Comparison of the Hole Concentration determined by Transport Measurement for the Hole-doped Cuprate Superconductors

Tatsuya Honma^a, Pei Herng Hor^b

^aDepartment of Physics, Asahikawa Medical College, Asahikawa, Hokkaido 078-8510, Japan. ^bTexas Center for Superconductivity and Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX. 77204-5002, USA.

Abstract

We have compared the hole concentration (P_{nl}) determined by hole-scale based on the thermoelectric power at RT (S²⁹⁰) to the hole concentrations (P) determined by two popular hole-scales based on the superconducting critical temperature (T_c) and Hall coefficient (R_H). While the hole concentrations based on different hole-scales are different, we show that when the P_{pl} is divided

more we show that hole concentration of the P_{pl} -scale is consistent with that determined by many other macroscopic and microscopic techniques, such as the titration technique, angleresolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and near edge x-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) [3].

There are other popular hole-scales proposed. For instance the hole scale based on the dome-shaped T_c behaviour of SrD-La214 was proposed and conveniently used. In this scale, the " T_c -scale" $T_c/T_c^{max} = 1-82.6(P-0.16)^2$ where the T_c^{max} is a maximum in T_c for the HTSC material [4]. Also, the inverse Hall

hole concentrations (*P*) determined by two popular hole-scales based on the superconducting critical temperature (*T_c*) and Hall coefficient (*R_µ*). While the hole concentrations based on different hole-scales are different, we show that when the P_{pl} is divided by either the effective unit cell volume (*V_{cwc}*) while the hole concentration (*P_{pl}^(T)*) we can find some correlation between P_{pl} and *P*. That is, the normalized *T_c* (*T_c*/*T_c*(*P_{pl}^(T)*) and the Hall number (*leR_µ*) are well scaled with $P_{pl}/P_{pl}^{(T)}$ and P_{pl}/V_{cwc} , respectively. We find that the P_{pl} -scale can map to and reproduce the other two hole scales if proper dimensionality and normalization are taken into account but not vice versa. Key words: Room-temperature thermoelectric power, hole-doping concentration, Hall number, superconducting critical temperature **1. Introduction**The wor to reliably measure the doped hole concentration (*P*) is one of the experimentally important problems for the high scale will allow us to quantitatively compare various physical properties of mary. TrSC. Based on the fact that La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ (SrD-La214) and and carefully delineate the differences and the importance of the SrD-La214 agroup (CaD - Y1236) are two rare HTSC materials to identify intrinsic and uniters ally indicates that the thermopower at 290 and carefully delineate the differences and the importance of the SrD-La214 agroup of (DD - Y1236) are two rare HTSC materials to identify intrinsic and uniters ally indicates that the thermopower at 290 and CaD - Y1236 (T1). Further, we found that the Hall number per effective unit cell number P_{pl} . The n_H V_{ewc} curve of the SrD-La214 (G). That is, the n_H V_{ewc} curve of the SrD-La214 (G). That is, the n_H V_{ewc} curve of the SrD-La214 (G). That is, the n_H V_{ewc} super or (n_H Vtent with the R_H -scale. In stead we found that the Hall number of single-layer HTSC are well scaled by not P_{pl} but P_{pl}/V_{euc} [2]. The inset shows the Hall number as a function of P_{pl}/V_{euc} . The Hall number vs. P_{pl}/V_{euc} curves for the SrD-La214, OD-Tl2201 and LaD-Bi2201 are found to lie on a common curve as a function of P_{pl}/V_{euc} [2]. This suggests that our P_{pl}/V_{euc} can be related with not $n_H V_{euc}$ but n_H . The Hall number or n_H is conventional 3D hole concentration. It is consistent with P_{pl}/V_{euc} . On the other hand, the P_{pl} or $n_H V_{euc}$ is essentially 2D hole concentration, while the P_{pl}/V_{euc} or n_H is 3D hole concentration.

If the material is homogeneous and isotropic then the P_{pl} and P_{pl}/V_{euc} or n_H/V_{euc} and n_H cannot be distinguished. Accordingly, the difference in the physical meaning between $n_H V_{euc}$ vs. P_{pl} and n_H vs. P_{pl}/V_{euc} is the difference in the characteristic dimensionality of the physical properties measured by thermoelectric power and Hall coefficient. So the our P_{pl}/V_{euc} is the 3D version of the P_{pl} -scale.

