

# Short-range magnetic correlations in $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$

W. Tian,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Kreyssig,<sup>1,2</sup> J. L. Zarestky,<sup>1,2</sup> L. Tan,<sup>1,2</sup> S. Nandi,<sup>1,2</sup> A. I. Goldman,<sup>1,2</sup> T. A. Lograsso,<sup>2</sup> D. L. Schlage,<sup>2</sup> K. A. Gschneidner,<sup>2,3</sup> V. K. Pecharsky,<sup>2,3</sup> and R. J. McQueeney<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Ames Laboratory, US DOE, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

We present a single crystal neutron diffraction study of the magnetic short-range correlations in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  which orders antiferromagnetically below the Neel temperature  $T_N \approx 92$  K. Strong diffuse scattering arising from magnetic short-range correlations was observed in wide temperature ranges both below and above  $T_N$ . The antiferromagnetic ordering in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  can be described as strongly coupled ferromagnetic block layers in the  $ac$ -plane that stack along the  $b$ -axis with weak antiferromagnetic inter-layer coupling. Diffuse scattering was observed along both  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  directions indicating three-dimensional short-range correlations. Moreover, the  $q$ -dependence of the diffuse scattering is Squared-Lorentzian in form suggesting a strongly clustered magnetic state that may be related to the proposed Griffiths-like phase in  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$ .

PACS numbers: valid numbers to be inserted here

## I. INTRODUCTION

$\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  and  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  belong to the rare earth  $\text{R}_5(\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x})_4$  series compounds. These materials exhibit large magnetocaloric (MC) effects<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> and are currently attracting much attention for their potential application in magnetic refrigeration<sup>2,5,6,7</sup>. Both  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  and  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  are rich in magnetic properties<sup>8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16</sup> and are believed to play a key role in understanding the underlying physics of the  $\text{R}_5(\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x})_4$  systems. They both crystallize in the  $\text{Sm}_5\text{Ge}_4$ -type crystallographic structure and adopt the same magnetic space group,  $Pnm'a$ <sup>15,17,18</sup>.  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  and  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  undergo long range antiferromagnetic (AFM) transitions at  $\sim 92$  K and  $\sim 127$  K, respectively. The magnetic structure of  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  and  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  consist of Tb/Gd-rich block layers in the  $ac$ -plane that stack along the  $b$ -axis with strong ferromagnetic intralayer and weak AFM interlayer interactions.  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  has a collinear AFM structure with the magnetic moments lying within the block layers along the  $c$ -axis.  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  orders in a similar fashion, although the single-ion anisotropy results in significant canting of the moments at low temperature.

Figure 1 shows the magnetic susceptibility of  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  along all three crystallographic axes measured with a Quantum Design SQUID Magnetic Properties Measurement System. Two phase transitions were observed: the AFM transition at  $T_N \sim 92$  K and a second phase transition at  $\sim 55$  K. The  $\sim 55$  K transition was attributed to a spin reorientation transition similar to that proposed for  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  at  $\sim 75$  K<sup>19</sup>. It has been suggested that the spin reorientation transition in both  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  and  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  may arise from the delicate competition between the magnetic anisotropy from the spin-orbit coupling of the conduction electrons and the dipolar interaction anisotropy<sup>19</sup>. In addition to the AFM and spin reorientation transitions, it is of particular interest that significant magnetic short-range correlations (SRC) was suggested in  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  at temperatures both below and




FIG. 1: (Color online) Temperature dependencies of the magnetic susceptibility measured with applied magnetic field ( $H = 1000$  Oe, zero field cooled) parallel to all three crystallographic axes.

above  $T_N$  based upon the low magnetic field dc magnetization and ac magnetic susceptibility measurements<sup>12</sup>. It has been interpreted as evidence of a Griffiths-like phase similar to the one observed in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Si}_2\text{Ge}_2$ , investigated by small-angle neutron scattering<sup>16</sup>. A Griffiths phase (GP)<sup>20</sup> is a nanoscale magnetic clustering phenomenon that is usually associated with competing magnetic interactions in the system<sup>21,22,23</sup>. It is possible for a Griffiths-like phase to exist in  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  due to the competition between FM and AFM interactions in  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$ , FM interactions within the layers, and either AFM or FM interactions between the layers (small structural changes




FIG. 2: (Color online) Longitudinal scans (in Log10 scale) along the  $(0k0)$  direction measured at several temperatures (a) below  $T_N$ , (b) above  $T_N$ , indicate strong AFM SRC with broad magnetic scattering observed around  $k = \text{odd}$ . The remaining scattering intensity of  $(010)$  and  $(030)$  at 200 K is likely due to multiple scattering.

or applying relatively low magnetic field comparing to  $T_N$  can switch the interlayer interactions between AFM & FM interactions therefore switch the low temperature phase between AFM order or FM order). However, studies of  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  have been hampered by the large neutron absorption cross-section of gadolinium.  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  exhibits similar magnetic properties as to  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  hence it is an ideal candidate for neutron scattering studies of magnetic SRC displayed in these compounds. We report here neutron diffraction studies of the AFM phase transition and the magnetic SRC in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$ .

