

Finite Groups of Essential Dimension 2

Alexander Duncan *

June 8, 2022

1 Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and let G be a finite group. A faithful G -variety is a variety with a faithful G -action. A *compression* is a G -equivariant dominant rational map of faithful G -varieties. Given a faithful G -variety X over k , the *essential dimension of X* , denoted $\mathrm{ed}_k(X)$, is the minimum dimension of Y over all compressions $X \dashrightarrow Y$ where Y is a faithful G -variety over k . The *essential dimension of G* , denoted $\mathrm{ed}_k(G)$, is the maximum of $\mathrm{ed}_k(X)$ over all faithful G -varieties X over k .

The major result of this paper is a classification of all finite groups of essential dimension 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Loosely speaking, the essential dimension of a group is the minimal number of parameters required to describe its faithful actions. Essential dimension was introduced by Buhler and Reichstein in [10]. Their main interest was to determine how much a “general polynomial of degree n ” can be simplified via non-degenerate Tschirnhaus transformations. They showed that essential dimension of the symmetric group on n letters, $\mathrm{ed}_k(S_n)$, is the minimal number of algebraically independent coefficients possible for a polynomial simplified in this manner.

The essential dimension of finite groups in general is of interest in Inverse Galois Theory. Here one wants to construct polynomials over a field k with a given Galois group G . Ideally, one wants polynomials that parametrize all fields extensions with that group: the so-called *generic polynomials* (see [29] and [27]). The essential dimension of G is a lower bound for the *generic dimension* of G : the minimal number of parameters possible for a generic polynomial.

Essential dimension has been studied in the more general contexts of algebraic groups [41], algebraic stacks [8], and functors [5]. We restrict our attention to the case of finite groups in this paper.

If H is a subgroup of G then $\mathrm{ed}(H) \leq \mathrm{ed}(G)$; a similar inequality fails for quotient groups [39, Theorem 1.5]. The essential dimension of an abelian group is equal to its rank [8, Theorem 6.1]. The essential dimensions of the symmetric groups, S_n , and alternating groups, A_n , are known for $n \leq 7$ and bounds exist

*The author was partially supported by an NSERC Canada Graduate Scholarship.

for higher n (see [8, Theorems 6.5 and 6.7], [48, Proposition 3.6] and [20]). It is a deep result of Karpenko and Merkurjev [28] that the essential dimension of a p -group is the minimal dimension of a faithful linear representation.

Finite groups of essential dimension 1 were classified by Buhler and Reichstein in their original paper; they are either cyclic or odd dihedral (order $2n$ where n is odd). There is a classification for infinite base fields by Ledet [34] (see also Remark 3.1), and for arbitrary base fields by Chu, Hu, Kang and Zhang [11].

We review what is known about groups G of essential dimension 2. If G contains an abelian subgroup A then $\text{rank}(A) \leq 2$. The Sylow p -subgroups G_p of G can be described using the Karpenko-Merkurjev theorem: G_p must be abelian for all p odd, and groups G_2 must be of a very special form (see [39, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3]). Any subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ or S_5 has essential dimension ≤ 2 .

Finite groups of essential dimension 2 with non-trivial centres were classified (implicitly) by Kraft, Lötscher and Schwarz (see [32] and [31]). They show that a finite group with a non-trivial centre has essential dimension ≤ 2 if and only if it can be embedded in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Their main interest was in *covariant dimension*, a “regular” analog of essential dimension. See also [42] and [36].

Our study of essential dimension uses the concept of a *versal G -variety* (defined in section 2). These are simply models of the versal torsors seen in Galois cohomology. We will often say a G -action is versal if it gives rise to a versal G -variety. We shall see that if G is a finite group of essential dimension n then there exists a versal unirational G -variety of dimension n .

To study essential dimension 2, we only need to consider versal rational G -surfaces since unirational surfaces are always rational over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Furthermore, one can G -equivariantly blow-down sets of exceptional curves to obtain a *minimal model* of a smooth G -surface. The minimal rational G -surfaces were classified by Manin [37] and Iskovskikh [26] building on work by Enriques: they either possess conic bundle structures or they are del Pezzo surfaces. The use of the Enriques-Manin-Iskovskikh classification for computing essential dimension was pioneered by Serre in his proof that $\text{ed}_k(A_6) = 3$ [48, Proposition 3.6]. Independently, Tokunaga [50] has also investigated versal rational surfaces.

The dichotomy into conic bundle structures and del Pezzo surfaces is too coarse to easily identify exactly which groups occur. Our current work was inspired by Dolgachev and Iskovskikh’s [19] finer classification of such groups. Their goal was to classify conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 (the group of birational automorphisms of a rational surface). This problem has a long history. The first classification was due to Kantor; an exposition of his results (with some corrections) can be found in Wiman [51]. Unfortunately, this early classification had several errors, and the conjugacy issue was not addressed. More recent work on this problem include [3], [18], [52], [4] and [6].

Recall that the automorphism group of the algebraic group $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Our main theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ be a 2-dimensional torus. If G is a finite group of essential dimension 2 then G is a subgroup of one of the following groups:*

1. $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the general linear group of degree 2,
2. $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_1$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 2 and 3
 $\mathcal{G}_1 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle \simeq D_{12}$,
3. $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_2$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 2
 $\mathcal{G}_2 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle \simeq D_8$,
4. $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_3$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3
 $\mathcal{G}_3 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle \simeq S_3$,
5. $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_4$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3
 $\mathcal{G}_4 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle \simeq S_3$,
6. $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$, the simple group of order 168,
7. S_5 , the symmetric group on 5 letters.

Furthermore, any finite subgroup of these groups has essential dimension ≤ 2 .

A few remarks are in order.

Remark 1.1. We do not classify all versal minimal rational G -surfaces; we only determine which groups appear. Different G -surfaces with the same group G may not be equivariantly birationally equivalent. There exist two versal S_5 -surfaces that are not equivariantly birationally equivalent: the Clebsch diagonal cubic (by a result of Hermite, see [13], [44] and [30]) and the del Pezzo surface of degree 5 (see the proof of Theorem 4.5). Other examples of this phenomenon can be found for abelian groups [43], and for versal actions of S_4 and A_5 [2].

Remark 1.2. Essential dimension can be defined over any field. Dolgachev and Iskovkikh's classification, and many of our other references, take the base field to be $\mathbb{C}$. We shall see in Lemma 2.1 below that this is sufficient to handle any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Remark 1.3. For algebraically closed fields of non-zero characteristic, the Enriques-Manin-Iskovskikh classification still holds. However, the Dolgachev-Iskovkikh classification no longer applies. Furthermore, unirational surfaces are not necessarily rational in this case, so the classification may be inadequate. See [48] for related discussion.

Remark 1.4. For non-algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0, we know that any group of essential dimension 2 must appear in the list above (this is immediate from [5, Proposition 1.5]). However, the problem of determining which groups appear is more complicated. It is possible that a versal G -surface over a field k may not be defined over a subfield k' while there may be another versal G -surface that is defined over k' . A full classification of versal minimal rational G -surfaces would remedy this situation.

Remark 1.5. For essential dimension 3, one might try to do something similar with threefolds. The problem is significantly more difficult. First, even over $\mathbb{C}$ there exist unirational threefolds that are not rational. Second, there is no analog of the Enriques-Manin-Iskovskikh classification here, nor the Dolgachev-Iskovskikh classification. In fact, until recently it was an open question as to whether *all* finite groups could be embedded into the Cremona group of rank 3 [49, 6.0].

However, Prokhorov [40] shows that very few simple non-abelian groups can act faithfully on unirational threefolds. The author [20] has applied Prokhorov's work to show that the essential dimensions of A_7 and S_7 are 4.

Remark 1.6. Recall that the essential dimension of a finite group is a lower bound for its *generic dimension*. A generic polynomial for G is the same as a versal G -variety X whose quotient X/G is rational. Since unirational and rational coincide in dimension 2, the list above is also a complete classification of groups of generic dimension 2.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 breaks into two mostly independent pieces. We show that it suffices to consider only four surfaces:

Theorem 1.2. *If G is a finite group of essential dimension 2 then G has a versal action on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$, the product of projective lines $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or the del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5 and 6.*

And we show that the groups with versal actions on these four surfaces are those listed above (Theorem 4.5). As in remark 1.1, we point out that Theorem 1.2 does *not* classify minimal versal G -surfaces.

We also mention some intermediary results that we feel are of independent interest. Three of the four surfaces are toric varieties. In order to classify their versal actions, we develop techniques that apply to smooth complete toric varieties in general. We leverage the theory of *Cox rings* introduced in [16]: a faithful G -action on a complete non-singular toric variety is versal if and only if it lifts to an action on the variety of the associated Cox ring (Theorem 3.2).

This result has some important corollaries. First, if a complete non-singular toric variety has a G -fixed point then it is versal (Corollary 3.4). Second, a complete non-singular toric variety is G -versal if and only if it is G_p -versal for all of its p -subgroups (Theorem 3.9). The assumption that the variety is toric may be gratuitous (see Conjecture 3.10). This second corollary is instrumental in our proof of Theorem 4.5; it reduces the study of versal toric surfaces to actions of 3-groups on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and actions of 2-groups on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we define versal varieties, recall basic facts about essential dimension and outline the Enriques-Manin-Iskovskikh classification. In section 3, we develop tools for determining when a toric G -variety is versal. In section 4, we determine precisely which groups act versally on the four surfaces, proving Theorem 4.5. In section 5, we show that all groups acting versally on conic bundle structures already act versally on the four surfaces. In section 6, we show that the same for the del Pezzo surfaces. This proves Theorem 1.2 and, thus, Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

Recall that the main theorem applies for any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Nevertheless, for the rest of the paper, we will restrict our attention to $\mathbb{C}$. This is possible in view of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose G is a finite group and k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Then $\text{ed}_k(G) = \text{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$.*

Proof. This is just [8, Proposition 2.14(1)] since k and $\mathbb{C}$ both contain an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

We will make no more reference to a general field k . All varieties, group actions and maps will be defined over $\mathbb{C}$ unless it is explicitly stated otherwise. We write $\text{ed}(-)$ instead of $\text{ed}_{\mathbb{C}}(-)$ for the rest of the paper without risk of ambiguity.

2.1 Versal Varieties

Definition 2.1. An irreducible G -variety X is *G -versal* (or just *versal*) if it is faithful and, for any faithful G -variety Y and any non-empty G -invariant open subset U of Y , there exists a G -equivariant rational map $f : Y \dashrightarrow U$. We say an action of G is *versal*, or that G *acts versally*, if the corresponding G -variety is versal.

Note that the versal property is a birational invariant: it is preserved by equivariant birational equivalence. In fact, our definition of versal variety is equivalent to saying that its generic point is a “versal torsor” as in [5, Definition 6.3] or [22, Definition 5.1].

Versal varieties are useful for studying essential dimension. If X is a versal G -variety then $\text{ed}(X) = \text{ed}(G)$ [5, Corollary 6.16]. If $X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a compression of faithful G -varieties and X is versal then so is Y [5, Corollary 6.14]. Thus, if a versal variety exists, there exists a versal variety X such that $\dim(X) = \text{ed}(X) = \text{ed}(G)$.