Figure 2 summaries the T_c/T_c^{max} as a function of the P_{pl} divided by the optimal hole concentration (P_{pl}^{opt}) where $T_c = T_c^{max}$ [3]. The T_c/T_c^{max} for the SrD-La214 shows the wellknown dome-shaped T_c -curve [4]. The YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+ δ} (OD-Y123) shows a double-plateau corresponding to the 60 K and 90 K phases [6]. The other HTSC, which is almost all major HTSC except of SrD-La214 and OD-y123, follows an asymmetric half-bell-shaped T_c -curve [3]. When we plot all the data on the T_c -scale, all structure appeared on the P_{pl} -scale collapse into the dome-shaped T_c -curve as shown in the inset. Except of OD-Y123, the T_c/T_c^{max} curve in the underdoped side shows the similar behavior on both scales. Therefore, in the underdoped regime, the T_c -scale is same as the P_{pl} -scale which is normalized to the optimal doping concentration. Accordingly, the T_c scale in the underdoped side is nearly identical to P_{pl}/P_{pl}^{opt} based on the proposed P_{pl} -scale, but the T_c -scale in the overdoped side is not consistent with the P_{pl} -scale. Many HTSC materials were studied and compared in the underdoped side. This is propably why the T_c -scale seems to work plausibly in many doping dependence studies where only the trend, not the absolute doping concentration, of the doping dependence was the primary concern.

In summary, we have compared our proposed hole-scale based on the S^{290} with two popular hole-scales. The hole concentrations determined by three hole-scales are not consistent among each other. However the normalized T_c (T_c/P_{pl}^{opt})) and the Hall number (n_H) are well scaled with P_{pl}/P_{pl}^{opt} and P_{pl}/V_{euc} , respectively. Therefore, the P_{pl} -scale can map to and reproduce the other two hole scales if proper dimensionality and normalization are taken into account but not vice versa.

References

- T. Honma, P.H. Hor, H.H. Hsieh, M. Tanimoto, Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004) 214517.
- [2] T. Honma, P. H. Hor, Supercond. Sci. Tech. 19 (2006) 907.
- [3] T. Honma, P. H. Hor, Phys. Rev. B 77 (2008) 184520.
- [4] M. R. Presland, J.L.Tallon, R.G. Buckley, R.S. Liu, N.E. Flowe, Physica C 176 (1991) 95.
 [5] Y. Ando, Y. Hanaki, S. Ono, T. Murayama, K. Segawa, N. Miyamoto, S.
- [5] I. Ando, T. Hanaki, S. Ono, T. Murayama, K. Segawa, N. Miyamoto, S Komiya, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) R14956.
- [6] T. Honma, P. H. Hor, Phys. Rev. B 75 (2007) 012508.
- [7] H. Y. Hwang, B. Batlogg, H. Takagi, H.L. Kao, J. Kwo, R. Cava, J.J. Krajewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 2636.
- [8] T. Nishikawa, J. Takeda, M. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63 (1995) 1441.
- [9] Y. Ando, A.N. Lavrov, S. Komiya, K. Segawa, X. F. Sun, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 017001 ;Y. Ando, Y. Kurita, S. Komiya, S. Ono, K. Segawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 197001
- [10] K. Tamasaku, T. Ito, H. Takagi, S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 3088.
- [11] M. A. Tanatar, V. S. Yefanov, V. V. Dyakin, A. I. Akimov, A.P. Chernyakova Physica C 185-189 (1991) 1247.
- [12] Y. Ando, T. Murayama, S. Ono, Physica C 341-348(2000) 1913.



Figure 1: $n_H V_{euc}$ vs. P_{pl} for the typical HTSC materials. The difference between $n_H V_{euc}$ curve for the SrD-La214 and the other materials is the difference between the R_H -scale and our P_{pl} -scale. The inset shows n_H vs. P_{pl}/V_{euc} for the typical HTSC materials.



Figure 2: T_c/T_c^{max} vs. P_{pl}/P_{pl}^{opt} for the HTSC. The green curve is for SrD-La214. The blue curve is for the OD-Y123. The red curve is for the other HTSC. The inset shows the T_c/T_c^{max} vs. *P* determined by T_c -scale.