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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present invariant recurrence relations for the completely integrable $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ Euclidean sigma model in two dimensions defined on the Riemann sphere $S^{2}$ when its action functional is finite. We determine the links between successive projection operators, wave functions of the linear spectral problem, and immersion functions of surfaces in the $\mathfrak{s u}(N)$ algebra together with outlines of the proofs. Our formulation preserves the conformal and scaling invariance of these quantities. Certain geometrical aspects of these relations are described. We also discuss the singularities of meromorphic solutions of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model and show that they do not affect the invariant quantities. We illustrate the construction procedure through the examples of the $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ models.


## 1 Introduction

The general properties of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models and techniques for finding associated surfaces remain among the essential subjects of investigation in modern mathematics and physics. In the case of the completely integrable Euclidean $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ sigma model in two dimensions an efficient and still useful approach has been the use of the Lax pair as introduced by Zakharov and Mikhailov [25, 40]. Especially fruitful was geometrization of the spectral theory by representing the equations as conditions for the immersion of surfaces in multidimensional Euclidean spaces. Based on the linear spectral problem for integrable equations, the concept of constructing infinitely many surfaces immersed in multidimensional spaces was first presented by Sym and Tafel (ST) [33, 34, 35, 36]. The advantage of their formula is that it allows us to express an immersion function of a surface directly in terms of a wave function satisfying the associated linear spectral problem. This subject has been developed further by many authors (see e.g. [3, 9, 10, 15, 20, 21, 38, 39, 13 , and references therein). More recently, conservation laws of the considered model have led to the generalized Weierstrass formula for immersion (GWFI) of 2D surfaces, which originated from the work of Konopelchenko [19]. It was shown [11, 12] that for 2 D surfaces immersed in $\mathfrak{s u}(N)$ algebras in the case of $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models the ST formula coincides with the GWFI. On the basis of this geometrical view the present authors focus their attention on the case where the model is defined on the Riemann sphere $S^{2}$ and its action functional is finite. The complete set of regular solutions is known due to Din and Zakrzewski as well as Sasaki, Eells and Wood [6, 32, 8]. Under the above assumptions, the considered surfaces are conformally parametrized. In the classical approach to the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models [6] new solutions are constructed by multiple application of a "creation operator" $P_{+}$to any holomorphic solution or an "annihilation operator" $P_{-}$to any antiholomorphic solution.

It seems worthwhile to provide an invariant formulation of the main ingredients of the theory. Namely, the considered models are complex projective. The equations of motion as well as their integration schemes are invariant under scaling not only by a constant factor but by any scalar complex-valued function. For such models the natural approach seems to be expressing all the quantities in scaling-invariant form. In this paper we formulate the theory in terms of invariant projection operators rather than the previously used unnormalized (homogeneous) coordinates.

Starting from the invariant Lagrangian density we regain the well-known
equations of motion in the form of conservation laws [25, 40] and then we construct the solutions in a way similar to [6] by means of the appropriate "creation" and "annihilation" operators applied to those projectors. The corresponding operators are also derived for the wave functions of the spectral problem and for the immersion functions of surfaces corresponding to those functions (soliton surfaces). Finally, the geometrical characteristics of the surfaces are also expressed in terms of the projectors. We complete the approach by comments on possible behavior of the invariant solutions in a neighborhood of (what used to be) singularities of homogeneous field coordinates in the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model. For a deeper insight into the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model theories, we refer the reader to some standard books in the field [4, 1, 14, 16, 18, 24, 28, 41].

Throughout this paper we use the terms "creation" and "annihilation" operators, suggested by the commonly applied symbols $P_{ \pm}$. However the reader should bear in mind that the procedure is a walk over a sphere rather than up or down a ladder (for this reason we retain the quotation marks). The construction of orthogonal functions or projectors is in fact an application of the classical Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure [22] in which the subsequent base vectors are constructed from derivatives of its predecessors. This aspect will later be discussed in more detail.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the main elements of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ theory, which will be the basis for further calculations (this includes the introduction of the invariant Lagrangian). Section 3 is devoted to description of the invariant recurrence formulae for the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models. The goals are described in diagram 1.

Diagram 1 Relations between projectors, wave functions and immersion functions associated with the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model


We seek the link between the quantities $P_{k}$ and $P_{k-1}, \Phi_{k}$ and $\Phi_{k-1}, X_{k}$
and $X_{k-1}$. It should be noted that from the diagram above, the projectors $P_{k}$ and $P_{k-1}$ are related to the wave functions $\Phi_{k}$ and $\Phi_{k-1}$ respectively through the concept of Lax pairs. Likewise, the projectors $P_{k}$ and $P_{k-1}$ are connected with the immersion functions $X_{k}$ and $X_{k-1}$ respectively through the GY formula provided by the second author of this paper [11]. In our formulation we preserve the conformal invariance of the action functional, projectors, wave functions, surfaces under consideration. The derivation of the "creation" and "annihilation" operators for projectors $P_{k}$, wave functions $\Phi_{k}$ and surfaces $X_{k}$ are put off until the appendices. The above procedure is illustrated by means of several examples (namely the $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ models). In section 4 we discuss some geometrical characteristics for the recurrence relations between consecutive surfaces in terms of the previous ones. Section 5 comments on the singularity structure of solutions of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model. We show that singularities of meromorphic solutions of the model do not extend to invariant quantities. Section 6 summarizes the obtained results and contains some suggestions regarding further developments.

## 2 Basic facts and notions on the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model

To make the paper self-contained we briefly summarize the basic facts on the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model theory which constitute the background of our calculations.