Recall that a *linear G -variety* is a linear representation of G regarded as a G -variety. Any faithful linear G -variety is versal [22, Example 5.4]. Thus versal varieties exist. In particular, the essential dimension of any finite group is bounded above by the dimension of a faithful linear representation. We summarize this discussion as a proposition:

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a finite group. If X is an irreducible faithful G -variety and there exists a faithful linear G -variety V with a compression $V \dashrightarrow X$ then X is versal. Conversely, there exists a versal G -variety X such that $\dim(X) = \text{ed}(X) = \text{ed}(G)$ and for every faithful linear G -variety V there exists a compression $V \dashrightarrow X$.*

The versal property descends to subgroups:

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose H is a subgroup of a finite group G . If X is a G -versal variety then X is H -versal.*

Proof. Clearly, a faithful G -action restricts to a faithful H -action. Consider any faithful H -variety Y and any non-empty H -invariant open subset U of X . We need to show the existence of an H -equivariant rational map $f : Y \dashrightarrow U$. The set $U' = \bigcap_{g \in G} g(U)$ is a G -invariant dense open subset of U . Since X is G -versal, there exists a G -equivariant rational map $\psi : V \dashrightarrow U'$ from a faithful linear G -variety V . Let W be a non-empty H -invariant open subset on which ψ is defined. Note that V is H -versal since the restricted action still acts linearly. Thus there exists an H -equivariant rational map $\phi : Y \dashrightarrow W$. By composition, we obtain an H -equivariant map $f : Y \dashrightarrow U$ as desired. $\square$

The following result (see [45, Proposition A.2]) is one of our major tools:

Theorem 2.4 (Going Down). *Suppose G is an abelian group and $\psi : V \dashrightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant rational map of G -varieties. If V has a smooth G -fixed point and X is proper then X has a G -fixed point.*

We note that the origin is a smooth fixed point of any linear G -variety V . Theorem 2.4, in conjunction with Proposition 2.3, gives us a necessary condition for a proper G -variety to be versal:

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a finite group. If X is a proper versal G -variety then all abelian subgroups of G have fixed points on X .*

We recall various results regarding essential dimension. We say that a dihedral group, D_{2n} , of order $2n$ is an *odd dihedral group* if n is odd, and an *even dihedral group* otherwise.

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a finite group.*

- (a) *If H is a subgroup of G then $\text{ed}(H) \leq \text{ed}(G)$.*
- (b) *If G is abelian then $\text{ed}(G) = \text{rank}(G)$.*
- (c) *$\text{ed}(G) = 0$ if and only if $G = 0$.*
- (d) *$\text{ed}(G) = 1$ if and only if G is cyclic or odd dihedral.*
- (e) *If G is a p -group then $\text{ed}(G)$ is the minimal dimension of a faithful linear representation.*

Proof. Statements (a), (b), (c) and (d) can be found in [10]. Statement (e) is a deep result due to Karpenko and Merkurjev [28]. $\square$

The *covariant dimension* of a group G , denoted $\text{covdim}(G)$, is the minimal dimension of a faithful G -variety X such that there is a faithful linear G -variety V and a dominant regular G -equivariant map $V \rightarrow X$. One may consider covariant dimension as a regular analog of essential dimension. The interested reader is directed to the work of Kraft, Löttscher and Schwarz ([32], [31]). The following result follows from the classification of groups of covariant dimension 2. We do not use the concept of covariant dimension anywhere else in this paper.

Proposition 2.7. *If G is a finite group of essential dimension 2 with a non-trivial centre then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. By [31, Proposition 3.6], whenever G has a non-trivial centre we have $\mathrm{ed}(G) = \mathrm{covdim}(G)$. By [31, Section 7], all finite groups of covariant dimension 2 are isomorphic to subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Thus we have a faithful linear G -variety of dimension 2. This is versal and equivariantly birational to $\mathbb{P}^2$. $\square$

We remark that, since all p -groups have non-trivial centres, this proposition suffices to prove the Karpenko-Merkurjev theorem for groups of essential dimension 2. Recalling that all irreducible representations of p -groups have degree a power of p , we have the following:

Proposition 2.8. *If $p > 2$ is a prime, then all p -groups of essential dimension 2 are abelian.*

2.2 Minimal Rational Surfaces

Throughout this paper, a *surface* is an irreducible non-singular projective 2-dimensional variety over $\mathbb{C}$. A *minimal G -surface* is a faithful G -surface X such that any birational regular G -map $X \rightarrow Y$ to another faithful G -surface Y is an isomorphism. By contracting exceptional curves, we may find a birational regular G -map from any G -surface to a (not necessarily unique) minimal G -surface. In particular, there is a minimal G -surface in every equivariant birational equivalence class of G -surfaces.

If $\mathrm{ed}(G) = 2$ then, for any versal G -surface X , there exists a dominant rational G -map $\psi : V \dashrightarrow X$ where V is a faithful linear G -variety. Thus, X is unirational. Castelnuovo's theorem tells us that, in dimension 2, unirational varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ are rational. Thus all versal G -surfaces with $\mathrm{ed}(G) = 2$ are rational. The preceding discussion can be summarised by the following proposition:

Proposition 2.9. *Suppose G is a finite group. Then $\mathrm{ed}(G) \leq 2$ if and only if there exists a minimal rational versal G -surface X .*

A G -surface X *admits a conic bundle structure* if there exists a G -equivariant morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that each fibre is isomorphic to a reduced conic in $\mathbb{P}^2$. A *del Pezzo surface* is a surface with ample anticanonical divisor. The possible minimal G -surfaces are classified by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.10 (Enriques, Manin, Iskovskikh). *If X is a minimal rational G -surface then X admits a conic bundle structure or X is isomorphic to a del Pezzo surface.*

We recall some basic facts about del Pezzo surfaces (see [19] or [38]). If X is a del Pezzo surface then we define its *degree* to be $d = K_X^2$, where K_X^2 is the self-intersection number of the canonical divisor. For G -minimal del Pezzo

surfaces, the degree is restricted to the range $1 \leq d \leq 9$. Except for $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (a surface of degree 8), all del Pezzo surfaces are isomorphic to blow-ups of $n = 9 - d$ points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ such that no three are collinear, no six are on a conic, and, if $n = 8$, they are not contained in a plane cubic with one as a singular point.

Of the two isomorphisms classes of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 8, only $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ can be G -minimal. All del Pezzo surfaces of degree 7 can be blown-down equivariantly, so these are never minimal either. The del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6 are all isomorphic. So are all those of degree 5.

Conic bundles will be discussed in more detail in section 5.

2.3 Polyhedral Groups

The following facts will be used extensively in the sections that follow. Most of these results can be found in, for example, [17]. Recall that a *polyhedral group* is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Equivalently, the polyhedral groups are precisely the finite groups acting regularly on $\mathbb{P}^1$. The polyhedral groups were classified by Klein as follows:

- (a) C_n , the cyclic group of n elements,
- (b) D_{2n} , the dihedral group of $2n$ elements,
- (c) A_4 , the alternating group on 4 letters (also “the tetrahedral group”),
- (d) S_4 , the symmetric group on 4 letters (also “the octahedral group”),
- (e) A_5 , the alternating group on 5 letters (also “the icosohedral group”).

These groups have the normal structure described by the following proposition:

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose P is a polyhedral group and N is a non-trivial proper normal subgroup of P . We have the following possibilities:*

- (a) $P \simeq S_4$, $N \simeq A_4$, $P/N \simeq C_2$,
- (b) $P \simeq S_4$, $N \simeq C_2 \times C_2$, $P/N \simeq S_3$,
- (c) $P \simeq A_4$, $N \simeq C_2 \times C_2$, $P/N \simeq C_3$,
- (d) $P \simeq D_{2n}$, $N \simeq C_m$, $P/N \simeq D_{2n/m}$ where $m|n$,
- (e) $P \simeq D_{4n}$, $N \simeq D_{2n}$, $P/N \simeq C_2$,
- (f) $P \simeq C_n$, $N \simeq C_m$, $P/N \simeq C_{m/n}$ where $m|n$.

Note that $D_2 \simeq C_2$ and $D_4 \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ are included above as degenerate cases.

Finally, we will need the following fact about lifts of polyhedral groups to 2-dimensional representations:

Proposition 2.12. *A finite subgroup G of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ has an isomorphic preimage in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if G is cyclic or odd dihedral.*

3 Versal Actions on Toric Varieties

In section 4 we will use the theory of toric varieties extensively to prove Theorem 4.5. Many of the results we use are applicable beyond the case of surfaces so we consider the case of versal actions on toric varieties in general.

3.1 Cox Rings

We recall the theory of toric varieties from [21], and Cox rings from [16].

Given a lattice $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$, a *fan* Δ in N is a set of strongly convex rational polyhedral cones in $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that every face of a cone in Δ is in Δ and the intersection of any two cones in Δ is a face of each. Given a fan one may construct an associated toric variety.

The associated toric variety $X = X(\Delta)$ contains an n -dimensional torus $T = N \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$. The variety X is non-singular if every cone in Δ is generated by a subset of a basis for N . The variety X is complete if the support of the fan is all of $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$. In this paper, we will restrict our attention to complete non-singular toric varieties.

Let $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual of the lattice N . Recall that the notions of Weil divisors and Cartier divisors coincide for non-singular varieties. Let $\text{Div}_T(X)$ be the group of T -invariant divisors of X . Let $\Delta(1)$ be the set of rays in the fan Δ . To each ray $\rho \in \Delta(1)$ we may associate a unique prime T -invariant divisor D_ρ . In fact, $\Delta(1)$ is a basis for $\text{Div}_T(X)$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Div}_T(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 1$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)$ is the Picard group of X .

Denote $K = \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}(X), \mathbb{C}^\times)$ and apply $\text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ to the above sequence to obtain another exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\Delta(1)} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1.$$

From [16], any toric variety X has an associated *total coordinate ring*, or *Cox ring*, which we denote $\text{Cox}(X)$. The ring $\text{Cox}(X)$ is a $\text{Pic}(X)$ -graded polynomial ring

$$\text{Cox}(X) = \mathbb{C}[x_\rho : \rho \in \Delta(1)]$$

and has an induced K -action via the grading. (Note that Cox uses G where we write K).

The variety $V = \text{Spec}(\text{Cox}(X))$ is isomorphic to affine space $\mathbb{C}^{\Delta(1)}$ and there is a closed subset $Z \subset V$ obtained from an “irrelevant ideal.” By [16, Theorem 2.1], the open subset $V - Z$ is invariant under the K -action and, since the fan Δ is simplicial, $\widetilde{X}$ is the geometric quotient of $V - Z$ by K .

We define $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$ as the normaliser of K in the automorphism group of $V - Z$. From [16, Theorem 4.2], there is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow 1$$

where we denote the last map $\pi : \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$.

Let $\text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ denote the subgroup of $\text{GL}(N)$ which preserves the fan Δ (permutes the cones). The group $\text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ has an isomorphic lift to $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$ via permutations of the basis elements $\{x_\rho\}$. The group $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\Delta(1)}$ is a subgroup of $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$ which descends to $T \subset \text{Aut}(X)$.

More generally, if G is a group with a faithful action on X then there is a group

$$E = \pi^{-1}(G) \subset \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$$

acting faithfully on $V - Z$. We have an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

For finite groups G , the group E acts as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$:

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on X . Then E acts linearly on V .*

Proof. From [16, Section 4], the linear algebraic group $\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$ is of the form $(R_u \rtimes G_s) \cdot \text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ where R_u is unipotent and G_s is reductive. (Note that Cox's notation G_s has nothing to do with the group G in our context). Since G is finite and K consists of semisimple elements, all elements of E are semisimple. Thus $E \subset G_s \cdot \text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$. The group G_s is of the form

$$G_s = \prod \text{GL}(S'_{\alpha_i})$$

where the S'_{α_i} s are the weight-spaces of the action of K on V (as a vector space). The group $\text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ permutes the basis vectors of V . Thus $G_s \cdot \text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ acts linearly on V . Thus the subgroup E acts linearly. $\square$

The versal property is related to Cox rings by the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose G is a finite group and X is a complete non-singular toric faithful G -variety. Then X is versal if and only if the exact sequence (1) splits.*

Proof. Suppose the exact sequence splits. The map from $V - Z$ to X may be viewed as a dominant rational map $\psi : V \dashrightarrow X$. Since E is linear for any finite group G , we obtain an E -equivariant rational map from a linear E -variety to X . Since there is a section $G \hookrightarrow E$ the map ψ may be viewed as a G -equivariant dominant rational map from a linear G -variety. Thus X is versal by Proposition 2.2.

