

Magnetism and Rippling in Graphene

T. G. Rappoport¹, Bruno Uchoa², and A. H. Castro Neto³

¹ *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 68.528-970, Brazil*

² *Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
1110 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801-3080, USA*

³ *Department of Physics, Boston University, 590 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA*

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

We study the interplay of structural and magnetic order in single layer graphene covered with magnetic adatoms. We show that the presence of ripples in the graphene structure leads to a variety of magnetic states: super-paramagnetism, antiferromagnetism, ferromagnetism and spin glass behavior. These states can be controlled by gate voltage and coverage fraction.

PACS numbers: 73.20.-r, 73.20.Hb, 75.20.Hr

Graphene is probably one of the most remarkable discoveries in condensed matter physics in the last decade [1, 2]. The material is a two-dimensional (2D) crystal composed of carbon (C) atoms with sp^2 hybridization, that is, graphene is one atom thick and thus the thinnest cloth in nature. Because of its low dimensionality, it does not show structural long-range order in its free form, as per the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner (HMW) theorem[3], but it can present a flat phase at low temperatures due to non-linear effects or in the presence of a substrate, scaffolds, contacts, or impurities that break explicitly the translational symmetry perpendicular to the graphene plane[4]. The leftovers of the fluctuations that forbid long-range order are found in the form of frozen ripples in suspended [5, 6] samples.

While it was theoretically predicted early on that graphene by itself would not be magnetic[7], it has been shown that adatoms in the graphene surface can easily form magnetic moments due to graphene's unusual electronic properties such as low density of states and chirality[8]. Moreover, because graphene has a low density of states close to the Dirac point, the Kondo effect is suppressed[9], allowing for the appearance of magnetic states. On the other hand, because once again of its low dimensionality, long-range magnetic order is inhibited. In this paper, we show that the intrinsic coupling between structural and magnetic fluctuations leads to very inhomogeneous spin textures that can be found in complex itinerant magnetic systems such as heavy-fermion alloys[10]. Furthermore, and as in the case of heavy-fermions, this interplay can be measured experimentally in magneto-transport experiments.

Our starting point is the tight-binding Hamiltonian of the electrons in graphene (we set $\hbar = 1$)[2]:

$$\mathcal{H}_{TB} = -t \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} [a_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}_i) b_{\sigma}(\mathbf{R}_j) + \text{h.c.}], \quad (1)$$

where $a_{\sigma}(\mathbf{R}_i)$ ($b_{\sigma}(\mathbf{R}_i)$) annihilates and electron with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ on sublattice A (B) at position $\mathbf{R}_i$, and t (≈ 2.7 eV) is the nearest neighbor hopping energy. When adatoms are added to the graphene surface they can hy-

bridize with an energy V to the C atoms and if the local Coulomb energy U is sufficiently large, a local moment of spin S is formed[8] at a site $\mathbf{R}_i$. This spin S_i interacts with the graphene electrons via an exchange interaction, $J_k \approx -V^2/U$, which is described by the Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_s = J_k \sum_i S_i \cdot s_i, \quad (2)$$

where s_i is the graphene electron spin. Eq. (1) together with (2) describe a Kondo lattice in graphene.

The Kondo interaction (2) induces an indirect kinetic exchange interaction between local moments, the RKKY interaction, which depends on the chemical potential μ and hence can be controlled with an external gate voltage. Therefore, the nature of the magnetic states in graphene can be controlled by the application of a transverse *electric* field, a situation that never occurs in metals. For low carrier concentrations, i.e. close to the Dirac point, the interaction between spins located in the same sublattice is ferromagnetic, $\chi_{AA}(\mathbf{R}) = \chi_{BB}(\mathbf{R}) \propto 1/R^3$, while it is antiferromagnetic if they belong to opposite sublattices ($\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{R}) \propto -1/R^3$)[11, 12, 13].

