

Theory of degenerate Bose gas without anomalous averages

V.B. Bobrov, S.A. Trigger
Joint Institute for High Temperatures,
Russian Academy of Sciences, 13/19,
Izhorskaia Str., Moscow 125412, Russia;
email: satron@mail.ru

Theory of a weakly non-ideal Bose gas in the canonical ensemble is developed without assumption of the C-number representation of the creation and annihilation operators with zero momentum. It is shown that the pole of the "density-density" Green function exactly coincides with the Bogolyubov's phonon-rotor spectrum of excitations. At the same time in the one-particle excitation spectrum a gap exists. The value of this gap is connected with the density of particles in the "condensate".

PACS number(s): 05.30.Jp, 03.75.Kk, 03.75.Nt, 05.70.Fh

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Starting with the Bogolyubov's papers [1,2], the microscopic theory of the degenerate Bose gas has been based on the special assumption that the creation a_0^+ and annihilation a_0 operators of particles with zero momentum can be replaced by a C-number

$$a_0^+ = a_0 = (\langle N_0 \rangle)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle N_0 \rangle$ is the average quantity of particles in the state with $\mathbf{p} = 0$ ("condensate").

This assumption leads to necessity of introducing in the theory the *anomalous averages* ("quasi-averages"), which is unnatural for the homogeneous and isotropic system under consideration. Though the Bogolyubov theory (see, e.g., [3,4]) gives rise to many important and widely recognized results, such as the expression for the spectrum of excitations, explanation of the experimental data and agreement with the Landau superfluidity condition, there are serious doubts on the validity of the relation as well as on the agreement of the Hamiltonian corresponding to the assumption (1) with the original Hamiltonian of the system under consideration [5,6].

In this work we suggest a selfconsistent noncontradictory description of the degenerate Bose gas in which we do not use the assumption (1). In this way we show, in particular, that the gap in the spectrum of the one-particle excitations exists. The statement that the spectrum of one-particle excitations has a gap in parallel with the usual phonon-rotor branch of the collective excitations in degenerate Bose gas has been formulated in [7]. Later the existence of a gap has been suggested in [8]. Spectra, thermodynamics and dynamical structure factor for weakly non-ideal Bose gas below the condensation temperature $T < T_0$ have been considered in [9-11] in terms of the dielectric formalism, similar to one in plasma-like systems. The dielectric formalism on the basis of the Bogolyubov assumption (1) for the operators a_0^+ and a_0 was developed in [12]. Recently the gap-existence problem for a weakly non-ideal Bose gas has been considered by different methods in papers [13-16]. In fact, the paper [14] confirmed (independently) and developed not only the statement of Ref. [7] about existence of a one-particle gap in the weakly non-ideal Bose gas below the condensation temperature, but also the results of the papers [9-11] on a possibility of considering the superfluid system without symmetry breaking. Of course, the existence of a gap disagrees with a standard opinion that the gap is missing [3]. But we do believe that the conclusion about a missing gap is related to the Bogolubov simplifying assumption (1), and is not obliged to persist in a more rigorous theory.

It is necessary to stress that in the early papers both Landau and Bogolyubov admitted that the one-particle excitation spectrum can have a gap, but later they dropped this idea, because of contradiction with observations of the phonon-rotor branch of spectrum at small q in the neutron scattering experiments. The approach which includes the both spectra, with and without a gap, has not been found and coexistence of these two branches of excitations was not suggested.

We suggest that in the limit of strong degeneration $T \rightarrow 0$, where T is the temperature of the system, all the particles tend to occupy the zero-momentum state, which means that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \langle N_0 \rangle = \langle N \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\langle N \rangle$ is the average number of particles in the system. This statement is confirmed in the paper by the self-consistent consideration. Below we use the canonical ensemble where N is a given C-number.

II. STATISTICAL SUM AND AVERAGES IN CANONICAL ENSEMBLE

Let us consider the Hamiltonian of a non-ideal Bose gas of particles with zero spin and a mass m in a volume V

$$H = \sum_p \varepsilon_p a_p^+ a_p + \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2} u(q) a_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q}/2} a_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}/2}, \quad (3)$$

where a_p^+ and a_p are the creation and annihilation operators of particles with the momentum $\hbar\mathbf{p}$,

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}_2}, a_{\mathbf{p}_1}^+] = a_{\mathbf{p}_2} a_{\mathbf{p}_1}^+ - a_{\mathbf{p}_1}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_2} = \delta_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}, \quad (4)$$

$\varepsilon_p = \hbar^2 \mathbf{p}^2 / 2m$ is the energetic spectrum of a free particle and $u(q)$ is the Fourier-component of the inter-particle interaction potential.

