

**TERNARY QUADRATIC FORMS, MODULAR EQUATIONS
AND CERTAIN POSITIVITY CONJECTURES.**

ALEXANDER BERKOVICH AND WILLIAM C. JAGY

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Alladi Ramakrishnan.

ABSTRACT. We show that many of Ramanujan's modular equations of degree 3 can be interpreted in terms of integral ternary quadratic forms. This way we establish that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\left| \left\{ n = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + y^2 + z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right| \geq \left| \left\{ n = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + 3y^2 + 3z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right|,$$

just to name one among many similar "positivity" results of this type. In particular, we prove the recent conjecture of H. Yesilyurt and the first author, stating that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$

$$\left| \left\{ n = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + y^2 + z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right| \geq \left| \left\{ n = \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + 7y^2 + 7z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right|.$$

We prove a number of identities for certain ternary forms with discriminant 144, 400, 784, 3600 by converting every ternary identity into an identity for the appropriate η -quotients. In the process we discover and prove a few new modular equations of degree 5 and 7. For any square free odd integer S with prime factorization $p_1 \dots p_r$, we define the S -genus as a union of 2^r specially selected genera of ternary quadratic forms, all with discriminant $16S^2$. This notion of S -genus arises naturally in the course of our investigation. It entails an interesting injection from genera of binary quadratic forms with discriminant $-8S$ to genera of ternary quadratic forms with discriminant $16S^2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Alladi Ramakrishnan's visits to Gainesville were always memorable. His interests were diverse and his passion for science was truly amazing. He was a very open man, always happy to make new friends. He had so many stories to tell. His family was a true pillar of strength for him and in turn he was devoted to them.

Date: June 16th, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11E20, 11F37, 11B65; Secondary 05A30, 33E05.

Key words and phrases. ternary quadratic forms, S -genus, modular functions, modular equations, θ -functions, η -quotients.

Research of the first author was supported in part by NSA grant H98230-09-1-0051.

Ramanujan's general theta-function $f(a, b)$ is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad f(a, b) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}} b^{\frac{(n+1)n}{2}}, \quad |ab| < 1.$$

In Ramanujan's notation, the celebrated Jacobi triple product identity takes the shape

$$(1.2) \quad f(a, b) = (-a; ab)_{\infty} (-b; ab)_{\infty} (ab; ab)_{\infty}, \quad |ab| < 1,$$

with

$$(a; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - aq^j).$$

It is always assumed that $|q| < 1$. The following four special cases will play a prominent role in our narrative

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(q) := f(q, q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \psi(q) := f(q, q^3) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{(n+1)n}{2}},$$

$$(1.5) \quad f(q, q^2) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{(3n+1)n}{2}},$$

$$(1.6) \quad f(q, q^5) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(3n+2)n}.$$

Using (1.2) it is not hard to derive the product representation formulas

$$(1.7) \quad \phi(q) = \frac{E(q^2)^5}{E(q)^2 E(q^4)^2},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \psi(q) = \frac{E(q^2)^2}{E(q)},$$

$$(1.9) \quad f(q, q^2) = \frac{E(q^3)^2 E(q^2)}{E(q^6) E(q)},$$

$$(1.10) \quad f(q, q^5) = \frac{E(q^{12}) E(q^3) E(q^2)^2}{E(q^6) E(q^4) E(q)},$$

where

$$E(q) := \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^j).$$

Combining (1.7) and (1.8) we see that

$$(1.11) \quad \psi(q)^2 = \phi(q) \psi(q^2).$$

Note that the Dedekind $\eta(z)$ is related to $E(q)$ as

$$(1.12) \quad \eta(z) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} E(q), \quad \text{if } q = \exp(2\pi iz) \text{ with } \text{Im}(z) > 0.$$

And so $\phi(q)$, $q^{\frac{1}{8}} \psi(q)$, $q^{\frac{1}{24}} f(q, q^2)$, $q^{\frac{1}{3}} f(q, q^5)$ all have η -quotient representations.

Following [2], we say that a q -series is positive if its power series coefficients are all non-negative. We define $P[q]$ to be the set of all such series. It is plain that $\phi(q)$, $\psi(q)$, $f(q, q^2)$, $f(q, q^5)$, $\frac{1}{E(q)}$ (and their products) are in $P[q]$. However, it is not at all obvious that

$$(1.13) \quad \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^7)^2) \in P[q].$$

Motivated by their studies of 7-core partitions, H. Yesilyurt and the first author conjectured (1.13) in ((6.2), [2]). The reader should be cautioned that other similar conjectures there: (6.1), (6.3) and (6.4) are false. What makes (1.13) somewhat non-trivial is the fact that it is not true that $\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^7)^2 \in P[q]$. However, in Section 3 we shall prove

Theorem 1.1. *The following identities are true*

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^7)^2) &= 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^7)\phi(q^7) + 8q^2\psi(q^{14})\psi(q^3)\phi(q^{21}) \\ &+ 8q^4\psi(q^{14})f(q, q^2)f(q^7, q^{35}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 7\phi(q^7)^2\psi(q^7) &= 8q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(q^{21})\phi(q^3) + 8\psi(q^2)f(q, q^5)f(q^7, q^{14}) \\ &- 4q\psi(q^{14})\psi(q)\phi(q) - \psi(q^7)\phi(q)^2. \end{aligned}$$

One does not have to be very perceptive to deduce that the right hand side (RHS) of (1.14) is in $P[q]$. Hence, (1.13) follows. Our proof of (1.14) makes naive use of the theory of modular forms. We employ certain of Ramanujan's modular equations of degree 7 [1] to derive (1.15) from (1.14). Also in Section 3 we provide the following beautiful interpretation of Theorem 1.1 in terms of integral ternary quadratic forms

Theorem 1.2. *If $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then*

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) &= (1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ &+ 2(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 4(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M). \end{aligned}$$

If $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $7|M$, then

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 7(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{7^2} \right) &= - (1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ &- 2(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 4(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M), \end{aligned}$$

where here and everywhere

$$(a, b, c, d, e, f)(M) := |\{M = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dyz + ezx + fxy : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}\}|.$$

We have the following

Corollary 1.3. *If $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $(M|7) = 1$, then*

$$(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = (1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M).$$

If $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $7||M$, then

$$(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = 2(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 4(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M).$$

A few remarks are in order. We use the convention that Jacobi's symbol $(M|a) = 0$, whenever $(M, a) > 1$. The notation $p||n$ means that $p|n$ but it is not true that $p^2|n$. A slightly different version of this corollary was communicated to us by Benjamin Kane. His observation was crucial to our investigation. We understand that Kane

used Siegel's weighted average theorem [7] together with some local calculations of Jones [8]. We note that the Corollary 1.3 has a twin

Corollary 1.4. *If $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $(M|7) = -1$, then*

$$(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = 4(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M).$$

If $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $7 \parallel M$, then

$$(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = 8(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M).$$

There is nothing very special about the exponent 7 in (1.13). In fact, we plan to prove that for any $S \in \mathbf{N}$

$$(1.18) \quad \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^S)^2) \in P[q].$$

In this paper we discuss in great detail $S = 3, 5, 7, 15$. In these cases we will construct and prove η -quotient identities that imply appropriate positivity results. For $S = 3$ and 5 our identities can be written concisely as modular equations of degree 3 and 5, respectively. Ramanujan found an astounding number of modular equations of degree 3 and 5. These are collected and proven in [1]. The results there are sufficient to prove everything needed for our treatment of $S = 3, 5$ in Section 2 and Section 3. In Section 4 we prove our Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Section 5 deals with the $S = 15$ case. In Section 6 we define an injective map from genera of binary quadratic forms to genera of ternary quadratic forms. This map allows us to introduce a very useful notion of S -genus.

2. RAMANUJAN'S MODULAR EQUATIONS OF DEGREE 3 AND ASSOCIATED IDENTITIES FOR TERNARY QUADRATIC FORMS WITH DISCRIMINANT 144.

