

A PRODUCT OF INTEGER PARTITIONS

ALAIN GOUPIL ¹

ABSTRACT. I present a bijection on integer partitions that leads to recursive expressions, closed formulae and generating functions for the cardinality of certain sets of partitions of a positive integer n . The bijection leads also to a product on partitions that is associative with a natural grading thus defining a free associative algebra on the set of integer partitions. As an outcome of the computations, certain sets of integers appear that I call difference sets and the product of the integers in a difference set is an invariant for a family of sets of partitions. The main combinatorial objects used in these constructions are the central hooks of the Ferrers diagrams of partitions.

Keywords: partition, product, monoid, enumeration, linear representation.

1. INTRODUCTION

A primitive strategy that lies at the hart of enumerative combinatorics is the old Pythagorean school idea of using geometric objects to study arithmetics. This connection between geometry and numbers dates back to the fourth century B.C. and one famous illustration of this duality is the well known expansion of perfect squares as sums of odd integers. The immediate visual proof of that identity is provided by the following figure that breaks a square of dots into disjoint hooks of odd size:

$$n^2 = 1 + 3 + \dots + 2n - 1$$

\longleftrightarrow



The hooks in the preceding figure were called *gnomons* by the Ancient greeks and were used in their geometric number theory to provide recursive rules and identities on integers. A first idea that is developed in this paper is to mimic this type of manipulation on sets of partitions by using the same hooks. A second idea that has guided this work is to develop an algebraic structure on the set P of partitions by introducing a non commutative product on P . These two ideas are used to count partitions.

The paper is organized as follow. In the remaining of section 1.1, the necessary notations are established. In section 2 I present the bijections and numerical results needed to define in section 3 a product of partitions and describe the derived algebraic structures. In particular two linear representations as triangular matrices of dimension 3 of the monoid of partitions are presented. Section 4 is devoted to enumeration of certain classes of partitions. In particular, an exact non recursive formula for the computation of $p(n)$ is given. In section 5, I give a generating function in several variables for the numbers $p((k_1, \dots, k_r))$ of r -hooks with hook type (k_1, \dots, k_r) . In section 6, I discuss invariance and prove a property of sets of partitions that share the same set of differences. I conclude in section 7 with a number of remarks and questions arising from this work.

1.1. Notation. Most conventions and definitions needed in this paper are borrowed from [1] and [2] and are outlined in the following paragraphs.

A *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ of a positive integer n is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ of positive integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = n$. The number n is called the *weight* of λ and we write $\lambda \vdash n$. I shall also use the multiplicative notation for partitions and write $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1} 2^{\ell_2} \dots n^{\ell_n}$ to mean that the number i appears ℓ_i times in the partition λ . The Ferrers diagram of a partition λ is, in the cartesian convention, an array of m rows of cells ordered from bottom to top in increasing order of size such that the i th row contains λ_i cells and these Ferrers diagrams will also be denoted λ . The conjugate partition of a partition λ is denoted λ' . A *hook* is a partition with multiplicative form $\lambda = 1^k n - k$ with $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and its Ferrers

¹Work partially supported by a grant from NSERC.




FIGURE 2. A bijection between $P(n, r) \times P(k, 1)$ and $P(n + (k + 1)r + k), r + 1, k)$

Proof. We have to observe first that the number of 1-hooks of length k is equal to k . In other words

$$p(n, 1) = n \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Then, using the bijection in theorem 1, we obtain the following recurrence on the number of central hooks:

$$(4) \quad p((k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)) = k_r p((k_1 - (k_r + 1), k_2 - (k_r + 1), \dots, k_{r-1} - (k_r + 1)))$$

Then by induction hypothesis, we obtain (3). □

A visual proof of corollary 1 is obtained by observing that a difference $(k_{i-1} - k_i - 1)$ between the sizes of two consecutive hooks can be seen as a *degree of freedom* of the hook of size k_{i-1} with respect to the hook of size k_i .




FIGURE 3. The free positions on a hook

For instance, in figure 3, the positions with empty circles on the first hook are free and the positions with crosses are not. Thus one way to obtain all partitions with hook type (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) is to start by sliding the inner hook in k_r different positions, then for each position of the inner hook, we can slide the neighbour hook in a number of positions equal to its number of circles plus one and so on for each hook. Thus the multiplicative property of the set $P((k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r))$ given by equation (3) appears naturally.

Special instances of corollary 1 are:

$$(5) \quad p((2n - 1, \dots, 3, 1)) = 1$$

$$(6) \quad p(n, 2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} k(n - 2k - 1) = \frac{\binom{n}{3}}{4} - \frac{(n-1)}{8}(n \pmod{2})$$

$$(7) \quad p(n, 3) = \sum_{k_3=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 2} \sum_{k_2=k_3+2}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k_3}{2} \rfloor - 1} k_3(k_2 - k_3 - 1)(n - 2k_2 - k_3 - 1)$$

$$(8) \quad = \sum_{d_3=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 2} \frac{d_3}{4} \binom{n - 3d_3 - 2}{3} - \frac{d_3}{8}(n - 3(d_3 + 1))(n + d_3 \pmod{2})$$

