

# Simple Proofs of two Dirac-type Theorems Involving Connectivity

Carlen Mosesyan, Mher Nikoghosyan, Zhora Nikoghosyan

November 21, 2018

## Abstract

Simple and shorter proofs of two Dirac-type theorems involving connectivity are presented.

Keywords: Minimum degree, connectivity, Hamilton cycle, Longest cycle.

We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with minimum degree  $\delta$ , connectivity  $\kappa$  and circumference  $c$  - the length of a longest cycle  $C$  in  $G$ . Then  $C$  is a Hamilton cycle if  $|C| = n$  and is a dominating cycle if  $G \setminus C$  is edgeless.

In 1981, two Dirac-type theorems appeared involving connectivity  $\kappa$ .

**Theorem 1** [3]. In every 3-connected graph,  $c \geq \min\{n, 3\delta - \kappa\}$ .

**Theorem 2** [3]. Every 2-connected graph with  $\delta \geq (n + \kappa)/3$  is hamiltonian.

A short proof of Theorem 2 was given in [1] based on maximal independent sets. Some other proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given in terms of degree sums.

In this note we present much more simple and shorter proofs of theorems 1 and 2 based mainly on standard arguments and the following two theorems.

**Theorem 3** [4]. Let  $G$  be a 3-connected graph. Then either  $c \geq 3\delta - 3$  or every longest cycle in  $G$  is a dominating cycle.

**Theorem 4** [2]. Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph with  $\delta \geq (n + 2)/3$ . Then every longest cycle in  $G$  is a dominating cycle.

The set of vertices of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $V(G)$  and the set of edges by  $E(G)$ . For  $S$  a subset of  $V(G)$ , we denote by  $G \setminus S$  the maximum subgraph of  $G$  with vertex set  $V(G) \setminus S$ . For a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  we use  $G \setminus H$  short for  $G \setminus V(H)$ . We denote by  $N(x)$  the neighborhood of a vertex  $x$  in a graph  $G$ . We write a cycle  $C$  of  $G$  with a given orientation by  $\vec{C}$ . For  $x, y \in V(C)$ , we denote by  $x\vec{C}y$  the subpath of  $C$  in the chosen direction from  $x$  to  $y$ . For  $x \in V(C)$ , we denote the successor of  $x$  on  $\vec{C}$  by  $x^+$ . For  $X \subset V(C)$ , we define  $X^+ = \{x^+ | x \in X\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $G$  be a graph and  $S$  a minimum cut-set in  $G$ . If every longest cycle in  $G$  is a dominating cycle, then either  $c \geq 3\delta - \kappa + 1$  or there exist a longest cycle  $C$  with  $S \subseteq V(C)$ .

**Proof.** Choose a longest cycle  $C$  in  $G$  such that  $|V(C) \cap S|$  is as great as possible and assume that  $S \not\subseteq V(C)$  with  $x \in S \setminus V(C)$ . Since  $C$  is dominating,  $N(x) \subseteq V(C)$ . Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t$  be the elements of  $N(x)$ , occuring on  $\vec{C}$  in a consecutive order and dividing  $C$  into segments  $I_i = \xi_i \vec{C} \xi_{i+1}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ), where  $\xi_{t+1} = \xi_1$ . Put  $M_1 = \{\xi_i | V(I_i) \cap S \subseteq \{\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}\}\}$  and  $M_2 = N(x) \setminus M_1$ . Clearly  $|M_2| \leq \kappa - 1$ . Since  $C$  is extreme,  $N(x)$ ,  $N^+(x)$  and  $M_1^{++}$  are pairwise disjoint in  $V(C)$ . Then the result follows from  $|M_1^{++}| = |N(x)| - |M_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + 1$ .  $\Delta$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a 3-connected graph,  $S$  be a minimum cut-set in  $G$  and let  $H_1, \dots, H_h$  be the components of  $G \setminus S$ . The result holds immediately if  $c \geq 3\delta - 3$ , since  $3\delta - 3 \geq 3\delta - \kappa$ . Otherwise, by Theorem 3, every longest cycle in  $G$  is a dominating cycle. Let  $C$  be any one with  $x \in V(G \setminus C)$ . By Lemma 1,  $S \subseteq V(C)$  and we can assume w.l.o.g. that  $x \in V(H_1)$ . Put  $W_1 = N(x) \cup N^+(x)$ . Clearly  $|W_1| \geq 2\delta$  and it remains to find  $W_2 \subseteq V(C)$  such that  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$  and  $|W_2| \geq \delta - \kappa$ . Suppose first that  $W_1 \subseteq V(H_1) \cup S$ . If  $V(H_2) \subseteq V(C)$ , then take  $W_2 = V(H_2)$ . Otherwise, there exist  $y \in V(H_2 \setminus C)$  with  $N(y) \subseteq V(C)$  and we can take  $W_2 = N(y) \setminus S$ . Now let  $W_1 \not\subseteq V(H_1) \cup S$  and choose  $z \in N^+(x) \cap V(H_2)$ . If  $N(z) \subseteq V(C)$ , then take  $W_2 = N(z) \setminus S$ , since  $N(z) \cap N^+(x) = \emptyset$  (by standard arguments). Otherwise, choose  $w \in N(z) \setminus V(C)$ . Clearly  $N(w) \subseteq V(C)$ ,  $w \in V(H_2)$  and  $N(z) \cap N^+(x) \subseteq \{z\}$ . Then by taking  $W_2 = (N(w) \setminus \{z\}) \setminus (S \setminus \{z\})$  we complete the proof.  $\Delta$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Assume the converse. Let  $G$  be a non hamiltonian 2-connected graph with  $\delta \geq (n + \kappa)/3$  and  $S$  be a minimum cut-set in  $G$ . Since  $\delta \geq (n + \kappa)/3 \geq (n + 2)/3$ , by Theorem 4, every longest cycle in  $G$  is a dominating cycle. By Lemma 1,  $G$  contains a longest cycle  $C$  with  $S \subseteq V(C)$ . As in proof of Theorem 1,  $c \geq 3\delta - \kappa$ , contradicting the fact that  $\delta \geq (n + \kappa)/3$ .  $\Delta$

## References

- [1] R. Häggkvist and G.G. Nicoghossian, A remark on hamiltonian cycles, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 30 (1981) 118-120.
- [2] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint hamiltonian cycles in graphs with vertices of large valency, in: L. Mirsky (Ed), Studies in Pure Mathematics, Academic Press, San Diego, London (1971) 157-183.
- [3] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, On maximal cycle of a graph, DAN Arm.SSR v.LXXII 2 (1981) 82-87 (in Russian).
- [4] H.-J. Voss and C. Zuluaga, Maximale gerade und ungerade Kreise in Graphen I, Wiss. Z. Tech. Hochschule Ilmenau, 23 (1977) 57-70.