It is convenient to extract the particular term U_0 with $\mathbf{q} = 0$ from the sum over \mathbf{q} in the Hamiltonian (3). This term can be written as

$$U_0 = u(0) \frac{N(N-1)}{2V}, \quad N = \sum_p a_p^+ a_p, \quad u(0) = u(q=0) = u(q \rightarrow 0), \quad (5)$$

where N is the operator of total number of particles, and N is a C-number $N = \langle N \rangle$. Here and below the brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$ mean the canonical-ensemble averaging. Since U_0 is also the C-number one can write the statistical sum of the system under consideration in the form

$$Z = Sp \exp(-H/T) = \exp\left(-u(0) \frac{N(N-1)}{2VT}\right) Sp \exp(-H_0/T), \quad (6)$$

where

$$H_0 = H - U_0 = \sum_p \varepsilon_p a_p^+ a_p + \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq 0, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2} u(q) a_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q}/2} a_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}/2}, \quad (7)$$

Therefore, to provide convergence of the statistical sum (7) in the thermodynamic limit $V \rightarrow \infty$ $N \rightarrow \infty$, $n = N/V = \text{const.}$, the known condition $u(0) > 0$ has to be fulfilled. As U_0 is a C-number, it does not affect any averaging at all, and for calculation of average values the Hamiltonian H is equivalent to H_0 . For an arbitrary operator $\langle A \rangle$ we get

$$\langle A \rangle = Z^{-1} Sp \{\exp(-H/T) A\} = Z_0^{-1} Sp \{\exp(-H_0/T) A\} \equiv \langle A \rangle_0; \quad Z_0 = Sp \exp(-H_0/T) \quad (8)$$

Let us now consider a more complicated situation, connected with calculation of a time dependent correlation functions $f(t)$ of the type

$$f(t) = \langle [A(t), B(0)] \rangle, \quad A(t) = \exp(iHt/\hbar) A \exp(-iHt/\hbar). \quad (9)$$

The time dependence of the operators $a_p^+(t)$ and $a_p(t)$ can be represented in the form

$$a_p^+(t) = \exp(iH_0 t/\hbar) a_p^+ \exp(-iH_0 t/\hbar) \exp(iNu(0)t/V\hbar). \quad (10)$$

$$a_p(t) = \exp(-iNu(0)t/V\hbar) \exp(iH_0 t/\hbar) a_p \exp(-iH_0 t/\hbar). \quad (11)$$

Therefore, if in each of the operators A and B the amounts of creation and annihilation operators coincide (which is typical for the operators of the physical variables) the time-dependent correlation function can be written as

$$f(t) = \langle [A(t), B(0)] \rangle_0, \quad A(t) = \exp(iH_0 t/\hbar) A \exp(-iH_0 t/\hbar). \quad (12)$$

On this basis below, in the framework of the canonical ensemble, we consider the average values with the Hamiltonian H_0 (7). The free energy of the initial system with the Hamiltonian H (3), according to (5)-(7) reads

$$F = -T \ln Z = U_0 + F_0, \quad F_0 = -T \ln Z_0. \quad (13)$$

III. EQUATIONS FOR "DENSITY-DENSITY" GREEN FUNCTION

Experimentally the spectrum of collective excitations is found usually from data on the well observable maxima in the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$,

$$S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega t) \langle \rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t) \rho_{-\mathbf{q}}(0) \rangle_0 dt, \quad (14)$$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t) = \sum_p a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}/2}^+(t) a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}/2}(t), \quad (15)$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ is the Fourier-component of the operator of particle density in the Heisenberg representation. The dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ (14) is directly connected [17] with the retarded density-density Green function $\chi^R(\mathbf{q}, z)$ which is analytical in the upper semi-plane of the complex variable z ($Imz > 0$),

$$S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = -\frac{2\hbar}{1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/T)} Im\chi^R(\mathbf{q}, \omega + i0), \quad (16)$$

$$\chi^R(\mathbf{q}, z) = -\frac{i}{\hbar V} \int_0^{\infty} dt \exp(izt) \langle [\rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t) \rho_{-\mathbf{q}}(0)] \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{V} \langle\langle \rho_{\mathbf{q}} | \rho_{-\mathbf{q}} \rangle\rangle_z, \quad (17)$$