For the other implication, we assume X is versal and want to show (1) splits.

We use [14, Theorem 5.1]. Let $W = \mathbb{C}^d$ for some d . Recall the definition of Σ -irreducible for a polynomial map $F : W \rightarrow V$ [14, Definition 3.2]. For any rational map $f : W \dashrightarrow X$ there exists a regular Σ -irreducible map $F : W \rightarrow V$ such that $f = \psi \circ F$. Furthermore, if there is another such map $F' : W \rightarrow V$ then we have $F' = kF$ for some $k \in K$. (Note that our notation differs from [14]; in particular, V has a different meaning in our context).

Claim: F is Σ -irreducible if and only if $\text{codim}_W(F^{-1}(Z)) \geq 2$.

This is implicit in the proof of [14, Theorem 5.1]. Paragraphs 1–3 of that proof establish that if F is Σ -irreducible then $\text{codim}_W(F^{-1}(Z)) \geq 2$. Furthermore, in paragraphs 4–6 of the same proof it is shown that any Σ -irreducible F' must satisfy $F' = kF$ for $k \in K$ and a Σ -irreducible F . However, the only property used is that F' satisfies the codimension condition. Thus any F' satisfying the codimension condition satisfies $F' = kF$ for some Σ -irreducible F . Multiplication by elements of K preserves Σ -irreducibility so F' itself must be Σ -irreducible. The claim is proved.

Since X is versal there exists a G -equivariant rational map $f : W \dashrightarrow X$ where W is a faithful linear G -variety. Fix, once and for all, a Σ -irreducible map $F : W \rightarrow V$ lifting f . We will use this map to obtain a G -action on V .

For any $g \in G$ we may select $h \in E$ such that $\pi(h) = g$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \xrightarrow{F} & V & \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow g \\ W & \xrightarrow{F} & V & \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi} & X \end{array}$$

The outer square and the right hand square commute but the left hand square may not. The composite Fg is Σ -irreducible since $g^{-1}F^{-1}(Z)$ has the same codimension as $F^{-1}(Z)$. Similarly, the composite hF is Σ -irreducible since Z is an E -invariant subset of V . Thus there exists a unique element $k \in K$ such that $Fg = khF$. Let us denote this element $s(g) = kh$.

To each element $g \in G$ we have an associated element $s(g) \in E$ such that $Fg = s(g)F$. Note that $\psi s(g) = g\psi$ so $s : G \rightarrow E$ is a set-theoretic section for (1). From $s(gh)F = Fgh = s(g)Fh = s(g)s(h)F$ we see that s is a group homomorphism. We have the desired splitting. $\square$

Recall that the standard projection $\mathbb{C}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is an example of ψ obtained from the Cox ring. We point out a special case of the preceding proposition:

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on $X = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. X is G -versal if and only if there exists an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that the composition with the canonical map $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ gives the G -action on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

The following is a useful tool for showing that a variety is versal.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose G is a finite group acting faithfully on a complete non-singular toric variety X . If G has a fixed point then X is G -versal.*

Proof. When X is non-singular, K acts freely on $V - Z$ (see [15, Exercise 5.1.8]).

Let F be the fibre of a fixed point p . Take any point $q \in F$ and consider the stabiliser $S = \text{Stab}_E(q)$. For any $g \in G$ we have a lift $h \in E$. Note that $h(q) \in F$ since p is fixed by G . Since K acts transitively on F , there is an element $k \in K$ such that $kh(q) = q$. Thus $kh \in S$ and it follows that $S/(S \cap K) = G$. Since K acts freely on F , $S \cap K = 1$. Thus $S \simeq G$ and we have a splitting of E . $\square$

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 were inspired by Ledet’s classification of finite groups of essential dimension 1 over an infinite ground field k [34]. Indeed, [34, Theorem 1] states that a finite group G has essential dimension 1 if and only if there is an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ such that the image of G contains no scalar matrices. Such a group descends isomorphically to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$. In other words, the action of G on $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ lifts to $\mathbb{A}_k^2$.

Remark 3.2. We note that Corollary 3.4 fails when X is not toric. Consider a hyperelliptic curve C with its involution (generating a group $G \simeq C_2$). This is a faithful G -variety with a fixed point. However, C cannot be versal since the only rational map to C from a linear G -variety is a point.

Remark 3.3. Cox rings have been generalised to a much wider class than toric varieties (see [33] for a survey). However, in general the analog of V is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$. An analogous splitting property may still give us an equivariant dominant rational map, but not from a linear variety.

3.2 Monomial Actions and p -groups

We make the following observation:

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose X is a toric variety with a faithful action of a finite group G contained in the torus T . Then X is G -versal. Furthermore, if X is complete then X has a G -fixed point.*

Proof. First, suppose X is complete; by the Borel fixed point theorem X has a G -fixed point. In general, X is T -equivariantly birationally equivalent to a complete non-singular toric variety (say $\mathbb{P}^n$). Consequently, this birational equivalence is G -equivariant. Thus X is G -versal by Corollary 3.4. $\square$

Consider a toric variety X with torus T , fan Δ and lattice N . Let $\mathrm{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(N) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ preserving the fan Δ . Note that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ has a natural action on X which is T -stable. We say a group G has a *multiplicative action* on X if $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(N, \Delta)$.

The reason for this terminology is as follows. Recall that the coordinate ring of the torus T associated to X comes with a particular isomorphism to $\mathbb{C}[M]$. When G is multiplicative the induced action of G on $\mathbb{C}[M]$ takes monic monomials to monic monomials. Loosely speaking, G acts “only on the exponents.”

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose X is a toric variety with a faithful multiplicative action of a finite group G . Then X has a G -fixed point and X is G -versal.*

Proof. Any element of $\mathrm{Aut}(N, \Delta)$ fixes the identity of the torus T in X . The versality of X is well-known (see [12, Lemma 3.3(d)] or [1]). $\square$

Note that both T -actions and multiplicative actions are T -stable — they preserve T as a subvariety of X . Any particular T -stable automorphism of X

is a product of an element of T and an element of $\text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$. Thus, the group of T -stable automorphisms of X is precisely

$$\text{Aut}_T(X) = T \rtimes \text{Aut}(N, \Delta).$$

Given such a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_T(X)$ there is a natural map

$$\omega_T : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N, \Delta) \subset \text{GL}(N)$$

given by the projection $G \rightarrow G/(G \cap T)$. We denote this map ω_T to emphasize its dependence on T (even though, strictly speaking, it depends on N).

Despite the fact that T -actions and multiplicative actions are always versal, this does not hold for T -stable actions in general. Nevertheless, they are much more convenient than general actions.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a group acting faithfully on a toric variety X . We say that the action is *monomial* if there exists a fan Δ in a lattice N inducing a torus $T = N \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that the associated toric variety is G -equivariantly biregular to X and $g(T) = T$ for all $g \in G$.

The reason for this terminology is that automorphisms of the coordinate ring of T take monomials to monomials. Such actions are also called “twisted multiplicative” in the literature. We prefer the term “monomial” because it is a natural generalisation of “monomial representation.”

For a linear variety $X \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$, the corresponding fan is generated by subsets of a basis for the lattice $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus the group of T -stable automorphisms is $T \rtimes S_n$. This is the decomposition into products of diagonal matrices and permutation matrices. Thus we have a generalisation from monomial linear representations to monomial actions on toric varieties. A choice of torus T in X (with the associated lattice N and fan Δ) is analogous to a choice of basis for a vector space. For a linear variety, the map ω_T yields the permutation of the basis elements given by the corresponding matrix.

Recall that all linear representations of supersolvable groups are monomial [47, Section 8.5, Theorem 16]. This result has a natural generalisation for toric varieties.

Proposition 3.7. *Suppose G is a supersolvable finite group acting on a complete non-singular toric variety X . Then G is monomial.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8 below, there exists a change of basis $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ such that $E = \pi^{-1}(G)$ has a monomial action on $\alpha(V)$ with K acting diagonally. Since K acts diagonally in both coordinates, if V_λ is the weight space in V corresponding to some character $\lambda : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ then $\alpha(V_\lambda) \subset V_\lambda$. These V_λ are precisely the S'_{α_i} of [16, Section 4]. This means that $\alpha \in G_s$ where

$$G_s = \prod \text{GL}(S'_{\alpha_i}) \subset \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X).$$

Thus α descends to an automorphism of X . In the new basis, we have an embedding $E \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\Delta(1)} \rtimes \text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$. Taking the quotient by K we obtain $G \subset T \rtimes \text{Aut}(N, \Delta)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose we have an exact sequence of algebraic groups (over $\mathbb{C}$)*

$$1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

where K is diagonalisable and G is finite supersolvable. For any representation V of E there exists a choice of coordinates such that E is monomial with K diagonal. Furthermore, any irreducible representation has dimension dividing the order of G .

Proof. This is a straight-forward generalisation of [47, Section 8.5, Theorem 16]. We proceed by induction on the dimension of V . For any normal subgroup $N \triangleleft E$ the quotient $\eta : E \rightarrow E/N$ sits in an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \eta(K) \rightarrow \eta(E) \rightarrow \eta(E)/\eta(K) \rightarrow 1$$

with $\eta(K)$ diagonalisable and $\eta(E)/\eta(K)$ finite supersolvable. Thus it suffices to assume V is a faithful irreducible representation of E .

Suppose E is abelian. There are no unipotent elements in G or K , so E consists of semisimple elements. Thus E is diagonalisable (thus monomial). This also takes care of the base case $\dim(V) = 1$.

Suppose E is non-abelian. We claim there exists a normal diagonalisable subgroup A containing K which is not contained in the centre of E . If K is not central we may take $A = K$. If K is central then there exists a normal cyclic subgroup C of $E/Z(E)$ by supersolvability of E/K . In this case, take A to be the inverse image of C in E . We see that A is abelian (thus diagonalisable since K is diagonalisable), contains K , and is not contained in the centre of E .

Since E acts faithfully on V and A is not central in E , there exists $a \in A$ such that a is not a homothety of V . The proof of [47, Section 8.1, Proposition 24] applies: there exists a proper subgroup H of E containing A such that V is induced from a subspace W with a faithful H -action. Since $\dim(V) = [E : H] \dim(W)$ and H contains K the result follows from the induction hypothesis. $\square$

Recall that p -groups are supersolvable. Thus, in particular, actions of p -groups on toric varieties are always monomial. This is particularly useful in light of the following consequence of Theorem 3.2 (also motivated by Ledet's work [34]):

Theorem 3.9. *Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on a complete non-singular toric variety X . Then X is G -versal if and only if, for any prime p , X is G_p -versal for a Sylow p -subgroup of G .*

Proof. Using Theorem 3.2 this follows from a well-known result in group cohomology.

Recall the exact sequence of groups (1). From [9, Section IV.3], extensions of G by K are classified by $H^2(G, K)$. The extension E splits if and only if it corresponds to the 0 element in $H^2(G, K)$. Consider the product $\prod_p \text{Res}_{G_p}^G$ of the restriction maps $\text{Res}_{G_p}^G : H^2(G, K) \rightarrow H^2(G_p, K)$ over all primes p and

some choice of Sylow p -subgroups G_p for each p . From [9, Section III.10], this product is an injection. Thus G has a section if and only if every G_p has a section. $\square$

We remark on one application of this corollary that is not immediately obvious, but extremely useful. Suppose X is a G -variety and we want to determine whether or not it is versal. Let G_p be the set of Sylow p -subgroups of G . Suppose X is G_p -equivariantly birational to a G_p -variety X_p for each prime p . The versality property may be easier to determine on the new varieties X_p than on the original variety X .