In a flat graphene layer, the above situation would generate uniform magnetic states. Nevertheless, ripples in the graphene structure break the translational symmetry and lead to a inhomogeneous situation where the adatoms have preferential sites for hybridization. In fact, the graphene ripples induce *curvature* fluctuations creating “valleys” and “hills”. Assuming that graphene is supported on a substrate, only one surface is available for hybridization (although the discussion here can be easily generalized to suspended samples with two open surfaces). Notice that because of curvature, the p_z orbitals that are locally perpendicular to the graphene surface, approach each other in the valleys but distance themselves in the hills. In this case, adatoms hybridize stronger in the hills than in the valleys, leading to a “percolative” structure as the planar density of adatoms is increased. Therefore, the probability that an adatom hybridize with a hill-C is larger than a valley-C atom.

Let us assume, for the sake of the argument, that the

ripples in graphene have a simple sinusoidal form[5] (see sup. mat.), that is, if z is the perpendicular coordinate of the C atom relative to the flat situation, then $z(x, y) = A \sin(k_x x + k_y y + \phi)$ where A , ϕ , k_x and k_y are random parameters. A large number (up to 200) of such sinusoidal waves can be superimposed to obtain a randomly curved sheet, as shown in Fig. 1 (a) for a system with $2 \times 600 \times 600$ C atoms. Next, we decide a strategy to allow the incorporation of adatoms by the graphene sheet for some quenched realization of ripples. We allow the incorporation if $z > h_0 + h_i$ where h_i is a random number varying from 0 to h_{max} and $h_0 + h_{max} = z_{max}$, which is the maximum height. By varying h_0 and h_{max} , we obtain different incorporation fractions. Figures 1 (b),(c) show the position of the adatoms for two different combinations of h_0 and h_{max} . Notice that for a fixed graphene structure, as one increases the adatom concentration, one obtains a percolative structure. At low coverage densities the adatoms form *clusters*. Hence, ripples naturally lead to clustering.

The details of the clustering depend on the nature of the adatoms used. For instance, if one uses Hydrogen (H) atoms, one might imagine that only H atoms that are separated by more than one lattice spacing are stable since H atoms which are in neighboring C sites can recombine into H_2 molecules and leave the graphene surface. Hence, we have considered two different situations, namely, either the adatoms have nearest neighbors or not. We studied the location of magnetic adatoms for the same incorporation strategy, as discussed above, with and without allowing the existence of nearest neighbors. For the system without first neighbors, we randomly remove the neighbors, in order to avoid any artificial distribution of spins. We found that the magnetic response of these two systems are rather different and can be distinguished experimentally.

Given the position of the magnetic moments and the effective interaction between them, we can perform numerical simulations to obtain the magnetic properties of

the system. Although a flat graphene sheet has almost no magnetic anisotropy due to the very small spin-orbit coupling[14], in the presence of ripples [15], lattice distortions of the sp^3 type [16] that are generated by the presence of adatoms[17] can substantially increase the local spin-orbit interaction close to the adatoms, leading to substantial magnetic anisotropy. Therefore, in our calculations we map the interaction between adatoms with an Ising model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i,j} J_{\text{RKKY}}(r_{ij}) S_i^z S_j^z - g \mu_B H_{\text{ex}} \sum_i S_i^z. \quad (3)$$

where $J_{\text{RKKY}}(r_{ij})$ is a spatially dependent RKKY interaction that depends on μ and H_{ex} is an external magnetic field. For $\mu \ll t$, the period of the RKKY oscillation is long compared to the typical atomic distances and we only need to consider the power-law decay of the interaction. The exchange is given by $J_{AA}(r) = J_{BB}(r) = J_0 \exp(-r_{ij}/r_0)/r_{ij}^3$ for spins in the same sublattice and $J_{AB}(r) = -J_0 \exp(-r_{ij}/r_0)/r_{ij}^3$ for spins in different sublattices ($r_0 \approx 12 \text{ \AA}$ is a cut-off distance that is introduced to limit the range of the parameters in the numerical calculations). We have performed Monte Carlo simulations using Metropolis algorithm for systems with up to $2 \times 600 \times 600$ ($k_B T = 0.01 J_0$).