Dynamics of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ sigma models defined on the Riemann sphere $S^{2}$ is determined by stationary points of the action functional (see e.g. 41])

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\iint_{S^{2}} \mathcal{L} d \xi d \bar{\xi}=\frac{1}{4} \iint_{S^{2}}\left(D_{\mu} z\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(D_{\mu} z\right) d \xi d \bar{\xi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{4}\left(D_{\mu} z\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(D_{\mu} z\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the covariant derivatives $D_{\mu}$ are defined according to the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} z=\partial_{\mu} z-\left(z^{\dagger} \cdot \partial_{\mu} z\right) z, \quad \partial_{\mu}=\partial_{\xi^{\mu}}, \quad \mu=1,2 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field variables $z=\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N-1}\right)$ are points of the coordinate space which is the $(N-1)$-dimensional unit sphere immersed in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\dagger} \cdot z=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the usual definition of the scalar product, while $z^{\dagger}$ is the Hermitian conjugate of $z$. The space of independent variables is two dimensional. Originally being also the unit sphere, it is usually converted to the Riemann sphere $S^{2}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ by stereographic projection. In our paper the independent variables are pairs $\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\xi=\xi^{1}+i \xi^{2}$, where complex conjugates are marked by a bar over a quantity.

The normalization of $z$ (4) imposes a constraint on its components, which makes them inconvenient. The common approach to the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models is to describe the models in terms of the homogeneous, unnormalized field variables $f$, such that $z=f /\left(f^{\dagger} \cdot f\right)^{1 / 2}$. The vector $z$ is determined by the Euler-Lagrange (E-L) equations with the constraints (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} D_{\mu} z+\left(D_{\mu} z\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(D_{\mu} z\right) z=0, \quad z^{\dagger} \cdot z=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the homogeneous variables $f$ satisfy an unconstrained form of the E-L equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{I}-\frac{f \otimes f^{\dagger}}{f^{\dagger} \cdot f}\right) \cdot\left[\partial \bar{\partial} f-\frac{1}{f^{\dagger} \cdot f}\left(\left(f^{\dagger} \cdot \bar{\partial} f\right) \partial f+\left(f^{\dagger} \cdot \partial f\right) \bar{\partial} f\right)\right]=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial$ and $\bar{\partial}$ denote the derivatives with respect to $\xi$ and $\bar{\xi}$ respectively and $\mathbb{I}$ is the $N \times N$ unit matrix. An important property of these equations is their invariance under scaling by multiplication of $f$ by an arbitrary scalar function $\varphi(\xi)$.

The E-L equations (6) take the elegant form of a conservation law if we express them in terms of Hermitian projection matrices $P: S^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left(1 / f^{\dagger} \cdot f\right) f \otimes f^{\dagger}, \quad P^{2}=P, \quad P^{\dagger}=P \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial[\bar{\partial} P, P]+\bar{\partial}[\partial P, P]=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we are going to use the projectors $P$ as our fundamental unknown variables. The advantage of such an approach is the explicit invariance of these variables under scaling with any scalar function of $\xi$. Thus the scaling-invariant Euler-Lagrange equations (8) are expressed in scalinginvariant variables. On the other hand, the projectors are obviously subject to another constraint: $P^{2}=P$. Due this constraint we introduce the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda=\lambda^{\dagger} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)$ into the action (11) and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{S^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\partial P \cdot \bar{\partial} P+\lambda \cdot\left(P^{2}-P\right)\right\} d \xi d \bar{\xi} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the variation of the action (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \lambda: & P^{2}-P=0 \\
P: & 2 \partial \bar{\partial} P+\lambda \cdot P+P \cdot \lambda-\lambda=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We eliminate the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda$ by multiplying (10) from the left and from the right by $P$. Next we subtract the obtained results which yields the equation (8) as the E-L equation of the action (9).

The conservation law (8) means that the 1-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=i(-[\partial P, P] d \xi+[\bar{\partial} P, P] d \bar{\xi}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a closed differential. Hence its integral, independent of a trajectory, may be used to construct the following $N \times N$ matrix in $\mathfrak{s u}(N)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(\xi, \bar{\xi})=i \int_{\gamma}(-[\partial P, P] d \xi+[\bar{\partial} P, P] d \bar{\xi}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be regarded as a surface immersed in a real $\left(N^{2}-1\right)$-dimensional space [13]. The mapping $X: S^{2} \ni(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \rightarrow X(\xi, \bar{\xi}) \in \mathfrak{s u}(N)$ is known in the literature [19, 27] as the generalized Weierstrass formula for immersion of 2D surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{\left(N^{2}-1\right)} \cong \mathfrak{s u}(N)$. The space is equipped with the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A, B)=-(1 / 2) \operatorname{tr}(A \cdot B), \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{s u}(() N) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is used to construct an orthonormal basis (Pauli matrices in 3 dimensions, Gelfand matrices in 8 dimensions, etc. see e.g. [17, 37]).

In a classical paper [6] a base of vectors $f_{i}$ was constructed by the GramSchmidt orthogonalization, namely consecutive applications of the contracting operator $P_{+}$defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+}(f)=(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \partial f \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we will refer to as a "creation operator", while the inverse operation $P_{-}$, an "annihilation operator", is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-}(f)=(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \bar{\partial} f \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The usual procedure of constructing the orthogonal basis $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{N-1}$ includes normalization by setting the first nonzero component of each $f_{k}$ to one. Unlike the standard creation and annihilation operators known in the literature
[2, 22], the operations (14, (15) leading to the new vectors $f$ are nonlinear. Although the new vectors are obtained from their predecessors by a linear operation of matrix multiplication, the multiplier, which defines the direction of the projection, also depends on the argument (the new direction is the projection of the tangent to the graph $f(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ onto the hyperplane orthogonal to the vector $f(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ ).

It was shown in [6] that multiple applications of $P_{+}$to any holomorphic function lead to an antiholomorphic one after $(N-1)$ steps and obviously the application of $P_{+}$to an antiholomorphic function yields zero. This way we obtain $N$ orthogonal functions $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{N-1}$, and - as a by-product - $N$ projectors $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{N-1}$ acting on orthogonal complements of one-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$.

