# Low-ionization pairs of knots in planetary nebulae: physical properties and excitation

D. R. Gonçalves<sup>1</sup>, A. Mampaso<sup>2</sup>, R. L. M. Corradi<sup>2,3</sup> and C. Quireza<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UFRJ - Observatório do Valongo, Ladeira Pedro Antonio 43, 20080-090, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Isaac Newton Group of Telescopes, Apartado de Correos 321, E-38700 Sta. Cruz de La Palma, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Observatório Nacional, Rua General José Cristino 77, 20921-400 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Released 2002 Xxxxx XX

#### ABSTRACT

We obtained optical long-slit spectra of four planetary nebulae (PNe) with lowionization pair of knots, namely He 1-1, IC 2149, KjPn 8 and NGC 7662.

These data allow us to derive the physical parameters and excitation of the pairs of knots, and those of higher ionization inner components of the nebulae, separately.

Our results are as follows. 1) The electron temperatures of the knots are within the range 9 500 to 14 500 K, similar to the temperatures of the higher ionization rims/shells. 2) Typical knots' densities are 500 to 2 000 cm<sup>-3</sup>. 3) Empirical densities of the inner rims/shells are higher than those of the pairs of knots, by up to a factor of 10. Theoretical predictions, at variance with the empirical results, suggest that knots should be denser than the inner regions, by at least a factor of 10. 4) Empirical and theoretical density contrasts can be reconciled if we assume that at least 90% of the knots' gas is neutral (likely composed of dust and molecules). 5) By using Raga et al. (2008) shock modeling and diagnostic diagrams appropriated for spatially resolved PNe, we suggest that high-velocity shocked knots traveling in the photoionized outer regions of PNe can explain the emission of the pairs of knots analysed in this paper.

**Key words:** (*ISM*): Planetary nebulae: individual: He 1-1, IC 2149, KjPn 8, NGC 7662. Planetary nebulae: structure.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The small-scale low-ionization structures (LIS) of planetary nebulae were classified in terms of their morphology and kinematics by Gonçalves, Corradi & Mampaso (2001) as knots, jets and jetlike<sup>1</sup> systems, either in pairs or isolated. From this, and subsequent works (e.g. Gonçalves 2004, and references therein) it has became apparent that: i) around 10% of the Galactic PNe are known to possess LIS; ii) they are indistinctly spread among all the PNe morphological classes; iii) 50% of these PNe have highly collimated, highvelocity jets, and/or high-velocity pairs of knots (FLIERs; fast, low-ionization emission regions, Balick et al. 1993); and iv) most of them are mainly photoionized.

However, a number of key questions remain open: are there significant density contrasts between LIS and the higher ionization main components (rims, attached shells and detached haloes) of the nebula? What are the typical electron densities of the different types of LIS?

We are involved in a long-term project for characterizing the small-scale LIS of PNe since they can tell us much about the formation and evolution of PNe. The main issues we can access by studying the LIS are:

(i) the collimation processes of LIS in regard to those processes responsible for the shape of the PN itself, or the massloss processes during the AGB and post-AGB phases;

(ii) the effect of the ionization front on the fossil AGB features; and

(iii) the role of disks and magnetic fields in shaping the highly collimated outflows (jets) in PNe.

Our motivation for the present analysis is to go a step further on the study of the different LIS analyzing their densities. LIS can move through their environments either with high or low velocity. In the first case (the so-called FLIERS), radial velocities of  $24 - 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with respect to the PN main components are typically measured (Balick et al. 1993), whereas in the second instance (the SLOWERs, slow moving low-ionization emission regions; see Perinotto 2000)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These are features whose morphology resemble highly supersonic jets, but that share the expansion of the nebular components in which they are embedded.

Table 1. Log of the INT+IDS observations

PN Name and P.A.	Obs. Date	Exposure (s) $3\times$
He 1-1 - 315° IC 2149 - 70° KjPn 8 - 98° KjPn 8 - 120° NGC 7662 - 175° NGC 7662 - 248°	Aug 31 Sep 01 Aug 30 Aug 30 Sep 05 Sep 04	$\begin{array}{c} 300,2400\\ 300,900,1800\\ 1200\\ 300,1200\\ 60,300\\ 30,60,300 \end{array}$

the knots do not show peculiar velocities and share the typical 30 km s<sup>-1</sup> (for elliptical shells) to 100 km s<sup>-1</sup> (for bipolar lobes) expansion velocities of their host PNe. A straightforward question arises from this: how is the density contrast between the LIS and rims, shells or haloes correlated with the different velocity regimes?

In this paper we analyse 4 PNe that contain pairs of knots, either of high- or low-velocities.

We present our spectroscopic data and treatment, in Section 2. The line fluxes obtained for as many as possible large- and small-scale components of the four PNe, and the derived physical properties for each of the components are shown in Sections 3 to 6. Finally, Section 7, is dedicated to the discussion of the results in terms of the match with the theoretical model predictions, and to our concluding remarks.

## 2 OBSERVATION AND DATA TREATMENT

A 120 sec exposure  $H\alpha$  + [N II] (6568/95Å) image of He 1-1 (Figure 1) was retrieved from the IPHAS database (INT/WFC Photometric H $\alpha$  Survey of the Northern Galactic Plane: http://www.iphas.org). We also retrieved from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Archive two WFPC2 images of IC 2149: the V-band (filter 555W, 2 sec exp. time) and the [O III] (F502N, 100 sec) images, obtained on 1995 (proposal 6119), see Figure 3. The [N II] (F658N, 400 sec) image of NGC 7662 (Perinotto et al. 2004; Figure 5), was also retrieved from the HST archive, and was obtained on 1996. The image of KjPn 8 was obtained with the 2.5-m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (European Northern Observatory, La Palma, Spain), with ALFOSC camera, in June 26, 2002. The image we show in Figure 4 is the result of one exposure of 1 800 s through the narrow-band filter [N II]6584Å.

Spectra of the 4 PNe were obtained on August 31 and September 1, 4 and 5 of 2001, at the 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos using the Intermediate Dispersion Spectrograph (IDS). The 235 mm camera and the R300V grating were used, providing a spectral coverage from 3650 to 7000 Å with a spectral reciprocal dispersion of 3.3 Å pixel<sup>-1</sup>. The spatial scale of the instrument was 0.70 pixel<sup>-1</sup>, with the TEK5 CCD. Seeing varied from 0.9 and 1.1. The slit width and length were 1.5 and 4 ', respectively. These data were taken with the slits positioned through the centre of the nebulae at the position angles (P.A.), and with exposure times given in Table 1. Each of the exposures was taken 3 times, as listed in the table. The longer exposures were used to measure the fluxes of most emission lines. However, for almost all the PNe, the [O III] $\lambda\lambda$  4959,5007 Å, the [N II] $\lambda\lambda$  6548,6583 Å doublet as well as the H $\alpha$  and even the H $\beta$  lines were saturated at the brightest features in the longer exposures, thus, the shorter exposures where used in these cases. Differently of the way we took long-slit spectra of the other PNe, in the case of KjPn 8 the slit was centred on the position of the central star, and then it was shifted in order to cover each of the knots. Compared to the weather conditions during the observation of the other three PNe, that of the KjPn 8 was poor (clouds appeared during the integration).

