## arXiv:0909.2788v2 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 9 Oct 2009 [arXiv:0909.2788v2 \[cond-mat.mtrl-sci\] 9 Oct 2009](http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2788v2)

## A scaling theory of quantum breakdown in solids

Bikas K. Chakrabarti and Debashis Samanta<sup>∗</sup>

Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700 064, India

(Dated: November 4, 2018)

We propose a new scaling theory for general quantum breakdown phenomena. We show, taking Landau-Zener type breakdown as a particular example, that the breakdown phenomena can be viewed as a quantum phase transition for which the scaling theory is developed. The application of this new scaling theory to Zener type breakdown in Anderson insulators, and quantum quenching has been discussed.

PACS numbers: 77.22.Jp, 72.10.Bg, 72.15.Rn

The behavior of solid state electronic systems in nonequilibrium conditions, particularly the insulator-metal transitions leading to dielectric breakdown in constant electric field just beyond a particular threshold, has been a matter of considerable investigation. This started with Zener [\[1](#page-2-0), [2](#page-2-1)], considering the charge-excited carrier generation process as tunneling through the band gap  $\triangle$ , from ground state to excited states in one-dimensional band insulator, using the time-independent as well as time-dependent gauge [\[2,](#page-2-1) [3](#page-2-2)]. Recent years have noticed increasing interests in the nonlinear phenomena of quantum breakdown in many-body systems put in strong dc electric fields, in intense laser fields, etc. The interest also comes because of the fact that the high sensitivity (drastic changes) of electronic states to external field near the phase boundary finds its application in dielectric breakdown based current-switching devices [\[4](#page-2-3)]. However, more interesting is its relevance to non-equilibrium statistical physics where one can observe the behavior of uncorrelated as well as strongly correlated electronic system near phase boundary under non-equilibrium conditions and in the study of quantum defect production in rapid quench of quantum fluctuations (see e.g., [\[5](#page-2-4)]).

The tunneling rate  $P$  of charge excited carriers through a gap  $\triangle$  in dc electric field E (for the case of band insulator) has been shown to be expressed in closed form, which is proportional to exp  $[-(\mathcal{E}_{th}/E_e)]$ ; with  $\mathcal{E}_{th} \sim \Delta^2$ and e denoting the carrier charge. Recently, it has been shown that such Landau-Zener transition estimate can be straightforwardly extended to Mott insulators as well with the same exponent value [\[6,](#page-2-5) [7\]](#page-2-6), though the carriers are different. In band insulator holes and electrons undergo tunneling through band gap, whereas in Mott insulator doublons and holes carry out the same role through Mott gap.

Within the present framework, it seems to be virtually impossible to obtain such an exact expression for the tunneling rate of the carriers in a disordered quantum systems like the Anderson insulators [\[8](#page-2-7)]. Our purpose here

is to develop a simple scaling theory for such quantum breakdown phenomenon and explore the Landau-Zener type [\[3](#page-2-2)] breakdown in, e.g., Anderson insulators (say, in three dimension). It may be mentioned that the growth of fluctuation correlations near various well-studied classical breakdown points have already helped establishing a phase transition picture, leading to well established scaling theories for phenomena, like fracture etc. (see e.g., [\[9\]](#page-2-8)). Also, precise critical behavior of breaking in, e.g., the fiber bundle like models of fracture are now quite established [\[10\]](#page-2-9).

From elementary quantum mechanics (see e.g., [\[11\]](#page-2-10)), one gets the tunneling rate through an energy barrier of (large) width w to be proportional to  $exp(-c\kappa w)$ , where  $\kappa$  is the damping factor or 'imaginary momentum' vector determined by the height of the barrier and  $c$  is some dimensionless constant. Considering the quantum breakdown phenomena as quantum phase transitions (see e.g., [\[12\]](#page-2-11)), we assume that near the breakdown point, the macroscopic correlation length  $\xi$  will provide the only scale governing the behavior of physical quantities of the system. We assume this to be true for the tunneling rate P as well. Hence, the width w is scaled by  $\xi$  and 'momentum' is considered to be proportional to inverse of  $\xi$ . Hence, the dimensionless tunneling rate expression takes the form

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
P \sim \exp\left[-c\left(\frac{a}{\xi}\right)\left(\frac{w}{\xi}\right)\right].\tag{1}
$$

Here, a denotes lattice constant, the only other (microscopic) length scale in the system. The above gives our proposed scaling form for tunneling probability across a  $barrier$  of width  $w$  near a quantum phase transition characterised by the correlation length  $\xi$  determined by the barrier height.

