

Determining equations for higher-order decompositions of exponential operators ^{*}

Zengo Tsuboi and Masuo Suzuki
Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

Abstract

The general decomposition theory of exponential operators is briefly reviewed. A general scheme to construct independent determining equations for the relevant decomposition parameters is proposed using Lyndon words. Explicit formulas of the coefficients are derived.

Journal-ref: Int. J. Mod. Phys. B9 (1995) 3241-3268

DOI: 10.1142/S0217979295001269

1 Introduction

An exponential operator $e^{x(A+B)}$ composed of non-commutable operators A and B plays an important role in many fields. Since the sum $A+B$ is difficult to diagonalize, we often¹⁻¹¹ approximate the exponential operator $e^{x(A+B)}$ as the product of each of the operators A and B :

$$e^{x(A+B)} = e^{t_1 A} e^{t_2 B} e^{t_3 A} e^{t_4 B} \dots e^{t_M A} + O(x^{m+1}). \quad (1.1)$$

This decomposition formula conserves the symmetry, such as unitarity and symplecticity. Thus, many people have been using this formula.

^{*}The present paper is mainly based on the master thesis¹⁰.

2 Generalized Trotter formulas

One of the simplest decomposition formulas of the exponential operator $e^{x(A+B)}$ may be the following Trotter formula¹¹⁻¹⁷

$$e^{x(A+B)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\frac{x}{n}A} e^{\frac{x}{n}B})^n. \quad (2.1)$$

The correction of the product in (2.1) for large n is $O(\frac{1}{n})$. That is, we have

$$e^{x(A+B)} = (e^{\frac{x}{n}A} e^{\frac{x}{n}B})^n + O(\frac{1}{n}). \quad (2.2)$$

More generally, if $F_m(x)$ is an m th order approximant of $e^{x(A+B)}$, i.e.

$$e^{x(A+B)} = F_m(x) + O(x^{m+1}), \quad (2.3)$$

then we have the following generalized Trotter formula¹⁵⁻¹⁷

$$e^{x(A+B)} = [F_m(\frac{x}{n})]^n + O(\frac{x^{m+1}}{n^m}) \quad (2.4)$$

for $|\frac{x}{n}| \ll 1$.

3 Generalized Baker-Campell-Hausdorff formulas

The product formula of the two exponential operators e^A and e^B of the form

$$e^A e^B = e^{A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A,B]+\dots} \quad (3.1)$$

has been well known as the BCH formula¹⁸. Here the logarithm of the right hand side of (3.1) is a linear combination of basic elements of the free Lie algebra¹⁸ which is the vector space spanned by the whole set of commutators of $\{A, B\}$.

Now we consider the following exponential product formula¹⁻⁹:

$$F_m(x) = e^{t_{11}A_1} e^{t_{12}A_2} \dots e^{t_{1q}A_q} e^{t_{21}A_1} e^{t_{22}A_2} \dots e^{t_{2q}A_q} \dots \dots e^{t_{Mq}A_q}. \quad (3.2)$$

Using Friedrichs' theorem¹⁸, we can extend the Baker-Hausdorff theorem in the following¹⁹.

Theorem 1 . *The exponential product formula (3.2) is expressed in the form*

$$F_m(x) = \exp(xR_1 + x^2R_2 + \cdots + x^n R_n + \cdots), \quad (3.3)$$

where R_n is given by a linear combination of the n -th order commutators of $\{A_j\}$.

By the way, it should be remarked that the number of basis elements of degree n for the operators $\{A_j\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, r$) is given by Witt's formula¹⁸.

Theorem 2 . *The number of basis elements of degree n , $M_r(n)$, is expressed by*

$$M_r(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) r^{\frac{n}{d}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the symbol $d|n$ denotes all common divisors of n . $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function : $\mu(d)$ is defined for all positive integers by $\mu(1) = 1$, $\mu(p) = -1$ if p is a prime number, $\mu(p^k) = 0$ for $k > 1$, and $\mu(cd) = \mu(c)\mu(d)$ if c, d are coprime integers.

Thus, the condition that $F_m(x)$ is an m -th order approximant of $e^{x(A_1 + \cdots + A_r)}$ (i. e., $R_1 = \mathcal{H}, R_2 = \cdots R_m = 0$) yields $(1 + \sum_{j=2}^m M_q(j))$ determining equations².

Furthermore, we have the following theorem¹⁸.

Theorem 3 (Witt's second formula) *The number of independent commutators with each indeterminate A_j containing n_j times, $M(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_r)$, is given by the formula.*

$$M(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_r) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^r n_i} \sum_{d|\{n_j\}} \mu(d) \frac{(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^r n_l}{d})!}{\prod_{k=1}^r (\frac{n_k}{d})!}. \quad (3.5)$$

4 General theory of higher-order decomposition of exponential operators

Now we consider the following general scheme¹⁻⁹ for constructing the m -th order approximant $F_m(x)$ as a product of the s -th order approximant $Q_s^{(j)}(x)$:

$$F_m(x) = Q_s^{(1)}(p_1x)Q_s^{(2)}(p_2x) \cdots Q_s^{(r)}(p_rx), \quad (4.1)$$

where the parameters $\{p_j\}$ satisfy the condition

$$p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_r = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

According to Theorem 1, $Q_s^{(j)}(x)$ is written as

$$Q_s^{(j)}(x) = \exp(x\mathcal{H} + x^2 R_{j2} + x^3 R_{j3} + \dots), \quad (4.3)$$

where each R_{jm} is a linear combination of the m -th order commutators of $\{A_k\}$. We have the requirement that $R_{j2} = R_{j3} = \dots = R_{js} = 0$, and $R_{j(s+1)} \neq 0$ from the condition that $Q_s^{(j)}(x)$ is an s -th order approximant of $e^{x\mathcal{H}}$.

Moreover, if we assume the following condition of symmetry

$$Q_s^{(j)}(x)Q_s^{(j)}(-x) = 1, \quad (4.4)$$

then we obtain¹⁶

$$Q_s^{(j)}(x) = \exp(x\mathcal{H} + x^3 R_{j3} + x^5 R_{j5} + \dots) \quad (4.5)$$

In this case, the odd-order approximant $Q_{2k-1}^{(j)}(x)$ is reduced to the even-order one $Q_{2k}^{(j)}(x)$, ($s = 2k - 1$), namely

$$Q_{2k-1}^{(j)}(x) = Q_{2k}^{(j)}(x). \quad (4.6)$$

Now we substitute (4.3) into (4.1), and consequently we obtain^{2,6,9}

$$F_m(x) = \prod_{j=1}^r \exp(xp_j \mathcal{H} + (xp_j)^2 R_{j2} + (xp_j)^3 R_{j3} + \dots) \quad (4.7)$$

$$= e^{xH} + \sum_{\{n_j\}}' \frac{x^{n_1+2n_2+\dots}}{n_1!n_2!\dots} \text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \dots), \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$Y_1 = \sum_{j=1}^r (p_j \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_n = \sum_{j=1}^r (p_j^n R_{jn}). \quad (4.9)$$

Here, the symbol \sum' in (4.8) denotes the summation over $\{n_j\}$ excluding $n_2 = n_3 = \dots = 0$, and the symbol P denotes the *time-ordering*^{2,3} operation with respect to the subscript j . The symbol S denotes Kubo's symmetrization operation²⁰ with respect to the same subscript j as

$$S(R_{jm}^p R_{jn}^q \dots) = \frac{p!q!\dots}{(p+q+\dots)!} \sum \text{Permu}(R_{jm}^p R_{jn}^q \dots) \quad (4.10)$$

for any positive integers p and q ; the symbol "Permu" denotes permutation of the order of the operators $\{R_{jm}\}$ in all possible ways. Here p_j and p_j^n should not be separated before the operations P and S are performed.

Here we give some typical examples of higher-order decomposition of exponential operators.