For a graphene sheet with full coverage, as shown in Fig. 2 (a), we find that the moments order antiferromagnetically, as expected. Nevertheless, as the coverage is decreased the situation is rather more complicated. What we observe in figure 2 (b)-(d) is the destruction of the antiferromagnetic order on the clusters that are located on top of the ripples. The system becomes highly *frustrated* and finally for very low coverage we find that the system consists basically of weakly interacting isolated moments and clusters of few spins. One notices that the destruction of antiferromagnetic order is accompanied by a ferromagnetic tendency, that is, the clusters tend to *either remain antiferromagnetically order and interact ferromagnetically with nearest clusters* (see Fig. 2 (c)) or order ferromagnetically in a situation that is reminiscent either of *super-paramagnetism* or a *spin glass* state [18].

Diluted spin systems in honeycomb lattices, where the site percolation transition occurs at $p_c = 0.69704$ [19], have not been studied extensively. For the nearest neighbor ferromagnetic interactions, studies suggest that the magnetic transition as a function of the dilution p occurs at the percolation transition p_c [20]. For the antiferromagnetic case, there are results for the quantum Heisenberg Hamiltonian with site dilution [21], where the magnetic long range order persists above p_c . It is important to point out the differences between the type of magnetic dilution we present here, compared to the usually magnetic diluted lattice, where the spins are randomly located, without any preferential position. In the usual diluted system, for very small concentrations ($p \ll p_c$),




Figure 1: (a) Graphene sheet with random ripples. The color map represents the height increasing from blue to red. (b) - (c) graphene sheet covered with adatoms where $h_0 = 0.7$ and $0.5 h_{max}$ respectively (see main text). (d) Zoom-in showing the adatoms on top of a hill (red rectangle).




Figure 2: Spin configuration on top of a hill for (a) homogeneous honeycomb lattice and (b) -(d) $h_0 = 0, 0.5$ and 0.7 h_{max} respectively. In black, spin up and in orange, spin down. The spin concentrations are $n_s = 0.50$ for $h_0 = 0$, $n_s = 0.11$ for $h_0 = 0.5$ and $n_s = 0.02$ for $h_0 = 0.7$ ($k_B T = 0.01 J_0$).

the moments are isolated and weakly coupled. Here, due to the distribution of spins according to the structure of the ripples in the graphene layer, even for very low concentration, there are strongly coupled magnetic clusters on top of the highest graphene hills. Also, due to the ferromagnetic coupling between next nearest neighbors, the moment of a given cluster will be bigger than zero even for a mostly antiferromagnetic one.

If we suppress the existence of nearest neighbors, one favors ferromagnetic interactions, once the interaction between next-nearest neighbors is ferromagnetic. However, as the magnetic atoms are randomly removed, we never produce a regular lattice of spins and do not have a perfect ferromagnetic system. Instead, for the system that was originally a regular honeycomb lattice, we see in figure 3 (a) the formation of *magnetic domains* in the form of antiferromagnetically correlated *ferromagnetic stripes*. In the dilute situation these ferromagnetic stripes are broken apart leaving behind ferromagnetic strings. The situation is clearly quite complex from the magnetic point of view and it is important to distinguish these various spin textures experimentally.

For all the configurations discussed above, we calculate the total average magnetization $M = M_A + M_B$ and the average staggered magnetization, $M_s = |M_A - M_B|$, in terms of the magnetization of each sublattice, M_A and M_B , as a function of the magnetic field H_{ex} . All the numerical simulations were performed for an Ising Hamiltonian with $2 \times 600 \times 600$ sites. We used 10000 Monte Carlo steps for warm up and another 10000 steps to collect the data. The magnetization is computed as an average over 160 to 400 realizations.

As can be seen in Fig. 4(a) and (b) the maximally covered honeycomb lattice has always an antiferromagnetic correlation between the ferromagnetic stripes but as the coverage is reduced, this correlation is strongly suppressed, changing the shape of the hysteresis loops. For the case of an originally regular honeycomb lattice

without neighbors, where there is a formation of ferromagnetic stripes that are antiferromagnetically aligned, $M(H)$ in Fig. 4(c) shows a large coercivity that decreases for decreasing incorporation fractions. For large incorporation fractions, we still can see the signature of antiferromagnetic correlations in the shape of the hysteresis curve. For smaller incorporation fractions the system consists of ferromagnetic clusters with a typical ferromagnetic hysteresis loop.