Following Ramanujan, we define the multiplier m of degree n as

$$(2.1) \quad m := m(n, q) = \frac{\phi(q)^2}{\phi(q^n)^2},$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha := \alpha(q) = 1 - \frac{\phi(-q)^4}{\phi(q)^4},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \beta := \beta(n, q) = \alpha(q^n).$$

We often say that β has degree n over α . We also call an algebraic relation connecting m , α and β a modular equation of degree n . It is well known, page 40, [1] that

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(q) = \phi(q^4) + 2q\psi(q^8),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \phi(q)^4 - \phi(-q)^4 = 16q\psi(q^2)^4.$$

The last equation implies another formula for α

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha = 16q \frac{\psi(q^2)^4}{\phi(q)^4},$$

which will come in handy later. Pages 230–237 in [1] contain an impressive collection of 15 of Ramanujan's modular equations of degree 3 together with succinct proofs. In particular, one can find there what amounts to the following

Lemma 2.1. *If*

$$\alpha = \frac{p(2+p)^3}{(1+2p)^3},$$

then

$$\beta(3, q) = \frac{p^3(2+p)}{(1+2p)},$$

and

$$m(3, q) = 1 + 2p.$$

We comment that this lemma is a very efficient tool for verifying any modular equations of degree 3. In particular, we see that

$$(2.7) \quad m - 1 = 2 \frac{\beta^{\frac{3}{8}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}}.$$

From (1.11), (2.1), (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7), it is readily shown that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\phi(q)^2}{\phi(q^3)^2} - 1 = 4q \frac{\psi(q)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^6)}{\psi(q^2)\phi(q^3)^2}.$$

Clearly, this theta-function identity can be rewritten as

$$(2.9) \quad \psi(q^2)\phi(q)^2 = \psi(q^2)\phi(q^3)^2 + 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^6).$$

Next, we multiply both sides of (2.9) by $\frac{\psi(q)}{\psi(q^2)}$ and employ (1.11) again to deduce that

$$(2.10) \quad \psi(q)\phi(q)^2 = \psi(q)\phi(q^3)^2 + 4q\psi(q^3)\psi(q^6)\phi(q).$$

The truth of

$$(2.11) \quad \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^3)^2) \in P[q],$$

and of

$$(2.12) \quad \psi(q^2)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^3)^2) \in P[q]$$

is now evident. Remarkably, we can interpret (2.9) and (2.10) in terms of integral ternary quadratic forms with discriminant 144. We remind the reader that the discriminant of a ternary form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dyz + ezx + fxy$ is defined as

$$\frac{1}{2} \det \begin{bmatrix} 2a & f & e \\ f & 2b & d \\ e & d & 2c \end{bmatrix}.$$

To this end we define a sifting operator $S_{t,s}$ by its action on power series as follows

$$(2.13) \quad S_{t,s} \sum_{n \geq 0} c(n)q^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} c(tk + s)q^k,$$

where t, s are integers and $0 \leq s < t$. Observe that (2.4) implies that

$$(2.14) \quad S_{8,1}\phi(q)\phi(q^8)^2 = 2\psi(q)\phi(q)^2,$$

$$(2.15) \quad S_{8,1}\phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 = 2\psi(q)\phi(q^3)^2,$$

$$(2.16) \quad S_{8,1}\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6) = 4q\phi(q)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^6).$$

Employing (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) together with (2.10) we see that

$$(2.17) \quad S_{8,1}(\phi(q)\phi(q^8)^2 - \phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6)) = 0.$$

But the above is nothing else but the statement that

$$(2.18) \quad (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = (1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

for any $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Actually, with the aid of (2.4) we can easily check that

$$(2.19) \quad S_{8,r}\phi(q)\phi(q^8)^2 = \frac{1}{3}S_{8,r}\phi(q)^3$$

with $r = 1, 7$. Hence, (2.18) may be stated as

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M) = (1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

for any $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. It is very likely that modular equation (2.7) was known to Legendre and Jacobi. Surprisingly, the quadratic form interpretation given in (2.20) above appears to be new. We note that the two ternary forms $x^2 + 6y^2 + 6z^2$ and $2x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2$ on the right of (2.20) have the same discriminant = 144. Moreover, these two forms have class number = 1. This means that these forms belong to different genera and that they are both regular [6], [8], [9]. Moreover, it is easy to see that $(-n_1|3) = -1$ for any integer n_1 represented by $x^2 + 6y^2 + 6z^2$, $\gcd(n_1, 3) = 1$ and that $(-n_2|3) = 1$ for any integer n_2 represented by $2x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2$, $\gcd(n_2, 3) = 1$. We remark that the appearance of at least two genera with the same discriminant is the salient feature of all our ternary form identities. Somewhat anticipating developments in Section 6 we would like to comment that one can obtain the two ternary forms on the right of (2.20) starting with binary forms of discriminant -24 . There are just two genera of binary quadratic forms with this discriminant: the (equivalence) class of $x^2 + 6y^2$ and the class of $2x^2 + 3y^2$ (See [5], pages 52–54). All we need to do to obtain our desired ternaries is to add $6z^2$ to $x^2 + 6y^2$ and $2x^2 + 3y^2$, respectively. Actually, we can extend (2.20) a bit as

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M) = (1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

for any $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. To this end we make repeated use of (2.4) and confirm that

$$(2.22) \quad S_{4,1}\phi(q)^3 = 6\psi(q^2)\phi(q)^2,$$

$$(2.23) \quad S_{4,1}\phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 = 2\psi(q^2)\phi(q^3)^2,$$

$$(2.24) \quad S_{4,1}\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6) = 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^6)$$

$$(2.25) \quad S_{4,2}\phi(q)^3 = 12\phi(q)\psi(q^2)^2,$$

$$(2.26) \quad S_{4,2}\phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 = 4q\psi(q^6)^2\phi(q),$$

$$(2.27) \quad S_{4,2}\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6) = 2\psi(q)\psi(q^3)\phi(q^3).$$

Next, we combine (2.9) and (2.22)–(2.24), to arrive at

$$S_{4,1} \left(\frac{1}{3}\phi(q)^3 - \phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6) \right) = 0,$$

which is, essentially, the $M \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ case in (2.21). To see that (2.21) is also valid when $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we again use Lemma 2.1 to verify our next modular equation of degree 3

$$(2.28) \quad m = \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2\frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\alpha^{\frac{3}{8}}}.$$

Indeed, expressing everything in terms of p and simplifying, we obtain the trivial identity

$$1 + 2p = \frac{p(1+2p)}{2+p} + 2\frac{1+2p}{2+p}.$$

Hence, the proof of (2.28) is complete. The theta-function identity associated with (2.28) takes the pleasant form

$$(2.29) \quad \phi(q)\psi(q^2)^2 = \psi(q)\psi(q^3)\phi(q^3) + q\phi(q)\psi(q^6)^2.$$

Hence,

$$\phi(q)(\psi(q^2)^2 - q\psi(q^6)^2) \in P[q].$$

We observe that that $\psi(q^2)^2 - q\psi(q^6)^2 \notin P[q]$. Again, we combine (2.25)–(2.27) and (2.29) to obtain

$$S_{4,2} \left(\frac{1}{3}\phi(q)^3 - \phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6) \right) = 0,$$

which is essentially the $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ case in (2.21). This is not the end of the story, however. We discovered that (2.18) has an attractive companion

$$(2.30) \quad 3(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{3^2} \right) = -(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

$M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $3|M$. To prove it we begin with the modular equation

$$(2.31) \quad \frac{3}{m} + 1 = 2\frac{\alpha^{\frac{3}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{3}{8}}},$$

which can be routinely verified with the aid of Lemma 2.1. Next, we use (2.1), (2.3) and (2.6) to convert (2.31) into the theta-function identity

$$(2.32) \quad -\psi(q^3)\phi(q)^2 + 4\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\phi(q^3) = 3\psi(q^3)\phi(q^3)^2.$$