We will develop an exact expression for $p(n, 3)$ in section 5. The sequence of differences $k_r, k_{r-1} - k_r - 1, k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} - 1, \dots, k_1 - k_2 - 1$ appearing in corollary 1 will keep appearing in the rest of the paper and we will call it the *difference sequence* of the corresponding hook shape and its set of partitions. The associated set $\{k_r, k_{r-1} - k_r - 1, k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} - 1, \dots, k_1 - k_2 - 1\}$ will be called the *difference set* associated with the

hook type (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) . Any hook type (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t) and its weight n are recovered from the difference sequence (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t) as follow:

$$(9) \quad (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) = (r-1 + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i, r-2 + \sum_{i=2}^r d_i, \dots, d_{r-1} + d_r + 1, d_r)$$

$$(10) \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^r i d_i + \binom{r}{2}$$

The number n given in (10) will be called the weight of $\delta = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ and denoted $|\delta|$. The bijection described in theorem 1 may be extended to a bijection from pairs of partitions to partitions as in the next corollary. Recall that for positive integers n, r, k , $PO(n, r, k)$ is the set of r -hooks of weight n with outer hook of weight k .

Corollary 2. *For any positive integers n, m, r_1 and r_2 , there is a bijection ϕ_2 between the cartesian product $P(n, r_1) \times PO(m, r_2, k)$ and $P(n + m + r_1(k + 1), r_1 + r_2)$.*

Proof. We apply a map ϕ_2 similar to ϕ_1 in theorem 1. As shown in figure 4, any pair of partitions $(\lambda, \mu) \in P(n, r_1) \times PO(m, r_2, k)$ is transformed into a partition where u is placed inside λ as if it was a hook. Then to each hook of λ , we add on top one column of size equal to the first column of μ and on the right, one row of size equal to the size of the first row of μ . We thus obtain a partition of weight $n + m + r_1(k + 1)$ with $r_1 + r_2$ hooks. It is immediate that this transformation is bijective because we can dissect back any partition that is a $(r_1 + r_2)$ -hook by first removing the inner r_2 -hook μ and then erasing from the remaining r_1 -hook the columns and rows of sizes equal to the sizes of the column and row of the outer hook of μ .




FIGURE 4. A bijection between $P(n, r_1) \times PO(m, r_2, k)$ and $P(n + m + r_1(k + 1), r_1 + r_2)$

□

Observe that we can also extend the bijection in corollary 2 to a map from m -tuples of partitions to partitions. In particular, we can map m -tuples of 1-hooks to m -hooks.

Corollary 3. *For positive integers d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m , there is a bijection from the cartesian product $P(d_1, 1) \times P(d_2, 1) \times \dots \times P(d_m, 1)$ to the set of m -hooks with hook type (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) satisfying:*

$$k_1 = r - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i, k_2 = r - 2 + \sum_{i=2}^r d_i, \dots, k_m = d_m$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of a sequential application of the bijection in theorem 1 from left to right on the sequence of hooks and of the fact that inserting hooks in this manner is an associative operation. The inverse bijection consists in the peeling of hooks from a m -hook, reducing the m -hook to a sequence of hooks whose size are the terms in the difference sequence of the m -hook. The next figure shows an example of this bijection applied to a sequence of three hooks. □

A noticeable difference between the bijection in corollary 3 and the simple action of directly inserting hooks one inside another without adding vertical and horizontal segments is that in corollary 3, there is no constraint on the size of each hook involved, which is not the case in an ordinary insertion of hooks. Thus the correspondance between r -tuples of hooks and partitions in corollary 3 can be used as a definition for




FIGURE 5. A bijection between $P(d_1, 1) \times P(d_2, 1) \times P(d_3, 1)$ and $P((2 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3, 1 + d_2 + d_3, d_3))$

a unique non commutative *hook factorisation* of a partition into a "product", or sequence, of hooks. Let us call $f(\lambda)$ this hook factorisation of a partition λ .

3. A FREE MONOID STRUCTURE

The bijection between Ferrers diagrams λ, μ described in corollary 2 and illustrated in figure 4 gives birth to an associative, non commutative binary operation that we will call the *product of the partitions* λ and μ and denote it $\lambda * \mu$. The hook factorisation $f(\lambda)$ of a Ferrers diagram λ into a non commutative product of hooks as illustrated in figure 5 is the inverse of the product and it satisfies

$$(11) \quad f(\lambda * \mu) = f(\lambda) * f(\mu)$$

Since hook factorisation is unique, the pair $(P, *)$ forms a free associative, non commutative monoid with the set of hooks as its set of indecomposable generators. Thus hook factorization of Ferrers diagrams is analogous to prime factorization of integers. There is a natural length function on P given by the size of the Durfee square of the partitions $\lambda \in P$ that we denote $du(\lambda)$. We thus have

$$(12) \quad du(\lambda * \mu) = du(\lambda) + du(\mu)$$

so that the map $du : (P, *) \rightarrow (N, +)$ is a monoid homomorphism. Conjugation of partition, denoted λ' , is compatible with product:

$$(\lambda * \mu)' = \lambda' * \mu'$$

and a square $n \times n$ is factored as a product of n single dots as in figure 6.