During the night, bias frames, twilight and tungsten flat-field exposures, wavelength calibrations, and exposures of standard stars (BD +332642, Cyg OB2 No. 9, HD 19445, and BD +254655) were obtained. Spectra were reduced following the IRAF instructions for long-slit spectra, being bias-subtracted, flat-fielded, combined in order to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and eliminate cosmic rays, wavelength-calibrated, and sky-subtracted. Finally, they were flux-calibrated using the above mentioned standard stars and the mean atmospheric extinction curve for La Palma.

#### 3 HE 1-1

PN G055.3+02.7 (He 1-1) is a point-symmetric PN with a pair of low-ionization knots. See in Figure 1 the extensions of its bright Rim (or a combination of a rim and a shell), the large-scale component that dominates the emission of the nebula, and the SE and NW strings of knots, which correspond to the small-scale faint structures seen in the [N II] NOT image.

In the uppermost part of Table 2 we list selected line fluxes of the Rim, the knots and the entire portion of the nebula covered by the slit. These include all the electron density and temperature diagnostic emission lines covered by the IDS spectra. Absolute H $\beta$  fluxes,  $F_{H\beta}$ , integrated along the slit for each nebular component are also given in Table 2. The Balmer lines ratios  $(H\alpha/H\beta, H\gamma/H\beta)$  and  $H\delta/H\beta$ ) were then used to derive the  $c_{H\beta}$ , the logarithmic ratio between observed and dereddened  $H\beta$  fluxes. For the derivation of  $c_{\mathrm{H}\beta}$ , we assumed  $T_{\mathrm{e}} = 10^4$  K and the densities given by the [S II] ratio of each region. Theoretical Balmer line ratios from Osterbrock & Ferland (2006) and the reddening law of Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) were used. The weighted average of  $c_{H\beta}$ , per PN component, is the one given in the table.  $c_{H\beta}$  is constant along the slit, and the  $c_{\rm H\beta} = 1.49 \pm 0.16$  for the entire nebula is lower than the value previously published by Tylenda et al. (1992). The latter authors found a range of values for  $c_{H\beta}$ , which goes from 1.9 to 2.2. Note, however, that their values are based only on the  $H\alpha/H\beta$  ratio. Fluxes were then dereddened using the derived  $c_{\mathrm{H}\beta}$ . The dereddened fluxes, or intensities, are not shown in the table. Instead, figures in the table correspond to the observed fluxes.

The middle part of Table 2 shows the errors on the fluxes. They were calculated taking into account the statistical errors in the measurements, as well as systematic errors of the flux calibrations, background determination, and sky subtraction.

In the lowermost part of Table 2 electron densities and



**Table 2.** He 1-1: Observed line fluxes, flux errors,  $c_{H\beta}$ , N<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>e</sub>.

Line ID	Rim	Kn	NEB			
		SEk	NWk			
[SII] 4072.0	6.232	-	-	6.464		
Ηδ 4101.8	15.57	-	-	15.97		
$H\gamma 4340.5$	29.69	-	-	29.13		
[OIII] 4363.2	11.36	16.89	14.61	13.04		
Hβ 4861.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
[OIII] 4958.9	543.4	587.7	601.7	566.8		
[OIII] 5006.86	1715.	1773.	1808.	1774.		
[CLIII] 5517.7	3.321	22.56	15.57	4.152		
[CLIII] 5537.9	3.041	18.23	13.04	3.640		
[NII] 5754.6	11.90	23.46	24.87	13.83		
[NII] 6548.0	319.2	480.6	413.9	335.1		
$H\alpha$ 6562.8	993.9	977.6	981.2	1021.		
[NII] 6583.4	1034.	1436.	1321.	1075.		
[SII] 6716.5	129.3	114.4	124.4	132.3		
[SII] 6730.8	169.6	114.7	139.2	172.1		
$F_{H\beta}^{a}$	21.62	0.4565	0.6247	22.37		
$c_{H\beta}$	$1.46 {\pm} 0.20$	$1.44 {\pm} 0.32$	$1.45 {\pm} 0.33$	$1.49 {\pm} 0.16$		
	Percentage errors in line fluxes					
$(0.01-0.05)H\beta$	35	52	60	31		
$(0.05-0.15)$ H $\beta$	15	25	27	13		
$(0.15-0.30)$ H $\beta$	11	20	20	11		
$(0.30-2.0)H\beta$	10	17	16.5	8.5		
$(2.0-5.0)H\beta$	9.5	15	16	6.5		
$(5.0-10.0)H\beta$	9	14.5	16	6.5		
$> 10 \mathrm{H} \beta$	9	14.5 16		6.5		
	Electron Densities $(cm^{-3})$ and Temperatures (K)					
N <sub>e</sub> [S II]	$1600 \pm 230$	$600 \pm 140$	$900 \pm 210$	$1550 {\pm} 190$		
$N_e[Cl III]$	$1700 \pm 830$	$800 \pm 230$	$1000 \pm 330$	$1350 \pm 580$		
$T_e[O III]$	$12500 \pm 2060$	$14400 \pm 3320$	$13450 {\pm} 4110$	$13050 \pm 1580$		
$T_e[N II]$	$10800 \pm 1800$	$12900 \pm 2980$	$14000 \pm 3320$	$11400 \pm 1590$		
$T_e[S II]$	$8750 \pm 1450$	-	-	$9300 {\pm} 1350$		

 $^a$  In units of  $10^{-15} \mathrm{erg} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ 

within the errors, whereas  $N_e$  of the Rim is higher than that of the SE and NW knots, by factors of ~2.7 and ~1.8, respectively. There is a good agreement between the two temperature diagnostics obtained for the three PN components.

Figure 2 shows that  $T_e$  at the centre could be somewhat lower than at the knots, but the variation is within the errors.

Summarising, the pair of knots in He 1-1 are substantially less dense than the Rim, whereas no strong evidence exist for significant temperature variations from the Rim to the knots.

#### 4 IC 2149

PN G166.1+10.4 has an apparent shape that does not easily compare with the classification bins (round, elliptical, bipolar or quadrupolar, irregular and point-symmetric) usually adopted for PNe. Kinematic modelling suggests that it is a bipolar PN (Vázquez et al. 2002; Feibelman et al. 1994; Zhang & Kwok 1998). As shown in Figure 3, IC 2149 is composed by a bright higher ionization emission zone, the Core, and by a pair of lower excitation knots, the North-Eastern one being much brighter than its counterpart to the South-West. In fact only the two former structures can be identified from Figure 3. Please refer to Fig.1 of Vázquez et al. (2002), in which not only a higher excitation image is show, but also

Figure 1. He 1-1: IPHAS  $H\alpha+[N \ II]$  image. The 3 structures under analysis are indicated in the image. The size of the extraction windows in the spectra includes 4"2 each side from the centre for the Rim, and extends from + 4"9 to 7", and from -4"9 to -7"? from the centre, for the SE and the NW knots respectively. The extraction window for the entire portion of the nebula covered by the slit, NEB, extends along 14".7.

temperatures are presented. At least two different measures of  $T_e$  and  $N_e$  are available for each PN component. They represent regions of low ( $N_e[S II]$ ,  $T_e[N II]$  and  $T_e[S II]$ ) and medium excitation ( $N_e[Cl III]$  and  $T_e[O III]$ ).

