

Andrzej Krzysztof Kwaśniewski

Member of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications
 High School of Mathematics and Applied Informatics
 Kamienna 17, PL-15-021 Białystok, Poland
 e-mail: kwandr@gmail.com

Abstract: In early beginnings of the past century Felix Hausdorff introduced the concept of a partially ordered set thus extending Richard Dedekind lattice theory which began in the early 1890s. Then the subject lay more or less dormant until Garrett Birkhoff, Oystein Ore and others picked it up in the 1930s. Since then, many noted mathematicians have contributed to the subject, including Garrett Birkhoff, Richard Dedekind, Israel Gelfand, George Grtzer, Aleksandr Kurosh, Anatoly Malcev, Oystein Ore, Gian-Carlo Rota, Alfred Tarski and Johnny von Neumann (see Garrett Birkhoff [1] for necessary relevant definitions - including operations on posets). Now poset and lattice theories are powerful theories of accelerated growth inseparably tied with graph theory.

Here - in addition to the three operations on posets from [1] - one introduces the natural join $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ of posets $\langle P, \leq_P \rangle$ and $\langle Q, \leq_Q \rangle$ which should satisfy the following **Natural Join Conformity Condition**: partial orders \leq_P and \leq_Q must be equivalent on corresponding nonempty $R \subseteq P$ and $R' \subseteq Q$ isomorphic sub-posets R and R' which we then shall consider identical by convention. In particular cases $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ may be expressed via ordinal sum \oplus accompanied with projection out operation as it is the case with sequences of antichains forming all together r -ary complete (\equiv universal) relations via cardinal sum of these trivial posets. For other, earlier definitions of natural join of graded posets in terms of natural join of bipartite natural join summands of graded poset P or via natural join of corresponding bi-adjacency matrices of bipartite natural join of these summands - see [2,3,4,5,6] or for example Definitions 12 or 2 in this paper. The present definition of natural join $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ of posets offers many conveniences. For example one arrives at a very simple proof of the Möbius function formula for cobweb posets.

The main aims of this article are to present at first the authors update applications of natural join of posets and/or their cover relation Hasse digraphs and then one summarizes more systematically the general properties of natural join of posets with posing some questions arising on the way.

Thus in this note - apart from revealing some general properties of natural join of posets we also quote the authors combinatorial interpretations of cobweb posets and explicit formulas for the zeta matrix [2-23] as well as the inverse of zeta matrix for **any** graded posets [2,3] using Knuth notation [24] while following [3,2].

These formulas use the supplemented by sub-matrix factors corresponding formulas for cobweb posets and their Hasse digraphs named KoDAGs, which are interpreted also as chains of binary **complete** (or universal) relations - joined by the natural join operation - see [2,3,4,5,6,12].

Such cobweb posets and equivalently their Hasse digraphs named KoDAGs - are also encoded by discrete hyper-boxes [12] and the natural join operation of such discrete hyper-boxes is just Cartesian product of them accompanied with projection out of - sine qua non - common faces [2]. All graded posets with no mute vertices in their Hasse

diagrams [2] (i.e. no "internal" vertex has in-degree or out-degree equal zero - see Fig.2) are natural join of chain of relations and may be at the same time interpreted as an n -ary, $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ relation. The Whitney numbers and characteristic polynomials explicit formulas for cobweb posets are supplied too, following their derivation in [2]. Further reading on cobweb posets, KoDags and related stuff - see [25-49]. Knuth notation [24] and the author's upside down notation are used throughout the paper.

Key Words: graded digraphs, cobweb posets, natural join, ordinal sum

AMS Classification Numbers: 06A06 ,05B20, 05C75

This is The Internet Gian-Carlo Rota Polish Seminar article, No **9**, **Subject 4**, 2009 August 10

http://ii.uwb.edu.pl/akk/sem/sem_rota.htm

1 Properties of natural join and application to cobwebs Π including Möbius function formula.

1.1. Ponderables. [6,5,4,3,2]

We shall here take for granted the notation and the results of [5,4,3,2]. In particular $\langle \Pi, \leq \rangle$ denotes cobweb partial order set (cobweb poset) while $I(\Pi, R)$ denotes its incidence algebra over the ring R . Correspondingly $\langle P, \leq \rangle$ denotes arbitrary graded poset while $I(P, R)$ denotes its incidence algebra over the ring R . $[n] \equiv \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, for example $[k_F] \equiv \{1, 2, \dots, k_F\}$. R might be taken to be Boolean algebra $2^{\{1\}}$, the field $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, the ring of integers Z or real or complex or p -adic fields. The present article is the next one in a series of papers listed in order of appearance and these are:[6], [5],[4],[3],[2]. The abbreviation **DAG** \equiv **Directed Acyclic Graph**.

Inspired by Gaussian integers sequence notation $\{n_q\}_{n \geq 0}$ - the authors upside down notation is used throughout this paper i.e. $F_n \equiv n_F$. **The Upside Down Notation** was used since last century effectively (see [2-22] and [29-48]).

Through all the paper F denotes a natural numbers valued sequence $\{n_F\}_{n \geq 0} \equiv \{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ sometimes specified to be Fibonacci or others - if needed. Among many consequences of this is that **graded posets** (\equiv their cover relation digraphs \iff Hasse diagrams) **are connected** and sets of their minimal elements are finite.

Definition 1 Let $F = \langle k_F \rangle_{k=0}^n$ be an arbitrary natural numbers valued sequence, where $n \in N \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that the graded poset $P = (\Phi, \leq)$, where $P = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \Phi_k$ is **denominated** (encoded=labeled) by F iff $|\Phi_k| = k_F$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. We shall also use the expression - F -graded poset.

Definition 2 Let $n \in N \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $r, s \in N \cup \{0\}$. Let Π_n be the graded partial ordered set (poset) i.e. $\Pi_n = (\Phi_n, \leq) = (\bigcup_{k=0}^n \Phi_k, \leq)$ and $\langle \Phi_k \rangle_{k=0}^n$ constitutes ordered partition of Π_n . A graded poset Π_n with finite set of minimal elements is called **cobweb poset** iff

$$\forall x, y \in \Phi \text{ i.e. } x \in \Phi_r \text{ and } y \in \Phi_s : r \neq s \Rightarrow x < y \text{ or } y < x,$$

$$\Pi_\infty \equiv \Pi.$$

Note. By definition of Π_n being graded its levels $\Phi_r \in \{\Phi_k\}_k^n$ are independent sets \equiv antichains, $n \in N \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. The Definition 2 is the reason for calling Hasse digraph $D = \langle \Phi, \leq \cdot \rangle$ of the poset $\Pi = (\Phi, \leq)$ a **KoDAG** as in Professor **K**azimierz **K**uratowski native language one word **Komplet** means **complete ensemble**- see more in [3,4] and for the history of this name see: The Internet Gian-Carlo Polish Seminar Subject 1. *oDAGs and KoDAGs in Company* (Dec. 2008). Examples - see Fig.1, Fig.3 and Fig.11; note substantially different Fig.2 and consult also [2-6] for other figures and references therein.




Figure 1: Display of the natural join of bipartite layers $\langle \Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_{k+1} \rangle F = N$.

Note. The graded digraph G (graph) is utterly denominated by its sequence of bipartite digraphs (graphs) that every two consecutive levels of G do constitute. The complete graded digraph (KoDAG) denoted as K is utterly denominated by its sequence of complete bipartite digraphs that every two consecutive levels of K do constitute.

Because of their appearance, an at a first glance outlook - posets characterized by these complete graded digraphs K where called cobweb posets i.e. digraphs K are identified with Hasse diagrams of cobweb posets.

This appearance of complete or almost complete graded digraphs is tremendously prevailing - like the hoary trees with silver cobweb threads. The opposite to this picture of a tree with cobweb is a bare rooted tree graph - void of this spider's web hoary tunicate; see Fig.3 and figures in [2-15], [17], [18-23].

Note [4]. Cobweb posets graphs are examples of Differential posets due to Stanley [50] and might serve for more general structures [due to Fomin [51]] - called Dual graded Graphs. This is accompanied with a simple though fascinating observation [4] : structure defining operators associated to Dual graded Graphs generate GHW algebra! (GHW = Graves-Heisemberg-Weil; see [44,33,30,31,52]).

1.2. Ordinal and cardinal sums with added natural join of posets.

Let us recall that the ordinal sum [linear sum] of two disjoint ordered sets P and Q , denoted by $P \oplus Q$, is the union of P and Q , with P 's elements ordered as in P while Q 's elements are correspondingly ordered as in Q , and for each $x \in P$ and $y \in Q$ we put $x \leq y$. The Hasse diagram of $P \oplus Q$ we construct placing Q 's diagram just above P 's diagram and with an edge between each minimal element of Q and each maximal element of P . (Definitions and properties of ordinal sum etc. see: [1] Chapter III).




Figure 2: Display of the natural join $\oplus \rightarrow$ of bipartite digraphs with one dummy vertex resulting in one mute node.

Here we propose to add to the standard operations 1, 2, 3 on ordered sets from [1] i.e. to operations below

1.2.1. dual P^* of P ;

1.2.2. the disjoint union $P + Q =$ cardinal sum ;

1.2.3. the ordinal sum $P \oplus Q$.

- the fourth one. Namely - we propose to **add** the following next binary operation on posets - especially comfortable and handy for **graded** posets.

1.2.4. This fourth one we propose as in [5,4,3,2] is the **natural join** $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$, here below defined via **5.d** which for particular cases is expressed by ordinal sums $P \oplus Q$ and/or disjoint sums.

In order to define natural join $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ of posets $\langle P, \leq_P \rangle$ and $\langle Q, \leq_Q \rangle$ both arguments of the $\oplus \rightarrow$ operation should satisfy the **Natural Join Conformity Condition** which is the straightforward generalization of the Domain-Codomain F -sequence condition from [6]. Namely, partial orders \leq_P and \leq_Q must be equivalent on corresponding $R \subseteq P$ and $R' \subseteq Q$ isomorphic sub-posets R and R' which we then shall consider as identical by convention. Note that R **may not be empty!**. This requirement with respect to $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ natural join operation is in opposition to ordinal sum \oplus which was already used in the case of antichains to produce cobweb posets [2]. For earlier, (bipartite posets and matrices) special cases definitions of natural join - see [2,3,4,5,6].

The present overall definition of natural join $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ of posets offers many conveniences - for example one arrives at a very simple proof of the Möbius function formula for cobweb posets.