(1) Nonsymmetric complex decomposition⁵

If we choose $\{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\}$ as

$$\{Q_s^{(1)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(2)}(x)\} = \dots = \{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\} = F_1(x) \quad (4.11)$$

with the first order approximant $F_1(x)$, then we obtain

$$F_m(x) = F_1(p_1x)F_1(p_2x) \cdots F_1(p_rx). \quad (4.12)$$

(2) Symmetric decomposition²

If we choose $\{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\}$ as

$$\{Q_s^{(1)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(2)}(x)\} = \dots = \{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\} = S_2(x) \quad (4.13)$$

with the second-order symmetric decomposition $S_2(x)$ defined by

$$S_2(x) = F_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\tilde{F}_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad (4.14)$$

and with the symmetric parameters $\{p_j\}$ satisfying the relation $p_{r+1-j} = p_j$, then we obtain

$$S_{2m}(x) = S_{2m-1}(x) = S_2(p_1x)S_2(p_2x) \cdots S_2(p_rx). \quad (4.15)$$

(3) Nonsymmetric tilde decomposition⁵

If we choose $\{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\}$ as

$$\{Q_s^{(1)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(3)}(x)\} = \dots = F_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \{Q_s^{(2)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(4)}(x)\} = \dots = \tilde{F}_1(x), \quad (4.16)$$

then we obtain

$$F_m(x) = F_1(p_1x)\tilde{F}_1(p_2x)F_1(p_3x)\tilde{F}_1(p_4x) \cdots, \quad (4.17)$$

and the operators $\{R_{jn}\}$ in (4.5) are expressed in the form

$$R_{jn} = (-1)^{(j-1)(n-1)}R_n. \quad (4.18)$$

Here, R_n is independent. This nonsymmetric tilde decomposition is very general, because if we put $p_2 = p_4 = p_6 = \dots = 0$ in (4.17), this reduces to (4.12); if we put

$p_{2j-1} = p_{2j}, p_j = p_{r-j+1}$ and $S_2(x) = F_1(x)\tilde{F}_1(x)$ in (4.17), this reduces to (4.15). By the way, this scheme had been called *nonsymmetric real decomposition*, because there exist real parameters $\{p_j\}$ in (4.17) for some cases. For example, we have²¹

$$F_2^{(R)}(x) = e^{\frac{7}{24}xA}e^{\frac{2}{3}xB}e^{\frac{3}{4}xA}e^{-\frac{2}{3}xB}e^{-\frac{1}{24}xA}e^{xB}. \quad (4.19)$$

(4) General recursive scheme^{2,5}

If we choose $\{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\}$ in (4.1) as

$$\{Q_s^{(1)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(2)}(x)\} = \dots = \{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\} = F_{m-l}(x) \quad (4.20)$$

with the $(m-l)$ -th order approximant $F_{m-l}(x)$, then we obtain

$$F_m(x) = F_{m-l}(p_1x)F_{m-l}(p_2x) \cdots F_{m-l}(p_r x). \quad (4.21)$$

The case $l = m - 1$ corresponds to (4.12).

(5) Recursive symmetric decomposition²

If we choose the $(2m - 2l)$ -th order symmetric decomposition $S_{2m-2l}(x)$ as $\{Q_s^j(x)\}$ in (4.1) and with the symmetric parameters $\{p_j\}$ satisfying the relation $p_{r+1-j} = p_j$, then we obtain

$$S_{2m}(x) = S_{2m-1}(x) = S_{2m-2l}(p_1x)S_{2m-2l}(p_2x) \cdots S_{2m-2l}(p_r x). \quad (4.22)$$

The case $l = m - 1$ corresponds to (4.15).

(6) Recursive tilde decomposition⁵

If we choose $\{Q_s^{(j)}(x)\}$ as

$$\{Q_s^{(1)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(3)}(x)\} = \dots = F_{m-l}(x) \text{ and } \{Q_s^{(2)}(x)\} = \{Q_s^{(4)}(x)\} = \dots = \tilde{F}_{m-l}(x), \quad (4.23)$$

then we obtain

$$F_m(x) = F_{m-l}(p_1x)\tilde{F}_{m-l}(p_2x)F_{m-l}(p_3x)\tilde{F}_{m-l}(p_4x) \cdots \quad (4.24)$$

The case $l = m - 1$ corresponds to (4.17).

As is easily seen from the above schemes, the following conditions are equivalent^{2,5} to each other :

- (1) $F_m(x)$ is an m -th order approximant of $e^{x\mathcal{H}}$.
- (2) $\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1}Y_2^{n_2} \cdots) = 0$ for all $\{n_j\} \in X_m$.

(3) $g(i_1, i_2, \dots) = 0$ for all (i_1, i_2, \dots) : the set of number (i_1, i_2, \dots) is takes an arbitrary permutation of

$$\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{n_1}, \underbrace{(2, 2, \dots, 2)}_{n_2}, 3, \dots).$$

Here $\{n_j\} \in X_m$ for a given positive integer set X_m , and $g(i_1, i_2, \dots)$ is the coefficient of $\frac{1}{n_1!n_2!\dots}R_{i_1}R_{i_2}\dots$ in $\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1}Y_2^{n_2}\dots)$, where X_m is given, for example, as follows :

(1) **Nonsymmetric decomposition** (see (4.12) amd (4.17))

$$X_m = \{ \{n_j\} \in \mathbf{N}^\Lambda | n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + mn_m \leq m, \text{ excluding } n_2 = n_3 = \dots = 0 \} ; \Lambda = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}. \quad (4.25)$$

(2) **Symmetric decomposition** (see (4.15))

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2m} = X_{2m-1} &= \{ \{n_j\} \in \mathbf{N}^\Lambda | n_1 + 3n_3 + \dots + (2m-1)n_{2m-1} \\ &= 3, 5, \dots, 2m-1, \text{ excluding } n_3 = n_5 = \dots = 0 \}; \\ \Lambda &= \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2m-1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

(3) **Recursive scheme** (see (4.21) and (4.24))

$$\begin{aligned} X_m &= \{ \{n_j\} \in \mathbf{N}^\Lambda | n_1 + (m-l+1)n_{m-l+1} + (m-l+2)n_{m-l+2} \\ &= \dots + mn_m \leq m, \text{ excluding } n_{m-l+1} = n_{m-l+2} = \dots = 0 \}; \\ \Lambda &= \{1, m-l+1, m-l+2, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

(4) **Recursive symmetric decomposition** (see (4.22))

$$\begin{aligned} X_{2m} = X_{2m-1} &= \{ \{n_j\} \in \mathbf{N}^\Lambda | n_1 + (2m-2l+1)n_{2m-2l+1} \\ &+ (2m-2l+3)n_{2m-2l+3} + \dots + (2m-1)n_{2m-1} = 2m-2l+1, \\ &2m-2l+3, \dots, 2m-1, \text{ excluding } n_{2m-2l+1} = n_{2m-2l+3} = \dots = 0 \}; \\ \Lambda &= \{1, 2m-2l+1, 2m-2l+3, \dots, 2m-1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

As there are a lot of redundant conditions in (3), we try to select the minimal independent conditions. One of the main purposes in the present paper is to show that the minimal independent conditions are given as the coefficients of the Lyndon words²⁴ generated by the correction terms $\{R_j\}$. The details of this statement will be explained later.

Furthermore, there are some general convergence theorems^{8,22,23} in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ on (4.1).

5 Lyndon words and free Lie algebras

Let X be a totally ordered set, $W(X)$ be the set of all words over the set X and the total order on X is extended lexicographically to $W(X)$. The Lyndon words $Ly(X)$ over the set X is defined by the set of such elements of $W(X)$ as have the following properties²⁴ :

- (1) A primitive word (i.e., a word that cannot be expressed as the power of another word).
- (2) A word which is minimal in its conjugate class* (lexicographically minimal in its cyclic permutations)

Example 1 . For $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and $x < y < z$, some of the first few Lyndon words are given as follows :

$$Ly(X) = \{x, y, z, xy, xz, yz, xxy, xxz, xyy, xyz, xzy, xzz, yyz, yzz, xxxy, \dots\}. \quad (5.1)$$

The following examples are not Lyndon words :

$$xyxy \quad (\text{which contradicts (1), because it is expressed as a power of } xy) \quad (5.2)$$

$$xxyx \quad (\text{which contradicts (2); } xxxy < xxyx). \quad (5.3)$$

There are several ways to decompose a Lyndon word as a product of two Lyndon words²⁴ :

$$xxyy = (xy)(y) = (x)(xyy). \quad (5.4)$$

We define here the so-called standard factorization²⁴ .

Definition 1 . *The pair (l, m) for $l, m, \in Ly(X)$ is called standard factorization of $w \in Ly(X)$, if m has maximal length. This factorization is denoted as $\sigma(w)$.*

Example 2 . For $X = \{x, y\}$ and $x < y$, we have

$$\sigma(xxyy) = (x, xyy), \quad \sigma(xyxy) = (xy, xyy) \quad (5.5)$$

The following examples are not standard factorization :

$$(xxxy, y); xxyy \text{ is longer than } y, \quad (x, yxy); yxyy \notin Ly(X). \quad (5.6)$$

*The words x and y are conjugate if $\exists a, b \in W(X)$ such that $x = ab$ and $y = ba$.

