

Zero-range condensation at criticality

Inés Armendáriz*, Stefan Grosskinsky†, Michail Loulakis‡

June 21, 2024

Abstract

Zero-range processes with decreasing jump rates exhibit a continuous condensation transition, where a finite fraction of all particles condenses on a single lattice site when the total density exceeds a critical value. We study the onset of condensation, i.e. the behaviour of the maximum occupation number after adding a subextensive excess mass of particles at the critical density. We establish a law of large numbers for the excess mass fraction in the maximum, which turns out to jump from 0 to a positive value at a critical scale. Our results also include distributional limits for the fluctuations of the maximum in both regimes, which change from standard extreme value statistics to Gaussian. We identify the detailed behaviour at the critical scale including sub-leading terms, providing a full understanding of the crossover between the two regimes.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60K35, 82C22

Keywords: Zero Range Process, Condensation, Conditional Maximum.

1 Introduction

The zero-range process is one of the interacting particle systems introduced in the seminal paper [17]. The process has unbounded local state space, i.e. there is no restriction on the number of particles per site, and the jump rate $g(n)$ at a given site depends only on the number of particles n at that site. This simple zero-range interaction leads to a product structure of the stationary measures [1, 17] and further interest was initially on the existence of the dynamics under general conditions [1] and on establishing hydrodynamic limits. These questions have been successfully addressed in the case of attractive zero-range processes when $g(n)$ is a non-decreasing function, and results are summarized in [15]. For processes with space-dependent rates g_x there are also rigorous results regarding condensation on the slowest site [2].

More recently, there has been increasing interest in zero-range processes with spatially homogeneous jump rates $g(n)$ decreasing with the number of particles. This results in an effective attraction of the particles and can lead to condensation phenomena. A generic family of models with that property has been introduced in the theoretical physics literature [7], and the following phase transition was established using heuristic arguments: If the particle density ρ exceeds a critical value ρ_c , the system phase separates into a homogeneous background with density ρ_c and a condensate, a single randomly

*Universidad de San Andrés, Vito Dumas 284, B1644BID, Victoria, Argentina. Email: iarmendariz@udesa.edu.ar

†Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. Email: S.W.Grosskinsky@warwick.ac.uk

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, 714 09 Heraklion Crete, Greece, and Institute of Applied and Computational Mathematics, FORTH. Email: loulakis@tem.uoc.gr

located lattice site that contains a macroscopic fraction of all the particles. This type of condensation appears in diverse contexts such as traffic jamming, gelation in networks, or wealth condensation in macro-economies, and zero range processes or simple variants have been used as prototype models (see [8] for a review).

The existence of invariant measures with simple product structure makes the problem mathematically tractable. Jeon, March and Pittel showed in [14] that for some cases of zero-range processes the maximum site contains a non-zero fraction of all the particles. Condensation has been established rigorously in [13] as a continuous phase transition by proving the equivalence of ensembles in the thermodynamic limit, where the lattice size L and the number of particles N tend to infinity such that $N/L \rightarrow \rho$. This implies convergence of finite-dimensional marginals of stationary measures conditioned on a total particle number N , to stationary product measures with density ρ in the subcritical case $\rho \leq \rho_c$, and with density ρ_c in the supercritical case $\rho > \rho_c$. In the latter case the condition on the particle number is an atypical event which is most likely realized by a large deviation of the maximum component, and the problem can be described as Gibbs conditioning for measures without exponential moments. It turns out (cf. [3]) that a strong form of the equivalence holds in the supercritical case, which determines the asymptotic distribution of the particles on all L sites. A similar result has been established in [10] on a lattice of fixed size L in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the local equivalence of ensembles result was generalized to processes with several particle species in [12]. More recent rigorous results address metastability and the motion of the condensate [4].

In this paper we study the properties of the condensation transition at the critical density ρ_c for the processes introduced in [7], to understand the onset of the condensate formation. We consider the thermodynamic limit with $N/L \rightarrow \rho_c$, but where the excess mass $N - \rho_c L$ tends to infinity on a scale $o(L)$. We identify the critical scale, below which the excess mass is distributed among all lattice sites, and above which it concentrates on a single one. We also study the behaviour at the critical scale, where both scenarios can occur with positive probability. Our results (formulated in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2) provide a rather detailed picture of the condensation transition at the critical point, and they could contribute to a further understanding of finite-size effects and metastability in the condensed phase. They also provide the answer to a question raised in [9], regarding the change of the extremal value statistics of the maximum when the particle density crosses the critical point. We use previous results on local limit theorems for moderate deviations of random variables with power-law distribution [6], and stretched exponential distribution [16]. In the latter case we can also extend the results for $\rho > \rho_c$ (Corollary 2.3) to parameter values that were not covered by the results in [3].

2 Definitions and results

2.1 The zero-range process and condensation

We consider the zero-range processes on a finite discrete set Λ_L of size L . Given a jump rate function $g : \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \mapsto [0, +\infty)$ such that $g(n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow n = 0$ and a set of transition probabilities $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\Lambda_L \times \Lambda_L$, a zero range process is defined as a Markov process on the state space $X_L = \mathbb{N}_0^{\Lambda_L}$ of all particle configurations

$$\eta = (\eta_x : x \in \Lambda_L), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\eta_x \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the local occupation number at site x . The dynamics is given by the generator

$$\mathcal{L}f(\eta) = \sum_{x,y \in \Lambda_L} g(\eta_x) p(x,y) (f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta)) \quad (2.2)$$

using the notation $\eta_z^{x,y} = \begin{cases} \eta_x - 1, & z = x \\ \eta_y + 1, & z = y \\ \eta_z, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, when $\eta_x > 0$.

For a technical discussion of the domain of test functions f of the generator and the corresponding construction of the semigroup we refer to [1]. The practical meaning of (2.2) is that any given site x loses one particle with rate $g(\eta_x)$ and this particle then jumps to site y with probability $p(x, y)$. To avoid degeneracies $p(x, y)$ should be irreducible transition probabilities of a random walk on Λ_L . In this way, the number of particles is the only conserved quantity of the process, leading to a family of stationary measures indexed by the particle density. In the following we are interested in the situation where these measures are spatially homogeneous. This is guaranteed by the condition that the harmonic equations

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} p(x, y) \lambda_x = \lambda_y, \quad y \in \Lambda_L \quad (2.3)$$

have the constant solution $\lambda_x \equiv 1$, and by irreducibility of $p(x, y)$ this implies that every solution is constant. This is for example the case if Λ_L is a regular periodic lattice and $p(x, y)$ is translation invariant, such as $\Lambda_L = \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z}$ and $p(x, y) = \delta_{y, x+1}$ for totally asymmetric or $p(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{y, x+1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{y, x-1}$ for symmetric nearest-neighbour hopping.

