

Positive solutions of viscoelastic problems

M. Seredyńska

Institute of Fundamental Technological Research
 Polish Academy of Sciences
 ul. Pawińskiego 5b
 02-106 Warszawa, PL
 email: msered@ippt.gov.pl

A. Hanyga

ul. Bitwy Warszawskiej 14 m. 52
 02-366 Warszawa, PL
 email: ajhbergen@yahoo.com

November 23, 2018

Keywords: viscoelasticity, Newtonian viscosity, relaxation, completely monotone function, complete Bernstein function, MacDonald function

Abstract

In 1,2 or 3 dimensions a scalar wave excited by a non-negative source in a viscoelastic medium with a non-negative relaxation spectrum or a Newtonian response or both combined inherits the sign of the source. The key assumption is a constitutive relation which involves the sum of a Newtonian viscosity term and a memory term with a completely monotone relaxation kernel. In higher-dimensional spaces this result holds for sufficiently regular sources. Two positivity results for vector-valued wave fields including isotropic viscoelasticity are also obtained.

Notation.

$$[a, b[:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x < b\};$$

$$\mathbb{R}_+ =]0, \infty[;$$

I: unit matrix;

$$\langle \mathbf{k}, x \rangle := \sum_{n=1}^d k_n x^n;$$

$$\theta(y) := \begin{cases} 1, & y > 0 \\ 0, & y < 0 \end{cases};$$

$$\tilde{f}(p) := \int_0^\infty e^{-py} f(y) dy.$$

1 Introduction

Positivity of viscoelastic pulses was studied in a paper of Duff (1969). Duff assumed a special model with a rational complex modulus. Duff's models are however loosely related to viscoelasticity and his assumptions are excessively restrictive.

In this paper a general scalar viscoelastic medium with the constitutive equation $\sigma = a \dot{\epsilon} + G(t) * \dot{\epsilon}$ with a completely monotone relaxation modulus G and a non-negative Newtonian viscosity coefficient is studied. We show that a scalar viscoelastic wave field propagating in a d -dimensional medium and excited by a non-negative pulse is also non-negative provided $d \leq 3$. For higher dimensions and for non-zero initial data only wave fields excited by sufficiently regular sources are non-negative.

Positivity of viscoelastic signals can be considered as a test for the non-negative relaxation spectrum and for the presence of the Newtonian viscosity.

Positivity can be extended to matrix-valued fields, e.g. to Green's functions of systems of PDEs. In Sec. 6 we consider a system of PDEs resembling the equations of motion of viscoelasticity with a CM relaxation kernel and prove that the Green's function of this system of equations is positive-semidefinite. This result does not apply to general viscoelastic Green's functions, which involve double gradients of positive semi-definite functions. In iso

2 Statement of the problem

In a hereditary or Newtonian linear viscoelastic medium a scalar field excited by positive source is non-negative. This applies to displacements in pure shear or to scalar displacement potentials. The key assumption about the material properties of the medium is a positive relaxation spectrum. The result holds for arbitrary spatial dimension.

We consider the problem:

$$\rho D^2 u = a \nabla^2 D u + G(t) * \nabla^2 D u + s(t, x) \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (1)$$

with $s(t, x) = \theta(t) (c_1 + c_2 t) \delta(x)$ and the initial condition

$$u(0, x) = u_0 \delta(x), \quad Du(0, x) = \dot{u}_0 \delta(x), \quad (2)$$

(Problem I) as well as $s(t, x) = c \delta(t) \delta(x)$ with a solution assumed to vanish for $t < 0$ (Problem II). It is assumed that $a \geq 0$ and G is a completely monotone (CM) function.

The Laplace transform

$$\tilde{u}(p, x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} u(t, x) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (3)$$

satisfies the equation

$$\rho p^2 \tilde{u}(p, x) = Q(p) \nabla^2 \tilde{u}(p, x) + g(p) \delta(x) \quad (4)$$

where

$$Q(p) := a p + p \tilde{G}(p) \quad (5)$$

The function g is defined by the equation

$$g_I(p) = \frac{1}{p} + p u_0 + \dot{u}_0 \quad (6)$$

in Problem I and

$$g_{II}(p) = 1 \quad (7)$$

in Problem II.

3 Basic mathematical tools.

The classes of functions appropriate for viscoelastic responses are reviewed in detail in Seredyńska & Hanyga (2009).