There is a bijection²⁴ λ from the set of Lyndon words $Ly(X)$ to the set of bases of the free Lie algebra $L(X)$ over the set X as a K -free module[†] (namely an additive group on K without any restriction).

Definition 2 . The bijection λ is defined by $\lambda(x)$ satisfying the properties that $\lambda(x) = x$ for $x \in X$ and that

$$\lambda(l) = [\lambda(m), \lambda(n)] \quad (5.7)$$

for $l \in Ly(X) = X$, where $\sigma(l) = (m, n)$ is the standard factorization of l .

Example 3 . For $X = \{x, y\}$ and $x < y$, the bijection $\lambda(xxyxyy)$ of the Lyndon word $xyxyxy$ is given by the following commutator :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(xxyxyy) &= [\lambda(x), \lambda(xyxyy)] \\ &= [x, [\lambda(xy), \lambda(xyy)]] \\ &= [x, [[\lambda(x), \lambda(y)], [\lambda(xy), \lambda(y)]]] \\ &= [x, [[x, y], [[\lambda(x), \lambda(y)], y]]] \\ &= [x, [[x, y], [[x, y], y]]] \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Now we remark the following theorem²⁴.

Theorem 4 . The K -module $L(X)$ is spanned by the set $\lambda(Ly(x))$.

This theorem is very important in our arguments.

Example 4 . For $X = \{x, y, z\}$ and $x < y < z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y], z] &= [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] \\ &= [x, [y, z]] + [[x, z], y] \\ &= \lambda(xyz) + \lambda(xzy), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $xyz, xzy \in Ly(X)$; $\sigma(xyz) = (x, yz)$ and $\sigma(xzy) = (xz, y)$.

Next we discuss the set $Ly(X) \cap X^k$. Here, X^k denotes a k th order set of products of elements. Now we write as $Ly^{(k)}(X) = Ly(X) \cap X^k$. On this set, the following theorem holds²⁴.

[†] K is a commutative ring with unit element.

Theorem 5 For each $l \in Ly^{(k)}(X) = Ly(X) \cap X^k, k \geq 1$, we have $\lambda(l) = l + r$, where r belongs to the submodule[‡] of $KW(X)$ generated by those words $w \in X^k$ such that $l < w : r = \sum_i k_i w_i, k_i \in K, w_i \in X^k, l < w_i$.

Example 5 . For $X = \{x, y\}, x < y, l = xxyy \in Ly^{(4)}(X) = Ly(X) \cap X^4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(xxyy) &= [x, [[x, y], y]] \\ &= xxyy - 2xyxy + 2yxyx - yyxx.\end{aligned}\quad (5.10)$$

We find that $xxyy < xyxy < yxyx < yyxx$, and that $xxyy, xyxy \in Ly(X)$ and $yxyx, yyxx \in X^4 - Ly^{(4)}(X)$.

Now we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6 . Any element in $Ly^{(k)}(X) = Ly(X) \cap X^k$ can be written, by theorem 4, as $\sum_{i=1}^{dim L_n(X)} \alpha_i \lambda(l_i)$, where $\alpha_i \in K$ and $l_i \in Ly^{(n)}(X) := Ly(X)$ for $l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{dim L_n}$. Here, $L_n(X)$ is an n -th order submodule of $L(X)$. This can be expanded as follows :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{dim L_n(X)} \alpha_i \lambda(l_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{dim L_n(X)} \beta_i l_i + \sum_j \gamma_j l'_j; \quad l'_j \in X^n - Ly^{(n)}(X). \quad (5.11)$$

β_i and γ_j are first-order homogeneous polynomials of $\{\alpha_i\}$. Then, we obtain the following statement :

$$\{\alpha_i\} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \{\beta_j\} = \{0\}.$$

Proof.

a) The proof of the statement (\Rightarrow) is obvious.

b) The proof for the statement (\Leftarrow) is given as follows. Let us assume that $\{\beta_j\} = \{0\}$. We have by theorem 5

$$\lambda(l_i) = l_i + \sum_j k_{ij} w_j \quad (5.12)$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, dim L_n(X)$. For any j such that $k_{ij} \neq 0$ for $k_{ij} \in K$, we have $l_i < w_j$ for $w_j \in X^n$. Then we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{dim L_n(X)} \alpha_i l_i + \sum_{i=1}^{dim L_n(X)} \alpha_i \sum_j k_{ij} w_j = \sum_j \gamma_j l'_j, \quad (5.13)$$

[‡]The set $KW(X)$ is a K -module generated by $W(X)$, namely an additive group with coefficients of the commutative ring K on the set of all words over the set X .

from (5.11), using $\{\beta_j\} = \{0\}$. Since $l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{\dim L_n(X)}$, we have $l_1 < w_j$ for any j . Clearly, only the first term on the left hand side contains the element l_1 , and consequently we obtain $\alpha_1 = 0$. Then we assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{p-1}$, which means

$$\sum_{i=p}^{\dim L_n(X)} \alpha_i l_i + \sum_{i=p}^{\dim L_n(X)} \alpha_i \sum_j k_{ij} w_j = \sum_j \gamma_j l'_j. \quad (5.14)$$

For any j , such that $k_{ij} \neq 0$, $i \geq p$, we have $l_p < w_j$. So only the first term on the left hand side contains the element l_p , and consequently we obtain $\alpha_p = 0$. Therefore we arrive finally at $\{\alpha_i\} = \{0\}$ by mathematical induction.

From Theorem 6, we arrive at the important statement that the relations $\{\gamma_j\} = \{0\}$ result from the requirement $\{\alpha_i\} = \{0\}$ or $\{\beta_j\} = \{0\}$.

Example 6 . For $X = \{x, y\}$ and $x < y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \lambda(xxyyy) + \alpha_2 \lambda(xyxyy) &= \alpha_1 [x, [[[x, y], y], y]] + \alpha_2 [[x, y], [[x, y], y]] \\ &= \alpha_1 xxyyy + (-3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)xyxyy + (3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2)xyyxy + (-2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)xyyyx \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 yxxyy + 4\alpha_2 yxyxy + (3\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2)yxyyx - \alpha_2 yyxxy + \\ &\quad (-3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)yyxyx + \alpha_1 yyyxx =: \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i l_i + \sum_{j=1}^8 \gamma_j l'_j \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

for $l_1 < l_2$ and $l'_1 < l'_2 < \dots < l'_8$.

If we compare both sides of (5.15), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= -3(2\beta_1 + \beta_2), \gamma_2 = 2(2\beta_1 + \beta_2), \gamma_3 = -(3\beta_1 + \beta_2), \gamma_4 = 4(3\beta_1 + \beta_2), \gamma_5 = \gamma_1, \\ \gamma_6 &= \gamma_3, \gamma_7 = \beta_2, \gamma_8 = \beta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Note that $\{\alpha_j\} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \{\beta_i\} = \{0\}$, and the relations $\{\gamma_j\} = \{0\}$ follow from requirement $\{\beta_i\} = \{0\}$.

6 General scheme to construct independent determining equations

As briefly mentioned in section 4, there are many redundant conditions in (3) of section 4 and thus we try here to select the minimal independent conditions. For this purpose, we note the following theorem.

Theorem 7 (M. Suzuki²) $\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \cdots Y_m^{n_m})$ is expressed as a linear combination of basic Lie elements of degree n ($= n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m$) under the condition that

$$\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \cdots) = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

for every $\{n_j\} \in X_{m-1}$, where X_m is given, for example, in (4.25), \dots and (4.28).

This theorem is absolutely basic in the present arguments of deriving determining equations of decomposition parameters in general. Then we obtain the following proposition from Theorems 6 and 7.

Theorem 8 . The following conditions are equivalent to each other :

- (1) $F_m(x)$ is an m -th order approximant of $\exp x\mathcal{H}$.
- (2) The coefficient $g(i_1, i_2 \cdots)$ of the operator $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots$ in $\frac{1}{n_1! n_2! \cdots} \text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \cdots)$ vanishes for any set of $(i_1, i_2 \cdots)$ such that $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots$ becomes a Lyndon word generated by $\{R_i\}$, where the set of numbers $(i_1, i_2 \cdots)$ is given by a permutation of $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n_2}, 3, \dots)$ for $\{n_j\} \in X_m$.

R. I. McLachlan²⁵ first pointed out the relevance of the Lyndon words to studying higher-order decomposition of exponential operators, and he used them in proving his theorems (see (A.5) and (A.6) in Appendix A).

By the way, the number of independent determining equations i.e., the minimal number of the parameter $\{p_j\}$ for the m th order decomposition is given by the following formula²

$$S_{min}(m) = 1 + \sum_{\{n_j\} \in X_m} M(\{n_j\}) \quad (6.2)$$

Furthermore, we can simplify (6.2) using (A.5) and (A.6) in Appendix A.