It is well known (see e.g. [1, 17]) that under the above conditions the zero-range process has a family of stationary homogeneous product measures ν_ϕ . The occupation numbers η_x are i.i.d. random variables with marginal distribution

$$\nu_\phi[\eta_x = n] = \frac{1}{z(\phi)} w(n) \phi^n \quad \text{where} \quad w(n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{g(k)}. \quad (2.4)$$

The parameter ϕ of the stationary measures is called fugacity, and the measures exist for all $\phi \geq 0$ such that the normalization (partition function) is finite, i.e.

$$z(\phi) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w(n) \phi^n < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

The particle density as a function of ϕ can be computed as

$$R(\phi) := \mathbb{E}^{\nu_\phi}[\eta_x] = \phi \partial_\phi \log z(\phi), \quad (2.6)$$

and turns out to be strictly increasing and continuous with $R(0) = 0$.

In this paper we consider the family of models introduced in [7], where the jump rates have asymptotic behaviour

$$g(n) \sim 1 + \frac{b}{n^\lambda} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.7)$$

with $b > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. With (2.4) this leads to stationary weights with asymptotic power law decay

$$w(n) \sim C n^{-b} \quad \text{for } \lambda = 1, \quad (2.8)$$

and stretched exponential decay

$$w(n) \sim C \exp\left(-\frac{b}{1-\lambda} n^{1-\lambda}\right) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (0, 1). \quad (2.9)$$

In the second case the distributions (2.4) are well defined for all $\phi \in [0, 1]$ with finite maximal (*critical*) density

$$\rho_c := R(1) < \infty \quad (2.10)$$

and finite corresponding variance

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}^{\nu^1} [\eta_x^2] - \rho_c^2 < \infty . \quad (2.11)$$

If $\lambda = 1$ the corresponding variance is finite if $b > 3$, which we will assume hereafter. It has been shown in [7, 13] that when the critical density is finite the system exhibits a condensation transition that can be quantified as follows. Since the number of particles is conserved by the microscopic dynamics for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the subspaces

$$X_{L,N} = \{ \eta \in X_L : S_L(\eta) = N \} \quad \text{where} \quad S_L(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \eta_x , \quad (2.12)$$

are invariant. The zero range process is irreducible over each of these subspaces and the unique invariant measure supported on $X_{L,N}$ is given by

$$\mu_{L,N} = \nu_\phi [\cdot \mid S_L = N] . \quad (2.13)$$

It is not hard to see that the measures $\mu_{L,N}$ are independent of ϕ on the right-hand side. A question of interest is convergence of the measures $\mu_{L,N}$ in the thermodynamic limit $L, N \rightarrow \infty, N/L \rightarrow \rho$. This is answered by the equivalence of ensembles principle, which states that in the limit the measures $\mu_{L,N}$ locally behave like a product measure ν_ϕ for a suitable ϕ . Note that when $\rho \leq \rho_c$ there exists a unique $\phi(\rho)$ such that $\rho = R(\phi(\rho))$, whereas if $\rho > \rho_c$ no such ϕ exists. The equivalence of ensembles precisely states that if f is a cylinder function, i.e. a function that only depends on the configuration η on a finite number of sites, then

$$\mu_{L,N} [f] \rightarrow \nu_\phi [f] , \quad (2.14)$$

provided that (see [12] and Appendix 2.1 in [15])

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \phi(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L^2(\nu_\phi) \quad \text{for} \quad \rho < \rho_c \quad \text{and} \\ \phi &= \phi_c = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f \text{ bounded} \quad \text{for} \quad \rho \geq \rho_c . \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The behaviour described above is accompanied by the emergence of a condensate, a site which contains $O(L)$ particles. If $\rho < \rho_c$ one can easily check that the limiting measures $\nu_{\phi(\rho)}$ have finite exponential moments and the size of the maximum component $M_L(\eta) = \max_{x \in \Lambda_L} \eta_x$ is typically $O(\log L)$. If on the other hand $\rho > \rho_c$ it has been shown in [14] for the power law case that

$$\frac{1}{L} M_L \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} \rho - \rho_c . \quad (2.16)$$

The notation in (2.16) which we also use in the following denotes convergence in probability w.r.t the conditional laws $\mu_{L,N}$, i.e.

$$\mu_{L,N} \left[\left| \frac{1}{L} M_L - (\rho - \rho_c) \right| > \epsilon \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0 . \quad (2.17)$$

Equation (2.14) has been generalized in [3] for $\rho > \rho_c$ to test functions f depending on all sites but the maximally occupied one, and equation (2.16) is proved for stretched exponentials of the form (2.9) with $\lambda > 1/2$, as well. An immediate corollary is that the size of the second largest component is typically $o(L)$, which implies that the condensate typically covers only a single randomly located lattice site.

2.2 Main results

In the following we study the distribution of the excess mass in the system at the critical point. We consider the thermodynamic limit $N/L \rightarrow \rho_c$ where the excess mass $N - \rho_c L \nearrow \infty$ (asymptotically monotone) on a sub-extensive scale $N - \rho_c L = o(L)$. Our first theorem on the power-law case (2.8) relies on a result of Doney (Theorem 2 in [6]) for the estimation of $\nu_{\phi_c}[S_L = N]$. Precisely, we have uniformly in N such that $z := (N - \rho_c L)/\sqrt{L} \rightarrow \infty$

$$\nu_{\phi_c}[S_L = N] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 L}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2}} (1 + o(1)) + L \nu_{\phi_c}[\eta_x = [z\sqrt{L}]] (1 + o(1)) \quad (2.18)$$

