

Magnetic Anisotropy in Single Crystalline CeAu_2In_4

Devang A. Joshi¹, P. Manfrinetti², S. K. Dhar¹ and A. Thamizhavel¹

¹Department of Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India

²Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università di Genova, Via Deodacaneso 31, 16146 Genova, Italy

E-mail: devang@tifr.res.in

Abstract. We have grown the single crystals of LaAu_2In_4 and CeAu_2In_4 by high temperature solution method and report on the anisotropic magnetic behavior of CeAu_2In_4 . The compounds crystallize in an orthorhombic structure with space group $Pnma$. LaAu_2In_4 shows a Pauli-paramagnetic behavior. CeAu_2In_4 do not order down to 1.8 K. The easy axis of magnetization for CeAu_2In_4 is along $[010]$ direction. The magnetization data is analyzed on the basis of crystalline electric field (CEF) model.

1. Introduction

Ce based compounds are known to exhibit a variety of interesting behavior at low temperatures, such as normal trivalent, heavy fermion, mixed valent, Kondo lattice, and quantum phase transition tuned by pressure, doping or magnetic field. The anomalous behavior is believed to arise due to the proximity of $4f$ energy levels to the Fermi level. Single crystals are often used in such studies due to their inherently superior crystalline order. Recently, studies on single crystals of orthorhombic RAu_2In_4 ($R = \text{La, Ce, Pr and Nd}$) were reported by Salvador *et al.* [1]. In their study, they found that the Ce ions in CeAu_2In_4 were in trivalent state and do not show any signature of magnetic ordering down to 2 K. This motivated us to undertake a detailed study of this compound in the single crystalline form using the techniques of magnetization, resistivity and heat capacity. Our data reveal anisotropic magnetic behavior and indicate that the Ce ions are in the normal trivalent state and may presumably order below 1.8 K.

2. Experiment

We have grown the single crystals of RAu_2In_4 ($R = \text{La and Ce}$) by high temperature solution method using In as flux. The starting materials 3N-La and Ce, 5N-Au and 3N-In were taken in the stoichiometric amount with sample to flux ratio of 1:19 in a high quality recrystallized alumina crucible. The crucibles were sealed in an evacuated quartz ampoule. The temperature of the furnace was raised to 1050 °C and dwelled there for 48 hrs in order to homogenize the melt. After homogenization, the temperature of the furnace was brought down to 500 °C over a period of 10 days and then rapidly cooled down to room temperature. The crystals were separated from the flux by centrifuging the melt in an evacuated glass tube at 300 °C. Small needle shaped crystals were obtained with lengths of 6 to 7 mm with width and height of order of 0.5 to 1 mm. The grown crystals were then oriented along the principal crystallographic directions by means of Laue diffraction. The direction along the length of the crystal was found to be the b axis or $[010]$

direction. The DC magnetic susceptibility and the magnetization measurements were performed in the temperature range 1.8 - 300 K and in the magnetic fields up to 70 kOe along the three principal directions using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer, high field magnetization measurements up to a field of 120 kOe were performed using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, Oxford Instruments). The heat capacity measurements were carried out using physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design) and the resistivity measurements were performed on a home built setup.

3. Results and Discussion




Figure 1. Susceptibility of LaAu_2In_4 with modified Curie-Weiss fit. The inset shows its resistivity with current parallel to $[010]$ direction.




Figure 2. Heat capacity of LaAu_2In_4 with the inset showing the low temperature C/T vs T^2 curve.

RAu_2In_4 ($R = \text{La}$ and Ce) compounds crystallize in an orthorhombic structure with a space group $Pnma$. In order to confirm the phase homogeneity of the compound and lattice parameters, a Rietveld analysis of the observed x-ray pattern of both the compounds was done using the FullProf program. The lattice parameters thus obtained are $a = 18.51 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 4.685 \text{ \AA}$, and $c = 7.339 \text{ \AA}$ for LaAu_2In_4 and $a = 18.505 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 4.667 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 7.318 \text{ \AA}$ for CeAu_2In_4 . The unit cell volumes are 636.4 and 632 \AA^3 respectively for La and Ce compounds as expected on the basis of lanthanide contraction, but the reported unit cell volume [1] of Ce compound is higher than that of La compound.