This theorem is our main tool in the proof of Theorem 4.5. In particular, we will show that the versality question on all toric surfaces can be reduced to studying 3-groups on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and 2-groups on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

There does not seem to be any compelling reason why Theorem 3.9 should only be true for toric varieties since versality is a birational invariant. One might conjecture that this theorem holds for *any* variety:

Conjecture 3.10. *Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on a variety X . Then X is G -versal if and only if, for any prime p , X is G_p -versal for a Sylow p -subgroup of G .*

4 Del Pezzo Surfaces of Degree ≥ 5

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.5: a classification of all finite groups which act versally on one of the four surfaces $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, DP_6 (the del Pezzo surface of degree 6) or DP_5 (the del Pezzo surface of degree 5).

Recall that the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}^2$ is $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. The automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \simeq (\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \rtimes S_2$$

where S_2 swaps the two copies of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The automorphism group of DP_6 is $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 \rtimes D_{12}$ (see [19, Section 6.2]). The automorphism group of DP_5 is S_5 (see [19, Section 6.3]).

The surfaces $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and DP_6 are toric. The monomial actions on these surfaces will be particularly important. In particular, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *All versal actions of finite groups on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are monomial.*

Proof. Recall that $\pi : \widetilde{\mathrm{Aut}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is the group homomorphism induced from the Cox ring construction. For $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ we have the lift $E = \pi^{-1}(G)$ in

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Aut}}(X) \simeq (\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \rtimes S_2$$

with the exact sequence (1) from section 3.

Let $H = G \cap (\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$. The group H is the image in G of the centralizer of K in E . We see that H is a normal subgroup of G of index at

most 2. Let H_1 and H_2 be the projections of H to the first and second copies of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. We note that there is a natural embedding $H \subset H_1 \times H_2$. When $H \neq G$ we have isomorphisms $H_1 \simeq H_2$ induced by the actions of elements in $G - H$.

We may consider the action of E on $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^4$ as a 4-dimensional representation ρ . Let $E_H = \pi^{-1}(H)$. Note that $E_H \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, the restriction $\rho|_{E_H}$ is a direct sum of 2-dimensional subrepresentations σ_1 and σ_2 . Informally, one may consider σ_1 as the preimage of H_1 and σ_2 as the preimage of H_2 . If $G \neq H$ then ρ is induced from σ_1 .

If G is versal then there is a section from G to E . Recall that a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ lifts isomorphically to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if it is cyclic or odd dihedral (Proposition 2.12). All 2-dimensional representations of lifts of such groups are monomial. Thus σ_1 and σ_2 are monomial. If $G = H$ then $\rho = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ is monomial. If $G \neq H$ then $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \sigma_1$ is monomial. Thus the G -action on X is monomial. $\square$

4.1 Monomial Actions on Toric Surfaces

Recall the classification of conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We use the list in Lorenz's book [35, page 30]. The list comes with explicit representatives for each conjugacy class in terms of explicit matrix generators. We use the $\mathcal{G}_i$ notation to denote this explicit representative in each conjugacy class. (Lorenz uses $\mathcal{G}_i$ to denote the class, not the representative). Since it is used so extensively in what follows, we reproduce the list in Table 1.

Label	Generators	Structure
$\mathcal{G}_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	D_{12}
$\mathcal{G}_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	D_8
$\mathcal{G}_3$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	D_6
$\mathcal{G}_4$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	D_6
$\mathcal{G}_5$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$C_2 \times C_2$
$\mathcal{G}_6$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$C_2 \times C_2$
$\mathcal{G}_7$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	C_6
$\mathcal{G}_8$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	C_4
$\mathcal{G}_9$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	C_3
$\mathcal{G}_{10}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	C_2
$\mathcal{G}_{11}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	C_2
$\mathcal{G}_{12}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	C_2

Table 1: Conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

One checks that Figure 1 contains the finite subgroup lattice structure in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ where an arrow means “contains a subgroup in the conjugacy class of”. We omit composite arrows for clarity.




Figure 1: Lattice of finite subgroups in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

From this subgroup lattice structure we make some useful observations about p -groups in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For $p > 3$, there are no non-trivial p -subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. All 2-subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_2$. All non-trivial 3-subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are conjugate to $\mathcal{G}_9$.

Let $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$ be our lattice. There are standard realisations of $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and DP_6 as the toric varieties associated to the complete fans in N from Figure 2.




Figure 2: Standard fans for $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and DP_6 .

Let $T = N \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the torus associated to the lattice N . Choose coordinates such that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2 \simeq T$ corresponds to

$$(\lambda_1 : \lambda_2 : 1) \in \mathbb{P}^2$$

and

$$(\lambda_1 : 1 ; \lambda_2 : 1) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Thus the maximal cones in $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ correspond to the T -fixed points indicated in the diagram.

Recall that the group of T -stable automorphisms $\text{Aut}_T(X)$ of a surface X is $T \rtimes \text{Aut}(N, \Delta_X)$ where $\text{Aut}(N, \Delta_X)$ is the group of automorphisms of the associated fan Δ_X . We have the following automorphism groups:

$$\text{Aut}(N, \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = \mathcal{G}_4 \quad \text{Aut}(N, \Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}) = \mathcal{G}_2 \quad \text{Aut}(N, \Delta_{DP_6}) = \mathcal{G}_1$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ are the maximal finite subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugacy (see Figure 1), all monomial group actions on toric surfaces are equivariantly birational to actions on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or DP_6 . (Note, however, that this is *not* the case for general actions.)

By Lemma 3.5, this means that all faithful p -group actions on toric surfaces are automatically versal for $p > 5$. For 3-groups and 2-groups the theory is a bit more involved. For 3-groups, the versal property can be determined by considering actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$:

Lemma 4.2 (3-groups acting on toric surfaces). *Suppose G_3 is a finite 3-group acting faithfully on a toric surface X . Then X is G_3 -birationally equivalent to $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ with $G_3 \subset T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_9$ and the following are equivalent:*

1. Y has a G_3 -fixed point,
2. X is G_3 -versal,
3. the following conditions hold:
 - (a) if $\omega_T(G_3) = 1$ then there are no conditions,
 - (b) if $\omega_T(G_3) = \mathcal{G}_9$ then $G_3 \cap T = 1$.

Proof. Recall that all p -groups are monomial and $\mathcal{G}_9$ is the maximal finite 3-subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugacy. Thus we may assume $G_3 \subset T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_9$ in some coordinates by Proposition prop:supersolvableToric. Furthermore, selecting a new fan in the same lattice induces a birational map. Thus X is G_3 -birationally equivalent to $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$. Since versality is a G -birational invariant, it suffices to assume $X = Y$ for the remainder of the proof.

The implication (1) $\implies$ (2) is immediate by Corollary 3.4. In case (a), all remaining implications are immediate by Lemma 3.5. It remains to consider case (b) with $\omega_T(G_3) = \mathcal{G}_9$.

Assume (2): that X is versal. Since G_3 is a 3-group of essential dimension 2, it is abelian by Proposition 2.8. Note that $\mathcal{G}_9$ does not fix any of the cones of the fan $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ except for the trivial cone $\{0\}$. Thus any G_3 -fixed point must be on the torus. Note the action of any non-trivial element of $G_3 \cap T$ does not fix any point on the torus. If $G_3 \cap T \neq 1$ then we have an abelian subgroup without a fixed point. This contradicts Corollary 2.5. So (3) must hold and we have (2) $\implies$ (3).

If (3) holds then $G_3 \simeq C_3$. Any cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ has a diagonalisation. So there exists a G_3 -fixed point. We have (3) $\implies$ (1). $\square$

Similarly, we determine which 2-groups are versal by studying $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 4.3 (2-groups acting on toric surfaces). *Suppose G_2 is a finite 2-group acting faithfully on a toric surface X . Then X is G_2 -birationally equivalent to $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with $G_2 \subset T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_2$ and the following are equivalent:*

1. Y has a G_2 -fixed point,
2. X is G_2 -versal,
3. the following conditions hold:
 - (a) if $\omega_T(G_2)$ is conjugate to 1 or $\mathcal{G}_{12}$ then there are no conditions,
 - (b) if $\omega_T(G_2)$ is conjugate to $\mathcal{G}_{11}$ then (after choosing coordinates such that $\omega_T(G_2) = \mathcal{G}_{11}$), for any $t \in G_2 \cap T$, $t = (1, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$,
 - (c) in all remaining cases we require $G_2 \cap T = 1$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{G}_2$ is the maximal finite 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugacy. Similarly to Lemma 4.2 above, we may assume $G_2 \subset T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_2$ and $X = Y$.

The implication (1) $\implies$ (2) is immediate by Corollary 3.4. We prove the remaining implications by restricting to each case in (3).

Case (a): $\omega_T(G_2)$ is conjugate to 1 or $\mathcal{G}_{12}$.

There are no additional conditions so it suffices to show (1) always holds. When $\omega_T(G_2) = 1$, this is immediate from Lemma 3.5. For $\omega_T(G_2)$ conjugate to $\mathcal{G}_{12}$ we choose coordinates so that $\omega_T(G_2) = \mathcal{G}_{12}$ and use the fan $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ as above. The cone σ spanned by $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ is fixed by the action of $\mathcal{G}_{12}$ and, since it is a maximal cone, corresponds to a T -fixed point. Thus the T -orbit corresponding to σ is a G -fixed point.

Case (b): $\omega_T(G_2)$ is conjugate to $\mathcal{G}_{11}$.

It suffices to assume that $\omega_T(G_2) = \mathcal{G}_{11}$ and Y is constructed from the fan $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$. Assume (3) does not hold; we will show that this implies (2) cannot hold. There exists an element $t \in G_2 \cap T$ of the form $t = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ where $\lambda_1 \neq 1$. Furthermore, by taking appropriate powers, we may assume λ_1 has order 2. Now consider $g \in G_2$ such that $\omega_T(g) \neq 1$. The group $A = \langle g, t \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of G_2 .

Note that $\mathcal{G}_{11}$ (and thus g) only fixes the cones spanned by $\{(0, 1)\}$, $\{(0, -1)\}$ and $\{0\}$ in $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$. The element t acts non-trivially on the T -orbits corresponding to those cones (and so has no fixed points there). We have an abelian subgroup A without a fixed point. This contradicts Corollary 2.5. Thus (2) does not hold. We have shown (2) $\implies$ (3).

Now assume (3) holds. Recall the definitions of H , H_1 and H_2 from the proof of Lemma 4.1. In this case $G_2 = H$; and H_1, H_2 are cyclic. Thus H_1 has a fixed point p_1 on the first $\mathbb{P}^1$ and H_2 has a fixed point p_2 on the second. The point (p_1, p_2) is a G_2 -fixed point of Y . Thus (3) $\implies$ (1) $\implies$ (2).

Case (c): all remaining cases.

Assume (3) does not hold. Recall the subgroup structure of $\mathcal{G}_2$ from figure 1. We must have $\mathcal{G}_{10} \subset \omega_T(G_2)$. If $G_2 \cap T \neq 1$ then there exists an element $t \in G_2 \cap T$ of order 2. The element t commutes with the action of $\mathcal{G}_{10}$. Let

$g \in G_2$ be an element such that $1 \neq \omega_T(g) \in \mathcal{G}_{10}$. The group $A = \langle g, t \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of G_2 .

Note that $\mathcal{G}_{10}$ only fixes the trivial cone $\{0\}$ in $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ so any A -fixed point must be on the torus. The element t does not fix any point on the torus. We have an abelian subgroup A without a fixed point. This contradicts Corollary 2.5. Thus (2) does not hold. We have shown (2) $\implies$ (3).