as $L \rightarrow \infty$. It turns out that when $N - \rho_c L$ is close to the typical scale \sqrt{L} (case (a) in the Theorem below) the first term of the sum dominates the right hand side of (2.18) and the excess mass is distributed homogeneously among the sites. On the other hand, when $N - \rho_c L$ is large enough (case (b)) it is the second term that dominates the right hand side of (2.18) and this implies the existence of a condensate that carries essentially all the excess mass. Finally, there is an intermediate scale (case (c)) where the two terms are of the same order and both scenarios can occur with positive probability.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\lambda = 1$ and $b > 3$, so that $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Assume that $N \geq \rho_c L$ and define γ_L by*

$$N = \rho_c L + \sigma \sqrt{(b-3)L \log L} \left(1 + \frac{b}{2(b-3)} \frac{\log \log L}{\log L} + \frac{\gamma_L}{\log L} \right). \quad (2.19)$$

a) *If $\gamma_L \rightarrow -\infty$ the distribution under $\mu_{L,N}$ of the maximum M_L is asymptotically equivalent to its distribution under ν_{ϕ_c} . Precisely, there exists a $u > 0$ such that for all $x > 0$ we have*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{L,N} \left[\frac{M_L}{L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} \leq x \right] = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\phi_c} \left[\frac{M_L}{L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} \leq x \right] = e^{-u x^{1-b}}. \quad (2.20)$$

$$\text{In particular, if } N - \rho_c L \gg L^{\frac{1}{b-1}} \text{ then } \frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} 0. \quad (2.21)$$

b) *If $\gamma_L \rightarrow +\infty$ the normalized fluctuations of the maximum around the excess mass under $\mu_{L,N}$ converge in distribution to a normal r.v.,*

$$\frac{M_L - (N - \rho_c L)}{\sqrt{L\sigma^2}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1). \quad (2.22)$$

$$\text{In particular, } \frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} 1. \quad (2.23)$$

c) *If $\gamma_L \rightarrow \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we have convergence in distribution to a Bernoulli random variable,*

$$\frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Be}(p_\gamma), \quad (2.24)$$

where $p_\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $p_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ (1), as $\gamma \rightarrow -\infty$ ($+\infty$). An explicit expression for p_γ is given in (3.17) in the proofs section.

In view of (2.19) the critical scale above which the excess mass concentrates on the maximum and forms a condensate is of order $N - \rho_c L \sim \sqrt{L \log L}$. On that scale the transition appears suddenly at $\sigma \sqrt{(b-3)L \log L}$ according to (2.21) and (2.23), but the theorem also contains a precise statement on

the sub-leading scale at which the condensate actually emerges (2.24).

We return to a more detailed discussion after stating the result for the stretched exponential tail ($\lambda < 1$). The counterpart of estimate (2.18) was obtained by A. V. Nagaev in [16], where the size of the maximum is also discussed. In fact, a careful reading reveals that equation (2.29) below is already contained there.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $c_\lambda = (1 + \lambda)(2\lambda)^{-\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}} \left(\frac{b}{1-\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}$. Assume that $N \geq \rho_c L$ and define t_L by*

$$N = \rho_c L + t_L (\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}. \quad (2.25)$$

a) *If $\limsup t_L < c_\lambda$ the distribution under $\mu_{L,N}$ of the maximum M_L is asymptotically equivalent to its distribution under ν_{ϕ_c} . Precisely, there exist sequences y_L, b_L such that*

$$y_L \sim \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{b} \log L\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}, \quad b_L \sim \frac{y_L^\lambda}{b}, \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.26)$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{L,N} \left[\frac{M_L - y_L}{b_L} \leq x \right] = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{\phi_c} \left[\frac{M_L - y_L}{b_L} \leq x \right] = e^{-e^{-x}}. \quad (2.27)$$

$$\text{In particular, if } N - \rho_c L \gg (\log L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}, \text{ then } \frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} 0. \quad (2.28)$$

b) *If $t_L \rightarrow t$ with $c_\lambda < t \leq +\infty$, there exists a sequence $a_L \rightarrow a(t)$ such that*

$$\frac{M_L - (N - \rho_c L)a_L}{\sqrt{L}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \frac{\lambda(1-a(t))}{a(t)}} \right). \quad (2.29)$$

$$\text{In particular, } \frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} a(t). \quad (2.30)$$

The sequence a_L is implicitly defined by (A.6) in the Appendix. The limit $a(t)$ in the preceding equation is an increasing function of t with

$$\lim_{t \downarrow c_\lambda} a(t) = \frac{2\lambda}{1+\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} a(t) = a(+\infty) = 1.$$

c) *If $\lim t_L = c_\lambda$ then the sub-leading scale where the transition appears in general depends on the first few Cramér coefficients of the distribution. This is not the case when $\lambda > 1/2$ and the result is simple enough to state. Suppose*

$$N = \rho_c L + c_\lambda (\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}} - \frac{3(1+\lambda)}{4\lambda} (\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}} \log L + \gamma_L L^{\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}} \quad (2.31)$$

with $\gamma_L \rightarrow \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have convergence to a Bernoulli random variable,

$$\frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{2\lambda}{1+\lambda} \text{Be}(p_\gamma), \quad (2.32)$$

where $p_\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $p_\gamma \rightarrow 0$ (1) for $\gamma \rightarrow -\infty$ ($+\infty$).




Figure 1: Illustration of the law of large numbers for the excess mass fraction $\frac{M_L}{N - L \rho_c}$ in the condensate on the critical scale Δ_L (2.33). For the power law case ($\lambda = 1$) the results are given in (2.21) and (2.23), for the stretched exponential case ($\lambda \in (0, 1)$) in (2.28) and (2.30). The behaviour at 1 depends on the sub-leading terms in the excess mass, as detailed in (2.19) and (2.31).

Comparing with the power-law case we see an analogous picture, where the lighter tails here result in a later formation of the condensate at the size $N - \rho_c L = O(L^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}})$. In summary, the critical scale Δ_L for the excess mass is

$$\Delta_L = \begin{cases} \sigma \sqrt{(b-3)L \log L} & \text{for } \lambda = 1, b > 3 \text{ and} \\ c_\lambda (\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}} & \text{for } \lambda \in (0, 1), b > 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.33)$$

As long as $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} (N - \rho_c L) / \Delta_L < 1$ the excess mass does not affect the behaviour of the maximum. According to statements a) of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, M_L scales as the maximum of i.i.d. random variables proportional to $L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}$ and $(\log L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}$ respectively, with limiting Fréchet distribution for power law tails (2.20) (cf. [9]) and Gumbel distribution for stretched exponential tails (2.27).