Theorem 3.1 *If the function $\tilde{u}(\cdot, x)$ is completely monotone for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $u(t, x) \geq 0$ for every $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. If $\tilde{u}(\cdot, x)$ is completely monotone, then, in view of Bernstein's theorem (Widder, 1946), for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ it is the Laplace transform of a positive Radon measure m_x :

$$\tilde{u}(\cdot, x) = \int_{[0, \infty[} e^{-ps} m_x(ds) \quad (8)$$

The Radon measure m_x is uniquely determined by $\tilde{u}(\cdot, x)$, hence $m_x(dt) = u(t, x) dt$ is a positive Radon measure. Hence, in view of continuity of $u(\cdot, x)$, we have the inequality $u(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. \square

The problem of proving that $u(t, x)$ is non-negative is thus reduced to proving that $\tilde{u}(\cdot, x)$ is completely monotone. The crucial step here is the realization that Q in (5) is a complete Bernstein function. We shall therefore recall some facts about Bernstein and complete Bernstein functions and their relations to completely monotone functions.

Definition 3.2 *A function f on \mathbb{R}_+ is said to be completely monotone (CM) if it is infinitely differentiable and satisfies the infinite set of inequalities:*

$$(-1)^n D^n f(y) \geq 0 \quad y > 0, \quad \text{for all non-negative integer } n$$

It follows from the definition and the Leibniz formula that the product of two CM functions is CM. A CM function can have a singularity at 0.

Definition 3.3 *A function f on \mathbb{R}_+ is said to be locally integrable completely monotone (LICM) if it is CM and integrable over the segment $]0, 1]$.*

Definition 3.4 A function f on \mathbb{R}_+ is said to be a Bernstein function (BF) if it is non-negative, differentiable and its derivative is a CM function.

Since a BF is non-negative and non-decreasing, it has a finite limit at 0. It can therefore be extended to a function on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$.

Every CM function f is the Laplace transform of a positive Radon measure:

Theorem 3.5 (Bernstein's theorem, Widder (1946))

$$f(t) = \int_{[0, \infty[} e^{-rt} \mu(dr) \quad (9)$$

It is easy to show that f is a LICM if the Radon measure μ satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} \frac{\mu(dr)}{1+r} < \infty \quad (10)$$

Theorem 3.6 (Jacob, 2001; Seredyńska & Hanyga, 2009) If f, g are CM then the pointwise product $f g$ is CM.

Let f be a Bernstein function. Since the derivative Df of f is LICM, Bernstein's theorem can be applied. Upon integration the following integral representation of a general Bernstein function f is obtained:

$$f(y) = a + b y + \int_{]0, \infty[} [1 - e^{-r y}] \nu(dr) \quad (11)$$

where $a, b = Df(0) \geq 0$, and $\nu(dr) := \mu(dr)/r$ is a positive Radon measure on \mathbb{R}_+ satisfying the inequality

$$\int_{]0, \infty[} \frac{r \nu(dr)}{1+r} < \infty \quad (12)$$

The constants a, b and the Radon measure ν are uniquely determined by the function f .

Theorem 3.7 (Berg & Forst, 1975; Jacob, 2001) If f is a CM function, g is a BF and $g(y) > 0$ for $y > 0$ then the composition $f \circ g$ is a CM.

Corollary 3.8 (Berg & Forst, 1975; Jacob, 2001) If g is a non-zero BF then $1/g$ is a CM function.

Note that the function $f(y) := \exp(-y)$ is CM but $1/f$ is not a BF.

Definition 3.9 A function f is said to be a complete Bernstein function (CBF) if there is a Bernstein function g such that $f(y) = y^2 \tilde{g}(y)$.

Theorem 3.10 (Jacob, 2001) *A function f is a CBF if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:*

1. f admits an analytic continuation $f(z)$ to the upper complex half-plane; $f(z)$ is holomorphic and satisfies the inequality $\text{Im } f(z) \geq 0$ for $\text{Im } z > 0$;
2. $f(y) \geq 0$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

The derivative Dg of the Bernstein function g is a LICM function h . Hence we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.11 *Every CBF f can be expressed in the form*

$$f(y) = y \tilde{h}(y) + a y \quad (13)$$

where h is LICM and $a = g(0) \geq 0$. Conversely, for every LICM function h and $a \geq 0$ the function f given by (13) is a CBF.