It is important to notice that the hysteretic behavior of the magnetization is only valid for very low T compared to the coupling between the magnetic moments J_0 . For high temperatures, the correlation between clusters diminish and the hysteretic behavior disappears (see Fig. 4(c)). For low magnetic fields we have an universal linear dependency $M \propto H$.

The interplay between the corrugated nature of graphene and its magnetic properties, which gives rise to the spin textures discussed above, can be probed by magneto-transport measurements. It was recently demonstrated that the incorporation of adatoms on top of a graphene can dramatically change the transport properties of the membrane [22]. The system can go from a metallic behavior to a variable range hopping regime. In the case of magnetic impurities, the transport properties will be further modified by the interaction between spin and charge degrees of freedom.

As discussed previously, there is an the exchange interaction between the spin of the carriers and the adatom localized spins. In the regime of variable range hopping, the carriers are trapped with a binding energy E_i . Even at $H_{ex} = 0$, the exchange interaction fully polarizes the localized spins interacting with a given localized carrier and increases its binding energy [23]. If we consider the localized spins in the mean-field approximation, the exchange energy is given by $E_{ex} = J_k M_s \langle s \rangle$. The total binding energy in the presence of the exchange interac-




Figure 3: Spin configurations for the same hill considered in figure 2 but with the random removal of nearest neighbors. (a) homogeneous honeycomb lattice and (b) -(d) $h_0 = 0, 0.5$ and 0.7 h_{max} respectively. The spin concentrations are $n_s = 0.24$ for the homogeneous lattice, $n_s = 0.22$ for $h_0 = 0$, $n_s = 0.08$ for $h_0 = 0.5$ and $n_s = 0.01$ for $h_0 = 0.7$ ($k_B T = 0.01 J_0$).




Figure 4: Left: (a) Magnetization and (b) staggered magnetization as a function of an external magnetic field for a fully covered graphene sheet (black circles) and for $h_0=0.5 h_{max}$ (red squares). Right: (c) Magnetization as a function of magnetic field for a lattice without first neighbors: homogeneous lattice (black circles), $h_0=0.5 h_{max}$ and $k_B T = 0.01 J_0$ (red squares) and $k_B T = 0.2 J_0$ (blue diamonds). (d) Magnetoresistance without first neighbors for $h_0 = 0.5 h_{max}$ and $k_B T = 0.01 J_0$ (red squares) and $k_B T = 0.2 J_0$ (blue diamonds).

tion is given by $E_b = E_i + E_{ex}$ and has an associated Bohr radius ξ . If we now apply an external field, the localized spins in the whole sample begin to polarize, giving rise to a splitting of the conduction band. As a consequence, there is a decrease in the binding energy by $\Delta E_{ex} = J_k M(T, H) \langle s \rangle$. As $E_b \propto \xi^{-2}$, the magnetic field produces an increase in Bohr radius and $\xi_{eff} = (E_i + J_k \langle s \rangle M_s [1 - M(T, H)/M_s])^{-1/2}$. This effect is similar to the one observed in magnetically doped semiconductors as EuS or CdMnTe [23].

The hopping probability between two states at a distance r is then given by $P = \exp(-2r/\xi_{eff} - W/k_B T)$ where W is the energy difference between the two states and ξ_{eff} is the characteristic Bohr radius of the localized states under the effect of an external magnetic field. Following the original Mott derivation, the resistance is [24],

