

Mössbauer spectroscopy study of the “mysterious” magnetic transition in $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$

J. C. Waerenborgh,¹ S. Rabaça,¹ M. Almeida,¹ A. Kobayashi,² B. Zhou,² and J.S. Brooks³

¹*Instituto Tecnológico e Nuclear / CFMCUL,*

Estrada Nacional 10, P-2686-953 Sacavém, Portugal

²*Department of Chemistry, College of Humanities and Sciences,*

Nihon University, Sakurajosui 3-25-40,

Setagaya-Ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan

³*Department of Physics/Chemistry and National High Magnetic Field Laboratory,*

Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32310, USA

(Dated: December 5, 2018)

Abstract

The compound $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ provides an effective demonstration of the interaction of π conduction electron and d-electron localized moment systems in molecular crystalline materials where antiferromagnetic insulating and magnetic field induced superconducting states can be realized. The metal-insulator transition has been thought to be cooperative, involving both the itinerant π - electron and localized d-electron spins where antiferromagnetic order appears in both systems simultaneously. However, recent specific heat data has indicated otherwise [Akiba *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **78**,033601(2009)]: although the π -electron system orders antiferromagnetically and produces a metal-insulator transition, a “mysterious” paramagnetic d-electron state remains. We report ^{57}Fe Mössbauer measurements that support the paramagnetic model, provided the d-electron spins remain in a fast relaxation state below the transition. From the measured hyperfine fields, we also determine the temperature dependence of the $\pi - d$ electron exchange field.

PACS numbers: 74.70.-b, 74.25.Bt, 74.70.Ad

$\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ (BETS = bisethylenedithio-tetraselenafulvalene) is one of the most thoroughly studied molecular conductors in the last few years due to its unique properties derived from the interaction between conducting π -electrons in the BETS donor layers and localized d-electrons in $FeCl_4$ anions with $S=5/2$ spins.¹ The crystal structure of $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ as shown in Fig. 1 consists in stacks of BETS donors along a and tightly packed in layers with a 2D network of S...S contacts parallel to (a, c) , alternating along b with layers of $FeCl_4$ anions. At high temperatures this compound is a quasi 2D metal due to delocalization of π -electrons in the layered network of partially oxidized donors, and its magnetic susceptibility is dominated by the paramagnetic $S=5/2$ $FeCl_4$ spins. At 8.3 K this compound undergoes a transition towards an antiferromagnetic (AF) insulating ground state (see inset of Fig. 3 below). Since the isomorphous compound with diamagnetic $GaCl_4$ anions remains metallic, becoming superconductor at 6 K, the metal-insulator transition in $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ has been thought to be driven by the ordering of the Fe spins.

However until now no direct microscopic measurements directly probing the role of the anions have been published and recent specific heat measurements by Akiba et al. have cast some doubts on the role of the $S=5/2$ $FeCl_4$ spins in the transition.³ These authors have suggested that during the transition, while the π spins order antiferromagnetically, the Fe spins remain paramagnetic below 8.3K. According to their model an effective field $H_{\pi-d} \approx 4$ T caused by the ordering of the π spin system is switched on at the Fe sites at approximately 8.3 K but the Fe^{3+} cations remain paramagnetic with the 3d energy levels described by a Zeeman splitting. The latter gives rise to a Schottky 6-level term in the specific heat.

In this Communication we describe the results of a ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy study to examine the role of the Fe $S=5/2$ spins in the transition. The single crystals of $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ used in this work were grown using standard electrochemical methods from 99% ^{57}Fe enriched $TEAF_{e}Cl_4$. Mössbauer spectra were collected with the absorber within a liquid-He bath cryostat, in transmission mode using a conventional constant-acceleration spectrometer and a 25 mCi ^{57}Co source in a Rh matrix. The absorber was prepared by randomly placing between two perspex plates approximately 4 mg of $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ single crystals 99% enriched in ^{57}Fe . Electrical transport measurements, which verified the metal-insulator transition in the ^{57}Fe enriched samples at $T_N = 8.3$ K (inset of Fig. 3), were carried out using a standard 4-terminal resistance configuration on crystals that had been used in the Mössbauer measurements.




FIG. 1: Crystal structure of $\lambda-(BETS)_2FeCl_4$ projected in the b-c plane (after Ref.²). The charge transfer of one electron between each two BETS donors and one $FeCl_4$ anion leaves a delocalized spin $1/2 \pi$ electron on the donor stacks, and a localized d-electron at the anion site. The schematic shows the two possible spin configurations below T_N with fully antiferromagnetic π and d order (solid arrows) or with a paramagnetic d state (dashed arrows).