With a bit of labor we can show that (2.32) is equivalent to

$$S_{24,9}(-\phi(q)\phi(q^6)^2 + 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^3)\phi(q^6)) = 6\psi(q^3)\phi(q^3)^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & -(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(24n+9) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(24n+9) = \\ & 3 \left| \left\{ n = 3x^2 + 3y^2 + 3\frac{(1+z)z}{2} : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & 3 \left| \left\{ n = 3x^2 + 3y^2 + 3\frac{(1+z)z}{2} : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right| = \\ & 3 \left| \left\{ 1 + \frac{8n}{3} = 8x^2 + 8y^2 + z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

And so we have completed the proof of (2.30). We note the following interesting corollary

$$(2.33) \quad (1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) = 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

when $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $3 \parallel M$. Recalling (2.18) we see that

$$(2.34) \quad (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = 2(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $3 \parallel M$. Analogously, (2.21) has its own companion identity

$$(2.35) \quad (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{3^2} \right) = -(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, $3 \mid M$. Since the argument is pretty similar, we confine ourselves to the following diagram

Lemma 2.1

↓

Modular equation:

$$3 + m \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 2m \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{3}{8}}}.$$

↓

Theta-function identity

$$\psi(q^2)^2 \phi(q^3) - \psi(q)\psi(q^3)\phi(q) + 3q\psi(q^6)\psi(q^3)^2 = 0.$$

↓

Ternary identity

$$(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{3^2} \right) = -(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $3 \mid M$.

Lemma 2.1

↓

Modular equation

$$3 + m = 2m \frac{\alpha^{\frac{3}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}}.$$

↓

Theta-function identity

$$\psi(q^6)\phi(q)^2 - 4\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^3) + 3\psi(q^3)^2\phi(q^3) = 0.$$

↓

Ternary identity

$$(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{3^2} \right) = -(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M)$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $3 \mid M$. We conclude this section by stating (2.18), (2.30) in a way that would suggest an elegant and straightforward generalization. Let $|\text{Aut}(a, b, c, d, e, f)|$ denote the number of integral automorphs of a ternary form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dyz + ezx + fxy$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)|} = 1,$$

$$\frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(2,3,6,0,0,0)|} = 2.$$

And so we can rewrite RHS (2.18) as a weighted average over two genera. This way it becomes

$$(2.36) \quad (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = \frac{16(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(1,6,6,0,0,0)|} + \frac{16(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(2,3,6,0,0,0)|},$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Analogously, (2.30) may be stated as

$$(2.37) \quad 3(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{3^2} \right) = (-n_1|3) \frac{16(1, 6, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(1,6,6,0,0,0)|} \\ + (-n_2|3) \frac{16(2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(2,3,6,0,0,0)|},$$

where $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $3|M$ and n_1, n_2 are any integers prime to 3 that are represented by $x^2 + 6y^2 + 6z^2$, $2x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2$, respectively.

3. RAMANUJAN'S MODULAR EQUATIONS OF DEGREE 5 AND ASSOCIATED IDENTITIES FOR TERNARY QUADRATIC FORMS WITH DISCRIMINANT 400

If all we ever wanted was to show that

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^5)^2) \in P[q],$$

we would be done in a second. Indeed, using an elementary trick that goes back to antiquity, we have $5(x^2 + y^2) = (x - 2y)^2 + (y + 2x)^2$. Hence,

$$\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^5)^2 \in P[q],$$

and the truth of (3.1) becomes a bit boring. However, we want much more. We ask for analogues of (2.18) and for associated theta-function identities. Where do we begin? How about if we begin with binary forms of discriminant -40 . Again, there are just two genera of binary quadratic forms with this discriminant: the class of $x^2 + 10y^2$ and the class of $2x^2 + 5y^2$. We now add $10z^2$ to both forms to obtain ternaries $x^2 + 10y^2 + 10z^2$, $2x^2 + 5y^2 + 10z^2$ of discriminant 400. We observe that $2x^2 + 5y^2 + 10z^2$ is the only form in its genus and that the genus containing $x^2 + 10y^2 + 10z^2$ contains one more non-diagonal ternary form $4x^2 + 5y^2 + 6z^2 + 4zx$.

It would be wrong to assume that we constructed all genera of ternary quadratic forms of discriminant 400 this way. In fact, we are being very selective by picking just two out of thirteen possible genera of discriminant 400. For the interested reader, we note that a table of genera of ternary quadratic forms, up to a large discriminant, is available on Neil Sloane's website at

http://www.research.att.com/~njas/lattices/Brandt_1.html

and was computed by Alexander Schiemann. In particular, this table includes relevant discriminants 144, 400, and 784. The reader should be cautioned that the integer sextuple defining each form is preceded by an identification number and a colon, and that the identification number has no mathematical significance. The present authors use a combination of Schiemann's software, scripts in a language called Magma, and C++ code written by the second author. For the reader with no experience of ternary forms we heartily recommend [6], especially the tables on pages 111-113.

Again, it is easy to see that $(-n_1|5) = 1$ for any integer n_1 represented by the genus of $x^2 + 10y^2 + 10z^2$, $(n_1, 5) = 1$ and that $(-n_2|5) = -1$ for any integer n_2 represented by $2x^2 + 5y^2 + 10z^2$, $(n_2, 5) = 1$. Also

$$|\text{Aut}(1,10,10,0,0)| = 16,$$

$$|\text{Aut}(4,5,6,0,4,0)| = |\text{Aut}(2,5,10,0,0,0)| = 8.$$

And so we anticipate two results similar to (2.36), (2.37). Namely,

$$(3.2) \quad (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = (1, 10, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(4, 5, 6, 0, 4, 0)(M) \\ + 2(2, 5, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and

$$(3.3) \quad 5(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{5^2} \right) = (1, 10, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(4, 5, 6, 0, 4, 0)(M) \\ - 2(2, 5, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $5|M$. To prove (3.2) we rewrite it as

$$(3.4) \quad S_{8,1}(\phi(q)\phi(q^8)^2 - \phi(q)\phi(q^{10})^2 - 2\phi(q^5)\chi(q) - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^5)\phi(q^{10})) = 0,$$

where

$$\chi(q) := \sum_{x,z \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{4x^2+4xz+6z^2}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\chi(q) = \sum_{x \equiv z \pmod{2}} q^{x^2+5z^2}.$$

Hence,

$$(3.5) \quad \chi(q) = \phi(q^4)\phi(q^{20}) + 4q^6\psi(q^8)\psi(q^{40}).$$

Upon employing (2.4), (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{8,1}(\phi(q)\phi(q^8)^2) &= 2\psi(q)\phi(q^2)^2, \\ S_{8,1}(\phi(q)\phi(q^{10})^2) &= 2\psi(q)\phi(q^5)^2, \\ S_{8,1}(\phi(q^2)\phi(q^5)\phi(q^{10})) &= 8q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{10}), \\ S_{8,1}(\phi(q^5)\chi(q)) &= S_{8,1}(\phi(q^5)\phi(q^4)\phi(q^{20})) \\ &= S_{8,1}(\phi(q^5)\phi(q^{16})\phi(q^{20})) + S_{8,1}(2q^4\phi(q^5)\psi(q^{32})\phi(q^{20})) \\ &= 4q^3\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{20})\phi(q^2) + 4q\psi(q^4)\psi(q^5)\phi(q^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that (3.4) and, as a result, (3.2) is equivalent to the following theta-function identity

$$(3.6) \quad \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^5)^2) = 4q^3\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{20})\phi(q^2) \\ + 4q\psi(q^4)\psi(q^5)\phi(q^{10}) + 8q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{10}).$$