In [40] the linear problem containing a spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ was found in the form of a system
$\partial \Phi_{k}=\frac{2}{1+\lambda}\left[\partial P_{k}, P_{k}\right] \Phi_{k}, \quad \bar{\partial} \Phi_{k}=\frac{2}{1-\lambda}\left[\bar{\partial} P_{k}, P_{k}\right] \Phi_{k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-1$,
where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is the spectral parameter and $P_{k}$ is a sequence of rank- 1 orthogonal projectors which map on the direction of $f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=\frac{f_{k} \otimes f_{k}^{\dagger}}{f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot f_{k}}, \quad f_{k}=P_{ \pm}^{k} f, \quad P_{k}^{2}=P_{k}, \quad P_{k}^{\dagger}=P_{k} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition for equation (16) correponds precisely to the EL equations (8). The same set of equations may be obtained as a geometric condition for the immersion of the surfaces $X_{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N^{2}-1}$, i.e. as Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations for the surfaces given by (12).

An explicit solution, vanishing at complex infinity was found for equations (16) in [7]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{k}=\mathbb{I}+\frac{4 \lambda}{(1-\lambda)^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_{j}-\frac{2}{1-\lambda} P_{k},  \tag{18}\\
\Phi_{k}^{-1}=\mathbb{I}-\frac{4 \lambda}{(1+\lambda)^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_{j}-\frac{2}{1+\lambda} P_{k} . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly the integration (12) has explicitly been carried out for the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models defined on $S^{2}$ and having finite action [11]. By choosing the integra-
tion constant so that the $X_{k}$ are traceless, we obtain the following solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=-i\left(P_{k}+2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_{j}\right)+\frac{i(1+2 k)}{N} \mathbb{I}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-2 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above formula will be referred to as the GY formula. Finally the SymTafel formula [33, 34, 35, 36] yields $X_{k}$ from $\Phi_{k}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=\alpha(\lambda) \Phi_{k}^{-1} \partial_{\lambda} \Phi_{k}+\frac{(1+2 k)}{N} \mathbb{I}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, N-2 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models, $\alpha(\lambda)$ was found to be equal to $2 /\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)$. We have found another way of obtaining $X_{k}$ from $\Phi_{k}$, from its asymptote at large values of the spectral parameter $\lambda$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=i \frac{2 k+1}{N} \mathbb{I}+\frac{i}{2} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left[\lambda\left(\mathbb{I}-\Phi_{k}\right)\right] . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

However this procedure is obviously limited to the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models while the Sym-Tafel formula is universal.

The solutions $z=f /|f|$ have well-known physical interpretation as localized soliton-like objects, i.e. instantons. As a rule the holomorphic solution $(k=0)$ is recognized as instanton, the antiholomorphic one $(k=N-1)$ as antiinstanton, while the intermediate solutions ( $k=1, \ldots, N-2$, possible in the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ models for $N \geq 2$ ) describe various mixed instanton-antiinstanton states.

## 3 Recurrence in the projection space

The recurrence in the projection space is a construction of new projectors in terms of the previous ones. First we look for an operator which transforms each projector $P_{i}$ to the next one $P_{i-1}(0 \leq i \leq N-2)$. Each of the projectors maps onto a one-dimensional space and altogether they constitute a partition of the identity matrix. This way we may systematically build consecutive dimensions in the partition of unity, starting from a holomorphic or antiholomorphic solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations (8).

Let $\Pi_{ \pm}$be operators acting on those projectors in the way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{-}\left(P_{k}\right)=P_{k-1}, \quad \Pi_{+}\left(P_{k}\right)=P_{k+1} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

These operators play the role of annihilation and creation operators (respectively) in the space of projectors. However they are nonlinear and the objects on which they act have to remain normalized to retain their projective character. For this reason they cannot be used to construct the "particle number operator".

It is proven in Appendix A that the operators (23) may be cast into the forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{-}(P)=\frac{\bar{\partial} P \cdot P \cdot \partial P}{\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial} P \cdot P \cdot \partial P)}=\frac{(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P}{\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial} P \cdot P \cdot \partial P)}=\frac{\bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P \cdot(\mathbb{I}-P)}{\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial} P \cdot P \cdot \partial P)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{+}(P)=\frac{\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P}{\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P)}=\frac{(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \partial P \cdot \bar{\partial} P}{\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P)}=\frac{\partial P \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot(\mathbb{I}-P)}{\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the traces in the denominators are different from zero unless the whole matrix is zero (which occurs when applying $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{-}$to the holomorphic or $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}$ to the antiholomorphic solution). At the end of Appendix A we prove that the resulting matrices $\Pi_{-}(P)$ and $\Pi_{+}(P)$ have the orthogonal projective property $M^{2}=M$ and $M^{\dagger}=M$, provided that the argument $P$ is a projector mapping onto a one-dimensional subspace. Non-vanishing of the traces in (24) and (25) is a by-product of the proof (see the comment to (68)).

Example 1 (Action of $\Pi_{ \pm}$in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ ). A projector corresponding to the holomorphic Veronese solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations (6), which itself is a solution of the (8) reads [42]

$$
P_{0}=-\frac{2}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} & \bar{\xi}^{2}  \tag{26}\\
\sqrt{2} \xi & 2|\xi|^{2} & \sqrt{2}|\xi|^{2} \bar{\xi} \\
\xi^{2} & \sqrt{2}|\xi|^{2} \xi & |\xi|^{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

An action of the "creation operator" $\Pi_{+}$(25) converts it into a projector corresponding to a mixed solution

$$
P_{1}=\frac{1}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2|\xi|^{2} & \sqrt{2}\left(|\xi|^{2}-1\right) \bar{\xi} & -2 \bar{\xi}^{2}  \tag{27}\\
\sqrt{2}\left(|\xi|^{2}-1\right) \xi & \left(|\xi|^{2}-1\right)^{2} & -\sqrt{2}\left(|\xi|^{2}-1\right) \bar{\xi} \\
-2 \xi^{2} & -\sqrt{2}\left(|\xi|^{2}-1\right) \xi & 2|\xi|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This procedure can be repeated once to yield a projector mapping on the direction of the antiholomorphic solution of (6). Further application of the
creation operator, i.e. on the antiholomorphic projector yields an indeterminate expression of the form $0 / 0$, since an action of the $\partial$ operator on an antiholomorphic function yields zero both in the numerator and the denominator of (25). .

