

On the circumference, connectivity and dominating cycles

Zh.G. Nikoghosyan*

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems
National Academy of Sciences
P.Sevak 1, Yerevan 0014, Armenia
E-mail: zhora@ipia.sci.am

November 21, 2018

Abstract

Every 4-connected graph with minimum degree δ and connectivity κ either has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

Keywords: Circumference, dominating cycle, connectivity, Dirac-type result, endfragment.

1 Introduction

Long and large cycles (paths) are the main general research objects in hamiltonian graph theory basing on two different initial conceptions: Hamilton and dominating cycles (paths). A cycle C of a graph G is called a Hamilton cycle if it contains every vertex of G . A cycle C is called a dominating cycle if $V(G - C)$ is an independent set.

In 1952, Dirac [2] obtained the first sufficient condition for a graph to be hamiltonian by showing that every graph G of order n and minimum degree δ at least $n/2$, is hamiltonian. Although this bound $n/2$ is tight in Dirac's theorem, it was essentially lowered in several ways by direct incorporation of some additional graph invariants (namely connectivity κ and independence number α) due to the author [6, 7], Nash-Williams [5] and the author [8]. The latter recently was improved by Yamashita [15]. The evolution of these developments can be demonstrated by the following order.

Theorem A [2]. Every graph with $\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}n$ is hamiltonian.

*G.G. Nicoghossian (up to 1997)

Theorem B [6, 7]. Every 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{1}{3}(n + \kappa)$ is hamiltonian.

Theorem C [5]. Every 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \max\{\frac{1}{3}(n + 2), \alpha\}$ is hamiltonian.

Theorem D [8]. Every 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq \max\{\frac{1}{4}(n + 2\kappa), \alpha\}$ is hamiltonian.

Theorem E [15]. Every 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq \max\{\frac{1}{4}(n + \kappa + 3), \alpha\}$ is hamiltonian.

A short proof of Theorem B was given in [3] due to Häggkvist. Theorems A-D have their reverse versions due to Dirac [2], the author [7], Voss and Zuluaga [14] and the author [9], respectively, concerning the circumference and Hamilton cycles.

Theorem F [2]. Every 2-connected graph has a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 2\delta\}$.

Theorem G [7]. Every 3-connected graph has a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 3\delta - \kappa\}$.

Theorem H [14]. Every 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq \alpha$ has a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 3\delta - 3\}$.

Theorem I [9, 11]. Every 4-connected graph with $\delta \geq \alpha$ has a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 4\delta - 2\kappa\}$.

Theorem I was firstly announced in 1985 [9]. The detailed proof of this theorem recently was given in [11]. In view of Theorem E, the following reverse version is reasonable.

Conjecture 1. Every 4-connected graph with $\delta \geq \alpha$ has a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 4\delta - \kappa - 4\}$.

It is natural to look for analogous results for dominating cycles. Observe that Theorems C, D, E, H and I can not have dominating versions due to the condition $\delta \geq \alpha$. In 1971, Nash-Williams [5] obtained the first Dirac-type sufficient condition for dominating cycles, i.e. the dominating version of Theorem A. The dominating version of Theorem B is due to Sun, Tian and Wei [12], which recently was improved by Yamashita [15].

Theorem J [5]. Every 2-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{1}{3}(n + 2)$ has a dominating cycle.

Theorem K [12]. Every 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{1}{4}(n + 2\kappa)$ has a dominating cycle.

Theorem L [15]. Every 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq \frac{1}{4}(n + \kappa + 3)$ has a dominating cycle.

The reverse version of Theorem J is due to Voss and Zuluaga [14].

Theorem M [14]. Every 3-connected graph either has a cycle of length at least $3\delta - 3$ or has a dominating cycle.

In this paper we prove the reverse version of Theorem K, recently conjectured in [10].

Theorem 1. Every 4-connected graph either has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

The limit example $4K_2 + K_3$ shows that the 4-connectivity condition in Theorem 1 can not be replaced by 3-connectedness. Further, the limit example $5K_2 + K_4$ shows that the conclusion "has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ " in Theorem 1 can not be replaced by "has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa + 1$ ". Finally, the limit example $H(1, n - 2\delta, \delta, \kappa)$ shows that the conclusion "has a dominating cycle" can not be replaced by "has a Hamilton cycle", where $H(1, n - 2\delta, \delta, \kappa)$ is defined as follows. Given four integers a, b, t, κ with $\kappa \leq t$, we denote by $H(a, b, t, \kappa)$ the graph obtained from $tK_a + \bar{K}_t$ by taking any κ vertices in subgraph \bar{K}_t and joining each of them to all vertices of K_b .

In view of Theorem E and Conjecture 1, the following reverse version of Theorem L is reasonable.

Conjecture 2. Every 4-connected graph either has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - \kappa - 4$ or has a dominating cycle.

Let G be a graph. For $X \subset V(G)$, we denote by $N(X)$ the set of all vertices of $G - X$ having neighbors in X . Furthermore, \hat{X} is defined as $V(G) - (X \cup N(X))$. Following Hamidoune [4], we define a subset X of $V(G)$ to be a fragment of G if $N(X)$ is a minimum cut-set and $\hat{X} \neq \emptyset$. If X is a fragment then \hat{X} is a fragment too and $\hat{\hat{X}} = X$. For convenience, we will use X^\uparrow and X^\downarrow to denote X and \hat{X} , respectively. Throughout the paper, we suppose w.l.o.g. that $|A^\uparrow| \geq |A^\downarrow|$. An endfragment is a fragment that contains no other fragments as a proper subset.

To prove Theorem 1, we present four more general Dirac-type results for dominating cycles centered around a lower bound $c \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$ under four alternative conditions in terms of endfragments.