Proof. For the first part, let g be the BF in Definition 3.9 and let $h := Dg$. Since $\int_0^1 h(x) dx = g(1) - g(0) < \infty$, the function h is LICM. For the second part, note that if h is LICM, then $g(y) = a + \int_0^y h(s) ds$ is a BF and $f(y) = y^2 \tilde{g}(y)$. \square

Since the Laplace transform of a LICM function h has the form

$$\tilde{h}(y) = \int_{]0, \infty[} \frac{\mu(dr)}{r + y} \quad (14)$$

where μ is the Radon measure associated with h , every CBF function f has the following integral representation

$$f(y) = b + a y + y \int_{]0, \infty[} \frac{\mu(dr)}{r + y} \quad (15)$$

with arbitrary $a, b = \mu(\{0\}) \geq 0$ and an arbitrary positive Radon measure μ satisfying eq. (10). The constants a, b and the Radon measure μ are uniquely determined by the function f .

Noting that $y/(y+r) = r[1/r - 1/(y+r)]$, we can also express the CBF f in the following form

$$f(y) = b + a y + \int_0^\infty [1 - e^{-zy}] h(z) dz$$

where $h(z) := \int_{]0, \infty[} e^{-rz} m(dr) \geq 0$ and $m(dr) := r \mu(dr)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{]0, \infty[} \frac{m(dr)}{r(r+1)} < \infty$$

Let $\nu(dz) := h(z) dz$. We have

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} \frac{z \nu(dz)}{1+z} = \int_0^\infty \frac{z h(z) dz}{1+z} = \int_{[0, \infty[} m(dr) [1/r - e^r \Gamma(0, r)]$$

Using the asymptotic properties of the incomplete Gamma function (Abramowitz & Stegun, 1970) it is possible to prove that the right-hand side is finite, hence the Radon measure $\nu(dz) := h(z) dz$ satisfies inequality (12). We have thus proved an important theorem:

Theorem 3.12 *Every CBF is a BF.*

However $1 - \exp(-y)$ is a BF but not a CBF.

The simplest example of a CBF is

$$\varphi_a(y) := y/(y+a) \equiv y^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-sy} [1 - e^{-sa}] ds$$

$a \geq 0$. It follows from eq. (15) that every CBF f which satisfies the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(y)/y = 0$ is an integral superposition of the functions φ_a . The CBF φ_a corresponds to a Debye element defined by the relaxation function $G_a(t) = \exp(-at)$.

We shall need the following properties of CBFs:

Theorem 3.13 *(Jacob, 2001; Sreedyńska & Hanyga, 2009)*

1. f is a CBF if and only if $y/f(y)$ is a CBF;
2. if f, g are CBFs, then $f \circ g$ is a CBF.

The second statement follows easily from Theorem 3.10.

Remark. 3.14 y^α is a CBF if $0 < \alpha < 1$, because

$$\begin{aligned} y^{\alpha-1} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty e^{-ys} s^{-\alpha} ds = \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty dz \int_0^\infty e^{-ys} e^{-zs} ds z^{\alpha-1} = \\ &= \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{y+z} dz \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$y^\alpha = \frac{y \sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{y+z} dz$$

The sets of LICM functions and CBFs will be denoted by \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{C} respectively.

4 Positivity of one- and three-dimensional solutions.

Applying the results of the previous section, we get the following result:

Theorem 4.1 *If $a \geq 0$ and the relaxation modulus G is CM then the function Q defined by eq. (5) is a CBF.*

The mapping $(a, G) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow Q \in \mathfrak{C}$ defined by eq. (5) is bijective.

A one-dimensional solution of eq.(4) is given by

$$\tilde{u}_1(p, x) = U_1(p, |x|) := A(p) \exp(-B(p) |x|)$$

with $B(p) = \rho^{1/2} p/Q(p)^{1/2}$ and $A(p) = g(p)/[2B(p)]$ If $Q \in \mathfrak{C}$, then $Q(y)^{1/2}$ is a composition of two CBFs, namely $y^{1/2}$ (Remark 3.14) and Q , hence it is a CBF by Theorem 3.13. The function $B(p)$ is a CBF by Theorem 3.13 and $1/B(p)$ is a CM function by Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.8.