The definitions (16),(17) have to be taken in the thermodynamic limit, where

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \langle N_0 \rangle_0 = \langle N \rangle_0 = N. \quad (18)$$

According to Eq. (14) the function $\chi(q, z)$ can be represented in the form

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}, z) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_p F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z), \quad F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z) = \langle\langle a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}/2} | \rho_{-\mathbf{q}} \rangle\rangle_z \quad (19)$$

The equation of motion for the function $F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z)$ with the Hamiltonian H_0 , determined by (Eq. (7)), can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\hbar z + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}/2} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}/2}) F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z) &= f_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}/2} - f_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}/2} - \\ \frac{1}{V} \sum_k u(k) \sum_{p_1} \langle\langle (a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{k}/2} a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}/2} - a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{k}/2} a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}/2}) | \rho_{-\mathbf{q}} \rangle\rangle_z & \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here $f_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the one-particle distribution on the momenta $\hbar\mathbf{p}$ function

$$f_{\mathbf{p}} = \langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_0 \quad (21)$$

For a temperature $T < T_0$, where T_0 is the temperature of condensation the one-particle distribution function $f_{\mathbf{p}}$ can be represented as [18]

$$f_{\mathbf{p}} = \langle N_0 \rangle \delta_{\mathbf{p},0} + f_{\mathbf{p}}^T (1 - \delta_{\mathbf{p},0}), \quad (22)$$

where $N_0 = a_0^+ a_0$ is the operator of the quantity of particles with the momentum equal zero ("condensate"), $f_{\mathbf{p}}^T = \langle a_{\mathbf{p}}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_0$ is the one-particle distribution function with non-zero momenta (the "overcondensate" states). Therefore,

$$n = n_0 + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{p \neq 0} f_{\mathbf{p}}^T = n_0 + \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\mathbf{p}}^T, \quad (23)$$

where $n_0 = \langle N_0 \rangle / V$ is the average density of particles in the condensate. From (20) and (22) we find that the function $F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z)$ has singularities at $\mathbf{p} = \pm\mathbf{q}/2$. Therefore, the density-density function $\chi(q, z)$ (19) can be represented in the form

$$\chi(q, z) = \frac{1}{V} F(\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) + \frac{1}{V} F(-\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{p \neq \pm q/2} F^T(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z) \quad (24)$$

The index T means, that the respective function describes the "overcondensate" particles. The singularities (conditioned by the condensate) in this function are absent. Then in the last term in Eq. (24) we can change summation by integration over momenta. The functions $F(\pm\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z)$, extracted above, satisfy, according to Eqs. (20),(22), to the exact equations of motion

$$(\hbar z - \varepsilon_q) F(\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = [\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T] - \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k \neq 0} u(k) \sum_{p_1} \langle \langle (a_{\mathbf{k}}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}/2} a_{\mathbf{q}} - a_0^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}/2} a_{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}}) | \rho_{-q} \rangle \rangle_z \quad (25)$$

$$(\hbar z + \varepsilon_q) F(-\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = -[\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T] - \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k \neq 0} u(k) \sum_{p_1} \langle \langle (a_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}/2} a_0 - a_{-\mathbf{q}}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}/2}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}/2} a_{-\mathbf{k}}) | \rho_{-q} \rangle \rangle_z. \quad (26)$$

Let us consider further the case of strongly degenerate gas, where $T \rightarrow 0$. To find for this case the main terms, following to the Bogolyubov's procedure, let us extract in the right sides of Eqs. (25),(26) the terms, which are determined by the maximum quantity of the operators a_0^+ and a_0 . In the limit of strong degeneration, taking into account(18), we can omit the other terms in the course of calculation of F . Then, since $f_{\mathbf{q}}^T = f_{-\mathbf{q}}^T$ ($\mathbf{q} \neq 0$) Eqs. (25),(26) take the form

$$(\hbar z \mp \varepsilon_q) F^{(0)}(\pm\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = \pm[\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T] \pm \frac{1}{V} u(q) \langle \langle (a_0^+ a_0^+ a_{\mathbf{q}} a_0 + a_0^+ a_{-\mathbf{q}}^+ a_0 a_0) | \rho_{-q} \rangle \rangle_z \quad (27)$$

Eqs. (27) are exact at low temperature, since they determine the functions $F^{(0)}(\pm\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z)$. For calculation the Green functions in the right side of Eqs. (27) it is necessary to make some approximations.

