

Limit properties of periodic one dimensional hopping model

Yunxin Zhang^{*†}

Abstract

Periodic one dimensional hopping model is useful to study the motion of microscopic particles, which lie in thermal noise environment. The mean velocity V_N and diffusion constant D_N of this model have been obtained by Bernard Derrida [J. Stat. Phys. 31 (1983) 433]. In this research, we will give the limits V_D and D_D of V_N and D_N as the number N of mechanochemical sates in one period tends to infinity by formal calculation. It is well known that the stochastic motion of microscopic particles also can be described by overdamped Langevin dynamics and Fokker-Planck equation. Up to now, the corresponding formulations of mean velocity and effective diffusion coefficient, V_L and D_L in the framework of Langevin dynamics and V_P, D_P in the framework of Fokker-Planck equation, have also been known. In this research, we will find that the formulations V_D and V_L, V_P are theoretically equivalent, and numerical comparison indicates that D_D, D_L , and D_P are almost the same. Through the discussion in this research, we also can know more about the relationship between the one dimensional hopping model and Fokker-Planck equation.

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

[†]Centre for Computational Systems Biology, Fudan University (E-Mail: xyz@fudan.edu.cn)

PACS: 87.16.Nn, 87.16.A-, 82.39.-k, 05.40.Jc

Keywords: diffusion coefficient; Langevin equation; Fokker-Planck equation; hopping model

1 Introduction

Many physical [1, 2] and biochemical phenomena, especially for the motion of motor protein [3, 4, 5, 6], can be described by the periodic one dimensional hopping model. In this model, the particle jumps along a periodical linear track from one binding site to next one through the sequence of N mechanochemical states [7, 8]. The particle in state j can jump forward to state $j + 1$ with the rate u_j , or jump backward to state $j - 1$ with the rate w_j . After moving N sites forward the particle comes to the same mechanochemical state but shifted by a step size distance L (for motor protein kinesin $L = 8.2\text{nm}$). The dynamics of particle motion is described by the standard rate equations of occupation probabilities $p_j(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_j(t)}{\partial t} &= u_{j-1}p_{j-1}(t) + w_{j+1}p_{j+1}(t) - [u_j + w_j]p_j(t) \\ &0 \leq j \leq N \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$p_{lN+j}(t) = p_j(t) \quad u_{lN+j} = u_j \quad w_{lN+j} = w_j \quad l \in \mathcal{Z} \quad (2)$$

At steady state,

$$u_{j-1}p_{j-1} + w_{j+1}p_{j+1} - [u_j + w_j]p_j = 0 \quad 0 \leq j \leq N \quad (3)$$

It's solution is

$$p_j = \frac{r_j}{R_N} \quad (4)$$

where

$$r_j = \frac{1}{u_j} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{w_i}{u_i} \right] \quad R_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} r_j \quad (5)$$

This model has been extensively studied [9, 10] and its mean velocity V_N and diffusion constant D_N has been obtained explicitly [11].

$$V_N = \frac{L \left[1 - \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{w_j}{u_j} \right]}{R_N} \quad D_N = \frac{L}{N} \left[\frac{LU_N + VS_N}{R_N^2} - \frac{(N+2)V}{2} \right] \quad (6)$$

where

$$S_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (k+1)r_{k+j+1} \quad U_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u_j r_j s_j \quad s_j = \frac{1}{u_j} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=j-1}^{j-k} \frac{w_{i+1}}{u_i} \right]$$

Besides one dimensional hopping model, the stochastic motion of particle also can be modelled by Langevin dynamics [12, 13] and Fokker-Planck equation [14, 8, 15, 16]. Intuitively, the Langevin dynamics and Fokker-Planck equation can be regarded as infinite mechanochemical states case of periodic one dimensional hopping model. In the framework of Langevin dynamics, the particle position is governed by the following Langevin equation

$$\xi \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} + \sqrt{2k_B T} \xi f_B(t) \quad (7)$$