Example 7 .

- (1) Nonsymmetric decomposition (see (4.12) and (4.16))

We have

$S_{min}(m) = 2, 4, 7, 13, 22, 40, 70, 126, 225, 411, 746, 1376, \dots$
for $m = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, respectively.

(2) Symmetric decomposition (see (4.15)) ($S_{min}(2k - 1) = S_{min}(2k)$)

We have

$S_{min}(m) = 2, 4, 8, 16, 34, 74, 164, 374, \dots$
for $m = 3, 5, 7, \dots, 17, \dots$, respectively.

7 Some examples of minimal independent determining equations (or sufficient conditions)

From Theorem 8 discussed in the preceding section, we obtain easily the minimal independent determining equations (or sufficient conditions)², namely by requiring that all the coefficients $g(i_1, i_2, \dots)$ of the operators $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \dots$ corresponding to Lyndon words should vanish. The main results in the present paper are summarized as follows:

(1) Nonsymmetric decomposition (see (4.12) and (4.17))

The content of $g(\{i_j\})$ depends on what decomposition we take.

1 st order : $g(1) = 1$.

2 nd order : $g(2) = 0$.

3 rd order : $g(3) = 0, g(1, 2) = 0$.

4 th order : $g(4) = 0, g(1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 2) = 0$.

5 th order : $g(5) = 0, g(1, 4) = 0, g(2, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 2) = 0$.

6 th order : $g(6) = 0, g(1, 5) = 0, g(2, 4) = 0, g(1, 1, 4) = 0, g(1, 2, 3) = 0, g(1, 3, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 2) = 0$.

7 th order : $g(7) = 0, g(1, 6) = 0, g(2, 5) = 0, g(3, 4) = 0, g(1, 1, 5) = 0,$

$g(1, 2, 4) = 0, g(1, 4, 2) = 0, g(1, 3, 3) = 0, g(2, 2, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 4) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 2, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 2) = 0, g(1, 2, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 2, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 2, 1, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2) = 0$.

8 th order : $g(8) = 0, g(1, 7) = 0, g(2, 6) = 0, g(3, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 6) = 0,$

$g(1, 2, 5) = 0, g(1, 5, 2) = 0, g(1, 3, 4) = 0, g(1, 4, 3) = 0, g(2, 2, 4) = 0, g(2, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 2, 4) = 0, g(1, 1, 4, 2) = 0, g(1, 2, 1, 4) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 2, 2, 3) = 0, g(1, 2, 3, 2) = 0, g(1, 3, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 4) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 2, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 2, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 2) = 0,$

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(1, 1, 2, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 2, 1, 2, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.1}$$

(2) Symmetric decomposition (see (4.15))

1 st order : $g(1) = 1.$

3 rd order : $g(3) = 0.$

5 th order : $g(5) = 0, g(1, 1, 3) = 0.$

7 th order : $g(7) = 0, g(1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0.$

9 th order : $g(9) = 0, g(1, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 5, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0.$

11 th order : $g(11) = 0, g(1, 1, 9) = 0, g(1, 3, 7) = 0, g(1, 7, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 5, 5) = 0, g(3, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 5) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 5, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 3, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0.$

13 th order : $g(13) = 0, g(1, 1, 11) = 0, g(1, 3, 9) = 0, g(1, 9, 3) = 0, g(1, 5, 7) = 0,$

$g(1, 7, 5) = 0, g(3, 3, 7) = 0, g(3, 5, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 9) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 7) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 7, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 7, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 5, 5) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 5, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 5, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 3, 1, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 3, 1, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 3, 3, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 3, 3, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 5) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0.$

15 th order: $g(15) = 0, g(1, 1, 13) = 0, g(1, 3, 11) = 0, g(1, 11, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 5, 9) = 0, g(1, 9, 5) = 0, g(1, 7, 7) = 0, g(3, 3, 9) = 0, g(3, 5, 7) = 0,$

$g(3, 7, 5) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 1, 1, 11) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 9) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 9, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 9) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 9, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 5, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 7, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 5, 1, 7) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 7, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 7, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 7, 3, 3) = 0,$

$g(1, 3, 1, 3, 7) = 0, g(1, 3, 1, 7, 3) = 0, g(1, 3, 3, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 5, 5) = 0,$

$g(1, 1, 5, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 5, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 3, 1, 5, 5) = 0, g(1, 3, 5, 1, 5) = 0,$

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(1, 5, 1, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 3, 3, 3, 5) = 0, g(1, 3, 3, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 3, 5, 3, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 5, 3, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 9) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 7) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 7, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 7, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 7, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 1, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 5, 3, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 3, 1, 5, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 5, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 3, 3, 3, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 7) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 5) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0, \\
&g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0, g(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.2}$$

In the above equations (7.1), we have $g(1, 2, 3) = 0$ and $g(1, 3, 2) = 0$ (and we do not need the third equation $g(3, 1, 2) = 0$), because we have only two Lyndon words of level 3, xyz and xzy for the three elements x, y and z , namely $M(1, 1, 1) = 2$. Similarly note that

$$\begin{aligned}
M(n, 1) &= 1 \text{ for } n \geq 2, \quad M(3, 1, 1) = 4, \quad M(2, 3) = 2, \\
M(5, 2) &= 3, \quad M(2, 2, 1) = 6, \quad M(5, 1, 1) = 6, \quad M(4, 3) = 5, \\
M(7, 2) &= 5, \quad M(4, 2, 1) = 15, \quad M(7, 1, 1) = 8, \\
M(6, 3) &= 9, \quad M(9, 2) = 5, \dots
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.3}$$

8 Explicit formulas on the coefficients of the time-ordered symmetrized operators $\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \dots)$

Explicit formulas on the coefficients of $\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \dots Y_m^{n_m})$ have been known only for small m . We explain here the derivation of it for arbitrary m . Before we explain the general case, we explain the case $m = 3$.

Example 8 .

$$\text{PS}(Y_1 Y_2) = \text{PS}(\{\sum_i p_i R_1\} \{\sum_j p_j^2 R_2\}) \quad (8.1)$$

$$= \text{PS}\{\sum_i (p_i R_1)(p_i^2 R_2) + \sum_{i < j} (p_i R_1)(p_j^2 R_2) + \sum_{i > j} (p_i R_1)(p_j^2 R_2)\} \quad (8.2)$$

$$= \text{S}\{\sum_i (p_i R_1)(p_i^2 R_2) + \sum_{i < j} [(p_i R_1)(p_j^2 R_2) + (p_i^2 R_2)(p_j R_1)]\} \quad (8.3)$$

$$= \sum_i \frac{p_i^3}{2!} (R_1 R_2 + R_2 R_1) + \sum_{i < j} (p_i p_j^2 R_1 R_2 + p_i^2 p_j R_2 R_1) \quad (8.4)$$

$$= (\frac{1}{2} \sum_i p_i^3 + \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j^2) R_1 R_2 + (\frac{1}{2} \sum_i p_i^3 + \sum_{i < j} p_i^2 p_j) R_2 R_1. \quad (8.5)$$

We must not separate the parameters $\{p_j\}$ from the operators $\{R_j\}$ before the operations P and S are performed. Our explicit procedures in Eqs. (8.2) - (8.5) are the following :

(8.2) : to separate terms for $i = j$ from the remaining terms for $i \neq j$,

(8.3) : to operate P to the terms for $i \neq j$ with respect to the subscripts i and j ,

(8.4) : to operate S to the terms for $i = j$,

and

(8.5) : to collect the same type of the operators.