We now proceed with the application of the above scaling ansatz. In presence of a constant dc field, the bands effectively get tilted in field direction, which causes each energy band degenerate with the others. Therefore, electron can pass from lower energy band to upper one traversing an effective distance  $w$  in the direction of field and we envisage the process involved as tunneling. The effective width  $w$  can be estimated assuming that the en-

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author: debashis.samanta@saha.ac.in

ergy  $Eew$  acquired from the field  $E$  over the width  $w$ will compare with the gap  $\triangle$ , giving  $w = \triangle / Ee$ . We assume, the correlation length diverges as  $\Delta^{-\nu}$  at criticality  $(\triangle = 0)$  with exponent  $\nu$  [\[12](#page-2-11)], and additionally we assume that even up to the breakdown point the external field does not affect the correlation length exponent. Therefore the tunneling rate expression becomes

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
P \sim \exp\left[-\left(\frac{ca}{Ee}\right)\Delta^{\gamma}\right]; \ \gamma = 1 + 2\nu. \tag{2}
$$

For the band and Mott insulators, putting  $\nu$  as  $1/2$ (see e.g., [\[13](#page-2-12)]), we get  $P \sim \exp(-\mathcal{E}_{th}/E_e)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{th} \sim \Delta^2$ , the same expression as was obtained earlier [\[2](#page-2-1), [3,](#page-2-2) [6](#page-2-5), [7\]](#page-2-6). For Mott insulators, the recent study by Oka  $et \ al.$  [\[6,](#page-2-5) [7](#page-2-6)] supports the above expression as well, where the gap is determined by the electronic correlation.

Since the system response near breakdown is governed essentially by the correlation length exponent, one can make some estimate for the electrical response in quantum systems with disorder, equipped with the knowledge of its correlation length around criticality in such disordered systems. Studies on Anderson transition show that the electron as a quantum particle can not diffuse through the geometrically percolating path due to the coherent back scattering (of the wave function) from the random geometry of the clusters in dimensions less than three [\[8\]](#page-2-7). Since all the states on any such percolating lattice gets localized (exponentially), electrons do not diffuse through the disordered (classically percolating) lattice. In three dimension (for concentrations above the quantum percolation threshold), if the Fermi level  $\epsilon_f$  lies below the mobility edge  $\epsilon_c \neq 0$  for three dimension), the system remains to be quantum mechanically nonpercolating with the exponentially localized electronic states, while for  $\epsilon_f > \epsilon_c$  the states are extended. Hence if  $\epsilon_f < \epsilon_c$ , the system is an insulator and for  $\epsilon_f > \epsilon_c$  it is a conductor. The scaling property in this conducting region is well established; in particular the localization or correlation length  $\xi \sim |\epsilon_f - \epsilon_c|^{-\nu}$  and precise estimates (both theoretical and experimental) of  $\epsilon_c$  and  $\nu$ are now available (see e.g. [\[8,](#page-2-7) [14\]](#page-2-13)). For  $\epsilon_f < \epsilon_c$ , one can think of an insulator-metal transition in such a noninteracting random system, analogous to quantum breakdown in band or Mott insulators, induced by (strong) dc electric fields. The critical behavior can be easily predicted utilizing the precise knowledge of localization length exponent  $\nu$ . With  $\nu \simeq 1$  [\[8\]](#page-2-7) for Anderson insulators in three dimension, the tunneling probability across the mobility gap  $\Delta \equiv |\epsilon_c - \epsilon_f|$  near breakdown point, will be given by  $P \sim \exp(-\mathcal{E}_{th}/E_e)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{th} \sim \Delta^{\gamma}$ ;  $\gamma \simeq 3$ . This indicates that  $\ln P$  would scale as  $\triangle^3$  for Zener type breakdown in Anderson insulators, instead of as  $\triangle^2$  for similar breakdown in band insulators.

In the context of adiabatic quantum computations, where one exploits the tunneling probability through the energy or cost barriers for local minima to reach a global

minimum (may be degenerate, as in spin glass), one needs to estimate the density of defects remaining over the ground state as one quenches from a highly excited (para) state [\[5](#page-2-4)]. For example, in a transverse Ising glass model represented by the hamiltonian [\[5](#page-2-4)]

$$
H = -\sum_{(i,j)}^{N} J_{ij} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z - \Gamma(t) \sum \sigma_i^x, \tag{3}
$$

