

# RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS MAY BE FALSE

RUIMING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this short note we give a disproof to the possible modifications of Mertens conjecture, it may be also a disproof to the Riemann hypothesis.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is known that if the Mertens conjecture were true, it would imply the Riemann hypothesis. In [5] Odlyzko and te Riele disproved the Mertens conjecture. In this short note we show that even certain modified Mertens conjectures could not be true, the Riemann hypothesis may be false as a direct consequence.

Throughout this note we will follow the convention that  $s$  represents a complex number and  $s = \sigma + it$  where  $\sigma = \Re(s)$  and  $t = \Im(s)$  respectively. Recall that the Euler's Gamma function is defined as [7, 1, 3, 8]

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = s \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n+s}{n} \right) \left( \frac{n+1}{n} \right)^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C},$$

and it is known that the function  $\Gamma(s)$  has an asymptotic formula

$$(1.2) \quad \Gamma(s) = \mathcal{O} \left( e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} |\Im(s)|} |\Im(s)|^{\Re(s) - \frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

in the vertical strip  $a \leq \Re(s) \leq b$  for any real numbers  $a, b$  with  $a < b$ .

The Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

It is known that the entire function

$$(1.4) \quad \Xi(s) = -\frac{(1+4s^2)}{8\pi(1+2is)^{1/4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+2is}{4}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + is\right)$$

is even. Then, for any real numbers  $b > a \geq 0$ , we have ,

$$(1.5) \quad \Xi(\sigma - it) = \mathcal{O} \left\{ \exp \left( -\frac{\pi |\sigma|}{4} \right) |\sigma|^{\frac{2t+11}{4}} \right\}$$

as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$  in the horizontal strip  $a \leq t \leq b$ .

We summarize some well-known facts in a lemma, they could be found in [3, 6, 8]:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30E15; Secondary 33D45.

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann zeta function; Riemann hypothesis; Mertens Conjecture.

**Lemma 1.** *The even entire function*

$$(1.6) \quad \Xi(s) = -\frac{(1+4s^2)}{8\pi^{(1+2is)/4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+2is}{4}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1+2is}{2}\right)$$

*has an integral representation*

$$(1.7) \quad \Xi(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x) e^{isx} dx,$$

*where*

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi(x) = 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 2\pi n^4 e^{9x/2} - 3n^2 e^{5x/2} \right\} \exp(-n^2 \pi e^{2x}).$$

*It is known that  $\varphi(x)$  is positive, even and indefinitely differentiable. Furthermore, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$(1.9) \quad \varphi^{(n)}(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(\exp\left\{-(\pi - \epsilon)e^{2|x|}\right\}\right)$$

*as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for all nonnegative integers  $n$ . It is known that  $\Xi(s)$  has infinitely many zeros, all within the strip  $-\frac{1}{2} < \Re(s) < \frac{1}{2}$ , and the Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to that all the zeros of  $\Xi(s)$  are real.*

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

For any  $x > 0$  the series (1.8) converges absolutely, then by the sieve of Eratosthenes we get the following:

**Lemma 2.** *Given a large positive integer  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let*

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta(m) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdots p_{m-1}$$

*be the product of the first  $m-1$  primes and*

$$(2.2) \quad \psi_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k) \varphi(x + \log k)}{k^{1/2}},$$

*where  $\mu(k)$  is the Möbius function. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_m(x) = f(x) + S_m(x)$$

*with*

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = 2\pi(2\pi e^{9x/2} - 3e^{5x/2}) \exp(-\pi e^{2x})$$

*and*

$$(2.5) \quad S_m(x) = 2\pi \sum_n' \left\{ 2\pi n^4 e^{9x/2} - 3n^2 e^{5x/2} \right\} \exp(-n^2 \pi e^{2x}),$$

*where the summation is over all the integer  $n > 1$  which is not divisible by primes  $2, 3, \dots, p_{m-1}$ .*

In the following lemma, we estimate the size of weighted  $L^2$  norm of  $\psi_m(x)$ :

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $m$ ,  $p_m$  and  $\psi_m(x)$  be as defined in Lemma 2. Then for any  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx = \infty.$$

*Proof.* By (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} &= \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |f(x) + S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} - \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} &= \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |f(x) + S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx} + \sqrt{\int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx}, \end{aligned}$$

clearly

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx < \infty,$$

thus (2.6) is equivalent to show that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx = \infty.$$

For  $x \geq -\log p_m$  and  $n \geq p_m$ , every term in  $S_m(x)$  satisfies

$$2\pi n^4 e^{9x/2} - 3n^2 e^{5x/2} \geq \pi n^4 e^{9x/2},$$

hence

$$|S_m(x)|^2 \geq 4\pi^4 \sum_{j,k}' j^4 k^4 e^{9x} \exp(-(j^2 + k^2)\pi e^{2x}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx &\geq 4\pi^4 \sum_{j,k}' j^4 k^4 \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} e^{(9+2t)x} \exp(-(j^2 + k^2)\pi e^{2x}) dx \\ &= 4\pi^4 \sum_{j,k}' \frac{j^4 k^4}{(j^2 + k^2)^{t+9/2}} \int_{(j^2+k^2)^{1/2}/p_m}^{\infty} u^{2t+7} e^{-\pi u^2} du, \end{aligned}$$