The main aims of this article are to present at first the authors update applica-

tions of natural join and then one summarizes more systematically the general properties of natural join of posets with posing some questions arising on the way.

Let us now come over to the detailed presentation. If the posets $\langle P, \leq_P \rangle$ and $\langle Q, \leq_Q \rangle$ are disjoint then cardinal sum of posets seems to mimic their natural join - but these operations also in this case are different see Fig.13. which illustrates our choice.

1.2.5. Let us consider some special cases before the general definition.

Let posets $\langle P, \leq_P \rangle$ and $\langle Q, \leq_Q \rangle$ be **not disjoint** or constitute posets with partial orders \leq_P and \leq_Q being equivalent on corresponding in P and Q isomorphic sub-posets which we shall consider identical by convention. We shall say that these two posets satisfy the **Natural Join Conformity Condition**. Then we define what follows.

1.2.5.a. Let $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \oplus P_3$ then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv \Pi_1 \oplus \Pi_2 \oplus \Pi_3$$

1.2.5.b. Let $P = P_1 + P_2$ and $Q = P_2 + P_3$ then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv P_1 + P_2 + P_3$$

1.2.5.c. Let $P = P_1 + P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \oplus P_3$ then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv P_1 + P_2 \oplus P_3$$

1.2.5.d.

Definition 3 *Definition* Let posets P and Q satisfy the **Natural Join Conformity Condition**. Namely - let $\langle P_1, \leq_1 \rangle$, $\langle P_2, \leq_2 \rangle$, $\langle P_3, \leq_3 \rangle$ posets be given such that $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \cup P_3$ are disjoint sums i.e. these sums represent corresponding two block partitions of P and Q . Let $x \leq_1 y \equiv x \leq_2 y$ for $x, y \in P_2$. Then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3$$

where in resulting poset $\langle P \oplus \rightarrow Q, \leq_{P \oplus \rightarrow Q} \rangle$ we put for $x, y \in P \oplus \rightarrow Q$:

$$x \leq_{P \oplus \rightarrow Q} y = x \leq_1 y, \quad x, y \in P,$$

$$x \leq_{P \oplus \rightarrow Q} y = x \leq_2 y, \quad x, y \in Q.$$

The case $x \in P_1$ and $y \in P_3$ is operated due to transitivity of partial orders, therefore in this case

$$x \leq_{P \oplus \rightarrow Q} y \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ directed path } x \prec \cdot p_1 \prec \cdot p_2 \dots \prec \cdot p_k \prec \cdot y$$

where cover relation $\prec \cdot$ denotes either cover relation $\prec \cdot_P$ in P or cover relation $\prec \cdot_Q$ in Q depending on the stage of journey - if at all - along eventual directed path in Hasse DAG - DIAGram of the poset $\langle P \oplus \rightarrow Q, \leq_{P \oplus \rightarrow Q} \rangle$.

This is to be continued in Section 4. where we shall discuss several elementary properties of natural join and other operations on posets. See also Figures 13,14,15.

1.2.6. Cobweb posets were identified till now with the natural join of chain of bipartite complete digraphs [”di-bicliques”] $B_k = \Phi_k \oplus \Phi_{k+1}$ which are ordinal sums of antichains (see Fig.1.,Fig.3, Fig.4, Fig.5, Fig.7 and contact [2-6] and references therein) and may be therefore defined equivalently as the ordinal sum [linear sum] of chain of trivially ordered sets i.e. antichains - see Definition 4. where cobweb poset Π appears as a linear sum of trivially ordered sets $\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$.

$$\Pi = \oplus_{k \geq 0} \Phi_k$$

$\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ are then antichains i.e. independent sets of Π . See Fig.4. and Fig.5.

Nevertheless, Definitions 5,6,7 of cobweb poset as *natural join of relations* binary, ternary, etc.) as in [6,5,4,3,2] provide additionally not only visual advantages based on sight interpretation. Namely: let P_n be any $(n + 1)$ - level graded poset where $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $min(P_n)$ denotes the P_n posets’ set of minimal elements which we assume finite. Let *internal* vertex v means that $v \notin min(P_n)$ and the height $h(v)$ is less then the height $h(P_n)$, $h(v) < h(P_n) = n$; $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. Let us call the internal vertex $m \in P_n$ a **mute vertex** if vertex m has either in-degree or out-degree equal zero. Let us call dummy the node d for which $in - deg(d) = 0 = out - deg(d)$, (consult Fig.2 , Fig.17 at the end of the paper and compare with Fig.1). With these naming established we may express our crucial observation as follows.

All graded posets with no mute and no dummy vertices in their Hasse diagrams are natural join of chain of relations and may be at the same time interpreted an $n - ary$, $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ relation. Equivalently - *zero columns or rows in bi-adjacency matrices of bipartite natural join summands of P_n are forbidden.*

See figures 2 and 17 at the end of the paper and compare with other relevant.([6], [2]). In the case the $F(n)$ -denominated P_n -poset, $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ is infinite then $P_\infty = P$ is a natural join of chains of relations of the might be varying or constant r -arity ; $r \geq 2$.

As for cobweb posets - these have also discrete hyper-boxes representation [12,2,3, 49,17].




Figure 3: Display of the layer $\langle \Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_4 \rangle =$ the subposet Π_4 of the $F =$ Gaussian integers sequence ($q = 2$) F -cobweb poset and $\sigma \Pi_4$ subposet of the σ permuted Gaussian ($q = 2$) F -cobweb poset.




Figure 4: Display of the natural join of bipartite layers $\langle \Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_{k+1} \rangle$ $F = N$, resulting in $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ maximal chains and equivalent hyper-box $V_{2,4}$ with $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ white circle-dots.

Illustration - see Fig.4, Fig.8, Fig.6, Fig.7.

Heralding influence posets. Apart from definitions below in terms of natural join of r -ary relations' sequence (i.e. we deal with ordered partition of cobweb poset into r -level layers) - apart from these we may interpret cobweb poset as the special extreme influence poset [53,54]. In more detail.

1-ary. Consider a chain $\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of trivial posets \equiv antichains \equiv a chain of independent sets \equiv a chain of trivial unary relations, then

Definition 4 (cobweb poset Π_n) Let $n \in N \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then

$$\Pi_n = \bigoplus_{s=0}^n \Phi_s,$$

$$\Pi = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \Phi_s,$$

where \bigoplus denotes ordinal sum of posets hence

$$\langle \Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n \rangle \equiv \bigoplus_{n \geq s \geq k} \Phi_s$$

is called the layer of the cobweb poset [2-12] while $\langle \Phi_k \rangle_{k \geq 0}$ constitute the sequence of independent sets i.e. the sequence of antichains .

2-ary. Consider the chain $\{B_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of di-biclques $B_k = \Phi_k \oplus \Phi_{k+1} \equiv$ the chain of universal binary relations. then

Definition 5 (cobweb poset Π)

$$\Pi = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} B_k$$

where $\bigoplus \rightarrow$ denotes natural join of posets. Here $\langle B_k \rangle_{k \geq 0}$ constitute the sequence of universal binary relations \equiv the sequence of di-biclques.

Ordinal sum \oplus versus natural join $\oplus \rightarrow$

$$\Phi_2 = \bullet \bullet \quad \Phi_3 = \bullet \bullet \bullet \quad \Phi_4 = \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$$

$$\langle \Phi_2 \rightarrow \Phi_3 \rangle = \Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_3 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array}$$

$$\langle \Phi_2 \rightarrow \Phi_4 \rangle = \langle \Phi_2 \rightarrow \Phi_3 \rangle \oplus \rightarrow \langle \Phi_3 \rightarrow \Phi_4 \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Phi_3 \\ \Phi_2 \end{array} \oplus \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \Phi_4 \\ \Phi_3 \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} \Phi_4 \\ \Phi_3 \\ \Phi_2 \end{array} = \Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_3 \oplus \Phi_4$$

Figure 5: Display of the ordinal sum versus natural join for $F = N$.

3-ary. Consider the chain $\{T_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of $T_k = \Phi_k \oplus \Phi_{k+1} \oplus \Phi_{k+2}$ i.e the chain of ternary universal relations, then

Definition 6 (cobweb poset Π)

$$\Pi = \oplus \rightarrow_{k \geq 0} T_k$$

where $\oplus \rightarrow$ denotes natural join of posets. Here $\langle T_k \rangle_{k \geq 0}$ constitute the sequence of ternary universal relations.

r-ary. Finally, consider the chain $\{R_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of $R_k = \Phi_k \oplus \Phi_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \Phi_{k+r-1}$, $r > 0$ i.e the chain of r -nary universal relations, then

Definition 7 (cobweb poset Π)

$$\Pi = \oplus \rightarrow_{k \geq 0} R_k$$

where $\oplus \rightarrow$ denotes natural join of posets. Here $\langle R_k \rangle_{k \geq 0}$ constitute the sequence of r -nary universal relations.




Figure 6: Bipartite layer $\langle \Phi_2 \rightarrow \Phi_3 \rangle$ with six maximal chains and equivalent hyper-box $V_{2,3}$ with six white circle-dots

Comment 1. Bring together and make **identifications** of graded KoDAGs with n -ary relations digraph representation as in [2,3,4,5,6]:

$$\leq = \Phi_0 \times \Phi_1 \times \dots \times \Phi_n \iff \text{cobweb poset} \iff \text{KoDAG},$$

for the natural join of di-bicliques and similarly for \leq being natural join of any sequence binary relations [see Fig.1,3,4,5,7,11]

$$\leq \subseteq \Phi_0 \times \Phi_1 \times \dots \times \Phi_n \iff \text{cobweb poset} \iff \text{KoDAG}.$$

Warning. Note that **not for all** F -graded posets their partial orders may be consequently identified with n -ary relations, where $F = \langle k_F \rangle_{k=1}^n$ while $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. This is possible iff no biadjacency matrices entering the natural join for \leq has a zero column or a zero row. Recall if an internal vertex $m \in P$ has not either incoming or outgoing arcs then we shall call it the **mute** node [2]. Recall.Dummy vertex is that $d \in P$ for which $in - deg(d) = 0 = in - deg(d)$. This naming being adopted we may say now:

F-graded poset may be identified with n-ary relation as above iff it is F-graded poset with neither mute no dummy nodes.

Equivalently - zero columns or rows in bi-adjacency matrices of bipartite natural join summands of P are forbidden. See and compare with relevant figures 2 and 17 at the end of the paper and perhaps consult [6,2].

Heralding. Apart from definitions above in terms of natural join of r -ary relations' sequence (i.e. we deal with ordered partition of cobweb poset into r -level layers) - apart from these we may interpret cobweb poset as the special extreme influence poset [53,54].


