

# Critical independent sets and König–Egerváry graphs

Vadim E. Levit  
Ariel University Center of Samaria, Israel  
levitv@ariel.ac.il

Eugen Mandrescu  
Holon Institute of Technology, Israel  
eugen\_m@hit.ac.il

## Abstract

A set  $S$  of vertices is *independent* in a graph  $G$ , and we write  $S \in \text{Ind}(G)$ , if no two vertices from  $S$  are adjacent, and  $\alpha(G)$  is the cardinality of an independent set of maximum size, while  $\text{core}(G)$  denotes the intersection of all maximum independent sets [17].

$G$  is called a *König–Egerváry graph* if its order equals  $\alpha(G) + \mu(G)$ , where  $\mu(G)$  denotes the size of a maximum matching. The number  $\text{def}(G) = |V(G)| - 2\mu(G)$  is the *deficiency* of  $G$  [21].

The number  $d(G) = \max\{|S| - |N(S)| : S \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$  is the *critical difference* of  $G$ . An independent set  $A$  is *critical* if  $|A| - |N(A)| = d(G)$ , where  $N(S)$  is the neighborhood of  $S$ , and  $\alpha_c(G)$  denotes the maximum size of a critical independent set [26].

In [14] it was shown that  $G$  is König–Egerváry graph if and only if there exists a maximum independent set that is also critical, i.e.,  $\alpha_c(G) = \alpha(G)$ .

In this paper we prove that:

(i)  $d(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = \text{def}(G)$  hold for every König–Egerváry graph  $G$ ;

(ii)  $G$  is König–Egerváry graph if and only if each maximum independent set of  $G$  is critical.

**Keywords:** independent set, maximum matching, critical difference, critical independent set, deficiency, core.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper  $G = (V, E)$  is a finite, undirected, loopless and without multiple edges graph with vertex set  $V = V(G)$  and edge set  $E = E(G)$ . If  $X \subset V$ , then  $G[X]$  is the subgraph of  $G$  spanned by  $X$ . By  $G - W$  we mean the subgraph  $G[V - W]$ , if  $W \subset V(G)$ . For  $F \subset E(G)$ , by  $G - F$  we denote the partial subgraph of  $G$  obtained by deleting the edges of  $F$ , and we use  $G - e$ , if  $W = \{e\}$ . The neighborhood of a vertex  $v \in V$  is the set  $N(v) = \{w : w \in V \text{ and } vw \in E\}$ , while  $N(A) = \cup\{N(v) : v \in A\}$  and  $N[A] = A \cup N(A)$  for  $A \subset V$ .

A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is *independent* if no two vertices from  $S$  are adjacent, and by  $\text{Ind}(G)$  we mean the set of all the independent sets of  $G$ . An independent set of maximum size will be referred to as a *maximum independent set* of  $G$ , and the *independence number* of  $G$  is  $\alpha(G) = \max\{|S| : S \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$ .

Let us denote the set  $\{S : S \text{ is a maximum independent set of } G\}$  by  $\Omega(G)$ , and let  $\text{core}(G) = \cap\{S : S \in \Omega(G)\}$  [17]. A set  $A \subseteq V(G)$  is a *local maximum independent set* of  $G$  if  $A \in \Omega(G[N[A]])$  [16].

**Theorem 1.1** [22] *Every local maximum independent set of a graph is a subset of a maximum independent set.*

A matching (i.e., a set of non-incident edges of  $G$ ) of maximum cardinality  $\mu(G)$  is a *maximum matching*, and a *perfect matching* is one covering all vertices of  $G$ .

It is well-known that

$$\lfloor |V|/2 \rfloor + 1 \leq \alpha(G) + \mu(G) \leq |V|$$

hold for any graph  $G = (V, E)$ . If  $\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = |V|$ , then  $G$  is called a *König-Egerváry graph*. We attribute this definition to Deming [6], and Sterboul [25]. These graphs were studied in [3, 11, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24], and generalized in [2, 23].

According to a well-known result of König [10], and Egerváry [8], any bipartite graph is a König-Egerváry graph. This class includes non-bipartite graphs as well (see, for instance, the graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in Figure 1).