The amplitude of the solution of Problem I is given by $A(p) = 1/[2p B(p)] + \dot{u}_0/[2 B(p)]$. The first term is a CM function because it is the product of two CM functions. The second term is also CM, hence $A(p)$ is CM. The amplitude of the solution of Problem II $A(p) = 1/[2 B(p)]$ is also CM.

For every fixed x the function $\exp(-B(p) |x|)$ is the composition of a CBF and the function B , which is a CBF and therefore a BF. By Theorem 3.7 the function $\exp(-B(\cdot) |x|)$ is CM. This proves that for $d = 1$ the solutions of Problem II and Problem I with $u_0 = 0$ are non-negative.

In a three-dimensional space the solution \tilde{u}_3 of (4) is given by the equation

$$\tilde{u}_3(p, x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi r} \frac{\partial U_1(p, r)}{\partial r}$$

where $r = |x|$, so that

$$\tilde{u}_3(p, x) = \frac{1}{4\pi r} A(p) B(p) \exp(-B(p) |x|) \quad (16)$$

But $A(p) B(p) = g(p)/2$. If $u_0 = 0$ then g is CM. Hence $\tilde{u}_3(\cdot, x)$ is the product of two CM functions and thus CM.

5 Positivity of solutions in arbitrary dimension.

In an arbitrary dimension d

$$\tilde{u}_d(p, x) = \frac{g(p)}{(2\pi)^d Q(p)} \int e^{i(\mathbf{k}, x)} \frac{1}{\rho p^2/Q(p) + |\mathbf{k}|^2} d_d k \quad (17)$$

The above formula can be expressed in terms of MacDonalld functions by using eq. (3) in Sec. 3.2.8 of Gel'fand & Shilov (1964):

$$\tilde{u}_d(p, x) = \frac{\rho^{d/4-1/2} g(p) p^{d/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{d/2} Q(p)^{d/4+1/2}} r^{-(d/2-1)} K_{d/2-1}(B(p) r) \quad (18)$$

where $B(p)$ is defined in the preceding section.

The MacDonald function is given by the integral representation

$$K_\mu(z) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-z \cosh(s)) \cosh(\mu s) ds \quad (19)$$

Since $\cosh(y)$ is a positive increasing function, it follows immediately that $K_\mu(z)$ is a CM function.

We shall need a stronger theorem on complete monotonicity of MacDonald functions.

Theorem 5.1 (*Miller & Samko, 2001*).

*The function $z^{1/2} K_\mu(z)$ is CM for $\mu \geq 1/2$.*¹

The proof of this theorem requires a lemma.

Lemma 5.2 *If $\alpha \geq 0$ then the function $(1 + 1/x)^\alpha$ is CM.*

Proof. We begin with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Setting $t = 1/(xy)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{x^\alpha} \int_1^\infty \frac{dy}{y^{1+\alpha} (xy+1)^{1-\alpha}} &= \alpha \int_0^{1/x} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(1/t+1)^{1-\alpha}} dt = \\ &= \alpha \int_1^{1+1/x} u^{\alpha-1} du = \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Since for each fixed value of $y > 0$ the function $(xy+1)^{\alpha-1}$ is CM, the function $(1 + 1/x)^\alpha$ ($x > 0$) is also CM.

The function $1 + 1/x$ is CM, hence for every positive integer n the function $(1 + 1/x)^n$ is CM. We can now decompose any positive non-integer α into the sum $\alpha = n + \beta$, where n is a positive integer and $0 < \beta < 1$. Consequently

$$(1 + 1/x)^\alpha \equiv (1 + 1/x)^n (1 + 1/x)^\beta$$

is CM because it is a product of two CM functions. □

Proof of the theorem For $\mu > -1/2$ the MacDonald function has the following integral representation:

$$z^{1/2} K_\mu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2 - \mu)} e^{-z} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{\mu-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{s}{2z}\right)^{\mu-1/2} ds, \quad z > 0 \quad (20)$$

(Gradshteyn & Ryzhik (1994), 8:432:8). By Lemma 5.2 the integrand of the integral on the right-hand side is CM if $\mu \geq 1/2$. Hence the integral is the limit of sums of CM functions, therefore itself a CM function. Consequently, the function $z^{1/2} K_\mu(z)$ is the product of two CM functions, and thus it is CM

¹The theorem is valid for $\mu \geq 0$, see Miller & Samko (2001), but we do not need this fact.

too. □

We now note that $\tilde{u}(p, x) = p^{(d-3)/2} g(p) F(p)$. We shall prove that $F(p)$ is the product of two CM functions of the argument p , viz. $Q(p)^{-(d+1)/4}$ and $L(z) := z^{1/2} K_{d/2-1}(z)$ with $z := B(p)r$, as well as a positive factor independent of p .