These operators will further be used to construct the corresponding "creation" and "annihilation" operators for the wave functions $\Phi_{k}$ and for the immersion functions $X_{k}$.

The corresponding recurrence relations for the wave functions $\Phi_{k}$ may be obtained from the solution of the spectral problem (16). The relations are more conveniently expressed in terms of an auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{k}=(1-\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathbb{I}-\Phi_{k}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the projection matrices $P_{k}$, the "creation/annihilation" operators $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ \pm}$raise or lower the index of $\Psi_{k}$ by one. The operators, which depend on the spectral parameter $\lambda$, read
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{-}(\Psi(\lambda))=\frac{1}{2}[(1+\lambda) \Psi(\lambda)-(1-\lambda) \Psi(-\lambda)]+2(1+\lambda) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{-}\left(\frac{1}{4}[\Psi(\lambda)+\Psi(-\lambda)]\right)$,
and
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{+}(\Psi(\lambda))=\frac{1}{2}[(1-\lambda) \Psi(\lambda)+(1+\lambda) \Psi(-\lambda)]+2(1-\lambda) \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}\left(\frac{1}{4}[\Psi(\lambda)+\Psi(-\lambda)]\right)$.
where $\Psi(-\lambda)$ may also be expressed in terms of $\Psi(\lambda)$ if we make use of the symmetry $\Phi^{-1}(\lambda)=\Phi(-\lambda)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(-\lambda)=-(1+\lambda)^{2} \Psi(\lambda)\left[(1-\lambda)^{2} \mathbb{I}-\Psi(\lambda)\right]^{-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple proof of these formulae may be found in Appendix B.
Example 2 (Action of $\Lambda_{ \pm}$in $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ ). For the $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ model the wave function for the spectral problem whose compatibility condition is (8) may be constructed according to (18). If we use that equation with $k=0$, we obtain the wave function $\phi_{0}$. The auxiliary function $\Psi_{0}$ may be obtained from it as $(1-\lambda)^{2}(\mathbb{I}-$ $\Phi_{0}$ ). It reads

$$
\Psi_{0}=\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \sqrt{3} \bar{\xi} & \sqrt{3} \bar{\xi}^{2} & \bar{\xi}^{3}  \tag{32}\\
\sqrt{3} \xi & 3|\xi|^{2} & 3|\xi|^{2} \bar{\xi} & \sqrt{3}|\xi|^{2} \bar{\xi} \\
\sqrt{3} \xi^{2} & 3|\xi|{ }^{2} \xi & 3|\xi|^{4} & \sqrt{3}|\xi|^{4} \bar{\xi} \\
\xi^{3} & \sqrt{3}|\xi|^{2} \xi^{2} & \sqrt{3}|\xi|^{4} \xi & |\xi|^{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

An action of the $\Lambda_{+}$on $\Psi_{0}$ yields the next $\Psi$ i.e. $\Psi_{1}=(1-\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathbb{I}-\Phi_{1}\right)$, where $\Phi_{1}$ is another wave function, whose spectral problem (16) yields the equation (8) as compatibility condition, with $P_{1}$ instead of $P_{0}$. The new wave function $\Phi_{k}$ may also be constructed in terms of the projectors according to (18) with $k=1$. The new $\Psi_{1}$ has the form

$$
\Psi_{1}=-\frac{2}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{2}+2 \lambda & \sqrt{3}\left[2(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{2}+\lambda+1\right] \bar{\xi} \\
\sqrt{3}\left[2(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{2}+\lambda+1\right] \xi & 4(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{4}+2(\lambda+2)|\xi|^{2}+\lambda-1 \\
\sqrt{3}\left[(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{2}+2\right] \xi^{2} & {\left[2(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{4}+(\lambda+5)|\xi|^{2}+2(\lambda-1)\right] \xi} \\
(3-\lambda) \xi^{3} & \sqrt{3}\left(2|\xi|^{2}+\lambda-1\right) \xi^{2}  \tag{33}\\
\sqrt{3}\left[(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{2}+2\right] \bar{\xi}^{2} & (3-\lambda) \bar{\xi}^{3} \\
{\left[2(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{4}+(\lambda+5)|\xi|^{2}+2(\lambda-1)\right] \bar{\xi}} & \sqrt{3}\left(2|\xi|^{2}+\lambda-1\right) \bar{\xi}^{2} \\
|\xi|^{2}\left[(\lambda-1)|\xi|^{4}+2(\lambda+2)|\xi|^{2}+4(\lambda-1)\right] & \sqrt{3}|\xi|^{2}\left[(\lambda+1)|\xi|^{2}+2(\lambda-1)\right] \bar{\xi} \\
\sqrt{3}|\xi|^{2}\left[(\lambda+1)|\xi|^{2}+2(\lambda-1)\right] \xi & |\xi|^{4}\left[\left(2|\xi|^{2}+3\right) \lambda-3\right]
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Such an action of the nonlinear operator $\Lambda_{+}$may be repeated by applying it consecutively to $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}=\Lambda_{+}\left(\Psi_{1}\right)$. Further application of the operator yields a trivial result. Inversely, we can go down the ladder by applying $\Lambda_{-}$ to $\phi_{3}, \phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{1}$.

Although the usual creation and annihilation operators have well defined interpretation for wave functions, our nonlinear operators cannot be interpreted that way.

Finally the recurrence relations may be constructed for the immersion functions $X_{k}$. In this case, the value of the index $k$ appears in the formulae explicitly. Note that in principle the explicit use of $k$ can be eliminated from (34) by expressing $k$ in terms of $\operatorname{tr}\left(X^{2}\right)$, which is equal to $(2 k+1)^{2} / N-(4 k+$ 1). However this does not make much sense as the immersion functions $X_{k}$ are only well defined for $k=0, \ldots, N-1$.