where ξ is viscous friction coefficient, k_B is Boltzmann's constant. $\phi(x) = \Phi(x) - F_{ext}x$, F_{ext} is external load, Φ is a (tilted) periodic potential with period L . T is absolute temperature and $f_B(t)$ is Gaussian white noise. Based on the relation between the effective diffusion coefficient and the first two moments of the mean first passage time (MFPT), the mean velocity and effective diffusion coefficient can be expressed in quadratures ([17] [18])

$$V_L = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{\Delta \phi}{k_B T}}}{\int_0^L dx I_+(x)} L \quad D_L = \frac{\int_0^L dx I_+^2(x) I_-(x)}{\left[\int_0^L dx I_+(x) \right]^3} DL^2 \quad (8)$$

where

$$I_{\pm} = \mp \frac{e^{\pm \phi(x)/K_B T}}{D} \int_x^{x \mp L} dy e^{\mp \phi(y)/K_B T} \quad (9)$$

$\Delta \phi \triangleq \phi(L) - \phi(0)$ and D is the free diffusion constant which satisfies the Einstein relation $\xi D = k_B T$.

At the same time, the stochastic motion of particle can be modelled by the Fokker-Planck equation [14, 15, 16]

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\rho \partial \phi}{\xi \partial x} + D \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right] \quad 0 \leq x \leq L \quad (10)$$

where $\rho(x, t)$ is the probability density for finding particles at position x and time t . At steady state,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\rho \partial \phi}{\xi \partial x} + D \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right] = 0 \quad 0 \leq x \leq L \quad (11)$$

It's solution is (see [14, 17, 19])

$$\rho(x) = \frac{J \exp\left(-\frac{\phi(x)}{k_B T}\right)}{D \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{k_B T}\right)\right]} \left(\int_x^{x+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(y)}{k_B T}\right) dy \right) \quad (12)$$

where

$$J = \frac{D \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{k_B T}\right)\right]}{\int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{\phi(x)}{k_B T}\right) \left(\int_x^{x+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(y)}{k_B T}\right) dy \right) dx} \quad (13)$$

is the probability flux. So the mean velocity of particles is

$$V_F = LJ = \frac{DL \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{k_B T}\right)\right]}{\int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{\phi(x)}{k_B T}\right) \left(\int_x^{x+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(y)}{k_B T}\right) dy \right) dx} \quad (14)$$

In [20] we have known that the formulation of the effective diffusion coefficient is

$$D_F = J \int_0^L \left[(L\rho(x) - 1) \int_0^x (L\rho(z) - 1) dz \right] dx - \frac{JL}{\exp\left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{k_B T}\right) - 1} \int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{\phi(x)}{k_B T}\right) \left[\int_x^{x+L} \rho^2(z) \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{k_B T}\right) dz \right] dx \quad (15)$$

As we have mentioned, the continuous models (Langevin equation and Fokker-Planck equation) can be regarded as infinite mechanochemical states cases of the discrete model, i.e. the periodic one dimensional hopping model. Therefore, the mean velocity and effective diffusion coefficient of continuous cases can be obtained directly by calculating the limits of which in discrete case (6). In the following, we will obtain the limits V_D and D_D of V_N and D_N by formal calculation. Theoretically, V_D and V_L, V_P are equivalent. Though D_D and D_L, D_P are not theoretically equivalent, since D_N, D_L and D_P are all obtained under some assumptions, numerical results indicate that the differences among them are very small.

2 The limit of velocity of the hopping model

Firstly, we consider the large mechanochemical state N limit of the velocity of one dimensional hopping model.

Due to the detailed balance

$$\frac{w_{i+1}}{u_i} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_{i+1})}{k_B T}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_i)}{k_B T}\right)} = \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{k_B T}\right) \quad (16)$$

where $x_i = \frac{iL}{N}$, $\Delta\phi_i = \phi(x_{i+1}) - \phi(x_i)$, one can know that

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= \frac{1}{u_j} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{w_i}{u_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{u_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{u_{j+k}} \prod_{i=j+1}^{j+k} \frac{w_i}{u_{i-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{u_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{u_{j+k}} \prod_{i=j+1}^{j+k} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_{i-1}}{k_B T}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{u_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{u_{j+k}} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_{j+k}) - \phi(x_j)}{k_B T}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{u_{j+k}} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_{j+k}) - \phi(x_j)}{k_B T}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In the large N limit, $u_j \approx \bar{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)^2 = \bar{D}_N / (\Delta x)^2$ (for detailed discussion, see [21, 6]).