If we generalize Example 9, we obtain the following theorem :

Theorem 9 . (The case $R_{j\beta} = R_\beta$ ($R_{j\beta}$ is independent of j)) : The coefficient of $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \dots R_{i_n}$ in $\text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \dots Y_m^{n_m})$ can be expressed explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} & n_1! n_2! \dots n_m! \sum_{\alpha}^n \sum_{\{t_j\} \in Z_{(\alpha, n)}} \frac{1}{t_1! t_2! \dots t_\alpha!} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_\alpha} p_{k_1}^{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{t_1}} \\ & \times p_{k_2}^{i_{t_1+1} + i_{t_1+2} + \dots + i_{t_1+t_2}} \dots p_{k_\alpha}^{i_{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\alpha-1}+1} + i_{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\alpha-1}+2} + \dots + i_n} \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

where $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m$, the set of numbers (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) takes an arbitrary permutation of $(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_{n_2}, \dots, \underbrace{m, m, \dots, m}_{n_m})$ and,

$$Z_{(\alpha, n)} = \{\{t_j\} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} t_j = n; 1 \leq t_j \leq n; t_j \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PS}(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \dots Y_m^{n_m}) &= \text{PS}\left\{\left(\sum_{j_1} p_{j_1} R_1\right)^{n_1} \left(\sum_{j_2} p_{j_2} R_2\right)^{n_2} \dots \left(\sum_{j_m} p_{j_m} R_m\right)^{n_m}\right\} \\ &= \text{PS}\left\{\sum_{j_1^{(1)}} \sum_{j_1^{(2)}} \dots \sum_{j_1^{(n_1)}} \sum_{j_2^{(1)}} \dots \sum_{j_2^{(n_2)}} \dots \sum_{j_m^{(1)}} \dots \sum_{j_m^{(n_m)}} \underbrace{(p_{j_1^{(1)}} R_1)(p_{j_1^{(2)}} R_1) \dots (p_{j_1^{(n_1)}} R_1)}_{n_1}\right. \\ &\quad \left. \underbrace{(p_{j_2^{(1)}}^2 R_2)(p_{j_2^{(2)}}^2 R_2) \dots (p_{j_2^{(n_2)}}^2 R_2)}_{n_2} \dots \underbrace{(p_{j_m^{(1)}}^m R_m)(p_{j_m^{(2)}}^m R_m) \dots (p_{j_m^{(n_m)}}^m R_m)}_{n_m}\right\} \quad (8.7) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{PS}\left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\{n_i^{(j)}\} \in W(\alpha, \{n_j\})} \frac{n_1!}{n_1^{(1)}! n_1^{(2)}! \dots n_1^{(\alpha)}!} \frac{n_2!}{n_2^{(1)}! n_2^{(2)}! \dots n_2^{(\alpha)}!} \dots \frac{n_m!}{n_m^{(1)}! n_m^{(2)}! \dots n_m^{(\alpha)}!}\right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_\alpha} (p_{k_1} R_1)^{n_1^{(1)}} (p_{k_1}^2 R_2)^{n_2^{(1)}} \dots (p_{k_1}^m R_m)^{n_m^{(1)}} (p_{k_2} R_1)^{n_1^{(2)}} (p_{k_2}^2 R_2)^{n_2^{(2)}} \dots \\ &\quad \left. \times (p_{k_\alpha}^m R_m)^{n_m^{(2)}} \dots (p_{k_\alpha} R_1)^{n_1^{(\alpha)}} (p_{k_\alpha}^2 R_2)^{n_2^{(\alpha)}} \dots (p_{k_\alpha}^m R_m)^{n_m^{(\alpha)}}\right\} \quad (8.8) \end{aligned}$$

where $W(\alpha, \{n_j\}) = \{\{n_i^{(j)}\} \mid \sum_{j=1}^n n_i^{(j)} = n_i, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m); 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m n_i^{(j)}, (j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha); 0 \leq n_i^{(j)} \leq n_{(i)}\}$. From (8.8), we find such factors as $\frac{n_1!}{n_1^{(1)}! n_1^{(2)}! \dots n_1^{(\alpha)}!}$. This is the number of combinations such that the number of $\{p_j\}$ with the same suffix $j_1^{(s)}$ is k_s in (8.7). On the other hand, a rearrangement of $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_m$ suffixes $(j_1^{(1)}, j_1^{(2)}, \dots, j_1^{(n_1)}, j_2^{(1)}, j_2^{(2)}, \dots, j_2^{(n_2)}, \dots, j_m^{(1)}, j_m^{(2)}, \dots, j_m^{(n_m)})$ in (8.8) gives the following set :

$$\left(\underbrace{k_1, \dots, k_1}_{n_1^{(1)} + n_2^{(1)} + \dots + n_m^{(1)}} , \underbrace{k_2, \dots, k_2}_{n_1^{(2)} + n_2^{(2)} + \dots + n_m^{(2)}} , \dots , \underbrace{k_\alpha, \dots, k_\alpha}_{n_1^{(\alpha)} + n_2^{(\alpha)} + \dots + n_m^{(\alpha)}} \right) \quad (8.9)$$

where $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_\alpha$. After all, the suffixes $\{j_p^{(q)}\}$ split into α different sectors. Therefore, there exist at least one suffix in each sector. Thus we need the conditions $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m n_i^{(j)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$). Because of the operation of S, such factors as

$$\frac{n_1^{(1)}! n_2^{(1)}! \dots n_m^{(1)}!}{(n_1^{(1)} + n_2^{(1)} + \dots + n_m^{(1)})!} \cdot \frac{n_1^{(2)}! n_2^{(2)}! \dots n_m^{(2)}!}{(n_1^{(2)} + n_2^{(2)} + \dots + n_m^{(2)})!} \dots$$

$$\times \frac{n_1^{(\alpha)}! n_2^{(\alpha)}! \cdots n_m^{(\alpha)}!}{(n_1^{(\alpha)} + n_2^{(\alpha)} + \cdots + n_m^{(\alpha)})!} \quad (8.10)$$

appear in (8.8), when it is rearranged in the above way. Thus, (8.8) is reduced to the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} & n_1! n_2! \cdots n_m! \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{\{n_i^{(j)}\} \in W(\alpha, \{n_j\})} \frac{1}{(n_1^{(1)} + n_2^{(1)} + \cdots + n_m^{(1)})!} \\ & \times \frac{1}{(n_1^{(2)} + n_2^{(2)} + \cdots + n_m^{(2)})!} \cdots \frac{1}{(n_1^{(\alpha)} + n_2^{(\alpha)} + \cdots + n_m^{(\alpha)})!} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_\alpha} \\ & \times p_{k_1}^{n_1^{(1)} + 2n_2^{(1)} + \cdots + mn_m^{(1)}} p_{k_2}^{n_1^{(2)} + 2n_2^{(2)} + \cdots + mn_m^{(2)}} \cdots p_{k_\alpha}^{n_1^{(\alpha)} + 2n_2^{(\alpha)} + \cdots + mn_m^{(\alpha)}} \\ & \times \text{Permu}(R_1^{n_1^{(1)}} R_2^{n_2^{(1)}} \cdots R_m^{n_m^{(1)}}) \text{Permu}(R_1^{n_1^{(2)}} R_2^{n_2^{(2)}} \cdots R_m^{n_m^{(2)}}) \cdots \\ & \times \cdots \text{Permu}(R_1^{n_1^{(\alpha)}} R_2^{n_2^{(\alpha)}} \cdots R_m^{n_m^{(\alpha)}}). \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

Now we put $n_1^{(1)} + n_2^{(1)} + \cdots + n_m^{(1)} = t_1$, $n_1^{(2)} + n_2^{(2)} + \cdots + n_m^{(2)} = t_2$, \dots , and $n_1^{(\alpha)} + n_2^{(\alpha)} + \cdots + n_m^{(\alpha)} = t_\alpha$. Our desired sum of the coefficients of the operator

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_n} &= R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_{t_1}} R_{i_{t_1+1}} R_{i_{t_1+2}} \cdots R_{i_{t_1+t_2}} \cdots \\ &\times \cdots R_{i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\alpha-1}+1}} R_{i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\alpha-1}+2}} \cdots R_{i_n} \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

appearing in Eq. (8.11) are obtained by adding only the coefficients of the terms with each $\text{Permu}(R_1^{n_1^{(\beta)}} R_2^{n_2^{(\beta)}} \cdots R_m^{n_m^{(\beta)}})$ ($\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$) containing the following type

$$R_{i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\beta-1}+1}} R_{i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\beta-1}+2}} \cdots R_{i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\beta-1}+t_\beta}}. \quad (8.13)$$

If we take notice of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} n_1^{(\beta)} + 2n_2^{(\beta)} + \cdots + mn_m^{(\beta)} &= i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\beta-1}+1} + i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\beta-1}+2} + \cdots \\ &\cdots + i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_\beta} \quad (\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

then we obtain the coefficient (8.6).