Lemma 5.3 *If Q is a CBF and $\alpha > 0$, then $Q(p)^{-\alpha}$ is CM.*

Proof. Let n be the integer part of α , $\alpha = n + \beta$, $0 \geq \beta < 1$. $Q(p)^{-1}$ is CM (by Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.8) and therefore also $Q(p)^{-n}$ is CM. By Theorem 3.13 the function $Q(p)^\beta$ is a CBF, hence $1/Q(p)^\beta$ is CM. Consequently $Q(p)^{-\alpha}$ is CM. □

The lemma implies that the factor $Q(p)^{-(d+1)/4}$ is CM. Since the function L is CM and we have already proved that $B(p)$ is BF, Theorem 3.7 implies that $L(B(p)r)$ is a CM function of p . For $d \leq 3$ the factor $p^{(d-3)/2}$ is also CM. Consequently, for $d \leq 3$ the solution $u(t, x)$ of Problem II is non-negative. The solution of the same problem with an arbitrary source of the form $s(t) \delta(x)$ and $s(t) \geq 0$ can be obtained by a convolution of two non-negative functions and therefore is also non-negative.

For $d \leq 5$ Problem I with $u_0 = \dot{u}_0 = 0$ has a non-negative solution if $c_1 > 0$. For $d \leq 7$ Problem I has a non-negative solution if $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 > 0$.

For $d > 3$ the fractional integral

$$I^\alpha u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad t > 0$$

is non-negative provided $\alpha \geq (d-3)/2$ and $u_0 = 0$ or provided $\alpha \geq (d-1)/2$.

We summarize these results in a theorem.

Theorem 5.4 *In a viscoelastic medium of dimension $d \leq 3$ with a constitutive relation*

$$\sigma = a \dot{\epsilon} + G(t) * \dot{\epsilon}, \quad a \geq 0; \quad G \in \mathfrak{F}$$

Problem II as well as Problem I with the initial condition $u_0 = 0$ have non-negative solutions.

Under the same assumptions but for an arbitrary dimension $d > 3$ certain indefinite fractional time integrals of the solution are non-negative. For zero initial data Problem I has a non-negative solution if $d \leq 5$ and $c_1 > 0$, or if $d \leq 7$, $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 > 0$.

6 Positivity properties of vector-valued fields.

It is interesting to examine the implications of CM relaxation kernels on positivity properties of vector fields. We shall prove that in a simple model complete monotonicity of a relaxation kernel implies that the Green's function is positive semi-definite.

Unfortunately the tools developed in Sec. 3 fail for matrix-valued CM and Bernstein functions $Q(p)$ which do not commute with their derivatives. In particular, the product of two non-commuting matrix-valued functions need not be a CM function and the function $f \circ \mathbf{G}$, where f is CM and \mathbf{G} is a matrix-valued BF, need not be CM.

Definition 6.1 A matrix-valued function $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be a CM function if it is infinitely differentiable and the matrices $(-1)^n \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{F}(y)$ are positive semi-definite for all $y > 0$.

Definition 6.2 A matrix-valued Radon measure \mathbf{M} is said to be positive if the matrix $\langle \mathbf{v}, \int_{]0, \infty[} f(y) \mathbf{M}(dy) \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$ for every vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every non-negative function f on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with compact support.

It is convenient to eliminate matrix-valued Radon measures by applying the following lemma (Hanyga & Seredyńska, 2007):

Lemma 6.3 Every matrix-valued Radon measure \mathbf{M} has the form $\mathbf{M}(dx) = \mathbf{K}(x) m(dx)$, where m is a positive Radon measure, while \mathbf{K} is a matrix-valued function defined, bounded and positive semi-definite on \mathbb{R}_+ except on a subset E such that $m(E) = 0$.

Theorem 6.4 (Gripenberg et al., 1990) A matrix-valued function $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is CM if and only if it is the Laplace transform of a positive matrix-valued Radon measure.