IV. DETERMINATION OF THE COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS

It should be emphasized that the Bogolyubov's approach, in which the operators a_0^+ and a_0 are considered as C-numbers leads to violation of the exact relations (25), (26). In this approach (1), the main term $F^{(0)}$ of the function F has the form [19]

$$F^{(0)}(\pm\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = \langle N_0 \rangle \langle \langle a_{\pm\mathbf{q}} | a_{\pm\mathbf{q}}^+ \rangle \rangle_z \quad (28)$$

Respectively, instead the exact equations for two-particle Green functions (25), (26) we obtain the equation of motion for one-particle Green functions form (28). As is shown below, the equations of motion for the two-particle Green functions without the approximation on the C-number representation of the operators a_0^+ и a_0 are essentially different from the equations for the one-particle distribution functions. Therefore, for calculation of the Green functions in the right part of (27), the assumption (1) cannot be used. At the same time the idea on C-number approximation for some operators is, itself, very attractive. Below we use this idea in the variant alternative to the Bogolyubov assumption. According to (18) it is natural to accept that for calculation of the Green functions in the limit of strong degeneration $T \rightarrow 0$ the C-number approximation has to be applied not to the operators a_0^+ и a_0 , but to the operator of the number of particles in the "condensate" N_0

$$N_0 = \langle N_0 \rangle. \quad (29)$$

In this case from (27) directly follows

$$(\hbar z - \varepsilon_q) F^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = [\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T] + \frac{\langle N_0 \rangle}{V} u(q) \{F^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) + F^{(0)}(-\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z)\} \quad (30)$$

$$(\hbar z + \varepsilon_q) F^{(0)}(-\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = -[\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T] - \frac{\langle N_0 \rangle}{V} u(q) \{F^{(0)}(\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) + F^{(0)}(-\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z)\} \quad (31)$$

From Eqs. (30),(31) one can find the solutions for the functions $F(\pm q/2, q, z)$

$$F(\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, \hbar z) = \frac{[\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T](\hbar z + \varepsilon_q)}{(\hbar z)^2 - (\hbar\omega(q))^2}; \quad F(-\mathbf{q}/2, \mathbf{q}, z) = -\frac{[\langle N_0 \rangle - f_{\mathbf{q}}^T](\hbar z - \varepsilon_q)}{(\hbar z)^2 - (\hbar\omega(q))^2} \quad (32)$$

$$\hbar\omega(q) \equiv \sqrt{\varepsilon_q^2 + 2n_0u(q)\varepsilon_q} \quad (33)$$

The relation (33) for the spectrum $\hbar\omega(q)$ corresponds exactly to the known Bogolyubov expression [1,2]. By substituting to (19), and taking into account that for the case of strong degeneration the contribution of the functions $F^T(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, z)$ is negligible, we obtain the expression for the main term $\chi^{(0)}(q, z)$ of the "density-density" Green function $\chi(q, z)$

$$\chi^{(0)}(q, z) = \frac{2n_0\varepsilon_q}{(\hbar z)^2 - (\hbar\omega(q))^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{f_q^T}{\langle N_0 \rangle} \right\} \quad (34)$$

As it is well known, the singularities of the function $\chi^R(q, z)$ determine the spectrum of collective excitations in the system. Therefore, under the assumption about C-number behavior of the operator N_0 we obtain the Bogolyubov's result for the spectrum of the collective excitations in the degenerate and weakly interacting Bose gas. However, the question on the term $f_q^T / \langle N_0 \rangle$ in the figure brackets of (33) still exists. The problem is in the behavior of the function $f_{\mathbf{q}}^{id}$ for the ideal Bose gas [20]

$$f_{\mathbf{q}}^{id} = \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon(q)}{T}\right) - 1 \right\}^{-1} \quad (35)$$

In the limit of small wave vectors q the function $f_{\mathbf{q}}^{id}$ converges at non-zero temperatures (further in the text $1/q^2$ -divergence). Moreover

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} f_{\mathbf{q}}^{id} \neq \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} f_{\mathbf{q}}^{id} \quad (36)$$

The similar problem arises when (22) is used.