It follows from (20) that the projectors $P_{k}$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=X_{k}^{2}-2 i\left(\frac{2 k+1}{N}-1\right) X_{k}-\frac{2 k+1}{N}\left(\frac{2 k+1}{N}-2\right) \mathbb{I}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows us to write the "annihilation" operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\chi}_{-}\left(X_{k}\right)=X_{k}+i\left[\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{-}\left(P_{k}\right)+P_{k}\right]-(2 i / N) \mathbb{I}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{k}$ are given by (34). Similarly, the "creation" operator may be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\chi}_{+}\left(X_{k}\right)=X_{k}-i\left[\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}\left(P_{k}\right)+P_{k}\right]+(2 i / N) \mathbb{I} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3 (Action of $\chi_{ \pm}$in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$, this time "descending" the ladder). The surface $X_{1}$, whose condition of immersion in $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ is the equation (8) for $P=$ $P_{1}$, may be written in the matrix form as

$$
X_{1}=i\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{37}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 i & i \sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} & 0 \\
i \sqrt{2} \xi & i\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right) & i \sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} \\
0 & i \sqrt{2} \xi & 2 i|\xi|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we apply the operation $\chi_{-}$to (37), then we obtain a matrix (after some simplification)

$$
X_{0}=\frac{1}{3} i\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{38}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
i & i \sqrt{2} \bar{\xi} & i \bar{\xi}^{2} \\
i \sqrt{2} \xi & 2 i|\xi|^{2} & i \sqrt{2}|\xi|^{2} \bar{\xi} \\
i \xi^{2} & i \sqrt{2}|\xi|^{2} \xi & i|\xi|^{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is the matrix form of a two-dimensional surface immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ representing the soliton surface whose condition for immersion in $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ (the Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations) is (8) for the projector $P=P_{0}$.

The surface $X_{1}$ may in turn be obtained by similar procedure performed on the surface $X_{2}$, whose condition for immersion is (8) for the projector $P_{2}$ which maps on the direction of the antiholomorphic solution of (6).

We may also express each of the projection operators $P_{k}$ as a linear function of the surfaces. However, this requires knowledge of $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{k-1}$, thus making the recurrence deeper. Namely, from the equations of the surfaces in terms of the projectors (20) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}=i \sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\left(X_{j}-X_{j-1}\right)+(-1)^{k} i X_{0}+\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{I} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be used to construct the recurrence relations involving all the lower-index $X_{j}, j=0, \ldots k-1$. In a similar way a downwards recurrence might be obtained, involving all the higher-index $X_{j}$.

Equation (34) directly follows from the equations (20). A short derivation of that equation is given in Appendix C.

## 4 Geometrical aspects of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model

Let us now explore certain geometrical characteristics of surfaces immersed in the $\mathfrak{s u}(N)$ algebra and express them in terms of the projectors $P_{k}$. These ge-
ometrical properties include the Gaussian curvature, the mean curvature vector, the topological charge, the Willmore functional and the Euler-Poincaré character (see e.g. [24, 27, 41]). Under the assumption that the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model is defined on the Riemann sphere $S^{2}$ and the associated action functional of this model is finite we can show that surfaces are conformally parametrized. The proof is similar to that given in [12]. In Appendix D we demonstrate that whenever the equations of motion (8) are satisfied, the holomorphic quantity

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{k}=\left(g_{k}\right)_{11}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\partial X_{k}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}}\left[\left(\partial P_{k}\right)^{2} \cdot P_{k}\right]_{11}=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}}\left[P_{k} \cdot\left(\partial P_{k}\right)^{2}\right]_{11} \\
&  \tag{40}\\
& \bar{\partial} J_{k}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and its respective complex conjugate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{J}_{k}=\left(g_{k}\right)_{22}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\partial} X_{k}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}}\left[\left(\bar{\partial} P_{k}\right)^{2} \cdot P_{k}\right]_{11}=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}}\left[P_{k} \cdot\left(\bar{\partial} P_{k}\right)^{2}\right]_{11} \\
& \partial \bar{J}_{k}=0 \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

vanish in the first fundamental form of surfaces $X_{k}$ associated with (20). The first fundamental form $I_{k}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}=2\left(g_{k}\right)_{12} d \xi d \bar{\xi}, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nonzero component of the induced metric $\left(g_{k}\right)_{i j}$ on surfaces $X_{k}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{k}\right)_{12}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\partial X_{k} \cdot \bar{\partial} X_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}}\left(\bar{\partial} P_{k} \cdot \partial P_{k} \cdot P_{k}\right)_{11}=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}}\left(P_{k} \cdot \bar{\partial} P_{k} \cdot \partial P_{k}\right)_{11} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the index inside the parentheses in $g$ refers to the number of the surface, while the other two indices denote the appropriate components of the metric tensor.

It follows from the Bonnet theorem that the surfaces $X_{k}$ are determined uniquely up to Euclidean motions by their first fundamental forms (42) and their second fundamental forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{k}=\left(\partial^{2} X_{k}-\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)_{11}^{1} \partial X_{k}\right) d \xi^{2}+2 \partial \bar{\partial} X_{k} d \xi d \bar{\xi}+\left(\bar{\partial}^{2} X_{k}-\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)_{22}^{2} \bar{\partial} X_{k}\right) d \bar{\xi}^{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the immersion function $X_{k}$ is expressed in terms of projectors $P_{k}$ by the formula (20) and the nonzero Christoffel symbols of the second kind are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)_{11}^{1}=\partial \ln \left(g_{k}\right)_{12}, \quad\left(\Gamma_{k}\right)_{22}^{2}=\bar{\partial} \ln \left(g_{k}\right)_{12} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the projectors $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{N-1}$ are uniquely determined by the surfaces $X_{k}$ (see (34) and (39)) it follows that the projectors $P_{k}$ are determined (to that extent) by the fundamental forms (42) and (44). When $J_{k}=0$, the Gaussian curvatures $\mathcal{K}_{k}$ and the mean curvature vector $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ (written as a matrix) take the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{k}=\frac{-1}{\left(g_{k}\right)_{12}} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \left(g_{k}\right)_{12} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{k}=\frac{2}{\left(g_{k}\right)_{12}} \partial \bar{\partial} X_{k} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where the immersion function $X_{k}$ is given by (20).
Example 4 (Geometrical properties of the family generated by the Veronese solutions in $\left.\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right)$. We may easily determine the geometrical characteristics for the holomorphic Veronese solution of (6) or the corresponding projector solutions of (8) and for the solutions obtained from them by application of the "creation" operator (25). The first fundamental form is completely determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{0}\right)_{12}=\frac{1}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{2}}=\left(g_{2}\right)_{12}, \quad\left(g_{1}\right)_{12}=\frac{2}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index inside the parentheses in $g$ is 0 for the holomorphic, 1 for the mixed solution and 2 for the antiholomorphic solution.