It is easy to convert (3.6) into a modular equation of degree 5,

$$(3.7) \quad (m-1)\frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}} = (1 + (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - (1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + (1 - (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + (1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \frac{\phi(q)^2}{\phi(q^5)^2}, \\ \alpha &= 1 - \frac{\phi(-q)^4}{\phi(q)^4} = 16q \frac{\psi(q^2)^4}{\phi(q)^4}, \\ \beta &= 1 - \frac{\phi(-q^5)^4}{\phi(q^5)^4} = 16q^5 \frac{\psi(q^{10})^4}{\phi(q^5)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Our proof of (3.7) hinges upon three powerful results established in [1], pp 285–286.

$$(3.8) \quad 2(1 - (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - ((1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (m - 1) \left(-1 + \frac{5}{m} \right),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{2m + r}{m(m - 1)},$$

$$(3.10) \quad 4(\alpha^3\beta)^{\frac{1}{8}} = \frac{r}{m} + 3 - \frac{5}{m},$$

where $r = (m(m^2 - 2m + 5))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We begin by rewriting (3.7) as

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (m - 1) \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}} - 2(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{4}} &= (1 + (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - (1 - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + (1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + (1 - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we square both sides to obtain

$$(m - 1)^2 \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{4}}} - 4(m - 1) \alpha^{\frac{3}{8}} \beta^{\frac{1}{8}} = 2(1 - (\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} - ((1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta))^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Next, we use (3.8)–(3.10) to arrive at the trivial statement

$$\frac{(m - 1)(2m + r)}{m} - \frac{(m - 1)(r + 3m - 5)}{m} = (m - 1) \left(\frac{5}{m} - 1 \right).$$

Hence, the proof of (3.7) is complete. Consequently, (3.2) is true, as desired.

To prove (3.3) we wish to consider another modular equation of degree 5

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{5}{m} - 1 \right) \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}} + 4(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{4}} &= (1 + (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - (1 - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + (1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + (1 - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing it with (3.11) we see that

$$(m - 1) \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}} = \left(\frac{5}{m} - 1 \right) \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}} + 4(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Next, we multiply both sides by $\frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{8}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{8}}}$ to obtain

$$(m - 1) \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{5}{m} - 1 + 4(\alpha^3\beta)^{\frac{1}{8}}.$$

Employing (3.9)–(3.10), we arrive at the trivial statement

$$\frac{2m + r}{m} = \frac{5}{m} - 1 + \frac{r}{m} + 3 - \frac{5}{m}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.12). To proceed further we rewrite (3.12) in terms of theta-functions as

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 5\psi(q^5)\phi(q^5)^2 - \psi(q^5)\phi(q)^2 &= 4\psi(q)\psi(q^4)\phi(q^{10}) \\ &\quad - 8q\psi(q)\psi(q^2)\psi(q^{10}) + 4q^2\psi(q)\psi(q^{20})\phi(q^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) and (3.5) and some elbow grease we can show that (3.13) is equivalent to

$$S_{40,25}(\phi(q)\phi(q^{10})^2 + 2\phi(q^5)\chi(q) - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^5)\phi(q^{10})) = 10\psi(q^5)\phi(q^5)^2.$$

This implies that

$$(1, 10, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(4, 5, 6, 0, 4, 0)(M) - 2(2, 5, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M) = 5 \left| \left\{ n = 5x^2 + 5y^2 + 5\frac{(1+z)z}{2} : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right|,$$

with $M = 40n + 25$. The last equation can be easily recognized as (3.3). As before we can extend (3.2), (3.3) by using $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M)$ in place of $(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M)$ as

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M) &= (1, 10, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ &\quad + 2(4, 5, 6, 0, 4, 0)(M) + 2(2, 5, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M), \end{aligned}$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, and

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{5}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{5^2} \right) &= (1, 10, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ &\quad + 2(4, 5, 6, 0, 4, 0)(M) - 2(2, 5, 10, 0, 0, 0)(M), \end{aligned}$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, $5|M$. While we have to suppress the details for the sake of brevity, we can not resist displaying four relevant theta-function identities.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q^2)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^5)^2) &= 2q\psi(q^5)^2\phi(q) + 2q\psi(q^{10})\phi(q^2)\phi(q^{10}) \\ &\quad + 8q^4\psi(q^4)\psi(q^{10})\psi(q^{20}). \end{aligned}$$

This one proves the $M \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ case in (3.14). Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q^2)^2\phi(q) &= q^2\psi(q^{10})^2\phi(q) + 2q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^5)^2 \\ &\quad + q^2\psi(q^{20})\phi(q^2)\phi(q^5) + \psi(q^4)\phi(q^5)\phi(q^{10}) \end{aligned}$$

proves the $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ case in (3.14). Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} 5q\psi(q^{10})\phi(q^5)^2 &= q\psi(q^{10})\phi(q)^2 \\ &\quad + 2\psi(q)^2\phi(q^5) - 8q^3\psi(q^2)\psi(q^4)\psi(q^{20}) - 2\psi(q^2)\phi(q^2)\phi(q^{10}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 5q^2\psi(q^5)^2\psi(q^{10}) &= \psi(q^2)^2\phi(q^5) \\ &\quad + 2q\psi(q)^2\psi(q^{10}) - \psi(q^4)\phi(q)\phi(q^{10}) - q^2\psi(q^{20})\phi(q)\phi(q^2) \end{aligned}$$

are required to prove (3.15).

4. TERNARY FORMS WITH DISCRIMINANT 784.

Here we will prove the Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, stated in the Introduction. It seems that the identities for theta functions in (1.14), (1.15) correspond to modular equations of mixed degree 21. While Ramanujan had some results for modular equations of this degree [1], we could not find enough relations to handle our formulas (1.14), (1.15). And so it is with some reluctance that we resort to routine modular function techniques. The necessary background theory on modular functions and forms may be found in Rankin's book [12]. Of central importance to us is the valence formula (p.98, [12]).

We begin by dividing both sides of (1.14) by $\psi(q)\phi(q)^2$. Making use of (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), (1.10), (1.12) we end up with a simple identity for four η -quotients

$$(4.1) \quad g_1(z) + 4g_2(z) + 8g_3(z) + 8g_4(z) = 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &:= \frac{\eta(14z)^{10}\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^4}{\eta(28z)^4\eta(7z)^4\eta(2z)^{10}}, \\ g_2(z) &:= \frac{\eta(14z)^7\eta(4z)^6\eta(z)^5}{\eta(28z)^2\eta(7z)^3\eta(2z)^{13}}, \\ g_3(z) &:= \frac{\eta(42z)^5\eta(28z)^2\eta(6z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(84z)^2\eta(21z)^2\eta(14z)\eta(3z)\eta(2z)^{12}}, \\ g_4(z) &:= \frac{\eta(84z)\eta(28z)\eta(21z)\eta(14z)\eta(4z)^4\eta(3z)^2\eta(z)^4}{\eta(42z)\eta(7z)\eta(6z)\eta(2z)^{11}}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, all our η -quotients in (4.1) are of the form

$$f(z) = \prod_{\delta|n} \eta(\delta z)^{r_\delta},$$

where n is some positive integer (84 in our case) and all $\delta \geq 1$, r_δ are integers. The following result was proved by Morris Newman [11].

Theorem 4.1. *The η -quotient $f(z)$ is a modular function on*

$$\Gamma_0(n) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ f & c \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \right\},$$

if the following four conditions are met

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta|n} r_\delta &= 0, \\ \sum_{\delta|n} \delta r_\delta &\equiv 0 \pmod{24}, \\ \sum_{\delta|n} \frac{n r_\delta}{\delta} &\equiv 0 \pmod{24}, \\ \prod_{\delta|n} \delta^{r_\delta} &\text{ is a rational square.} \end{aligned}$$

It is now straightforward to verify that $g_1(z), g_2(z), g_3(z), g_4(z)$ are modular functions on $\Gamma_0(84)$. Consequently,

$$h(z) := g_1(z) + 4g_2(z) + 8g_3(z) + 8g_4(z) - 1$$

is also a modular function on $\Gamma_0(84)$. To proceed we will need the following observation from [3]

Theorem 4.2. *If n is square free integer, then a complete set of inequivalent cusps for $\Gamma_0(4n)$ is $\{\frac{1}{s} : s|4n\}$.*

And so $k \cup \{\frac{1}{84}\}$ is a complete set of 12 inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma_0(84)$ where $k := \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{42}, \frac{1}{21}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{1}{28}\}$. From the definition of $\eta(z)$, it follows that η -quotients have no zeros or poles in the upper-half plane (i.e. $Im(z) > 0$). Ligozat [10] calculated the order of η -quotient $f(z)$ at the cusps of $\Gamma_0(n)$.