Now assume (3) holds. In this case, H_1 and H_2 are cyclic. A cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ lies in some torus $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Thus we may find new coordinates with a different torus $T' \subset Y$ such that $H \subset T'$. Note that, for any $g \in G_2$, $g^2 \in T'$ so $\omega_{T'}(g)$ has order 2. Also, $\mathcal{G}_{10}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{11}$ are contained in H . Thus, any $g \in G_2 - H$ must have $\omega_{T'}(g)$ conjugate to the non-trivial element in $\mathcal{G}_{12}$. So $G_2 \subset T' \rtimes \mathcal{G}_{12}$ and has a fixed point by case (a). We have shown (3) $\implies$ (1) $\implies$ (2). $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *All finite subgroups G of the following groups have versal monomial actions on a toric surface:*

- (1*) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_{12}$.
- (2) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_1$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 2 and 3,
- (3) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_2$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 2,
- (4) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_3$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3,
- (5) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_4$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3,

Furthermore, any finite group with a versal monomial action on a toric surface is of this form.

Proof. Recall that when deciding whether a group G has a versal action on a complete non-singular toric variety it suffices to check Sylow p -subgroups G_p (Theorem 3.9). For all the forms above, G_p is always versal when $p \geq 5$ by Lemma 3.5. So one only needs to check the Sylow 3- and 2-subgroups.

Any finite G with a monomial action can be written in the form $G \subset T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_i$ where $\mathcal{G}_i$ is from Table 1. From Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 we have necessary and sufficient conditions for G_2 and G_3 to be versal.

We note $G_3 \cap T = 1$ is equivalent to $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3 and similarly for G_2 . By selecting appropriate Sylow subgroups we have Table 2 where the last row gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for G to be versal.

One sees that any group G listed in the theorem is versal.

For the converse, we show that all of the other possibilities for $\omega_T(G)$ are already contained in a group appearing in the list. The cases $\mathcal{G}_5$, $\mathcal{G}_6$, $\mathcal{G}_8$ and $\mathcal{G}_{10}$ are all covered by form (3); $\mathcal{G}_7$, by form (2); $\mathcal{G}_9$, by forms (4) and (5); and $\omega_T(G) = 1$ by form (1*).

It remains to eliminate the special case $\mathcal{G}_{11}$. Here, $G = H \subset H_1 \times H_2$ in the language of the proof of Lemma 4.1. Thus any finite subgroup G of $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_{11}$ must be a subgroup of $D_{2n} \times C_m$ for sufficiently large integers n and m . From case (3b) of Lemma 4.3, if G is versal we can assume n is odd. We show that any such group is actually isomorphic to a group of form (1*) above.

$\omega_T(G)$	$\omega_T(G_3)$	$\omega_T(G_2)$	$ G \cap T $ coprime to
$\mathcal{G}_1$	$\mathcal{G}_9$	$\mathcal{G}_6$	2, 3
$\mathcal{G}_2$	1	$\mathcal{G}_2$	2
$\mathcal{G}_3$	$\mathcal{G}_9$	$\mathcal{G}_{12}$	3
$\mathcal{G}_4$	$\mathcal{G}_9$	$\mathcal{G}_{12}$	3
$\mathcal{G}_5$	1	$\mathcal{G}_5$	2
$\mathcal{G}_6$	1	$\mathcal{G}_6$	2
$\mathcal{G}_7$	$\mathcal{G}_9$	$\mathcal{G}_{10}$	2, 3
$\mathcal{G}_8$	1	$\mathcal{G}_8$	2
$\mathcal{G}_9$	$\mathcal{G}_9$	1	3
$\mathcal{G}_{10}$	1	$\mathcal{G}_{10}$	2
$\mathcal{G}_{11}$	1	$\mathcal{G}_{11}$	special
$\mathcal{G}_{12}$	1	$\mathcal{G}_{12}$	none
1	1	1	none

Table 2: Versality conditions for monomial actions on surfaces.

Indeed, consider the following subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_n & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_m & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_m \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

where ζ_n and ζ_m are n th and m th roots of unity, respectively. This group is isomorphic to $D_{2n} \times C_m$ and has an embedding into $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_{12}$. $\square$

4.2 Versal Actions on the Four Surfaces

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose a finite group G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, DP_6 , or DP_5 . Then G is finite subgroup of one of the following groups:*

- (1) $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$,
- (2) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_1$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 2 and 3,
- (3) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_2$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 2,
- (4) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_3$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3,
- (5) $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_4$ with $|G \cap T|$ coprime to 3,
- (6) $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$,
- (7) S_5 .

Furthermore, all finite subgroups of the above groups act versally on one of those surfaces.

Proof. Recall that any finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by Proposition 2.2. We note that any finite subgroup of $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_{12}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. So form (1*) in Lemma 4.4 is wholly contained in form (1) of this theorem.

By Lemma 4.4, the versal monomial actions on *any* toric surface are contained in forms (1)–(5) above. Recall that the automorphism group of DP_6 is $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_1$ and the group of monomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is $T \rtimes \mathcal{G}_2$. Forms (1)–(5) all have versal actions on one of the 4 surfaces.

It remains to study actions that are *not* monomial. All actions on DP_6 are monomial, and by Lemma 4.1, this is also true of versal actions on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus these surfaces require no more consideration.

We consider DP_5 . Recall from [19, Section 6.2] that a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 can be described as a quotient $(\mathbb{P}^1)^5 / \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The automorphism group of DP_5 is S_5 and its action is versal by the construction in [10]. Thus, all subgroups of S_5 act versally on DP_5 .

It remains to classify all finite groups acting versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$. We refer to Blichfeldt’s classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ in [7, Chapter V]. Using Blichfeldt’s notation, we note that groups of types A and B are in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$: these are versal. Groups of type C and D are “transitive monomial”, meaning their lifts to subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ are irreducible monomial representations. A monomial action on $\mathbb{C}^3$ descends to a monomial action on $\mathbb{P}^2$. These groups have already been covered.

Finally, we have a finite number of exceptions in Blichfeldt’s classification. Groups of type E, F, and G are conjugate to those that contain the elements S_1 and T where

$$S_1 : (x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto (x_1 : \zeta_3 x_2 : \zeta_3^2 x_3)$$

and

$$T : (x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto (x_2 : x_3 : x_1).$$

The group $\langle S_1, T \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup with no fixed point. Thus groups of types E, F and G are not versal by Corollary 2.5. The group of type I is isomorphic to A_6 ; this group has no faithful representation in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ so it cannot be versal by Theorem 3.2. The remaining types H and J are the groups A_5 and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$. These groups have faithful representations in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and have a trivial centre; thus, they are versal by Proposition 2.2. $\square$

5 Conic Bundle Structures

Recall Manin and Iskovskikh’s classification of minimal rational G -surfaces into conic bundles and del Pezzo surfaces from section 2.2. In this section, we establish the conic bundles case of Theorem 1.2. The del Pezzo surfaces case will be considered in section 6.

Theorem 5.1. *If G has a versal action on a minimal conic bundle X then G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

All of the following facts about conic bundle structures can be found in [19] or [26]. A *conic bundle structure* on a rational G -surface X is a G -equivariant morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow B$ such that $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and the fibres are isomorphic to reduced conics in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Note that, unlike del Pezzo surfaces, the G -action is required for this definition to make sense. There may exist other group actions where X does *not* have such a structure (for example, not all actions on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ respect the fibration).

A fibre F of the morphism ϕ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ or to $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ (two copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$ meeting at a point). In the first case, $\text{Aut}(F) \simeq \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$; in the second, $\text{Aut}(F)$ has a monomial representation of degree 2 (in particular, it is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$).

Let $\mathbf{F}_n$ be the ruled surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))$ for a non-negative integer n (see, for example, [23, Section V.2]). A conic bundle is either isomorphic to some $\mathbf{F}_n$ or to a surface obtained from some $\mathbf{F}_n$ by blowing up a finite set of points, no two lying in a fibre of a ruling.

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the map induced by the action of G on B under ϕ . Let $G_B = \text{im}(\pi)$ and $G_K = \ker(\pi)$. One may consider G_K as the largest subgroup of G which preserves the generic fibre. Note that every fibre of ϕ is G_K -invariant. It is useful to think of G_K as the group that “acts on the fibre” and G_B as the group that “acts on the base.” Both G_K and G_B are polyhedral groups since they act faithfully on rational curves.

Let $\Sigma = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be the set of points on B whose fibres are singular. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of components of singular fibres $\{R_1, R'_1, \dots, R_r, R'_r\}$ where R_i and R'_i are the two components of the fibre $\phi^{-1}(p_i)$ for each $p_i \in \Sigma$. We have a natural map $\xi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ is the group of permutations of $\mathcal{R}$. Let us denote $G_0 = \ker(\xi) \cap G_K$ (note that this differs slightly from the definition in [19]).

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove the theorem by considering the different possibilities for G_K . We suggest reviewing the results of section 2.3.

Note that if G_K contains a characteristic subgroup of order 2 then G has a non-trivial centre and, thus, a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by Proposition 2.7. The polyhedral groups with characteristic subgroups of order 2 are the dihedral groups D_{4n} with $n \geq 2$, and the cyclic groups of even order. It remains to consider G_K of the following types: odd cyclic, odd dihedral, $C_2 \times C_2$, A_4 , S_4 and A_5 .

By Lemma 5.3 below, G_0 acts faithfully on every component of every fibre of ϕ . If S has no singular fibres then X is a ruled surface and we may apply Lemma 5.2. Consequently, we may assume π has a singular fibre F . So G_0 acts faithfully on an irreducible component of F with a fixed point. Any such component is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. The only polyhedral groups with fixed points are the cyclic groups, so G_0 is odd cyclic.

Note that G_K can only permute components of the same fibre, thus $\xi(G_K) \subset (C_2)^r$. We have a normal structure with $G_0 \triangleleft G_K$ cyclic and $G_K/G_0 \subset (C_2)^r$. This excludes $G_K \simeq A_4$, $G_K \simeq S_4$ and $G_K \simeq A_5$. Thus it remains only to consider groups G_K that are odd cyclic, odd dihedral or isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$.

These remaining cases are handled by the lemmas below. If G_K is odd cyclic then the result follows by Lemma 5.4 below; this case corresponds to X being a ruled surface. If G_K is odd dihedral then Lemma 5.5 applies; these surfaces are the “exceptional conic bundles” of [19]. Finally, if $G_K \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ then Lemma 5.6 applies; these are all “non-exceptional conic bundles” as in [19]. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *If X is a ruled surface with a versal G -action then G acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. If X is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ then we are done. Otherwise, from [19, Theorem 4.10] we see that any finite group acting on a ruled surface is a central extension of a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Any finite subgroup G of such an extension has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Indeed, it suffices to consider G with trivial centre. Any such G then embeds into $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. All polyhedral groups have versal actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$ (see proof of Theorem 4.5); as do all finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *The group G_0 acts faithfully on every component of every fibre of ϕ .*

Proof. Let R be a component of a fibre of ϕ . Since G_0 preserves components of fibres, we may G_0 -equivariantly blowdown X to a ruled surface such that R is isomorphic to a fibre of the blowdown variety. Thus, it suffices to prove the theorem for X when all fibres are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Let g be any non-trivial element of G_0 . There exists an open cover of B by open sets U such that $\phi^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let V be the subset of distinct triples of points in $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$. There is an isomorphism $V \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by taking the automorphism determined by the images of the three points 0, 1 and ∞ . By composing this isomorphism with the restrictions $g|_{U \times \{0\}}$, $g|_{U \times \{1\}}$ and $g|_{U \times \{\infty\}}$, we obtain a map $\gamma_{g,U} : U \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which takes each point to the action of g on the fibre of ϕ .

Let $\alpha : \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map defined by

$$\alpha : A \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A')^2}{\det(A')}$$

where A' is any lift of A to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. One easily checks that α is well-defined and is invariant on conjugacy classes. Furthermore, for any $A \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of finite order, $\alpha(A) = 4$ if and only if $A = 1$ (by diagonalisation).

