## Orbital Fluctuation Mediated Superconductivity in Iron Pnictides: Analysis of Five Orbital Hubbard-Holstein Model

Hiroshi KONTANI<sup>1</sup>, and Seiichiro ONARI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Nagoya University and JST, TRIP, Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya University and JST, TRIP, Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

(Dated: September 6, 2018)

In iron pnictides, we find that the moderate electron-phonon interaction due to the Fe-ion oscillation can induce the critical *d*-orbital fluctuations, without being prohibited by the Coulomb interaction. These fluctuations give rise to the strong pairing interaction for the *s*-wave superconducting (SC) state without sign reversal ( $s_{++}$ -wave state), which is consistent with experimentally observed robustness of superconductivity against impurities. When the magnetic fluctuations due to Coulomb interaction are also strong, the SC state shows a smooth crossover from the *s*-wave state with sign reversal ( $s_{\pm}$ -wave state) to the  $s_{++}$ -wave state as impurity concentration increases.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Xa, 74.20.-z, 74.20.Rp

The mechanism of high- $T_{\rm c}$  superconductivity in iron pnictides has been an important open problem. By considering the Coulomb interaction at Fe-ions, antiferromagnetic (AFM) fluctuation mediated fully-gapped signreversing s-wave state  $(s_+$ -wave state) is expected theoretically [1, 2]. Regardless of the beauty of the mechanism, there are several serious discrepancies for the  $s_{+}$ wave state. For example, although  $s_+$ -wave state is expected to be very fragile against impurities due to the interband scattering [3], the superconducting (SC) state is remarkably robust against impurities [4] and  $\alpha$ -particle irradiation [5]. Moreover, clear "resonance-like" peak structure observed by neutron scattering measurements [6] is reproduced by considering the strong correlation effect via quasiparticle damping, without the necessity of sign reversal in the SC gap [7]. These facts indicate that a conventional s-wave state without sign reversal  $(s_{++})$ wave state) is also a possible candidate for iron pnictides.

Then, a natural question is whether the electronphonon (e-ph) interaction is important or not. Although first principle study predicts small e-ph coupling constant  $\lambda \sim 0.21$  [8], several experiments indicate the significance of e-ph interaction. For example, the structural transition temperature  $T_{\rm S}$  is higher than the Neel temperature in underdoped compounds, although the structural distortion is small. Also, prominent softening of shear modulus is observed towards  $T_{\rm S}$  or  $T_{\rm c}$  in Ba122 [9]. Raman spectroscopy [10] also indicates larger e-ph interaction.

Interestingly, there are several "high- $T_c$ " compounds with nodal SC gap structure, like BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [11] and some 122 systems [12]. Although nodal  $s_{\pm}$ -wave state can appear in the spin-fluctuation scenario due to the competition between the dominant  $\boldsymbol{Q} = (\pi, 0)$  and subdominant fluctuations [1, 13], the  $T_c$  is predicted to be very low. Thus, it is a crucial challenge to explain the rich variety of the gap structure in high- $T_c$  compounds.

In this letter, we introduce the five-orbital Hubbard-Holstein (HH) model for iron pnictides, considering the e-ph interaction by Fe-ion vibrations. We reveal that a

relatively small *e*-ph interaction ( $\lambda \leq 0.3$ ) induces the large orbital fluctuations, which can realize the high- $T_c$   $s_{++}$ -wave SC state. Moreover, the orbital fluctuations are *accelerated* by Coulomb interaction. In the presence of impurities, the  $s_{++}$ -wave state dominates the  $s_{\pm}$ -wave state for wide range of parameters.