FIGURE 6. Factorization of a square

Quotient monoids. Let us define an equivalence relation \sim on the set P of partitions as follow: $\lambda \sim \mu \iff \lambda$ and μ have the same hook type. It is easy to verify that \sim is stable under right and left multiplication i.e. \sim is a congruence on $(P, *)$. That is, for every $\lambda, \mu, \alpha \in P, \lambda \sim \mu \Rightarrow \lambda * \alpha \sim \mu * \alpha$ and $\alpha * \lambda \sim \alpha * \mu$.

The set P / \sim of congruence classes of P is also a monoid called the quotient of P by \sim and we have the choice among several indexing sets for the elements of P / \sim . Let us consider the following three sets: the set H of hook types, the set Δ of differences sequences and the sets $P_{\leq r}(n - r^2)$ of partitions of $n - r^2$ with at most r parts for all $1 \leq r \leq \sqrt{n}$. It is known that these three sets have the same cardinality and we give in the following proposition the bijective maps between these three sets.

Proposition 1. *Let*

$H(n) = \{(k_1, \dots, k_r) : k_i \geq k_{i+1} + 2, 1 \leq i < r, r \leq \sqrt{n}\}$ *be the set of all hook types of* n .

$\Delta(n) = \{(d_1, \dots, d_r) : \sum_{i=1}^r id_i + \binom{r}{2} = n, r \leq \sqrt{n}\}$ *be the set of difference sequences of* n .

$\Pi(n) = \{\mu \vdash n - r^2, \ell(\mu) \leq r, r \leq \sqrt{n}\}$ *be the set of partitions of* $n - r^2$ *with at most* r *parts.*

We have $\text{card}(H(n)) = \text{card}(\Delta(n)) = \text{card}(\Pi(n))$.

Proof. There are six maps presented in table 1, two for each index set and it is easy to prove that these are all bijections. We illustrate these bijections when $n = 13$ and the reader may verify that the product of the entries in each row of the middle column add to $p(13) = 101$. \square

TABLE 1. Three index sets of $P(13)/\sim$

H(n) (k_1, \dots, k_r) $(-1+\sum_{i=1}^r(d_i+1), -1+\sum_{i=2}^r(d_i+1), \dots, d_r)$ $(\mu_1+2r-1, \mu_2+2r-3, \dots, \mu_r+1)$	$\Delta(n)$ $(k_1-k_2-1, \dots, k_{r-1}-k_r-1, k_r)$ (d_1, \dots, d_r) $(\mu_1-\mu_2+1, \dots, \mu_{r-1}-\mu_r+1, \mu_r+1)$	$\Pi(n)$ $(k_1-(2r-1), k_2-(2r-3), \dots, k_r-1)$ $(\sum_{i=1}^r(d_i-1), \sum_{i=2}^r(d_i-1), \dots, d_r-1)$ (μ_1, \dots, μ_r)	r
(13)	(13)	(12)	1
(12,1)	(10,1)	(9,0)	2
(11,2)	(8,2)	(8,1)	2
(10,3)	(6,3)	(7,2)	2
(9,4)	(4,4)	(6,3)	2
(8,5)	(2,5)	(5,4)	2
(9,3,1)	(5,1,1)	(4,0,0)	3
(8,4,1)	(3,2,1)	(3,1,0)	3
(7,5,1)	(1,3,1)	(2,2,0)	3
(7,4,2)	(2,1,2)	(2,1,1)	3

Observe that a consequence of proposition 1 is that the partitions $\mu \in P_{=r}(n - r^2)$ with exactly r parts are in bijection with the set of hook types (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) of partitions of weight n with last term $k_r \geq 2$. We can also use the first Rogers-Ramanujan Identity to obtain a fourth index set: the number of partitions of n with parts congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod 5$ (see [1] or [2]). The product \circ in P/\sim inherited from $(P, *)$ behaves as follow on these three index sets:

- (13) Hook types : $(k_1, \dots, k_r) \circ (k'_1, \dots, k'_s) = (k_1 + k'_1 + 1, \dots, k_r + k'_1 + 1, k'_1, \dots, k'_s)$
(14) Difference sequences : $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \circ (d'_1, \dots, d'_s) = (d_1, \dots, d_r, d'_1, \dots, d'_s)$
(15) Partitions of $n - r^2$: $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r) \circ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) = (\mu_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, \mu_r + \lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$

I choose the set Δ of difference sequences as the indexing set for P/\sim because of (14) and corollary 1 and also because the operation of taking the cardinality of the subsets of P indexed with difference sequences is a monoid homomorphism from $(P/\sim, \circ)$ to the monoid (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) of natural numbers with ordinary multiplication:

$$card : (P/\sim, \circ) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \cdot), card(\delta_1 \circ \delta_2) = card(\delta_1) \cdot card(\delta_2), \quad \forall \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$$

The subset $DU \in P$ of all Durfee squares is closed under multiplication $*$ and any Durfee square is alone in its congruence class so that $DU = DU/\sim$ is a submonoid both of P and of P/\sim . Only Durfee squares are sent to 1 by the morphism $card$ so that the set DU is the kernel of $card$: $ker(card) := DU$. The set $HOOK$ of partitions that have no Durfee square as right factor is also a submonoid of $(P, *)$ and its corresponding set of congruence classes $HOOK/\sim$ is a submonoid of P/\sim . Both monoids $(P, *)$ and $(P/\sim, \circ)$ are thus direct products of hooks with Durfee squares:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= HOOK \otimes DU \\ P/\sim &= HOOK/\sim \otimes DU/\sim. \end{aligned}$$

The sets $HOOK$ and $HOOK/\sim$ may also be seen as the quotients P/DU and $(P/\sim)/DU$ respectively. We will consider the enumeration of these sets of partitions in the next section.