$$\rho = \rho_0 \exp(T_0(H)/T)^{1/3} \quad (4)$$

where $T_0(H) = 13.8 / (k_B N(\mu) \xi_{eff}^2)$ and $N(\mu)$ is the density of states at the Fermi energy. We can see that $T_0 \propto 1 - M(T, H)/M_s$ so from our previous Monte Carlo simulations we can obtain the magnetoresistance curves of our system. For simplicity, we use $13.8 J_k \langle s \rangle M_s / k_B T N(\mu) = 1$ and we show in Fig. 4(d) the magnetoresistance for the lattice without first neighbors, $h_0 = 0.5 h_{max}$ and the two temperatures discussed in Fig. 4(c). For $k_B T \ll J_0$, the magnetoresistance presents an hysteretic behavior. Otherwise, the system has a pronounced negative magnetoresistance. In the limit of small fields and $k_B T \sim J_0$, $M(H, T) \propto H$ and the resistance decreases with H following $\rho \propto \exp(-\alpha H)$, where α is a constant that depends on the exchange interaction, density of states and

temperature. Also, it is important to point out that in the case of strong spin-orbit coupling, the magnetoresistance will be strongly anisotropic and can vanish in the plane perpendicular to the spin orientation.

In summary, we have discussed the possible magnetic states of magnetic adatoms on top of a rippled graphene sheet. We have found that the magnetic order is very sensitive to the value of the chemical potential, the adatom coverage, and on the “repulsion” between adatoms in nearest neighbor atoms. We have found that while a perfectly covered graphene sheet has a strong tendency towards antiferromagnetism, the presence of ripples lead to more complex magnetic textures and increases a ferromagnetic tendency and even glassiness. We show that the hysteretic behavior of the magnetization is a simple way to study these magnetic orderings. Nevertheless, the presence of different magnetic structures affect directly the transport properties. In the variable range hopping regime, the system presents a universal negative magnetoresistance that depending on the ratio between the exchange interaction, temperature and coverage fraction can have also an hysteretic behavior.

We thank A. Geim, K. Novoselov, E. Fradkin and M. B. Silva Neto for many level headed discussions. AHCN acknowledges the partial support of the U.S. Department of Energy under the grant DE-FG02-08ER46512. BU acknowledges partial support from Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy under the contract DE-FG02-91ER45439 at University of Illinois. TGR acknowledges the partial support of the brazilian agencies CNPq and FAPERJ and L’Oreal Brazil.

-
- [1] A. K. Geim, and K. S. Novoselov, *Nat.Mat.* **6**, 183 (2007).
 - [2] A. H. Castro Neto *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 109 (2009).
 - [3] P. C. Hohenberg, *Phys. Rev.* **158**, 383 (1967); N. D. Mermin, and H. Wagner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133 (1966).
 - [4] P. Chaikin, and T. C. Lubensky, *Introduction to Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
 - [5] J. C. Meyer *et al.*, *Nature* **446**, 60 (2007).
 - [6] E. Stolyarova *et al.*, *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. USA* **104**, 9209 (2007).
 - [7] N. M. R. Peres *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 174406 (2005).
 - [8] B. Uchoa *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 026805 (2008).
 - [9] K. Sengupta, and G. Baskaran, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 045417 (2007).
 - [10] A. H. Castro Neto, and B. A. Jones, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 14975 (2000).
 - [11] L. Brey *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 116802 (2007).
 - [12] A. V. Shytov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 236801 (2007).
 - [13] S. Saremi, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 184430 (2007).
 - [14] H. Min *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 165310 (2006).
 - [15] D. Huertas-Hernando *et al.*, *Rev. B* **74**, 155426 (2006).
 - [16] A. H. Castro Neto, and F. Guinea, arXiv:0902.3244.
 - [17] Y. Miura *et al.*, *Jour. Phys. Soc. Japan* **72**, 995 (2003).
 - [18] J. A. Mydosh, in *Spin Glasses* (Taylor & Francis, Lon-

- don, 1993).
- [19] P. N. Suding and R. M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 275 (1999);
X. Feng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **78** 031136 (2008).
- [20] A. R. McGurn, J. Phys.C: Sol.Stat.Phys., **13** 1055 (1980).
- [21] E. V. Castro *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 054422 (2006).
- [22] D. C. Elias *et al.*, Science **323**, 610 (2009).
- [23] T. Dietl, Physics of High Magnetic Fields, vol. 24,
Springer Series in Solid State Science, Springer (1981).
- [24] N. F. Mott, Phil. Mag., **19**,835 (1969).