First, we derive the *e*-ph iteration term, considering only Einstein-type Fe-ion oscillations for simplic-Here, we describe the d-orbitals in the XYZitv. coordinate [1], which is rotated by  $\pi/4$  from the xyzcoordinate given by the Fe-site square lattice: We write  $Z^2$ , XZ, YZ,  $X^2$ - $Y^2$ , and XY orbitals as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively [1]. We calculate the e-ph matrix elements due to the Coulomb potential, by following Ref. [14]. The potential for a d-electron at r (with the origin at the center of Fe-ion) due to the surrounding As<sup>3-</sup>-ion tetrahedron is  $U^{\pm}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{u}) = 3e^2 \sum_{s=1}^{4} |\mathbf{r}|^2 + 2e^2 \sum_{s=1}^{4} |\mathbf{r}|^2$  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{R}_{s}^{\pm}|^{-1}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is the displacement vector of the Fe-ion, and  $\mathbf{R}_s^{\pm}$  is the location of surrounding As-ions;  $\sqrt{3}R_s^+/R_{\rm Fe-As} = (\pm\sqrt{2}, 0, 1)$  and  $(0, \pm\sqrt{2}, -1)$  for  ${\rm Fe}^{(1)}$ , and  $\sqrt{3}R_{s}^{-}/R_{\text{Fe-As}} = (\pm\sqrt{2}, 0, -1)$  and  $(0, \pm\sqrt{2}, 1)$  for  $Fe^{(2)}$  in the unit cell with two Fe-sites. Note that  $u_{X,Y}$ and  $u_Z$  belong to  $E_g$  and  $B_{1g}$  phonons [10]. The u linear term of  $U^{\pm}$ , which gives the *e*-ph interaction, is obtained as  $V^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{r};\boldsymbol{u}) = \pm A[2XZ \cdot u_X - 2YZ \cdot u_Y + (X^2 - Y^2)u_Z] +$  $O(\mathbf{r}^4)$ , where  $A = 30e^2/\sqrt{3}R_{\rm Fe-As}^4$ . Then, its nonzero matrix elements are given as

$$\langle 2|V|4\rangle = \pm 2a^2 A u_X/7, \quad \langle 3|V|4\rangle = \pm 2a^2 A u_Y/7, \langle 2|V|2\rangle = \pm 2a^2 A u_Z/7, \quad \langle 3|V|3\rangle = \mp 2a^2 A u_Z/7, \quad (1)$$

where *a* is the radius of *d*-orbital. Here, we consider  $\langle i|V|j\rangle$  only for orbitals  $i, j = 2 \sim 4$  that compose the Fermi surfaces (FSs) in Fig. 1 (a) [1]. The obtained *e*-ph interaction does not couple to the charge density since  $\langle i|V|j\rangle$  is trace-less. Thus, the Thomas-Fermi screening for the coefficient *A* is absent. The local phonon Green function is  $D(\omega_l) = 2\bar{u}_0^2\omega_{\rm D}/(\omega_l^2 + \omega_{\rm D}^2)$ , which is given by the Fourier transformation of  $\langle T_\tau u_\mu(\tau)u_\mu(0)\rangle$  ( $\mu = X, Y, Z$ ).  $\bar{u}_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/2M_{\rm Fe}\omega_{\rm D}}$  is the position uncertainty of Fe-ions,  $\omega_{\rm D}$  is the phonon frequency, and  $\omega_l = 2\pi l T$ is the boson Matsubara frequency. Then, for both Fe<sup>(1)</sup> and Fe<sup>(2)</sup>, the phonon-mediated interaction is given by

$$V_{24,42} = V_{34,43} = -(2Aa^2/7)^2 D(\omega_l) \equiv -g(\omega_l),$$
  

$$V_{22,22} = V_{33,33} = -V_{22,33} = -g(\omega_l),$$
(2)

as shown in Fig. 1 (b). Note that  $V_{ll',mm'}$  is symmetric with respect to  $l \leftrightarrow l', m \leftrightarrow m'$ , and  $(ll') \leftrightarrow (mm')$ . We obtain  $g(0) \approx 0.4$  eV if we put  $R_{\rm Fe-As} \approx 2.4$  Å,  $a \approx 0.77$ Å (Shannon crystal radius of Fe<sup>2+</sup>), and  $\omega_{\rm D} \approx 0.018$  eV. We have neglected the *e*-ph coupling due to *d*-*p* hybridization [14] considering the modest *d*-*p* hybridization in iron pnictides [15]. Thus, we obtain the multiorbital HH model for iron pnictides by combining eq. (2) with the on-site Coulomb interaction; the intra- (inter-) orbital Coulomb U(U'), Hund coupling *J*, and pair-hopping *J'*.



FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) FSs in the unfolded Brillouin zone. (b) Phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction. (c) A bubble-type diagram that induces the critical orbital fluctuations between (2,4) orbitals. (d) A ladder-type diagram that is ignorable when  $\omega_{\rm D} \ll E_{\rm F}$ .

Now, we study the rich electronic properties realized in the multiorbital HH model [16]. The irreducible susceptibility in the five-orbital model is given by  $\chi^0_{ll',mm'}(q) = -(T/N) \sum_k G^0_{lm}(k+q) G^0_{m'l'}(k)$ , where  $\hat{G}^0(k) = [i\epsilon_n + \mu - \hat{H}^0_k]^{-1}$  is the *d*-electron Green function in the orbital basis:  $q = (q, \omega_l)$ ,  $k = (\mathbf{k}, \epsilon_n)$ , and  $\epsilon_n = (2n+1)\pi T$  is the fermion Matsubara frequency.  $\mu$ is the chemical potential, and  $\hat{H}^0_k$  is the kinetic term given in Ref. [1]. Then, the susceptibilities for spin and charge sectors in the random-phase-approximation (RPA) are given as [17]

$$\hat{\chi}^{s(c)}(q) = \hat{\chi}^0(q) [1 - \hat{\Gamma}^{s(c)} \hat{\chi}^0(q)]^{-1}.$$
(3)

For the spin channel,  $\Gamma_{l_1 l_2, l_3 l_4}^s = U$ , U', J, and J' for  $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4$ ,  $l_1 = l_3 \neq l_2 = l_4$ ,  $l_1 = l_2 \neq l_3 = l_4$ , and  $l_1 = l_4 \neq l_2 = l_3$ , respectively [1]. For the charge channel,  $\hat{\Gamma}^c = -\hat{C} - 2\hat{V}(\omega_l)$ , where  $\hat{V}(\omega_l)$  is given in eq. (2), and  $C_{l_1 l_2, l_3 l_4} = U$ , -U' + 2J, 2U' - J, and J' for  $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4$ ,  $l_1 = l_3 \neq l_2 = l_4$ ,  $l_1 = l_2 \neq l_3 = l_4$ , and  $l_1 = l_4 \neq l_2 = l_3$ , respectively [1]. Figure 1 (c)

shows one of bubble diagrams for (2,4)-channel due to the "negative exchange coupling  $V_{24,42}$ " that leads to a critical enhancement of  $\hat{\chi}^c(q)$  [18]. We neglect the ladder diagrams given by  $\hat{V}(\omega_l)$  in Fig. 1 (d) since  $\omega_{\rm D} \ll W_{\rm band}$ [8, 10]. We put  $\omega_{\rm D} = 0.02$  eV, U'/U = 0.69, J/U = 0.16and J = J', and fix the electron number n = 6.1 (10% electron doping); the density of states per spin is N(0) =0.66 [eV<sup>-1</sup>]. Numerical results are not sensitive to these parameters. We use  $128^2 \mathbf{k}$ -meshes, and 512 Matsubara frequencies. Hereafter, the unit of energy is eV.



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Obtained U-g(0) phase diagram. (b) Obtained  $\chi^{c}_{24,42}(\boldsymbol{q},0)$  and  $\chi^{c}_{22,22}(\boldsymbol{q},0)$  for  $\alpha_{\rm c} = 0.97$ .

Figure 2 (a) shows the obtained U-g(0) phase diagram.  $\alpha_{\rm s(c)}$  is the spin (charge) Stoner factor, given by the maximum eigenvalue of  $\hat{\Gamma}^{s(c)} \hat{\chi}^0(\boldsymbol{q}, 0)$ . Then, the enhancement factor for  $\chi^{\rm s(c)}$  is  $(1 - \alpha_{\rm s(c)})^{-1}$ , and  $\alpha_{\rm s(c)} = 1$  gives the spin (orbital) order boundary. Due to the nesting of the FSs, the AFM fluctuation with  $\boldsymbol{Q} \approx (\pi, 0)$  develops as U increases, and  $s_{\pm}$ -wave state is realized for  $\alpha_{\rm s} \lesssim 1$  [1]. In contrast, we find that the orbital fluctuations develop as g(0) increases. For U = 1, the critical value  $g_{\rm cr}(0)$  for  $\alpha_{\rm c} = 1$  is 0.4, and the critical *e*-ph coupling constant is  $\lambda_{\rm cr} \equiv g_{\rm cr}(0)N(0) = 0.26$  [19]. Since the obtained  $\lambda_{\rm cr}$  is close to  $\lambda$  given by the first principle study [8], strong orbital fluctuations are expected to occur in iron pnictides. At fixed U,  $\lambda_{\rm cr}$  decreases as J/U approaces zero.