Finally, there exists a linear representation of partitions $\lambda \in (P, *)$ as 3×3 lower triangular matrices over the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers.

Proposition 2. For any partition λ let $o(\lambda)$ be the size of the outer hook of λ . The map $\phi_3 : (P, *) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^{3 \times 3}, \cdot)$ given by

$$(16) \quad \phi_3(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ o(\lambda) + 1 & 1 & 0 \\ |\lambda| & du(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a monoid homomorphism.

Proof. On one hand we have equation (12) and

$$\begin{aligned} o(\lambda * \mu) &= o(\lambda) + o(\mu) + 1 \\ |\lambda * \mu| &= |\lambda| + |\mu| + du(\lambda)(o(\mu) + 1) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand matrix multiplication gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ o(\lambda) + 1 & 1 & 0 \\ |\lambda| & du(\lambda) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ o(\mu) + 1 & 1 & 0 \\ |\mu| & du(\mu) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ o(\lambda) + o(\mu) + 2 & 1 & 0 \\ |\lambda| + |\mu| + du(\lambda)(o(\mu) + 1) & du(\lambda) + du(\mu) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $\phi_3(\lambda * \mu) = \phi_3(\lambda) \cdot \phi_3(\mu)$. \square

There is a version of this linear representation which can be defined on the monoid $(P / \sim, \circ)$ and which sends differences sequences to upper triangular matrices.

Proposition 3. The map $\phi_4 : (P / \sim, \circ) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}^{3 \times 3}, \cdot)$ given by

$$(17) \quad \phi_4(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sum_i id_i \\ 0 & 1 & \sum_i d_i \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$, is a monoid homomorphism i.e. $\phi_4(\delta_1 \circ \delta_2) = \phi_4(\delta_1) \cdot \phi_4(\delta_2)$ for all $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of proposition 2 and is omitted. \square

4. COUNTING PARTITIONS

The recurrence appearing in equation (4) can be extended to the following recurrence:

Corollary 4. For integers n and r with $2 \leq r \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, the number of r -hooks of weight n satisfies the following recurrence:

$$(18) \quad p(n, r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-r(r-1)}{r} \rfloor} kp(n - (k+1)r + 1, r-1)$$

Using corollary 1 we can obtain a closed form expression for the number of partitions of n that are r -hooks. We only have to count the number of admissible r -tuples (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) of weight n i.e. the r -tuples that are hook types of a partition of n . A r -tuple of integers (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) is the hook type of a partition if and only if $k_1 \geq k_2 + 2, k_2 \geq k_3 + 2, \dots, k_{r-1} \geq k_r + 2$ so that we have

$$(19) \quad p(n, r) = \sum_{\substack{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \\ k_i \geq k_{i+1} + 2 \\ \sum_i k_i = n}} k_r(k_{r-1} - k_r - 1)(k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} - 1) \cdots (k_1 - k_2 - 1)$$

The preceding expression can be refined so that the values of the k_i are bounded:

Theorem 2. For positive integers n, r such that $n \geq r^2$, the number $p(n, r)$ is given by

$$(20) \quad p(n, r) = \sum_{k_r=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor - r + 1} \sum_{k_{r-1}=k_r+2}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k_r}{r-1} \rfloor - r + 2} \cdots \sum_{k_2=k_3+2}^{\lfloor \frac{n-\sum_{i=3}^r k_i}{2} \rfloor - 1} k_r(k_{r-1} - k_r - 1)(k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} - 1) \cdots (k_1 - k_2 - 1)$$

Proof. Equation 20 is a straightforward consequence of equation (19) and corollary 1. \square

A consequence of (1) and (20) is:

Corollary 5. *For all positive integers n we have:*

$$(21) \quad p(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \sum_{k_r=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor - r + 1} \sum_{k_{r-1}=k_r+2}^{\lfloor \frac{n-k_r}{r-1} \rfloor - r + 2} \cdots \sum_{k_2=k_3+2}^{\lfloor \frac{n-\sum_{i=3}^r k_i}{2} \rfloor - 1} k_r(k_{r-1} - k_r - 1)(k_{r-2} - k_{r-1} - 1) \cdots (k_1 - k_2 - 1)$$

Compared to the known recursive formulae, equation (21) is not efficient to compute the values $p(n)$ and the question of improving its efficiency arises. I will propose a number of solutions in what follows. One direction that can be explored to improve equation (21) is to collect several sets $P(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and count them simultaneously. This can be done when we observe that the difference sequence of a Durfee square is $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ so that the partitions of weight n that have a Durfee square of size say $s - 1$ as right factor and a 1-hook as left factor have difference sequence of the form $(n - s^2 + 1, 1^{s-1})$ and we obtain a closed expression for their number:

Proposition 4. *Let $H_1(n)$ be the set of partitions of n that are the product of a 1-hook with a Durfee square of arbitrary size, possibly zero, on its right and let $h_1(n)$ be the cardinality of that set. We have*

$$(22) \quad h_1(n) = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor (n + 1) - \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1) (2 \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1)}{6}$$

Proof. These partitions have a Durfee square as right factor of possible size $0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ so that

$$(23) \quad h_1(n) = (n - 1^2 + 1) + (n - 2^2 + 1) + \cdots + (n - \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor^2 + 1)$$

and (22) is an immediate consequence of (23). \square

Now let us consider the sets $H_r(n) = (HOOK_r \times DU) \cap P(n)$ of partitions of n that are the product of a r -hook, $r \geq 2$, with inner hook of size at least 2, a non degenerate hook, with a Durfee square of arbitrary size, possibly zero, on its right. Let $h_r(n)$ be the cardinality of $H_r(n)$. Since the factorization of a partition as a product of a r -hook with a Durfee square is unique, the sets $H_1(n), H_2(n), \dots, H_r(n)$ are disjoint and form a partition of the set $P(n)$. Thus we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} P(n) &= H_1(n) \cup H_2(n) \cup \dots \cup H_r(n), \\ \Rightarrow p(n) &= h_1(n) + h_2(n) + \dots + h_r(n), \quad r = \lfloor \frac{n}{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1} \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5. *The cardinality of the set $H_2(n)$ is given by the expression*

$$(25) \quad h_2(n) = \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n-2} \rfloor} \frac{(n-j^2+4)}{4} - \frac{(n-j^2+3)((j+n) \bmod 2)}{8} - (n-j^2+1), \quad n \geq 6$$

Proof. The set $H_2(n)$ is the disjoint union of the products of a non degenerate 2-hook with a Durfee square of maximal size $\lfloor \sqrt{n-2} \rfloor - 2$:

$$H_2(n) = \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n-2} \rfloor - 2} HOOK_2 \times Du_i \right) \cap P(n)$$

where Du_i is the Durfee square of size $i \times i$. Since $(HOOK_2 \times Du_i)(n) = P(n - (i+2)^2 + 4, 2) - P(n - (i+2)^2 + 4, 2, 1)$ i.e. the partitions of n which are 2-hook times a Durfee square of size i are in bijection with the 2-hooks of weight $n - (i+2)^2 + 4$ minus those with inner hook of size 1. This last observation and identity (6) imply (25). \square

To obtain closed expressions for $h_i(n), i \geq 3$, we need closed expressions for $p(n, i)$ but let us partition the sets $H_r(n)$ according to their difference sequences so that their cardinalities satisfy:

$$(26) \quad h_r(n) = \sum_{d_r=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor - 2} \sum_{d_{r-1}=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-rd_r}{r-1} \rfloor - 2} \cdots \sum_{d_2=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-\sum_{i=3}^r id_i}{2} \rfloor - 2} h_r(n, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_r)$$

where $h_r(n, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_r)$ is the number of partitions of n with difference sequence of the form $(n - (r + s)^2 - \sum_{i=2}^r id_i + \binom{r+1}{2}, d_2, \dots, d_r, 1^s)$. The numbers $h_r(n, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_r)$ satisfy the following recurrences

$$\begin{aligned} h_r(n, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_r) &= (d_2 \cdots d_r) h_r(n - \sum_{i=2}^r i(d_i - 1), 1^{r-1}) \\ h_r(n, 1^r) &= h_1(n) - n - (n - 3) - (n - 8) \cdots - (n - r^2 + 1) \\ &= h_1(n) - rn + \frac{r(2r + 5)(r - 1)}{6} \end{aligned}$$

so that equation (24) becomes

$$(27) \quad p(n) = h_1(n) + \sum_{r=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{\sqrt{n}+1} \rfloor} \sum_{d_r=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r} \rfloor - 2} \sum_{d_{r-1}=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-rd_r}{r-1} \rfloor - 2} \cdots \sum_{d_2=1}^{\lfloor n - \sum_{i=3}^r id_i \rfloor - 2} \left[h_1(n - \sum_{i=2}^r i(d_i - 1)) - (r - 1)(n - \sum_{i=2}^r i(d_i - 1)) + \frac{(r - 1)(2r + 3)(r - 2)}{6} \right]$$

where $h_1(n)$ is given by (22).

4.1. Durfee \times HOOK. Let us count the partitions of n that are the product of a Durfee square of size $r \times r$ on the left with a 1-hook. As illustrated in figure 7, we have

$$(28) \quad n = r^2 + rj + ri + i + j - 1 = r^2 + r(k + 1) + k = (r + 1)(k + r)$$

where $k = i + j - 1$ is the size of the 1-hook. The number $dh(n)$ of these partitions is given by the factorisations of n as a product of two integers:

$$(29) \quad dh(n) = \sum_{\substack{x|n \\ x \leq \sqrt{n}}} \left(\frac{n}{x} - x + 1 \right)$$




FIGURE 7. The product of a Durfee square with a 1-hook

The numbers $dh(n)$ thus have a number theoretic interpretation as the sum over the pairs of divisors of n of the positive differences of the divisors plus one and their generating function is:

$$(30) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} dh(n)x^n = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{x^{r^2}}{(1 - x^r)^2}$$

5. GENERATING FUNCTIONS

Starting with Leonard Euler, Generating functions have been used extensively in the study of partitions. The list of contributors is too important and my knowledge too small to present a proper account of their work. The goal of this section is to present a generating function in several variables of the number of r -hooks which I have not seen in the literature on partitions and from which I derive an exact expression for $p(n, 3)$.