The second fundamental form is determined by the Christoffel symbols. They have the same values for all three surfaces as the constant factor 2 in (48) does not influence the logarithmic derivative in (45).

The nonzero Christoffel symbols read (with the same convention about the indices)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)_{11}^{1}=\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)_{11}^{1}=\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{11}^{1}-\frac{2 \bar{\xi}}{|\xi|^{2}+1}, \quad\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)_{22}^{2}=\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)_{22}^{2}=\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)_{22}^{2}=-\frac{2 \xi}{|\xi|^{2}+1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gaussian curvature may be obtained in a straightforward way from (46) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{0}=\mathcal{K}_{2}=2, \quad \mathcal{K}_{1}=1 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence all these surfaces have constant positive Gaussian curvature.
The mean curvature is given by a rather complicated traceless matrix expression (or a vector expression if we decompose the matrix in the basis of the Gelfand matrices). In the matrix form we get e.g. for the surface corresponding to the holomorphic solution

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0}=\frac{4 i}{\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1-2|\xi|^{2} & \sqrt{2}\left(2-|\xi|^{2}\right) \bar{\xi} & 3 \bar{\xi}^{2}  \tag{51}\\
\sqrt{2}\left(2-|\xi|^{2}\right) \xi & -\left(|\xi|^{4}-4|\xi|^{2}+1\right) & \sqrt{2}\left(2|\xi|^{2}-1\right) \bar{\xi} \\
3 \xi^{2} & \sqrt{2}\left(2|\xi|^{2}-1\right) \xi & |\xi|^{2}\left(|\xi|^{2}-2\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

However the mean curvature proves to be a vector of constant norm, namely square of the norms calculated according to (13) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}, \mathcal{H}_{0}\right)=4\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{H}_{1}\right)=\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}, \mathcal{H}_{2}\right)=16 . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result may be used to calculate the Willmore functional. The Willmore functional (also called the total squared mean curvature vector) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{k}=\frac{1}{4} \iint_{\Omega} \|\left.\mathcal{H}_{k}\right|^{2} \sqrt{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(g_{k}\right)_{i j}\right|} d \xi^{1} d \xi^{2} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is an open connected and simply connected set, while the norm is defined in terms of the scalar product in the usual way: $\|\cdot\|=(\cdot, \cdot)^{1 / 2}$. Hence, in our example, if take $\Omega=S^{2}$ in (53) (i.e. we integrate over the whole Riemann sphere), we obtain from (52) and (48)

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=4 W_{1}=W_{2}=4 \pi \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way we may calculate a few other global characteristics of the soliton surfaces defined by the immersion functions $X_{k}$. In particular a significant quantity which characterizes solutions satisfying the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model equations (8) is the topological charge associated with the surfaces $X_{k}$ [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{S^{2}} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \left|f_{k}\right|^{2} d \xi^{1} d \xi^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{S^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(P_{k} \cdot\left[\partial P_{k}, \bar{\partial} P_{k}\right]\right) d \xi^{1} d \xi^{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral (56) exists and it is a topological invariant of the surfaces given by (35) or (36). It is an integer which globally characterizes the surfaces $X_{k}$.

In the case of compact oriented and connected surfaces $X_{k}$ another topological invariant: the Euler-Poincaré characteristic is given by
$\Delta_{k}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \iint_{S^{2}} \mathcal{K}_{k}\left(g_{k}\right)_{12} d \xi d \bar{\xi}=-\frac{i}{2 \pi} \iint_{S^{2}} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \left(g_{k}\right)_{12} d \xi d \bar{\xi}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{S^{2}} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \left(g_{k}\right)_{12} d \xi^{1} d \xi^{2}$.
If we know the projector $P_{k}$ explicitly, the calculation of $\Delta_{k}$ is straightforward.

Example 5. In the particular case where $N=3$ (the $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ model) (56) and (57) turn into

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}=2, \quad Q_{1}=0, \quad Q_{2}=-2 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the topological charges, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{0}=\Delta_{1}=\Delta_{2}=2 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the Euler-Poincaré characteristics.
The result (58) is in accordance with the values of the topological charge obtained in [6]. The value of the topological charge distinguishes the instantons ( $Q=2$ for a one-instanton state in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}, Q=-2$ for the antiinstanton state, which produces the same winding over the target sphere but in the opposite direction).

## 5 Singularities of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model

In what follows we do not impose the assumption that the action functional of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model (22) is finite.

The E-L equations of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model (6) are autonomous, hence they do not have fixed singularities at finite points. On the other hand, as nonlinear equations, they might in principle have movable singularities. Let us limit ourselves to solutions without branch points or essential singularities. The scaling invariance puts limits to the singular behavior of such solutions: the singularities disappear in the invariant description. The following statements directly follow from the scaling invariance:

1. If a $j$-th component of a homogeneous field coordinate $f$ has a pole of order $p$ greater than or equal to the order of other poles at a point
$\xi_{0}$, then the solution may be multiplied by $\left(\xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{p}$. This yields a solution $f$ of the E-L equations which constitutes the same solution in the invariant variables. An appropriate multiplication by a product of such factors can always be performed if the number of poles is finite. Also in many cases with an infinite number of poles we can build a holomorphic function which would regularize the solution, making use of the Weierstrass theorem (provided that the poles have no finite accumulation point). This multiplication makes the solution regular and we will refer to the procedure as regularization.
2. The regularization of a field coordinate $f$ through the multiplication by a singularity-removing factor $\left(\xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{p}$ would introduce zeros at the point $\xi_{0}$ in all the components which were regular or had poles of lower order than $p$. In such a case the usual normalization of $f$ by setting its first component to one may be impossible.
3. In the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model we also consider functions which are not holomorphic as the E-L equations (6) depend on both $f$ and $\bar{f}$. To perform a singularity analysis in such cases, both independent variables are extended to separate complex planes and the field coordinates $f$ intrinsically become functions of two complex variables. However all the previous and further considerations hold, with the modification that $\xi-\xi_{0}$ is replaced by some function $F(\xi, \bar{\xi})$ which would vanish at the line of singularity (except that the class of exceptions is richer in two dimensions than in one).
4. To summarize, the regularization leaves invariant

- the E-L equations in both forms (6), (8) and the action functional (1);
- the projectors $P_{k}, k=0, \ldots, N-1$ as well as any projection operators in the algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(N)$ of anti-Hermitian matrices (or $i \mathfrak{s u}(N)$ in the case of Hermitian matrices);
- the surfaces $X_{k}$ with all their induced metrics $\left(g_{k}\right)_{i j}$ and curvature properties $\mathcal{K}_{k}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{k}$;
- the "creation" and "annihilation" operators $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{ \pm}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ \pm}$and $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{ \pm}$.
- The classical operators $P_{-}$and $P_{+}$are covariant in the sense that $P_{ \pm}\left(f_{k}\left(\xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{p}\right)=\left(\xi-\xi_{0}\right)^{p} P_{ \pm}\left(f_{k}\right)$, which allows for regularization of the Din-Zakrzewski procedure [6].


## 6 Summary and concluding remarks

The objective of this paper was to provide an invariant description of recurrence relations for the completely integrable $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ sigma models defined on the Riemann sphere $S^{2}$ when its action functional is finite. We have determined the connection between successive projector operators, wave functions of the linear spectral problem and immersion functions which immerse the surfaces in the $\mathfrak{s u}(N)$ algebra in such a way that they preserve conformal invariance. Through this link, we found explicit expressions for these quantities and established a commutative diagram for them. An advantage of the presented approach is that, without reference to any additional consideration, the recurrence relations give a very useful tool for constructing each successive surface associated with the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ sigma model from the knowledge of the previous one. We have also analyzed the asymptotic properties of solutions of the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model in neighborhoods of zeros and poles (excluding branch points and essential singularities) and demonstrated that the singularity structures of meromorphic solutions of the model do not influence the above-mentioned invariant quantities. Consequently, we have shown that the surfaces associated with the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model are regular. Furthermore, we provide a certain geometrical setting which allows us to obtain explicit formulae in terms of the projector $P_{k}$ for the Gaussian and mean curvatures, the Willmore functional, the Euler-Poincaré character and the topological charge of the considered surfaces. This allows us to study certain global properties of the surfaces as illustrated by concrete examples of surfaces associated with the $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ models. In particular we have shown that for the Veronese vectors we obtain constant positive Gaussian curvatures as expected.

It may be worthwhile to extend the investigation of surfaces to the case of the sigma models defined on other homogeneous spaces via Grassmannian models. This case can lead to different classes and more diverse types of surfaces than those investigated in this paper, including those with constant negative Gaussian curvature. These types of surfaces immersed in Lie algebras are known to have many fundamental applications in physics, chemistry and biology (see e.g. [30, 5, 26, 29, 31, 23]). This task will be undertaken in
a future work.
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## A Derivations of the recurrence relations for the projection operators (24) and (25)

To construct the recursion operator, we start with the $P_{ \pm}$operators (23), which raise or lower the index of the homogeneous field coordinates $f_{k}$ by one.

The $k$-th coordinate $f_{k}$ may be regained from the respective projector $P_{k}$ by an extraction of its first column

$$
f_{k}=\frac{1}{\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}} P_{k} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{60}\\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}$ is the 1st row-1st column element of the matrix $P_{k}$. The first row of its Hermitian conjugate is obtained similarly by multiplying on the left by $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$.

This equation yields $f_{k}$ with the 1 st component of $f_{k}$ normalized to one. For the sake of simplicity the derivation will be done for that case. If $\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}=$ 0 the first component of $f_{k}$ is zero. In that case we can get the $f_{k}$ by extracting another column of $P_{k}$, which is done by multiplying with a vector having 1 at the other position (and zeros elsewhere).

Substituting (60), together with its Hermitian conjugate, into (15) and (14), we obtain the nonlinear "creation operator" $\Pi_{+}$for the projectors $P_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{+}(P)=\frac{(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \partial P \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot(\mathbb{I}-P)}{[\bar{\partial} P \cdot(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \partial P]_{11}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [ ] $]_{11}$ denotes the leftmost-uppermost element of the matrix while

$$
\mathbb{I}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \ldots & 0  \tag{62}\\
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the transition from (60) to (61) we used the scaling invariance to get rid of the factor $\left(P_{k}\right)_{11}$.

This operator may further be simplified if we use the following property of projectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{I}-P) \cdot \partial P=(\partial P) \cdot P, \quad(\partial P) \cdot(\mathbb{I}-P)=P \cdot \partial P \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity (63) yields equation (25) in a straightforward way if we note that any Hermitian projection operator $P$ mapping onto a one-dimensional space and satisfying $\operatorname{tr}(P)=1$ may be represented as $U^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{0} U$, where $U$ is a unitary matrix (the diagonalized $P$ has only one nonzero element, equal 1 and this 1 may always be placed at the upper left corner as in the $\mathbb{I}_{0}$ matrix). Moreover by direct calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{11}=\left(U^{-1}\right)_{11} U_{11}=U_{11}^{2} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $U^{-1}=U^{\dagger}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot P=U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U=P_{11} P \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we have we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot M \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0}=M_{11} \mathbb{I}_{\nvdash} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any matrix $M$. Equation (65) yields the numerator of (25) up to a constant factor. The denominator immediately follows from the normalization $\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}(P)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(P)=1$, provided that the matrix is nonzero (see below for the proof that its trace is also nonzero).