Figure 1: Only  $H_3$  is not a König-Egerváry graph, as  $\alpha(H_3) + \mu(H_3) = 4 < 5 = |V(H_3)|$ .

It is easy to see that if  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph, then  $\alpha(G) \geq \mu(G)$ , and that a graph  $G$  having a perfect matching is a König-Egerváry graph if and only if  $\alpha(G) = \mu(G)$ .

The number  $d(G) = \max\{|S| - |N(S)| : S \in \text{Ind}(G)\}$  is called the *critical difference* of  $G$ . An independent set  $A$  is *critical* if  $|A| - |N(A)| = d(G)$ , and the *critical independence number*  $\alpha_c(G)$  is the cardinality of a maximum critical independent set [26]. Clearly,  $\alpha_c(G) \leq \alpha(G)$  holds for any graph  $G$ . It is known that the problem of finding a critical independent set is polynomially solvable [1, 26].

**Proposition 1.2** [13] *If  $S$  is a critical independent set, then there is a matching from  $N(S)$  into  $S$ .*

If  $S$  is an independent set of a graph  $G$  and  $H = G - S$ , then we write  $G = S * H$ . Evidently, any graph admits such representations. For instance, if  $E(H) = \emptyset$ , then  $G = S * H$  is bipartite; if  $H$  is complete, then  $G = S * H$  is a *split graph* [9].

**Proposition 1.3** [18]  *$G$  is a König-Egerváry graph if and only if  $G = H_1 * H_2$ , where  $V(H_1) \in \Omega(G)$  and  $|V(H_1)| \geq \mu(G) = |V(H_2)|$ .*

Let  $M$  be a maximum matching of a graph  $G$ . To adopt Edmonds's terminology [7], we recall the following terms for  $G$  relative to  $M$ . An *alternating path* from a vertex  $x$  to a vertex  $y$  is a  $x, y$ -path whose edges are alternating in and not in  $M$ . A vertex  $x$  is *exposed* relative to  $M$  if  $x$  is not the endpoint of a heavy edge. An odd cycle  $C$  with  $V(C) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2k}\}$  and  $E(C) = \{x_i x_{i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq 2k - 1\} \cup \{x_{2k}, x_0\}$ , such that  $x_1 x_2, x_3 x_4, \dots, x_{2k-1} x_{2k} \in M$  is a *blossom* relative to  $M$ . The vertex  $x_0$  is the *base* of the blossom. The *stem* is an even length alternating path joining the base of a blossom and an exposed vertex for  $M$ . The base is the only common vertex to the blossom and the stem. A *flower* is a blossom and its stem. A *posy* consists of two (not necessarily disjoint) blossoms joined by an odd length alternating path whose first and last edges belong to  $M$ . The endpoints of the path are exactly the bases of the two blossoms. The following result of Sterboul, characterizes König-Egerváry graphs in terms of forbidden configurations.

**Theorem 1.4** [25] *For a graph  $G$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph;
- (ii) there exist no flower and no posy relative to some maximum matching  $M$ ;
- (iii) there exist no flower and no posy relative to any maximum matching  $M$ .

In [20] is given a characterization of König-Egerváry graphs having a perfect matching, in terms of certain forbidden subgraphs with respect to a specific perfect matching of the graph. In [12] is given the following characterization of König-Egerváry graphs in terms of excluded structures.

**Theorem 1.5** [12] *Let  $M$  be a maximum matching in a graph  $G$ . Then  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph if and only if  $G$  does not contain one of the forbidden configurations, depicted in Figure 2, with respect to  $M$ .*




Figure 2: Forbidden configurations. The vertex  $v$  is not adjacent to the matching edges (namely, dashed edges).

In [14] it was shown that  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph if and only if  $\alpha_c(G) = \alpha(G)$ , thus giving a positive answer to the Graffiti.pc 329 conjecture [5].

The *deficiency* of  $G$ , denoted by  $def(G)$ , is defined as the number of exposed vertices relative to a maximum matching [21]. In other words,  $def(G) = |V(G)| - 2\mu(G)$ .

In this paper we prove that the critical difference for a König-Egerváry graph  $G$  is given by

$$d(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = \text{def}(G),$$

and using this finding, we show that  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph if and only if each of its maximum independent sets is critical.