Figure 2 (b) and (c) show the obtained  $\chi^c_{ll',mm'}(\boldsymbol{q},0)$ for (ll',mm') = (24,42) and (22,22), respectively, for U = 1.14 and  $\alpha_c = 0.97$  (g(0) = 0.40): Both of them are the most divergent channels for electron-doped cases. The enhancement of (24,42)-channel is induced by the multiple scattering by  $V_{24,42}$ . The largest broad peak around  $\boldsymbol{q} = (0,0)$  originates from the forward scattering in the electron-pocket (FS3 or 4) composed of  $2 \sim 4$  orbitals. (FS1,2 are composed of only 2 and 3 orbitals.) These ferro-orbital fluctuations would induce the softening of shear modulus [9], and also reinforce the ferro-orbital-ordered state below  $T_{\rm S}$  [20] that had been explained by different theoretical approaches [21]: The divergence of  $\chi^c_{24,42}$  ( $\chi^c_{34,43}$ ) pushes the 2,4 (3,4) orbitals away from the Fermi level, and the Fermi surfaces in the ordered state will be formed only by 3 (2) orbital, consistently with ref. [20]. The lower peak around  $Q = (\pi, 0)$  comes from the nesting between holeand electron-pockets. Also, the enhancement of (22, 22)channel for  $\boldsymbol{Q} = (\pi, 0)$  is induced by the nesting via multiple scattering by  $V_{22,22}$  and  $V_{22,33}$ . In contrast, the charge susceptibility  $\sum_{l,m} \chi_{ll,mm}^c(\boldsymbol{q},0)$  is finite even if  $\alpha_c \to 1$ since  $\chi^{c}_{22,33} \approx -\chi^{c}_{22,22}$ .

Now, we will show that large orbital fluctuations, which are not considered in the first principle study of  $T_c$  [8], can induce the  $s_{++}$ -wave state when g(0) > 0. We analyze the following linearized Eliashberg equation using the RPA [1], by taking both the spin and orbital fluctuations into account on the same footing:

$$\lambda_{\rm E} \Delta_{ll'}(k) = \frac{T}{N} \sum_{k',m_i} W_{lm_1,m_4l'}(k-k') \\ \times G_{m_1m_2}(k') \Delta_{m_2m_3}(k') G_{m_4m_3}(-k'), (4)$$

where  $\hat{W}(q) = -\frac{3}{2}\hat{\Gamma}^s\hat{\chi}^s(q)\hat{\Gamma}^s + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Gamma}^c\hat{\chi}^c(q)\hat{\Gamma}^c - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\Gamma}^s - \hat{\Gamma}^c)$ for singlet states. The eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  increases as  $T \to 0$ , and it reaches unity at  $T = T_{\rm c}$ . In addition, we take the impurity effect into consideration since many iron pnictides show relatively large residual resistivity. Here, we assume the Fe site substitution, where the impurity potential I is diagonal in the d-orbital basis [3]. Then, the T-matrix in the normal state is given by  $\hat{T}(\epsilon_n) = [I^{-1} - N^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\hat{G}(\mathbf{k},\epsilon_n)]^{-1}$  in the orbital basis [3]. Then, the normal self-energy is  $\hat{\Sigma}^n(\epsilon_n) = n_{\rm imp}\hat{T}(\epsilon_n)$ , where  $n_{\rm imp}$  is the impurity concentration. Also, the linearized anomalous self-energy is given by

$$\Sigma_{ll'}^{a}(\epsilon_{n}) = \frac{n_{\rm imp}}{N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},m_{i}} T_{lm_{1}}(\epsilon_{n}) G_{m_{1}m_{2}}(\boldsymbol{k},\epsilon_{n}) \Delta_{m_{2}m_{3}}(\boldsymbol{k},\epsilon_{n}) \times G_{m_{4}m_{3}}(-\boldsymbol{k},-\epsilon_{n}) T_{l'm_{4}}(-\epsilon_{n}).$$
(5)