Theorem 4.3. *If an η -quotient f is a modular function on $\Gamma_0(n)$, then at the cusp $\frac{b}{c}$ with $\gcd(b, c) = 1$*

$$ORD\left(f, \frac{b}{c}\right) = \frac{n}{24 \gcd(n, c^2)} \sum_{\delta|n} \frac{r_\delta \gcd(c, \delta)^2}{\delta}.$$

This way we obtain the following

TABLE 1

CUSP	$O_1(s)$	$O_2(s)$	$O_3(s)$	$O_4(s)$	$O_h(s)$
1	0	0	0	0	0
1/2	-9	-12	-12	-12	-12
1/6	-3	-4	-1	-4	-4
1/4	0	3	-1	-1	-1
1/12	0	1	2	0	0
1/7	0	0	0	0	0
1/42	3	2	5	0	0
1/21	0	0	0	3	0
1/3	0	0	0	5	0
1/14	9	6	0	0	0
1/28	0	3	5	5	0

where $O_h(s)$ is lower bound for $ORD(h, s)$ and $O_i(s) := ORD(g_i, s)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. To prove (1.14) and (4.1) we must show that $h(z) = 0$. The valence formula implies that (unless h is a constant)

$$\sum_{s \in k} ORD(h, s) + ORD(h, 1/84) \leq 0.$$

Using data collected in Table 1 and keeping in mind that cusp $\frac{1}{84}$ is equivalent to $i\infty$, we infer that (unless h is constant)

$$-17 + ORD(h, i\infty) \leq 0.$$

But direct inspection shows that $ORD(h, i\infty) > 17$. That is, if one expands h in powers of q , then one finds that the first 18 coefficients in this expansion are zero. Hence, one arrives at a contradiction. This contradiction implies that $h = 0$, as desired. This completes our proof of (1.14). Obviously, we could've proved (1.15) in

a similar fashion. Instead, we choose a more painful way, because there is nothing like pain for achieving excellence. In any event, our approach will shed some extra light on the relation between (1.14) and (1.15). It is not hard to verify (in term by term fashion) that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & 8q^2\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{14})\phi(q^{21}) + 8q^4\psi(q^{14})f(q, q^2)f(q^7, q^{35}) = \\ & C(q)(8q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(-q^{21})\phi(-q^3) + 8\psi(q^2)f(-q, -q^5)f(-q^7, q^{14})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C(q) = q^2 \frac{E(q^{28})E(q^{14})E(q^2)^2}{E(q^7)E(q^4)^2E(q)}.$$

All one needs is a simple formula

$$E(-q) = \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q^4)E(q)}.$$

We will prove shortly that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^7)^2) - 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^7)\phi(q^7) = \\ & C(q)(\psi(-q^7)(7\phi(-q^7)^2 + \phi(-q)^2) - 4q\psi(-q)\psi(q^{14})\phi(-q)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we rewrite (1.14) as

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^7)^2) - 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^7)\phi(q^7) = \\ & 8q^2\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{14})\phi(q^{21}) + 8q^4\psi(q^{14})f(q, q^2)f(q^7, q^{35}), \end{aligned}$$

and use (4.2) on the right and (4.3) on the left to get

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & C(q)(\psi(-q^7)(7\phi(-q^7)^2 + \phi(-q)^2) - 4q\psi(-q)\psi(q^{14})\phi(-q)) = \\ & C(q)(8q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(-q^{21})\phi(-q^3) + 8\psi(q^2)f(-q, -q^5)f(-q^7, q^{14})). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $C(q)$ and replacing q by $-q$, we get (1.15).

But what about (4.3)? We start by rewriting it as a modular equation of degree 7. Namely,

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} m - 1 - 2t &= \frac{7}{mt^2} \frac{\beta^{\frac{7}{12}}(1-\beta)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{12}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{12}}} + \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{\beta^{\frac{7}{12}}(1-\beta)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{12}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{12}}} \frac{(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\beta}{t^4} \frac{\alpha^{\frac{7}{24}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{7}{24}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{24}}(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{24}}}, \end{aligned}$$

Here m is a multiplier of degree seven, β has degree seven over α and t is defined by

$$t = (\alpha\beta)^{1/8}.$$

The proof of the following modular equations of degree seven can be found in (p.314, [1])

$$(4.7) \quad (1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{8}}(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{8}} = 1-t,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{7(1-2t)}{m} + 1 = 4 \frac{\alpha^{\frac{7}{24}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{7}{24}}}{\beta^{\frac{1}{24}}(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{24}}},$$

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{(m(2t-1)+1)^2}{16} = \frac{\beta^{\frac{7}{12}}(1-\beta)^{\frac{7}{12}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{12}}(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{12}}}.$$

Observe that (4.7) implies that

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{(1-t)^4}{1-\beta}.$$

And so (4.6) becomes

$$(4.10) \quad m - 1 - 2t + \beta \frac{\frac{7(1-2t)}{m} + 1}{2t^4} - \left(\frac{7}{m} + \frac{(1-t)^4}{1-\beta} \right) \frac{(m(2t-1)+1)^2}{16t^2} = 0.$$

Next, we recall the equation (19.19) in [1]

$$m = \frac{t-\beta}{t(1-t)(1-t+t^2)}.$$

We use it to eliminate m from (4.10) and obtain after some algebra that

$$(4.11) \quad (\beta^2 - \beta((1+t^8) - (1-t)^8) + t^8) \frac{P(t, \beta)}{Q(t, \beta)} = 0,$$

where $P(t, \beta)$ and $Q(t, \beta)$ are some polynomials in t and β . But β and α are roots of the quadratic equation

$$x^2 - x((1+t^8) - (1-t)^8) + t^8 = 0,$$

as observed on page 316 in [1]. Hence, the proof of (4.3) is complete. Consequently, (1.15) is true.

We now ready to move on to prove our Theorem 2. Clearly, (1.16) is equivalent to

$$(4.12) \quad S_{8,1}(\phi(q)\phi(q^8)^2 - \phi(q)\phi(q^{14})^2 - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^7)\phi(q^{14}) - 4\phi(q^{14})u(q)) = 0,$$

where

$$u(q) := \sum_{x,z \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{3x^2+2xy+5y^2}.$$

It is not hard to check that

$$u(q) = \sum_{x \equiv y \pmod{3}} q^{\frac{x^2+14y^2}{3}}.$$

Hence,

$$(4.13) \quad u(q) = \phi(q^3)\phi(q^{42}) + 2q^5 f(q, q^5) f(q^{14}, q^{70}).$$

We shall also require

$$(4.14) \quad S_{8,1}(q^3 f(q, q^5) f(q^{14}, q^{70})) = q^2 f(q, q^2) f(q^7, q^{35}).$$

Using (2.4) together with (4.13) and (4.14), we deduce that

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{8,1}(\phi(q^{14})u(q)) &= 2q\psi(q^{14})S_{8,1}(q^6(\phi(q^3)\phi(q^{42}) + 2q^5 f(q, q^5) f(q^{14}, q^{70}))) \\ &= 4q^2\psi(q^{14})\psi(q^3)\phi(q^{21}) + 4q^4\psi(q^{14})f(q, q^2)f(q^7, q^{35}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, with the aid of (2.4) we verify that

$$(4.16) \quad S_{8,1}(\phi(q)\phi(q^{14})^2) = 2\psi(q)\phi(q^7)^2,$$

$$(4.17) \quad S_{8,1}(\phi(q^2)\phi(q^7)\phi(q^{14})) = 4q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^7)\phi(q^7).$$

Combining (2.14), (4.12) and (4.15)–(4.17) we end up with (1.14). Hence, the proof of (1.16) is complete.