The density of the nebula can be compared with Samland et al. (1992). They find  $N_e[S II]=1.19\times10^3$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, in moderate agreement with ours. Figures for  $T_e$  and  $N_e$  of the knots were previously published only in a proceeding contribution by Benítez et al. (2002): 11 300 K for the temperature of the NW knot, and densities of 300 cm<sup>-3</sup> and 600 cm<sup>-3</sup>, for the SE and NW knots respectively. These values hardly agree with ours. Note that they did not derive, but assumed the  $10^4$  K of the SE knot.

Figure 2 (top left panel) and Table 2 shows that both density measurements in each region of the nebula are equal



Figure 2. Electron densities and temperatures as a function of the distance to the centre of the nebula. The different structures under analysis are shown as symbols that correspond to the centre of each structure, as defined in the images (Figures 1, 3, 4, and 5). Filled  $(N_e[S II])$  and open  $(N_e[CI III])$  triangles represent densities, while filled  $(T_e[N II])$  and open  $(T_e[O III])$  circles, as well as the filled box  $(T_e[S II])$  represent electron temperatures. Symbols are plotted slightly displaced in distance in order to avoid overlapping. Horizontal lines represent densities and temperatures averaged along the slit (NEB): continuous line for  $N_e[S II]$ , large-small dashed line for  $N_e[CI$ III], small dashed line for  $T_e[O III]$ , medium dashed line for  $T_e[N II]$ , and large dashed line for  $T_e[S II]$ . TOP LEFT: He 1-1 - from left to right the NW knot, the Rim and the SE knot, respectively, as in Figure 1. BOTTOM LEFT: IC 2149 - From left to right, the NE knot, the Core and the SW knot as in Figure 3. TOP RIGHT: NGC 7662 - P.A.=175°; five zones of the Inner rim and Outer shell, as shown in Figure 5 and Table 5. BOTTOM RIGHT:NGC 7662 - P.A.=248°; further five zones of the Inner rim and FLIERs, following Figure 5 and Table 5.

the lower excitation  $[N\ II]$  and  $[S\ II]$  are presented. In their  $[N\ II]$  image the SW LIS is also clearly seen.

Table 3 contains the spectroscopic results for IC 2149, obtained from the INT+IDS spectra at P.A.=70° (observed and absolute H $\beta$  fluxes, flux errors,  $c_{\rm H\beta}$ , N<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>e</sub>) for the Core and pair of LIS of the nebula.

The H $\alpha$ /H $\beta$  ratio was used to obtain the  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  of the NEk, SWk and NEB regions. Owing to the presence of Balmer line absorption in the central zones (Vázquez et al.

2002), the  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  of the Core was adopted as the average from the knots' values. The value measured for the nebula  $c_{\rm H\beta}=0.12\pm0.01$  is in agreement with other published values (Feibelman et al. 1994; Ciardullo et al. 1999), but it is much smaller than the value (0.41) obtained by Vázquez et al. (2002) for the Core and the knots.

The gas physical conditions we derived to this PN (Table 3 and left bottom panel of Fig. 2) compare relatively well with those derived by Vázquez et al. (2002) for the North-



Figure 3. IC 2149: HST 555W (V) image. Since this filter is centred in [O III], only the Core is clearly identified in this image. The low-ionization NEk and its fainter SWk counterpart are hard to see in this image, but are easily identified in the [N II] image in Fig.1 of Vázquez et al. (2002). The spectroscopic sizes of the Core, NE and SW knots are, respectively: 2''1 each side from the centre; - 2''.8 to - 8''.4; and + 2''.8 to 6''.3. The entire PN, NEB, is 14''.7 in size.

Eastern knot and for the NEB. The exception is the  $N_e[S$  II], for which they obtained values of 10 000 and 9 600 cm<sup>-3</sup> while we found 5 350±1 550 and 4 650±660 cm<sup>-3</sup>, for the NEk and NEB, respectively. We cannot understand the reason of this discrepancies, since they do not depend on the very different  $c_{H\beta}$  applied to correct the fluxes. On the other hand, the  $T_e$  that would be affected by our different choices in terms of  $c_{H\beta}$ , are in reasonable agreement with theirs (see Vázquez et al. 2002 Table 1). If only NEB is concerned, our results also agree with the results obtained by Feibelman et al. (1994).

As [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda5517,5537$ Å were not detected, only N<sub>e</sub>[S II] could be derived for the Core. Figure 2 shows that the two density diagnostics for each of the knots are such that SWk (N<sub>e</sub>[S II]=2 050±1 130) is significantly less dense than NEk, whose density is similar to that in the Core (N<sub>e</sub>[S II]=5 350±1 550 and 6 050±1 450, respectively).

In spite of an apparent increase of  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  and  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$  from the North-Eastern to the South-Western side of the nebula, variations are within the errors.

## 5 KjPn 8

PN G112.5-00.1 (KjPn 8) is a huge PN (size  $\sim 14$  '× 4 '; López, Vázquez & Rodríguez 1995) with multiple polar ejections. A number of papers deal with the characteristics of this PN, including: i) narrow-band imaging of its many structures in the different scales, López et al. (1995); ii) proper motions of its latest bipolar ejections, Meaburn (1997); iii) kinematics of the large bipolar lobes and of the **Table 3.** IC 2149: Observed line fluxes, flux errors,  $c_{\mathrm{H}\beta}$ , N<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>e</sub>