Mössbauer spectra obtained at different temperatures in the range 9 to 1.5 K are shown in Fig. 2, and the fitting parameters are listed in Table 1. The spectra were fitted to Lorentzian lines using a non-linear least-squares method.⁴ The relative areas and line widths of both peaks in a quadrupole doublet and the peak pairs 1-6, 2-5 and 3-4 in a magnetic sextet were constrained to remain equal during the refinement procedure. Isomer shifts (IS) are given relative to $\alpha - Fe$ at room temperature. Above 8.3 K the spectra present a single line typical of paramagnetic Fe^{3+} in a site with a low quadrupole distortion (high symmetry environment). Below 8.3 K sextet splittings are observed. The spectrum obtained at 8 K still shows a small fraction (ca. 13%) of “paramagnetic” atoms, which can be ascribed to a hysteresis or the slow dynamics of the transition. Below this temperature, down to 3.2 K, two magnetic splittings are clearly seen. They have identical isomer shifts, but slightly different hyperfine fields, B_{hf} . This clearly indicates that there are two different magnetic environments for the Fe sites. The uncertainty in the relative areas of the sextets is relatively large, but in a first approximation they present a temperature independent 1:2 ratio. Below 3 K, these two sextets appear to merge and only one sextet is observed in the range 2.8-1.5 K, suggesting a transition occurring at $3.0 \pm 0.1 K$. The hyperfine fields B_{hf} , shown in Fig. 3, present significant temperature dependence until circa 3 K. Below this temperature they seem to saturate approaching 44 T at 1.5 K, very close to the value of $B_{hf} = 45$ T observed for $FeCl_3$ at 4.2 K.⁵

The observed change of the shape of the Mössbauer spectra in a narrow temperature




FIG. 2: ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra of $\lambda - (\text{BETS})_2\text{FeCl}_4$ for different temperatures. The individual solid lines are components of the spectrum coming from the two sextets and quadrupole components. The solid line through the data (dots) is the sum of the individual contributions.

range around 8.3 K is indicative of a magnetic ordering process. Clearly, above the M-I transition the Fe^{3+} spins are oscillating with a relaxation frequency $\omega_R > 10^{12} \text{ Hz}$, typical of paramagnetic Fe^{3+} . In this situation the magnetic field observed at the Fe nuclei is averaged to zero.^{5,6} In a first approximation the presence of sextets with sharp peaks as




FIG. 3: Temperature dependence of the Fe hyperfine fields, B_{hf} in $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$. The lines represent the fast relaxation model for both fixed and temperature dependent $H_{\pi-d}$ exchange fields (see text). Inset: temperature dependent resistance of a single crystal of ^{57}Fe enriched $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ showing the M-I transition at 8.3 K.

those observed below the M-I transition suggests that the Fe nuclei are feeling a static magnetic hyperfine field within the observation time scale of the Mössbauer effect. This would imply that the relaxation frequency of the Fe^{3+} spins is now $\omega_R < 10^8$ Hz.

In the proposed picture of Akiba et al.³ based on specific heat data of $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$, the Fe $S=5/2$ spins remain paramagnetic below the transition at 8.3 K, although subject to an internal effective field $H_{\pi-d} \sim 4$ T switched on at the Fe sites by the ordering of the π spin system. In the absence of $H_{\pi-d}$, if the Fe atoms remain in the paramagnetic state in a fast relaxation regime, the magnetic field in the nuclei averages to zero and only a two-line pattern should appear corresponding to the electric quadrupole interaction (in this case a single absorption peak is observed due to the very low quadrupole splitting), evident at 9 K. If there was a drastic slowing down of the electronic relaxation, but in the absence of magnetic ordering, two different sextets should be observed, corresponding to the different M_S states $5/2$, and $3/2$, as it has been observed in diluted ^{57}Fe doped compounds, such as in Fe-doped Al_2O_3 ,⁷ $LiAl_5O_8$ ⁸ or in proteins such as the transferrins.⁹ The $M_S=1/2$ state should result in a complicated 11-line pattern since it induces non-diagonal terms in the Hamiltonian of the hyperfine interactions.¹⁰ Although its presence was reported in the transferrin case⁹ it usually is not observed due to enhanced relaxation of the $M_S = \pm 1/2$ electronic doublet.⁷

Unlike dilute systems, the concentration of Fe^{3+} in $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ is not so low as in the above examples and therefore due to spin-spin interactions a slow relaxation regime is not expected to occur. It could be argued that in $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ the internal effective field of the donors at the Fe sites, estimated as $H_{\pi-d} \sim 4$ T, could freeze the spin flipping and bring the system to a slow relaxation regime. However there is no evidence for the $M_S = 3/2$ state. The sextet with the smaller magnetic splitting observed between 8 and 3K cannot correspond to the $M_S = 3/2$ state because its B_{hf} value is $\geq 90\%$ of the B_{hf} of the larger magnetic splitting (below 4.1 K where thermal excitations are less important). The saturated B_{hf} values associated with $M_S = 3/2$ and $5/2$ electronic states should be proportional to M_S .⁹ Furthermore in such case the relative intensities of both magnetic splittings should follow the evolution of their statistical thermal population,¹⁰ while they remain approximately constant.