with random exchanges  $J_{ij}$  between the Pauli spins  $\vec{\sigma}$ , one can vary the quantum fluctuation slowly but linearly  $(\Gamma(t) = 1 - (t/\tau); 0 \le t \le \tau)$  to arrive at a ground state of the classical spin glass (for  $\Gamma = 0$  at  $t = \tau$ ) from a disordered or a para phase (for  $\Gamma = 1$  at  $t = 0$ ). The amount of defect over the true ground state (giving the solution of a computationally hard problem) depends on the quenching time  $\tau$  and the advantage of such annealing or quenching (tunneling) through macroscopically high or  $O(N)$  barriers (instead of thermal hopping over the barriers as in classical simulated annealing [\[15\]](#page-2-14)) might be lost if defect concentration remains high. Identifying the force  $Ee$  in [\(2\)](#page-1-0) as the rate  $R$  of change in the energy of the system, one can easily estimate the density of defects by calculating the tunneling probability as

$$
P \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta^{\gamma}}{R}\right) \sim \exp(-\tau \Delta^{1+2\nu}),\tag{4}
$$

where  $R \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < H(t) >$ . Assuming that the residual energy  $E_{res}$  (over the ground state energy) of the quenched state to be proportional to  $\int \Delta P \rho(\Delta) d\Delta$ , and averaging over the Gaussian distribution  $\rho(\triangle) \sim \exp(-\triangle^2)[16]$  $\rho(\triangle) \sim \exp(-\triangle^2)[16]$  $\rho(\triangle) \sim \exp(-\triangle^2)[16]$ of the local gap parameter  $\triangle$  or of the local fields  $(h_{loc}; \triangle = \sqrt{h_{loc}^2 + \Gamma^2})$ [\[5](#page-2-4)], one gets the decay rate of average residual energy as  $E_{res} \sim \tau^{-\frac{4}{3}}$  (for large  $\tau$  values) in a long-range Gaussian spin glass model (having  $\nu = 1/4$  [\[17](#page-2-16)]). This, in fact, compares quite well with the numerical estimate of  $E_{res}$  for fairly larger Gaussian spin glass systems studied in [\[18](#page-2-17)], where  $E_{res}$  decays much slower than  $\tau^{-2}$  (observed for smaller systems).

In brief, we propose here a generalized scaling form [\(1\)](#page-0-0) for the single particle tunneling probability across a barrier, where we subsequently replace the single particle correlation by the many body one appropriate for a quantum many body phase transition, obtaining thereby the generalized Landau-Zener breakdown probability. This scaling form has then been utilized for a Zener type breakdown in Anderson insulators in three dimension. Finally, we apply it to estimate the quenching rate dependence of the residual energy of a long range quantum Ising spin glass when its transverse field is swept through the critical point. Comparision with numerical results seems encouraging.

We are thankful to Arnab Das, Jon Eriksen, Pradeep K. Mohanty and Purusattam Ray for important discussions.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>[1] C. Zener, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 137, 696 (1932).

- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[2] C. Zener, Proc. R. Soc. London 145, 523 (1934).
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[3] L. D. Landau, Phys. Z. Sowjetunion 2, 46 (1932).
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>[4] A. Asamitsu, Y. Tomioka, H. Kuwahara, and Y. Tokura, Nature 388, 50 (1997).
- <span id="page-2-4"></span>[5] A. Das and B. K. Chakrabarti, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1061 (2008).
- <span id="page-2-5"></span>[6] T. Oka and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 137601 (2005).
- <span id="page-2-6"></span>[7] T. Oka, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 66406 (2003).
- <span id="page-2-7"></span>[8] P. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- <span id="page-2-8"></span>[9] B. K. Chakrabarti and L. G. Benguigui, Statistical Physics of Fracture and Breakdown in Disordered Systems (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1997).
- <span id="page-2-9"></span>[10] S. Pradhan, A. Hansen, and B. K. Chakrabarti, Rev.

Mod. Phys. (2009) (in press; accepted for publication); [arXiv:0808.1375.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1375)

- <span id="page-2-10"></span>[11] E. Merzbacher, Quantum Mechanics (John Wiley, New York, 1998), 3rd ed., p. 96.
- <span id="page-2-11"></span>[12] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- <span id="page-2-12"></span>[13] M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 1039 (1998).
- <span id="page-2-13"></span>[14] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 261 (1994).
- <span id="page-2-14"></span>[15] S. Kirkpatrick, C. Gallet, and M. P. Vecchi, Science 220, 671 (1983).
- <span id="page-2-15"></span>[16] K. Binder and A. P. Young, Rev. Mod. Phys. 58, 801 (1986).
- <span id="page-2-16"></span>[17] N. Read, S. Sachdev, and J. Ye, Phys. Rev. B 52, 384 (1995).
- <span id="page-2-17"></span>[18] Y. Matsuda, H. Nishimori, and H. G. Katzgraber, New J. Phys. 11, 073021 (2009).