Then, the Eliashberg equation for  $n_{\rm imp} \neq 0$  is given by using the full Green function  $\hat{G}(k) = [i\epsilon_n + \mu - \hat{H}^0_k - \hat{\Sigma}^n(\epsilon_n)]^{-1}$  in eqs. (4) and (5), and adding  $\Sigma^a_{ll'}(\epsilon_n)$  to the right hand side of eq. (4). Hereafter, we solve the equation at relatively high temperature T = 0.02 since the number of **k**-meshes (128<sup>2</sup>) is not enough for T < 0.02, due to the fact that  $k_{\rm F}$  in iron pnictides is only 1/5 of that in cuprate superconductors.

Figure 3 shows the  $n_{\rm imp}$ -dependence of  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  at  $\alpha_{\rm c} = 0.98$ , for U = 1.11, 1.14 and 1.18. Considering large  $\lambda_{\rm E} \gtrsim 0.8$  at T = 0.02, relatively high- $T_{\rm c} (\leq 0.02)$  is expected. For the smallest  $U (U = 1.11; \alpha_{\rm s} = 0.85)$ , we find that



FIG. 3: (Color online)  $n_{\rm imp}$ -dependence of  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  at  $\alpha_{\rm c} = 0.98$ . If we put g(0) = 0 ( $s_{\pm}$ -state),  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  at  $n_{\rm imp} = 0$  decreases by 0.1 ~ 0.15, since the ferro-orbital fluctuations enhance both  $s_{++}$  and  $s_{\pm}$  wave states. Inset:  $\alpha_{\rm c}$ -dependence of  $\lambda_{\rm E}$ .

nearly isotropic  $s_{++}$ -wave state is realized; the obtained  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  is almost independent of  $n_{\rm imp}$ , indicating the absence of impurity effect on the  $s_{++}$ -wave state, as discussed in Refs. [3, 22]. For the largest U (U = 1.18;  $\alpha_{\rm s} = 0.91$ ),  $s_{\pm}$ -wave state is realized at  $n_{\rm imp} = 0$ ;  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  decreases slowly as  $n_{\rm imp}$  increases from zero, whereas it saturates for  $n_{\rm imp} \geq 0.05$ , indicating the smooth crossover from  $s_{\pm}$ - to  $s_{++}$ -wave states due to the interband impurity scattering. For U = 1.14 ( $\alpha_{\rm s} = 0.88$ ), the SC gap at  $n_{\rm imp} = 0$  is a hybrid of  $s_{++}$  and  $s_{\pm}$ ; only  $\Delta_{\rm FS2}$  is different in sign.

The inset of Fig. 3 shows  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  for  $s_{++}$ -wave state in the presence of impurities  $(n_{\rm imp} = 0.15)$ : Since  $\lambda_{\rm E}(\alpha_{\rm c} = 0.98) - \lambda_{\rm E}(\alpha_{\rm c} = 0.90)$  is only ~ 0.15 for each value of U, we expect that relatively large  $T_{\rm c}$  for  $s_{++}$ -wave state is realized even if orbital fluctuations are moderate. We stress that the obtained  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  is almost constant for  $\omega_{\rm D} =$  $0.02 \sim 0.1$ , suggesting the absence of isotope effect in the  $s_{++}$ -wave state due to the strong retardation effect [14]. By the same reason,  $\lambda_{\rm E}$  for the the  $s_{++}$ -wave state is seldom changed if we put U = 3 in the Hartree-Fock term  $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\Gamma}^s - \hat{\Gamma}^c)$  in W(q), indicating that the Morel-Anderson pseudo-potential almost saturates.