This is to be reported on next in the subsection 2.2. Meanwhile let us continue with what follows.

1.3. Convene the above with hyper-boxes from [12,2]

Recall [3,9]: $C_{max}(\Pi_n)$ is the set of all maximal chains of Π_n . Recall [3,9]:

$$C_{max}^{k,n} = \{ \text{maximal chains in } \langle \Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n \rangle \}.$$

Consult Section 3. in [12] in order to view $C_{max}(\Pi_n)$ or $C_{max}^{k,n}$ as the hyper-box of points.



● Vertex ○ Maximal chain

Figure 7: Natural join of layers versus Cartesian product constituting the hyper-box $V_{2,4}$.

Namely [12,3,2] denoting with $V_{k,n}$ the discrete finite rectangular F -hyper-box or (k,n) - F -hyper-box or in everyday parlance just (k,n) -box

$$V_{k,n} = [k_F] \times [(k+1)_F] \times \dots \times [n_F]$$

we identify (see Figure 7.) the following two just by agreement according to the F -natural identification:

$$C_{max}^{k,n} \equiv V_{k,n}$$

i.e.

$$C_{max}^{k,n} = \{ \text{maximal chains in } \langle \Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n \rangle \} \equiv V_{k,n}.$$

Illustration - see Fig.4, Fig.6, Fig.7, Fig.8 and for more see [12], [48], [12] and [3] with specific indication on [17].

Important. Accordingly the **natural join operation** of discrete hyper-boxes - (cobweb posets are encoded by discrete hyper-boxes [12]) is just **Cartesian product of them accompanied with projection out** of sine qua non common faces (see Fig.7) which is schematically represented by an sample case below [note - cobweb poset might be defined also as the ordinal sum of its independence sets (antichains) $\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$],

$$(\Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1}) \oplus \rightarrow (\Phi_{k+1} \times \Phi_{k+2}) \equiv \Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1} \times \Phi_{k+2},$$




Figure 8: Natural join as ordinal sum of levels $\Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_3 \equiv \Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_3 \equiv V_{2,3}$.

see Fig.6 , Fig.7, Fig.8.

Important. The face lattice of a polytope coding [53].

Compare the above discrete hyper-boxes coding representation of unbounded number of F -cobweb posets with one Agnarsson's model example of a classical poset F_π = the face lattice of an n -dimensional polytope π - viewed as stacked height-2 sub-posets $F_\pi(k, k + 1)$, one on top of the other [53], which exactly means that these bipartite subposets $F_\pi(k, k + 1)$ are just naturally joined by $\oplus \rightarrow$ binary operator. Here in Agnarsson's paper [53] the subposet $F_\pi(k, k + 1)$ is the height-2 sub-poset i.e. bipartite sub-poset of F_π consisting of the k and $(k + 1)$ -dimensional faces of π . Consequently F_π is thought of being formed by stacking [i.e. by natural joining !] $F_\pi(k, k + 1)$ on top of $F_\pi(k - 1, k)$ for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Compare with Figures 1,2,3,4,8. Admit $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$.

In the F_π case of the face lattice of an n -dimensional polytope π the Agnarsson's stacking is exactly the natural join $\oplus \rightarrow$ operations of layers. This natural join operation is naturally natural since F_π is the graded poset equipped with a grading function mapping each face of the polytope μ (i.e. each element of the poset F_π) to its dimension [53].

1.4. Cobweb posets' Möbius function

In order to find out the Möbius function μ of the cobweb poset Π note the following obvious statements ($|\Phi_k| = k_F$)

Obvious statement: $\mu(x, x) = 1$, $\mu(x, y) = -1$ for $x \prec y$, and $\mu(x, z) = k_F - 1$ for $[x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_k \oplus z$.

Obvious statement: ($|\Phi_k| = k_F$) for $[x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_k \oplus z$, $x \in \Phi_{k-1}$, $z \in \Phi_{k+1}$

Obvious statement:


$$\mu(x, z) = [k_F - 1].$$

Obvious statement ($|\Phi_k| = k_F$) : for $[x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_k \oplus \Phi_{k+1} \oplus z \equiv x \oplus B_k \oplus z$,
 $x \in \Phi_{k-1}$, $z \in \Phi_{k+2}$

$$\mu(x, z) = -[k_F - 1][(k + 1)_F - 1].$$

Illustration (see Fig.9 and Fig.10).

Möbius μ 1 level function



$$\mu(x, z) = k_F - 1$$

where $k_F = |\Phi_k|$

Figure 9: Möbius 1 level function for $[x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_k \oplus z$, $x \in \Phi_{k-1}$, $z \in \Phi_{k+1}$.

Hence via induction for $x \in \Phi_r$, $z \in \Phi_s$ and for


$$s > r, [x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \Phi_{s-1} \oplus z$$

we have the final obvious statement:

$$\mu(x, y) = (-1)^{s-r} \prod_{k=r+1}^{s-1} [k_F - 1].$$

Compare the above formula from [2] with the form derived and discussed in [3] and those declared in [47,25, 29] for Fibonacci sequence. See also [27,28]. Naturally the values of $\mu(x, y)$ depend only on the rank of its arguments - here $r(x) = r$ and $r(y) = s$ - which is the reason of coding matrix existence for Möbius function in a natural labeling representation (see: examples in [3,2]). The rank function is here defined as follows: $r(x) = r$ if $x \in \Phi_r$.

Möbius μ 2 level function



$$\mu(x,z) = -[k_F - 1][k_F - 1]$$

where $k_F = |\Phi_k|$

Figure 10: Möbius 2 level function for $[x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_k \oplus \Phi_{k+1} \oplus z$, $x \in \Phi_{k-1}$, $z \in \Phi_{k+2}$.

2 Combinatorial interpretation.

2.1. Kwasniewski combinatorial interpretation. For combinatorial interpretation of cobweb posets via their cover relation digraphs (Hasse diagrams) called KoDAGs see [8,7,6,2,3,4]. The recent equivalent formulation of this combinatorial interpretation is to be found in [7] (Feb 2009) or [9] from which we quote it here down.

Definition 8 *F-nomial coefficients* are defined as follows

$$\binom{n}{k}_F = \frac{n_F!}{k_F!(n-k)_F!} = \frac{n_F \cdot (n-1)_F \cdot \dots \cdot (n-k+1)_F}{1_F \cdot 2_F \cdot \dots \cdot k_F} = \frac{n_F^k}{k_F!}$$

while $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0_F! = n_F^0 = 1$ with $n_F^k \equiv \frac{n_F!}{k_F!}$ staying for falling factorial. F is called *F-graded poset admissible* sequence iff $\binom{n}{k}_F \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (In particular we shall use the expression - *F-cobweb admissible* sequence).

Definition 9

$$C_{max}(\Pi_n) \equiv \{c = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, x_s \in \Phi_s, s = 0, \dots, n\}$$

i.e. $C_{max}(\Pi_n)$ is the set of all maximal chains of Π_n




Figure 11: Natural join in natural labeling.

Consequently (see Section 2 in [12] on Cobweb posets' coding via N^∞ lattice boxes) we introduce natural notation as follows.

Definition 10 ($C_{max}^{k,n}$) Let

$$C_{max}\langle\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle \equiv \{c = \langle x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \rangle, x_s \in \Phi_s, s = k, \dots, n\} \equiv$$

$$\equiv \{\text{maximal chains in } \langle\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle\} \equiv C_{max}(\langle\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle) \equiv C_{max}^{k,n}.$$

Note. The $C_{max}\langle\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle \equiv C_{max}^{k,n}$ is the hyper-box points' set [12,2] of Hasse sub-diagram corresponding maximal chains and it defines biunivoquely the layer $\langle\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle = \bigcup_{s=k}^n \Phi_s$ as the set of maximal chains' nodes (and vice versa) - for these arbitrary F -denominated **graded** DAGs (KoDAGs included).

The equivalent to that of [8,7,9] formulation of the fractals reminiscent combinatorial interpretation of cobweb posets via their cover relation digraphs (Hasse diagrams) is the following.

Theorem 1 [11,9,8,3]

(Kwaśniewski) For F -cobweb admissible sequences F -nomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_F$ is the cardinality of the family of equipotent to $C_{max}(P_m)$ mutually disjoint maximal chains sets, all together **partitioning** the set of maximal chains $C_{max}\langle\Phi_{k+1} \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle$ of the layer $\langle\Phi_{k+1} \rightarrow \Phi_n\rangle$, where $m = n - k$.

For environment needed and then simple combinatorial proof see [8,9,4,5] easily accessible via Arxiv.

Comment 2. For the above Kwaśniewski combinatorial interpretation of F -nomials' array *it does not matter* of course whether the diagram is being directed or not, as this combinatorial interpretation is equally valid for partitions of the family of $SimplePath_{max}(\Phi_k - \Phi_n)$ in comparability graph of the Hasse digraph with self-explanatory notation used on the way. The other insight into this irrelevance for combinatoric interpretation is [9]: colligate the coding of $C_{max}^{k,n}$ by hyper-boxes. (More on that soon). And to this end recall what really also matters here : a poset is graded if and only if every connected component of

its **comparability graph** is graded. We are concerned here with connected graded graphs and digraphs.

For the relevant recent developments see [10] while [11] is their all **source paper** as well as those reporting on the broader affiliated research (see [12-23,25-29,47-49] and references therein). The inspiration for "philosophy" of notation in mathematics as that in Knuth's from [24] - in the case of "upside-downs" has been driven by Gauss "q-Natural numbers" $\equiv N_q = \{n_q = q^0 + q^1 + \dots + q^{n-1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ from finite geometries of linear subspaces lattices over Galois fields. As for the earlier use and origins of the use of this author's upside down notation see [30-46].

In discrete hyper-boxes language the combinatorial interpretation reads:

Theorem 2

For F -cobweb admissible sequences F -nomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_F$ is the cardinality of the family of equipotent to $V_{0,m}$ mutually disjoint discrete hyper-boxes, all together **partitioning** the discrete hyper-box $V_{k+1,n} \equiv$ the layer $\langle \Phi_{k+1} \rightarrow \Phi_n \rangle$, where $m = n - k$.

Comment 3. General "environmental" comment. Recall: all graded posets with no mute vertices in their Hasse diagrams [3,2] (i.e. no vertex has in-degree or out-degree equal zero) are natural join of chain of relations and may be at the same time interpreted an n -ary, $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ relation.