## 2 Results

**Proposition 2.1** *Every critical independent set is a local maximum independent set.*

**Proof.** Suppose, on the contrary, that there is a critical independent set  $S$  such that  $S \notin \Psi(G)$ , i.e., there exists some independent set  $A \subseteq N[S]$ , larger than  $S$ . It follows that  $|A \cap N(S)| > |S - S \cap A|$ , and this contradicts the fact that, according to Proposition 1.2, there is a matching from  $A \cap N(S)$  to  $S$ , in fact, from  $A \cap N(S)$  to  $S - S \cap A$ . ■

The converse of Proposition 2.1 is not true; e.g., the set  $\{d, h\}$  is a local maximum independent set of the graph  $G_1$  from Figure 3, but it is not critical.

Using Theorem 1.1, we easily deduce the following result.

**Corollary 2.2** [4] *Every critical independent set is contained in some maximum independent set.*

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph, then*

- (i) [18]  $N(\text{core}(G)) = \cap \{V(G) - S : S \in \Omega(G)\}$ ;
- (ii) [19]  $\alpha(G) + |\cap \{V(G) - S : S \in \Omega(G)\}| = \mu(G) + |\cap \{S : S \in \Omega(G)\}|$ ;
- (iii) [19]  $G - N[\text{core}(G)]$  has a perfect matching and it is also a König-Egerváry graph.

Let us notice that for non-König-Egerváry graphs every relation between  $\alpha(G) - \mu(G)$  and  $|\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|$  is possible.




Figure 3:  $\alpha(G_1) = 6$ ,  $\mu(G_1) = 3$ ,  $\text{core}(G_1) = \{a, b, d, g, f\}$  and  $N(\text{core}(G_1)) = \{c, e\}$ , while  $\alpha(G_2) = 4$ ,  $\mu(G_2) = 3$ ,  $\text{core}(G_2) = \{x, y, z\}$ , and  $N(\text{core}(G_2)) = \{v\}$ .

The non-König-Egerváry graphs from Figure 3 satisfy:

$$\alpha(G_1) - \mu(G_1) = 3 = |\text{core}(G_1)| - |N(\text{core}(G_1))|$$

and

$$\alpha(G_2) - \mu(G_2) = 1 < 2 = |\text{core}(G_2)| - |N(\text{core}(G_2))|.$$

The opposite direction of the above inequality may be found in  $G_3 = K_{2n} - e$ ,  $n \geq 3$ :

$$\alpha(G_3) - \mu(G_3) = 2 - n > 4 - 2n = 2 - (2n - 2) = |\text{core}(G_3)| - |N(\text{core}(G_3))|.$$

**Theorem 2.4** *If  $G$  is König-Egerváry graph, then the following equalities hold*

$$d(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = \text{def}(G).$$

**Proof.** Firstly, let us prove that  $\alpha(G) - \mu(G) \geq |S| - |N(S)|$  holds for every  $S \in \text{Ind}(G)$ , i.e.,  $d(G) \leq \alpha(G) - \mu(G)$ . If  $\alpha(G) = \mu(G)$ , then  $G$  has a perfect matching and

$$|S| - |N(S)| \leq 0 = \alpha(G) - \mu(G)$$

holds for every  $S \in \text{Ind}(G)$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha(G) > \mu(G)$ . Let  $S_0 \in \Omega(G)$  and  $M$  be a maximum matching, i.e.,  $|M| = |V(G) - S_0| = \mu(G)$ . Assume that  $S \in \text{Ind}(G)$  satisfies  $|S| - |N(S)| > 0$ . Then one can write  $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ , where  $S_3 \subseteq V(G) - S_0$ ,  $S_1 \cup S_2 \subset S_0$ ,  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ , and  $S_2$  contains every  $v \in S$  matched by  $M$  with some vertex of  $V(G) - S_0$ . Since  $M$  is a maximum matching, we obtain that  $|S_2| - |N(S_2)| \leq 0$  and  $|S_3| - |N(S_3)| \leq 0$ . Consequently, we infer that

$$\alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |S_0| - |V(G) - S_0| \geq |S_1| \geq |S| - |N(S)|,$$

as required (see Figure 4 for various examples of  $S$ ).




