arXiv:0906.4299v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 23 Jun 2009

Structural phase transition in $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$ single crystals.

S. L. Bud'ko, S. Nandi, N. Ni, A. Thaler, A. Kreyssig, A.

Kracher, J.-Q. Yan, A. I. Goldman, and P. C. Canfield

Ames Laboratory US DOE and Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

(Dated: June 6, 2022)

Abstract

We present thermodynamic, structural and transport measurements on Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})₂As₂ single crystals. All measurements reveal sharp anomalies at ~ 112 K. Single crystal x-ray diffraction identifies the structural transition as a first order, from the high-temperature tetragonal I4/mmm to the low-temperature orthorhombic Fmmm structure, in contrast to an earlier report.

PACS numbers: 61.50.Ks, 65.40.Ba, 65.40.De, 72.15.-v, 74.70.Dd

The recent discoveries of superconductivity in Fe-As based materials, F-doped LaFeAsO¹ and K-doped BaFe₂As₂,² resulted in a large number of experimental and theoretical studies of the materials with similar structural motifs. The $AEFe_2As_2$ (AE = Ba, Sr, Ca) family of compounds soon became a model system for many studies of iron-arsenides, in part, due to the availability of large, high-quality single crystals of pure and doped materials and notable reproducibility of the results between different experimental groups.^{3,4,6,20} The parent compounds, $AEFe_2As_2$ (AE = Ba, Sr, Ca), were shown to exhibit a coupled, structural/antiferromagnetic phase transition, all with the transition temperatures above 100 K. Structurally, in all three parent compounds, the high temperature, tetragonal (space group I4/mmm) symmetry changes to the lower temperature, orthorhombic one (space group Fmmm) at this transition.^{7,8,9} It has been shown that (although the transition temperature decreases, and, in some cases, the structural and magnetic transitions split) for several types/sites of doping, e.g. Sn incorporated in BaFe₂As₂ crystals as a result of the use of Sn flux,^{10,11} (Ba_{1-x}K_x)Fe₂As₂,¹² and Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)₂As₂,^{13,14} the nature of the structural phase transition (I4/mmm to Fmmm on cooling) is very robust. With this in mind, the claim¹⁵ that for small Cr doping, such as $Ba(Fe_{0.98}Cr_{0.02})_2As_2$, the tetragonal to orthorhombic symmetry breaking is replaced by an I4/mmm to I4/mmm(tetragonal to tetragonal) transition with a decrease of both lattice parameters resulting in a volume reduction, was unexpected, exciting and, in our opinion, worth further, detailed studies. In addition to simply being anomalous, this difference could be important, since no superconductivity was reported in any of the Cr-doped BaFe₂As₂ samples.¹⁵

Single crystals of Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})₂As₂ were grown out of self flux using conventional high-temperature solution growth techniques.^{3,16} Small Ba chunks, FeAs and CrAs powder were mixed together according to the ratio Ba:FeAs:CrAs = 1:3.9:0.1. The mixture was placed into an alumina crucible with a second, "catch", crucible containing quartz wool placed on top. Both crucibles were sealed in a quartz tube under a ~ 1/3 atmosphere of Ar gas. The sealed quartz tube was heated up to 1180°C over 12 hours, held at 1180° C for 10 hours, and then cooled to 1050° C over 46 hours. Once the furnace reached 1050° C, the excess FeAs/CrAs liquid was decanted from the plate-like single crystals. Elemental analysis of the samples was performed by wavelength dispersive analysis (WDS) in a JEOL JXA-8200 electron microprobe. WDS measurements were made at a total of twenty locations on four Ba(Fe_{1-x}Cr_x)₂As₂ crystals from the batch used for all measurements in this work. The average x value measured at these locations is 0.027, and the error bar, which is defined as two times the standard deviation of the x values measured on these locations, is 0.002. This is within the error bars of the $x = 0.02 \pm 0.01$ sample studied in Ref. 15. However, based on a comparison of the data presented below with the data in Ref. 15, it is likely that our sample has slightly more Cr (a slightly larger x-value) than $x = 0.02 \pm 0.01$, but significantly less than $x = 0.04 \pm 0.01$.