The following corollary will be applied to Green's functions:

Corollary 6.5 If $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(p) := \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} \mathbf{R}(t) dt$ is a matrix-valued CM function then $\mathbf{R}(t)$ is positive semi-definite for $t > 0$.

Definition 6.6 A matrix-valued function $\mathbf{G} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be a Bernstein function (BF) if $\mathbf{G}(y)$ is differentiable and positive semi-definite for all $y > 0$ and its derivative $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{G}$ is CM.

Definition 6.7 A matrix-valued function $\mathbf{H} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be a complete Bernstein function (CBF) if $\mathbf{H}(y) = y^2 \mathbf{G}(y)$, where \mathbf{G} is an $n \times n$ matrix-valued BF.

The integral representation (15) of a CBF remains valid except that the Radon measure has to be replaced by a positive matrix-valued Radon measure $\mathbf{N}(dr) = \mathbf{K}(r) \nu(dr)$:

$$\mathbf{H}(y) = \mathbf{B} + y \mathbf{A} + y \int_{]0, \infty[} \frac{\mathbf{K}(r) \nu(dr)}{r + y} \quad (21)$$

where the Radon measure ν satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} \frac{\nu(dr)}{1+r} < \infty \quad (22)$$

the matrix-valued function $\mathbf{K}(r)$ is positive semi-definite and bounded ν -almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}_+ while \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} are two positive semi-definite matrices. Every matrix-valued CBF \mathbf{H} can be expressed in the form

$$\mathbf{H}(y) = y \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(y) + y \mathbf{A} \quad (23)$$

where \mathbf{F} is a matrix-valued LICM function.

We now consider the following problem

$$\rho \mathbf{D}^2 \mathcal{G} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D} \mathcal{G} + \mathbf{G} * \nabla^2 \mathbf{D} \mathcal{G} + \delta(t) \delta(x) \mathbf{I}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (24)$$

where \mathbf{A} is a positive semi-definite $n \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{G} is an $n \times n$ matrix-valued relaxation modulus.

If the relaxation modulus \mathbf{G} is a CM matrix-valued function then the function $\mathbf{Q}(p) := p \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(p)$ is a matrix-valued CBF. The function \mathbf{Q} is real and positive semi-definite, hence it is symmetric and has n eigenvalues $q_n(p)$ and n eigenvectors \mathbf{e}_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$. We shall now assume that the eigenvectors are constant:

$$\mathbf{Q}(p) = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k(p) \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_k$$

It is easy to see that the functions q_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, are CBFs.

The Laplace transform $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(p, x)$ of the Green function is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(p, x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, x \rangle} [p^2 \mathbf{I} + |\mathbf{k}|^2 \mathbf{Q}(p)]^{-1} d_d \mathbf{k} \equiv \\ &\quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, x \rangle} [p^2 + |\mathbf{k}|^2 q_k(p)]^{-1} \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \equiv \\ &\quad \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(p) \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_k(p) := \frac{\rho^{d/4-1/2} p^{d/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{d/2} q_k(p)^{d/4+1/2}} r^{-(d/2-1)} K_{d/2-1}(B_k(p) r)$$

and $B_k(p) := \rho^{1/2} p/q_k(p)^{1/2}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Assume for definiteness that $d \leq 3$. The argument of Sec. 5 now leads to the conclusion that the functions g_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, are CM, hence the function $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\cdot, x)$ is a matrix-valued CM function and therefore the Green function $\mathcal{G}(t, x)$ is positive semi-definite for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 6.8 Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $d \leq 3$, $\mathbf{s}(t, x) = \delta(t) \delta(x) \mathbf{w}$, where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If $\mathbf{G}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n G_k(s) \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_k$ and $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_k$ with CM functions G_k and real numbers $a_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, then the solution \mathbf{u} of the problem

$$\rho D^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{A} D \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{G} * \nabla^2 D \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{s}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(t, x), \mathbf{w} \rangle \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (25)$$