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Line ID	Core	Knots NEB				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Line ID	Core	NEk	SWk	T(LD		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	[SII] 4072.0	-	5.743	5.625	3.011		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$H\delta 4101.8$	15.75	26.99	36.27	24.14		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$H\gamma 4340.5$	40.46	43.41	61.99	46.12		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	[OIII] 4363.2	3.838	2.457	5.333	3.850		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$H\beta$ 4861.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	[OIII] 4958.9	180.1	124.5	140.7	150.9		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} [{\rm CLm}] \ 5517.7 & - & 0.367 & 0.545 & 0.386 \\ [{\rm CLm}] \ 5537.9 & - & 0.516 & 0.481 & 0.440 \\ [{\rm Nu}] \ 5754.6 & 1.140 & 1.633 & 1.227 & 1.299 \\ [{\rm Nu}] \ 6548.0 & 15.03 & 30.27 & 18.55 & 22.06 \\ [{\rm H}_{\alpha} \ 6562.8 & 320.6 & 321.3 & 277.8 & 315.1 \\ [{\rm Nu}] \ 6583.4 & 43.07 & 91.54 & 51.81 & 65.23 \\ [{\rm Su}] \ 6716.5 & 1.288 & 3.201 & 2.418 & 2.261 \\ [{\rm Su}] \ 6730.8 & 2.312 & 5.607 & 3.385 & 3.860 \\ \\ F_{{\rm H}\beta} \ ^a & 11.17 & 12.78 & 4.549 & 31.76 \\ {\rm c}_{{\rm H}\beta} & 0.07^b & 0.14\pm0.015 & 0.00 & 0.12\pm0.008 \\ \\ \hline \end{array} $	[OIII] 5006.86	540.2	378.4	408.4	454.0		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[CLIII] 5517.7	-	0.367	0.545	0.386		
	[CLIII] 5537.9	-	0.516	0.481	0.440		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[NII] 5754.6	1.140	1.633	1.227	1.299		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[NII] 6548.0	15.03	30.27	18.55	22.06		
	$H\alpha 6562.8$	320.6	321.3	277.8	315.1		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[NII] 6583.4	43.07	91.54	51.81	65.23		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[SII] 6716.5	1.288	3.201	2.418	2.261		
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} F_{H\beta} & & 11.17 & 12.78 & 4.549 & 31.76 \\ c_{H\beta} & & 0.07^b & 0.14\pm0.015 & 0.00 & 0.12\pm0.008 \\ \end{array}$	[SII] 6730.8	2.312	5.607	3.385	3.860		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E. a	11 17	10.79	4 5 40	21 76		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	гнβ	11.17 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	12.70	4.549	31.70		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & Percentage errors in line fluxes \\ \hline (0.01-0.05)H\beta & 17 & 24 & 39 & 10 \\ (0.05-0.15)H\beta & 10 & 17 & 24 & 5.5 \\ (0.15-0.30)H\beta & 7 & 11 & 16 & 4.5 \\ (0.30-2.0)H\beta & 6.5 & 8 & 10 & 4.5 \\ (2.0-5.0)H\beta & 5.5 & 7 & 8.5 & 4.5 \\ (5.0-10.0)H\beta & 4.5 & 6.5 & 7 & 4 \\ > 10 H\beta & 4.5 & 6 & 6.5 & 4 \\ \hline & Electron Densities (cm^{-3}) and Temperatures (K) \\ \hline N_e[S u] & 6050\pm1450 & 5350\pm1550 & 2050\pm1130 & 4650\pm660 \\ N_e[C1 ui] & - & 7600\pm2750 & 1500\pm870 & 4000\pm680 \\ T_e[O ui] & 10350\pm1760 & 10150\pm2560 & 12700\pm3150 & 11050\pm1170 \\ T_e[N ui] & 12300\pm2150 & 10450\pm2600 & 12350\pm4850 & 11000\pm1170 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$c_{H\beta}$	0.07	$0.14 \pm 0.015$	0.00	$0.12 \pm 0.008$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Percentage errors in line fluxes					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(0.01−0.05)Hβ	17	24	39	10		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(0.05-0.15)H\beta$	10	17	24	5.5		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(0.15-0.30)$ H $\beta$	7	11	16	4.5		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(0.30-2.0)H\beta$	6.5	8	10	4.5		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(2.0-5.0)H\beta$	5.5	7	8.5	4.5		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$(5.0-10.0)H\beta$	4.5	6.5	7	4		
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} Electron \ Densities \ (cm^{-3}) \ and \ Temperatures \ (K) \\ \hline N_e[S \ II] & 6050 \pm 1450 & 5350 \pm 1550 & 2050 \pm 1130 & 4650 \pm 660 \\ \hline N_e[Cl \ III] & - & 7600 \pm 2750 & 1500 \pm 870 & 4000 \pm 680 \\ \hline T_e[O \ III] & 10350 \pm 1760 & 10150 \pm 2560 & 12700 \pm 3150 & 11050 \pm 1170 \\ \hline T_e[N \ II] & 12300 \pm 2150 & 10450 \pm 2600 & 12350 \pm 4850 & 11000 \pm 1170 \\ \hline \end{array} $	$> 10 \text{ H}\beta$	4.5	6	6.5	4		
N <sub>e</sub> [S II] 6050±1450 5350±1550 2050±1130 4650±660   N <sub>e</sub> [Cl III] - 7600±2750 1500±870 4000±680   T <sub>e</sub> [O III] 10350±1760 10150±2560 12700±3150 11050±1170   T <sub>e</sub> [N II] 12300±2150 10450±2600 12350±4850 11000±1170			D	3. 1			
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Electron Densities $(cm^{-3})$ and Temperatures $(K)$					
$N_e$ [Cl III] - 7600±2750 1500±870 4000±680 $T_e$ [O III] 10350±1760 10150±2560 12700±3150 11050±1170 $T_e$ [N II] 12300±2150 10450±2600 12350±4850 11000±1170	N <sub>e</sub> [S II]	$6050 \pm 1450$	$5350 \pm 1550$	$2050 \pm 1130$	$4650 \pm 660$		
$T_e$ [O m] 10350±1760 10150±2560 12700±3150 11050±1170 $T_e$ [N m] 12300±2150 10450±2600 12350±4850 11000±1170	N <sub>e</sub> [Cl III]	_	$7600 \pm 2750$	$1500 \pm 870$	$4000 \pm 680$		
$T_e[N \text{ II}]$ 12300±2150 10450±2600 12350±4850 11000±1170	TeO III	$10350 \pm 1760$	$10150 \pm 2560$	$12700 \pm 3150$	$11050 \pm 1170$		
	T <sub>e</sub> [N II]	$12300 \pm 2150$	$10450 \pm 2600$	$12350 \pm 4850$	$11000 \pm 1170$		

 $^{a}$  In units of  $10^{-14}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

 $^b$  This  $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{H}\beta}$  values is the average between the knots' values; see text.

pair of knots, López et al. (1997); and iv) temperature, density and chemical abundances of the inner nebula, Vázquez, Kingsburgh & López (1998). At much smaller scales, a ring that seems to collimate the many structures (with its CO and H<sub>2</sub> counterpart) has been detected giving support to the idea that KjPn 8 had two PNe-like events in its history (López et al. (2000)). However, N<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>e</sub> of its two pairs of low-ionization knots were not properly measured so far, due to their extreme faintness.

In our spectra only one of the knots of each pair could be measured with a good S/N. At variance with the other PNe in our sample, no analysis of the internal or entire nebula will be given, because of the huge extension of the PN. Our results for the two SE knots of KjPn 8 are given in Table 4.

When comparing our  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  values with those in the literature, particular care should be taken because they usually refer to different nebular regions, and reddening may vary along this large nebula. Vázquez et al. (1998) measured very different  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  values for the three knots which they labelled A1, A3 and B1:  $c_{\rm H\beta} = 1.49$ , 0.96 and 0.56, respectively. Our  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  for SEk<sub>120</sub> (their A1) is therefore 3 times smaller than theirs, whereas for SEk<sub>98</sub> (their knot B1) both determinations agree very well,  $c_{\rm H\beta}=0.56$  and  $0.52\pm0.10$ , respectively. The reason for the discrepant  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  in SEk<sub>120</sub> is not clear at present. As for the density, they reported  $N_e[\rm S II]=100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for both SEk<sub>98</sub> and SEk<sub>120</sub>, while we derived substantially larger values  $N_e[\rm S II]=600\pm140$  ([Cl III]=  $450\pm270$ ) and  $600\pm90$  for SEk<sub>98</sub> and SEk<sub>120</sub>, respectively.



Figure 4. KjPn 8: NOT [N II] image. The two P.A. of the structures under analysis are indicated in the image. The spectroscopic sizes of the two knots,  $SEk_{98}$  is 14" and that of  $SEk_{120}$  is 10".5.