Anisotropic, temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility and field-dependent magnetization were measured in a commercial, Quantum Design (QD) MPMS magnetometer. Measurements of ac (magneto)resistivity and Hall effect (f = 16 Hz, I = 3 - 5 mA) were performed using the ACT option of a QD PPMS instrument. Electrical contacts to the sample were made with Epotek H20E silver epoxy. A standard four-probe technique was used for resistivity. Hall resistivity data were collected in a four wire geometry, switching the polarity of the magnetic field (H||c) to remove magnetoresistance components due to the slight misalignment of the voltage wires. Temperature-dependent Hall resistivity was measured in H = 90 kOe applied field. The heat capacity data on the samples were measured using a hybrid adiabatic relaxation technique of the heat capacity option in a QD PPMS instrument. Thermal expansion data were obtained using a capacitive dilatometer constructed of OFHC copper, mounted in a QD PPMS instrument. A detailed description of the dilatometer is presented elsewhere.¹⁷

Temperature dependent, single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a four-circle diffractometer using Cu K_{α} radiation from a rotating anode X-ray source, selected by a germanium (1 1 1) monochromator for high angular resolution. For the measurements, a plate like single crystal with dimensions of $4.0 \times 2.5 \times 0.7 \text{ mm}^3$ was selected and attached to copper sample holder on the cold finger of a closed cycle, Displex refrigerator. The diffraction patterns were recorded while the temperature was varied between 25 K and 125 K. The mosaicity of the investigated Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})₂As₂ single crystal was 0.04 degrees full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) as measured from the rocking curve of the (0 0 10) reflection.

Figs. 1-4 present resistivity, susceptibility, Hall resistivity and heat capacity data for $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$. The structural/magnetic transition temperature for $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$, $T_{sm} \approx 112$ K, is slightly lower than reported¹⁵ for $Ba(Fe_{0.98}Cr_{0.02})_2As_2$, consistent with slightly higher Cr-doping of the former and is clearly seen in all measurements. The temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility is weakly anisotropic with $\chi_{ab}/\chi_c \approx 1.2$ at 300 K and smaller below T_{sm} . This change is primarily due to the fact that the step-like feature at T_{sm} is ~ 4-5 times larger in χ_{ab} than in χ_c (Fig. 1). The slight upturn of the susceptibility at low temperatures for both directions of the applied field might be caused by small amounts of paramagnetic impurities. The temperature dependent electrical resistivity (Fig. 2) manifests a sharp increase upon cooling through T_{sm} and the hysteresis at T_{sm} is at the edge of our resolution ~ 0.1 K. The magnetoresistance (inset) is very small at all measured temperatures. The temperature-dependent Hall resistivity, ρ_H/H , (Fig. 3) is small and negative above T_{sm} , and then starts to increase rapidly below T_{sm} . The field dependence of ρ_H is close to linear over the whole measured temperature range (see inset for representative temperatures). This evolution of the Hall resistivity with temperature is different from that reported for $Ba(Fe_{0.98}Cr_{0.02})_2As_2$ in Ref. 15, but is similar to the temperature dependence of the next higher Cr-concentration, $Ba(Fe_{0.96}Cr_{0.04})_2As_2$, as well as other hole-doped $AEFe_2As_2$ like $(Ba_{0.96}K_{0.04})Fe_2As_2$.⁶ Temperature-dependent specific heat data (Fig. 4) show a single, sharp magnetic/structural transition without a high-temperature knee and the electronic specific heat coefficient (upper inset) is $\gamma \approx 18$ mJ/mol K². Generally speaking, in many aspects the above data are similar to those reported in Ref. 15.

The temperature-dependent, anisotropic, thermal expansivity and thermal expansion coefficients are shown in Fig. 5. The structural/magnetic phase transition is sharp. The thermal expansion coefficients above the transition are positive and similar to those measured for pure BaFe₂As₂.¹⁸ The step-like feature at the transition is larger in the *c*-axis thermal expansivity than in the *a*-axis one, whereas the relative changes in the *a*- and *c*- axes between 119 K and 100 K in Ref. 15 appear to be similar, and the average high temperature *a*-axis thermal expansion in the above work also appears to be negative. We note, however, that the "bulk" thermal expansion measurements yield an average thermal expansion and are not sensitive to possible change in structural symmetry in different phases.