It is well known (see [1]) that the generating function for the number $p(n, r)$ of partitions of n that are r -hooks is

$$(31) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n, r)x^n = \frac{x^{r^2}}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - x^i)^2}$$

This generating function may be refined into a series in several variables:

Proposition 6.

$$(32) \quad \sum_{r \geq 1} \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)} p((k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)) x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_r^{k_r} = \sum_{r \geq 1} x_1^r x_2^{r-1} \dots x_r^1 \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d}{dx_i} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2 \dots x_i} \right)$$

$$(33) \quad = \sum_{r \geq 1} x_1^{2r-1} x_2^{2r-3} \dots x_r^1 \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2 \dots x_i} \right)^2$$

Proof. We have to show that the coefficient of $x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_r^{k_r}$ in the right hand side of equation (32) is $p((k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)) = (k_1 - k_2 - 1)(k_2 - k_3 - 1) \dots (k_{r-1} - k_r - 1)k_r$. First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx_i} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x_1 \dots x_i} \right) &= \frac{x_1 \dots x_{i-1}}{(1 - x_1 \dots x_i)^2} \\ &= x_1 \dots x_{i-1} [1 + 2x_1 \dots x_i + 3(x_1 \dots x_i)^2 + \dots + k(x_1 \dots x_i)^{k-1} + \dots] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^r x_2^{r-1} \dots x_r^1 \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d}{dx_i} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x_1 \dots x_i} \right) &= x_1^r x_2^{r-1} \dots x_r^1 [1 + 2x_1 + \dots + kx_1^{k-1} + \dots] \times \\ &\quad x_1 [1 + 2x_1 x_2 + \dots + k(x_1 x_2)^{k-1} + \dots] \times \\ &\quad x_1 x_2 [1 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3 + \dots + k(x_1 x_2 x_3)^{k-1} + \dots] \times \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad x_1 \dots x_{r-1} [1 + 2x_1 \dots x_r + \dots + k(x_1 \dots x_r)^{k-1} + \dots] \\ &= x_1^{2r-1} x_2^{2r-3} \dots x_{r-1}^3 x_r \left[\sum_{(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)} d_1 d_2 \dots d_r x_1^{d_1-1} (x_1 x_2)^{d_2-1} \dots (x_1 \dots x_r)^{d_r-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)} d_1 d_2 \dots d_r x_1^{2r-1+\sum_{i=1}^r (d_i-1)} x_2^{2r-3+\sum_{i=2}^r (d_i-1)} \dots x_{r-1}^{3+\sum_{i=r-1}^r (d_i-1)} x_r^{d_r-1} \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that for any sequence (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r) of differences, the corresponding hook type (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) is given by $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) = (r - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (d_i), r - 2 + \sum_{i=2}^r (d_i), \dots, d_{r-1} + d_r + 1, d_r)$ which is the sequence of exponents in the last expression and proves the theorem. \square

The following well known expressions for $p(n, r)$ and $p(n)$ are specializations of theorem 6 that are easily derived:

Corollary 6.

$$(34) \quad p(n, r) = \frac{x^{\binom{r+1}{2}}}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^i} \right) \Big|_{x^n}$$

$$(35) \quad = x^{r^2} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 - x^i)^2} \Big|_{x^n}$$

$$(36) \quad p(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \frac{x^{\binom{r+1}{2}}}{r!} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{1 - x^i} \right) \Big|_{x^n}$$

$$(37) \quad = \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} x^{r^2} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 - x^i)^2} \Big|_{x^n}$$

Proof. Equations (34) to (37) are immediately obtained from the substitutions $x_i \rightarrow x$ in (32) and (33) and from equations (1) and (2). These equations appear in equivalent form in [1](section 2.2) and [5] and equation (35) is attributed to Euler. \square

We can derive from equation (31) exact expressions for $p(n, r)$, $r = 2, 3, \dots$

Proposition 7.