Scrape together any binary relation R with Hasse digraph cover relation $\prec \cdot$ and identify as in [4,3] $\zeta(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \mathbf{R}^*$ with incidence algebra zeta function and with zeta matrix of the poset associated to its Hasse digraph, where the **reflexive** reachability relation $\zeta(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \mathbf{R}^*$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}^* = R^0 \cup R^1 \cup R^2 \cup \dots \cup R^n \cup \dots \bigcup_{k>0} R^k = \mathbf{R}^\infty \cup \mathbf{I}_A =$$

$$= \text{transitive and reflexive closure of } \mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A(R^\infty) = A(R)^{\textcircled{0}} \vee A(R)^{\textcircled{1}} \vee A(R)^{\textcircled{2}} \vee \dots \vee A(R)^{\textcircled{n}} \vee \dots,$$

where $A(\mathbf{R})$ is the Boolean adjacency matrix of the relation \mathbf{R} simple digraph and $\textcircled{\cdot}$ stays for Boolean product.

Now collocate and/or recall from [3] the resulting schemes. **Schemes:**

$$\leq = \prec \cdot^\infty = \text{connectivity of } \prec \cdot$$

$$\leq = \prec \cdot^* = \text{reflexive reachability of } \prec \cdot$$

$$\prec \cdot^* = \zeta(\prec \cdot).$$

Comment 4. General "fractal-reminiscent" comment. The discrete m -dimensional F -box ($m = n - k$) with edges' sizes designated by natural numbers' valued sequence F where invented in [12] as a response to the so called *Kwaśniewski cobweb tiling* problem posed in [11] and then repeated in [8]. This tiling problem was considered by Dziemiańczuk in [13] where it was shown that not all admissible F -sequences permit tiling as defined in [11]. Then this tiling problem (see

Fig.12 from [48]) was reformulated by Dziemiańczuk in discrete hyper-boxes language [48] in two steps as follows. The first step: the now simple observation concerning Kwaśniewski combinatorial interpretation was expressed in hyper-boxes language.




Figure 12: Correspondence between tiling of F -box $V_{3,4}$ with two white-dots boxes and tiling of the $\langle \Phi_3 \rightarrow \Phi_4 \rangle$ with two-chain subposets.

Fact (Kwaśniewski [11,8])

Let F be an admissible sequence. Take any natural numbers n, m such that $n \geq m$, then the value of F -nomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_F$ is equal to the number of sub-boxes that constitute a κ -partition of m -dimensional F -box $V_{m,n}$ where $\kappa = |V_m|$.

Then in the second step: not all κ -partitions of discrete boxes are to be considered. **Only these** partitions of m -dimensional box $V_{m,n}$ are admitted for which all sub-boxes **are of the form** V_m (**self-similarity**).

Definition 11 Let $V_{m,n}$ be a m -dimensional F -box. Then any κ -partition into sub-boxes of the form V_m is called tiling of $V_{m,n}$.

It was shown in [13] that just the admissibility condition (see also [15]) is not sufficient for the existence a tiling for any given m -dimensional box $V_{m,n}$. Kwaśniewski in his papers [11,8] posed the following problem called *Cobweb Tiling Problem*, which was a starting point of the present author research with results being reported in the presents note.

Tiling problem

Suppose that F is an admissible sequence. Under which conditions any F -box $V_{m,n}$ designated by sequence F has a tiling? Find effective characterizations and/or find an algorithm to produce these tilings.

In order to recognize the present state of such cobwebs tiling investigation see Dziemiańczuk's papers [13.15.48] and contact [17] as well as Internet Gian Carlo Rota Polish Seminar http://ii.uwb.edu.pl/akk/sem/sem_rota.htm (subjects **3** and **5**).

2.2. Influence posets model interpretation of graded posets.

Here we present Agnarsson picture [53] of **influence poset** among the agents adopted to the notation and nomenclature of this note.

Namely, consider the number \mathbf{s} of "secret agents" A_1, \dots, A_s (or "Atoms" or genes or viruses or galaxies or terrorists [54]) as being monitored over discrete times $t = 0, 1, \dots, n, n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. One may introduce a graded poset structure consisting of the $s(n+1)$ vertices $A_k(t)$ in Hasse digraph, where a directed edge from $A_k(t)$ up to $A_k(t+1)$ is present if and only if an agent A_p has influenced an agent A_q during the time interval from t to $t+1$.

The resulting poset is called the **influence poset** among the agents [53] or terrorists [54].

What we have now are $n+1$ levels of the influence poset P with single levels $\Phi_t = A_1(t), \dots, A_s(t)$ - one for each time $t = 0, 1, \dots, n$; (up direction - time direction).

If we admit the number \mathbf{s} of "spies" to be varying with time according to the schedule: $|\Phi_r| = r_F$, then we arrive at an F -denominated graded poset. If in addition we assume the total influence (invigilation?) i.e. each agent A_p influences every agent A_q during the time interval from r to $r+1$, then we are at home of cobweb posets $\Pi_n, \Pi_\infty \equiv \Pi$.

3 Zeta and inverse zeta functions and matrices formulas. Then Whitney numbers.

3.1. Zeta and inverse zeta functions in Knuth notation - natural labeling choice.

Knuth notation. In the wise Knuth's "notationology" note [24] one finds among others the notation just for the purpose here:

$$[s] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \text{ is true,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consequently for any set or class

$$[x = y] \equiv \delta(x, y).$$

Consequently for $x, y, k, s, n \in N \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$

$$[x < y] \equiv \sum_{k \geq \mathbf{1}} \delta(x + k, y),$$

$$[x \leq y] \equiv \sum_{k \geq \mathbf{0}} \delta(x + k, y).$$

Using this makes the authors expressions [3,2,4] of the ζ and $\mu = \zeta^{-1}$ in terms of δ transparent and handy if rewritten in Donald Ervin Knuth's notation [24]. Namely:

Zeta function $\zeta \in I(\Pi, R)$ formulas. [3,2]

$$\zeta(x, y) = \zeta_{\mathbf{1}}(x, y) - \zeta_0(x, y)$$

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{1}}(x, y) = [x \leq y]$$

$$\zeta_0(x, y) = \sum_{s \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 1} [x = k + s_F][1 \leq y \leq s_F + (s - 1)_{F-1}].$$

$$\zeta_0(x, y) = \sum_{s \geq 1} [x > s_F][1 \leq y \leq s_F + (s - 1)_{F-1}],$$

where, let us recall natural labeling choice: $x, y, k, s \in N \cup \{0\}$. Note, that for $F = \mathbf{Fibonacci}$ this still more simplifies as then

$$s_F + (s - 1)_{F-1} = (s + 1)_F.$$

Finally - as derived in [3,2] - we have for $(x, y, k, s, n \in N \cup \{0\})$

$$\zeta(x, y) = [x \leq y] - [x < y] \sum_{n \geq 0} [(x > S(n))[y \leq S(n + 1)].$$

where

$$S(n) = \sum_{k \geq 1}^n k_F$$

The Möbius μ i.e. inverse zeta function $\zeta^{-1} \in I(\Pi, R)$ formula [3,2].

Cardinal +
& Ordinal \oplus versus natural join $\oplus \rightarrow$




Figure 13: Cardinal and ordinal sums versus natural join.

Now we shall quote the Möbius $\mu \in I(\Pi, R)$ formula for F -denominated cobweb posets from [3,2] to where we refer for the derivation and discussion of this formula history. In its presentation we shall use the plane grid coordinates description of cobweb posets Hasse diagrams introduced in 2003 by the author of [18,19,20] for the definition of now KoDAGs and then consequently used by the author of [25-29]. Here comes this formula right after the notation of plane grid coordinates.

Namely, we label vertices of poset P Hasse diagram by pairs of coordinates: $\langle s, t \rangle \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}_0$, where t coordinate is the level label while $N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$. Then

$$\Phi_t = \{\langle s, t \rangle, 1 \leq s \leq t_F\}, \quad t \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Consequently Hasse digraph of the poset P is a labeled digraph $D_P = (V, E)$ where

$$V = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \Phi_t, \quad E = \{\{\langle s, t \rangle, \langle r, t+1 \rangle\}\}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq t_F, \quad 1 \leq r \leq (t+1)_F.$$

The partial order relation on P is then described as follows: for $x = \langle s, t \rangle, y = \langle u, v \rangle$ $x, y \in P$

$$(x \leq_P y) \iff [(t < v) \vee (t = v \wedge s = u)].$$

Observe now sine qua non conditions (*) - which stem from the definition of Φ_t level i.e. (*) means: $1 \leq s \leq t_F, 1 \leq u \leq v_F$ while $t, v \in N_0$. Then with these sine qua non conditions being **implemented in the formula** we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x, y) &= \mu(\langle s, t \rangle, \langle u, v \rangle) = [(s = u) [t = v] - [t + 1 = v] + \\ &+ [t + 1 < v] [1 \leq s \leq t_F] [1 \leq u \leq v_F] (-1)^k \prod_{i=t+1}^{v-1} (i_F - 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this formula relies on and consists of the derivation of its version in **1.4. Cobweb posets' Möbius function** where it is the obvious statement for $s > r$, $[x, z] = x \oplus \Phi_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \Phi_{s-1} \oplus z$ (conditions (*) not implemented in it) i.e.

$$\mu(x, y) = (-1)^{s-r} \prod_{k=r+1}^{s-1} [k_F - 1]$$

($|\Phi_k| = k_F, x, y \in P$) - the statement to be just rewritten in plane grid coordinates and with these (*) sine qua non **conditions** being implemented in the formula.

3.2. Zeta and inverse zeta matrices - natural labeling choice.

The matrix elements of $\zeta(x, y)$ matrix for Fibonacci cobweb poset were given in 2003 ([19,23] Kwaśniewski) using $x, y \in N \cup \{0\}$ labels of vertices in their natural linear extension order i.e. applying the natural labeling (see [55] - see Fig.11), where - recall: *The poset P is naturally labeled if $x_i < x_j$ in P implies that $i < j$.* For that to do proceed as follows (see Fig.11).

1. set $k = 0$,
2. then label subsequent vertices - from the left to the right - along the level k ,
3. repeat 2. for $k \rightarrow k + 1$ until $k = n + 1$; $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. The labeling $\omega : \Pi \rightarrow 0, 1, \dots, n, n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ we thus arrive at is natural [55] i.e. ω is order preserving.