The origin of the transition observed at approximately 3 K, as the merging of the two sextets with only one hyperfine field is not entirely clear, but may be associated with a change of the magnetic wave vector in the AF state. Although not as dramatic as in the Mössbauer data, changes below T_N have been seen in other independent studies in the 3 K region. Matsui and co-workers¹¹ have investigated the microwave cavity response with $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$, and below T_N have found highly dispersive modes attributed to charge degrees of freedom. However, for $H_{ac} \parallel H \parallel a^*$, a peak in the cavity dissipation ($\Delta\Gamma/2f_0$) appears at 3 K ($H = 0$) which, due to the unfavorable direction of the eddy currents for $H_{ac} \parallel a^*$, the authors attribute to a dynamic response due to spin degrees of freedom. This peak has a complicated dependence on H near the 1.2 T spin-flop transition. Likewise, Rutel et al.¹² have observed anomalies in the microwave cavity response below 4 K for $H \parallel c$.¹²

Table I. Computed parameters from the Mössbauer spectra of $\lambda - (BETS)_2FeCl_4$ taken at different temperatures.

T	IS	QS, ϵ	B_{hf}	Γ	I
(K)	(mm/s)	(mm/s)	(T)	(mm/s)	
200	0.27	0.21	-	0.49	100%
9.0	0.34	0.20	-	0.54	100%
8.0	0.34	0.20	-	0.57	13±2%
	0.33	0.10	17.3	0.33	26±4%
	0.33	0.07	22.9	0.40	61±4%
7.2	0.34	0.11	20.1	0.41	32±3%
	0.34	0.08	26.0	0.39	68±3%
6.1	0.34	0.10	23.9	0.47	32±2%
	0.34	0.10	29.6	0.52	68±2%
4.1	0.34	0.08	33.5	0.43	36±1%
	0.34	0.09	37.6	0.42	64±1%
3.7	0.34	0.07	35.3	0.29	43±3%
	0.34	0.08	38.8	0.33	57±3%
3.25	0.33	0.08	37.6	0.32	38±1%
	0.33	0.08	40.6	0.36	62±1%
2.8	0.33	0.09	41.2	0.45	100%
2.4	0.33	0.07	42.5	0.38	100%
1.8	0.34	0.09	43.7	0.61	100%
1.5	0.34	0.09	44.0	0.44	100%

IS, *isomer shift relative to metallic Fe at 298 K.*

QS, *quadrupole splitting.*

$\epsilon = (e^2V_{ZZ}Q/4)(3\cos^2\theta - 1)$, *quadrupole shift.*

B_{hf} , *magnetic hyperfine field.*

Γ , *half-width of the doublet peaks.*

I, *relative area.*

Estimated errors: ≤ 0.002 mm/s for IS, QS, ϵ , Γ ; ≤ 0.2 T for B_{hf}

It is however difficult for a Mössbauer probe to discriminate between the onset of magnetic

order, spin-glass, behavior, or a particular case of a paramagnetic “fast relaxation” behavior where the Fe spins are Zeeman split by an applied field and the population of M_S states are different. The present results may therefore be consistent with the Fe atoms remaining paramagnetic in the low temperature state below 8.3 K. Assuming a fast relaxation model below the transition, we may compute the hyperfine field based on the Fe cations, inducing a Zeeman splitting and a Boltzmann distribution of the 6 M_s states:

$$B_{hf}(T) = \frac{\sum_{M_s} [B(M_s) \exp(X_{M_s})]}{\sum_{M_s} \exp(X_{M_s})} \quad (1)$$

Here $X_{M_s} = -g\mu_B M_s H_{\pi-d} / k_B T$. We take $B(M_s) \sim \pm 45$ T for $M_s = \mp 5/2$, ± 27 T for $M_s = \mp 3/2$, and ± 9 T for $M_s = \mp 1/2$ (in proportion to M_s). In Fig. 3 the computed temperature dependence of B_{hf} is shown for both a constant $H_{\pi-d} = 4$ T, and for a temperature dependent $H_{\pi-d}$, increasing from 2.45 T at 8 K to 4.2 T at 1.5 K. We find that the best fit to the data implies that $H_{\pi-d}$ is temperature dependent. Although this modifies the temperature dependence of the Schottky specific heat described by Akiba et al.³, the differences are not significant.