Our proof of (1.17) is analogous. We verify that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{56,49}(\phi(q)\phi(q^{14})^2) &= 2\psi(q^7)\phi(q)^2, \\ S_{56,49}(\phi(q^2)\phi(q^7)\phi(q^{14})) &= 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^{14})\phi(q), \\ S_{56,49}(\phi(q^{14})u(q)) &= 4q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(q^{21})\phi(q^3) + 4\psi(q^2)f(q, q^5)f(q^7, q^{14}). \end{aligned}$$

These results enable us to convert (1.15) into

$$S_{56,49}(-\phi(q)\phi(q^{14})^2 - 2\phi(q^2)\phi(q^7)\phi(q^{14}) + 4\phi(q^{14})u(q)) = 14\psi(q^7)\phi(q^7)^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &-(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) - 2(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 4(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M) \\ &= 7 \left| \left\{ n = 7 \frac{x(x+1)}{2} + 7y^2 + 7z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right| \\ &= 7 \left| \left\{ 1 + 8 \frac{n}{7} = x^2 + 8y^2 + 8z^2 : x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \right|, \quad M = 56n + 49. \end{aligned}$$

The truth of (1.17) is now transparent.

Again, we can extend (1.14), (1.15) by using $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M)$ instead of $(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M)$. This way we have

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M) = (1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 4(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, and

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{7}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{7^2} \right) = -(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) - 2(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 4(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, $7|M$. We limit ourselves to a few remarks. The theta-function identities

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q^2)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^7)^2) &= 4q^2\psi(q^4)\psi(q^{14})\phi(q^{14}) + 4q^5\phi(q^2)\psi(q^{14})\psi(q^{28}) \\ &\quad + 8q^4\psi(q^6)\psi(q^7)\psi(q^{21}) + 4q\psi(q^7)f(q^2, q^4)f(q^7, q^{14}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(q)(\psi(q^2)^2 - q^3\psi(q^{14})^2) &= q^3\psi(q^{28})\phi(q^2)\phi(q^7) + \psi(q^4)\phi(q^7)\phi(q^{14}) \\ &\quad + 2q^3\psi(q^7)\psi(q^{21})\phi(q^3) + 2q\psi(q^7)f(q, q^5)f(q^7, q^{14}) \end{aligned}$$

imply the $M \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ cases in (4.18), respectively. Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} 7q\psi(q^7)^2\phi(q^7) &= 8q^5\psi(q)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{42}) + 4\psi(q)f(q, q^2)f(q^{14}, q^{28}) \\ &\quad - q\phi(q)^2\psi(q^{14}) - 4\psi(q^2)\psi(q^4)\phi(q^{14}) - 4q^3\psi(q^2)\psi(q^{28})\phi(q^2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 7q^3\psi(q^7)^2\psi(q^{14}) &= 2\psi(q)\psi(q^3)\phi(q^{21}) + 2q^2\psi(q)f(q^7, q^{35})f(q, q^2) \\ &\quad - \psi(q^2)^2\phi(q^7) - \psi(q^4)\phi(q)\phi(q^{14}) - q^3\psi(q^{28})\phi(q)\phi(q^2) \end{aligned}$$

can be used to prove both cases in (4.19).

We conclude this section by showing how to relate the ternaries on the right of (1.16) and (1.17) to binaries with discriminant -56 . Again, there are just two genera of binary quadratic forms with this discriminant. The first one contains the

class of $x^2 + 14y^2$ and the class of $2x^2 + 7y^2$. The second one contains the class of $3x^2 + 2xy + 5y^2$ and the class of $3x^2 - 2xy + 5y^2$. We now add $14z^2$ to both forms in the first genus of binary quadratic forms to obtain our first genus of ternary quadratic forms of discriminant 784

$$\{x^2 + 14y^2 + 14z^2, \quad 2x^2 + 7y^2 + 14z^2\}.$$

Next, we add $14z^2$ to the first forms in the second genus of binary quadratic forms to obtain our second genus of ternary quadratic forms of discriminant 784

$$\{3x^2 + 2xy + 5y^2 + 14z^2\}.$$

Both these genera are complete as described, no other forms need be added. As a result, $3x^2 + 2xy + 5y^2 + 14z^2$ is obviously regular. Also, it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)|} = 1,$$

$$\frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)|} = 2,$$

$$\frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)|} = 4.$$

And so (1.16) can be stated as

$$(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = \frac{16(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)|} + \frac{16(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)|} + \frac{16(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)|},$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. This shape of identity clearly demonstrates that on the right we have Siegel's type weighted average over two genera of ternary quadratic form. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$(-n_1|7) = -1,$$

for any integer n_1 represented by the genus of $x^2 + 14y^2 + 14z^2$, $(n_1, 7) = 1$ and that

$$(-n_2|7) = 1,$$

for any integer n_2 represented by $3x^2 + 5y^2 + 14z^2 + 2xy$, $(n_2, 7) = 1$. This allows us to rewrite (1.17) as

$$7(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{7^2} \right) = (-n_1|7) \left(\frac{16(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(1, 14, 14, 0, 0, 0)|} + \frac{16(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(2, 7, 14, 0, 0, 0)|} \right) + (-n_2|7) \frac{16(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)(M)}{|\text{Aut}(3, 5, 14, 0, 0, 2)|},$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8, 7|M}$.

5. TERNARY FORMS WITH DISCRIMINANT 3600.

Up to now all our theorems involved certain ternary forms with discriminant $16p^2$ for prime $p = 3, 5, 7$. In this section we consider ternaries with discriminant $16S^2$ for composite $S = 15$. This case has all of the ingredients of the general case to be discussed later. Again, we start with the binaries with discriminant -120 . There are 4 genera with this discriminant and each has a single class per genus $\{x^2 + 30y^2\}$, $\{3x^2 + 10y^2\}$, $\{5x^2 + 6y^2\}$, $\{2x^2 + 15y^2\}$. Following a well-trodden path we add $30z^2$ to each of these forms to get four ternary forms with discriminant 3600. Next, we extend each ternary form to a genus of ternary quadratic forms. This way we obtain four genera of ternary quadratic forms with discriminant 3600:

$$\{x^2 + 30y^2\} \rightarrow TG_1 := \{x^2 + 30y^2 + 30z^2, 6x^2 + 10y^2 + 15z^2\},$$

$$\{3x^2 + 10y^2\} \rightarrow TG_2 := \{3x^2 + 10y^2 + 30z^2\},$$

$$\{5x^2 + 6y^2\} \rightarrow TG_3 := \{5x^2 + 6y^2 + 30z^2, 9x^2 + 11y^2 + 11z^2 + 2yz + 6zx + 6xy\},$$

$$\{2x^2 + 15y^2\} \rightarrow TG_4 := \{2x^2 + 15y^2 + 30z^2, 5x^2 + 12y^2 + 18z^2 + 12yz\}.$$

We check that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(1, 30, 30, 0, 0, 0)|} &= 1, \\ \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(6, 10, 15, 0, 0, 0)|} &= \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(3, 10, 30, 0, 0, 0)|} = 2, \\ \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(5, 6, 30, 0, 0, 0)|} &= \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(2, 15, 30, 0, 0, 0)|} = \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(5, 12, 18, 12, 0, 0)|} = 2, \\ \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(9, 11, 11, 2, 6, 6)|} &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