Here, we discuss the case U = 1.18 in detail: Figure 4 shows the SC gap on the FSs in the band-representation for (a)  $n_{\rm imp} = 0$ , (b) 0.03, and (c) 0.08. They satisfy the condition  $N^{-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}, lm} |\Delta_{lm}(\boldsymbol{k})|^2 = 1$ . The horizontal axis is the azimuth angle for the  $\boldsymbol{k}$ -point with the origin at  $\Gamma$  (M) point for FS1,2 (FS4);  $\theta = 0$  corresponds to the  $k_x$ -direction. In case (a),  $s_{\pm}$ -state with strong imbalance,  $|\Delta_{\rm FS1}|, |\Delta_{\rm FS2}| \ll \Delta_{\rm FS4}$ , is realized, and  $\Delta_{\rm FS4}$  takes the largest value at  $\theta = \pi/2$ , where the FS is mainly composed of orbital 4. In case (c), impurity-induced isotropic  $s_{++}$ -state [23] with  $\Delta_{\rm FS1} \sim \Delta_{\rm FS2} \sim \Delta_{\rm FS34}$  is realized, consistently with many ARPES measurements [24]. In case (b),  $\Delta_{\boldsymbol{k}}$  on FS1 is almost gapless. However, considering the  $k_z$ -dependence of the FSs, a (horizontaltype) nodal structure is expected to appear on FS1,2. In real compounds with  $T_c \sim 50$ K, the  $s_{\pm} \rightarrow s_{++}$  crossover should be induced by small residual resistivity  $\rho_{\rm imp} \sim 20 \ \mu\Omega$ cm  $(n_{\rm imp} \sim 0.01 \text{ for } I = 1)$ , as estimated in Ref. [3].



FIG. 4: (Color online) SC gap functions for U = 1.18 as functions of  $\theta$  at (a)  $n_{\rm imp} = 0$ , (b) 0.03, and (c) 0.08, respectively.

We comment that at  $n_{\rm imp} = 0$ ,  $s_{\pm}$ -wave state is realized in the RPA even if  $\alpha_{\rm s} \lesssim \alpha_{\rm c}$ , due to factor 3 in front of  $\frac{1}{2}\hat{\Gamma}^s\hat{\chi}^s(q)\hat{\Gamma}^s$  in W(q). For the same reason, however, reduction in  $\alpha_{\rm s}$  (or increment of  $U_{\rm cr}$  for  $\alpha_{\rm s} = 1$ ) due to the "self-energy correction by U" is larger, which will be unfavorable for the  $s_{\pm}$ -wave state. Therefore, selfconsistent calculation for the self-energy is required to discuss the value of  $\alpha_{\rm c,s}$  and the true pairing state.

Here, we discuss where in the  $\alpha_{\rm s}$ - $\alpha_{\rm c}$  phase diagram in Fig. 2 (a) real compounds are located. Considering the weak *T*-dependence of  $1/T_1T$  in electron-doped SC compounds [25], we expect that they belong to the area  $\alpha_c \gg \alpha_{\rm s}$ . Then,  $s_{++}$ -wave SC state will be realized without (or very low density) impurities, like the case of U = 1.11or 1.14 in Fig. 3. On the other hand, impurity-induced  $s_{\pm} \rightarrow s_{++}$  crossover may be realized in BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (undoped) or (Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>)Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (hole-doped) SC compounds, where AFM fluctuations are rather strong.

Finally, we discuss the non-Fermi-liquid-like transport phenomena in iron pnictides. For example, the resistivity is nearly linear-in-T, and the Hall coefficient  $R_{\rm H}$ increases at lower temperatures [4, 26]. Although the forward scattering induced by ferro-orbital fluctuations might be irrelevant, antiferro-orbital and AFM fluctuations with  $\mathbf{Q} = (\pi, 0)$  are expected to cause the anomalous transport, due to the current vertex correction [27].

In summary, we have proposed a mechanism of  $s_{++}$ wave SC state induced by orbital fluctuations, due to the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction. Three orbitals  $(XZ, YZ \text{ and } X^2 - Y^2)$  are necessary to leads the ferro-orbital fluctuations. The SC gap structure drastically changes depending on parameters  $\alpha_s$ ,  $\alpha_c$ , and  $n_{\text{imp}}$ , consistently with observed rich variety of the gap structure that is a salient feature of iron pnictides. Orbital fluctuation mediated  $s_{++}$ -wave state is also obtained for hole-doped cases, although the antiferro-orbital fluctuations becomes stronger than the ferro-orbital ones.