The temperature dependence of the exchange field may be described by a spin-wave behavior^{13,14} where, for anti-ferromagnetic dispersion in the spin 1/2 π -electron system $\omega = J/\hbar |ka|$, $H_{\pi-d}(T) = H_{\pi-d}(0)(1 - AT^3)$. We find that for $A \sim 7 \times 10^{-4} K^{-3}$, Eq. 1 provides a reasonable description of the temperature dependence of B_{hf} , shown as the solid line in Fig. 3. From A we estimate the spin-wave exchange energy to be $J \sim 5.6K$, comparable to T_N .

The suggestion and evidence that the magnetic order appears in the π -electron system, but not in the d-electron system, seems unusual¹⁵. However, estimates do show that the mean-field exchange interaction of the π -electron system is the largest: $J_{\pi-\pi}$, $J_{\pi-d}$, and J_{d-d} are 448, 14.6, and 0.64 K respectively¹⁶. Nevertheless, the d-electron spins must play a central role in the formation of the magnetic ground state. A temporal probe of the spin dynamics of the d-electron system below the M-I transition, as well as magnetic field dependent specific heat and Mössbauer experiments, would be useful to further explore the nature of the magnetic order associated with this very unusual antiferromagnetic metal-insulator transition.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by FCT(Portugal) through project PTDC/QUI/64967/2006 , and in part by NSF DMR-0602859 (JSB). The National High Magnetic Field Laboratory is supported by NSF DMR-0654118, by the State of Florida, and the DOE. The collaboration between Portuguese and American team members was supported by FLAD. The authors are indebted to Pedro Schlottmann and Kun Yang for valuable discussions.

-
- ¹ H. Kobayashi, H. Cui and A. Kobayashi, Chem. Rev. **104**, 5265 (2004).
- ² H. Kobayashi, H. Tomita, T. Naito, A. Kobayashi, F. Sakai, T. Watanabe, P. Cassoux, J. Am. Chem. Soc. , **118**, 368(1996).
- ³ H. Akiba, S. Nakano, Y. Nishio, K. Kajita, B. Zhou, A. Kobayashi and H. Kobayashi, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **78**, 033601 (2009).
- ⁴ J. V. Rodrigues, I. C. Santos, V. Gama, R. T. Henriques, J. C. Waerenborgh, M. T. Duarte and M. Almeida, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. **18**, 2655 (1994).
- ⁵ N. N. Greenwood and T. C. Gibb, Mössbauer Spectroscopy (Chapman and Hall, Ltd. , London, 1971).
- ⁶ H. H. Wickman and G.K.Wertheim, in Fundamentals of Gamma-Resonance spectroscopy, edited by V. I. Goldanskii and R. H. Herber (Academic Press, New York, 1968), p. 554.
- ⁷ H. H. Wickman and G. K. Wertheim, Phys. Rev. **148**, 211 (1966).
- ⁸ P. J. Viccaro, F. d. S. Barros and W. T. Oosterhuis, Phys. Rev. B **5**, 4257 (1972).
- ⁹ S. A. Kretchmar, M. Teixeira, B. H. Huynh and K. N. Raymond, Biol. Metals **1**, 26 (1988).
- ¹⁰ H. D. Pfannes, R. Magalhes-Paniago and A. Putzka, Hyperf. Interac. **70**, 1133 (1992).
- ¹¹ H. Matsui, H. Tsuchiya, T. Suzuki, E. Negishi and N. Toyota, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 155105 (2003).
- ¹² I. B. Rutel, S. Okubo, J. S. Brooks, E. Jobilong, H. Kobayashi, A. Kobayashi and H. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 144435 (2003).
- ¹³ C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, 3rd Ed. (Wiley, New York, 1967).
- ¹⁴ Here we assume that H_{pi-d} at the Fe site follows the fractional change of staggard magnetization in the π -electron system. Following Ref.¹³ for linear antiferromagnetic dispersion, the T^3 prefactor is $A = 2.40411(2\pi^2/SQ)(k_B/2JS)^3$ where S, J, and Q are the spin, exchange energy,

and Fe sites per unit cell respectively, and the pre-factor is the value of the definite integral.

¹⁵ S. Uji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 033601 (2009).

¹⁶ H. Mori and M. Katsuhara, J. Phys.Soc. Japan **71**, 826 (2002).