Figure 4:  $S_0 = \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq 8\}$ ,  $M = \{y_1x_4, y_2x_5, y_3x_6, y_4x_7, y_5x_8\}$ ,  $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ , where  $S_2 = \{x_5\}$ ,  $S_3 = \{y_4, y_5\}$ , while  $S_1$  belongs to  $\{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_1x_3\}, \{x_3\}\}$ .

The fact that  $\text{core}(G)$  is an independent set of  $G$  ensures that

$$\alpha(G) - \mu(G) \geq |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|.$$

Since  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph, we get that

$$\alpha(G) + \mu(G) = |V(G)| = |\text{core}(G)| + |N(\text{core}(G))| + |V(G - N[\text{core}(G)])|.$$

Assuming that

$$\alpha(G) - \mu(G) > |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|,$$

we obtain the following contradiction

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha(G) &> 2|\text{core}(G)| + |V(G - N[\text{core}(G)])| \\ &= 2|\text{core}(G)| + 2\alpha(G - N[\text{core}(G)]) = 2\alpha(G), \end{aligned}$$

because  $|V(G - N[\text{core}(G)])| = 2\alpha(G - N[\text{core}(G)])$  by Theorem 2.3(iii).

Therefore, we get that  $\alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|$ . Actually, this equality immediately follows from Theorem 2.3(i),(ii), but the current way of proof exploits different aspects of  $\text{Ind}(G)$ .

Further, using the inequality  $d(G) \leq \alpha(G) - \mu(G)$  and the equality

$$\alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|,$$

we finally deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| &\leq \max\{|S| - |N(S)| : S \in \text{Ind}(G)\} = d(G) \\ &\leq \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha(G) - \mu(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| = d(G).$$

Since  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph, we infer that

$$\alpha(G) - \mu(G) = \alpha(G) + \mu(G) - 2\mu(G) = |V(G)| - 2\mu(G) = \text{def}(G),$$

and this completes the proof. ■

**Corollary 2.5** *If  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph, then  $d(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  has a perfect matching.*

**Remark 2.6** *There exist non-König-Egerváry graphs enjoying the equalities*

$$d(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| = \alpha(G) - \mu(G),$$

*see, for instance, the graph  $G$  from Figure 5.*




Figure 5:  $G$  has  $\alpha(G) = 4$ ,  $\mu(G) = 3$ ,  $\text{core}(G) = \{a, h\}$  and  $N(\text{core}(G)) = \{b\}$ .

**Theorem 2.7** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph;
- (ii) there is  $S \in \Omega(G)$ , such that  $S$  is critical, i.e.,  $\alpha_c(G) = \alpha(G)$ ;
- (iii) every  $S \in \Omega(G)$  is critical.

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (iii) Let  $S \in \Omega(G)$ ,  $A = S - \text{core}(G)$  and  $B = V(G) - S - N(\text{core}(G))$ . By Theorem 2.3(iii), we infer that  $|A| = |B|$ , since  $G - N[\text{core}(G)]$  has a perfect matching. Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |S| - |N(S)| &= |A| + |\text{core}(G)| - (|B| + |N(\text{core}(G))|) \\ &= |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))|. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, according to Theorem 2.4, the equality  $|S| - |N(S)| = d(G)$  is true for every  $S \in \Omega(G)$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) It is clear.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) This was done in [14]. For the sake of completeness we add the proof.

There is a critical independent set  $S$  with  $|S| = \alpha_c(G) = \alpha(G)$ . By Proposition 1.2, there exists a matching  $M$  from  $N(S)$  into  $S$ , and clearly,  $|M| = |N(S)| = \mu(G)$ . Hence, we finally obtain that  $|V(G)| = |S| + |N(S)| = \alpha(G) + \mu(G)$ , i.e.,  $G$  is a König-Egerváry graph. ■

### 3 Conclusions

In this paper we give a new characterization of König-Egerváry graphs. On the one hand, it is similar in form to Sterboul's theorem [25]. On the other hand it extends Larson's finding [14]. We found that the critical difference of a König-Egerváry graph  $G$  is given by

$$d(G) = |\text{core}(G)| - |N(\text{core}(G))| = \alpha(G) - \mu(G) = \text{def}(G).$$

It seems interesting to find other families of graphs satisfying these equalities.