7 Positivity in isotropic viscoelasticity.

Consider now the Green's function \mathcal{G} of a 3D isotropic viscoelastic medium. The function \mathcal{G} is the solution of the initial-value problem:

$$\rho D^2 \mathcal{G}_{kr}(t, x) = G(t)_{klmn} * D \mathcal{G}_{mr, nl} + \delta(t) \delta(x) \delta_{kr}, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad k, r = 1, 2, 3 \quad (26)$$

with zero initial conditions, and

$$G_{klmn}(t) = \lambda(t) \delta_{kl} \delta_{mn} + \mu(t) \delta_{km} \delta_{ln} + \mu(t) \delta_{kn} \delta_{lm} \quad (27)$$

where the kernels $\lambda(t), \mu(t)$ are CM and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The function G with the components G_{klmn} takes values in the linear space \mathfrak{S} of symmetric operators on the space S of symmetric 3×3 matrices. It is easy to see that under our hypotheses this function is CM:

$$(-1)^n \langle \mathbf{e}_1, G(t) \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

for every $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in S$, where $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle := v_{kl} w_{kl}$ is the inner product on S .

The Laplace transform $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} is given by the formula

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}(p, x) = \frac{1}{p\rho} \left\{ \nabla \otimes \nabla \Delta^{-1} F_L(p, |x|) + [\mathbf{I} - \nabla \otimes \nabla \Delta^{-1}] F_T(p, |x|) \right\}$$

where $\Delta := \nabla^2$,

$$F_L(p, r) := \frac{s_L(p)^2}{4\pi r} e^{-p^{1/2} s_L(p) r} \quad (28)$$

$$F_T(p, r) := \frac{s_T(p)^2}{4\pi r} e^{-p^{1/2} s_T(p) r} \quad (29)$$

and

$$s_L(p)^2 := \frac{\rho}{\lambda(p) + 2\mu(p)} \quad (30)$$

$$s_T(p)^2 := \frac{\rho}{\mu(p)} \quad (31)$$

Since $q_L(p) = p/s_L(p)$ and $q_T(p) = p/s_T(p)$ are CBFs, the functions $p/q_L(p)^{1/2} = p^{1/2} s_L(p)$ and $p/q_T(p)^{1/2} = p^{1/2} s_T(p)$ are BFs. Hence the exponentials in eqs (28–29) are CM functions of p . Moreover the functions $s_L(p)^2$ and $s_T(p)^2$ are CM. It follows that the functions $F_L(p, r)$ and $F_T(p, r)$ are CM and therefore they are Laplace transforms of non-negative functions $F_L(t, r)$ and $F_T(t, r)$. Their indefinite integrals $f_L(t, r) := \int_0^t F_L(s, r) ds$ and $f_T(t, r) := \int_0^t F_T(s, r) ds$ are also non-negative. The functions $h_L(t, r) := \Delta^{-1} f_L(t, r)$, $h_T(t, r) = \Delta^{-1} f_T(t, r)$ involve a convolution with a non-negative kernel and therefore are non-negative. The Green's function can be expressed in terms of these functions:

$$\mathcal{G}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\rho} \{ \nabla \otimes \nabla h_L(t, |x|) + [\Delta \mathbf{I} - \nabla \otimes \nabla] h_T(t, |x|) \} \quad (32)$$

We shall use the notation $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$ if $v_k \geq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 7.1 *Let $\mathbf{u} = \nabla\phi + \nabla \times \psi$ be the solution of the initial-value problem*

$$\rho D^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{G} * \nabla^2 D \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{s}(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (33)$$

with $\mathbf{u}(0, x) = 0 = D\mathbf{u}(0, x)$ and $\mathbf{s}(t, x) = \nabla f(t, x) + \nabla \times \mathbf{g}(t, x)$.

Then $\nabla f(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ implies that $\nabla\phi(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Similarly, $\nabla \times \mathbf{g}(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ implies that $\nabla \times \psi(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. Substitute $\mathbf{u} = \nabla\phi + \nabla \times \psi$, $\mathbf{s} = \nabla f + \nabla \times \mathbf{g}$ in the formula

$$\mathbf{u}(t, x) = \int_0^t \int \mathcal{G}(t-s, x-y) \mathbf{s}(s, y) d_3x ds$$

where \mathcal{G} is given by (32). Noting that Δ^{-1} is a convolution operator commuting with ∇ and $\nabla \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{s} = \nabla f$ we have

$$\nabla\phi(t, x) = \frac{1}{\rho} \int f_L(t-s, |x-y|) (\nabla f)(s, y) d_3y$$

We now note that $[\mathbf{I} - \Delta^{-1} \nabla \otimes \nabla] \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} - \nabla f = \nabla \times \mathbf{g}$. Hence

$$\nabla \times \psi(t, x) = \frac{1}{\rho} \int f_T(t-s, |x-y|) \nabla \times \mathbf{g}(s, y) d_3y$$