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r(x_j) &\approx \frac{N}{L} \int_0^L \frac{1}{u(x_j + z)} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_j + z) - \phi(x_j)}{k_B T}\right) dz \\ &= \frac{N}{L} \int_{x_j}^{x_j+L} \frac{1}{u(z)} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x_j)}{k_B T}\right) dz \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\bar{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \int_{x_j}^{x_j+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x_j)}{k_B T}\right) dz \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} R_N &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} r_j \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\bar{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \int_{x_j}^{x_j+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x_j)}{k_B T}\right) dz \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\bar{D}_N} \int_0^L \left[\int_x^{x+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x)}{k_B T}\right) dz \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Thanks to the periodicity of the transition rates u_j and w_j (2),

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{w_i}{u_i} = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{w_{i+1}}{u_i} = \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_N) - \phi(x_0)}{k_B T}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \quad (20)$$

From (2) (19) (20), it is easy to obtain

$$V_D := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} V_N = \frac{\bar{D}L \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right)\right]}{\int_0^L \left[\int_x^{x+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x)}{k_B T}\right) dz\right] dx} \quad (21)$$

where $\bar{D} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \bar{D}_N$. One can easily verify that, if $\bar{D} = D$ then $V_D = V_L = V_F$ (see (8) (14)). In the following, we always assume that $\bar{D} = D$.

3 The limit of effective diffusion coefficient of the hopping model

Using the similar discussion as in the above section, it can be easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} s_j &= \frac{1}{u_j} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=j-1}^{j-k} \frac{w_{i+1}}{u_i} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{u_j} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{i=j-1}^{j-k} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi_i}{k_B T}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{u_j} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(x_{j-k})}{k_B T}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{u_j} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(x_{j-k})}{k_B T}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

In the large biochemical state number N limit,

$$\begin{aligned} s(x_j) &\approx \frac{N}{L} \frac{1}{u(x_j)} \int_0^L \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(x_j - z)}{k_B T}\right) dz \\ &= \frac{N}{L} \frac{1}{u(x_j)} \int_{x_j-L}^{x_j} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(z)}{k_B T}\right) dz \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\bar{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \int_{x_j-L}^{x_j} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(z)}{k_B T}\right) dz \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

For the sake of the simplicity, we define

$$f(x) = \int_x^{x+L} \exp\left(\frac{\phi(z)-\phi(x)}{k_B T}\right) dz \quad g(x) = \int_{x-L}^x \exp\left(\frac{\phi(x)-\phi(z)}{k_B T}\right) dz \quad (24)$$