The isomorphism $\phi^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is only determined up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Gluing together each $\gamma_{g,U}$ after composing with α we obtain a map $\gamma_g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is affine and $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, the image of γ_g is a point. Since G_0 acts faithfully on X , there must be at least one fibre on which g acts non-trivially. Thus $\gamma_g \neq 4$ and g acts non-trivially on every fibre. Thus G_0 acts faithfully on every fibre. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose G acts versally on X and G_K is odd cyclic. Then G acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. Assume X is *not* a ruled surface. By the proof of [19, Lemma 5.6], G_K must contain an involution. (Note the proof in [19] assumes that $G_0 = 0$ there but G_0 of odd order is all that is needed for the proof to go through. Note also that we use X where [19] uses S .) We have a contradiction, so X must be a ruled surface. The result follows from Lemma 5.2. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose G acts versally on X and G_K is odd dihedral. Then G acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Recall that $G_0 \simeq C_n$ and $G_K \simeq D_{2n}$ for some n odd as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Consider any $g \in G_B$, we shall prove that $H = \pi^{-1}(\langle g \rangle)$ is a direct product $G_K \times \langle g \rangle$.

Since $\langle g \rangle$ is cyclic there is a fixed point on B . Thus ϕ has an H -fixed fibre F . Recall that G_0 acts faithfully on F . If F is non-singular then $\text{Aut}(F) \simeq \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. If F is singular then $\text{Aut}(F) \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and we have a natural map $\text{Aut}(F) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with central kernel. The group G_0 is not in the centre of G_K , so we have map $\eta : H \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which is injective on G_0 .

Since η is injective on G_0 it must be injective on G_K . The image of η must be a polyhedral group with a normal subgroup isomorphic to $G_K \simeq D_{2n}$ for some odd n . From Lemma 2.11, the only possibilities are $\eta(H) \simeq G_K$ or $\eta(H) \simeq D_{4n} \simeq G_K \times C_2$ (since n is odd). Either way, there exists a retract $H \rightarrow G_K$ of the inclusion $G_K \hookrightarrow H$. Thus $H \simeq G_K \times \langle g \rangle$.

Recall that G_K has a trivial centre. By [9, Corollary IV.6.8], there is only one extension of G_K by G_B associated to a map $G_B \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_K)$ (up to equivalence). Since g has a trivial action on G_K for any $g \in G_B$, the map $G_B \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_K)$ is trivial. Thus we must have $G \simeq G_K \times G_B$.

The group G_K contains an involution. If G_B contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$ then G contains $(C_2)^3$. This would contradict $\text{ed}(G) \leq 2$. So G_B must be cyclic or odd dihedral. Thus, $G \simeq G_K \times G_B$ has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ where G_K acts on one $\mathbb{P}^1$, and G_B , the other. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose G acts versally on X and $G_K \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. Then G acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider G with a trivial centre, since otherwise we immediately have a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by Proposition 2.7. We have a map $G_B \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_K) \simeq S_3$ with kernel J . We note that, by construction, if $g \in G$ maps to $J \subset G/G_K$ then g commutes with G_K .

Suppose $J = 1$. If $G \rightarrow S_3$ is not surjective then G is abelian or isomorphic to A_4 . Both of these have versal actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$ so it suffices to assume G is an extension of C_2^2 by S_3 . A 2-Sylow subgroup of G is not normal, since we would obtain a non-trivial map from S_3 to C_3 (which cannot exist). A 3-Sylow subgroup of G is not normal since $A_4 \subset G$ and C_3 is not normal in A_4 . The only group G of this form is S_4 [25, Theorem 1.33]. The group S_4 has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by the proof of Theorem 4.5.

It remains to consider $J \neq 1$. We shall see that this case cannot occur.

Suppose J contains a subgroup $M \simeq C_2 \times C_2$. The group $M' = \pi^{-1}(M) \subset G$ has essential dimension ≤ 2 and a non-trivial centre (it is a 2-group). Thus, there is an embedding $\rho : M' \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. This representation ρ is faithful and, since $G_K \subset Z(M')$, has a non-cyclic centre. It cannot be irreducible by Schur's lemma. Thus, M' is abelian and must have a fixed point on X (since it is versal).

Under the projection to B this becomes a fixed point for M . But M has rank 2 and cannot have a fixed point on $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, a contradiction. Thus we cannot have a subgroup $C_2 \times C_2$ in J . We have a morphism $G_B \rightarrow S_3$ whose kernel cannot contain $C_2 \times C_2$. Considering the normal structure of G_B (a polyhedral group), this excludes G_B isomorphic to A_4 , S_4 or A_5 .

It remains to consider G_B cyclic or dihedral. The involutions in $\mathrm{Aut}(G_K)$ all fix a non-trivial element of G_K . Since G has a trivial centre, we must have an element $g \in G$ that descends to an element of order 3 in $\mathrm{Aut}(G_K)$.

If G_B is cyclic then J and $\pi(g)$ generate G_B . If G_B is dihedral then J and $\pi(g)$ generate the maximal normal cyclic subgroup of G_B . Indeed, there is no non-trivial map from a dihedral group to C_3 so G_B surjects onto $\mathrm{Aut}(G_K) \simeq S_3$. The kernel of the composition $G_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(G_K) \rightarrow C_2$ is generated by J and $\pi(g)$ as desired. Note that $\pi(g)^3 \in J$ in either case.

Let $L = \langle \pi^{-1}(J), g \rangle$. Consider any $j \in \pi^{-1}(J)$. Since $\pi(j)$ and $\pi(g)$ commute, we have $(g, j) = k$ for some $k \in G_K$. Thus $j^2 \in Z(L)$ since $gj^2g^{-1} = k^2j^2 = j^2$.

Suppose J has even order. Note that $Z(L) \cap G_K = 1$ so there exists $j \in \pi^{-1}(J)$ with $j \notin G_K$ such that $j^2 = 1$. In this case, we have a subgroup $G_K \times \langle j \rangle \simeq (C_2)^3 \subset G$. This cannot have essential dimension 2 so we have a contradiction.

We may assume J has odd order. Note that $Z(L) \subset \pi^{-1}(J)$ since any element mapping non-trivially to $L/\pi^{-1}(J) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G_K)$ cannot be central. We want to show that π maps $Z(L)$ onto J . For any $y \in J$ there exists $x \in J$ such that $x^2 = y$ (since $|J|$ is odd). There is a lift $l \in L$ such that $\pi(l) = x$. We have $l^2 \in Z(L)$ and $\pi(l^2) = x^2 = y$ as desired. Since $G_K \cap Z(L) = 1$ we have a splitting $J \hookrightarrow L$ with image $Z(L)$. Thus we may identify J and $Z(L)$.

Since $\mathrm{ed}(L) \leq 2$ and $J = Z(L) \neq 1$, there is an embedding $L \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. We then compose this with the natural map $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $L/J \simeq (G_K \rtimes C_3) \simeq A_4$. Since $Z(L) = J$, we have a map $L \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with image A_4 and kernel J . Any subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ mapping onto $A_4 \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ must have a central involution by Proposition 2.12. So J has even order; a contradiction. $\square$

6 Del Pezzo Surfaces of Degree ≤ 4

We are finally in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. It remains only to show that groups with versal actions on del Pezzo surface of degree ≤ 4 have already been seen acting versally on the surfaces of Theorem 4.5. Indeed, the main theorem is an immediate consequence of the following:

Theorem 1.2. *If G is a finite group of essential dimension 2 then G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, DP_6 , or DP_5 .*

Proof. All groups G of essential dimension 2 have versal actions on minimal rational G -surfaces by Proposition 2.9. Thus, it suffices to prove that, for any minimal rational versal G -surface X , there exists a versal action on one of the 4 surfaces listed above. Recall that any minimal rational G -surface X is a del Pezzo surface or has a conic bundle structure by Theorem 2.10.

Theorem 5.1 proves the theorem for surfaces with a conic bundle structure. We recall from [19, Section 6] that the only minimal rational G -surfaces of degree ≥ 5 are precisely those listed in the statement of the theorem. Thus it suffices to consider degrees ≤ 4 . In the following X is a del Pezzo surface with a versal G -action.

Case degree 4: The minimal groups of automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 4 are listed in [19, Theorem 6.9]. We know that G must be from this list and that $\text{ed}(G) \leq 2$. If G is abelian or a 2-group then it acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$. All remaining groups have abelian subgroups with ranks ≥ 3 (note that $C_2 \times A_4$ contains C_3^3); thus they cannot be versal.

The reader may find an alternative proof that does not rely directly on [19, Theorem 6.9] in Appendix A.

Case degree 3: The minimal groups of automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 3 are listed in [19, Theorem 6.14]. It suffices to consider G from this list.

All groups with non-trivial centres and essential dimension ≤ 2 have versal actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by Proposition 2.7. Thus we may assume G has a trivial centre. In particular, we may eliminate all abelian groups from the list. Next, we may eliminate all groups with abelian subgroups of rank ≥ 3 since they cannot be versal by Proposition 2.6(b). Similarly, we eliminate G containing a non-abelian 3-subgroup by Proposition 2.8. Also, if G is a subgroup of S_5 then G has a versal action on DP_5 by the proof of Theorem 4.5.

All that remains to consider are G of the form $C_3^2 \rtimes C_2$ and $C_3^2 \rtimes C_2^2$. It suffices to consider $G \simeq C_3^2 \rtimes C_2^2$. We may view this group as a representation of C_2^2 on the vector space $\mathbb{F}_3^2$. Since the centre is trivial, we may assume the representation is faithful. The representation is diagonalisable, so G is isomorphic to $S_3 \times S_3$. This group has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by the proof of Theorem 4.5.

An alternative proof for this case can be found in Appendix A.

Case degree 2: We have a finite G -equivariant morphism of degree 2 to $\mathbb{P}^2$ (see [19, Section 6.6]). If the induced action of G on $\mathbb{P}^2$ is faithful then we are done. Otherwise, the group G contains a central involution (a *Geiser involution*). Any such group has a non-trivial centre and sits inside $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Corollary 2.7.

Case degree 1: This case proceeds the same way as degree 2 via the *Bertini involution*. The only difference is that the finite morphism of degree 2 maps onto a singular quadric cone in $\mathbb{P}^3$ ([19, Section 6.7]). The automorphism group of a singular quadric cone is the same as the minimal ruled surface $\mathbf{F}_2$ (see [23, Example V.2.11.4]). Any versal action on such a surface must also act versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (see Lemma 5.2 above). $\square$

A Alternative Proofs

Recall that the degree 3 and 4 cases of Theorem 1.2 above used Dolgachev and Iskovskikh's classification [19] in an essential way. The careful reader will find that the proofs in the classification are quite involved. To ease the reader's burden, we supply alternative proofs for these two cases. Our context is considerably less ambitious than theirs, so we have the luxury of a simplified argument.

A.1 Del Pezzo Surfaces of Degree 4

Theorem A.1. *Suppose G is a finite group with a versal action on a del Pezzo Surface X of degree 4. Then G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ or DP_5 .*

Proof. Recall from [19] or [38] that groups acting on a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 must sit inside the Weyl group $W(D_5) \simeq C_2^4 \rtimes S_5$. We see that any group with a versal action on such a surface must also act versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$ or DP_5 via Lemma A.2 below. $\square$

Lemma A.2. *If G is a subgroup of $W(D_5) \simeq C_2^4 \rtimes S_5$ of essential dimension 2 then $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ or $G \subset S_5$.*

Proof. Let $K = G \cap C_2^4$. Clearly, K must have rank ≤ 2 . If K has rank 0 then G embeds into S_5 . If K has rank 1 it must be central in G , so G has a non-trivial centre and embeds in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Proposition 2.7. It remains to consider K of rank 2, where $K \simeq C_2 \times C_2$.