We can realize the meaning of $\{n_i^{(j)}\}$ from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
n_1^{(1)} & n_1^{(2)} & \cdots & n_1^{(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} & n_1 \\
n_2^{(1)} & n_2^{(2)} & \cdots & n_2^{(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} & n_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
n_m^{(1)} & n_m^{(2)} & \cdots & n_m^{(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} & n_m \\
\text{sum}\downarrow & \text{sum}\downarrow & \cdots & \text{sum}\downarrow & & \text{sum}\downarrow \\
t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} & n
\end{array}$$

Theorem 10 . (the case $R_{j\beta} = (-1)^{(j-1)(\beta-1)} R_\beta$) (see (4.18)) : The coefficient of $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_n}$ in $PS(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \cdots Y_m^{n_m})$ can be expressed explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}
& n_1! n_2! \cdots n_m! \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\{t_j\} \in Z_{(\alpha, n)}} \frac{1}{t_1! t_2! \cdots t_\alpha!} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_\alpha} \epsilon(\alpha; \{t_\beta\}; \{k_\gamma\}) \\
& \times p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_{t_1}} p_{k_2}^{i_{t_1+1}+i_{t_1+2}+\cdots+i_{t_1+t_2}} \cdots p_{k_\alpha}^{i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\alpha-1}+1}+i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\alpha-1}+2}+\cdots+i_n} \quad (8.15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(\alpha; \{t_\beta\}; \{k_\gamma\}) = & (-1)^{\{(k_1-1)(i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_{t_1}-t_1)+(k_2-1)(i_{t_1+1}+i_{t_1+2}+\cdots+i_{t_1+t_2}-t_2)+\cdots \\
& +(k_\alpha-1)(i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\alpha-1}+1}+i_{t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{\alpha-1}+2}+\cdots+i_n-t_\alpha)\}} \quad (8.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m$, the set of number (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n) takes an arbitrary permutation of $(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_{n_2}, \dots, \underbrace{m, m, \dots, m}_{n_m})$ and $Z_{(\alpha, n)} = \{\{t_j\} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} t_j = n; 1 \leq t_j \leq n; t_j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

Proof.

We can prove this theorem almost in the same way as for Theorem 9 .

9 Simplification of the coefficients

We can simplify the coefficient $g(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ of $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots R_{i_n}$ in $\frac{PS(Y_1^{n_1} Y_2^{n_2} \cdots Y_m^{n_m})}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_m!}$ where $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m$ and the set (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) takes an arbitrary permutation of $(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n_1}, \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_{n_2}, \dots, \underbrace{m, m, \dots, m}_{n_m})$; $R_1 := \mathcal{H}$:

Definition 3

(1) For $n=1$,

$$f(i_j) := g(i_j) \quad (9.1)$$

(2) For $n \geq 2$, the functions $f(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ are defined recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} f(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) := & g(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) - \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\{t_j\} \in Z_{(\alpha, n)}} \frac{1}{t_1! t_2! \dots t_{\alpha}!} f(i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{t_1}, \\ & i_{t_1+1} + i_{t_1+2} + \dots + i_{t_1+t_2}, \dots, i_{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\alpha-1}+1} \\ & + i_{t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{\alpha-1}+2} + \dots + i_n), \end{aligned} \quad (9.2)$$

where $Z_{(\alpha, n)} = \{\{t_j\} | \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} t_j = n; 1 \leq t_j \leq n; t_j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$

(a) Nonsymmetric complex decomposition (4.13) :

$$\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

(b) Nonsymmetric tilde decomposition (4.17) :

$\{t_j\}$ are odd numbers ; $\alpha = 1, 3, 5, \dots, n - 2$ in the case in which n is an odd number and $\alpha = 2, 4, 6, \dots, n - 2$ in the case in which n is an even number.

(c) Symmetric decomposition (4.15) :

$\{t_j\}$ are odd numbers[§] and $\alpha = 1, 3, 5, \dots, n - 2$.

From the definition 3 , we obtain the following example.

Example 9

(a) Nonsymmetric complex decomposition :

$$n = 1 : \quad f(i_1) = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} \dots p_{k_n}^{i_n}. \quad (9.3)$$

(b) Nonsymmetric tilde decomposition :

$$n = 1 : \quad f(i_1) = (-1)^{m-1} \sum_k (-1)^{(i_1-1)k} p_k^{i_1}. \quad (9.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2 : \quad f(i_1, i_2) = & (-1)^m \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (-1)^{(i_1+i_2)k} p_k^{i_1+i_2} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k_1 < k_2} (-1)^{(i_1-1)k_1 + (i_2-1)k_2} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

[§]If we subtract $n_1 + n_3 + \dots + n_{2k-1} = n$ from $n_1 + 3n_3 + \dots + (2k-1)n_{2k-1} = 2k-1$ on both sides, we obtain $2(n_3 + 2n_5 + \dots + (k-1)n_{2k-1}) = 2k-1-n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
n = 3 : \quad f(i_1, i_2, i_3) &= (-1)^{m-1} \left\{ \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} (-1)^{(i_1-1)k_1 + (i_2-1)k_2 + (i_3-1)k_3} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3} \right. \\
&+ \left. \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \frac{1}{2} [(-1)^{(i_1-1)k_1 + (i_2+i_3)k_2} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3} + (-1)^{(i_1+i_2)k_1 + i_3 k_2} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} p_{k_2}^{i_3}] \right\}. \quad (9.6)
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Symmetric decomposition

$$n = 1 : \quad f(i_1) = \sum_k p_k^{i_1}. \quad (9.7)$$

$$n = 3 : \quad f(i_1, i_2, i_3) = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3} + \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \frac{1}{2} (p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3} + p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} p_{k_2}^{i_3}). \quad (9.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n = 5 : \quad f(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) &= \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4 < k_5} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3} p_{k_4}^{i_4} p_{k_5}^{i_5} \\
&+ \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < k_4} \frac{1}{2} (p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3} p_{k_4}^{i_4+i_5} + p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4} p_{k_5}^{i_5} + p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3} p_{k_3}^{i_4} p_{k_4}^{i_5} + p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} p_{k_2}^{i_3} p_{k_3}^{i_4} p_{k_4}^{i_5}) \\
&+ \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} \frac{1}{2^2} (p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3} p_{k_3}^{i_4+i_5} + p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} p_{k_2}^{i_3} p_{k_3}^{i_4+i_5} + p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} p_{k_2}^{i_3+i_4} p_{k_3}^{i_5}) \\
&- \sum_{k_1 < k_2} \frac{1}{2^3} (p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3+i_4+i_5} + p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2+i_3+i_4} p_{k_2}^{i_5}). \quad (9.9)
\end{aligned}$$

10 Summary and discussion

The present paper has reviewed the general scheme of higher-order decomposition with special emphasis on determining equations of decomposition parameters. The minimal independent determining equations (or sufficient conditions) are obtained by the requirement that all the coefficients of the operator products $R_{i_1} R_{i_2} \cdots$ (in Suzuki's general scheme (4.8)) corresponding to Lyndon words should vanish. Here we regard R_j as a word of length j . Some explicit equations have been presented up to the 15 th order. There are many related papers²⁶⁻⁴⁴.

There remains a problem for solving numerically the determining equations for decomposition, for example, of the 10 th order⁹, because of the reason mentioned in Appendix C .

We conjecture the following algebraic relation will hold :

$$A_m/I_m \cong L^{(m)}(X) \quad (10.1)$$

where

A_m : m th order sub-module generated by m -th order coefficients $\{g(\{i_j\})\}$.

I_m : m th order sub-module of the ideal generated by the coefficient $\{g(\{i_j\})\}$ of order lower than m .

$L^{(m)}(X)$: m -th order sub-module of free Lie algebra generated by $X = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots\}$ where we look upon R_j as a j th order element.

Here we assume that the coefficients of Lyndon words are independent. If this assumption dose not hold, (10.1) reduces to the following relation :

$$A_m/I_m \cong S^{(m)}(X) \quad (10.2)$$

where $S^{(m)}(X)$ is a subset of $L^{(m)}(X)$.

Acknowledgements

The present authors would like to thank Dr. R.I.Mclachlan for sending them his preprints and critical comments. One of the present authors (Z. T.) would like to thank the members of Suzuki research group, especially Dr. N. Hatano, for their kind advice on computers.

Note Added

Recently Dr. E. Forest kindly sent to one of the authors (M.S.) a copy of the English translation of P.-V. Koseleff's Ph. D. thesis, in which a similar problem of decomposition has been studied using Lyndon words.

In connection with Appendix C, we have recently generalized the functions of 1-variable, i.e. $a_{\alpha k} := a_k[\alpha]$, $b_{\beta k} := b_k[\beta]$, to the functions of many (two) variables $a_k[i_1, i_2]$ and $b_k[i_1, i_2]$. Using this expression, the following relation holds:

$$\sum_k a_k[1]^2 p_k^3 b_k[1, 3] \equiv 2! f(1, 1, 3, 1, 3) \text{ mod } D_9.$$

Therefore, we can obtain desired determining equations for 9th order symmetric decomposition by adding the equation $\sum_k a_k[1]^2 p_k^3 b_k[1, 3] = 0$ instead of $\sum_k a_{3k} p_k^3 b_{1k}^3 = 0$ to Suzuki's determining equations².