The functions f_L and f_T are non-negative, hence the thesis follows. □

8 Concluding remarks.

A non-negative source term excites a non-negative viscoelastic pulse. This result holds for scalar waves and for scalar potentials under the usual assumption that

the stress response is determined by a CM relaxation modulus G or by a Newtonian term or both connected in parallel. The CM property of the relaxation modulus is a fairly general property of real viscoelastic media, equivalent to the assumption that the relaxation spectrum is non-negative. A generalization of positivity for vector-valued viscoelastic fields in viscoelastic media with the P class anisotropy (Hanyga, 2003) is sketched.

A particular example of a CBF is the rational function $F(p) = R_N(p)/S_M(p)$, where R_N and S_M are two polynomials with simple negative roots λ_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$, μ_l , $l = 1, \dots, M$, $M = N$ or $N + 1$ satisfying the intertwining conditions:

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 < \mu_1 < \dots < \mu_N [< \lambda_{N+1}]$$

(the last inequality is applicable only if $M = N + 1$) (Duff, 1969). A more general CBF is obtained by substituting in F the CBF p^α , with $0 < \alpha < 1$:

$$F_\alpha(p) = R_N(p^\alpha)/S_M(p^\alpha)$$

(Theorem 3.13). The choice of $Q = F_\alpha$ corresponds to a generalized Cole-Cole model of relaxation. For $N = M = 1$ the original Cole-Cole model (Cole & Cole, 1941; Bagley & Torvik, 1983) is recovered.

Anisotropic effects can be introduced by replacing the operator ∇^2 by $g^{kl} \partial_k \partial_l$. If $h_{kl} g^{lm} = \delta_m^k$ then

$$\tilde{u}_d(p, x) = \sqrt{\det g} \frac{\rho^{d/4-1/2} g(p) p^{d/2-1}}{(2\pi)^{d/2} Q(p)^{d/4+1/2}} r^{-(d/2-1)} K_{d/2-1} \left(\rho^{1/2} p r / Q(p)^{1/2} \right) \quad (34)$$

If Q is a CBF then $u(t, x) \geq 0$. with $r := [h_{kl} x^k x^l]^{1/2}$, cf Gel'fand & Shilov (1964).

References

- Abramowitz, M. & Stegun, I., 1970. *Mathematical Tables*. New York: Dover.
- Bagley, R. L. & Torvik, P. J., 1983. A theoretical basis for the application of fractional calculus to viscoelasticity. *J. of Rheology* **27**, 201–210.
- Berg, C. & Forst, G., 1975. *Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Cole, K. S. & Cole, R. H., 1941. Dispersion and absorption in dielectrics, I: Alternating current characteristics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **9**, 341–351.
- Duff, G. F. D., 1969. Positive elementary solutions and completely monotonic functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **27**, 469–494.
- Gel'fand, I. M. & Shilov, G. E., 1964. *Generalized Functions*, vol. I. New York: Academic Press.

- Gradshteyn, I. S. & Ryzhik, I. M., 1994. *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*. New York: Academic Press. 5th ed.
- Gripenberg, G., Londen, S. O., & Staffans, O. J., 1990. *Volterra Integral and Functional Equations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hanyga, A., 2003. An anisotropic Cole-Cole viscoelastic model of seismic attenuation. *J. Comput. Acoustics*. **11**, 75–90.
- Hanyga, A. & Seredyńska, M., 2007. Relations between relaxation modulus and creep compliance in anisotropic linear viscoelasticity. *J. Elasticity* **88**, 41–61 DOI 10.1007/s10659-007-9112-6.
- Jacob, N., 2001. *Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes*, vol. I. London: Imperial College Press.
- Miller, K. S. & Samko, S. G., 2001. Completely monotonic functions. *Integr. Transf. and Spec. Fun.* **12**, 389–402.
- Seredyńska, M. & Hanyga, A., 2009. Cones of material response functions in 1d and anisotropic linear viscoelasticity. *arXiv:0906.1983v1 [cond-mat]* .
- Widder, D. V., 1946. *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.