**Table 4.** KjPn8: Observed line fluxes, flux errors,  $c_{H\beta}$ ,  $N_e$  and  $T_e$ 

=

Line ID	South Eastern Knots			
	$SEk_{120}$	SEk <sub>98</sub>		
[Sul 4072.0	10.78	2 260		
[511] 4072.0 US 4101 9	10.78	20.60		
Ho 4340 5	23.35	20.09		
[Out] 4363 2	1 1/0	2 466		
UB 4961 2	1.149	100.0		
[Out] 4001.5	100.0	101.8		
[OIII] 4306.86	307.9	307.4		
[CI m] 5517 7	301.5	2 000		
[CLIII] 5517.7	-	2 280		
[Nu] 5754.6	0.351	12.250		
[Nu] 6548.0	302.8	308.0		
U a 6562 8	120 0	452.2		
[Nul 6592.4	438.8	433.3		
[NII] 0585.4 [Su] 6716 5	00.70	1207.		
[SII] 0710.5 [SII] 6730.8	99.79 100.4	98.20		
[511] 0750.8	100.4	58.15		
F <sub>HB</sub> <sup>a</sup>	2.468	1.221		
$c_{H\beta}$	$0.48{\pm}0.07$	$0.52 {\pm} 0.10$		
	Per	centage errors in line fluxes		
	1 01	consuge errors in the nucles		
$(0.01-0.05)H\beta$	30.5	42.5		
$(0.05-0.15)H\beta$	22.5.	34.5		
$(0.15-0.30)H\beta$	15.5	27.5		
$(0.30-2.0)H\beta$	10.5	16		
$(2.0-5.0)H\beta$	8.5	10		
$(5.0-10.0)H\beta$	6.5 8.5			
$> 10 \mathrm{H}\beta$	5	8.0		
Electron Densities $(cm^{-3})$ and Temperatures $(K)$				
$N_e[S II]$	$600 \pm 90$	$600 \pm 140$		
N <sub>e</sub> [Cl III]	-	$450 \pm 270$		
$T_e[O III]$	$9100 \pm 2960$	$11550 \pm 4950$		
$T_e[N II]$	$8500 \pm 1950$	$9550 \pm 3340$		
$T_e[S II]$	$9150{\pm}1250$	$8400 \pm 3010$		

<sup>a</sup> In units of  $10^{-14}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

The electron temperature in the knots is measured in this paper for the first time.

Summarising, the densities and temperatures of the two knots are the same within the errors.

#### 6 NGC 7662

This bright nebula (PN G106.5-17.6) consist of a central cavity surrounded by three concentric shells, the inner Rim, the outer shell (Guerrero, Jaxon & Chu 2004), and a halo (Corradi et al. 2004). In addition, on smaller scales it has a number of LIS. Most of them appear, in projection, distributed at the outer edge of the outer shell. All these structures are easily identified in Figure 5, and are named as in Balick et al. (1998) and Perinotto et al. (2004). This figure also identify the structures that we analyze in this paper. Balick, Preston & Icke (1987) studied the kinematics of the different nebular components, from which the acronyms FLIERs and SLOWERs were later introduced.

Perinotto et al. (2004) studied this PN with unprecedented spatial resolution using the STIS spectrograph on the HST. However, their spectra were taken through two slits (called POS1 and POS2, see their Figure 1), that in terms of LIS, are restricted to only one side of the nebula. We have used much longer slits that cover all the structures along both sides of the nebula, in each direction at P.A.  $175^{\circ}$ and  $248^{\circ}$ . This allows us to compare the densities and temperatures of any given symmetrical pair of LIS. The slit at P.A.  $248^{\circ}$  contains a pair of low-ionization filaments (the serpentine-like filaments described in Balick et al. 1998). The slit at P.A. 175° does not contain any LIS, and is used to compare the physical parameters along the line joining the FLIERs with those in a direction free of micro structures. In this way, the present work can be seen as complementary to the analysis of Perinotto et al. (2004).

In Table 5 we report the derived values of  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  that are based on the average weighted  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  given by the H $\alpha$ , H $\gamma$ , and H $\delta$  to H $\beta$  ratios. These values are all between 0.13 and 0.21 for the 5 regions under analysis in each position angle. These  $c_{\rm H\beta}$  agree very well with those previously published: 0.18 - 0.22 (Tylenda et al. 1992); 0.1 (Hyung & Aller 1997); 0.2 (Perinotto et al. 2004); and 0.18 Zhang et al. (2004).

This table gives the observed line fluxes, densities and temperatures of the 10 structures we measured along P.A. 175° and 248°. These parameters are also presented in Figure 2. The average  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  derived from the emission integrated along both P.A. are the same within errors ( $T_e[O \text{ III}]=14\ 300\pm700$  K and 13 400±680 K, respectively). In fact these values also agree with the  $T_e[N \text{ III}]=12\ 750\pm1\ 530$  K derived along the P.A.=248°. The  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  we obtained here are more in agreement with the results by Barker (1986) – whose [O III] temperatures in different regions of the nebula (see his Table 3) varies from 11 200 K to 13 800 K – and Zhang et al. (2004) (13 300 K), than with the somewhat lower values of Perinotto et al. (2004).

As for the [S II] and [Cl III] densities of the integrated emission we found 2  $300\pm440$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and 2  $550\pm490$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for P.A.=175° and 2  $850\pm450$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and 2  $850\pm460$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for P.A.=248°. N<sub>e</sub>[S II] results very closely reproduce those found by a Wang et al. (2004, N<sub>e</sub>[S II]=2884 cm<sup>-3</sup>). The



Figure 5. NGC 7662: HST archive images. Top: [O III]. Bottom: [N II]. In both images the box corresponds to  $34 \times 34$  arcsec<sup>2</sup>. The size of the extraction windows in the spectra are as follows. P.A.=175° (inner rim + outer FLIER): -14!'7 to -10!'5, SE outer shell; -8!'4 to -2!'8, SE inner rim; -1!'75 to +1!'75, Cavity + Star; +2!'8 to +8!'4, NW inner rim; +12!'6 to +14!'7, NW outer shell. P.A.=248° (inner rim + outer shell): -14!'7 to -11!'2, SW FLIER; -9!'8 to -2!'8, SW inner rim; -2!'1 to +2!'1, Cavity + Star; +3!'5 to +9!'8, NE inner rim; +10!'5 to +15!'4, NE FLIERS.

same authors give a value of 1 862  $\rm cm^{-3}$  for the [Cl III] density, but errors are not reported in their work.

Focusing on the densities and temperatures of the inner Rim and outer shell with respect to those of the FLIERs (pair of filaments at P.A.=248°), it is clear from Figure 2, that: i) temperatures  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  (where errors are smaller) are slightly higher at the inner Rim than in the outer knots (P.A.=175°), but this is just at the 2- $\sigma$  level of the adopted errors; ii) densities of the Rim agree very well one to another in both position angles; iii) the Rim is roughly a factor of 2.8 denser than the outer shell (P.A.=175°); iv) the Rim (inner shell) along P.A.=248°, is a factor of ~ 1.7 denser than its outer region and the pair of FLIERs.

Summarising, Perinotto et al. (2004) previously ob-

served the LIS (FLIERs and SLOWERS) of this PN at different directions than in the present work. Densities for the FLIERs in both works are in good agreement:  $N_e=2$ 200 cm<sup>-3</sup> for the two FLIERs studied by Perinotto et al. (2004), and 2 100 cm<sup>-3</sup> in our work. Perinotto et al. (2004) did find significantly different densities for FLIERs and SLOWERs, being the latter approximately 1.3 times denser than the former.

Our analysis shows that FLIERS of NGC 7662 are less dense than the inner regions of the nebula, but they are at the same time much denser than the PN outer shell in which they are located.

## 7 DISCUSSION

## 7.1 Temperature of the knots

We have estimated individual temperatures  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  and  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$  for the different regions of the four nebulae studied in this paper. As it is well known, temperature errors are always important and they range in our case typically from around 1000 K for  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  in the brighter zones to more than 4000 K for  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$  in the fainter regions. Therefore, a sensible comparison of temperatures for the different components of each PN is precluded, and only rather general conclusions can be extracted: 1) temperatures are those of normal photoionized PNe, varying from 9 500 to 14 500 K typically; 2)  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  agrees within the errors with  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$ for every PN component measured. 3) No relevant differences between  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  at the LIS and at the higher excitation PN structures are found.