LaAu_2In_4 shows a Pauli-paramagnetic behavior (Fig. 1) at high temperature with an upturn at low temperature. The curve was fitted to modified Curie-Weiss law with an effective moment of $0.1 \mu_B$. The low value of effective moment indicates that the upturn arises from some paramagnetic impurity (trace quantities of other rare earths in the La used) in the sample. The value obtained for χ_0 is 2.52×10^{-4} , which is typical for the non-magnetic La compounds and is close to the reported one. The resistivity of the compound with $J \parallel [010]$ (inset of Fig. 1) decreases with temperature showing a metallic behavior down to 3.7 K followed by a superconducting drop due to the presence of free In on the sample surface. The resistivity measurement could only be done with $J \parallel [010]$ direction (length of the crystal), because of the small dimensions of crystal along the other directions. The resistivity is not linear as expected for a non magnetic compound, but shows a positive curvature. Such a positive curvature is attributed to $s-d$ inter-band scattering of the conduction electrons as described by Mott and Jones [2]. The heat capacity as shown in Fig. 2 is typical for the non-magnetic compound. The data could not be described by Debye, Einstein, or a combined model. The low temperature C/T vs T^2 curve (inset of Fig. 2) reveals $\gamma = 5 \text{ mJ/mole K}^2$.

The magnetic susceptibility of CeAu_2In_4 is shown in Fig. 3. There is no signature of magnetic ordering down to 1.8 K . The susceptibility with field along $[010]$ direction is higher compared




Figure 3. Magnetic susceptibility of CeAu_2In_4 with field parallel to the three crystallographic directions. The inset shows the magnetic isotherm at 1.8 K with field parallel to indicated directions.




Figure 4. Inverse magnetic susceptibility of CeAu_2In_4 with field parallel to the indicated directions and crystal electric field fit described in text.

to that along the other two directions, indicating the easy axis of magnetization. The inverse susceptibility of the compound was fitted to modified Curie-Weiss law (not shown). The effective moments obtained are 2.55 , 2.55 and $2.54 \mu_B$ respectively for field parallel to $[100]$, $[010]$ and $[001]$ direction. The paramagnetic Curie temperatures are -70 , 10 and -54 K and χ_0 of 2.01×10^{-5} , 5.2×10^{-5} and 3.7×10^{-5} emu/mol respectively. The effective moments are close to that expected for the Ce^{3+} ion. The polycrystalline average of the paramagnetic Curie temperature is -38 K, indicating an antiferromagnetic type of interaction among the Ce moments.

The magnetic isotherm of the compound at 2 K is shown as an inset of Fig. 3. The magnetization for field along $[010]$ increases sharply with field and saturates above ≈ 50 kOe. The saturation moment obtained is $2.07 \mu_B/\text{Ce}$, close to the saturation moment of the Ce^{3+} ion ($2.14 \mu_B/\text{Ce}$), as expected for the easy axis of magnetization. The magnetization along $[100]$ and $[001]$ is ≈ 0.5 and $0.6 \mu_B/\text{Ce}$ respectively, much less than the saturation moment of the Ce^{3+} ion indicating the hard axes of magnetization. The behavior of magnetic isotherms is similar to a ferromagnetically ordered compound. This indicates that the energy gained due to the field induced ferromagnetic alignment of the moments along the easy axis of magnetization exceeds the thermal and zero field mutual interaction between the moments. The non ordering of the compound down to 1.8 K is not due to the crystal field or Kondo effect but may be due to a weak exchange interaction between the Ce ions. It may be noticed that the shortest Ce-Ce distance is 4.66 \AA along the b axis while it is even longer along the other two directions. It is to be noted here that both Pr and Nd compounds also do not order down to 2 K [1].