Theorem 2. Let G be a 3-connected graph. If $|A^\uparrow| \leq 3\delta - \kappa - 4$ and $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 1$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G , then either G has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

Theorem 3. Let G be a 4-connected graph. If $|A^\uparrow| \leq 3\delta - \kappa - 4$ and $|A^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G , then either G has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

Theorem 4. Let G be a 4-connected graph. If $|A^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - \kappa - 3$ and $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 1$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G , then either G has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

Theorem 5. Let G be a 4-connected graph. If $|A^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - \kappa - 3$ and $|A^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G , then either G has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

2 Definitions and notations

By a graph we always mean a finite undirected graph G without loops or multiple edges. A good reference for any undefined terms is [1]. For H a subgraph of G we will denote the vertices of H by $V(H)$ and the edges of H by $E(H)$. For every $S \subset V(G)$ we use $G - S$ short for $\langle V(G) - S \rangle$, the subgraph of G induced by $V(G) - S$. In addition, for a subgraph H of G we use $G - H$ short for $G - V(H)$.

Let δ denote the minimum degree of vertices of G . The connectivity κ of G is the minimum number of vertices whose removal from G results in a disconnected or trivial graph. We say that G is s -connected if $\kappa \geq s$. A set S of vertices is independent if no two elements of S are adjacent in G . The cardinality of maximum set of independent vertices is called the independence number and denoted by α .

Paths and cycles in a graph G are considered as subgraphs of G . If Q is a path or a cycle of G , then the length of Q , denoted by $|Q|$, is $|E(Q)|$. Throughout this paper, the vertices and edges of G can be interpreted as cycles of lengths 1 and 2, respectively. A graph G is hamiltonian if it contains a Hamilton cycle. The length of a longest cycle (the circumference) will be denoted by c .

Let Q be a cycle of G with a fixed cyclic orientation. For $x \in V(Q)$, we denote the successor and predecessor of x on Q by x^+ and x^- , respectively. For $X \subseteq V(Q)$, we define $X^+ = \{x^+ | x \in X\}$ and $X^- = \{x^- | x \in X\}$. For two vertices x and y of Q , let $x \overrightarrow{Q} y$ denote the segment of Q from x to y in the chosen direction on Q and $x \overleftarrow{Q} y$ denote the segment in the reverse direction. We also use similar notation for a path P of G . We call $x \overrightarrow{Q} y$ an m -segment if $|x \overrightarrow{Q} y| \geq m$. For P a path of G , denote by $F(P)$ and $L(P)$ the first and the last vertices of P , respectively.

Let Q be a cycle of a graph G , $r \geq 2$ a positive integer and Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_p are subsets of $V(Q)$ with $p \geq 2$. A collection (Z_1, \dots, Z_p) is called a (Q, r) -scheme if $x \overrightarrow{Q} y$ is a 2-segment for each distinct $x, y \in Z_i$ (where $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$) and is an r -segment for each distinct $x \in Z_i$ and $y \in Z_j$ (where $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $i \neq j$).

A (Q, r) -scheme is nontrivial if (Z_1, \dots, Z_p) has a system of distinct representatives. The definition of (Q, r) -scheme was first introduced by Nash-Williams [5] for $p = 2$.

Definition A $\{Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow; V_1^\uparrow, \dots, V_m^\uparrow; V^\uparrow\}$. Let A^\uparrow be a fragment of G with respect to a minimum cut-set S . Define $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ as a collection of vertex disjoint paths in $\langle A^\uparrow \cup S \rangle$ with terminal vertices in S such that

(*1) $|V(Q_i^\uparrow)| \geq 2$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $\sum_{i=1}^m |V(Q_i^\uparrow)|$ is as great as possible.

Abbreviate $V_i^\uparrow = V(Q_i^\uparrow)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $V^\uparrow = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i^\uparrow$.

Definition B $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow; Q_*^\downarrow; V_1^\downarrow, \dots, V_m^\downarrow; V^\downarrow\}$. Let A^\downarrow be a fragment of G with respect to a minimum cut-set S and let $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ be as defined in Definition A.

If $|A^\downarrow| \geq 2(\delta - \kappa + 1)$, then we denote by $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ a collection of paths (if exist) in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup S \rangle$ with

(*2) combining $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ with $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ results in a simple cycle such that $\sum_{i=1}^m |V(Q_i^\downarrow)|$ is as great as possible.

Abbreviate $V_i^\downarrow = V(Q_i^\downarrow)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $V^\downarrow = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i^\downarrow$. For the special case $|V^\downarrow \cap S| = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$, we will use Q_*^\downarrow to denote a longest path in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup S \rangle$ such that combining Q_1^\uparrow and Q_*^\downarrow results in a simple cycle with $|V(Q_*^\downarrow) \cap S| \geq 3$.

For the reverse case $|A^\downarrow| \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 1$, we use the same notation $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ (if no ambiguity can arise) to denote a collection of paths in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup S \rangle$ with

(*3) combining $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ with $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ results in a simple cycle such that $|(\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i^\downarrow) \cap S|$ is as great as possible,

(*4) $|\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i^\downarrow|$ is as great as possible, subject to (*3).

In this case, V_i^\downarrow and V^\downarrow are as defined in the previous case.

Definition C. Throughout the paper we will use C to denote the cycle obtained by combining $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ and $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$. Assume w.l.o.g. that

(*5) $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ is chosen such that $|C|$ is as great as possible.

3 Preliminaries

In [5], Nash-Williams proved the following result concerning (Q, r) -schemes for a cycle Q and a pair (Z_1, Z_2) of subsets of $V(Q)$.

Lemma A [5]. Let Q be a cycle and (Z_1, Z_2) be a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme. Then

$$|Q| \geq \min \left\{ 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 2r - 6, \frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) \right\}.$$

By some modification of Lemma A, we obtain the following.