#### 7.2 Knots density contrasts

The empirical density contrasts between the knots and the inner nebular regions, as described in this paper, are such that knots are typically 1 to 3 times *less dense* than the rims or cores of these PNe (He 1-1, IC 2149 and NGC 7662): in no one of the LIS measured here the electronic density is definitely larger than at the main PN structures. This unexpected result is nevertheless also found in the full sample of PN with LIS. Table 4 of Gonçalves et al. (2001) lists 26 PNe with pairs of knots similar to those in the present work. We have searched in the literature for density measures both at the inner rims (or shells) of each PNe and at their pairs of knots, finding eight<sup>2</sup> PNe (in addition to the four nebulae here studied) with adequate data. In all but one PN (NGC 6826) the densities of the knots are equal or lower than those of the inner rims or shells, by factors between 1 and 10.

This result is not consistent with the theoretical expectations. The formation of PN major structures and pairs of jets/knots has been modeled in the past using a broad variety of processes and scenarios (see, for instance, Gonçalves et al. (2001) and Balick & Frank (2002)).

<sup>2</sup> NGC 4634 (Guerrero, Jaxon & Chu 2004); Hb 4 (Hajian et al. 1997); IC 4593 (Corradi et al. 1997); NGC 7009 (Gonçalves et al. 2003); K 3-35 (Miranda et al. 2000); NGC 6826 (Balick et al. 1994); NGC 2440 (Cuesta & Phillips 2000); K 1-2 (Exter, Pollacco & Bell 2003).

**Table 5.** NGC 7662 Observed line fluxes, flux errors,  $c_{H\beta}$ , N<sub>e</sub> and T<sub>e</sub>. P.A.=175° (Rim and Outer shell) and P.A.=248° (Rim + FLIERs)

P Δ -175 <sup>0</sup>	Line ID	SE outer Shell	SE inner Birr	$Cavity \perp Star$	NW inner Rim	NW outer Shell	NFP
r.A.=170		SE outer Snell	SE inner rim	Cavity + Star	14 W Inner Rim	14 W Outer Shell	INED
	US 4101 9	20 01	97 91	20 20	20.49	24.95	28.00
	H0 4101.8	30.81	27.31	20.00	29.40	24.20	20.99
	$\Pi\gamma$ 4340.3	23.79	25.99	22.00	23.99	24.00	23.99
	[UIII] 4303.2	17.17	10.00	19.78	18.40	19.12	17.82
	HD 4801.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	[OIII] 4958.9	504.4	368.0	343.2	338.7	481.7	372.1
	[OIII] 5006.86	1533.	1105.	1018.	1018.	1434.	1114.
	[CLIII] 5517.7	0.761	0.317	1.756	0.345	0.398	0.424
	[CLIII] 5537.9	0.652	0.344	1.669	0.378	0.475	0.423
	[NII] 5754.6	0.169	0.087	0.469	0.099	0.152	-
	$H\alpha$ 6562.8	331.5	324.9	334.9	326.7	334.9	339.9
	[NII] 6583.4	7.496	2.981	2.502	2.540	5.191	3.115
	[SII] 6716.5	1.164	0.366	0.242	0.249	0.916	0.371
	[SII] 6730.8	1.428	0.593	0.373	0.396	1.107	0.536
	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{H}\beta}{}^{a}$	3.164	36.90	14.15	30.94	2.138	104.4
	$c_{H\beta}$	$0.18 \pm 0.017$	$0.16 \pm 0.012$	$0.20 \pm 0.016$	$0.17 \pm 0.015$	$0.18 \pm 0.017$	$0.21 \pm 0.02$
		Percentage errors in line fluxes					
	$(0.01-0.05)\mathrm{H}eta$	35	15.5	12.5	16.5	30	8.5
	(0.05−0.15)Hβ	16	10.5	10	9.5	11	4.5
	$(0.15-0.30)H\beta$	10	8.5	9	8.5	9	4
	$(0.30-2.0)H\beta$	7	5.5	7.5	6	7	3.5
	$(2.0-5.0)H\beta$	5.5	4.5	5	5.5	5.5	3.5
	$(5.0-10.0)$ H $\beta$	5	4	4.5	5	5	3.5
	$> 10 H\beta$	4.5	4	4	4.5	5	3.5
	y		Electron	nic Densities (cm <sup>-</sup>	<sup>-3</sup> ) and Temperatu	ures (K)	
	N [S II]	$1300 \pm 735$	$3650 \pm 1060$	$2950 \pm 730$	$3400 \pm 1050$	$1200 \pm 560$	$2300 \pm 440$
	N <sub>e</sub> [S II]	1350 1 733	$3050 \pm 1000$	$2930 \pm 730$	$3400 \pm 1000$	1200±500	$2500 \pm 440$
	$N_e[OIII]$	$1250 \pm 700$	$3450\pm1000$	2100±370	3550±1100	$1100\pm 550$	$2550 \pm 490$
	$I_e[U III]$	$12400 \pm 1350$	$13450 \pm 1220$	$15600 \pm 1500$	$15000 \pm 1390$	$13200 \pm 1300$	$14300 \pm 700$
		$12030 \pm 4830$	13900±3330	-	$10850 \pm 4250$	$14450\pm 5500$	-
P.A.=248°	Line ID	SW outer	SW inner	Cavity + Star	NE inner	NE outer	NEB
		FLIER	Rim	-	Rim	FLIER	
	[SII] 4068.6	1.420	0.885	0.814	0.920	1.263	1.232
	[SII] 4076.4	0.530	0.469	0.966	0.430	0.317	0.259
	[SII] 4072.0	1.923	0.954	2.127	1.131	1.381	1.804
	Ηδ 4101.8	24.39	24.98	25.08	23.69	23.93	23.99
	$H\gamma 4340.5$	43.96	45.22	45.07	44.17	43.99	45.29
	[OIII] 4363.2	21.83	17.27	16.43	16.53	18.89	16.98
	Hβ 4861.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	[OIII] 4958.9	552.7	387.6	339.8	398.1	517.8	397.1
	[OIII] 5006 86	1706	1184	1040	1214	1570	1213
	[CLui] 5517 7	0.637	0.395	0.408	0.389	0.606	0.410
	[CLui] 5537 9	0.585	0.431	0.390	0.437	0.570	0.422
	[Nu] 5754.6	0.585	0.088	_	0.089	0.552	0.155
	Ηα 6562.8	343.8	331 0	321.6	331 3	333.8	321 1
	[Nu] 6583 4	31 14	3 551	2 734	4 289	22.88	6 321
	[NII] 0505.4 [Su] 6716 5	2 620	0.454	0.211	4.205	22.00	0.611
	[SII] 6730.8	3.843	0.751	0.423	0.679	3.064	0.932
	$F_{ma}a$	3 580	33 59	22.23	41.22	8 732	117.9
	c <sub>Hβ</sub>	$0.21 \pm 0.025$	$0.17 \pm 0.011$	$0.14 \pm 0.011$	$0.17 \pm 0.011$	$0.19 \pm 0.015$	$0.14 \pm 0.008$
		Percentage errors in line fluxes					
	(0.01_0.05)H?	38 5	10.5	10	0 5	95 F	8 5
	$(0.01 - 0.05) H\beta$	36.3 10 5	10.5	18	9.0	20.0 14	0.0
	$(0.05-0.15)H\beta$	19.5	8.0	9.5	_ (	14	ə.ə 4 F
	$(0.15-0.30)H\beta$	13	0.5	1.0	5.5 4 F	ð	4.5
	$(0.30-2.0)H\beta$	8.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	0	3.5
	$(2.0-5.0)H\beta$	6.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5	3.0
	$(5.0-10.0)H\beta$	5.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	4	3.0
	$> 10 H\beta$	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	4	3.0
			Electro	nic Densities (cm <sup>-</sup>	) and Temperatu	ıres (K)	
	$N_e[S II]$	$2400 \pm 1300$	$3950\pm650$	$1850 {\pm} 550$	$3250 \pm 550$	$2250 \pm 800$	$2850{\pm}450$
	$N_e$ [Cl III]	$1800 \pm 1040$	$3500 \pm 580$	$2150 \pm 630$	$3800 \pm 1370$	$2000 \pm 790$	$2850 \pm 460$
	$T_e[O III]$	$14750 \pm 1980$	$13650 \pm 970$	$14100 \pm 1070$	$13300 \pm 820$	$12700 \pm 1100$	$13400 {\pm} 680$
	$T_e[N II]$	$11350 {\pm} 4540$	$12600 \pm 1920$	-	$11700 \pm 3920$	$12900 \pm 3720$	$12750 {\pm} 1530$