We now take Siegel's weighted average over the four genera above. This way we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) &= (1, 30, 30, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(6, 10, 15, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ &\quad + 2(3, 10, 30, 0, 0, 0)(M) + 2(5, 6, 30, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ (5.1) \quad &\quad + 4(9, 11, 11, 2, 6, 6)(M) + 2(2, 15, 30, 0, 0, 0)(M) \\ &\quad + 2(5, 12, 18, 12, 0, 0, 0)(M), \end{aligned}$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. This can be stated compactly as

$$(5.2) \quad (1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M) = \sum_{i=1}^4 W_i(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Here,

$$W_i(M) = 16 \sum_{f \in TG_i} \frac{R_f(M)}{|\text{Aut}(f)|}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

and $R_f(M)$ denotes the number of representations of M by f . The associated theta-function identity is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad \psi(q)\phi(q)^2 &= \psi(q)\phi(q^{15})^2 + 4q^3\psi(q^{10})\psi(q^{15})\phi(q^3) + 4q^4\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{30})\phi(q^5) \\
&+ 8q^5\psi(q^5)\psi(q^6)\psi(q^{30}) + 4q\psi(q^9)\phi(q^{45})^2 \\
&+ 8q^{11}\psi(q^9)f(q^{15}, q^{75})^2 + 8q^5\phi(q^{45})f(q^3, q^6)f(q^{15}, q^{75}) \\
&+ 4q^{10}f(q^3, q^6)f(q^{15}, q^{75})^2 + 4q^2\psi(q^2)\psi(q^{15})\phi(q^{15}) \\
&+ 4q^8\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{60})\phi(q^6) + 4q^2\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{12})\phi(q^{30}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.3) we easily see that

$$\psi(q)(\phi(q)^2 - \phi(q^{15})^2) \in P[q].$$

To prove (5.3) we divide both sides by $\psi(q)\phi(q)^2$ and use (1.7)–(1.10), (1.12) to end up with an identity for eleven η -quotients

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad 1 &= \frac{\eta(30z)^{10}\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^4}{\eta(60z)^4\eta(15z)^4\eta(2z)^{10}} + 4\frac{\eta(30z)^2\eta(20z)^2\eta(6z)^5\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(15z)\eta(12z)^2\eta(10z)\eta(3z)^2\eta(2z)^{12}} \\
&+ 4\frac{\eta(60z)^2\eta(10z)^5\eta(6z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(30z)\eta(20z)^2\eta(5z)^2\eta(3z)\eta(2z)^{12}} + 8\frac{\eta(60z)^2\eta(12z)^2\eta(10z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(30z)\eta(6z)\eta(5z)\eta(2z)^{12}} \\
&+ 4\frac{\eta(90z)^{10}\eta(18z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(180z)^4\eta(45z)^4\eta(9z)\eta(2z)^{12}} + 8\frac{\eta(180z)^2\eta(45z)^2\eta(30z)^4\eta(18z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(90z)^2\eta(60z)^2\eta(15z)^2\eta(9z)\eta(2z)^{12}} \\
&+ 8\frac{\eta(90z)^4\eta(30z)^2\eta(9z)^2\eta(6z)\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(180z)\eta(60z)\eta(45z)\eta(18z)\eta(15z)\eta(3z)\eta(2z)^{12}} \\
&+ 4\frac{\eta(180z)^2\eta(45z)^2\eta(30z)^4\eta(9z)^2\eta(6z)\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(90z)^2\eta(60z)^2\eta(18z)\eta(15z)^2\eta(3z)\eta(2z)^{12}} \\
&+ 4\frac{\eta(30z)^7\eta(4z)^6\eta(z)^5}{\eta(60z)^2\eta(15z)^3\eta(2z)^{13}} + 4\frac{\eta(120z)^2\eta(12z)^5\eta(10z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(60z)\eta(24z)^2\eta(6z)^2\eta(5z)\eta(2z)^{12}} \\
&+ 4\frac{\eta(60z)^5\eta(24z)^2\eta(10z)^2\eta(4z)^4\eta(z)^5}{\eta(120z)^2\eta(30z)^2\eta(12z)\eta(5z)\eta(2z)^{12}}.
\end{aligned}$$

To verify the last identity, we use the Newman theorem stated in the last section to show that all eleven quotients on the right of (5.4) are modular functions on $\Gamma_0(360)$. Next, we confirm that the right hand side expanded in powers of q is just 1 for sufficient number of terms. Just how many terms to calculate is determined by the Ligozat theorem. In this regard we note that $K \cup \frac{1}{360}$ is a complete set of 32 inequivalent cusps of $\Gamma_0(360)$. Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
K := \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{2}{15}, \frac{1}{18}, \frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{24}, \right. \\
\left. \frac{5}{24}, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{11}{30}, \frac{1}{36}, \frac{1}{40}, \frac{1}{45}, \frac{1}{60}, \frac{11}{60}, \frac{1}{72}, \frac{1}{90}, \frac{1}{120}, \frac{5}{120}, \frac{1}{180} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let H denote $-1+$ RHS (5.4). Obviously, H is a modular function on $\Gamma_0(360)$. From Ligozat's theorem we see that

TABLE 2

CUSP	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{5}{6}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	
$O_H(s)$	0	-54	0	0	-5	0	-6	-6	-5	0	
CUSP	$\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	$\frac{2}{15}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{20}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	$\frac{5}{24}$	$\frac{1}{30}$	
$O_H(s)$	-6	0	0	0	0	-6	-1	0	0	0	
CUSP	$\frac{11}{30}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$\frac{1}{45}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{11}{60}$	$\frac{1}{72}$	$\frac{1}{90}$	$\frac{1}{120}$	$\frac{5}{120}$	$\frac{1}{180}$
$O_H(s)$	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

where $O_H(s)$ is lower bound for $ORD(H, s)$. The valence formula says that (unless H is a constant)

$$\sum_{s \in K} ORD(H, s) + ORD(H, \frac{1}{360}) \leq 0.$$

Using data collected in the Table 2 and keeping in mind that cusp $\frac{1}{360}$ is equivalent to $i\infty$, we deduce that (unless H is constant)

$$(5.5) \quad -90 + ORD(H, i\infty) \leq 0.$$

We use Maple to calculate 91 coefficients of the Fourier expansion of H . This way we see that $ORD(H, i\infty) \geq 90$, and thus (5.5) is contradicted. Hence, $H = 0$. The proof of (5.3) and (5.4) is now complete. In exactly the same mechanical manner we can prove three companion identities.

(5.6)

$$\begin{aligned} 3\psi(q^3)\phi(q^3)^2 &= 4q\psi(q^5)\psi(q^6)\phi(q^5) + 8q^3\psi(q^2)\psi(q^{10})\psi(q^{15}) \\ &\quad + 4\psi(q^3)\phi(q^{15})^2 + 4q^3f(q, q^2)f(q^5, q^{25})^2 + 4q^2\psi(q^4)\psi(q^{15})\phi(q^{10}) \\ &\quad + 4q^4\psi(q^{15})\psi(q^{20})\phi(q^2) - \psi(q^3)\phi(q^5)^2 - 4q^4\psi(q^5)\psi(q^{30})\phi(q) \\ &\quad - 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^{10})\phi(q^{15}), \end{aligned}$$

(5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} 5\psi(q^5)\phi(q^5)^2 &= \psi(q^5)\phi(q^3)^2 + 4\psi(q^2)\psi(q^3)\phi(q^{15}) \\ &\quad + 8q^4\psi(q)\psi(q^6)\psi(q^{30}) + 4q^5\phi(q^9)^2\psi(q^{45}) + 8q^7f(q^3, q^{15})^2\psi(q^{45}) \\ &\quad + 8qf(q^{15}, q^{30})f(q^3, q^{15})\phi(q^9) + 4q^2f(q^3, q^{15})^2f(q^{15}, q^{30}) \\ &\quad - 4q^2\psi(q^6)\psi(q^{15})\phi(q) - 4q^7\psi(q)\psi(q^{60})\phi(q^6) \\ &\quad - 4q\psi(q)\psi(q^{12})\phi(q^{30}) - 4q\phi(q^3)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{10}), \end{aligned}$$