Lemma 1. Let Q be a cycle and (Z_1, Z_2) be a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme. Then $|Q| \geq |Z_1| + |Z_2| + |Z_1 \cup Z_2|$. Moreover,

- (a1) if $r = 4$, then $|Q| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|)$,
- (a2) if $r \geq 5$, then $|Q| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + r - 3$,
- (a3) if $|Z_2| = 1$, then $|Q| \geq 2|Z_1| + 2r - 4$,
- (a4) if $|Z_1| = |Z_2| = 1$, then $|Q| \geq 2r$,
- (a5) if $|Z_1| + |Z_2| \geq 4$ and $r \geq 4$, then $|Q| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 2r - 8$.

For (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) -schemes we need a result proved in [11, Lemma 1].

Lemma B [11]. Let Q be a cycle and (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) be a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme with $|Z_3| = 1$. Then

$$|Q| \geq \min\{2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 3r - 10, \frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|)\}.$$

For the special cases $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 2, 3$) and $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), we need the following result.

Lemma 2. Let Q be a cycle and (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) be a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme.

- (b1) If $r \geq 4$, $|Z_1| + |Z_2| \geq 6$ and $|Z_3| = 1$, then $|Q| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 3r - 12$.
- (b2) If $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 2, 3$), then $|Q| \geq 2|Z_1| + 3r - 6$.
- (b3) If $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), then $|Q| \geq 3r$.

For (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) -schemes we need the next result proved in [11, Lemma 2].

Lemma C [11]. Let Q be a cycle and (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) be a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme with $|Z_3| = |Z_4| = 1$. Then

$$|Q| \geq \min\{2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 4r - 14, \frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|)\}.$$

For the special cases $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$) and $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), we prove the following.

Lemma 3. Let Q be a cycle and (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) be a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme.

- (c1) If $r \geq 4$, $|Z_1| + |Z_2| \geq 8$ and $|Z_3| = |Z_4| = 1$, then $|Q| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 4r - 16$.
- (c2) If $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$), then $|Q| \geq 2|Z_1| + 4r - 8$.
- (c3) If $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), then $|Q| \geq 4r$.

We will show that the main conclusion of Theorem 1 easily follows when $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$.

Lemma 4. Every 3-connected graph with $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$ either has a cycle of length at least $4\delta - 2\kappa$ or has a dominating cycle.

Our constructions of dominating cycles are based on a combination of $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ and $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ (see Definitions A-B). For the case $\delta > 3\kappa/3 - 1$, the existence

of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from the next lemma proved in [11, Lemma 10].

Lemma D [11]. Let G be a 2-connected graph, A^\downarrow be an endfragment of G with respect to a minimum cut-set S and let L be a set of independent edges in $\langle S \rangle$. If $\delta > 3\kappa/2 - 1$, then $\langle A^\downarrow \cup V(L) \rangle$ contains a cycle that uses all the edges in L .

We need also the following result that occurs in [13].

Lemma E [13]. Let G be a hamiltonian graph with $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\} \subseteq V(G)$ and $d(v_i) \geq r$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). Then any two vertices of G are connected by a path of length at least r .

To prove Theorems 2-5, we need a number of lemmas on total lengths of $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ and $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ by covering some alternative conditions. In particular, the alternative conditions $|A^\uparrow| \leq 3\delta - \kappa - 4$ and $|A^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - \kappa - 3$ are covered by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5. Let G be a 3-connected graph and $|A^\uparrow| \leq 3\delta - \kappa - 4$ for a fragment A^\uparrow of G . Then $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is an independent set.

Lemma 6. Let G be a 4-connected graph and $|A^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - \kappa - 3$ for a fragment A^\uparrow of G . Then either $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is an independent set or $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$.

The next lemma insures $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$ when $|A^\downarrow| \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 1$.

Lemma 7. Let G be a 2-connected graph with $|A^\downarrow| \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 1$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G . If $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow\}$ exists, then $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$ and $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow) = \emptyset$.

The alternative conditions $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 1$ and $|A^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2$ are covered by the next two lemmas.

Lemma 8. Let G be a 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$ and let $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 1$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G with respect to a minimum cut-set S .

- (d1) If $f \geq 3$, then $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is an independent set, where $f = |V^\downarrow \cap S|$.
- (d2) If $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$, then $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is an independent set.
- (d3) If $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then either $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$ or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$.

Lemma 9. Let G be a 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$ and let $|A^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2$ for an endfragment A^\downarrow of G with respect to a minimum cut-set S .

- (e1) If $f \geq 3$, then either $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is an independent set or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$, where $f = |V^\downarrow \cap S|$.
- (e2) If $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$, then either $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is an independent set or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$.

(e3) If $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$.

Some extremal cases are considered in the following lemma.

Lemma 10. Let G be a 2-connected graph and A^\uparrow is a fragment of G .

- (f1) If $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is an independent non-empty set, then $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$.
- (f2) If $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is an independent non-empty set, then $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$.
- (f3) If $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is an independent non-empty set, then $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$.

For the special case $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa$, we need the following more simple result proved in [11, Lemma 14].

Lemma F [11]. Let G be a 3-connected graph with $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$ and let $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa$ for a fragment A^\downarrow of G . Then $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is an independent set.

4 Proofs of lemmas

Proof of Lemma 1. Let x_1, \dots, x_t be the elements of $Z_1 \cup Z_2$, occurring on \vec{Q} in a consecutive order. Consider the segments $I_i = x_i \vec{Q} x_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$), where $x_{t+1} = x_1$ and $t = |Z_1 \cup Z_2|$. Since (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial (Q, r) -scheme, a segment I_i is an r -segment if $x_i \in Z_1 \cap Z_2$ and is a 2-segment, otherwise. Hence,

$$|Q| \geq 3|Z_1 \cap Z_2| + 2(t - |Z_1 \cap Z_2|) = |Z_1| + |Z_2| + |Z_1 \cup Z_2|.$$

Case 1. $r = 4$.

By Lemma A, $|Q| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|)$.