V. ONE-PARTICLE EXCITATIONS, GAP AND THE SELF-CONSISTENT DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

To calculate the distribution function f_q^T for the "overcondensate" particles in Bose gas let us consider the one-particle Green function $g^R(q, z)$

$$g^R(q, z) = \langle\langle a_{\mathbf{q}} | a_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \rangle\rangle_z, \quad \mathbf{q} \neq 0 \quad (37)$$

This function is directly connected with the distribution function f_q^T by the relation [21]

$$f_q^T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} g^<(q, \omega), \quad g^<(q, \omega) = -2\hbar \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{T}\right) - 1 \right\}^{-1} \text{Im} g^R(q, \omega + i0) \quad (38)$$

The equation of motion for the Green function $g^R(q, z)$ for $q \neq 0$ reads

$$(\hbar z - \varepsilon_q) g^R(q, z) = 1 + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k \neq 0} u(k) \sum_p \langle\langle a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k}} | a_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \rangle\rangle_z. \quad (39)$$

As for the "density-density" Green function, we consider the case of a strong degeneration and extract in the right-hand side of Eq. (39) the terms with maximum quantity of the operators a_0^+ and a_0 . Then from Eq. (39) we find

$$(\hbar z - \varepsilon_q) g^R(q, z) = 1 + \frac{1}{V} u(p) \langle\langle a_0^+ a_{\mathbf{q}} a_0 | a_{\mathbf{q}}^+ \rangle\rangle_z (1 - \delta_{q,0}), \quad (40)$$

Further, by using again the assumption about the C-number behavior of the operator N_0 , from Eq. (40) we obtain

$$g^R(q, z) = \frac{1}{\hbar z - E_q}, \quad (41)$$

The expression for the spectrum of the one-particle excitations E_q is given by

$$E_q = \varepsilon_q + n_0 u(q), \quad (42)$$

From Eqs. (37),(40),(41) for $T \ll T_0$ we obtain

$$f_q^T = \frac{1}{\exp(E_q/T) - 1}. \quad (43)$$

Therefore, the function f_q^T is finite for $q \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, in the limit of strong degeneration $T \rightarrow 0$

$$f_q^T \rightarrow 0 \quad (44)$$

for arbitrary values of q , in contrast with the case of the ideal Bose gas. Therefore, the representation (22) for the one-particle distribution function f_p is valid, the initial suggestions (2),(18) are satisfied and the used procedure of extraction of the main terms is self-consistent.

As it follows from (42) in the spectrum of one-particle excitations the appeared gap equals to

$$\Delta = E_{q \rightarrow 0} = n_0 u(0). \quad (45)$$

A value of the gap is determined by the density of particles in the "condensate". The existence of the gap permits to extend essentially the applicability of the results obtained for $T \rightarrow 0$. It is obvious, that in many applications the condition $T \rightarrow 0$ is equivalent to the condition $T \ll \Delta$.

Taking into account (44) we can rewrite Eq.(34) for the function $\chi^{(0)}(q, z)$ in the form

$$\chi^{(0)}(q, z) = \frac{2n_0 \varepsilon_q}{(\hbar z)^2 - (\hbar \omega(q))^2} \quad (46)$$

On the basis of (46) practically all known results for the thermodynamical functions of the degenerate Bose gas can be reproduced, as it was done in [9-11].

Therefore, in contrast with the approach, based on the C-number approximation for the operators a_0^+ and a_0 , by use the C-number approximation for the operator N_0 we find the spectra of the collective and one-particle excitations are different. Both spectra, as it's easy to see, satisfy the Landau condition for superfluidity. For the one-particle spectrum the Landau condition is satisfied for the transitions between the "condensate" and the "overcondensate" state. The Landau condition is, naturally, violated for transitions between the "overcondensate" states.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing the performed consideration we can assert that by calculation of the Green functions for the highly degenerate Bose gas on the basis of the C-number approximation for the operator N_0 :

- A) The problem of $1/q^2$ divergence, which arises for the ideal Bose gas, can be solved;
- B) The system has two different branches of excitations - the one-particle and the collective ones, both satisfying the Landau condition of superfluidity;
- C) The one-particle spectrum of excitations contains the gap in the region of small wave vectors, connected with the existence of the "condensate";
- D) The spectrum of the collective excitations corresponds to the "phonon-roton" excitations observed in the experiments on the inelastic neutron scattering;
- E) The necessity of anomalous averages (quasi-averages) for the description of the degenerate Bose-gas is absent.