(5.8)

$$\begin{aligned} 15q\psi(q^{15})\phi(q^{15})^2 &= -q\psi(q^{15})\phi(q)^2 - 4\psi(q)\psi(q^6)\phi(q^5) \\ &\quad + 4\psi(q^2)\psi(q^5)\phi(q^3) + 4f(q, q^5)^2f(q^5, q^{10}) + 4q\psi(q^{15})\phi(q^3)^2 \\ &\quad + 8q\psi(q^2)\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{10}) - 4q^3\psi(q)\psi(q^{30})\phi(q) - 4\psi(q^3)\psi(q^4)\phi(q^{10}) \\ &\quad - 4q^2\psi(q^3)\psi(q^{20})\phi(q^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using some elbow grease one checks that the above is just a generating function form of the following statements.

$$(5.9) \quad 3(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{3^2} \right) = -W_1(M) - W_2(M) + W_3(M) + W_4(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $3|M$,

$$(5.10) \quad 5(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{5^2} \right) = W_1(M) - W_2(M) + W_3(M) - W_4(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $5|M$,

$$(5.11) \quad 15(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{15^2} \right) = -W_1(M) + W_2(M) + W_3(M) - W_4(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $15|M$. To state (5.9)–(5.11) in an economical manner we need to develop appropriate notation. Let n_i be some integer represented by TG_i , such that $\gcd(n_i, w) = 1$ for some $1 \leq w$, $w|15$. Next, we define $\epsilon(i, w)$ as

$$\epsilon(i, w) := (-n_i|w).$$

We also require that

$$\epsilon(i, 1) := 1.$$

It is important to realize that this definition does not depend on one's choice of n_i . We are now well equipped to combine (5.2), (5.9)–(5.11) into the single potent statement

$$(5.12) \quad w(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{w^2} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \epsilon(i, w) W_i(M),$$

where $w = 1, 3, 5, 15$ and $M \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $w|M$. As before one can extend (4.12) by using $\frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M)$ instead of $(1, 8, 8, 0, 0, 0)(M)$

$$(5.13) \quad w(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{w^2} \right) = 3 \sum_{i=1}^4 \epsilon(i, w) W_i(M),$$

where $w = 1, 3, 5, 15$ and $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, $w|M$.

We forgo the proof.

6. S -GENUS

Let S be an odd and square free number and let $S = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ be the prime factorization of S . In this section we introduce (what we believe to be new) a notion of S -genus of ternary forms. To this end we define an injective map from genera of binary quadratic forms of discriminant $-8S$ to genera of ternary quadratic forms of discriminant $16S^2$. According to Theorem 3.15 in [5], there are exactly 2^r of these genera of binary quadratic forms BG_1, \dots, BG_{2^r} . Let $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ be some quadratic form in BG_i , with some $1 \leq i \leq 2^r$. We convert it into a ternary form

$$f(x, y, z) := ax^2 + |b|xy + cy^2 + 2Sz^2.$$

Next, we extend f to a genus TG_i that contains f . It can be shown that the map

$$BG_i \rightarrow TG_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^r$$

does not depend on what specific binary form from BG_i we decided to start with. We can now define the S -genus as a union

$$(6.1) \quad S := TG_1 \cup TG_2 \cup \dots \cup TG_{2^r}.$$

We have an elementary proof that the TG_i 's are disjoint, using all the special features of the construction. We wondered if all the structure of the S -genus were

really required for the proof, and the answer is no. Indeed, Ben Kane kindly sent us a short proof of the following fact: if $g_1(x, y)$ and $g_2(x, y)$ are positive primitive binary quadratic forms of any common discriminant, and N is any positive integer, then $g_1(x, y) + Nz^2$ and $g_2(x, y) + Nz^2$ are in the same genus if and only if $g_1(x, y)$ and $g_2(x, y)$ are in the same genus. He also gave counterexamples to the strictly analogous conjecture for spinor genera.

Let n_i be some integer represented by TG_i such that $\gcd(n_i, w) = 1$ for some $1 \leq w, w|S$. For any positive divisor w of S we define $\epsilon(i, w)$ as

$$(6.2) \quad \epsilon(i, w) := (-n_i|w),$$

and for that matter we always take $\epsilon(i, 1) := 1$. Again, we remark that this definition does not depend on our choice of n_i . For those with some background in quadratic forms, we comment that for prime divisor p of S , $\epsilon(i, p) = 1$ iff the forms of TG_i are isotropic over the p -adic numbers. We propose that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^r$

$$(6.3) \quad M_i = \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{p_j + \epsilon(i, p_j)}{2},$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad M_i := \sum_{f \in TG_i} \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(f)|}.$$

This seems to generalize Lemma 6.6 on page 152 in [4]. One way to see why the S -genus is such an appealing construct is to consider a mass for the S -genus, defined by

$$(6.5) \quad M(S) := \sum_{f \in S} \frac{16}{|\text{Aut}(f)|} = M_1 + \dots + M_{2^r}.$$

Remarkably, (6.3) together with the orthogonality relation

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2^r} \epsilon(i, w) = 0, \quad 2 \leq w, w|S.$$

implies that

$$(6.7) \quad M(S) = S.$$

Thus, 2^r genera conspire to produce a startling simplification. Perhaps, more important is the fact that all our identities for ternary forms can be stated in laconic fashion as

$$(6.8) \quad (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)(M) = \sum_{f \in S} \frac{48R_f(M)}{|\text{Aut}(f)|},$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. For any $2 \leq w, w|S$

$$(6.9) \quad w(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \left(\frac{M}{w^2} \right) = 3 \sum_{i=1}^{2^r} \epsilon(i, w) W_i(M),$$

with $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, $w|M$ and

$$(6.10) \quad W_i(M) = 16 \sum_{f \in TG_i} \frac{R_f(M)}{|\text{Aut}(f)|}.$$

We propose that (6.8), (6.9) hold true for any square free odd S . It follows from (6.9) that for $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\gcd(S^2, M) = S$,

$$W_1(M) = W_2(M) = \dots = W_{2^r}(M).$$

We point out that for $M \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\gcd(S, M) = 1$, only a single genus in the S -genus is allowed to have forms that represent M . What is remarkable about (6.8) is that it continues to be true as $\gcd(M, S)$ increases, and indeed as M becomes divisible by high powers of several p_i . The proofs of (6.3), (6.8), (6.9) will be given elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Benjamin Kane for his insights. His Corollary 1.3 was crucial to our investigation. We would like to thank Manjul Bhargava, Frank Garvan, Jonathan Hanke, Byungchan Kim, Michael Somos for their kind interest and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. B.C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part III*, Springer, New York, 1991.
2. A. Berkovich, H. Yesilyurt, New identities for 7-cores with prescribed BG-rank, *Discrete Mathematics* 308 (2008), 5246–5259.
3. A. J. F. Biagioli, A proof of some identities of Ramanujan using modular forms, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 31 (3) (1989), 271-295.
4. J. W. S. Cassels, *Rational Quadratic Forms*, Academic Press, 1968.
5. D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat Class Field Theory and Complex Multiplication*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1989.
6. L. E. Dickson, *Modern Elementary Theory of Numbers*, The University of Chicago Press, 1939.
7. H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, v.17, Amer. Mathematical Society, 1997.
8. B.W. Jones, *The Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms*, Mathematical Association of America, 1950.
9. W. C. Jagy, I. Kaplansky, A. Schiemann, There are 913 regular ternary forms, *Mathematika* 44 (1997), 332-341.
10. G. Ligozat, Courbes modularies de genre 1, *Bull. Soc. Math. France [Memoire 43]* (1972), 1-80.
11. M. Newman, Construction and application of a class of modular functions (II), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 9 (1959), 373-387.
12. R. A. Rankin, *Modular Forms and Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32611-8105
E-mail address: alexb@ufl.edu

MATH.SCI.RES.INST., 1000 CENTENIAL DRIVE, BERKELEY, CA 94720
E-mail address: jagy@msri.org