Case 2. $r \geq 5$.

By the hypothesis, $(r - 4)(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) \geq 2$ which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + r - 3$$

and the result follows from Lemma A immediately.

Case 3. $|Z_2| = 1$.

Clearly at least two of segments I_1, \dots, I_t are r -segments. If $Z_2 \subseteq Z_1$, then $t = |Z_1|$ and $|C| \geq 2r + 2(t - 2) = 2|Z_1| + 2r - 4$. If $Z_2 \not\subseteq Z_1$, then $t = |Z_1| + 1$ and $|Q| \geq 2r + 2(t - 1) > 2|Z_1| + 2r - 4$.

Case 4. $|Z_1| = |Z_2| = 1$.

The result is trivial.

Case 5. $r \geq 4$ and $|Z_1| + |Z_2| \geq 4$.

By the hypothesis, $(r - 4)(|Z_1| + |Z_2| - 4) \geq 0$ which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 2r - 8$$

and the result follows from Lemma A, immediately. Δ

Proof of Lemma 2. Let x_1, \dots, x_t be the elements of $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3$, occurring on \vec{Q} in a consecutive order. Consider the segments $I_i = x_i \vec{Q} x_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$), where $x_{t+1} = x_1$ and $t = |Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3|$.

Case 1. $r \geq 4$, $|Z_1| + |Z_2| \geq 6$ and $|Z_3| = 1$.

By the hypothesis, $(r - 4)(|Z_1| + |Z_2| - 6) \geq 0$ which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 3r - 12$$

and the result follows from Lemma B, immediately.

Case 2. $|Z_2| = |Z_3| = 1$.

Clearly at least three of segments I_1, \dots, I_t are r -segments. If $Z_2 \cup Z_3 \subseteq Z_1$, then $t = |Z_1|$ and $|Q| \geq 3r + 2(t - 3) \geq 2|Z_1| + 3r - 6$. If $Z_2 \subseteq Z_1$ and $Z_3 \not\subseteq Z_1$ or $Z_3 \subseteq Z_1$ and $Z_2 \not\subseteq Z_1$, then $t = |Z_1| + 1$ and

$$|Q| \geq 3r + 2(t - 3) = 2|Z_1| + 3r - 4 > 2|Z_1| + 3r - 6.$$

Finally, if $Z_2 \not\subseteq Z_1$ and $Z_3 \not\subseteq Z_1$, then $t = |Z_1| + 2$ and

$$|Q| \geq 3r + 2(t - 3) = 2|Z_1| + 3r - 2 > 2|Z_1| + 3r - 6.$$

Case 3. $|Z_1| = |Z_2| = |Z_3| = 1$.

The result is trivial. Δ

Proof of Lemma 3. Let x_1, \dots, x_t be the elements of $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4$, occurring on \vec{Q} in a consecutive order. Consider the segments $I_i = x_i \vec{Q} x_{i+1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$), where $x_{t+1} = x_1$ and $t = |Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4|$.

Case 1. $r \geq 4$, $|Z_1| + |Z_2| \geq 8$ and $|Z_3| = |Z_4| = 1$.

By the hypothesis, $(r - 4)(|Z_1| + |Z_2| - 8) \geq 0$ which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}r(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) + 4r - 16$$

and the result follows from Lemma C, immediately.

Case 2. $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i=2,3,4$).

We can argue as in proof of Lemma 2 (Case 2).

Case 3. $|Z_i| = 1$ ($i=1,2,3,4$).

The result is trivial. Δ

Proof of Lemma 4. If G has a dominating cycle, then we are done. Otherwise, using Theorem M and the fact that $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$, we obtain $c \geq 3\delta - 3 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$. Δ

Proofs of Lemmas 5-6. Let V^\uparrow be as defined in Definition A and let P be a longest path in $\langle A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow \rangle$. If $|V(P)| \leq 1$, then clearly $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is an independent set. Let $|V(P)| \geq 2$. To prove Lemma 5, we can argue exactly as in [11, proof of Lemma 12]. To prove Lemma 6, we can argue exactly as in [11, proof of Lemma 13]. Δ

Proof of Lemma 7. Let A^\downarrow is defined with respect to a minimum cut-set S and let $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow, V^\downarrow$ and C be as defined in Definition B. Put $f = |V^\downarrow \cap S|$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $F(Q_i^\uparrow) = v_i$ and $L(Q_i^\uparrow) = w_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Form a cycle C^\downarrow by deleting $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ from C and adding extra edges $v_1w_1, v_2w_2, \dots, v_mw_m$, respectively. Clearly $V^\downarrow = V(C^\downarrow)$. Conversely, suppose that $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow \neq \emptyset$, and choose a connected component H of $\langle A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow \rangle$. Put $M = N(V(H))$. If $|M| = \kappa$, then M is a minimum cut-set of G with $M \subset A^\downarrow \cup S$ and $M \neq S$, contradicting the definition of A^\downarrow . Let $|M| \geq \kappa + 1$. Clearly $|M \cap (S - V^\downarrow)| \leq \kappa - f$. Since $M \subseteq V(C^\downarrow) \cup S$, we have

$$|M \cap V(C^\downarrow)| = |M| - |M \cap (S - V^\downarrow)| \geq f + 1.$$

Recalling that $|V(C^\downarrow) \cap S| = f$, we have $x^+ \notin S$ for some $x \in M \cap V(C^\downarrow)$. Then by deleting xx^+ from C^\downarrow and adding an edge xy with $y \in V(H)$, we get a path $R = x^+ \overrightarrow{C}^\downarrow xy$ with endvertices in A^\downarrow . Choose a maximal path $R^* = \xi R^* \eta$ in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup V^\downarrow \rangle$ containing R as a subpath with $\xi, \eta \in A^\downarrow$ and put