Part of our results can be summarized in a weak law of large numbers for the ratio $M_L / (N - \rho_c L)$. This ratio exhibits a sudden jump from 0 to a positive value when the excess mass reaches the critical size Δ_L . At this point both values can occur with positive probability (cf. (2.24) and (2.32)), depending on sub-leading orders of the excess mass as detailed in (2.19) and (2.31). Above the critical scale, in the power law case the full excess mass is concentrated in the maximum (2.23). On the other hand, for stretched exponential tails the excess mass is shared between the condensate and the bulk according to (2.30) as long as $N - \rho_c L \sim \Delta_L$, and the fraction $a(t)$ of the condensate gradually increases to 1. This behaviour is illustrated in Figure 1.

Next, we derive limit theorems for the fluctuations of the maximum, which are Gaussian above the critical scale Δ_L (cf. (2.22) and (2.29)), and given by the extreme value statistics below Δ_L as detailed above. This behaviour has been predicted heuristically in [9] for the power law case, and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 provide a rigorous confirmation also for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, together with a full understanding of the crossover from extremal statistics to Gaussian fluctuations.

When crossing the critical scale, the discontinuous behaviour (2.24) and (2.32) implies that the condensate is formed mostly by particles taken from the bulk rather than the ones added to the system.

A more careful view at sub-leading scales in (2.19) and (2.31) reveals that the number of particles joining the maximum is indeed of higher order than the number of particles that are added to the system to form the condensate.

In view of (2.30), in the stretched exponential case the typical number of particles in the bulk above Δ_L is $\rho_c L + (1 - a_L)(N - \rho_c L)$ and its fluctuations are of order \sqrt{L} . When $t_L \rightarrow \infty$ it follows by the implicit definition (A.6) of a_L that

$$(1 - a_L)(N - \rho_c L) \sim \frac{\sigma^2 b L}{(N - \rho_c L)^\lambda}.$$

So unless $N - \rho_c L \gg L^{1/(2\lambda)}$, the threshold above which the term above becomes $o(\sqrt{L})$, the equivalence of ensembles cannot be extended to the strong form of [3] (Theorem 2b). Note that for $\lambda \leq 1/2$ this affects even supercritical densities, i.e. $N/L \rightarrow \rho > \rho_c$. This is why previous results did not cover this case, which is summarized in the following simple Corollary of Theorem 2.2, and completes the picture for supercritical densities.

Corollary 2.3 *If $\lambda \in (0, 1/2]$ and $N/L \rightarrow \rho > \rho_c$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{L} M_L \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} \rho - \rho_c$$

and fluctuations around this limit are given by (2.29), with $(1 - a_L) = O(L^{-\lambda})$.

3 Proofs

Since the product measures ν_ϕ and the conditional distributions $\mu_{L,N}$ are exchangeable and independent of the jump probabilities $p(x, y)$, the spatial structure of zero-range configurations is irrelevant for our results. In the following we will therefore consider η_1, η_2, \dots to be i.i.d. integer valued random variables defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where \mathcal{F} is the σ -field generated by $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbb{P} = \nu_{\phi_c}$. We further define

$$p_n := \mathbb{P}[\eta_x = n]. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that p_n is directly proportional to the stationary weights $w(n)$ in (2.4). Recall the notation

$$\rho_c = \mathbb{E}[\eta_k], \quad \sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[\eta_k^2] - \rho_c^2, \quad S_L = \sum_{k=1}^L \eta_k, \quad M_L = \max_{k=1, \dots, L} \eta_k, \tag{3.2}$$

and that the conditional laws are given by $\mu_{L,N} = \mathbb{P}[\cdot \mid S_L = N]$. Our proofs mainly involve explicit estimates and standard large deviations techniques.

3.1 The power law case

For $\lambda = 1$ we have $p_n \sim A n^{-b}$. The proof relies on (2.18) and the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *If $b > 3$ and $0 \leq N - \rho_c L \leq CL^\delta$ with $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < \frac{b-2}{b-1} \wedge \frac{2}{3}$ then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_L \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}; S_L = N\right] = e^{-\frac{A}{b-1}x^{1-b}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 L}} e^{-\frac{(N-\rho_c L)^2}{2\sigma^2 L}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.2 Suppose $b > 3$ and $N - \rho_c L \gg \vartheta_L \sqrt{L}$, for a sequence $\vartheta_L \rightarrow \infty$. Then as $L \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_L \geq N - \rho_c L - \vartheta_L \sqrt{L}; S_L = N\right] = AL(N - \rho_c L)^{-b}(1 + o(1)). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1: In order to compute the probability of the rare event $\left\{M_L \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}; S_L = N\right\}$ we use an exponential change of measure that makes it typical under the new measure. Precisely, for any $s \geq 0$ we define the measure \mathbb{P}_s on the σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_L = \sigma(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_L)$, $L = 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_s}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_L} := \frac{1}{Z(s)^L} \mathbb{1}_{\{M_L \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}\}} e^{sS_L},$$

where the normalization above is given by

$$Z(s) = \sum_{k \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} e^{sk} p_k.$$

Note that under \mathbb{P}_s the random variables $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d., bounded above by $xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}$, their mean value is given by

$$\rho(s) = \frac{Z'(s)}{Z(s)} = \frac{1}{Z(s)} \sum_{k \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} k e^{sk} p_k,$$

and their variance is given by $\sigma^2(s) = \rho'(s)$. With this definition we have

$$\mathbb{P}[M_L \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}; S_L = N] = Z(s)^L e^{-sN} \mathbb{P}_s[S_L = N]. \quad (3.5)$$