The s-wave superconductivity induced by orbital fluctuations had been discussed in Ref. [17] for U' > U; this condition can be realized by including the  $A_{1g}$ -phonon [28]. In the present model, however,  $A_{1g}$ -phonon is negligible since  $g_{\rm cr}(0)$  given by  $A_{1g}$ -phonon is much greater than  $g_{\rm cr}(0) \sim 0.4$  in Fig. 2 (a): The ferro-obtital fluctuations in Fig. 2 (b) originate from the *negative* exchange interaction caused by  $E_q$ -phonon, as shown in Fig. 1 (c).

We thank D.S. Hirashima, M. Sato, Y. Matsuda, Y. Ōno and Y. Yanagi for valuable discussions. This study has been supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from MEXT of Japan, and by JST, TRIP.

Note added in proof: After the acceptance of this work, we found that  $g_{\rm cr}(0) \sim 0.4$  in Fig. 2 (a) is reduced to half if all the *e*-ph matrix elements including 1,5 orbitals are taken into account. Results similar to Fig. 3 are obtained by using  $g(0) \sim 0.2$ , whereas (vertical-type) nodes appear on FS3,4 during the  $s_{++} \rightarrow s_{\pm}$  crossover for U = 1.18.

- [1] K. Kuroki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 087004 (2008).
- [2] I. I. Mazin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 057003 (2008).
- [3] S. Onari and H. Kontani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 177001 (2009).
- [4] A. Kawabata *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) Suppl. C 103704; M. Sato *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79** (2009) 014710; S.C. Lee *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79** (2010) 023702.
- [5] C. Tarantini et al., arXiv:0910.5198.
- [6] A. D. Christianson, et al., Nature 456, 930 (2008).
- [7] S. Onari *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 060504(R) (2010).
- [8] L. Boeri et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 026403 (2008).
- [9] R.M. Fernandes *et al.*, arXiv:0911.3084.
- [10] M. Rahlenbeck et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 064509 (2009).
- [11] K. Hashimoto et al., arXiv:0907.4399.
- [12] C. Martin et al, Phys. Rev. B 81, 060505(R) (2010).
- [13] T.A. Maier, et al., Phys. Rev. B 79, 224510 (2009).
- [14] K. Yada and H. Kontani, Phys. Rev. B 77, 184521 (2008).
- [15] D.J. Singh, Physica C 469, 418 (2009).
- [16] J.E. Han *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 167006 (2003); M. Capone *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 047001 (2004).
- [17] T. Takimoto *et al.*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14**, L369 (2002).
- [18] The effect of Coulomb interaction on  $\chi^c_{24,42}(\boldsymbol{q},0)$  is not large if  $C_{ll',ll'} + C_{ll',l'l} = -U' + J + J'$  is small. [19]  $\lambda_i$  for orbital  $i = 2 \sim 4$  is  $\lambda_i \approx -\sum_{j=2}^4 N_j(0) V_{ij,ij}(0) =$
- [19]  $\lambda_i$  for orbital  $i = 2 \sim 4$  is  $\lambda_i \approx -\sum_{j=2}^4 N_j(0) V_{ij,ij}(0) = N(0)g(0)$ , where  $N_j(0)$  is the partial DOS. Then,  $\lambda \approx N(0)g(0)$  in the band-diagonal basis.
- [20] T. Shimojima et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 057002 (2010).
- [21] F. Krüger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 054504 (2009); W. Lv *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 224506 (2009); C.C. Lee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 267001 (2009).
- [22] Above  $T_c$ ,  $\lambda_E$  slightly *increases with*  $n_{imp}$  in conventional *s*-wave superconductors, but never exceeds unity.
- [23] V. Mishra *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 094512 (2009); D.
   Markowitz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **131**, 563 (1963).

- [24] D. V. Evtushinsky et al., New J. Phys. 11, 055069 (2009).
- [25] T. Nakano *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 100510(R) (2010); Y.
   Nakai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 020503(R) (2010).
- [26] S. Kasahara *et al.*, arXiv:0905.4427.

- [27] H. Kontani, Rep. Prog. Phys. 71, 026501 (2008).
- [28] Y. Yanagi et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 054518 (2010).