$$d_1 = |N(\xi) \cap V(R^*)|, \quad d_2 = |N(\eta) \cap V(R^*)|.$$

Since R^* is extreme, we have $d_i \geq \delta - \kappa + f$ ($i = 1, 2$) and

$$|V(R^*)| \leq |A^\downarrow| + f \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + f + 1 \leq d_1 + d_2 - f + 1,$$

implying that $d_1 + d_2 \geq |V(R^*)| + f - 1 \geq |V(R^*)| + m$. By standard arguments, there is a vertex $\lambda \in V(\overrightarrow{R}^*)$ such that $\xi\lambda^+ \in E(G)$, $\eta\lambda \in E(G)$ and $\lambda\lambda^+ \notin \{v_1w_1, \dots, v_mw_m\}$. Then by deleting $\lambda\lambda^+$ and adding $\xi\lambda^+, \eta\lambda$, we can form a new collection of paths instead of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$, contrary to (*2). So, $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$. By the same way it can be shown that $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow) = \emptyset$. Δ

Proof of Lemma 8. Let $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ and $V_1^\downarrow, \dots, V_m^\downarrow, V^\downarrow$ are as defined in Definition B. The existence of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from Lemma D. Clearly $f \geq 2m$. If $|A^\downarrow| \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 1$, then by Lemma 7, we are done. Let $|A^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2$

Case 1. $f \geq 3$.

Suppose first that $\delta - \kappa \leq 1$. Combining this with $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$, we get $f = \kappa = 3$, $\delta = 4$, $m = 1$ and $|A^\downarrow| \leq 4$. If $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is an independent set, then we are done. Otherwise, $|V^\downarrow| \leq |A^\downarrow| + f - 2 \leq 5$. Choose a vertex $z \in A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$. If z and Q_1^\downarrow are connected by at most three paths having no vertex other than z in common, then G has a cut-set S' of order three with $S' \subset A^\downarrow \cup S$ and $S' \neq S$, contradicting the definition of A^\downarrow . Otherwise, it is easy to see that $|V^\downarrow| \geq 7$, a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\delta - \kappa \geq 2$. Let $P = y_1 \dots y_p$ be a longest path in $\langle A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow \rangle$. By the hypothesis,

$$p + |V^\downarrow| - f \leq |A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 1,$$

implying that

$$|V^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f - p + 1. \quad (1)$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= N(y_1) \cap V^\downarrow, & Z_2 &= N(y_p) \cap V^\downarrow, \\ Z_{1,i} &= Z_1 \cap V_i^\downarrow, & Z_{2,i} &= Z_2 \cap V_i^\downarrow \quad (i = 1, \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $Z_1 = \cup_{i=1}^m Z_{1,i}$ and $Z_2 = \cup_{i=1}^m Z_{2,i}$. If $p \leq 1$, then $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent and we are done. Let $p \geq 2$.

Case 1.1. $p = 2$.

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, form a cycle C_i^\downarrow by adding to Q_i^\downarrow an extra path of length 3 connecting $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$. Since $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow\}$ is $(\ast 2)$ -extreme, $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{2,i})$ is a $(C_i^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme. If $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{2,i})$ is a nontrivial $(C_i^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme, then by Lemma 1, $|C_i^\downarrow| \geq 2|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{2,i}|$. Otherwise, it can be checked easily. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| = \sum_{i=1}^m (|C_i^\downarrow| - 2) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |C_i^\downarrow| - 2m \\ &\geq 2|Z_1| + |Z_2| - 2m \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + 2f - 2m - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \geq 2m$ and $f \geq 3$, we have $2f - 2m - 3 \geq 0$, implying that $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$, contrary to (1).

Case 1.2. $p = 3$.

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - 2$ ($i = 1, 2$). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ form a cycle C_i^\downarrow by adding to Q_i^\downarrow an extra path of length 4, connecting $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$. Since $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow\}$ is $(\ast 2)$ -extreme, $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{2,i})$ is a $(C_i^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme. If $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{2,i})$ is a nontrivial $(C_i^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme, then by (a1) (see Lemma 1), $|C_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{2,i}|)$. Otherwise, it can be checked easily. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| = \sum_{i=1}^m (|C_i^\downarrow| - 3) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |C_i^\downarrow| - 3m \\ &\geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) - 3m \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + 3f - 3m - 6. \end{aligned}$$

If $f = 3$, then $m = 1$ and $3f - 3m - 6 = 0$. If $f = 4$, then $m \leq 2$ and $3f - 3m - 6 \geq 0$. Finally, if $f \geq 5$, then again $3f - 3m - 6 \geq f + m - 6 \geq 0$. So, in any case, $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$, contrary to (1).

Case 1.3. $p \geq 4$.

Let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s be the elements of $(N(y_p) \cap V(P))^+$ occurring on \vec{P} in a consecutive order, where $w_s = y_p$. Put $P_0 = w_1^- \vec{P} w_s$ and $p_0 = |V(P_0)|$. For each w_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$) there is a path $y_1 \vec{P} w_i^- w_s \overleftarrow{P} w_i$ in $\langle V(P) \rangle$ of length p connecting y_1 and w_i . Hence, we can assume w.l.o.g. that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, P is chosen such that

$$|Z_1| \geq |N(w_i) \cap V^\downarrow|, \quad N(w_i) \cap V(P) \subseteq V(P_0).$$

Choose $w \in \{w_1, \dots, w_s\}$ as to maximize $|N(w_i) \cap V^\downarrow|$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Set

$$Z_3 = N(w) \cap V^\downarrow, \quad Z_{3,i} = Z_3 \cap V_i^\downarrow \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Clearly

$$|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - p_0 + 1. \quad (2)$$

Claim 1. $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) - 2$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Proof. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, form a cycle C_i^\downarrow by adding to Q_i^\downarrow an extra path of length $p + 1$ connecting $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$. Since $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow\}$ is (*2)-extreme, $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{3,i})$ is a $(C_i^\downarrow, p + 1)$ -scheme. If $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{3,i})$ is a nontrivial $(C_i^\downarrow, p + 1)$ -scheme, then by (a2) (see Lemma 1), $|C_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) + p - 2$. Otherwise, it can be checked easily. Observing that $|V_i^\downarrow| = |C_i^\downarrow| - p$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), we get the desired result immediately. \triangle