To estimate the effect of crystal fields in CeAu_2In_4 we fitted the inverse susceptibility using the point charge crystal field model. The Ce atom in the orthorhombic CeAu_2In_4 occupies the $4c$ Wyckoff position and possesses a monoclinic site symmetry, but for the simplicity of crystal field calculations we used CEF Hamiltonian for the orthorhombic site symmetry. The Hamiltonian for the orthorhombic site symmetry is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{CEF}} = B_2^0 O_2^0 + B_2^2 O_2^2 + B_4^0 O_4^0 + B_4^2 O_4^2 + B_4^4 O_4^4 + B_6^0 O_6^0 + B_6^2 O_6^2 + B_6^4 O_6^4 + B_6^6 O_6^6, \quad (1)$$

where B_ℓ^m and O_ℓ^m are the CEF parameters and the Stevens operators, respectively [3, 4]. For Ce atom the O_6 Stevens operator is zero and hence the last four terms in the Hamiltonian are zero. The inverse susceptibility with field along the three crystallographic axes was fitted to the above CEF model (Fig. 4) with the unique value of parameters given by $B_2^0 = 2$ K, $B_2^2 = 2$ K, $B_4^0 = -0.8$ K, $B_4^2 = -1$ K and $B_4^4 = 6.5$ K and the molecular field exchange

constant $\lambda_{100} = -55$, $\lambda_{010} = 25$ and $\lambda_{001} = -13.4$ mol/emu. The negative value of the exchange constant indicates the overall antiferromagnetic interaction between the moments. A positive value along the [010] direction indicates a ferromagnetic type of interaction along that direction. But ferromagnetically aligned moments may couple antiferromagnetically giving an overall antiferromagnetic type of behavior. The energy levels estimated from the CEF parameters are three doublets $E_0 = 0$, $E_1 = 73$ K and $E_2 = 412$ K.




Figure 5. a) Low temperature C/T vs T curve for CeAu_2In_4 .




Figure 6. Resistivity curve of CeAu_2In_4 with the inset showing the low temperature part.

The heat capacity of CeAu_2In_4 in the form of C/T vs T^2 (Fig. 5) shows an upward turn below ≈ 4 K, which may be due to the onset of magnetic ordering. The temperature dependence of electrical resistivity from 1.8-300 K is shown in Fig. 6. The resistivity shows a typical metallic behavior down to 3.7 K followed by a drop at low temperature. The drop at 3.7 K is due to the superconductivity of traces of free In present on the surface of the crystal. The resistivity shows a curvature around 100 K, which may be attributed to crystalline electric field effect. The electrical resistivity does not exhibit features accounted with Kondo behavior, indicating that the hybridization between the conduction electrons and $4f$ orbitals is negligible.

4. Summary

To summarise we have grown the single crystals of LaAu_2In_4 and CeAu_2In_4 by high temperature flux method. We do not see any superconducting transition in LaAu_2In_4 down to 1.8 K. Ce ions in CeAu_2In_4 exhibit normal trivalent behavior with significant anisotropy. The exchange interaction is weak, presumably due to large Ce-Ce distance and the Ce ions remain in a paramagnetic state down to 2 K. The [010] direction was found to be the easy axis of magnetization and CEF analysis shows an overall antiferromagnetic interaction between the Ce ions.

5. Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. R. Nagalakshmi for her kind help in carrying out the work.

References

- [1] James R. Salvador, et al 2007 *Inorg. Chem.* **46** 6933.
- [2] N. F. Mott and H. Jones 1958 *The Theory of the Properties of Metals and Alloys* (London: Oxford Uni. Press).
- [3] M. T. Hutchings 1965 *Solid State Physics: Advances in Research and Applications* **Vol.16** edited by F. Seitz and B. Turnbull (New York: Academic) p.227.
- [4] K. W. H. Stevens 1952 *Proc. Phys. Soc.* (London) **Sect.A65** 209.