## II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A large  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  single crystal ( $\sim 5$  grams) was used for the neutron diffraction experiment. The single crystal was grown at the Materials Preparation Center<sup>24</sup> using the Bridgman technique as described in Ref. 25. The mosaic of the crystal is  $0.41(3)^\circ$  along the  $a$ -axis and  $0.63(2)^\circ$  along the  $b$ -axis as determined by the full width at half maximum of the  $(400)$  and  $(060)$  Bragg peak rocking curves. The crystal was mounted on a thin aluminum post, oriented in the  $(hk0)$  scattering plane, and sealed in a helium filled aluminum sample can. A closed-cycle Helium refrigerator (Displex) was used which allows accurate temperature control between 10 K and 300 K. The experiments were performed using the HB1A triple-axis spectrometer located at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). The HB1A spectrometer operates with a fixed incident energy,  $E_i = 14.6$  meV using a double pyrolytic graphite (PG) monochromator system. Two highly oriented PG filters were mounted before and after

the second monochromator to significantly reduce higher order contaminations of the incident beam (i.e.,  $I_{\lambda/2} \cong 10^{-4}I_\lambda$ ). A collimation of *open-40'-sample-40'-68'* was used throughout the experiment. All data have been normalized to the beam monitor count.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 2 compares longitudinal scans measured along the  $(0k0)$  direction at selected temperatures both below (Fig. 2 (a)) and above (Fig. 2 (b))  $T_N$ . Strong AFM magnetic reflections with  $k = \text{odd}$  integer were observed below  $T_N$  consistent with the magnetic structure of  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$ . At low temperatures, the  $(010)$  and  $(030)$  magnetic reflections are superimposed on weak and very broad Lorentzian-shaped diffuse scattering peaks arising from magnetic fluctuations. Below  $T_N$ , the diffuse scattering increases with increasing temperatures as illustrated in Fig. 2 (a). The diffuse scattering is strongest at  $T_N$  and then weakens as the temperature increases above  $T_N$  as shown in Fig. 2 (b) as expected for typical critical behavior. The data indicate strong diffuse scattering around the strong magnetic reflections over a wide temperature range.

We characterized the  $T_N \sim 92$  K AFM transition with an order parameter measurement. Fig. 3 depicts the order parameter of  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  as measured by monitoring the strong magnetic reflection  $(030)$  as a function of temperature. The integrated intensity was obtained by fitting the  $(030)$  rocking curve measured at each temperature to a Lorentzian function with a constant background. A fit of the order parameter to a power-law  $I(T) = I_0 / (T_N - T)^{2\beta}$  yields  $T_N \approx 91.38 \pm 0.05$  K and  $\beta \approx 0.20$




FIG. 3: (Color online)  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  order parameter, integrated intensity of the (030) magnetic peak as a function of temperature. The red line is the fitting of the order parameter data to the power law as described in the text which yields  $T_N \approx 91.38 \pm 0.05$  K and  $\beta \approx 0.20 \pm 0.01$ , and the dashed and dotted lines are calculations using the same fitting parameters but simply replacing the  $\beta$  value to be the values of a 2D Ising ( $\beta=0.125$ ) and a 3D Ising ( $\beta=0.326$ ) system.

$\pm 0.01$ , where  $\beta$  is the critical exponent. The fitting result is plotted in Fig. 3, solid red line, in comparison to calculations using the same fitting parameters but replacing  $\beta$  with the values of a two-dimensional (2D) Ising ( $\beta=0.125$ ) and a three-dimensional (3D) Ising ( $\beta=0.326$ ) system<sup>26</sup>. The obtained critical temperature  $T_N$  is in good agreement with the magnetic susceptibility result. The yielded  $\beta$  value  $\sim 0.20$  is between the theoretical values of a 2D and a 3D Ising system, which suggests that the dimensionality of  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  is intermediate between 2D and 3D consistent with its layered structure.