By Claim 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) - 2m \geq 4(\delta - \kappa + f - p_0 + 1) - 2m \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + (\delta - \kappa - 2) + 4(m - p_0 + 1) + 3(f - 2m) + 2 \\ &> (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + 4(m - p_0 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

If $p_0 \leq m + 1$, then clearly $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$, contrary to (1). So, we can assume that

$$p_0 \geq m + 2. \quad (3)$$

Further, assume that $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \leq 2$, implying that $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - 2$. By Claim 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) - 2m \geq 4(\delta - \kappa + f - 2) - 2m \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + (\delta - \kappa - 2) + 3f - 2m - 6 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to (1). Now let $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \geq 3$. By the choice of w ,

$$|N(w_i) \cap V(P_0)| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \geq 3 \quad (i = 1, \dots, s).$$

In particular, for $i = s$, we have $s \geq \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3|$. By Lemma E, in $\langle V(P_0) \rangle$ any two vertices are joined by a path of length at least $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3|$. Observing that $p \geq s + 1 \geq \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| + 1$ and combining it with (1), we get

$$|V^\downarrow| \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + |Z_3|. \quad (4)$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, form a cycle C_i^\downarrow by adding to Q_i^\downarrow an extra path of length r , where $r = \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| + 2 \geq 5$, connecting $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$. Let G' be the subgraph of G obtained from G by deleting all vertices of $S - V^\downarrow$. Clearly G' is f -connected. If $m = 1$, then $f \geq 3 = m + 2$. If $m \geq 2$, then again $f \geq 2m \geq m + 2$. So, in any case, G' is $(m + 2)$ -connected. Since $|V^\downarrow| > f \geq m + 2$ and $|V(P_0)| = p_0 \geq m + 2$ (by (3)), there are vertex disjoint paths R_1, \dots, R_{m+2} in G' connecting $V(P_0)$ and V^\downarrow . Let $F(R_i) \in V(P_0)$ and $L(R_i) \in V^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, \dots, m + 2$). Then we can assume w.l.o.g. that either $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $L(R_i) \in V_2^\downarrow$ ($i = 3, 4$) or $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Claim 2. Let $\tau \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $a, b \in \{1, \dots, m + 2\}$. If $L(R_i) \in V_\tau^\downarrow$ ($i = a, b$), then $|V_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + r - 7$.

Proof. Suppose first that $|Z_{1,\tau}| \leq 2$, $|Z_{3,\tau}| \leq 2$. Clearly $(\{L(R_a)\}, \{L(R_b)\})$ is a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (a4) (see Lemma 1), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2r$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + r - 7.$$

Now let $|Z_{1,\tau}| \geq 3$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \leq 2$. If either R_a or R_b , say R_a , has no common vertices with $y_1 \vec{P} w_1^-$, then $(Z_{1,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\})$ is a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. Otherwise, let t be the smallest integer such that $y_t \in V(R_a \cup R_b)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_t \in V(R_b)$. Then due to $y_1 \vec{P} y_t R_b F(R_b)$, we again can state that $(Z_{1,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\})$ is a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (a3) (see Lemma 1), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2|Z_{1,\tau}| + 2r - 4$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2|Z_{1,\tau}| + r - 3 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + r - 7.$$

Finally, we suppose that $|Z_{1,\tau}| \geq 3$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \geq 3$. It means that $(Z_{1,\tau}, Z_{3,\tau})$ is a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (a5) (see Lemma 1), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 2r - 8$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + r - 7. \quad \Delta$$

Claim 3. Let $\tau \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $a, b, c \in \{1, \dots, m + 2\}$. If $L(R_i) \in V_\tau^\downarrow$ ($i = a, b, c$), then $|V_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 2r - 11$.

Proof. Suppose first that $|Z_{1,\tau}| \leq 3$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \leq 3$. Clearly $\{L(R_a)\}, \{L(R_b)\}$ and $\{L(R_c)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (b3) (see Lemma 2), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 3r$ and therefore,

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 2r - 11.$$

Now let $|Z_{1,\tau}| \geq 4$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \leq 3$. If at least two of R_a, R_b, R_c , say R_a and R_b , have no common vertices with $y_1 \overrightarrow{P} w_1^-$, then $Z_{1,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\}$ and $\{L(R_b)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. Otherwise, let t be the smallest integer such that $y_t \in V(R_a \cup R_b \cup R_c)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_t \in V(R_c)$. Then due to $y_1 \overrightarrow{P} y_t R_c F(R_c)$, we again can state that $Z_{1,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\}$ and $\{L(R_b)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (b2) (see Lemma 2), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2|Z_{1,\tau}| + 3r - 6$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2|Z_{1,\tau}| + 2r - 5 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 2r - 11.$$

Finally, let $|Z_{1,\tau}| \geq 4$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \geq 4$. If at least two of R_a, R_b, R_c , say R_a and R_b , have no common vertices with $y_1 \overrightarrow{P} w_1^-$, then choosing one of R_a, R_b , say R_a , satisfying $F(R_a) \neq w$, we conclude that $Z_{1,\tau}, Z_{3,\tau}$ and $\{L(R_a)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. Otherwise, let t be the smallest integer such that $y_t \in V(R_a \cup R_b \cup R_c)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_t \in V(R_c)$. Choosing one of R_a, R_b , say R_a , satisfying $F(R_a) \neq w$, we again can state that $(Z_{1,\tau}, Z_{3,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\})$ is a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (b1) (see Lemma 2), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 3r - 12$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 2r - 11. \quad \Delta$$

Claim 4. Let $\tau \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $a, b, c, d \in \{1, \dots, m+3\}$. If $L(R_i) \in V_\tau^\downarrow$ ($i = a, b, c, d$), then $|V_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 3r - 15$.