As the result we obtain for example the ζ matrix for Fibonacci sequence as presented by the the Example.1 with *La Scala di Fibonacci* in [3,4,2] and dating back to 2003 [19,23]. For many other examples see [3,2]. For general case zeta matrix formula i.e. for any F -graded poset see [4,3,2] or see Theorem 4 and make proper extension yourself. Here we quote the explicit expression of **cobweb posets'** zeta matrix ζ_F for arbitrary natural numbers valued F -sequence derived in [4] due to more than mnemonic efficiency of the up-side-down notation being applied. With this notation inspired by Gauss numbers k_q and replacing k - natural numbers with " k_F numbers" = elements of the F -sequence one gets the theorem.

Theorem 3 (Kwaśniewski)

$$\zeta_F = \exp_{\odot}[\mathbf{A}_F] \equiv (1 - \mathbf{A}_F)^{-1 \odot} \equiv I_{\infty \times \infty} + \mathbf{A}_F + \mathbf{A}_F^{\odot 2} + \dots =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{1_F \times 1_F} & I(1_F \times \infty) & & & \\ O_{2_F \times 1_F} & \mathbf{I}_{2_F \times 2_F} & I(2_F \times \infty) & & \\ O_{3_F \times 1_F} & O_{3_F \times 2_F} & \mathbf{I}_{3_F \times 3_F} & I(3_F \times \infty) & \\ O_{4_F \times 1_F} & O_{4_F \times 2_F} & O_{4_F \times 3_F} & \mathbf{I}_{4_F \times 4_F} & I(4_F \times \infty) \\ \dots & etc & \dots & and\ so\ on & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$


where $I(s \times k)$ stays for $(s \times k)$ matrix of ones i.e. $[I(s \times k)]_{ij} = 1$; $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq k$. and $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ and where

$$\mathbf{A}_F = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{1_F \times 1_F} & I(1_F \times 2_F) & 0_{1_F \times \infty} & & & \\ 0_{2_F \times 1_F} & 0_{2_F \times 2_F} & I(2_F \times 3_F) & 0_{2_F \times \infty} & & \\ 0_{3_F \times 1_F} & 0_{3_F \times 2_F} & 0_{3_F \times 3_F} & I(3_F \times 4_F) & 0_{3_F \times \infty} & \\ 0_{4_F \times 1_F} & 0_{4_F \times 2_F} & 0_{4_F \times 3_F} & 0_{4_F \times 4_F} & I(4_F \times 5_F) & 0_{4_F \times \infty} \\ \dots & etc & \dots & and\ so\ on & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

In the ζ_F formula from [6,4] \odot denotes the Boolean product, hence - exactly this product is meant while Boolean powers enter formulas. We readily recognize from its block structure that F -La Scala descending and descending far away own to infinity - is formed by **upper zeros** of block-diagonal matrices $\mathbf{I}_{k_F \times k_F}$.

Extension of the Theorem 3. In the Theorem 3 $I(k_F \times (k + 1)_F)$ denotes $k_F \times (k + 1)_F$ matrix of all entries equal to one. **For any F -denominated poset replace $I(k_F \times (k + 1)_F)$ by $B(k_F \times (k + 1)_F)$** obtained from $I(k_F \times (k + 1)_F)$ via replacing adequately (in accordance with Hasse digraph) corresponding **ones** by **zeros**.

The next formula - for inverse zeta function $\zeta^{-1} = \mu$ as represented by matrix in natural labeling of F -graded poset - was derived in [3,2] and is quoted below as the Theorem 4.



Example.1 La Scala di Fibonacci . The staircase structure of incidence matrix ζ_F for F =Fibonacci sequence

Theorem 4 (Kwaśniewski)

Let F be **any** natural numbers valued sequence. Then **for arbitrary** F -denominated graded poset (cobweb posets included)

$$C(\mu_F)_{r,s} = c_{r,s} = [r = s] + K_s(r_F)(-1)^{s-r} = [r = s] + [s > r](-1)^{s-r} [(r+1)_{F-1}]^{\overline{s-r}}$$

i.e.

$$C(\mu_F)_{r,s} = c_{r,s} = [s \geq r](-1)^{s-r} [(r+1)_{F-1}]^{\overline{s-r}},$$

i.e.

$$C(\mu_F)_{r,s} = c_{r,s} = [s \geq r](-1)^{s-r} K_s(r_F),$$

with matrix elements from N or the ring $R = 2^{\{1\}}$, $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, Z etc.

i.e. for cobweb posets

$$\mu = (\delta_{r,s} I_{r_F \times r_F} + (-1)^{s-r} K_s(r_F) I(r_F \times s_F))$$

i.e.

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1_F \times 1_F} & c_{1,2} I(1_F \times 2_F) & c_{1,3} I(1_F \times 3_F) & c_{1,4} I(1_F \times 4_F) & c_{1,5} I(1_F \times 5_F) & c_{1,6} I(1_F \times 6_F) \\ 0_{2_F \times 1_F} & I_{2_F \times 2_F} & c_{2,3} I(2_F \times 3_F) & c_{2,4} I(2_F \times 4_F) & c_{2,5} I(2_F \times 5_F) & c_{2,6} I(2_F \times 6_F) \\ 0_{3_F \times 1_F} & 0_{3_F \times 2_F} & I_{3_F \times 3_F} & c_{3,4} I(3_F \times 4_F) & c_{3,5} I(3_F \times 5_F) & c_{3,6} I(3_F \times 6_F) \\ 0_{4_F \times 1_F} & 0_{4_F \times 2_F} & 0_{4_F \times 3_F} & I_{4_F \times 4_F} & c_{4,5} I(4_F \times 5_F) & c_{4,6} I(4_F \times 6_F) \\ \dots & etc & \dots & and\ so\ on & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

where $I(k_F \times (k+1)_F)$ denotes (recall) $k_F \times (k+1)_F$ matrix of all entries equal to one. **For any F -denominated poset replace $I(k_F \times (k+1)_F)$ by $B(k_F \times (k+1)_F)$ obtained from $I(k_F \times (k+1)_F)$ via replacing adequately (in accordance with Hasse digraph) corresponding ones by zeros.**

The functions' upper factorial from [2] used above (valid whenever defined for corresponding functions f of the natural number argument or of an argument from any chosen ring - $Z, R, etc.$) is defined as follows

$$f(r_F)^{\bar{k}} = f(r_F) f([r+1]_F) \dots f([r+k-1]_F), \quad n^{\bar{0}} \equiv 1, \quad n \in N \cup \{0\}, \quad Z, R, etc.,$$

3.3. Whitney numbers and characteristic polynomials for cobweb posets.[2]

Let us remind the notation: $r_F = |\Phi_r|$. Let us then recall (see [56]) definitions of Whitney numbers of the first kind $w_r(P)$ and Whitney numbers of second kind $W_r(P)$ where P is any given graded poset with bounded independence sets - i.e. $|\Phi_r| \in N$ for $r \in N \cup \{0\}$ and we assume that $|\Phi_0| = 1 = 0_F$ hence $\Phi_0 = \{0\}$; $0 \in P$ stays for minimal element of the poset P . Now here are these definitions.

$$w_r(P) = \sum_{x \in P, r(x)=r} \mu(0, x),$$

$$W_r(P) = \sum_{x \in P, r(x)=r} 1 = |\{x \in P : r(x) = r\}|.$$

It is obvious just by notation that

$$W_r(P) = r_F.$$

Of course in the general case of finite posets P_n the number $|\Phi_k|$ might depend on n and then we end up with an array $(W_k(P_n))$ of Whitney numbers as it is the case with unimodal binomials or unimodal Gaussian binomials for example or Stirling-like numbers as in [45] or...- see further classical examples in [56,57,58].

According to Theorem 4 we have for **cobweb posets** i.e. for Π 's

$$C(\mu_F)_{0,s} = c_{0,s} = [s \geq 0](-1)^s(1_F - 1)^{\overline{s}},$$

or equivalently - as the values of $\mu(x, y)$ depend only on the rank of its arguments

$$\mu_F(0, x) = [r(x) \geq 0](-1)^{r(x)}(1_F - 1)^{\overline{r(x)}},$$

or equivalently (compare all this with (3) in [29])

$$\mu_F(0, x) = [x = 0] - [r(x) = 1](1_F - 1) + [r(x) > 1](-1)^{r(x)} \prod_{k=1}^{r(x)-1} (k_F - 1),$$

or equivalently just

$$\mu_F(0, x) = [r(x) \geq 0](-1)^{r(x)}K_r(0_F).$$

Consequently - as the values of $\mu(x, y)$ depend only on the rank of its arguments - the Whitney numbers of the first kind for the denominated by F **cobweb poset** Π may be calculated along the formula

$$w_r(\Pi) = \sum_{\{x \in \Pi : r(x)=r\}} \mu_F(0, x) = r_F \cdot \mu_F(0, x)$$

i.e.

$$w_r(\Pi) = r_F \cdot (-1)^r K_r(0_F).$$

Naturally $w_0(\Pi) = 1$. Compare the above with (4) in [29].

Of course in the *general case* of finite posets $P_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \Phi_k(n)$ the number $|\Phi_k(n)|$ might depend on n and then we end up with an array $(w_k(P_n))$ of Whitney numbers of the first kind as it is the case with binomials or Gaussian binomials for example - (see further classical examples in [56,57,58]).

To this end - consequently - let us consider characteristic polynomials $\chi_{P_n}(t)$, $n \geq 0$ defined as ([56,57], [29])

$$\chi_{P_n}(t) = \sum_{x \in P_n} \mu(0, x)t^{n-r(x)} = \sum_{k=0}^n w_k(P_n)t^{n-k}.$$

The formula for characteristic polynomials - here for **specific** F -denominated finite cobweb sub-posets $P_n = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \Phi_k$ (i.e. $|\Phi_k(n)|$ does not depend on n) - namely - this formula for characteristic polynomials obviously is of the form

$$\chi_{P_n}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \cdot k_F \cdot x^{n-k} \cdot K_k(0_F)$$

or equivalently (compare with Theorem 3.1 in [29])

$$\chi_{P_n}(t) = x^n - x^{n-1}1_F(1_F - 1) + \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^k \cdot k_F \cdot x^{n-k} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r_F - 1).$$




Figure 14: Ordinal sum versus natural join.

Recapitulation of **natural join** ideology.