This identity holds for all s such that the right-hand side is well defined. In the following, we want to choose a particular $s = s_*$ such that $\rho(s_*) = \frac{N}{L}$, which will provide the estimates for the terms in the product on the right. To see that such an $s_* > 0$ exists, we first consider the range of the continuous function $\rho(s)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define $J_L := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon L^{-\frac{1}{b-1}}} \frac{|\sigma^2(s) - \sigma^2(0)|}{s}$. It is not hard to see that

$$J_L \sim \sum_{k \leq xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} k^3 p_k, \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

so it is bounded if $b > 4$, it diverges as $\log L$ when $b = 4$, and it diverges as $L^{\frac{4-b}{b-1}}$ when $3 < b < 4$. Note that when L is large enough,

$$\rho(0) = \rho_c - \frac{1}{Z(0)} \sum_{k > xL^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} (k - \rho_c) p_k < \frac{N}{L}. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, a Taylor expansion for $\rho(\cdot)$ gives

$$\rho(s) = \rho(0) + \sigma^2(0)s + h(s),$$

where the error term $h(s)$ satisfies

$$|h(s)| = \left| \int_0^s (\sigma^2(t) - \sigma^2(0)) dt \right| \leq \frac{s^2}{2} J_L, \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, \varepsilon L^{-\frac{1}{b-1}}]. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, when L is sufficiently large we have

$$\rho(\varepsilon L^{-\frac{1}{b-1}}) > \rho(0) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(0)\varepsilon L^{-\frac{1}{b-1}} > \frac{N}{L}.$$

Now we can choose $s_* \in (0, \varepsilon L^{-\frac{1}{b-1}})$ so that $\rho(s_*) = \frac{N}{L}$. Using (3.8) we see that

$$s_* = \frac{N - \rho_c L}{\sigma^2(0)L} (1 + o(1)), \quad (3.9)$$

as long as $N - \rho_c L \gg L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}$, and of smaller order otherwise. We can now rewrite (3.5) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[M_L \leq x L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}; S_L = N] &= e^{L(\log Z(s_*) - s_* \rho(s_*))} \mathbb{P}_{s_*}[S_L = N] \\ &= Z(0)^L \exp\left\{-L \int_0^{s_*} t \rho'(t) dt\right\} \mathbb{P}_{s_*}[S_L = N]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we determine the asymptotic behaviour of each term in the preceding product. Observe that

$$\nu_{\phi_c} \left[\frac{M_L}{L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} \leq x \right] = Z(0)^L = \left(1 - \sum_{k > x L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} p_k \right)^L \longrightarrow e^{-\frac{A}{b-1} x^{1-b}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{s_*} t \rho'(t) dt &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2(0)} \int_0^{s_*} (\rho(t) - \rho(0) - h(t)) \rho'(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(\rho(s_*) - \rho(0))^2}{2\sigma^2(0)} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(0)} \int_0^{s_*} h(t) \rho'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left| L \int_0^{s_*} t \rho'(t) dt - \frac{(\rho(s_*) - \rho(0))^2 L}{2\sigma^2(0)} \right| \leq CL s_*^3 J_L \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.11)$$

where we have used the elementary estimate $|\sigma^2(s_*) - \sigma^2(0)| \sim CL^{\frac{3-b}{b-1}} \rightarrow 0$. Using this again, we can see that

$$\frac{(\rho(s_*) - \rho(0))^2 L}{2\sigma^2(0)} - \frac{(N - \rho_c L)^2}{2\sigma^2 L} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which together with (3.11) gives that

$$\exp\left\{-L \int_0^{s_*} t \rho'(t) dt\right\} \sim \exp\left\{-\frac{(N - \rho_c L)^2}{2\sigma^2 L}\right\}, \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

Finally, by the local limit theorem for triangular arrays (Theorem 1.2 in [5]) we have

$$\sqrt{2\pi L \sigma^2(s_*)} \mathbb{P}_{s_*}[S_L = N] \longrightarrow 1,$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 3.2: Consider a sequence ϑ_L as in the statement of the lemma. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P_L &:= \mathbb{P}[M_L \geq N - \rho_c L - \vartheta_L \sqrt{L}; S_L = N] \\ &= \sum_{k \geq (N - \rho_c L) - \vartheta_L \sqrt{L}} L p_k \mathbb{P}[S_{L-1} = N - k; M_{L-1} \leq k]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the central limit theorem we can see that the contribution to the sum of the terms outside the set $U_L = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : |N - \rho_c L - m| \leq \vartheta_L \sqrt{L}\}$ is negligible, that is

$$P_L = \sum_{k \in U_L} L p_k \mathbb{P}[S_{L-1} = N - k; M_{L-1} \leq k] + o(L(N - \rho_c L)^{-b})$$

We can now use the regular variation of p_k to get

$$P_L = AL(N - \rho_c L)^{-b} \left(\sum_{k \in U_L} \mathbb{P}[S_{L-1} = N - k; M_{L-1} \leq k] + o(1) \right).$$

The last sum converges to 1 again by the central limit theorem and the fact that $\mathbb{P}[M_{L-1} \leq k] \rightarrow 1$, uniformly for $k \in U_L$, so

$$P_L = AL(N - \rho_c L)^{-b} (1 + o(1)),$$

as asserted.

We proceed now with the proof of Theorem 2.1.

(a) *The case $\gamma_L \rightarrow -\infty$.* Here $N - \rho_c L \leq C\sqrt{L \log L}$, and the assertion of the Theorem follows directly by Lemma 3.1 and (2.18).

(b) *The case $\gamma_L \rightarrow +\infty$.* This case is essentially treated in [3]. It is shown there (cf. Theorem 1b) that when the second term on the right hand side of (2.18) dominates the probability of the event $\{S_L = N\}$, the variables $\{\eta_i\}$ aside from their maximum become asymptotically independent with distribution ν_{ϕ_c} . This entails that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mu_{L,N} \left[\frac{M_L - (N - \rho_c L)}{\sigma \sqrt{L}} \leq y \right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y e^{-x^2/2} dx, \quad (3.13)$$

which is (2.22).