Proof. Suppose, that $|Z_{1,\tau}| \leq 4, |Z_{3,\tau}| \leq 4$. Clearly $\{L(R_a)\}, \{L(R_b)\}, \{L(R_c)\}$ and $\{L(R_d)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (c3) (see Lemma 3), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 4r$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 3r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 3r - 15.$$

Now let $|Z_{1,\tau}| \geq 5$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \leq 4$. If at least three of R_a, R_b, R_c, R_d say R_a, R_b, R_c , do not intersect P_0 , then $Z_{1,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\}, \{L(R_b)\}$ and $\{L(R_c)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. Otherwise, let t be the smallest integer such that $y_t \in V(R_a \cup R_b \cup R_c \cup R_d)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_t \in V(R_d)$. Then due to $y_1 \overrightarrow{P} y_t R_d F(R_d)$, we again can state that $Z_{1,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\}, \{L(R_b)\}$ and $\{L(R_c)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (c2) (see Lemma 3), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2|Z_{1,\tau}| + 4r - 8$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2|Z_{1,\tau}| + 3r - 7 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 3r - 15.$$

Finally, let $|Z_{1,\tau}| \geq 5$ and $|Z_{3,\tau}| \geq 5$. If at least three of R_a, R_b, R_c, R_d say R_a, R_b, R_c , do not intersect P_0 , then choosing two of R_a, R_b, R_c , say R_a, R_b , such that $F(R_a) \neq w$ and $F(R_b) \neq w$, we conclude that $Z_{1,\tau}, Z_{3,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\}$ and $\{L(R_b)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. Otherwise, let t be the smallest integer such that $y_t \in V(R_a \cup R_b \cup R_c \cup R_d)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_t \in V(R_d)$. Then choosing two of R_a, R_b, R_c , say R_a, R_b , satisfying $F(R_a) \neq w$ and $F(R_b) \neq w$, we again can state that $Z_{1,\tau}, Z_{3,\tau}, \{L(R_a)\}$ and

$\{L(R_b)\}$ form a nontrivial (C_τ^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (c1) (see Lemma 3), $|C_\tau^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 4r - 16$, implying that

$$|V_\tau^\downarrow| = |C_\tau^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_{1,\tau}| + |Z_{3,\tau}|) + 3r - 15. \quad \Delta$$

Case 1.3.1. $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $L(R_i) \in V_2^\downarrow$ ($i = 3, 4$).

It follows that $f \geq 4$. By Claim 2, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) + r - 7$ ($i = 1, 2$). By Claim 1, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) - 2$ ($i = 3, \dots, m$). By summing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= |V_1^\downarrow| + |V_2^\downarrow| + \sum_{i=3}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 2r - 14 - 2(m-2) \\ &= (2\delta - 2\kappa + |Z_3| + 1) + f + |Z_3| - 7 \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + |Z_3| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to (4).

Case 1.3.2. $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

By Claim 3, $|V_1^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,1}| + |Z_{3,1}|) + 2r - 11$. By Claim 1, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) - 2$ ($i = 2, \dots, m$). By summing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &\geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 2r - 11 - 2(m-1) \\ &\geq (2\delta - 2\kappa + |Z_3| + 1) + f + |Z_3| - 6 \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + |Z_3| + 1, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to (4).

Case 2. $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$.

Choose a vertex $z \in S - V^\uparrow$. Put $F(Q_1^\downarrow) = v_1$ and $L(Q_1^\downarrow) = w_1$. If there is a cut-vertex x in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup \{v_1, w_1, z\} \rangle$ that separates z and Q_1^\downarrow , then $S' = \{S - z\} \cup \{x\}$ is a minimum cut-set of G other than S with $S' \subset A^\downarrow \cup S$, contradicting the definition of A^\downarrow . Otherwise, the existence of Q_*^\downarrow (see Definition B) follows easily. Put $g = |V(Q_*^\downarrow) \cap S|$. By the definition, $g \geq 3$. As in Case 1, we can assume that $\delta - \kappa \geq 2$. Let $P = y_1 \dots y_p; Z_1; Z_2$ be as defined in Case 1. If $p \leq 1$, then $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent. Let $p \geq 2$. Put $S_0 = (V(Q_*^\downarrow) \cap S) - \{v_1, w_1\}$.

Case 2.1. $p = 2$.

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 1 \geq 4$ ($i = 1, 2$). Form a cycle C_1^\downarrow by adding to Q_*^\downarrow an extra path of length 3 with endvertices v_1, w_1 . Let I_1, \dots, I_t be the segments of C_1^\downarrow having only their ends in common with $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ and having at least one inner vertex in common with S .

Case 2.1.1. $S_0 \not\subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$).

It is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_1^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1,

$$|V^\downarrow| \geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| = |C_1^\downarrow| - 2 \geq 2|Z_1| + |Z_2| - 2 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3g - 5.$$

Hence,

$$|A^\downarrow| \geq |V^\downarrow| - g + 2 \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + 2) + 2g - 5 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2,$$

contradicting the hypothesis.

Case 2.1.2. $S_0 \subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, say $i = 1$.

Let $I_1 = x\overrightarrow{I_1}y$. Denote by R a longest path connecting x and y and passing through $V(P)$. Clearly $|R| = 3$ if x and y belong to different Z_1, Z_2 and $|R| = 2$, otherwise. Form a new cycle C_2^\downarrow by deleting I_1 from C_1^\downarrow and adding R . Clearly (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_2^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme and we can reach a contradiction as in Case 2.1.1.

Case 2.2. $p = 3$.