If 5 divides $|G|$ then G contains an element σ of order 5. The action of σ on K must be trivial since K has fewer than 5 elements. The origin of K is the only element that can be invariant under the σ action. Thus G has no elements of order 5.

Note that the automorphism group of K is S_3 . Since K is a normal subgroup of G there exists a map $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(K) \simeq S_3$. If an element of K is fixed by the G -action then G has a non-trivial centre and $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Thus it suffices to assume the image of $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(K)$ must contain an element of order 3. Since S_5 has no elements of order 9, we may assume there exists $\sigma \in G$ of order 3 which acts transitively on the non-trivial elements of K .

It is useful to view $V = C_2^4$ as a vector subspace $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 0$ for $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \in \mathbb{F}_2^5$ with S_5 permuting basis elements in the obvious way. We may choose our coordinates so that $\sigma \mapsto (123)$ under the map $G \rightarrow G/K \subset S_5$. Let $x = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) \in K \setminus \{0\}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} y &= x + x^\sigma + x^{\sigma^2} \\ &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, a_4, a_5). \end{aligned}$$

Note that y must be in K .

If $y = 0$ then $a_4 = a_5 = 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$. The only non-trivial K satisfying these conditions is $\langle (1, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0, 0) \rangle$. The stabiliser of this group in S_5 is of the form $S_3 \times C_2$ with S_3 permuting the first 3 basis vectors

and C_2 swapping the last 2. The action of S_3 on $\langle (1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1) \rangle \subset \mathbb{F}_2^3$ yields a group isomorphic to S_4 . We see then that G is a subgroup of $S_4 \times C_2$. If the restriction to G of the projection map $S_4 \times C_2 \rightarrow S_4$ is an isomorphism then $G \subset S_4 \subset S_5$; otherwise G has a non-trivial centre and is in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

If $y \neq 0$ then $y = x^g$ for some $g \in \langle \sigma \rangle$. Thus $x + x^g + x^{g^2} = x^g$ and then $x + x^{g^2} = 0$. Thus $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. There are only 3 such elements in V : $(0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1, 0)$ and $(1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$. All of these elements are fixed by σ so this case does not occur. $\square$

A.2 Del Pezzo Surfaces of Degree 3

The finite groups acting on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 3 were classified by Segre [46]. Unfortunately, Segre's classification had errors as pointed out by more recent classifications by Dolgachev and Iskovskikh [19], and by Hosoh [24]. However, even the newer classifications are long and technical (this is probably inevitable). Given the checkered history of this problem, it seems wise to have an independent argument in our more modest context.

In addition, many of our intermediate results are stronger than the classification alone. These may be useful for extending the results to more general fields.

Theorem A.3. *Suppose G is a finite group with a versal action on a del Pezzo surface X of degree 3. Then G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or DP_5 .*

Proof. We recall some basic facts from [19, Section 6.5].

Any del Pezzo surface X of degree 3 has a G -equivariant embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ as a non-singular cubic hypersurface obtained via the anti-canonical sheaf $-K_X$. As in [26, §4], we have a representation ρ of G acting on the 4-dimensional space $V = \Gamma(X, -K_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4$ which lifts the action on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] = \mathbb{C}[V]$ be the homogeneous form of degree 3 corresponding to X in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

The non-singular condition puts some restrictions on the possibilities for F :

Lemma A.4. *For every x_i , there must be a monomial in F such that x_i has exponent ≥ 2 .*

Proof. This is proved in [19]; we include it here for the sake of completeness. Assume the contrary, that F does not have a monomial where x_i occurs with exponent ≥ 2 . Without loss of generality, we may assume it is x_1 . The polynomial F can be written in the form $x_1 G(x_2, x_3, x_4) + H(x_2, x_3, x_4)$ where G and H are forms of order 2 and 3 respectively. The point $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0) \in X$ is singular; a contradiction. $\square$

For the rest of the proof we assume that G has a versal action on X . The remainder of the proof is structured as follows. First, we show that, if ρ is reducible, G must act versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (Lemma A.5). Next, we prove that if G has an element of order 5 it must act versally on DP_5 (Lemma A.6). Of the remaining groups, we prove that there are no irreducible monomial versal actions (Lemma A.7). Finally, we eliminate all irreducible actions (Lemma A.8).

Lemma A.5. *If ρ is reducible then G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. If G has a non-trivial centre then $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and we have a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by Proposition 2.7. Thus we may assume $Z(G) = 1$. Let $\rho = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma_2$ be a decomposition into subrepresentations where $\dim(\sigma_1) \geq \dim(\sigma_2)$ and σ_2 is irreducible.

Consider the case where $\dim(\sigma_2) = 1$. Suppose there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $\sigma_1(g) = 1$ but $\sigma_2(g) \neq 1$. Any such g would be in the centre of G , so no such elements exist. This means that σ_1 is faithful. We have a 3 dimensional faithful representation of G with a trivial centre. Thus, G acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$ by Theorem 3.2.

We are left with the case where σ_1 and σ_2 are both irreducible of dimension 2. Since the centre of G is trivial, G descends to a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Recall that we have an embedding $G \hookrightarrow W(E_6)$ where $W(E_6)$ is the Weyl group associated to the root system E_6 . Thus $|G|$ divides $|W(E_6)| = 51840 = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$. We handle the case where G has an element of order 5:

Lemma A.6. *If G has an element of order 5 then G has a versal action on $\mathbb{P}^2$ or DP_5 .*

Proof. Any such group G must act on the surface of type II in the table from [19, Section 6.5]. The automorphism group of this surface is S_5 . So, in fact we have the stronger result that $G \subset S_5$ regardless of whether G is versal. Since the proof in [19] involves complicated calculations, we sketch an alternate proof here using the fact that G is versal.

The group G must be isomorphic to a subgroup of $W(E_6)$. The maximal subgroups of $W(E_6)$ with order divisible by 5 are $C_2^4 \rtimes S_5$ and $S_6 \times C_2$. By Theorem A.2, $G \subset C_2^4 \rtimes S_5$ implies that $G \subset S_5$ or G has a non-trivial centre. In the case where $G \subset S_6 \times C_2$, if the projection $S_6 \times C_2 \rightarrow S_6$ is not injective when restricted to G then G has a non-trivial centre (and so acts versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$). Thus, it remains to consider $G \subset S_6$.

The group S_6 has essential dimension 3, so G cannot be the whole group. All maximal subgroups of S_6 of order divisible by 5 are isomorphic to A_6 or S_5 . All faithful representations of A_6 have dimension ≥ 5 so this group does not occur either. All maximal subgroups of A_6 of order divisible by 5 are isomorphic to A_5 . Thus $G \subset S_5$ and has a versal action on DP_5 . $\square$

Note that, as discussed in remark 1.1, there exists a versal cubic surface realising an S_5 -action appearing in the lemma above.

All remaining cases have $|G|$ coprime to 5 and ρ irreducible. We will show that none of the remaining cases can occur. We consider the case where ρ is an irreducible monomial action:

Lemma A.7. *If $|G|$ is coprime to 5 then ρ cannot be irreducible monomial.*

Proof. Since ρ is irreducible, all central elements are scalar matrices and act trivially on X . Thus, we may assume G has a trivial centre.

The monomial representation on V gives us an extension $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ where K is an abelian group and H is a subgroup of S_4 . We may assume $x_1, \dots, x_4$ is a monomial basis for V^* on which K acts by diagonal matrices and H acts by “twisted” permutations (see Section 3.2). We require that H is a transitive subgroup of S_4 or else ρ is reducible. Also, we require that K is non-trivial or else ρ is reducible (since all irreducible representations of S_4 are of dimension ≤ 3). Let χ_i be the character corresponding to the action of K on x_i for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Case 1: χ_i are not distinct.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $\chi_1 = \chi_2$. By transitivity of H , this means that $\chi_3 = \chi_4$. The group H permutes the subsets $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{3, 4\}$. The possible H are all subgroups of the following group isomorphic to D_8 :

$$\langle (1\ 2), (1\ 3\ 2\ 4) \rangle.$$

Note that H is a 2-group. Let P be a 2-Sylow subgroup of G containing H . We find $G = PK$. Recall that any normal subgroup of a 2-group must intersect the centre, so if $|K|$ is even then K must contain an element s in the centre of P . Thus s is in the centre of G ; a contradiction.

We may assume $|K|$ is odd. Since H is transitive, $h = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ is an element of H . Note that h is central in H and acts trivially on K . Since $|K|$ and $|H|$ are coprime, G splits as $K \rtimes H$. Thus h lifts to a central involution in G ; a contradiction.

Case 2: all χ_i are distinct.

Suppose F contains a monomial of the form x_i^3 . F must contain all monomials of the form x_i^3 by transitivity of H . Since all χ_i are distinct, the only fixed points of K acting on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ are

$$\{(1 : 0 : 0 : 0), (0 : 1 : 0 : 0), (0 : 0 : 1 : 0), (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\}.$$

Since x_1^3 is the only degree 3 monomial non-zero on $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$, this point is not on the surface X . Similarly, none of the other points are on X . Thus we have an abelian subgroup K without fixed points on X . This contradicts Corollary 2.5. We may assume F contains no monomials of the form x_i^3 .

From Lemma A.4, for every $i \in 1, 2, 3, 4$ the polynomial F must contain a monomial where x_i has power ≥ 2 . Thus, F contains a monomial of the form $x_i^2 x_j$ for $i \neq j$. If F also contains the monomial $x_i x_j^2$ then $2\chi_i + \chi_j = \chi_i + 2\chi_j$ and we find $\chi_i = \chi_j$, a contradiction. In particular, no permutation of H contains $(i\ j)$ in its cycle decomposition. If F contains a monomial $x_i^2 x_k$ for $k \neq j$ then $2\chi_i + \chi_j = 2\chi_i + \chi_k$ and we find $\chi_j = \chi_k$, a contradiction. Thus, we may assume there is exactly one monomial of the form $x_i^2 x_j$ for any particular i . In particular, any element of H leaves i fixed if and only if it leaves j fixed.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_1^2 x_2$ is in F . Since H is transitive, we may assume there is an involution $h \in H$ which does not fix $\{1\}$.

This element cannot contain $(1\ 2)$ in its cycle decomposition and if it moves $\{1\}$ it must move $\{2\}$. The only possibilities are $h = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)$ or $h = (1\ 4)(2\ 3)$. Without loss of generality, we take $h = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)$.

There must also be $x_2^2x_j$, $x_3^2x_k$, and $x_4^2x_l$ in F for some j, k, l . With the considerations above, the only possible monomials are $x_2^2x_3$, $x_3^2x_4$, and $x_4^2x_1$.

Since all of these monomials are present:

$$2\chi_1 + \chi_2 = 2\chi_2 + \chi_3 = 2\chi_3 + \chi_4 = 2\chi_4 + \chi_1.$$

Combining adjacent equalities we have $\chi_2 = 2\chi_1 - \chi_3$, $\chi_3 = 2\chi_2 - \chi_4$ and $\chi_4 = 2\chi_3 - \chi_1$. Substituting into the second equation we find: $5\chi_3 = 5\chi_1$. Since K is an abelian group of order coprime to 5 this means $\chi_1 = \chi_3$. This contradicts our assumption that the χ_i are distinct. $\square$

The remaining cases can all be reduced to the monomial case:

Lemma A.8. *If $|G|$ is coprime to 5 then ρ cannot be irreducible.*

Proof. We will show that any irreducible ρ must be also be monomial and so is impossible by Lemma A.7 above. Recall that ρ cannot contain any scalar matrices. Since ρ is irreducible, this means G has a trivial centre.

It suffices to prove the theorem for G where the restricted representations of all subgroups are reducible. Since we are assuming $|G| = 2^a 3^b$, we see that G is solvable by Burnside's theorem. Thus, we may assume G has a non-trivial normal subgroup H whose restricted representation $\rho|_H$ is reducible. From [47, Proposition 24], $\rho|_H$ is isotypic or there exists a group M containing H , and an irreducible representation σ of M such that ρ is induced by σ .