(c) *The case $\gamma_L \rightarrow \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.* Here, $N - \rho_c L \sim \sigma \sqrt{(b-3)L \log L}$, and the two terms in the right hand side of (2.18) are of the same order. Precisely,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L \sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(N - \rho_c L)^2}{2\sigma^2 L} \right\}}{LA[N - \rho_c L]^{-b}} \rightarrow \frac{\sigma^{b-1} (b-3)^{\frac{b}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} A} e^{-(b-3)\gamma} =: \lambda_\gamma. \quad (3.14)$$

It follows by (2.18) and Lemma 3.1, that if ϑ_L is any sequence such that $\vartheta_L \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$\liminf \mu_{L,N} \left[M_L \leq \vartheta_L L^{\frac{1}{b-1}} \right] \geq \frac{\lambda_\gamma}{1 + \lambda_\gamma}. \quad (3.15)$$

On the other hand, applying Lemma 3.2 with $\vartheta_L \ll \sqrt{\log L}$ we have that

$$\liminf \mu_{L,N} \left[M_L \geq N - \rho_c L - \vartheta_L \sqrt{L} \right] = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_\gamma}. \quad (3.16)$$

The last two relations together imply that

$$\frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{d} Be(p_\gamma), \quad \text{with } p_\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_\gamma}. \quad (3.17)$$

Note that p_γ as given above satisfies

$$p_\gamma \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \gamma \rightarrow \infty \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \rightarrow -\infty \end{cases}. \quad (3.18)$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.2 The stretched exponential case

Here we have $p_n \sim Ae^{-\frac{b}{1-\lambda}n^{1-\lambda}}$. The proof in this case uses results from [16], which are summarized in the Appendix.

(a) *The case* $\limsup t_L < c_\lambda$. The second equation in (2.27) that gives the limit theorem for M_L without conditioning is a standard computation in extreme value theory. The appropriate scales y_L, b_L can be chosen so that

$$L \sum_{k \geq y_L} p_k \sim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sum_{k \geq L + xb_L} p_k}{\sum_{k \geq L} p_k} \rightarrow e^{-x}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.19)$$

and it is easy to see that they satisfy (2.26). According to (A.3)

$$\mathbb{P}[M_L \leq cy_L; S_L = N] \sim \mathbb{P}[S_L = N], \quad \forall c > 1. \quad (3.20)$$

Next, we perform an exponential change of measure similar to the one we applied in the power law case.

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbb{P}_s}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_L} := \frac{1}{Z(s)^L} \mathbb{1}_{\{M_L \leq y_L + xb_L\}} e^{sS_L}, \quad \left. \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_s}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_L} := \frac{1}{\tilde{Z}(s)^L} \mathbb{1}_{\{M_L \leq cy_L\}} e^{sS_L},$$

where now

$$Z(s) = \sum_{k \leq y_L + xb_L} e^{sk} p_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Z}(s) = \sum_{k \leq cy_L} e^{sk} p_k.$$

If we pick again $s_* > 0$ so that $\rho(s_*) = N/L$ it is easy to see that the order of s_* is at most $(N - \rho_c L)/L$. We can now write

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[M_L \leq y_L + xb_L; S_L = N]}{\mathbb{P}[M_L \leq cy_L; S_L = N]} = \frac{\mathbb{P}_{s_*}[S_L = N]}{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_*}[S_L = N]} \left(\frac{Z(s_*)}{\tilde{Z}(s_*)} \right)^L.$$

With the choice we made for s_* the mean of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{s_*}$ is not equal to N/L , but it requires a simple estimate to prove that it does not differ from N/L by more than C/L . Applying the local limit theorem to the two terms of the first fraction and using (3.19), (3.20) and the estimates on s_* we have

$$\mu_{L,N} \left[M_L \leq y_L + xb_L \right] \sim \left(\frac{Z(s_*)}{\tilde{Z}(s_*)} \right)^L \sim \left(\frac{Z(0)}{\tilde{Z}(0)} \right)^L \sim Z(0)^L \longrightarrow e^{-e^{-x}}. \quad (3.21)$$

The last limit is precisely the limit theorem for the maximum without conditioning.

(b) *The case* $\lim t_L = t > c_\lambda$. When $N - \rho_c L \gg L^{\frac{1}{2\lambda}}$ this can be deduced by Theorem 1a in [3], since in that case the $L - 1$ smallest variables become asymptotically independent. In fact, we can also take $a_L = 1$. For smaller values of $N - \rho_c L$, even though it is not stated explicitly, this is essentially proved in [16]. Note that by Remarks 2,3 and 5 in the Appendix for any $t_L \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\mu_{L,N} \left[|M_L - (1 - \alpha)(N - \rho_c L)| < t_L \sqrt{L} \right] \longrightarrow 1.$$

where α is implicitly defined by (A.6). This implies that the conditional distribution of $\frac{M_L - (1 - \alpha)(N - \rho_c L)}{\sqrt{L}}$ is tight. A careful reading of the proof of Lemma 7, part 2 in [16] reveals that in fact this distribution has the asserted limit. Note that a_L in the statement of Theorem 2.2 is given by $1 - \alpha$. The properties of its limit $a(t)$ can easily be deduced by (A.6).

(c) *The case* $\lim t_L = c_\lambda$. Technically speaking, the situation here is the same as in the third part of Theorem 2.1. By (A.10), the leading order of $\mathbb{P}[S_L = N]$ is the sum of two explicit terms. One just needs to find the precise subscale around $N - \rho_c L - c_\lambda(\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}$ where these two terms are of the same order. This is a tedious, but otherwise straightforward task, and the reader will have no problem in verifying the statement of the Theorem.

4 Concluding Remarks

1. The case $\lambda = 1$ and $2 < b \leq 3$ is not covered by the results of this article. The reason we cannot provide results of the sort of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is the lack of a precise estimate for the probability of a moderate deviation of the sum in that case, similar to the results by Nagaev in the stretched exponential case, and by Doney for the square integrable power-law tails. Nevertheless, when the excess mass is such that

$$\mathbb{P}[S_L = N] = L \mathbb{P} \left[\eta_1 = [N - \rho_c L] \right] (1 + o(1)),$$

we can still apply Theorem 1 in [3] to obtain a stable limit theorem for the fluctuations of the maximum around $N - \rho_c L$. For instance if $2 < b < 3$, the preceding relation is true provided $N - \rho_c L \gg L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}$, and under this condition we get that

$$\frac{M_L - N - \rho_c L}{L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}} \xrightarrow{d} G$$

where G is a completely asymmetric stable law with index $b - 1$, and in particular that

$$\frac{M_L}{N - \rho_c L} \xrightarrow{\mu_{L,N}} 1.$$

2. Evans and Majumdar discuss the size and the fluctuations of M_L for the power-law case when $N = \rho L$ in [9]. Using saddle point arguments they argue that when $\rho < \rho_c$ we have $M_L = O(\log L)$

with Gumbel fluctuations, when $\rho = \rho_c$ we have $M_L = O(L^{\frac{1}{b-1}})$ with limiting Fréchet distribution, while when $\rho > \rho_c$ we have $M_L = (\rho - \rho_c)L$ with Gaussian or stable law fluctuations. They also point out that it would be interesting to explore how the transition from one behaviour to the other occurs. Theorem 2.1 describes the transition for critical to supercritical behaviour in detail. The transition from subcritical to critical behaviour is a much simpler problem. This is because the random variables we are considering are positive so a downside large deviation of the sum cannot be realised by a big negative jump. Downside moderate deviations at critical density (that is $N < \rho_c L$, but $\frac{N}{L} \rightarrow \rho_c$) are realised in the way Gibbs conditioning suggests. Precisely, if for any $s > 0$ we define