Two, more subtle, observations can be made by examining aforementioned data. Firstly, in heat capacity and thermal expansion (see insets to Figs. 4 and 5) as well as in the derivative of the temperature dependent resistivity, $d\rho/dT$ (not shown here), it appears that the transition is split in two, spaced by ~ 1 K, similarly to the split structural and magnetic transitions in Ba(Fe_{1-x} TM_x)₂As₂ (TM - transition metal).^{3,4,13,14,18,19,20} Secondly, a rather broad anomaly / crossover can be seen in magnetic susceptibility, resistivity, Hall resistivity and thermal expansion (Figs. 1-3, 5) at approximately 30 - 35 K. The origin of this feature is not clear at this point and may warrant further studies.

Figure 6 summarizes the temperature dependent, single crystal x-ray diffraction data collected on Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})₂As₂. Figure 6(a) shows the evolution of the (1 1 10) reflection as the sample is cooled through $T_{sm} \approx 112$ K. Whereas there is a clear splitting in the (1 1 10) reflection in ($\xi \xi 0$) scans below 112 K, no change in the shape of the (0 0 10) reflection between 25 K and 125 K was observed. This is consistent with a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic phase transition, from space group I4/mmm to Fmmm, with a distortion along the (1 1 0) direction, as observed in the parent BaFe₂As₂ compound as well as for other $AEFe_2As_2$ compounds.^{2,8,9} Figure 6(a) also shows that there is a narrow temperature range (≤ 0.5 K) where coexistence between the higher temperature tetragonal phase and the lower temperature orthorhombic distortion. Below $T_{sm} \approx 112$ K there is an abrupt jump in the orthorhombicity (also evident in Fig. 6(a)) which then continues to evolve as the temperature is lowered further. The abrupt nature of the transition at T_{sm} together with the finite range of coexistence between the high and low temperature structures argues strongly for a first order structural transition.

The splitting we observe at 100 K (the lowest temperature shown in Fig. 1(b) of Ref. 15) is approximately 0.030 Å. This is consistent with the general trend of reducing the orthorhombic splitting at T_{sm} when it is suppressed by doping^{10,11} (Rotter et al. observed a 0.038 Å splitting in pure BaFe₂As₂ at 100 K.⁷) It should be noted that in Ref. 15 the splitting reported for pure BaFe₂As₂ is a significantly smaller, ~ 0.015 Å. Given that (i) our Cr doping level is slightly higher than the 0.02 ± 0.01 reported in Ref. 15 and (ii) there is a clear tetragonal to orthorhombic, structural phase transition seen in pure BaFe₂As₂ and Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})₂As₂, it is unlikely that there is a tetragonal to tetragonal phase transition in Ba(Fe_{0.98}Cr_{0.02})₂As₂.

In summary, thermodynamic, structural, and transport measurements on Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})₂As₂ single crystals show sharp anomalies at $T_{sm} \approx 112$ K associated with a structural/magnetic phase transition. Single crystal x-ray diffraction measurements unambiguously identified the structural transition from the high-temperature tetragonal I4/mmm to the low-temperature orthorhombic Fmmm structure as being first order. So, in contrast to the earlier report¹⁵ the nature of the structural transition appears to be robust to small doping levels for different types of doping.

Acknowledgments

Work at the Ames Laboratory was supported by the US Department of Energy - Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. We thank R. J. McQueeney for useful comments. SLB and PCC both acknowledge M. T. C. Apoo for providing important insight into this problem.

- ¹ Yoichi Kamihara, Takumi Watanabe, Masahiro Hirano, and Hideo Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296 (2008).
- ² Marianne Rotter, Marcus Tegel, and Dirk Johrendt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 107006 (2008).
- ³ N. Ni, M. E. Tillman, J.-Q. Yan, A. Kracher, S. T. Hannahs, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 78, 214515 (2008).
- ⁴ Jiun-Haw Chu, James G. Analytis, Chris Kucharczyk, and Ian R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 79, 014506 (2009).
- ²⁰ Fanlong Ning, Kanagasingham Ahilan, Takashi Imai, Athena S. Sefat, Ronying Jin, Michael A. McGuire, Brian C. Sales, and David Mandrus, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 013711 (2009).
- ⁶ Lei Fang, Huiqian Luo, Peng Cheng, Zhaosheng Wang, Ying Jia, Gang Mu, Bing Shen, I. I. Mazin, Lei Shan, Cong Ren, and Hai-Hu Wen, arXiv:0903.2418v1, unpublished.
- ⁷ Marianne Rotter, Marcus Tegel, Dirk Johrendt, Inga Schellenberg, Wilfried Hermes, and Rainer Pöttgen, Phys. Rev. B 78, 020503 (2008).
- ⁸ J.-Q. Yan, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Kracher, R. J. McQueeney, R. W. McCallum, T. A. Lograsso, A. I. Goldman, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 78, 024516 (2008).
- ⁹ N. Ni, S. Nandi, A. Kreyssig, A. I. Goldman, E. D. Mun, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 78, 014523 (2008).