<sup>a</sup> In units of  $10^{-13}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Note that [NII] 6548Å was not measured for any of the structures. Thus, T<sub>e</sub>[N II] were calculated assuming the theoretical relation between [NII] 6583Å and [NII] 6548Å.



Figure 6. Diagnostic diagrams for spatially resolved PNe. Left panels are an adaptation of the Raga et al. (2008) results, whereas right panels superpose to these results the data for our sample. The Raga et al. (2008) simulations for high-velocity shocked knots that travel through a photoionized region of a PN involve four families of models, as indicated in the labels of the upper left panel. Coded in their names, the numbers represent the knot's velocity, from 40 to 150 km s<sup>-1</sup>whereas letters from 'a' to 'C' indicate different stellar luminosities and temperatures which are kept constant in each model while the knot's distance to the ionizing source decreases. Therefore, model 'a100' has the lowest, and 'C100' the highest, photoionization rate, while both assume a velocity of 100 km s<sup>-1</sup>for the knots. A compilation of empirical literature data performed by Raga et al. (2008) is also sketched in the four panels as regions filled with diagonal lines. In the two right panels, different regions of the PNe of our sample are added: empty symbols correspond to the pairs of knots, filled symbols to the nebular inner regions (Rim, Core, Cavity + Star), and half-filled circles correspond to the outer shells.

Recent models can be grouped into three main families. i) Magnetohydrodynamic disk wind models, based on the scenario of accretion disks formed by binary interactions (Frank & Blackman 2004, Blackman, Frank & Welch 2001). ii) Interacting AGB and post-AGB wind models, in which the post-AGB wind is driven exclusively by the magnetic pressure of a single star (García-Segura, López & Franco 2005, García-Díaz et al. 2008). iii) Models that explore the effect of axisymmetrical light (low density) jets that, for a short period of time, expand into a spherical AGB wind (Akashi & Soker 2008). Some of the above models are particularly tailored to reproduce the main morphological and kinematic properties of a few well observed PNe: He 3-401, M2-9 and He 2-90 (García-Segura et al. 2005); Mz 3 and the pre-PN M1-92 (Akashi & Soker 2008). Nevertheless, and despite the different assumptions of the different models, all of them are able to account for the formation of PNe with jets.

Model predictions state that, after the eventual shut off of the jets, *dense* knots would form embedded in the outer nebular components. Moreover, both the knots present at the tips of the existing jets and those left over when jets disappear are predicted to be denser than the inner PN components by large factors ranging from 10 up to 1000 (typically 10) depending on the model assumptions.

Therefore, there is a clear disagreement between observed and modeled pairs of knots: the former are found to be typically 10 times less dense, while the latter are expected to be typically 10 times denser, than the inner rims and shells of their parent PN.

A straightforward possibility to reconcile the empirical and theoretical density contrasts is keeping in mind that empirical densities, as those measured here, correspond to only the ionized fraction of the PN gas. Models, on the other hand, usually predict the formation of knots accounting for its morphology, kinematics and total gas content, but do not detail the ionization status of each component nor their evolution with time. The strong discrepancy between models and observations found here (a typical factor of 100) can therefore be explained if 90% or more of the low-ionization knots' material is neutral. It is worth mentioning here the case of one prominent cometary globule of the Helix nebula –which contains the best known low-ionization knots of PNe. The molecular matter (H<sub>2</sub> + CO; Huggins et al. 2002) and the dust content (Meaburn et al. 1992) of this knot amount to  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ , whereas O'Dell & Handron (1996) estimated that the typical mass of the photoionized gas of a globule in Helix is  $\sim 10^{-9} M_{\odot}$ .

## 7.3 Main excitation of the pairs of knots

Raga et al. (2008) have recently simulated the evolution of high-density  $(10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ , high-velocity  $(100 \text{ to } 150 \text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1})$ knots that travel away from a photoionizing source crossing a uniform lower density  $(10^2 \text{ cm}^{-3})$  environment. They explored a range of initial conditions for the shocked knots (in terms of photoinization rate), and qualitatively reproduced the emission-line ratios of several FLIERs, rims and shells observed in pre-PNe and PNe. At the end of the model evolution, 400 yr, the number densities for the knots are  $10^3$  to  $10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , broadly in agreement with measured N<sub>e</sub> of knots in NGC 7009 and IC 4634. These simulations were indeed intended to reproduce the line ratios of the FLIERs of these two PNe. No predictions are given for the properties of the other PN components (rims, shells and haloes). In Figure 6 we show two of the diagnostic diagrams from Raga et al. (2008) comparing empirical and theoretical line ratios for FLIERs, as well as showing a compilation of empirical data for rims and shells of some PNe.

Fig. 6 shows, first, that most of the inner regions (rims and shells) of the PNe in our sample occupy the same zone as the rims and shells of other PNe in the literature. Second, the same is true for the zone occupied by the pairs of knots in He 1-1 and NGC 7662 (open boxes and circles) with respect to the zones of the FLIERs sample in Raga et al. (2008). Third, knots in the other two nebulae, IC 2149 and KjPn 8 (open triangles and stars), are displaced from the empirical zone of FLIERs in Raga et al. (2008). Their locations are consistent with the results of simulations with the highest initial velocity of the knots (150  $\,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$ , model A/B/C/150), and indicate that a mixing of shock and photo ionization is playing a role in the measured emission-line ratios. In fact, the highly supersonic velocity (radial velocity of  $226 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ; López et al. 1997) measured in KjPn 8 for the SE knot at  $P.A.=98^{\circ}$  could explain this agreement. Expansion velocities of IC 2149's knots are more moderate ( $\sim$  $47 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ; Vázquez et al. 2002).