The longitudinal scans shown in Fig. 2 indicate strong diffuse scattering along the  $b$ -axis. In order to see how the diffuse scattering is distributed in the  $(hk0)$  plane, a series of grid scans around (030) were performed at two temperatures, 8 K and 88 K. The 8 K data were subtracted from the 88 K data to eliminate contributions from the (030) magnetic Bragg reflection. Fig. 4 is the contour plot of the subtracted diffuse scattering intensity vs.  $h$  and  $k$ . It shows that the diffuse scattering extends along both  $h$  and  $k$  directions. The diffuse scattering intensity is strong around  $(\sim 0.1 \sim 3.1 \ 0)$  and its equivalent positions. No strong anisotropy is observed suggesting the magnetic correlations associated to the diffuse scattering is not restricted to the FM block layer ( $ac$ -plane) but is rather more three-dimensional exhibiting AFM critical scattering behavior.

The diffuse scattering was studied in detail as a function of temperature. Wide transverse scans along the  $h$  direction were performed at  $(0 \ 1.12 \ 0)$  to reduce contributions from the nearby (010) strong magnetic reflection. At 10 K, the FWHM (full width at half maximum) peak width of the (010) is about 0.09 [r.l.u.] (reciprocal




FIG. 4: (Color online) Diffuse scattering intensity (88 K - 8 K) vs.  $k$  and  $h$  around (030) constructed from a series of grid scans measured at 8 K and 88 K. The 8 K data is subtracted from the 88 K data to eliminate contributions from (030) magnetic Bragg reflection.

lattice unit). Therefore we may attribute the scattering intensity measured at  $(0 \ 1.12 \ 0)$  to diffuse scattering from magnetic correlations. Fig. 5 (a) shows typical scans at different temperatures. The scattering intensity of the strong diffuse scattering peak first increases with increasing temperature up to  $T_N$  and then decreases with further increasing temperature above  $T_N$ . In general, the  $q$ -dependence (here  $q = h$  or  $k$ ) of diffuse scattering can be well described by the following equation<sup>27,28</sup>, a sum of a Lorentzian function and a Squared-Lorentzian function plus constant background (BG),

$$\mathcal{I}(q) = \frac{A}{\kappa_L^2 + q^2} + \frac{B}{(\kappa_{LS}^2 + q^2)^2} + BG. \quad (1)$$

The first Lorentzian term is the conventional critical scattering component representing an Ornstein-Zernike form, i.e.  $\exp^{-\kappa r}/r$ , for the magnetic correlations<sup>26</sup>. The second Squared-Lorentzian term<sup>29</sup> is generally considered to arise from static or frozen spin clusters within which the spin correlations decrease more gradually as  $\exp^{-\kappa r}$ . Surprisingly, by fitting the data to a Lorentzian + BG function only, a Squared-Lorentzian + BG function only, and the sum of Lorentzian plus Squared-Lorentzian function as described in Eq. 1, respectively, we found that the diffuse scattering data can be best described by the Squared-Lorentzian function only plus constant background. Adding an extra Lorentzian term does not improve the quality of the least-squares fit to data. The comparisons between the fits to a Lorentzian only and a Squared-Lorentzian only are shown in Fig. 5. Note that the diffuse scattering peak is very broad, thus the resolution effect can be neglected and no resolution corrections




FIG. 5: (Color online) Diffuse scattering along the  $h$ -direction measured at  $(0\ 1.12\ 0)$ . (a) Representative scans measured at different temperatures. The solid curves are least-squares fits to a Squared-Lorentzian function of  $h$  as described in the text; (b) Comparison of the fits of the 95 K data to a Lorentzian + BG (blue dashed line) function only and a Squared-Lorentzian + BG (red solid line) function only; (c)  $\chi^2$  vs.  $T$ , where the normalized  $\chi^2$  is obtained from least-squares fits to a Lorentzian + BG only and a Squared-Lorentzian + BG function only; (d) Integrated intensity vs. temperature; (e) Peak width vs. temperature; The dashed black lines in (d) and (e) are fits of the  $T > T_N$  data to a power law as described in the text.

are applied in the data analysis. Fig. 5 (b) compares the fits of the 95 K data to Lorentzian + BG (blue dashed line) only and Squared-Lorentzian + BG (red solid line) only. This indicates that the 95 K data can not be described by a Lorentzian + BG function. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 5 (a), the solid curves are fits to a Squared-Lorentzian + BG only that adequately describe the data for the measured  $q$ -range at all temperatures. The comparison of the obtained normalized  $\chi^2$  from these two fittings is shown in Fig. 5 (c). It clearly shows that the data are better captured by a Squared-Lorentzian form, the Lorentzian line shape does not give a good description of the data, particularly at temperatures near  $T_N$  as illustrated in Fig. 5 (b).