Recall that both \leq partial order relations and $\prec \cdot$ cover relations are **natural join** of their bipartite **relations** correspondent **chains**, and this is exactly the reason and the very source of the Theorem 4 validity and shape. Note also that all information on structure of any poset P is coded by the ζ matrix - a characteristic function of $\leq \in P = \langle \Phi, \leq \rangle$. In short: ζ and equivalently $\mu = \zeta^{-1}$ are the Incidence algebra of P coding elements. In brief - the following identifications are self-evident:

$$\langle \Phi, \mu_F \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi, \zeta_F \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi, \leq \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi, \mathbf{C}(\mu_F) \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi, \prec \cdot \rangle.$$

In case of F -graded posets all of them i.e. $\mu_F, \zeta_F, \prec \cdot, \leq$ are of **natural join** of their bipartite counterparts origin [6,5,4,3,2]. As a matter of illustration we quote the definition (6 in [6]) of cobweb poset from [6].

Definition 12 (cobweb poset) Let $D = (\Phi, \prec \cdot)$ be a transitive irreducible digraph. Let $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. Let D be a natural join $D = \oplus \rightarrow_{k=0}^n B_k$ of dibiclques $B_k = (\Phi_k \cup \Phi_{k+1}, \Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1}), n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$. Hence the digraph $D = (\Phi, \prec \cdot)$ is graded. The poset $\Pi(D)$ associated to this graded digraph $D = (\Phi, \prec \cdot)$ is called a cobweb poset.




Figure 15: Ordinal sum versus natural join - again.

4 $\oplus \rightarrow$ versus $+$ and \oplus . Summary of elementary properties and related statements

4.1 The Principal properties of the natural join operation.

4.1.1. Particular cases (see 1.2.5.). Whether posets must be or need not to be finite - becomes clear from the context.

Convention: $P \oplus \emptyset) \oplus (\emptyset \oplus P) = P$.

Let **nonempty posets** $\langle P, \leq_P \rangle$ and $\langle Q, \leq_Q \rangle$ do satisfy the **Natural Join Conformity Condition** i.e. are mutually **not disjoint** or constitute posets with partial orders \leq_P and \leq_Q being equivalent on corresponding in P and Q isomorphic sub-posets which we shall consider identical by convention. Let then $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \cup P_3$. The $P \oplus \rightarrow Q$ is defined via Definition 3. Here we recall some special cases to be used right next.

Let $P = P_1 + P_2$ and $Q = P_2 + P_3$ then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv P_1 + P_2 + P_3$$

Let $P = P_1 + P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \oplus P_3$ then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv P_1 + P_2 \oplus P_3$$

Let $\langle P_1, \leq_1 \rangle$, $\langle P_2, \leq_2 \rangle$, $\langle P_3, \leq_3 \rangle$ be posets. Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \cup P_3$ be disjoint sums i.e. represent corresponding two block partitions of P and Q . Let $x \leq_1 y \equiv x \leq_2 y$ for $x, y \in P_2$. Then

Let $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ and $Q = P_2 \oplus P_3$ then we define $\oplus \rightarrow$ via identity

$$P \oplus \rightarrow Q \equiv \Pi_1 \oplus \Pi_2 \oplus \Pi_3$$

$$\langle P \oplus \rightarrow Q \leq \rangle \equiv \langle P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3, \leq \rangle$$

where $\leq_{P \oplus \rightarrow Q}$ is defined via Definition 3 in 1.2.5.

4.1.2. Recall now the elementary properties of cardinal $+$ and ordinal \oplus sums [59,1]. Let X, Y, Z be posets. **Let** us define for $\langle X, \prec \rangle$ the $\{X\}$ = number of pairs in covering relationship $\prec \cdot$ which is induced by partial order relation \prec = number of arrows in Hasse digraph $\langle X, \prec \cdot \rangle$ of the poset $\langle X, \prec \rangle$. Then

[P.1] $X + Y = Y + X$, [P.2] $(X + Y) + Z = X + (Y + Z)$, [P.3] $|X + Y| = |X| + |Y|$, [P.4] $\{X + Y\} = \{X\} + \{Y\}$.

Let since now on $A[X]$ denotes the adjacency matrix of the poset $\langle X, \prec \rangle$. Then the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}[X + Y]$ of a Hasse DAG digraph $D(X + Y)$ is the direct sum of corresponding adjacency matrices $\mathbf{A}[X]$ and $\mathbf{A}[Y]$

[P.5]

$$\mathbf{A}[X + Y] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us define now for posets $\langle X, \prec \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \prec * \rangle$ their Cartesian product $X \cdot Y$ $\langle X \times Y, \leq \rangle$ the $\{X\}$ via $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ iff $x_1 \prec x_2$ and $y_1 \prec * y_2$. Then

[P.6] $X \cdot Y = Y \cdot X$, [P.7] $(X \cdot Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$ and [P.8] $(X + Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot Z + Y \cdot Z$ - (the same for lexicographic product, which is also distributive with respect to \oplus [59]) while [P.9] $(X \oplus Y) \cdot Z \neq X \cdot Z \oplus Y \cdot Z$. For \oplus other elementary properties are the following ones. **P.10** $X \oplus Y \neq Y \oplus X$ and **P.11** $(X \oplus Y) \oplus Z = X \oplus (Y \oplus Z)$.

The adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}[X + Y]$ of a Hasse DAG digraph $D(X + Y)$ is *not the direct sum* of corresponding adjacency matrices $\mathbf{A}[X]$ and $\mathbf{A}[Y]$.

[P.12]

$$\mathbf{A}[X \oplus Y] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{I}(r \times s) \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = |X|$, $s = |Y|$ and $I(r \times s)$ stays for $(r \times s)$ matrix of ones i.e. $[I(r \times s)]_{ij} = 1; 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s$.

[P.13] $\{X \oplus Y\} = \{X\} + \{Y\} + M(X) \cdot m(Y)$ where $M(X)$ is the number of maximal elements in X and $m(Y)$ is the number of minimal elements in Y . Hence in general [P.14] $\{X \oplus Y\} \neq \{Y \oplus X\}$. Naturally [P.15] $|X \otimes Y| = |X| + |Y|$.

4.1.3. In view of the above the following is obvious.

Obvious. The natural join operation $\oplus \rightarrow$ is associative and noncommutative - as the ordinal [linear] sum \oplus is.

[P.16] $X \oplus \rightarrow Y \neq Y \oplus \rightarrow X$, [P.17] $(X \oplus \rightarrow Y) \oplus \rightarrow Z = X \oplus \rightarrow (Y \oplus \rightarrow Z)$

Obvious. Naturally **always** [P.18] $P \oplus \rightarrow Q \neq P \oplus Q$ for non-empty sets P and Q - see Figures 13,14,15.

[P.19] $(X \oplus \rightarrow Y) \cdot Z \neq X \cdot Z \oplus \rightarrow Y \prec Z$, [P.17] $\{X \oplus \rightarrow Y\} = \{X\} + \{Y\} - \{X \cap Y\}$. Hence in general [P.20] $\{X \oplus \rightarrow Y\} \neq \{Y \oplus \rightarrow X\}$ if defined at all. Similarly [P.20] $|X \oplus \rightarrow Y| = |X| + |Y| - |X \cap Y|$; see Figures 13,14,15.

[P.21] **Natural join of Hasse DAG diagrams adjacency matrices.** .

The adjacency matrix $A[D(P)] \equiv A[D]$ of a DAG $D(P) = \langle P, \prec \cdot = E \subseteq P \times P \rangle$ i.e. Hasse digraph of the poset $\langle P, \prec \rangle$ is given by

$$\mathbf{A}[D] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_{r,s} \\ \mathbf{zeros} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = 2, \dots, |P| \geq s > r$.

Correspondingly the adjacency matrix $A[D(P)] \equiv A[D]$ of a DAG such that $D(P) = (V \cup W, E \subseteq P \times P)$ were $V \cup W = P$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$, is given in self-explanatory notation by

$$\mathbf{A}[D] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(V)] & A[D(V, W)] \\ \mathbf{zeros} & \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(W)] \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A[D(V, W)] = (A[D]_{r,s})$ for $r = 1, \dots, |V|$ and $s = 1, \dots, |W|$ and $A[D]_{r,s} = [x_r \in V \wedge y_s \in W \wedge x_r \prec \cdot y_s]$ where Knuth notation [24] was used.

Definition 13 *Natural join of Hasse DAG diagrams adjacency matrices.*

Let **Natural Join Conformity Condition** be satisfied by $A[D(V \cup W)]$ and $A[D(W \cup U)]$ i.e. let

$$\mathbf{A}[D(V \cup W)] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(V)] & A[D(V, W)] \\ \mathbf{zeros} & \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(W)] \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}[D(W \cup U)] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(W)] & A[D(W, U)] \\ \mathbf{zeros} & \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(U)] \end{pmatrix},$$

then [P.21]

$$\mathbf{A}[D(V \cup W)] \oplus \rightarrow \mathbf{A}[D(W \cup U)] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(V)] & A[D(V, W)] & 0 \\ \mathbf{zeros} & \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(W)] & A[D(W, U)] \\ \mathbf{zeros} & \mathbf{zeros} & \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{D}(U)] \end{pmatrix}.$$

4.2 The Principal - natural identifications. - see 4.1 in [6].

Any **KoDAG** is a **di-bicliques chain** \Leftrightarrow Any **KoDAG** is a **natural join** of complete bipartite **graphs** [**di-bicliques**] =

$$(\Phi_0 \cup \Phi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Phi_n \cup \dots, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n \cup \dots) \equiv D\left(\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Phi_k, \bigcup_{k \geq 0} E_k\right) \equiv D(\Phi, E)$$

where $E_k = \Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1} \equiv K_{k,k+1}^{\rightarrow}$ and $E = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} E_k$.

Naturally, as indicated earlier in [6] any graded posets' Hasse diagram with minimal elements set including **KoDAGs** is of the form

$$D(\Phi, E) \equiv D\left(\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Phi_k, \bigcup_{k \geq 0} E_k\right) \Leftrightarrow \langle \Phi, \leq \rangle$$

where $E_k \subseteq \Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1} \equiv K_{k,k+1}^{\rightarrow}$ and the definition of \leq from **1.3.** in [6] is applied. In front of all the above presentation in [6] the following is clear .

Observation 1 (9 in [6].) "Many" graded digraphs with minimal elements set including **KoDAGs** $D = (V, \prec \cdot)$ denominate [encode] biunivoquely their correspondent $(n + 1)$ -ary relation: $\oplus \rightarrow_{k=0}^n E_k \subset \times_{k=0}^n K_{k,k+1}^{\rightarrow}$ where $E_k \subseteq \Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1} \equiv K_{k,k+1}^{\rightarrow}$ and $n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$.