In more detail, we have to explain explicit formulas on $a_k[i_1, i_2]$ and $b_k[i_1, i_2]$. Our strategy is to construct the function $a_k[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ and $b_k[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n]$ satisfying the relation

$$a_k[i_1] a_k[i_2] \cdots a_k[i_n] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_k[i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(n)}]$$

and

$$b_k[i_1]b_k[i_2]\cdots b_k[i_n] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} b_k[i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(n)}]$$

Then, we find

$$a_k[\alpha, \beta] = \sum_{i < j < k} p_i^\alpha p_j^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} p_j^\alpha p_k^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < k} p_j^{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{1}{2^3} p_k^{\alpha+\beta},$$

and

$$b_k[\alpha, \beta] = \sum_{k < i < j} p_i^\alpha p_j^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k < j} p_k^\alpha p_j^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k < j} p_j^{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{1}{2^3} p_k^{\alpha+\beta},$$

Furthermore, the following relation

$$\sum_k a_k[i_1, \dots, i_\alpha] p_k^s b_k[j_1, \dots, j_\beta] \equiv f(i_1, \dots, i_\alpha, s, j_1, \dots, j_\beta) \pmod{E_m}$$

has been confirmed for small non-negative integers α and β . Here E_m denotes a K -module generated by $\{f(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)\}$ for $1 \leq n < \alpha + 1 + \beta$ and $q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_n = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_\alpha + s + j_1 + \dots + j_\beta = m$. The above relation is useful in numerical calculations of decomposition parameters.

A. Generalized Mclachlan's formula

Now we explain the formula concerning the dimensionality of the sub-space of the free Lie algebra, though some special cases of it were first proved by R. I. Mclachlan²⁵.

We introduce the following notation :

$$M(\{n_j\}) := M(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where r. h. s. of (A.1) is given by Witt's second formula¹⁸ (3.5).

Theorem 11 *The number of independent m th order commutators which are composed of k_i th order ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) independent commutators is*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r M(\{n_i\}) &= \sum_{i=q+1}^r M(\{n_i\}) \\ &+ \sum_{\{n_l^{(i;t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{l-1})}\} \in N_m} M(\{n_l^{(i;t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{l-1})}\}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$N_m := \{ \{ n_l^{(i; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{l-1})} \} \in \mathbf{N}^\Lambda \mid \sum_{l=1} \sum_{\{t_j\}} \sum_{i=1}^q (k_i + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} k_{t_j}) n_l^{(i; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{l-1})} = m \};$$

$$\Lambda = \{ (l, (i; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{l-1})) \}; t_j \in \{q+1, q+2, \dots, r\}; 0 \leq q \leq r. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$, $S = \{x_{q+1}, x_{q+2}, \dots, x_r\}$ where $\{x_j\}$ are indeterminates. The following relation holds^{45, Chapter 2, proposition 10}.

$L(X) \cong L(S) \oplus \{ \text{free Lie algebra generated by } (\text{ad}(x_{t_1}) \cdot \text{ad}(x_{t_2}) \cdots \text{ad}(x_{t_{l-1}}))(x_i) \mid l \geq 1; x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_{l-1}} \in S, q+1 \leq t_j \leq r; x_i \in X - S, 1 \leq i \leq q \}; (\text{ad}(x_{t_0}))(x_i) = x_i.$

If we look upon x_j ($1 \leq j \leq r$) as a k_j th order basic Lie element, then $(\text{ad}(x_{t_1}) \cdot \text{ad}(x_{t_2}) \cdots \text{ad}(x_{t_{l-1}}))(x_i)$ becomes $\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} k_{t_j} + k_i$ th order basic Lie element. Therefore counting the dimensions of an m th order sub-module in both sides, we obtain the formula (A.2).

Theorem 11 contains the following formulas.

Corollary 1 (M. Suzuki¹⁹)

$$\sum_{\sum_i \{1+(l-1)k\} n_i = m} M(n_1, n_2, \dots) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \rfloor} M(m - kj, j). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Proof.

This is derived by putting $r = 2$, $q = 1$, $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = k$ in Theorem 11.

This formula is a generalization of Mclachlan's formulas, because

$$M_2(m) = \sum_{n_1 + n_2 = m} M(n_1, n_2) = \sum_{j=1}^m M(m - j, j), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

namely we obtain the following formulas :

Corollary 2 (R. I. Mclachlan²⁵)

$$\sum_{n_1 + 2n_2 + \cdots + mn_m = m} M(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) 2^{\frac{m}{d}} = M_2(m) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

with the Möbius function $\mu(d)$, using Witt's formula (3.4).

Proof.

This is derived immediately by putting $k = 1$ in (A.4).

Corollary 3 (R. I. Mclachlan²⁵)

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{2l-1} n_i = m} M(n_1, n_2, \dots) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} M(m - 2j, j). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Proof.

This is an immediate consequence of (A.4) for $k = 2$.

When $m = p$ (prime), we can simplify these formulas using the following theorem.

Theorem 12

$$\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^r k_i n_i = p} M(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^r k_i n_i = p} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^r n_i} \cdot \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^r n_i)!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_r!}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Proof.

Let n_0 the greatest common measure of $\{n_j\}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i$ can be divided by n_0 , the number n_0 have to be 1 or p . If $n_0 = p$, then there exists j ($1 \leq j \leq r$) such that $n_j = p$, $k_j = 1$; $n_i = 0$, $i \neq j$. We may consider only the case $n_0 = 1$, because $M(0, \dots, 0, p, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ ($p \geq 2$).

Corollary 4 (R. I. Mclachlan²⁵)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} M(p - 2j, j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{p - j} \binom{p - j}{j}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Proof.

This is also easily derived by putting $r = 2$, $q = 1$, $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = 2$ in Theorem 11.

B. Other representations of the coefficients

Equation (8.6) is given by the expansion of the following expression

$$n_1! n_2! \cdots n_m! \sum : (p_{k_1}^{i_1} + \frac{1}{2!} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_n})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(1 + p_{k_2}^{i_2} + \frac{1}{2!} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3+\dots+i_n}\right) \\
& \times \left(1 + p_{k_3}^{i_3} + \frac{1}{2!} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4} + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4+\dots+i_n}\right) \dots \\
& \times \dots \left(1 + p_{k_{n-1}}^{i_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2!} p_{k_{n-1}}^{i_{n-1}+i_n}\right) \left(1 + p_{k_n}^{i_n}\right) : . \tag{B.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the notation $\sum : \dots :$ denotes the following rule:

The summation over $\{k_j\}$ is performed under the following conditions:

(1) $i < j$ and $k_i < k_j$,

and

(2) Each exponents i_j appears only once in each term. Otherwise, there is no contribution to the sum.

Example 10 . We have

$$\sum : p_{k_1}^{i_1} \cdot p_{k_2}^{i_2} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-2)!} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4+\dots+i_n} := \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} p_{k_1}^{i_1} p_{k_2}^{i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4+\dots+i_n}, \tag{B.2}$$

and we have

$$\sum : \frac{1}{2!} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} \cdot p_{k_2}^{i_2} \cdot p_{k_3}^{i_3} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-3)!} p_{k_4}^{i_4+i_5+\dots+i_n} := 0, \tag{B.3}$$

when i_2 appears twice. We have also

$$\sum : p_{k_1}^{i_1} \cdot 1 \cdot p_{k_3}^{i_3} \cdot p_{k_4}^{i_4} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-4)!} p_{k_5}^{i_5+i_6+\dots+i_n} := 0 \tag{B.4}$$

when i_2 dose not appear. Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum : \frac{1}{2!} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{4!} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4+i_5+i_6} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{(n-6)!} p_{k_7}^{i_7+i_8+\dots+i_n} : \\
& = \frac{1}{2!4!(n-6)!} \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4+i_5+i_6} p_{k_7}^{i_7+i_8+\dots+i_n}. \tag{B.5}
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, (8.15) is given by performing the above procedure in the following expression :

$$n_1!n_2! \dots n_m! (-1)^{M-n} \sum : \left((-1)^{(i_1-1)k_1} p_{k_1}^{i_1} + \frac{1}{2!} (-1)^{(i_1+i_2-2)k_1} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2} + \dots \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^{(i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n-n)k_1} p_{k_1}^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n} (1 + (-1)^{(i_2-1)k_2} p_{k_2}^{i_2} + \frac{1}{2!} (-1)^{(i_2+i_3-2)k_2} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3} \\
& + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (-1)^{(i_2+i_3+\dots+i_n-(n-1))k_2} p_{k_2}^{i_2+i_3+\dots+i_n} (1 + (-1)^{(i_3-1)k_3} p_{k_3}^{i_3} \\
& + \frac{1}{2!} (-1)^{(i_3+i_4-2)k_3} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4} + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} (-1)^{(i_3+i_4+\dots+i_n-(n-2))k_3} p_{k_3}^{i_3+i_4+\dots+i_n}) \dots \\
& \dots (1 + (-1)^{(i_{n-1}-1)k_{n-1}} p_{k_{n-1}}^{i_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{2!} (-1)^{(i_{n-2}+i_{n-1}-2)k_{n-1}} p_{k_{n-1}}^{i_{n-1}+i_n}) \\
& \times (1 + (-1)^{(i_n-1)k_n} p_{k_n}^{i_n}) ; \tag{B.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n$.