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_s}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_L} := \frac{1}{Z(s)^L} e^{-sS_L}, \quad \text{with} \quad Z(s) = \sum_k e^{-sk} p_k,$$

and choose $s = s_*$ such that the mean value of η_j under \mathbb{P}_{s_*} is equal to $\frac{N}{L} < \rho_c$, then M_L asymptotically behaves as the maximum of L samples from \mathbb{P}_{s_*} . This can be proved using similar arguments to those we used in the previous section. The result for the power law case is as follows.

- a) If $0 \leq \rho_c L - N \ll L^{\frac{b-2}{b-1}}$, then $\frac{M_L}{L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}}$ converges in distribution to the same Fréchet distribution as the maximum of independent samples from ν_{ϕ_c} .
- b) If $(\rho_c L - N)/L^{\frac{b-2}{b-1}} \rightarrow p > 0$, then $\frac{M_L}{L^{\frac{1}{b-1}}}$ converges in distribution to a law whose distribution function F has the form $F(x) = \exp\{C e^{-px} x^{1-b}\}$.
- c) if $L^{\frac{b-2}{b-1}} \ll \rho_c L - N \ll L$, then $\frac{M_L - A_L}{B_L}$ converges to a Gumbel distribution, where A_L is determined by the equation

$$A_L^{b-1} \exp\left\{\frac{(\rho_c L - N)A_L}{\sigma^2 L}\right\} = L, \quad \text{and} \quad B_L = \frac{L}{\rho_c L - N}.$$

This completes the picture of the emergence of the condensate at the critical density.

Acknowledgments

The results in this article is the answer a question raised by Pablo Ferrari after a seminar in the 2008 Brazilian School of Probability in Ouro Preto. We would like to thank him for pointing out the problem to us. This research has been supported by the University of Warwick Research Development Grant RD08138, FAPESP Grant No 2007/50230-1 and the University of Crete Basic Research grant KA-2865.

Appendix

Theorem 2.2 for the stretched exponential case relies heavily on the asymptotics for the probability of moderate deviations in [16]. An English translation of this article can be found in *Selected Translations in Mathematics, Statistics and Probability* (1973), Volume 11. The purpose of this appendix is to provide the main results of this difficult to access article, as they apply in our model.

We use the same notation as in Section 3, introduced in (3.2), and write the stretched exponential tail of the law \mathbb{P} of the independent integer random variables η_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ as

$$\mathbb{P}[\eta_j = n] \sim A e^{-\gamma n^{1-\lambda}}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where A, γ are positive constants and $0 < \lambda < 1$. We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the probabilities $P_L(N) = \mathbb{P}[S_L = N]$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$, where $N = \rho_c L + k$, and k deviates from the typical behaviour $k = O(\sqrt{L})$. This is done in [16] when $A = \gamma = 1$ in a series of five theorems and remarks, where the asymptotics are obtained for five different ranges of k -values. In the following, we transcribe these theorems for arbitrary values of A and γ .

We will denote by t_L a sequence that increases to infinity arbitrarily slowly. Let $r_L(m)$ be a sequence that possesses the property

$$L \sum_{n=r_L(m)}^{\infty} n^m e^{-\gamma n^{1-\lambda}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that we may take $r_L(m) = (\beta \log L)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}$, for any $\beta > \gamma^{-1}$. We will use $\lambda^{[t]}(z)$ to denote the first t terms of the Cramér series (see e.g. [11], Chapter 8), where

$$t = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\rceil - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{[t]}(z) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 z + \dots + \lambda_{t-1} z^{t-1}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In particular, $\lambda^{[t]}(z) \equiv 0$ when $\lambda > 1/2$. The coefficients λ_j depend on the cumulants of the distribution. Finally, we define

$$c_\lambda = (1 + \lambda)(2\lambda)^{-\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}.$$

Case 1^o

$$\delta\sqrt{L} < k < (c_\lambda - \delta)(\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\delta > 0$ is any sufficiently small fixed number.

Theorem 1 *If k is as in (A.2), then*

$$P_L(N) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi L}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{k^2}{2L\sigma^2} + \frac{k^3}{L^2} \lambda^{[t]} \left(\frac{k}{L} \right) \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 1 *Under the conditions of Theorem 1*

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[S_L = N]}{\mathbb{P}[S_L = N; \eta_j < r_L(m), j = 1, 2, \dots, L]} \rightarrow 1, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where m can be taken as the smallest positive integer such that $n \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^{m+1} = o(1)$.

Case 2^o

$$(c_\lambda + \delta)(\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}} < k < \frac{L^{1/2\lambda}}{t_L}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Theorem 2 *If k is as in (A.4), then*

$$P_L(N) = \frac{AL}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\sigma^2 \gamma \lambda (1-\lambda)L}{k^{1+\lambda} (1-\alpha)^{1+\lambda}}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha^2 k^2}{2\sigma^2 L} + \frac{\alpha^3 k^3}{L^2} \lambda^{[t]} \left(\frac{\alpha k}{L} \right) - \gamma (1-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} k^{1-\lambda} \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

as $L \rightarrow \infty$, where α is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\frac{\sigma^2 L}{k^{1+\lambda}} = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)^\lambda}{\gamma(1-\lambda)} \left(1 - R_\lambda\left(\frac{\alpha k}{L}\right)\right). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The term R_λ in the preceding equation is given by

$$R_\lambda(x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{x} \frac{d}{dx} (x^3 \lambda^{[t]}(x)) = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \lambda_j (j+3) x^{j+1},$$

and in particular $R_\lambda \equiv 0$ if $\lambda > 1/2$.