Which are those "many"? The characterization is arrived at with au rebour point of view. Any n -ary relation ($n \in N \cup \{\infty\}$) determines uniquely [may be identified with] its correspondent graded digraph with minimal elements set Φ_0 given by the $(n$ -ary rel.) formula, where the sequence of binary relations $E_k \subseteq \Phi_k \times \Phi_{k+1} \equiv K_{k,k+1}^{\rightarrow}$ is denominated by the source n -ary relation as the following example shows.

Example (ternary = $Binary_1 \oplus \rightarrow Binary_2$)

Let $T \subset X \times Z \times Y$ where $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and

$$T = \{\langle x_1, z_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, z_2, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, z_4, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_2, z_3, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_3, z_3, y_2 \rangle\}.$$




Figure 16: Display of example ternary = $Binary_1 \oplus \rightarrow Binary_2$.

Let $X \times Z \supset E_1 = \{\langle x_1, z_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, z_2 \rangle, \langle x_1, z_4 \rangle, \langle x_2, z_3 \rangle, \langle x_3, z_3 \rangle\}$ and $Z \times Y \supset E_2 = \{\langle z_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle z_2, y_1 \rangle, \langle z_3, y_1 \rangle, \langle z_4, y_2 \rangle\}$. Then $T = E_1 \oplus \rightarrow E_2$.

Finally - anyhow we already know the now easy answer as it was expressed earlier.

F-graded poset may be identified with n-ary relation as above iff it is F-graded poset with neither mute no dummy nodes.

Equivalently - zero columns or rows in bi-adjacency matrices of bipartite natural join summands of P are forbidden. See and compare with relevant Fig. 2 at the beginning and Fig.17 at the end of the paper and perhaps consult [2,6].

4.3 The Principal properties of cobweb posets as being also the natural join operation product.

It is well known that any order relation is the intersection of its linear extensions as proved by Szpilrajn in [60]. A set of linear extensions of P whose intersection is P is called a realizer of P . The dimension of an ordered set P is the minimum cardinality of a realizer of P .

The dimension of any cobweb poset is two, as proved in [6] (Observation 2 p.6). There it was also shown (Observation 3 p.7 in [6]) that the **Ferrers dimension of any cobweb poset is one**.

Lemma 1 *Some Properties of Cobwebs*

*Cobweb posets are ranked, N -free \iff series-parallel posets. Hence cobweb posets are greedy posets. Hence cobweb posets are **not** D -posets i.e. Dilworth posets.*

Indeed. See it obvious in view what follows in more detail.

4.1. It is obvious that cobweb posets are series-parallel posets \iff N - free posets where:

Definition 14 [61] *A series-parallel poset is one that can be recursively constructed by applying the operations of disjoint sum and linear product, starting with a single point .*

Note. A series-parallel poset \iff N - free poset which is a particular case of the S -poset introduced in [62] by Richard P. Stanley. See also p. 150 in [59]. (See - find N poset's Hasse digraph in Fig.14).

Lemma 2 (*Characterization Lemma - see [63, 64, 65]*) *A finite poset is series-parallel if and only if it is N -free.*

We shall now mostly follow [65].

4.2. From Lemma 1.1 in [65] , namely : "A poset which does not have a subposet isomorphic to a cycle is a D -poset." we readily infer that cobweb posets are not D -posets i.e. Dilworth posets - (ad Dilworth posets see also p.247 in [66]).

4.3. Cobweb posets are greedy posets (for greedy posets see: [65] see also p. 150 in [59] , see also p.242 in [66])

Definition 15 [65] *A linear extension $L = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ of P is greedy if L can be obtained by applying the following algorithm :*

1. Choose a minimal element $x_1 \in P$

2. Suppose x_1, \dots, x_i have been chosen. If there is at least one minimal element of $P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ which is greater than x_i then choose x_{i+1} to be any such minimal element; otherwise, choose x_{i+1} to be any minimal element of $P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$.

Let $G(P)$ be the set of all greedy linear extensions of P [65]. Let $O(P)$ be the set of all optimal linear extensions of P [65]. (L is called an optimal linear extension of P if $s(L, P) = s(P)$ where the jump number $s(P)$ of P is defined as the minimum of $s(L, P)$ over all linear extensions L of P .)

Since the greedy algorithm above is a particular way of carrying out the algorithm for a linear extension, every poset P has a greedy linear extension.

Cogis [67] and Rival and Zaguia [68] had shown that every poset has an optimal greedy linear extension.

A poset P is greedy if $G(P) = O(P)$, that is, every greedy extension is optimal.

A poset P is N -free if P contains no cover-preserving subposet isomorphic to the poset N .

(See - find N poset's Hasse digraph in Fig.14).

Lemma 3 (*Lemma 1.2 in [65]*) *Every N -free poset is greedy.*

In 1982 El-Zahar and Rival [67] had proved that:

Lemma 4 (*Lemma 1.3 in [65]*) *A poset which does not contain a subposet isomorphic to Crown Poset satisfies $O(P) = G(P)$.*

Recall that the dual of the poset P is the poset P^d obtained from P by reversing the order.

Recall that a poset P is said to be reversible if $L^d G(P^d) = G(P)$ for every $L G(P)$.

Important. In 1986 Rival and Zaguia [68] had proved the following characterization lemma.

Lemma 5 (*Lemma 1.4 in [65]*) *A poset P is reversible if and only if $O(P) = G(P)$.*

Exercise. Are cobweb posets reversible?

Some open problems. For some open general problems see [5] on cobweb posets digraphs' elementary properties and questions. For some open tiling task problems see Maciej Dziemiańczuk's productions [49] and [48].

Acknowledgments Thanks are expressed here to the Student of Gdańsk University Maciej Dziemiańczuk for applying his skillful TeX-nology with respect most of my articles since three years as well as for his general assistance and cooperation on KoDAGs investigation.

The author thanks Dr Ewa Krot-Sieniawska for her critical remarks concerning mute nodes notion. The author expresses also his gratitude also Dr Ewa Krot-Sieniawska for her several years' cooperation and vivid application of the alike material deserving Students' admiration for her being such a comprehensible and reliable Teacher before she as Independent Person was fired by local Białystok University local authorities exactly on the day she had defended Rota and cobweb posets related dissertation with distinction.

References

- [1] Garrett Birkhoff ; 1967 (1940). Lattice Theory, 3rd ed. American Mathematical Society
- [2] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski *Natural join construction of graded posets versus ordinal sum and discrete hyper boxes* arXiv:0907.2595v2 [v2] Thu, 30 Jul 2009 22:46:39 GMT

- [3] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski *Graded posets inverse zeta matrix formula* arXiv:0903.2575 [v2] Tue, 7 Jul 2009 18:31:04 GMT
- [4] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski *Graded posets zeta matrix formula* arXiv:0901.0155v1 [v1] Thu, 1 Jan 2009 01:43:35 GMT (15 pages Sylvester Night paper)
- [5] A.K. Kwaśniewski , *Some Cobweb Posets Digraphs' Elementary Properties and Questions* arXiv:0812.4319v1, [v1] Tue, 23 Dec 2008 00:40:41 GMT
- [6] A. K. Kwaśniewski , *Cobweb Posets and KoDAG Digraphs are Representing Natural Join of Relations, their di-Bigraphs and the Corresponding Adjacency Matrices*, arXiv:math/0812.4066v1,[v1] Sun, 21 Dec 2008 23:04:48 GMT, [v3] Sat, 15 Aug 2009 05:12:22 GMT
- [7] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *Fibonomial cumulative connection constants* arXiv:math/0406006v2 [v6] Fri, 20 Feb 2009 02:26:21 GMT , upgrade of Bulletin of the ICA vol. 44 (**2005**) 81-92 paper.
- [8] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *On cobweb posets and their combinatorially admissible sequences*, Adv. Studies Contemp. Math. Vol. 18 No 1, 2009 17-32 ArXiv:0512578v4 [v5] Mon, 19 Jan 2009 21:47:32 GMT
- [9] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *How the work of Gian Carlo Rota had influenced my group research and life*, arXiv:0901.2571 [v4] Tue, 10 Feb 2009 03:42:43 GMT
- [10] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, M. Dziemiańczuk, *Cobweb Posets - Recent Results*, ISRAMA 2007, December 1-17 2007 Kolkata, INDIA, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. volume 16 (2), 2008 (April) pp. 197-218, arXiv:0801.3985v1 [v1] Fri, 25 Jan 2008 17:01:28 GMT
- [11] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *Cobweb posets as noncommutative prefabs*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. vol.14 (1) 2007. pp. 37-47; arXiv:math/0503286v4 1: Tue, 15 Mar 2005 04:26:45 GMT
- [12] A. K. Kwaśniewski, M. Dziemiańczuk *On cobweb posets' most relevant codings*, arXiv:0804.1728v1, [v1] 10 Apr 2008 15:09:26 GMT, [v2] Fri, 27 Feb 2009 18:05:33 GMT
- [13] M. Dziemiańczuk, *On Cobweb posets tiling problem*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. volume 16 (2), 2008 (April) pp. 219-233, arXiv:0709.4263v2, Thu, 4 Oct 2007 14:13:44 GMT
- [14] M. Dziemiańczuk, *On multi F-nomial coefficients and Inversion formula for F-nomial coefficients*, arXiv:0806.3626, 23 Jun 2008
- [15] M. Dziemiańczuk, *On Cobweb Admissible Sequences - The Production Theorem*, Proceedings of The 2008 International Conference on Foundations of Computer Science (FCS'08), July 14-17, 2008, Las Vegas, USA pp.163-165
- [16] M. Dziemiańczuk, W.Bajguz, *On GCD-morphic sequences*, arXiv:0802.1303v1, 10 Feb 2008