It can be readily verified that

$$R_N \approx \frac{1}{\overline{D}_N} \int_0^L f(x) dx \quad r(x_j) \approx \frac{f(x_j)}{\overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \quad s(x_j) \approx \frac{g(x_j)}{\overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_N &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u_j r_j s_j \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)^2 \left[\frac{f(x_j)}{\overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{g(x_j)}{\overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\overline{D}_N} f(x_j) g(x_j) = \frac{N}{L \overline{D}_N} \int_0^L f(x) g(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (k+1) r_{k+j+1} &\approx \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (k+1) f(x_{k+j+1}) \frac{1}{\overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} x_{k+1} f(x_j + x_{k+1}) \frac{1}{\overline{D}_N} \approx \frac{N}{L \overline{D}_N} \int_0^L z f(x_j + z) dz \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (k+1) r_{k+j+1} \\ &\approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{g(x_j)}{\overline{D}_N \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{N}{L \overline{D}_N} \int_0^L z f(x_j + z) dz \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\overline{D}_N^2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} g(x_j) \int_0^L z f(x_j + z) dz \\ &\approx \frac{N}{L \overline{D}_N^2} \int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z f(x + z) dz \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Substituting (21) (25) (26) (28) into (6), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
D_N &= \frac{L}{N} \left[\frac{LU_N + VS_N}{R_N^2} - \frac{(N+2)V}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{L}{N} \left[\frac{L \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right] \left[\frac{N}{L\bar{D}_N} \int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z f(x+z) dz \right] dx \right]}{\left[\frac{1}{\bar{D}_N} \int_0^L f(x) dx \right]^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{L \left[\frac{N}{L\bar{D}_N} \int_0^L f(x)g(x) dx \right]}{\left[\frac{1}{\bar{D}_N} \int_0^L f(x) dx \right]^2} - \frac{(N+2)\bar{D}_N L \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]}{2 \int_0^L f(x) dx} \right] \\
&= L\bar{D}_N \left[\frac{\left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right] \left[\int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z f(x+z) dz \right] dx \right]}{\left[\int_0^L f(x) dx \right]^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\int_0^L f(x)g(x) dx}{\left[\int_0^L f(x) dx \right]^2} - \frac{(N+2)L \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]}{2N \int_0^L f(x) dx} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N &= L\bar{D} \left[\frac{\left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right] \left[\int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z f(x+z) dz \right] dx \right]}{\left[\int_0^L f(x) dx \right]^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\int_0^L f(x)g(x) dx}{\left[\int_0^L f(x) dx \right]^2} - \frac{L \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]}{2 \int_0^L f(x) dx} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

From (12, 13) and (24), one can know that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \frac{D \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]}{J} \rho(x) \\
\int_0^L f(x) dx &= \frac{D \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]}{J}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Substituting (31) into (30), we finally get the limit of the effective diffusion coefficient

$$\begin{aligned}
D_D &:= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N \\
&= \frac{JL \int_0^L \rho(x)g(x) dx}{\left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]} + \frac{J^2 L \int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z \rho(x+z) dz \right] dx}{D \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]} - \frac{1}{2} JL^2 \\
&= \frac{V \int_0^L \rho(x)g(x) dx}{\left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]} + \frac{JV \int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z \rho(x+z) dz \right] dx}{D \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]} - \frac{1}{2} VL
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

By the way, the limit of the randomness parameter $RAND_N = \frac{2D_N}{V_N L}$ is

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} RAND_N = \frac{2 \int_0^L \rho(x) g(x) dx}{L \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]} + \frac{2J \int_0^L \left[g(x) \int_0^L z \rho(x+z) dz \right] dx}{DL \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{k_B T}\right) \right]} - 1 \quad (33)$$

4 Numerical results and discussion

As we have known that the limit V_D of velocity of the hopping model is the same as V_L and V_F . However, it is easy to understand that D_D would be different from D_L, D_F because they are obtained under different assumptions.

To indicate the accuracy of the formulation (32), we present the numerical results of the formulations (8) (15) and (32) in Figure 1 and 2. From the numerical results we can find that the formulation (32) is accurate enough, especially for the cases with small external force F_{ext} . Which implies that the methods used to derived the formulation (32) is reasonable. From the derivation we also can know more about the relationship between discrete models and continuous models of stochastic motion of microscopic particles.

In conclusion, we have provided an analytical formulation of effective diffusion coefficient of the stochastic motion of microscopic particles. The numerical comparison with the formulation obtained in the framework of the overdamped Langevin dynamics and Fokker-Planck equation indicates that our analytical formulation is very accurate. Moreover, the methods used in this research can be further used to get more results about the stochastic motion. Through the discussion in this research, the relationship between continuous models and discrete models has also been made clear.

Acknowledgments This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10701029).




Figure 1: **Left:** figures of effective diffusion coefficient as a function of free diffusion coefficient $D := k_B T / \xi$, where the red line is obtained by the formulation (15), the green stars are obtained by the formulation (8) and the blue circles are obtained by the formulation (32). **Right:** the difference between the formulations (32) (8) and (15), where D_F denote the results of formulation (15), D_L denote the results of the formulation (8) and D_D denote the results of the formulation (32). In the simulation, the potential $\Phi(x) = U_0 \sin(2\pi x / L) - F_{ext} x$ and $\xi = U_0 = L = 1$ (see [18]), $F_{ext} = 0.5$.