The integrated intensity and the FWHM obtained from least-squares fits to the data with a Squared-Lorentzian function are plotted in Fig. 5 (d) and (e). Two features are observed in the integrated intensity data (Fig. 5 (d)). The small kink at  $\sim 55$  K is associated with the spin reorientation transition, and the peak at  $\sim 92$  K is associated with the AFM transition. Both temperatures agree well with the magnetic susceptibility data.

Despite the small kink at  $\sim 55$  K, the  $\sim 92$  K peak is nearly symmetric indicating strong critical fluctuations at  $T_N$  that die off as one moves away from  $T_N$  in either direction. Above  $T_N$ , the integrated intensity data can be fit to a power law  $I(T) = I_0 [(T - T_N)/T_N]^{-2\beta'}$  yielding  $T_N \approx 89.94 \pm 1$  K and  $\beta' \approx 0.22 \pm 0.02$  (dashed line in Fig. 5 (d)). The obtained  $\beta'$  value agrees to the  $\beta$  value obtained from the fit to the order parameter data. As illustrated in Fig. 5 (e), the correlation length of the SRC remains relatively constant below  $T_N$  as indicated by a nearly constant peak width. Above  $T_N$ , the peak width increases as expected as the correlation length decreases with increasing temperature. A fit to the  $T > T_N$  peak width data to a power law  $\xi(T) = \xi_0 [(T - T_N)/T_N]^v$  gives  $T_N \approx 91.7 \pm 1$  K and  $v \approx 0.77 \pm 0.06$  (dashed line in in Fig. 5 (e)), the  $v$  value is between the theoretical values of a 3D Ising ( $v = 0.6312$ ) and a 2D Ising ( $v = 1$ )<sup>26</sup> consistent with the order parameter measurement results. The Squared-Lorentzian peak widths obtained from fits to  $(010)$  longitudinal and transverse scans are also shown in Fig. 5 (e). The Lorentzian-squared lineshape provides the best fit along both the  $h$  and  $k$  directions, indicating

that the correlations in the spin clusters extend both in the block layers and between the blocks.

Our neutron diffraction study reveals strong diffuse scattering in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  that persists to temperatures well above  $T_N$ . A detailed study of the peak shape indicates it is not conventional critical scattering with a Lorentzian shape but shows a Squared-Lorentzian peak shape. As described in Ref. 29 and Ref. 30, the Squared-Lorentzian term arises if the pair correlation function falls off as  $\exp^{-kr}$ , which is characteristic of a spin-cluster state. Although the diffuse scattering is Squared-Lorentzian in form providing evidence of a clustered magnetic state in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$ , we believe that the diffuse scattering observed in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  is quite different from the proposed FM Griffiths-like phase in  $\text{Gd}_5\text{Ge}_4$  (inferred from dc/ac magnetization and magnetic susceptibility studies)<sup>12</sup> for the following reasons. (1) As depicted in Fig. 2, at temperatures both below and above  $T_N$ , the diffuse scattering is peaked at odd values of  $k$  (AFM wavevector) only, indicating that it is associated with AFM fluctuations. (2) The integrated intensity of the diffuse scattering also behaves like that typical of magnetic critical fluctuations, with a divergence of the correlation length at  $T_N$ . (3) The critical exponents obtained by fits of the diffuse scattering integrated intensity and peak width to a power law are consistent with the values obtained from AFM order parameter measurements. (4) Quasi-elastic measurements indicate the diffuse scattering is static in origin. Our neutron diffraction data indicate that the diffuse scattering observed in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  exhibits behaviors of AFM critical fluctuations despite the Squared-Lorentzian peak shape.

The fact that the peak shape of the diffuse scattering is not a Lorentzian, as expected for normal critical scattering, is interesting and should not be left without a discussion. Here we consider two possibilities that may affect the diffuse scattering peak shape. (1) The Squared-Lorentzian peak shape may be intrinsic, i.e. related to the Griffiths-like phase, formation of which has been discussed in Refs. 12 and 16; (2) The unusual peak shape may also arise from some extrinsic effects, for example impurities in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$ . It has been reported that  $\text{R}_5(\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x})_3$ -type impurity phases, seen as very thin plates that are scattered through the bulk of  $\text{R}_5(\text{Si}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x})_4$  samples, are present in all studied compounds of this series regardless of  $\text{R}^{31}$ . Our data show that  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_3$  impurity phase is also present in the studied  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  crystal. It is possible that the Tb magnetic sublattices of  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  are disrupted by the  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_3$  impurities resulting in spin-clusters in  $\text{Tb}_5\text{Ge}_4$  which give rise to the Squared-Lorentzian diffuse scattering peak shape.