- [17] M.Dziemiańczuk, *Cobweb Posets Website*,
<http://www.faces-of-nature.art.pl/cobwebposets.html>
- [18] A. K. Kwaśniewski *Combinatorial interpretation of Fibonomial coefficients* Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/Preprint/No52/November/**2003**
- [19] A. K. Kwaśniewski *More on combinatorial interpretation of Fibonomial coefficients* Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/PreprintNo/56/November/**2003**
- [20] A. K. Kwaśniewski *Fibonomial cumulative connection constants*, Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/PreprintNo**58**/December/**2003**, Bulletin of the ICA vol. 44 (2005), 81-92, arXiv:math/0406006v1 [v1] Tue, 1 Jun **2004** 00:59:23 GMT [v6]Fri, 20 Feb 2009 02:26:21 GMT
- [21] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *Comments on combinatorial interpretation of fibonomial coefficients - an email style letter* Bulletin of the ICA vol. **42** September (**2004**) 10-11, arXiv:0802.1381v1, [v1] Mon, 11 Feb 2008 06:33:24 GMT
- [22] A. K. Kwaśniewski *Combinatorial interpretation of the recurrence relation for fibonomial coefficients*, Bulletin de la Societe des Sciences et des Lettres de Lodz (54) Serie: Recherches sur les Deformations Vol. 44 (**2004**) pp. 23-38, arXiv:math/0403017v2 [v1] Mon, 1 Mar **2004** 02:36:51 GMT
- [23] A. K. Kwaśniewski *More on combinatorial interpretation of fibonomial coefficients* Bulletin de la Societe des Sciences et des Lettres de Lodz (54) Serie: Recherches sur les Deformations Vol. 44 (**2004**) pp. 23-38, arXiv:math/0402344v2 [v1] 23 Feb **2004** Mon, 20:27:13 GMT
- [24] Donald E. Knuth *Two Notes on Notation*, Amer. Math. Monthly 99 (1992), no. 5, 403-422 , arXiv:math/9205211v1, [v1] Fri, 1 May 1992 00:00:00 GMT
- [25] Ewa Krot *A note on Möbius function and Möbius inversion formula of fibonacci cobweb poset*, Bulletin de la Societe des Sciences et des Lettres de Lodz (54), Serie: Recherches sur les Deformations Vol. 44 (2004), 39-44 arXiv:math/0404158v2, [v1] Wed, 7 Apr **2004** 10:23:38 GMT [v2] Wed, 28 Apr 2004 07:37:14 GMT
- [26] Ewa Krot *The First Ascent into the Incidence Algebra of the Fibonacci Cobweb Poset*, Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics 11 (2005), No. 2, 179-184, arXiv:math/0411007v1 [v1] Sun, 31 Oct *2004* 12:46:51 GMT
- [27] Ewa Krot-Sieniawska, *On incidence algebras description of cobweb posets*, arXiv:0802.3703v1 [v1] Tue, 26 Feb **2008** 13:12:43 GMT
- [28] Ewa Krot-Sieniawska, *Reduced Incidence algebras description of cobweb posets and KoDAGs*, arXiv:0802.4293v1, [v1] Fri, 29 Feb **2008** 05:43:27 GMT
- [29] Ewa Krot-Sieniawska, *On Characteristic Polynomials of the Family of Cobweb Posets*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. Vo 111 (2008) no. 2. pp.105-111 arXiv:0802.2696v1 [v1] Tue, 19 Feb **2008** 18:53:38 GMT

- [30] A. K. Kwaśniewski *Towards ψ -extension of Finite Operator Calculus of Rota* Rep. Math. Phys. **48** (3), 305-342 (2001). arXiv:math/0402078v1, [v1] Thu, 5 Feb 2004 13:02:30 GMT
- [31] A. K. Kwaśniewski *On extended finite operator calculus of Rota and quantum groups* Integral Transforms and Special Functions **2**(4), 333 (2001)
- [32] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *On Simple Characterizations of Sheffer ψ -polynomials and Related Propositions of the Calculus of Sequences*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Lodz Ser. Rech. Deform. **52**, Ser. Rech. Deform. 36 (2002): 45-65. arXiv:math/0312397v1 ,[v1] Sat, 20 Dec 2003 23:21:51 GMT.
- [33] A. K. Kwaśniewski *Main theorems of extended finite operator calculus* Integral Transforms and Special Functions **14**, 333 (2003).
- [34] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *On basic Bernoulli-Ward polynomials* Bulletin de la Societe des Sciences et des Lettres de Lodz (54) Serie: Recherches sur les Deformations Vol. 45 (2004) 5-10, ArXiv: math/0405577v1 [v1] Sun, 30 May 2004 00:32:47 GMT
- [35] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *ψ -Appell polynomials' solutions of an umbral difference nonhomogeneous equation*, Bulletin de la Societe des Sciences et des Lettres de Lodz (54) Serie: Recherches sur les Deformations Vol. 45 (2004) 11-15 [v2] Sat, 13 Nov 2004 05:02:24 GMT
- [36] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *The logarithmic Fib-binomial formula*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. v.9 No.1 (2004) 19-26, arXiv:math/0406258v1 [v1] Sun, 13 Jun 2004 17:24:54 GMT
- [37] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *Fibonacci-triad sequences* Advan. Stud. Contemp. Math. **9** (2) (2004),109-118.
- [38] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *Fibonacci q -Gauss sequences* Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.. **8** No 2 (2004), 121-124. ArXive: math.CO/0405591 31 May 2004.
- [39] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *q -Poisson , q -Dobinski , Rota and coherent states-a fortieth anniversary memoir*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. Vol. 7 (2), 2004 pp. 95-98. arXiv:math/0402254v2 v2] Tue, 17 Feb 2004 21:49:39 GMT
- [40] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *Cauchy \hat{q}_ψ -identity and \hat{q}_ψ -Fermat matrix via \hat{q}_ψ -muting variables for Extended Finite Operator Calculus* Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. Vol 8 (2005) no. 2. pp.191-196, Inst.Comp.Sci.UwB/Preprint No. 60 , December , (2003). arXiv:math/0403107v1 [v1] Fri, 5 Mar 2004 09:57:32 GMT
- [41] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *Cauchy identity and -Fermat matrix via muting variables for Extended Finite Operator Calculus* Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. Vol 8 (2005) no. 2. pp.191-196 arXiv:math/0403107v1 [v1] Fri, 5 Mar 2004 09:57:32 GMT
- [42] A. K. Kwaśniewski *ψ -Pascal and \hat{q}_ψ -Pascal matrices - an accessible factory of one source identities and resulting applications*, Advanced Stud. Contemp. Math. **10** No2 (2005), 111-120. ArXiv:math.CO/0403123 v1 7 March 2004

- [43] A. K. Kwaśniewski: *Information on some recent applications of umbral extensions to discrete mathematics* Review Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society Vol 13 (**2005**), 1-10 ArXiv:math.CO/0411145v1 [v2] Wed, 21 Sep 2005 14:12:33 GMT
- [44] A.K.Kwaniewski *Extended finite operator calculus - an example of algebraization of analysis* Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society Vol 20, (**2005**): 1-24 arXiv:0812.5027v1, [v1] Tue, 30 Dec 2008 08:09:29 GMT
- [45] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *On umbral extensions of Stirling numbers and Dobinski-like formulas* Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **12(2006)** no. 1, pp.73-100. arXiv:math/0411002v5 [v5] Thu, 20 Oct 2005 02:12:47 GMT
- [46] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *First Observations on Prefab Posets Whitney Numbers*, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras Volume 18, Number 1 / February, **2008**, 57-73, arXiv:0802.1696v1, [v1] Tue, 12 Feb 2008 19:47:18 GMT
- [47] Ewa Krot *Further developments in finite fibonomial calculus*, Inst. Comp. Sci. UwB/Preprint No64/February/2004, arXiv:math/0410550v2 [v1] Tue, 26 Oct 2004 10:37:43 GMT, [v2] Wed, 27 Oct 2004 08:01:59 GMT
- [48] M. Dziemiańczuk, *On Cobweb Posets and Discrete F-Boxes Tilings.*, arXiv:0802.3473v2, Thu, 2 Apr 2009 11:05:55 GMT
- [49] M. Dziemiańczuk, *Counting Bipartite, k-Colored and Directed Acyclic Multi Graphs Through F-nomial coefficients.*, arXiv:0901.1337v1, Sun, 11 Jan 2009 21:00:27 GMT
- [50] Stanley, R.P., *Differential posets*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. v1. (**1988**) 919-961.
- [51] Sergey V. Fomin *Duality of graded Graphs* Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, Volume **03**, Number 4, October 1994, pp. 357-404.
- [52] A. K. Kwaśniewski *More on Bernoulli-Taylor formula for extended umbral calculus*, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, Vol. **16** (1) (2006) 29-39, arXiv:0802.1690v1, [v1] Tue, 12 Feb 2008 19:24:00 GMT
- [53] Geir Agnarsson *On multipartite posets*, Discrete Mathematics **308** (22): 5284-5288 (2008), arXiv:0706.1529v1 [v1] Mon, 11 Jun 2007
- [54] Jonathan David Farley *Toward a MatThemMatTical Theory of Ccount-TertTerrorismM*, The Proteus Monograph Series, Vol.1, Issue 2 December 2007.
- [55] Richard Stanley *Ordered structures and partitions* (revision of **1971** Harvard University thesis) Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. no. 119 (**1972**)
- [56] Joni S.A., Rota. G.-C., Sagan B.: *From Sets to Functions: Three Elementary Examples*, Discrete Mathematics, **37** (1981), p.193-202.
- [57] Richard P. Stanley *Enumerative combinatorics*, Volume 2, by, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999

- [58] Bruce E. Sagan, *Why the characteristic polynomial factors* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 113-133.
- [59] J. Neggers, Hee Sik Kim *Basic posets*, Singapore, World Scientific, cop. **1998**.
- [60] E. Szpilrajn, *Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel* Fund. Math. **16**, 386-389 (1930).
- [61] Steven Finch *Series-Parallel Networks* July 7, **2003**, <http://algo.inria.fr/csolve/ntwrks.pdf>.
- [62] R.P. Stanley *Enumeration of posets generated by disjoint unions and ordinal sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 295-299.
- [63] J. Valdes, R. E. Tarjan, and E. L. Lawler, *The recognition of series parallel digraphs*, Proc. 11th ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC), Atlanta, ACM, 1979, pp. 1-12; SIAM J. Comput. **11** (1982) 298-313;
- [64] J. Grabowski, *On partial languages*, Fundamenta Informaticae **4** (1981) 427- 498.
- [65] Richard A. Brualdi, Hyung Chan Jung, William T. Trotter: *On the poset of all posets on n elements*. Discrete Applied Mathematics **50** (2): 111-123 (1994)
- [66] Ivan Rival, *Algorithms and order*, NATO ASI series. Series C, Mathematical and physical sciences, vol. bf 255 "Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Algorithms and Order, Ottawa, Canada, May 31- June 13, 1987".
- [67] O. Cogis, Problem 4.6, Ordered Sets, I. Rival ed., Reidel (Dordrecht), 814 (1982).
- [68] I. Rival, N. Zaguia, *Constructing greedy linear extensions by interchanging chains*, Order **3**, 107-121 (1986).






Figure 17: Display of bipartite digraphs with dummy and mute node and their biadjacency matrices.