Note that the fraction of excess mass on the condensate a_L in Theorem 2.2 is $a_L = 1 - \alpha$.

Relation (A.5) takes a particularly simple form for $\lambda > 1/2$ and $t_L L^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}} < k < \frac{L^{\frac{1}{2\lambda}}}{t_L}$. In this case

$$P_L(N) = AL \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha^2 k^2}{2\sigma^2 L} - \gamma(1-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} k^{1-\lambda} \right\} (1 + o(1)).$$

Remark 2 Under the conditions of Theorem 2

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[S_L = N]}{L \mathbb{P}[S_L = N; \eta_j < r_L(m), j = 1, 2, \dots, L-1; |\eta_L - (1-\alpha)k| < t_L \sqrt{L}]} \rightarrow 1, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where m can be taken as the smallest positive integer such that $Lk^{-\lambda(m+1)} = o(1)$.

Case 3^o

$$k > L^{\frac{1}{2\lambda}} t_L. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Theorem 3 If k is as in (A.8), then

$$P_L(N) = AL \exp \left\{ -\gamma k^{1-\lambda} \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 3 Under the conditions of Theorem 3

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[S_L = N]}{L \mathbb{P}[S_L = N; \eta_j < r_L(2), j = 1, 2, \dots, L-1; |\eta_L - k| < t_L \sqrt{L}]} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Case 4^o

$$(c_\lambda - \delta)(\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}} < k < (c_\lambda + \delta)(\sigma^2 L)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda}}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Theorem 4 If k is as in (A.9), then

$$\begin{aligned} P_L(N) &= \frac{AL}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\sigma^2 \gamma \lambda (1-\lambda) L}{k^{1+\lambda} (1-\alpha)^{1+\lambda}}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha^2 k^2}{2\sigma^2 L} + \frac{\alpha^3 k^3}{L^2} \lambda^{[t]} \left(\frac{\alpha k}{L}\right) - \gamma(1-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} k^{1-\lambda} \right\} (1 + o(1)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi L}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{k^2}{2L\sigma^2} + \frac{k^3}{L^2} \lambda^{[t]} \left(\frac{k}{L}\right) \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where, as before, α is the smallest positive root of equation (A.6).

Relation (A.10) takes a simple form for $\lambda > 1/2$. In this case

$$P_L(N) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi L}} \exp\left\{-\frac{k^2}{2L\sigma^2}\right\}(1+o(1)) + \frac{AL}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\sigma^2\gamma\lambda(1-\lambda)L}{k^{1+\lambda}(1-\alpha)^{1+\lambda}}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha^2 k^2}{2\sigma^2 L} - \gamma(1-\alpha)^{1-\lambda} k^{1-\lambda}\right\}(1+o(1)). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Remark 4 This case is intermediate between cases 1 and 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 4 we can only state that

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}[S_L = N]}{L\mathbb{P}[S_L=N; \eta_j < r_L(m), j \leq L-1; |\eta_L-(1-\alpha)k| < t_L\sqrt{L}] + \mathbb{P}[S_L=N; \eta_j < r_L(m), \forall j]} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $m = t + 2$.

Case 5^o

$$\frac{L^{\frac{1}{2\lambda}}}{t_L} < k < L^{\frac{1}{2\lambda}} t_L. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Theorem 5 If k is as in (A.8), then

$$P_L(N) = AL \exp\left\{-\gamma k^{1-\lambda} + \frac{L(1-\lambda)^2\sigma^2}{2k^{2\lambda}}\right\}(1+o(1)), \quad \text{as } L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 5 In this case the picture is the same as in Remark 3.

References

- [1] E.D. Andjel: Invariant measures for the zero range process. *Ann. Probab.* **10** (3): 525–547 (1982).
- [2] E.D. Andjel, P.A. Ferrari, H. Guiol, C. Landim: Convergence to the maximal invariant measure for a zero-range process with random rates. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **90**, 67-81 (2000)
- [3] I. Armendáriz, M. Loulakis: Thermodynamic Limit for the Invariant Measures in Supercritical Zero Range Processes. *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields* **145** (No 1-2), 175–188 (2009)
- [4] J. Beltrán, C. Landim: Metastability of reversible condensed zero range processes on a finite set. arXiv:0910.4089 (2009)
- [5] B. Davis, D. McDonald: An Elementary Proof of the Local Central Limit Theorem, *J. Th. Probab.* **8**, No 3, 693–701 (1995)
- [6] R.A. Doney: A local limit theorem for moderate deviations. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **33**: 100–108 (2001)
- [7] M.R. Evans. Phase transitions in one-dimensional nonequilibrium systems. *Braz. J. Phys.*, bf 30 (1), 42–57 (2000).

- [8] M.R. Evans, T. Hanney: Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics of the zero-range process and related models. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 195–240 (2005)
- [9] M.R. Evans, S.N. Majumdar. Condensation and extreme value statistics. *J. Stat. Mech.* P05004 (2008)
- [10] P. Ferrari, C. Landim, V. Sisko: Condensation for a fixed number of independent random variables. *J. Stat. Phys* **128** (5), 1153–1158 (2007)
- [11] B.V. Gnedenko, A.N. Kolmogorov. Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables. Addison-Wesley, London (1968).
- [12] S. Großkinsky, Equivalence of ensembles for two-species zero-range invariant measures, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **118** (8), 1322–1350 (2008)
- [13] S. Großkinsky, G.M. Schütz, and H. Spohn. Condensation in the zero range process: stationary and dynamical properties. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **113** (3/4), 389–410 (2003).
- [14] I. Jeon, P. March, and B. Pittel. Size of the largest cluster under zero-range invariant measures. *Ann. Probab.*, **28**(3), 1162–1194 (2000).
- [15] C. Kipnis and C. Landim. Scaling Limits of Interacting Particle Systems. Volume 320 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Verlag, Berlin (1999).
- [16] A.V. Nagaev. Local limit theorems with regard to large deviations when Cramér’s condition is not satisfied. *Litovsk. Mat. Sb.* **8**: 553–579 (1968)
- [17] F. Spitzer. Interaction of Markov processes. *Adv. Math.*, **5**:246–290, 1970.