$$\begin{aligned}
(38) \quad p(n, 3) &= \frac{(n+1)(n-5)}{12960}(3n^3 - 33n^2 + 83n - 13) - \frac{(n-3)}{32}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor) \\
&\quad + \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor \frac{(3n-8)}{81} - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor \frac{(3n-10)}{81} - \frac{2}{81} \lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor \\
(39) \quad &= \frac{(n+1)(n-5)}{12960}(3n^3 - 33n^2 + 83n - 13) + \begin{cases} \frac{(3n-8)}{81} - \frac{(n-3)}{32} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{2}{81} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ -\frac{(n-3)}{32} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{(3n-8)}{81} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{2}{81} - \frac{(n-3)}{32} & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $r = 3$, expand equation (31) as a partial fraction and rearrange the expression to our needs:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 0} p(n, 3)x^n &= \frac{x^9}{(1-x)^2(1-x^2)^2(1-x^3)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{36(1-x)^6} - \frac{1}{6(1-x)^5} + \frac{169}{432(1-x)^4} - \frac{185}{432(1-x)^3} + \frac{307}{1728(1-x)^2} + \frac{11}{432(1-x)} \\
&\quad - \frac{3+x}{27(1+x+x^2)} + \frac{1+x}{27(1+x+x^2)^2} + \frac{1}{16(1+x)} - \frac{1}{64(1+x)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{36(1-x)^6} - \frac{1}{6(1-x)^5} + \frac{169}{432(1-x)^4} - \frac{185}{432(1-x)^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8(1-x^2)} - \frac{(4-x)}{27(1-x^3)} + \frac{(x+2)(1+x^2+x^3)}{27(1-x^3)^2} - \frac{(1+x^2)}{32(1-x^2)^2} + \frac{1}{8(1-x)^2} \\
(40) \quad &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[\frac{\binom{n+5}{5}}{36} - \frac{\binom{n+4}{4}}{6} + \frac{169\binom{n+3}{3}}{432} - \frac{185\binom{n+2}{2}}{432} + \frac{(n+1)}{8} \right] x^n \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{1}{8} + \frac{x(n+1)}{16} \right] x^{2n} + \left[\frac{x + (x+2)(1+x^2+x^3)(n+1)}{27} \right] x^{3n}
\end{aligned}$$

Now to reduce the coefficients of x^{2n} and x^{3n} in the last expression into coefficients of x^n , we need two functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f_1(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad f_2(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Several descriptions satisfy these conditions and I choose $f_1(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, $f_2(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor$ so that from equation (40) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
p(n, 3) &= \left[\frac{\binom{n+5}{5}}{36} - \frac{\binom{n+4}{4}}{6} + \frac{169\binom{n+3}{3}}{432} - \frac{185\binom{n+2}{2}}{432} + \frac{(n+1)}{8} \right] + \frac{1}{8} \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(n+1)/2}{16} \left(\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor \right) + \frac{(5n-6)}{81} \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{2(n+2)}{81} \left(\lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor \right) + \frac{2(n+1)}{81} \left(\lfloor \frac{n-2}{3} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor \right)
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce equation (38). Equation (39) is obtained directly from (38) and the definitions of f_1 and f_2 . \square

The expression for $p(n, 2)$ in equation (6) is obtained similarly.

6. INVARIANCE UNDER THE DIFFERENCE PRODUCT

As we have seen in corollary 1, the set of partitions λ with hook type (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) has cardinality given by the product of corresponding differences $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$. Conversely, the product d can be factored as a product of integers, including the factor 1, in an infinite number of ways, each way indexing a distinct set of partitions. The product of differences $\prod_{i=1}^r d_i$ is thus invariant under multiplication with Durfee squares and becomes an invariant for an infinite family of sets of partitions all having the same difference product and distinct difference sequences. This stability property occurs elsewhere in combinatorics. In particular Frobenius ([4]) and others observed it for conjugacy classes of permutations in the symmetric group S_n and irreducible representations of S_n , which are both indexed with integer partitions. If we let $H_i = \text{HOOK}_i \otimes DU$ be the set of non degenerate i -hooks multiplied on the right with an arbitrary Durfee square, we have the decomposition

$$P = DU \cup H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots$$

A given product d may also be obtained from difference sequences of identical weights. For example the difference sequences $(4, 1, 1, 2), (2, 4, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1, 2), (8, 1, 1, 1)$ all have same weight $n = 23$ and same product. There is a number of natural questions that are simply jumping at us regarding this last observation. First, is it possible to give a characterization of the sets of partitions with given product of differences and same weight? In other words: *Describe the transformations on partitions that leave a given difference product and weight invariants.* In particular, how many difference sequences give the same weight and product? For example it is easy to prove that for all odd $n > 6$ and positive integers $a \neq b$ with $a + b = (n - 1)/2$, there are precisely two pairs of differences namely $(2a, b), (2b, a)$ with same weight and product so that $p(n, 2)$ is $(n-3)$ times the average area of all integer rectangles of perimeter $n - 1$.

A difference product d is left invariant by any permutation of the set (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r) but what is the effect on the weight n of permuting the entries in a difference sequence?

Proposition 8. *Let $D = \{d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_r\}$ be a difference set and let $S(D) = \{(d_{\sigma_1}, \dots, d_{\sigma_r}), \sigma \in S_r\}$ be the set of difference sequences obtained from D . Then $\delta_1 = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$ is the only difference sequence in $S(D)$ with minimum weight and $\delta_2 = (d_r, d_{r-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is the only difference sequence in $S(D)$ with maximum weight. Moreover the difference between the maximum and minimum weight is $|\delta_2| - |\delta_1| = \sum_{i < j} (d_j - d_i)$.*

Proof. We prove the first statement. If $\delta' \neq \delta_1$ then there is a position $1 \leq i < r$ with a rise in δ' i.e. such that $d'_i < d'_{i+1}$. Exchanging d'_i and d'_{i+1} in δ' decreases the weight i.e. $|\tau_i \delta'_1| < |\delta'_1|$ if $\tau_i = (i, i + 1)$ is the adjacent transposition. Repeating this process properly, we obtain δ_1 by strictly decreasing the weight at each step. This proves the first statement. The maximality of δ_2 is proved similarly.