### Acknowledgments

Ames Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. The HFIR is a national user facility funded by the United States Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science, under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC.

- 
- <sup>1</sup> V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4494 (1997).  
<sup>2</sup> V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner Jr., Appl. Phys. Lett. **70**, 3299 (1997).  
<sup>3</sup> V. K. Pecharsky and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **167**, L179 (1997).  
<sup>4</sup> V. K. Pecharsky and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., J. Alloys Compd. **260**, 98 (1997).  
<sup>5</sup> V. K. Pecharsky and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Advances in Cryogenic Engineering **43**, 1729 (1998).  
<sup>6</sup> G. J. Miller, Chem. Soc. Rev. **35**, 799 (2006).  
<sup>7</sup> L. Morellon, C. Magen, P. A. Algarabel, M. R. Ibarra, and C. Ritter, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 1318 (2001).  
<sup>8</sup> F. Casanova, A. Labarta, and X. Batlle, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 172402 (2005).  
<sup>9</sup> H. Tang, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and A. O. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 064410 (2004).  
<sup>10</sup> E. M. Levin, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., and V. K. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 214427 (2002).  
<sup>11</sup> Z. W. Ouyang, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., D. L. Schlagel, and T. A. Lograsso, Phys. Rev. B. **74**, 024401 (2006).  
<sup>12</sup> Z. W. Ouyang, V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner Jr., D. L. Schlagel, and T. A. Lograsso, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 094404 (2006).  
<sup>13</sup> E. M. Levin, K. A. Gschneidner Jr., T. A. Lograsso, D. L. Schlagel, and V. K. Pecharsky, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 144428 (2004).  
<sup>14</sup> C. Magen, Z. Arnold, L. Morellon, Y. Skorokhod, P. A. Algarabel, M. R. Ibarra, and J. Kamarad, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 207202 (2003).  
<sup>15</sup> C. Ritter, L. Morellon, P. A. Algarabel, C. Magen, and M. R. Ibarra, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 094405 (2002).  
<sup>16</sup> C. Magen, P. A. Algarabel, L. Morellon, J. P. Araújo, C. Ritter, M. R. Ibarra, A. M. Pereira, and J. B. Sousa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 167201 (2006).  
<sup>17</sup> Penelope Schobinger-Papamantellos, J. Phys. Chem. Solids. **39**, 197 (1978).  
<sup>18</sup> L. Tan, A. Kreyssig, J. W. Kim, A. I. Goldman, R. J. McQueeney, D. Wermeille, B. Sieve, T. A. Lograsso, D. L. Schlagel, S. L. Budko, V. K. Pecharsky, and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., Phys. Rev. B **71**, 214408 (2005).  
<sup>19</sup> L. Tan, Ph.D dissertation, Iowa State University (2008).  
<sup>20</sup> Robert B. Griffiths, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 17 (1969).  
<sup>21</sup> J. Deisenhofer, D. Braak, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, J. Hemberger, R. M. Eremina, V. A. Ivanshin, A. M. Balbashov, G. Jug, A. Loidl, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 257202 (2005).  
<sup>22</sup> M. B. Salamon, P. Lin, and S. H. Chun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 197203 (2002).  
<sup>23</sup> M. C. de Andrade, R. Chau, R. P. Dickey, N. R. Dilley, E. J. Freeman, D. A. Gajewski, M. B. Maple, R. Movshovich,

- A. H. Castro Neto, G. Castilla, and B. A. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5620 (1998).
- <sup>24</sup> Single crystals synthesized at the Materials Preparation Center, Ames Laboratory, US DOE Basic Energy Sciences, Ames, IA, USA: <www.mpc.ameslab.gov>
- <sup>25</sup> T. A. Lograsso, D. L. Schlagel, and A. O. Pecharsky, J. Alloy Comp. **393**, 141 (2005).
- <sup>26</sup> Malcolm F. Collins, *Magnetic Critical Scattering* (New York, Oxford 1989).
- <sup>27</sup> K. Moyota and K. Hioki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72**, 930 (2003).
- <sup>28</sup> P. Bentley, J. R. Stewart, and R. C. Cywinski, Appl. Phys. A **74**, S862 (2002).
- <sup>29</sup> S. W. Lovesey, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **17**, L213 (1984).
- <sup>30</sup> *Methods of Experimental Physics*, vol. **23**, *Neutron Scattering* part C, edited by Kurt Sköld and David L. Price (Academic Press, INC. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers), 1987.
- <sup>31</sup> O. Ugurlu, L. S. Chumbley, D. L. Schlagel, and T. A. Lograsso, Acta Mater. **54**, 1211 (2006).