Therefore, the inter-particle interaction in Bose-systems leads not only to the drastic difference (in comparison with the ideal Bose gas) in the structure of the collective excitations, which are described by "density-density" Green function, but also to the crucial change in the distribution function of the one-particle excitations and in the one-particle spectrum of excitations for the "overcondensate" particles.

On the basis of the obtained results the special diagram technique can be developed, similar to [19], but with the use of the C-number approximation for the operator N_0 .

The principle difference between the results of this work and the results of the "traditional" C-number approximation for the operators a_0^+ and a_0 is the existence of the gap in the spectrum of the one-particle excitations. The above analysis shows that this gap cannot manifest itself in the experiments on nonelastic neutron scattering in superfluid Helium [22,23]. However, such possibility cannot be excluded [16] in the experiments on the Raman light scattering. Moreover, in [24], where such experiments are described, there is a direct indication of existence of the gap.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank M.V. Fedorov, Yu. A. Kuharenko and A.G. Zagorodny for the useful discussions. The authors express gratitude to the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) for support of their investigations on the problems of statistical physics.

-
- [1] N.N. Bogolubov, J.Phys.USSR **11** (1947) 23
 - [2] N.N. Bogolubov, Lectures on quantum statistics, Kyiv "Radianska Shkola"1949; Selected works v.2 (1969)
 - [3] N.M.Hugenholtz and D.Pines, Phys.Rev. **116** (1959) 489
 - [4] A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gorkov, I.E. Dzyaloshinskii, Quantum Field Theoretical Methods in Statistical Physics, 2ed., Pergamon, (1965)
 - [5] W.H.Bassichis and L.L.Foldy, Phys.Rev. **133A** (1964) 435
 - [6] H.Stolz, Physica **A86** (1977) 11
 - [7] S.A. Trigger and P.P.J.M.Schram, Physica **B 228** (1996) 107
 - [8] I.M. Yurin, The Question Whether an Energy Gap Does Exist in He II or Not, Archiv, [quant-ph.] 0310115 v.1 (2003)
 - [9] V.B. Bobrov, S.A. Trigger, Physica **A 170** (1990) 261
 - [10] V.B. Bobrov, S.A. Trigger, Yu.P. Vlasov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **102** (1992) 107 (Sov.Phys. JETP **80** (1995) 853)
 - [11] V.B. Bobrov, S.A. Trigger, P.P.J.M. Schram, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **107** (1995) 1527 [Sov.Phys. JETP **80** (1995) 853]
 - [12] P. Szèpfalusi, I Kondor, Annals of Physics **82**, (1974) 1
 - [13] I.M. Yurin, S.A. Tigger, The Possibility of Existence of an Energy Gap in the One-Particle Excitation Spectrum of HeII, ArXiv: [cond-mat.supr-con] 0906.0755 v2 (2009)
 - [14] C.-H. Zhang, H.A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. **A74** (2006) 023613
 - [15] S.A. Trigger, I.M. Yurin, Extensions of the Bogolyubov's Theory of Weakly Non-ideal Bose Gas, Modern problems of theoretical and mathematical physics, Bogolyubov Kiev conference, Book of abstracts, p. 36 (2009)
 - [16] V.B. Bobrov, S.A. Trigger, Collective Excitations and the Gap in the Spectrum of the Degenerated Bose Gas, ArXiv: [cond-mat.supr-con.] 0910.3455 v1 (2009)
 - [17] E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevsky, Statistical Physics, part 2 Thoery of condensed matter, Nauka, Moscow (1978) [in Russian]
 - [18] Yu.L. Klimontovich, V.P. Silin, JETP **23** (1952) 151
 - [19] Yu. A. Zerkovnikov, Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (1970) 119 [in Russian]
 - [20] R. Balescu, Equilibrium and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, v.1, J. Wiley and Sons, Inc. (1975)
 - [21] L.P. Kadanoff, G. Baym, Quantum statistical mechanics, W.A. Benjamin, Inc. N.-Y. (1962)
 - [22] R.A.Cowley and A.D.B.Woods, Can.J.Phys. **49** (1971) 177
 - [23] N.M. Blagoveshchensky, E.B. Dokukin, J.A. Kozlov, V.A. Parfenov, Pis'ma v ZhETF **31** (1980) 7 (Sov.Phys. JETP Letters)
 - [24] T.J.Greytak, R. Woerner, J.Yan, R.Benjamin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25** (1970) 1547