### References

- [1] A. A. Ageev, *On finding critical independent and vertex sets*, SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics **7** (1994) 293–295.
- [2] J. - M. Bourjolly, P. L. Hammer, B. Simeone, *Node weighted graphs having König-Egerváry property*, Math. Programming Study **22** (1984) 44–63.
- [3] J. M. Bourjolly, W. R. Pulleyblank, *König-Egerváry graphs, 2-bicritical graphs and fractional matchings*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **24** (1989) 63–82.
- [4] S. Butenko, S. Trukhanov, *Using Critical Sets to Solve the Maximum Independent Set Problem*, Operations Research Letters **35** (2007) 519–524.
- [5] E. DeLaVina, *Written on the Wall II, Conjectures of Graffiti.pc*, <http://cms.dt.uh.edu/faculty/delavinae/research/wowII/>
- [6] R. W. Deming, *Independence numbers of graphs - an extension of the König-Egerváry theorem*, Discrete Mathematics **27** (1979) 23–33.
- [7] J. Edmonds, *Paths, trees and flowers*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **17** (1965) 449–467.
- [8] E. Egerváry, *On combinatorial properties of matrices*, Matematikai Lapok **38** (1931) 16–28.
- [9] S. Földes, P. L. Hammer, *Split graphs*, Proceedings of 8th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (F. Hoffman *et al.* eds), Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 311–315.
- [10] D. König, *Graphen und Matrizen*, Matematikai Lapok **38** (1931) 116–119.
- [11] E. Korach, *On dual integrality, min-max equalities and algorithms in combinatorial programming*, University of Waterloo, Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, Ph.D. Thesis, 1982.
- [12] E. Korach, T. Nguyen, B. Peis, *Subgraph characterization of Red/Blue-Split Graph and König-Egerváry graphs*, Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM Press (2006) 842–850.

- [13] C. E. Larson, *A note on critical independence reductions*, Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications **5** (2007) 34-46.
- [14] C. E. Larson, *A new characterization of König-Egerváry graphs*, The 2<sup>nd</sup> Canadian Discrete and Algorithmic Mathematics Conference, May 25-28, 2009, CRM Montreal (Canada).
- [15] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Well-covered and König-Egerváry graphs*, Congressus Numerantium **130** (1998) 209–218.
- [16] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *A new greedoid: the family of local maximum stable sets of a forest*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **124** (2002) 91-101.
- [17] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *Combinatorial properties of the family of maximum stable sets of a graph*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **117** (2002) 149-161.
- [18] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On  $\alpha^+$ -stable König-Egerváry graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **263** (2003) 179–190.
- [19] V. E. Levit, E. Mandrescu, *On  $\alpha$ -critical edges in König-Egerváry graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **306** (2006) 1684-1693.
- [20] L. Lovász, *Ear decomposition of matching covered graphs*, Combinatorica **3** (1983) 105-117.
- [21] L. Lovász, M. D. Plummer, *Matching Theory*, Annals of Discrete Mathematics **29** (1986) North-Holland.
- [22] G. L. Nemhauser and L. E. Trotter, Jr., *Vertex packings: structural properties and algorithms*, Mathematical Programming **8** (1975) 232-248.
- [23] V. T. Paschos, M. Demange, *A generalization of König-Egerváry graphs and heuristics for the maximum independent set problem with improved approximation ratios*, European Journal of Operational Research **97** (1997) 580–592.
- [24] W. R. Pulleyblank, *Matchings and Extensions*, in: *Handbook of Combinatorics, Volume 1* (eds. R. L. Graham, M. Grottschel and L. Lovász), MIT Press and North-Holland, Amsterdam (1995) 179-232.
- [25] F. Sterboul, *A characterization of the graphs in which the transversal number equals the matching number*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B **27** (1979) 228–229.
- [26] C. Q. Zhang, *Finding critical independent sets and critical vertex subsets are polynomial problems*, SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics **3** (1990) 431-438.