Raga et al. (2008) show that models with low photoionization rates yield line ratios typical of shock-excited knots, whereas in models with higher photoionization rate, photoionized emission dominates the spectrum of the highvelocity, high-density knots. This strengthen the idea that it is evolution (PN age; see Gonçalves 2004, Raga et al. 2008; Viironen et al. 2009) and photoionization rate (Dopita 1997; Raga et al. 2008), rather than the highly supersonic velocities of the knots, the key parameters to separate the shockexcited from the photoionized structures in planetary nebulae.

## 8 CONCLUSIONS

We have derived the physical parameters of low-ionization pairs of knots, and of higher ionization PN main components for a small sample of nebulae (He 1-1, IC 2149, KjPn 8 and NGC 7662). We have shown that electron temperatures at the knots are comparable to the temperatures of the main nebular components, rims and shells, whereas densities in the latter structures are significantly higher than in the pairs of knots, by up to a factor of 2. Typical knots' densities in the studied PNe are 500 to 2 000 cm<sup>-3</sup>.

We have argued that optical line ratios are not appropriate to constrain the total gas density, as they only account for the ionized matter of the knots, whereas none of the available models properly explore the knots ionization fraction, but the total (neutral plus ionized) density structure. A direct comparison of our results, plus compiled literature data, with the theoretical density contrasts expected from model predictions, yields a clear discrepancy that amount, typically, up to a factor of 100. To reconcile models and observations we suggest that an important fraction of the gas at the knots -90%- should be neutral. The results presented here can help in constraining more realistic models for jets and knots in PNe, by providing empirical physical parameters of the ionized gas.

We have analyzed the location of the knots of our four PNe in diagnostic diagrams studied by Raga et al. (2008) concluding that the observed line emission ratios are compatible with shocked knots traveling in the photoionized outer regions of their host PNe.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the anonymous referee of this manuscript, for his suggestions. D.R.G. thanks the Brazilian agency FAPERJ (E-26/110.107/2008) for its partial support. A.M., and R.L.M.C. acknowledge funding from the Spanish Ministry of Science AYA2007-66804 grant. This paper makes use of data obtained at the 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT: IDS and IPHAS), operated by the Isaac Newton Group; and at the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT: ALFOSC), operated by NOTSA. Both are telescopes of the European Northern Observatory on the island of La Palma in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. We also use NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope data, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA under contract NAS5-26555.

## REFERENCES

- Akashi M., & Soker, N. 2008, MNRAS in press
- Balick B., & Frank A., 2002, ARA&A, 40, 439
- Balick, B., Preston, H. L., Icke, V., 1987, AJ, 94, 1641
- Balick, B., Rugers, M., Terzian, Y., Chengalur, J. N., 1993, ApJ, 411, 778
- Balick B., Perinotto M., Maccioni A., Alexander J., Terzian Y., Hajian A. R., 1994, ApJ, 424, 800
- Balick, B., Alexander, J., Hajian, A. R., Terzian, Y., Perinotto, M., & Patriarchi, P., 1998, AJ, 116, 360
- Barker, T., 1986, ApJ, 308, 314
- Benítez G., Vázquez R., Cook R., & Olguín L., 2002, RMxAC 12, 159
- Blackman E. G., Frank A., & Welch C., 2001, ApJ 546, 288 1998, A&A 331, 361
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245

- Ciardullo, R., Bond, H. E., Sipior, M. S., Fullton, L. K., Zhang, C.-Y., Schaefer, K. G., 1999, AJ, 118, 488
- Corradi R. L. M., Guerrero M., Manchado A., & Mampaso A., 1997, NewA 2, 461
- Corradi R. L. M., Schönberner D., Steffen M., & Perinotto M., 2003, MNRAS 340, 417
- Cuesta L. & Phillips J. P., 2000, ApJ 543, 754
- Dopita, M. A., 1997, ApJ, 485, L41
- Exter K. M., Pollacco D. L., & Bell S. A., 2003, MNRAS 341, 1349
- Frank A., & Blackman E. G., 2004, ApJ 614, 737
- Feibelman, W. A., Hyung, S., Aller, L. H., 1994, ApJ, 426, 653
- García-Díaz M. T., López J. A., García-Segura G., Richer M. G., Steffen W., 2008, ApJ 676, 402
- García-Segura G., López J. A., & Franco, J., 2005, ApJ $618,\,919$
- Gonçalves, D. R., Corradi, R. L. M., & Mampaso, A., 2001, ApJ, 547, 302
- Gonçalves, D. R., Corradi, R. L. M., Mampaso, A., Perinotto, M., 2003, ApJ, 597, 975
- Gonçalves, D. R., 2004, ASPC, 313, 216
- Guerrero, M. A., Jaxon, E. G. & Chu, Y.-H., 2004, AJ, 128, 1705
- Guerrero M. A., Miranda L. F., Riera A., Velázquez P. F., & Olguín L., 2008, ApJ 683, 272
- Hajian A. R., Balick B., Terzian Y., & Perinotto M., 1997, ApJ 487, 313
- Huggins P. J., Forveille T., Bachiller R., Cox P., Ageorges N., & Walsh J. R., 2002, ApJ, 573, L55
- Hyung, S.,& Aller, L. H., 1997, ApJ, 491, 242
- López, J. A., Vazquéz, R., & Rodríguez, L. F., 1995, ApJ, 445, L63
- López, J. A., Meaburn, J., Bryce, M., & Rodríguez, L. F., 1997, ApJ, 475, 705
- López, J. A., Meaburn, J., Rodríguez, L. F., Vazquéz, R., Steffen. W., & Bryce, M., 2000, ApJ, 538, 233
- Meaburn J., Walsh J. R., Clegg R. E. S., Walton N. A., Taylor D., & Berry D. S., 1992, MNRAS 255, 177
- Meaburn, J., 1997, MNRAS, 292, L11
- Miranda L. F., Fernández M., Alcalá J. M., Guerrero M. A., Anglada G, et al., 2000, MNRAS 311, 748
- O'Dell C. R., & Handron K. D., 1996, AJ, 111, 1630
- Osterbrock, D. E., & Ferland, G. J., in "Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei" (2nd Edition) / University Science Books, 2006
- Perinotto, M., 2000, Ap&SS, 274, 205
- Perinotto, M., Patriarchi, P., Balick, B., Corradi, R. L. M., 2004, A&A, 422, 963
- Raga A. C., Riera A., Mellema G., Esquivel A., & Velázquez P. F., 2008, A&A, 489, 1141
- Samland, M., Koeppen, J., Acker, A., & Stenholm, B., 1992, A&A, 264, 184
- Tylenda, R., Acker, A., Stenholm, B., & Koeppen, J., 1992, A&AS, 95, 337
- Vásquez, R., Kingsburgh R.L., & López, J. A., 1998, MN-RAS, 296, 564
- Vásquez, R., Miranda, L. F., Torrelles, J. M., Olguín, L., Benítez, G., Rodríguez, L. F., López, J. A., 2002, ApJ, 576, 860
- Viironen K., Mampaso A., Corradi R. M. L., Rodríguez M., Greimel R., et al., 2009, A&A accepted,

2009arXiv0904.1937V

- Wang, W., Liu, X.-W., Zhang, Y., & Barlow, M. J., 2004, A&A, 427, 873
- Zhang, C. Y., & Kwok, S., 1998, ApJS, 117, 341
- Zhang, Y., Liu, X.-W., Wesson, R., Storey, P. J., Liu, Y.,
- & Danziger, I. J., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 935