- ¹⁰ N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, G. E. Rustan, A. I. Goldman, S. Gupta, J. D. Corbett, A. Kracher, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 78, 014507 (2008).
- ¹¹ Y. Su, P. Link, A. Schneidewind, Th. Wolf, P. Adelmann, Y. Xiao, M. Meven, R. Mittal, M. Rotter, D. Johrendt, Th. Brueckel, and M. Loewenhaupt, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 064504 (2009).
- ¹² Marianne Rotter, Michael Pangerl, Marcus Tegel, and Dirk Johrendt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47, 7949 (2008).
- ¹³ D. K. Pratt, W. Tian, A. Kreyssig, J. L. Zarestky, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, A. I. Goldman, and R. J. McQueeney, arXiv:0903.2833v1, unpublished.
- ¹⁴ C. Lester, Jiun-Haw Chu, J. G. Analytis, S. C. Capelli, A. S. Erickson, C. L. Condron, M. F. Toney, I. R. Fisher, and S. M. Hayden, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 144523 (2009).
- ¹⁵ Athena S. Sefat, David J. Singh, Lindsay H. VanBebber, Michael A. McGuire, Yurij Mozharivskyj, Rongying Jin, Brian C. Sales, Veerle Keppens, and David Mandrus, arXiv:0903.5546v1. Published version: Athena S. Sefat, David J. Singh, Lindsay H. VanBebber, Yurij Mozharivskyj, Michael A. McGuire, Rongying Jin, Brian C. Sales, Veerle Keppens, and David Mandrus, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 224524 (2009).
- ¹⁶ P. C. Canfield, and Z. Fisk, Philos. Mag. B **65**, 1117 (1992).
- ¹⁷ G. M. Schmiedeshoff, A. W. Lounsbury, D. J. Luna, S. J. Tracy, A. J. Schramm, S. W. Tozer, V. F. Correa, S. T. Hannahs, T. P. Murphy, E. C. Palm, A. H. Lacerda, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, J. L. Smith, J. C. Lashley, and J. C. Cooley, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **77**, 123907 (2006).
- ¹⁸ S. L. Bud'ko, N. Ni, S. Nandi, G. M. Schmiedeshoff, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 054525 (2009).
- ¹⁹ P. C. Canfield, S. L. Bud'ko, Ni Ni, J. Q. Yan, and A. Kracher, arXiv:0904.3134v1, unpublished.
- ²⁰ N. Ni, A. Thaler, A. Kracher, J. Q. Yan, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, arXiv:0905.4894v1, unpublished.



FIG. 1: (Color online) Anisotropic, temperature dependent susceptibility for $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$ single crystals. Inset shows anisotropic field dependent magnetization at T = 1.85 K.



FIG. 2: (Color online) Temperature dependent resistivity for $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$ single crystals. Insets show hysteresis at the phase transition (left) and magnetoresistivity for H||c, I||ab (right).



FIG. 3: (Color online) Temperature dependent Hall resistivity (ρ_H/H) for $H \parallel c$. Inset shows field-dependent Hall resistivity.



FIG. 4: Temperature dependent heat capacity for $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$ single crystals. Insets show low temperature heat capacity plotted as C_p/T vs. T^2 (left) and enlarged transition region (right).



FIG. 5: (Color online) Anisotropic, temperature dependent thermal expansivity (lower panel) and thermal expansion coefficient (upper panel) of $Ba(Fe_{0.973}Cr_{0.027})_2As_2$. Inset to the upper panel shows the thermal expansion coefficient near T_{sm} .



FIG. 6: (Color online) (a) ($\xi \xi 0$) scans through the position of the tetragonal (1 1 10) reflection for temperatures close to the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic transition and for decreasing temperatures. The offset between every data set is 100 counts/s. The lines represent fit to the data to obtain the reflection positions and corresponding orthorhombic splitting, (a - b)/(a + b), shown in (b). In (b), close (green) and open (red) circles represent orthorhombic splitting during decreasing and increasing temperature scans, respectively. The error bar for the orthorhombic splitting is less than the symbol size and not shown.