The proof of the third statement follows from the argument in the proof of the first statement. Starting from δ_1 , if we exchange two adjacent differences d_i, d_j when $d_i > d_j$, the weight then increases by $d_i - d_j$. Repeating this process until we reach δ_2 , we obtain the desired result. \square

The weight of difference sequences in $S(D)$ is not compatible with direct or reverse lexicographic order on D but it is compatible with a partial order obtained from the transpositions of adjacent differences d_i, d_j when $d_i > d_j$. Here is a first result in that direction in the simple case where the difference set has only one number different from 1:

Proposition 9. *Let d and r be positive integers. The sets of partitions that are r -hooks with hook types corresponding to a difference set of the form $\{d, 1^{r-1}\}$ have hook types of the form*

$$(41) \quad \underbrace{(2s + d + 2(r - s - 1), \dots, 2s + d + 2, 2s + d)}_{r-s}, \underbrace{2s - 1, \dots, 3, 1}_s$$

where $0 \leq s < r$.

Proof. The only possible factorization of the number d as a product of r integers taken from the difference set $\{d, 1^{r-1}\}$ is $d = d \cdot 1^{r-1}$ and the hook type in equation (41) has a difference sequence equal to $(1^{r-s-1}, d, 1^s)$ as in figure 8. The Ferrers diagram of a partition with difference set equal to $\{d, 1^{r-1}\}$ is the juxtaposition of a durfee square of size s and two rectangles of sizes $(r - s) \times d_1$ and $(r - s) \times d_2$ with $d_1 + d_2 = d - 1$:

Thus a r -hook with difference sequence $(1^{r-s-1}, d, 1^s)$ is a partition of weight $r^2 + (r - s)(d - 1)$ and the hook shape in (41) is immediate. \square




FIGURE 8. A 5-hook with difference sequence $(1, 4, 1^3)$

Now how do we recognize or construct a partition from its difference set $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t, 1^{r-t}\}$? First we have to choose integers $s_0 \geq 0, s_1 \geq 1, \dots, s_t \geq 1$ such that $\sum_i s_i = r$. Then we start with a square of size $s_0 \times s_0$. On top left corner of the square, we add a rectangle with $d_1 - 1$ rows of lengths $\sum_{i=1}^r s_i$. This rectangle can slide around the square $s_0 \times s_0$ so that one part of it comes out on the bottom right corner of the square. Then on top left corner of the previous rectangle, we add a second rectangle with $d_2 - 1$ rows of lengths $\sum_{i=2}^t s_i$ allowing again a sliding around that respects the boundary of the previous rectangle. We continue the process of adding rectangles in this manner until the last one which has $d_t - 1$ rows of lengths s_t . Any Ferrers diagram that satisfies these conditions and only those have difference set $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t, 1^{r-t}\}$.

As an example, we show in figure 9 a 7-hook with difference set $\{5, 4, 1^5\}$ where $s_0 = 2, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 3$.




FIGURE 9. A 7-hook with $d_1 = 5, d_2 = 3$

So if we want to produce another set of partitions with product of differences equal to 15, we can either permute the d_i , add ones in the difference set, or consider another factorisation of 15 which is 15 itself. The same argument is valid in the general case.

Of course these sets of partitions do not all have the same weight. Actually, when the d_i are known and the s_i are chosen, their weight is $r^2 + \sum_{i=1}^t [(d_i - 1) \times \sum_{j=i}^t s_j]$.

7. MORE QUESTIONS

There are several questions that arise from this introductory study of the product of partitions $\lambda * \mu$. First, how could we export and extend the products $\lambda * \mu$ and $\delta_1 \circ \delta_2$? Partitions serve as index set for several families of objects in representation theory such as symmetric functions, irreducible characters and conjugacy classes of the symmetric group S_n . The product of partitions defined here induces a product on these family of objects worth investigating.

Moreover, integer partitions have natural two and three dimensional extensions. Is it possible to extend the product $\lambda * \mu$ to extensions such as polyominoes and plane partitions? These questions are open for the moment.

Acknowledgement Many thanks to Christophe Reutenauer for helpful discussions and for pointing the connection between the monoids $(P, *)$, $(P/\sim, *)$ and triangular matrices. For more details on this subject see [3]. Thanks also to Adriano Garsia for the interesting discussions on this subject.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, 1976
- [2] G. E. Andrews, K. Eriksson, *Integer Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, 2004
- [3] J. Berstel, C. Reutenauer, *Les séries rationnelles et leurs langages*, Masson, 1984
- [4] G. Frobenius, *Über die Charaktere der symmetrischen Gruppe*, Berliner Berichte, 1901.
- [5] B. Gordon, *A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 83, No 2, 197, pp 393-399.
- [6] G. Lalement, *Semigroups and combinatorial applications*, Wiley, 1979.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET D'INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À TROIS-RIVIÈRES, C.P. 500, TROIS-RIVIÈRES, G9A 5H7

E-mail address: `alain.goupil@uqtr.ca`