where the summations are over all the positive integers not divisible by primes  $2, 3, \dots, p_{m-1}$ . For any  $M \gg 1$ , we restrict the summations only over all the primes over the range  $[p_m, Mp_m]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx &\geq 4\pi^4 \sum_{j,k}' \frac{j^4 k^4}{(j^2 + k^2)^{t+9/2}} \int_{M\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} u^{2t+7} e^{-\pi u^2} du \\ &\geq \frac{4\pi^4 \int_{M\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} u^{2t+7} e^{-\pi u^2} du}{2^{t+9/2} M^{9+2t} p_m^{9+2t}} \left( \sum_j' j^4 \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is over all the primes in  $[p_m, Mp_m]$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_j' j^4 &= \int_{p_m}^{Mp_m} j^4 d\pi(j) \\
&= j^4 \pi(j)|_{p_m}^{Mp_m} - 4 \int_{p_m}^{Mp_m} j^3 \pi(j) dj \\
&= M^4 p_m^4 \pi(Mp_m) - p_m^4 \pi(p_m) - 4p_m^4 \int_1^M j^3 \pi(jp_m) dj.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the prime number theorem to get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log p_m}{p_m^5} \sum_j' j^4 = M^5 - 1 - 4 \int_1^M j^4 dj = \frac{1}{5} (M^5 - 1),$$

thus,

$$\frac{4\pi^4 \int_{M\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} u^{2t+7} e^{-\pi u^2} du}{2^{t+9/2} M^{9+2t} p_m^{9+2t}} \left( \sum_j' j^4 \right)^2 \approx \frac{4\pi^4 \int_{M\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} u^{2t+7} e^{-\pi u^2} du (M^5 - 1) p_m^{1-2t}}{5 \cdot 2^{t+9/2} M^{9+2t} \log^2 p_m},$$

which shows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |S_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx = \infty$$

for  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$ , and consequently (2.6).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $m$ ,  $p_m$ ,  $\Delta(m)$  and  $\mu(k)$  be as defined in Lemma 2, then if*

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(k) = \mathcal{O}\left(m^{t_0 + \frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for any  $t > t_0$ , the sequence

$$(2.8) \quad \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$$

is uniformly bounded for  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$s_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(k),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{s(k) - s(k-1)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{s(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)-1} \frac{s(k)}{(k+1)^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} \\
&= \frac{s(\Delta(m))}{\Delta(m)^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} + (i\sigma + 1/2 + t) \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)-1} s(k) \int_k^{k+1} \frac{dx}{x^{i\sigma+1/2+t+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} \right| = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta(m)^{t-t_0}} + \int_1^{\Delta(m)} \frac{dx}{x^{t-t_0+1}} \right),$$

or

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and uniformly for  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $m$ ,  $p_m$  and  $\psi_m$  be as defined in Lemma 3, then there is no such  $0 \leq t_0 < \frac{1}{2}$  that the sequence*

$$(2.9) \quad \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t_0}} \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$$

*is uniformly bounded for  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, there is no such  $0 \leq t_0 < \frac{1}{2}$  that*

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(k) = \mathcal{O}(m^{t_0+\frac{1}{2}})$$

*as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* From (1.4) to obtain that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Xi(s) e^{-isx} ds$$

and

$$\psi_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Xi(s) \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{is+1/2}} e^{-isx} ds.$$

From the asymptotic behavior of  $\Xi(s)$  on a horizontal line, we may shift the path of integration to  $s = \sigma - it$  with  $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\psi_m(x) e^{tx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Xi(\sigma - it) \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} e^{-i\sigma x} d\sigma.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Xi(\sigma - it) \sum_{k=1}^{\Delta(m)} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{i\sigma+1/2+t}} \right|^2 d\sigma \\ &\geq \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2tx} dx. \end{aligned}$$

If the assertion of our theorem is false, then we for some  $0 \leq t_0 < \frac{1}{2}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2t_0 x} dx = \mathcal{O} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Xi(\sigma - it_0)|^2 d\sigma \right).$$

But this is impossible, for

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2t_0 x} dx \geq \int_{-\log p_m}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2t_0 x} dx,$$

hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_m(x)|^2 e^{2t_0 x} dx = \infty.$$

Our second assertion follows from Lemma 4.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *The Riemann hypothesis is false.*

*Proof.* This follows from the equivalence of the Riemann hypothesis and  $\sum_{k=1}^m \mu(k) = \mathcal{O}(m^{\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}})$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* This work is partially supported by Chinese National Natural Science Foundation grant No.10761002.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] Peter Borwein, Stephen Choi, Brendan Rooney and Andrea Weirathmueller, *The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007.
- [3] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [4] George Gasper, Using sums of squares to prove that certain entire functions have only real zeros, dedicated to the memory of Ralph P. Boas, Jr. (1912–1992), published in *Fourier Analysis: Analytic and Geometric Aspects*, W.O. Bray, P.S. Milojevic and C.V. Stanojevic, eds., Marcel Dekker, 1994, pp. 171–186.
- [5] A. M. Odlyzko and H. J. J. te Riele, Disproof of the Mertens conjecture, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 357 (1985). pp.138–160.
- [6] G. Pólya, Über die algebraisch-funktionentheoretischen Untersuchungen von J. L. W. V. Jensen, *Kgl. Danske Videnskabernes Selskab. Math.-Fys. Medd.* 7 (17) (1927), pp. 3–33; reprinted in his *Collected Papers*, Vol. II, pp. 278–308.
- [7] Hans Rademacher, *Topics in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [8] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Riemann Zeta Function*, second edition, Clarendon Press, New York, 1987.

*Current address:* School of Mathematical Sciences, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin City, Guangxi 541004, P. R. China.

*E-mail address:* ruimingzhang@yahoo.com