Figure 2: **Left:** the relationship between the effective diffusion coefficient and the external force F_{ext} . **Right:** the differences between the formulations (8) (15) and (32). In the simulation, the potential $\Phi(x) = U_0 \sin(2\pi x/L) - F_{ext}x$ and $\xi = U_0 = L = 1$ (see [18]).

References

- [1] S. Alexander, J. Bernasconi, W. R. Schneider, R. Biller, W. G. Clark, G. Grüner, R. Orbach, and Zettl. Frequency-dependent charge transport in a one-dimensional disordered metal. *Phys. Rev. B*, 24:7474–7477, 1981.
- [2] S. Alexander, J. Bernasconi, W. R. Schneider, and R. Orbach. Excitation dynamics in random one-dimensional systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 53:175–198, 1981.
- [3] N. J. Carter and R. A. Cross. Mechanics of the kinesin step. *Nature*, 435:308–312, 2005.
- [4] Yunxin Zhang. Three phase model of the processive motor protein kinesin. *Bio-physical Chemistry*, 136:19–22, 2008.
- [5] Anatoly B. Kolomeisky and Michael E. Fisher. Periodic sequential kinetic models with jumping, branching and deaths. *Physica A*, 279:1–20, 2000.

- [6] G. Lattanzi and A. Maritan. Master equation approach to molecular motors. *Physical Review E*, 64:061905, 2001.
- [7] Michael E. Fisher and Anatoly B. Kolomeisky. The force exerted by a molecular motor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 96:6597–6602, 1999.
- [8] Yunxin Zhang. A general two-cycle network model of molecular motors. *Physica A*, 388:3465–3474, 2009.
- [9] I. Webman. Effective-medium approximation for diffusion on a random lattice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 47:1496–1499, 1981.
- [10] J. Machta. Generalized diffusion coefficient in one-dimensional random walks with static disorder. *Phys. Rev. B*, 24:5260–5269, 1982.
- [11] B. Derrida. Velocity and diffusion constant of a periodic one-dimensional hopping model. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 31:433–450, 1983.
- [12] Mykhaylo Evstigneev, Olga Zvyagolskaya, Stefan Bleil, Ralf Eichhorn, Clemens Bechinger, and Peter Reimann¹. Diffusion of colloidal particles in a tilted periodic potential: Theory versus experiment. *Phys. Rev. E*, 77:041107, 2008.
- [13] Mykhaylo Evstigneev, Sebastian von Gehlen, and Peter Reimann. Interaction-controlled brownian motion in a tilted periodic potential. *Phys. Rev. E*, 79:011116, 2009.
- [14] J. Howard. *Mechanics of Motor Proteins and the Cytoskeleton*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, 2001.
- [15] Yunxin Zhang. The efficiency of molecular motors. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 134:669–679, 2009.
- [16] H. Risken. *The Fokker-Planck Equation*. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [17] P. Reimann, C. Van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hänggi, J. M. Rubi, and A. Pérez-Madrid. Diffusion in tilted periodic potentials: Enhancement, universality, and scaling. *Physical Review E*, 65:031104, 2002.

- [18] P. Reimann, C. Van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hanggi, J.M. Rubi, and A. Pérez-Madrid. Giant acceleration of free diffusion by use of tilted periodic potentials. *Physical Review Letters*, 87:010602, 2001.
- [19] Mykhaylo Evstigneev, Olga Zvyagolskaya, Stefan Bleil, Ralf Eichhorn, Clemens Bechinger, and Peter Reimann. Diffusion of colloidal particles in a tilted periodic potential: Theory versus experiment. *Physical Review E*, 77:041107, 2008.
- [20] Yunxin Zhang. Derivation of diffusion coefficient of a brownian particle in tilted periodic potential from the coordinate moments. *Physics Letters A*, doi:10.1016/j.physleta.2009.05.061, 2009.
- [21] John Fricks, Hongyun Wang, and Timothy C. Elston. A numerical algorithm for investigating the role of the motor-cargo linkage in molecular motor driven transport. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 239:33–48, 2006.