Case 1: $\rho|_H$ is isotypic.

If $\rho|_H$ is a direct sum of linear characters then all its elements are scalar matrices. Since G has no scalar matrices, H must be trivial: a contradiction. Thus, $\rho|_H$ is a direct sum of 2 copies of a 2-dimensional irreducible representation σ .

If $\rho|_H$ has a non-trivial centre then G has a non-trivial centre. All 2-groups have non-trivial centres, so H is not a 2-group. There exists an element $h \in H$ of order 3. Since h is not central, $\sigma(h)$ has distinct eigenvalues. Thus, $\rho(h)$ has 2 eigenvalues, each with multiplicity 2. We may apply Lemma A.9 below to see that ρ is monomial.

Case 2: G is induced from (M, σ) .

We either have $\dim(\sigma) = 1$ or $\dim(\sigma) = 2$. If $\dim(\sigma) = 1$ then ρ is monomial. The only remaining case is where $\dim(\sigma) = 2$.

We see that M has index 2 in G , so M is a normal subgroup of G . We may write $\rho|_M = \sigma \oplus \sigma'$ for a 2-dimensional representation σ' . Let K be the group consisting of all elements $k \in M$ such that both $\sigma(k)$ and $\sigma'(k)$ are scalar matrices.

Suppose σ is not monomial. From Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.2, we see that K is non-trivial. Suppose K has an element k of order 3. Either k is central

in G or we may apply Lemma A.9 to see that ρ is monomial. In either case we have a contradiction.

It remains to consider K a non-trivial abelian 2-group. Since G has a trivial centre and K is normal in G , there must be at least two distinct involutions in K . Thus K has rank at least 2 and so there is some $k \in K$ such that $\rho(k) = (-\text{id}_2, -\text{id}_2)$. This contradicts G having a trivial centre. Thus, σ must be monomial and, so, ρ is monomial. $\square$

It remains only to prove the technical lemma used above:

Lemma A.9. *Suppose there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $g^3 = 1$ and $\rho(g)$ has 2 distinct eigenvalues, each with multiplicity 2. Then ρ is monomial.*

Proof. This theorem is essentially the A_2 case of [19, Theorem 6.10]. Any surface with such a g must be isomorphic to the “Fermat cubic”. All group actions on the Fermat cubic lift to monomial representations on V . This proof is buried among other calculations so we sketch it here:

We see that $\rho(g)$ must have eigenvalues ζ_3^a and ζ_3^b , with $a \neq b$, and the corresponding eigenspaces are 2-dimensional. Let us choose coordinates $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ for the linear variety on which g acts and let $\{x_1, x_2\}$ generate one eigenspace and $\{x_3, x_4\}$ the other. When g is considered as an element of $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, it suffices to assume $a = 0$ and $b = 1$.

Consider any monomial $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3} x_4^{a_4}$ in F . Note that $g(F) = \zeta_3^{a_3+a_4} F$, so $a_3 + a_4$ is constant modulo 3 for all monomials in F . If $a_3 + a_4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then x_3, x_4 never occur with an exponent ≥ 2 . Similarly, if $a_3 + a_4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then x_1, x_2 never occur with an exponent ≥ 2 . Both of these are impossible by Lemma A.4. The only possibility is $a_3 + a_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. This means that all monomials in F are of the form $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2}$ or $x_3^{a_3} x_4^{a_4}$.

Thus, F is of the form $F_1(x_1, x_2) = F_2(x_3, x_4)$ for homogeneous forms F_1 and F_2 of degree 3. The form F_1 does not have a multiple root at $(a : b)$ (as a point in $\mathbb{P}^1$) since otherwise S would be singular at $(a : b : 0 : 0)$. We may choose new coordinates for x_1, x_2 taking the three roots to $(1 : -1)$, $(1 : -\zeta_3)$ and $(1 : -\zeta_3^2)$ so that $F_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 + x_2^3$. We may do the same for F_2 , so we find F is $a(x_1^3 + x_2^3) + b(x_3^3 + x_4^3) = 0$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Rescaling our variables again we may put F in the form $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3 = 0$. This is the Fermat cubic.

Consider the subgroup $M \simeq C_3^4 \rtimes S_4$ of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ generated by permutating the variables and multiplying them by third roots of unity. This group clearly preserves the form $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3$. The 27 exceptional curves on S are lines of the form $x_1 + \eta x_2 = x_3 + \nu x_4 = 0$, $x_1 + \eta x_3 = x_2 + \nu x_4 = 0$ or $x_1 + \eta x_4 = x_2 + \nu x_3 = 0$ where $\eta^3 = \nu^3 = 1$. Any automorphism of X must permute these lines. M contains all possible such automorphisms and is monomial. $\square$

Thus all groups G with versal actions on a del Pezzo surface of degree 3 already act versally on $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or DP_5 . This concludes the proof of Theorem A.3. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Z. Reichstein for providing extensive advice and comments on several early drafts of this paper. The author also thanks Roland Löttscher for useful discussions and J-P. Serre for some helpful comments.

References

- [1] Shinzo Bannai. Construction of versal Galois coverings using toric varieties. *Osaka J. Math.*, 44(1):139–146, 2007.
- [2] Shinzo Bannai and Hiro-o Tokunaga. A note on embeddings of S_4 and A_5 into the two-dimensional Cremona group and versal Galois covers. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 43(4):1111–1123, 2007.
- [3] Lionel Bayle and Arnaud Beauville. Birational involutions of $\mathbf{P}^2$. *Asian J. Math.*, 4(1):11–17, 2000. Kodaira’s issue.
- [4] Arnaud Beauville. p -elementary subgroups of the Cremona group. *J. Algebra*, 314(2):553–564, 2007.
- [5] Grégory Berhuy and Giordano Favi. Essential dimension: a functorial point of view (after A. Merkurjev). *Doc. Math.*, 8:279–330 (electronic), 2003.
- [6] Jérémy Blanc. Finite abelian subgroups of the Cremona group of the plane. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 344(1):21–26, 2007.
- [7] H. F. Blichfeldt. *Finite Collineation Groups*. The University of Chicago Press, 1917.
- [8] Patrick Brosnan, Zinovy Reichstein, and Angelo Vistoli. Essential dimension and algebraic stacks. arXiv:math/0701903v1, 2007.
- [9] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [10] J. Buhler and Z. Reichstein. On the essential dimension of a finite group. *Compositio Math.*, 106(2):159–179, 1997.
- [11] Huah Chu, Shou-Jen Hu, Ming-Chang Kang, and Jiping Zhang. Groups with essential dimension one. *Asian J. Math.*, 12(2):177–191, 2008.
- [12] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Boris Kunyavskii, Vladimir L. Popov, and Zinovy Reichstein. Is the function field of a reductive Lie algebra purely transcendental over the field of invariants for the adjoint action? arXiv:0901.4358v3 [math.AG], 2009.
- [13] Daniel F. Coray. Cubic hypersurfaces and a result of Hermite. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(2):657–670, 1987.

- [14] David Cox, Rimvydas Krasauskas, and Mircea Mustață. Universal rational parametrizations and toric varieties. In *Topics in algebraic geometry and geometric modeling*, volume 334 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 241–265. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [15] David Cox, John Little, and Hal Schenck. Toric varieties. <http://www.cs.amherst.edu/~dac/toric.html>, June 2009.
- [16] David A. Cox. The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(1):17–50, 1995.
- [17] H. S. M. Coxeter. *Regular complex polytopes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1991.
- [18] Tommaso de Fernex. On planar Cremona maps of prime order. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 174:1–28, 2004.
- [19] Igor V. Dolgachev and Vasily A. Iskovskikh. Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group, 2006. arXiv:math/0610595v4 [math.AG].
- [20] Alexander Duncan. Essential dimensions of A_7 and S_7 . arXiv:0908.3220v1 [math.AG], 2009.
- [21] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. , The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [22] Skip Garibaldi, Alexander Merkurjev, and Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, volume 28 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [23] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [24] Toshio Hosoh. Automorphism groups of cubic surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 192(2):651–677, 1997.
- [25] I. Martin Isaacs. *Finite group theory*, volume 92 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [26] V. A. Iskovskih. Minimal models of rational surfaces over arbitrary fields. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 43(1):19–43, 237, 1979. English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. 14 (1980), no. 1, 17–39.
- [27] Christian U. Jensen, Arne Ledet, and Noriko Yui. *Generic polynomials*, volume 45 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. Constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem.
- [28] Nikita A. Karpenko and Alexander S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension of finite p -groups. *Invent. Math.*, 172(3):491–508, 2008.

- [29] Gregor Kemper and Elena Mattig. Generic polynomials with few parameters. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 30(6):843–857, 2000. Algorithmic methods in Galois theory.
- [30] Hanspeter Kraft. A result of Hermite and equations of degree 5 and 6. *J. Algebra*, 297(1):234–253, 2006.
- [31] Hanspeter Kraft, Roland Lötscher, and Gerald W. Schwarz. Compression of finite group actions and covariant dimension, ii. Preprint.
- [32] Hanspeter Kraft and Gerald W. Schwarz. Compression of finite group actions and covariant dimension. *J. Algebra*, 313(1):268–291, 2007.
- [33] Antonio Laface and Mauricio Velasco. A survey on Cox rings. *Geom. Dedicata*, 139:269–287, 2009.
- [34] Arne Ledet. Finite groups of essential dimension one. *J. Algebra*, 311(1):31–37, 2007.
- [35] Martin Lorenz. *Multiplicative invariant theory*, volume 135 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, VI.
- [36] Roland Lötscher. Application of multihomogeneous covariants to the essential dimension of finite groups. arXiv:0811.3852v1 [math.AG], 2008.
- [37] Ju. I. Manin. Rational surfaces over perfect fields. II. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 72(114):161–192, 1967.
- [38] Yu. I. Manin. *Cubic forms*, volume 4 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1986. Algebra, geometry, arithmetic, Translated from the Russian by M. Hazewinkel.
- [39] Aurel Meyer and Zinovy Reichstein. Some consequences of the Karpenko-Merkurjev theorem. arXiv:0811.2517v1 [math.AG], 2008.
- [40] Yuri Prokhorov. Simple finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 3. arXiv:0908.0678v3 [math.AG], 2009.
- [41] Z. Reichstein. On the notion of essential dimension for algebraic groups. *Transform. Groups*, 5(3):265–304, 2000.
- [42] Z. Reichstein. Compressions of group actions. In *Invariant theory in all characteristics*, volume 35 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 199–202. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [43] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin. A birational invariant for algebraic group actions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 204(1):223–246, 2002.

- [44] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin. Conditions satisfied by characteristic polynomials in fields and division algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 166(1-2):165–189, 2002.
- [45] Zinovy Reichstein and Boris Youssin. Essential dimensions of algebraic groups and a resolution theorem for G -varieties. *Canad. J. Math.*, 52(5):1018–1056, 2000. With an appendix by János Kollár and Endre Szabó.
- [46] B. Segre. *The Non-singular Cubic Surfaces*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1942.
- [47] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42.
- [48] Jean-Pierre Serre. Le group de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 2008.
- [49] Jean-Pierre Serre. A Minkowski-style bound for the order of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 over an arbitrary field. *Moscow Math. J.*, 9:193–208, 2009.
- [50] Hiro-o Tokunaga. Two-dimensional versal G -covers and Cremona embeddings of finite groups. *Kyushu J. Math.*, 60(2):439–456, 2006.
- [51] A. Wiman. Zur Theorie der endlichen Gruppen von birationalen Transformationen in der Ebene. *Math. Ann.*, 48(1-2):195–240, 1896.
- [52] D.-Q. Zhang. Automorphisms of finite order on rational surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 238(2):560–589, 2001. With an appendix by I. Dolgachev.