C. Relation between Suzuki's representation and the present one

The determining equations composed of $a_{\alpha k} = \sum_{j < k} p_j^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} p_k^\alpha$ and $b_{\beta k} = \sum_{j > k} p_j^\beta + \frac{1}{2} p_k^\beta$ which was proposed by M. Suzuki² can be expressed by our representations in a coset as follows.

Example 11

◦ *the case $n = 3$ ($\alpha + \beta + \gamma = m$)*

$$\sum_k a_{\alpha k} a_{\beta k} a_{\gamma k} = \sum_k b_{\gamma k} b_{\beta k} b_{\alpha k} \equiv 0 \quad \text{mod } C_m, \tag{C.1}$$

$$\sum_k a_{\alpha k} p_k^\beta b_{\gamma k} \equiv f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad \text{mod } C_m. \tag{C.2}$$

◦ *the case $n = 5$ ($i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 + i_5 = m$)*

$$\sum_k a_{i_1 k} a_{i_2 k} a_{i_3 k} a_{i_4 k} a_{i_5 k} = \sum_k b_{i_5 k} b_{i_4 k} b_{i_3 k} b_{i_2 k} b_{i_1 k} \equiv 0 \quad \text{mod } D_m, \tag{C.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_k a_{i_1 k} a_{i_2 k} a_{i_3 k} a_{i_4 k} p_k^{i_5} &= \sum_k p_k^{i_5} b_{i_4 k} b_{i_3 k} b_{i_2 k} b_{i_1 k} \\
&\equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} f(i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, i_{\sigma(3)}, i_{\sigma(4)}, i_5) \quad \text{mod } D_m, \tag{C.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k a_{i_1 k} a_{i_2 k} a_{i_3 k} p_k^{i_4} b_{i_5 k} &= \sum_k a_{i_5 k} p_k^{i_4} b_{i_3 k} b_{i_2 k} b_{i_1 k} \\ &\equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} f(i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, i_{\sigma(3)}, i_4, i_5) \pmod{D_m}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$\sum_k a_{i_1 k} a_{i_2 k} p_k^{i_3} b_{i_4 k} b_{i_5 k} \equiv \sum_{\sigma \in X} f(i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, i_3, i_{\sigma(4)}, i_{\sigma(5)}) \pmod{D_m}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

with

$$X = \{\sigma \in S_5 \mid \sigma(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2\}, \sigma(3) = 3, \sigma(\{4, 5\}) = \{4, 5\}\}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Here, C_m denotes a K -module generated by $f(m)$ and D_m denotes a K -module generated both by $f(m)$ and by $f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = m$.

Suzuki's representation² up to the 8 th order has been confirmed to be correct.

The number of independent commutators composed of three R_1 and two R_3 is $M(3, 2) = 2$. Thus independent coefficients are, for example, $g(1, 1, 1, 3, 3) =: \beta_1$ and $g(1, 1, 3, 1, 3) =: \beta_2$. In this case, if we examine every representation constructed by $\{a_{\alpha_j}\}$ and $\{b_{\beta_j}\}$ using Examples 6 and 12, we find that all determining equations can be expressed only by β_1 under the condition in Theorem 7 for $m = 9$ and for $\pmod{D_9}$. In short, Suzuki's determining equations for the 10 th order are missing β_2 . So we can not obtain enough precision when we use the determining equations constructed only by $\{a_{\alpha_j}\}$ or $\{b_{\beta_j}\}$ in the case of higher order than the 9-th (or the 10-th order) on the symmetric decomposition. Therefore, we can obtain desired determining equations for 9 th order symmetric decomposition by adding the equation $g(1, 1, 3, 1, 3) = 0$ to Suzuki's determining equations².

References

1. M. Suzuki, *Phys. Lett.* **A146** (1990) 319.
2. M. Suzuki, *Phys. Lett.* **A165** (1992) 387.
3. M. Suzuki, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 400.
4. H. Yoshida, *Phys. Lett.* **A150** (1990) 262.
5. M. Suzuki, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **461** (1992) 3015.
6. M. Suzuki, *Physica* **A191** (1992) 501.
7. M. Suzuki, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **69**, Ser.B, No.7, (1993) 161.

8. M. Suzuki, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994) 491.
9. M. Suzuki, *Physica* **A205** (1994) 65, and references cited therein.
10. Z. Tsuboi, Master Thesis, Univ. of Tokyo (1995), in Japanese.
11. H. F. Trotter, *Proc. Am. Math. Phys.* **10** (1959) 545.
12. M. Suzuki, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **51** (1976) 183.
13. M. Suzuki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **56** (1976) 1454.
14. M. Suzuki, S. Miyasita and A. Kuroda, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **58** (1977) 1377.
15. M. Suzuki, *Phys. Lett.* **A113** (1985) 299.
16. M. Suzuki, *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985) 601.
17. M. Suzuki, *J. Stat. Phys.* **26** (1986) 883.
18. W. Magnus, A.Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory* (Dover, New York, 1976).
19. M. Suzuki, *Exponential Product Formula and Lie Algebra*, in the Proceedings Yamada Conference on 20 th Int. Colloq. on Group Theoretical Method in Physics, eds. A. Arima, T. Eguchi and N. Nakanishi (World Scientific, 1995).
20. R. Kubo, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **17** (1962) 1100.
21. R. D. Ruth, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **NS-30** (1983) 2669; F. Neri, Preprint (1988).
22. M. Suzuki and T. Yamauchi, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993) 4892.
23. K. Aomoto, *On a unitary version of Suzuki's exponential product formula J. Math. Soc. Japan* (in press).
24. M. Lothair, *Combinatorics on words* (Addison Wesley, 1983).
25. R. I. McLachlan, *On the numerical integration of ordinary differential equations by symmetric composition methods, SIAM J. Sci. Comp.* (1994).

26. M. Suzuki, *Phys Lett.* **A180** (1993) 232.
27. M. Suzuki, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977) 193.
28. M. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev.* **B31** (1985) 2957.
29. E. Forest and R. D. Ruth, *Physica* **D43** (1990) 105.
30. E. Forest, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990) 1133.
31. A. D. Bandrauk and H. Shen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **176** (1991) 428.
32. J. A. Oteo and J. Ros, *J. Phy. A Math. Gen.* **24** (1991) 5751.
33. W. Janke and T. Sauer, *Phys. Lett.* **A165** (1992) 199.
34. N. Hatano and M. Suzuki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **85** (1991) 481.
35. M. Suzuki and K. Umeno, in *Computer Simulations in Condensed Matter Phys.* **6**, eds. D. P. Landau, K. K. Mon and H. B. Shutter (Springer-Verlag, 1993) 74.
36. K. Umeno and M. Suzuki, *Phys. Lett.* **A181** (1993) 383.
37. M. Glasner, D. Yevick and B. Hermansson, *Mathl. Comput. Modelling* **16** (1992) 177, and *Appl. Math. Lett.* **4** (1991) 85.
38. B. Hermansson and D. Yevick, *Opt. Lett.* **36** (1991) 354.
39. M. Glasner, D. Yevick and B. Hermansson, *Electronics Lett.* **27** (1991) 475.
40. J. Candy and W. Rozmus, *J. Comp. Phys.* **92** (1991) 230.
41. Q. Sheng, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **9** (1989) 199, *ibid.* **14** (1994) 27.
42. A. N. Drozdov, *Physica* **A196** (1993) 283.
43. Z. Mei-Qing, *Phys. Lett.* **A** (1993) 3.
44. H. Kobayasi, N. Hatano, M. Suzuki, *Physica* **A211** (1994) 234-254.
45. N. Bourbaki, *ÉLÉMENTS DE MATHÉMATIQUE GROUPES ET ALGÈBRES DE LIE* (HERMANN, Paris, 1972)