM platform function $\epsilon_0(z)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Some of the new ideas presented in this paper were inspired by a diploma work by Oscar Stål, who studied a model for the scattering of a radio beam off a cylindrical ionization trail produced by ultra-high-energy cosmic particles interacting with the Earth's lower atmosphere. One of the authors (B. T.) gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Swedish Research Council (VR).

¹F. L. Yost, John A. Wheeler, and G. Breit, "Coulomb wave functions in repulsive fields," *Phys. Rev.* **49**, 174–189 (Jan 1936).

²H. A. Kramers, "Wellenmechanik und halbzahlige quantisierung," *Zeitschr. Phys.* **39**, 828–840 (Oct 1926).

³Nanny Fröman and Per Olof Fröman, *JWKB Approximation: Contributions to the Theory* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, NL, 1965).

⁴Nanny Fröman and Per Olof Fröman, *Physical Problems Solved by the Phase-Integral Method* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002) ISBN 0-521-67476-6.