The "annihilation" operator $\Pi_{-}$is obtained from $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}$by exchanging the partial derivatives $\partial \rightleftharpoons \bar{\partial}$.

The projective property of the resulting operators $\Pi_{-}(P)$ and $\Pi_{+}(24$, 251) may be proven by means of the same unitary conversion of $P$. Let us check the square of $\Pi_{+}(P)$ (25):
$\Pi_{+}(P) \cdot \Pi_{+}(P)=\frac{\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P}{[\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P)]^{2}}=\frac{\partial P \cdot U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P \cdot U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \bar{\partial} P}{[\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P)]^{2}}$.

If the numerator of (67) is a zero matrix, then the projective property is trivial. If the numerator is a nonzero matrix, then, according to (66) its central part which begins and ends with $\mathbb{I}_{0}$ is a diagonal matrix with only one nonzero element in the top left position. Hence it is equal to its trace multiplied by $\mathbb{I}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P \cdot U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P \cdot U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0}\right) \mathbb{I}_{0} \\
=\operatorname{tr}\left(U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P\right) \mathbb{I}_{0}=\operatorname{tr}(P \cdot \bar{\partial} P \cdot \partial P) \mathbb{I}_{0}=\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P) \mathbb{I}_{0} . \tag{68}
\end{array}
$$

It follows from (68) that the trace of $\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P$ is nonzero whenever the matrix is nonzero. Otherwise the matrix would be nilpotent, but this is impossible for a nonzero Hermitian matrix.

Combining (68) with the rest of the equation (67) we eventually obtain
$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}(P) \cdot \Pi_{+}(P)=\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P) \partial P \cdot U^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{0} \cdot U \cdot \bar{\partial} P /[\operatorname{tr}(\partial P \cdot P \cdot \bar{\partial} P)]^{2}=\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{+}(P)$.
The same property obviously holds for $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{-}(P)$. Q.E.D.

## B Derivation of the recurrence relations for the wave functions (29) and (30)

From the solutions of the spectral problem in terms of the projection operators (18) we obtain a formula for $\Psi_{k}=(1-\lambda)^{2}\left(\mathbb{I}-\Phi_{k}\right), \quad k=1, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{k}(\lambda)-\Psi_{k-1}(\lambda)=2(1-\lambda)\left(P_{k}-\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda} P_{k-1}\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we combine the solution for $\Phi(\lambda)$ with that for $[\Phi(\lambda)]^{-1}=\Phi(-\lambda)$, we simply obtain, for $l=0, \ldots, N-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{l}(\lambda)+\Psi_{l}(-\lambda)=4 P_{l} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of (71) for $l=k$ and for $l=k-1$ into (70) immediately yields the "annihilation operator" (29) if we solve (70) for $\Psi_{k-1}$ and express $P_{k-1}$ as $\Pi_{-}\left(P_{k}\right)$. The same equations (70|71) yield the "creation operator" if we solve (70) for $\Psi_{k}$ while expressing $P_{k}$ as $\Pi_{+}\left(P_{k-1}\right)$.

## C Derivation of equation (34) used in the recurrence relations for the immersion functions (355) and (36)

We square equation (20), bearing in mind that the projectors $P_{0}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are mutually orthogonal and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k} \cdot X_{k}=\left[\frac{2(2 k+1)}{N}-1\right] P_{k}+4\left[\frac{(2 k+1)}{N}-1\right] \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_{j}-\frac{(2 k+1)^{2}}{N^{2}} \mathbb{I} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation may be combined with $X_{k}$ multiplied by an appropriate factor, as in (34), to get rid of the sum of the lower operators $P_{0}+\ldots+P_{k-1}$. The solution for $P_{k}$ is precisely what was found for the equation (34).

## D Derivation of the fact that the holomorphic functions $J_{k}$ vanish when the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model is defined on $S^{2}$ and its action functional is finite

To prove the vanishing of the holomorphic quantities $J_{k}$ and $\bar{J}_{k}$, it is sufficient to consider the orthogonality condition for the operator $P_{ \pm}^{k} f$ in the specific case where $i=k$ and $j=k+2$ [41]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{ \pm}^{k} f\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(P_{ \pm}^{k+2} f\right)=0 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $0 \leq k \leq N-2$ for the operator $P_{+}$or $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ for the operator $P_{-}$. Using the notation $f_{k}=P_{ \pm}^{k} f$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot\left(P_{ \pm}^{2} f_{k}\right)=f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot\left(\mathbb{I}-\frac{\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right) \otimes\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right)^{\dagger}}{\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right)^{\dagger} \cdot\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right)}\right) \cdot \partial_{ \pm}\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right)=f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot \partial_{ \pm}\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right), \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $\partial_{+}$represents the holomorphic derivative $\partial$ and $\partial_{-}$represents the antiholomorphic derivative $\bar{\partial}$. Since $f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right)=0$, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot \partial_{ \pm}\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right)=-\partial_{ \pm} f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot\left(P_{ \pm} f_{k}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of the equation (74) can be written in terms of the holomorphic function $J_{k}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=-\partial_{+} f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot\left(\mathbb{I}-P_{k}\right) \cdot \partial_{+} f_{k}=-\left(\partial_{+} P_{k} \cdot P_{k}\right)_{11}=-\left(P_{k}\right)_{11} J_{k} . \\
& 0=-\partial_{-} f_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot\left(\mathbb{I}-P_{k}\right) \cdot \partial_{-} f_{k}=-\left(\partial_{-} P_{k} \cdot P_{k}\right)_{11}=-\left(P_{k}\right)_{11} \bar{J}_{k} . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(P_{k}\right)_{11} \neq 0$ we get $J_{k}=0$. Hence $J_{k}$ and $\bar{J}_{k}$ vanish identically. The version with operator $P_{+}$works for holomorphic and mixed solutions, while the version with operator $P_{-}$works for antiholomorphic and mixed solutions. Q.E.D.
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