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 2 \geq 3$ ($i = 1, 2$). Form a cycle C_1^\downarrow by adding to Q_*^\downarrow an extra path of length 4 with endvertices v_1, w_1 . Let I_1, \dots, I_t be as defined in Case 2.1.

Case 2.2.1. $S_0 \not\subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$).

It is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_1^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1,

$$|V^\downarrow| \geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| = |C_1^\downarrow| - 3 \geq 2|Z_1| + |Z_2| - 3 \geq 4\delta - 4\kappa + 4g - 11.$$

Hence,

$$|A^\downarrow| \geq |V^\downarrow| - g + 3 \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + 2) + \delta - \kappa + 3g - 10 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2,$$

contradicting the hypothesis.

Case 2.2.2. $S_0 \subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, say $i = 1$.

Let $I_1 = x\overrightarrow{I_1}y$. Denote by R a longest path connecting x and y and passing through $V(P)$. Clearly $|R| = 4$ if x and y belong to different Z_1, Z_2 and $|R| \geq 2$, otherwise. Form a new cycle C_2^\downarrow by deleting I_1 from C_1^\downarrow and adding R . Clearly (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_2^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme and we can reach a contradiction as in Case 2.2.1.

Case 2.3. $p \geq 4$.

Let P_0, p_0, w and Z_3 are as defined in Case 1.3. By the definition, $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq |Z_2|$ and $p_0 \geq 2$. If $p_0 = 2$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 1$ and we can argue as in case $p = 2$. If $p_0 = 3$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 2$ and we can argue as in case $p = 3$. Let $p_0 \geq 4$. Further, if $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| \leq 1$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 1$ and we can argue as in case $p = 2$. So, we can assume that $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| \geq 2$. Since $p_0 \geq 4$, there are vertex disjoint paths R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup V(Q_*^\downarrow) \rangle$ connecting P_0 and Q_*^\downarrow (otherwise, there exist a cut-set of $\langle A^\downarrow \cup V(Q_*^\downarrow) \rangle$ of order at most three, contradicting the definition of A^\downarrow). Let $F(R_i) \in V(P)$ and $L(R_i) \in V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). By the choice of w , $|N(w_i) \cap V(P_0)| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3|$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. In particular, for $i = s$, we have $s \geq \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3|$. By Lemma E, in $\langle V(P_0) \rangle$ any two vertices are joined by a path of length at least $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3|$. Let I_1, \dots, I_t be the segments of Q_*^\downarrow having only their ends in common with $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$, where

$Z_4 = \{L(R_1)\}$ and $Z_5 = \{L(R_2)\}$. Form a cycle C_1^\downarrow by adding to Q_*^\downarrow an extra path of length r , where $r = \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| + 2$, connecting v_1 and w_1 .

Case 2.3.1. At least two of segments I_1, \dots, I_t intersect S_0 .
In this case we can apply Claim 4, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &\geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 3r - 15 \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + 2) + (|Z_1| - |Z_3|) + 3g + |Z_1| - 11. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|A^\downarrow| \geq |V^\downarrow| - g + p$, we have

$$|A^\downarrow| \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + 2) + (|Z_1| - |Z_3|) + 2g + p + |Z_1| - 11 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2,$$

contradicting the hypothesis.

Case 2.3.2. Only one of segments I_1, \dots, I_t , say I_1 , intersects S_0 .

In this case, each vertex of S_0 is an inner vertex for I_1 . Form a path L by deleting I_1 from Q_*^\downarrow and adding a longest path connecting $F(I_1)$ and $L(I_1)$ and passing through $V(P)$. Then we can apply Claim 4 with respect to L and can reach a contradiction as in Case 2.3.1.

Case 3. $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$.

If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then we are done. Let $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$ and let $P = y_1 \dots y_p$; P_0, p_0, w and Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 are as defined in Case 1. If $p = 1$, then $|Z_1| \geq \delta - \kappa + 2$ and by standard arguments, $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2|Z_1| - 1 \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. Let $p \geq 2$, implying in particular that $p_0 \geq 2$. If $p_0 = 2$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + 1$ and we can argue as in Case 1.1. Let $p_0 \geq 3$. As above, there are vertex disjoint paths R_1, R_2, R_3 connecting V^\downarrow and $V(P_0)$. Let $F(R_i) \in V(P_0)$ and $L(R_i) \in V^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). If $\delta - \kappa - |Z_3| + 2 \leq 1$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq \delta - \kappa + 1$ and we can argue as in the case $p_0 \leq 2$. Let $\delta - \kappa - |Z_3| + 2 \geq 2$. By the choice of w , $|N(w_i^-) \cap V(P_0)| \geq \delta - \kappa + 2 - |Z_3|$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$). In particular, for $i = s$, we have $s \geq \delta - \kappa - |Z_3| + 2$. By Lemma E, in $\langle V(P_0) \rangle$ any two vertices are joined by a path of length at least $\delta - \kappa - |Z_3| + 2$. By Claim 3,

$$|V^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 2r - 11 \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3. \quad \Delta$$

Proof of Lemma 9. Let $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ and $V_1^\downarrow, \dots, V_m^\downarrow, V^\downarrow$ be as defined in Definition B. The existence of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from Lemma D. Clearly $f \geq 2m$. Further, let $P = y_1 \dots y_p, Z_1, Z_2$ and $Z_{1,i}, Z_{2,i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) be as defined in proof of Lemma 8 (Case 1).

Case 1. $f \geq 3$.

Assume first that $\delta - \kappa \leq 1$. By combining this with $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$, we get $f = \kappa = 3, \delta = 4$ and $m = 1$. If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then we are done. Let $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$ and let $z \in A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$. If z and Q_1^\downarrow are connected in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup S \rangle$ by at most three paths having no vertex other than z in common, then G has a cut-set S' of order three

with $S' \subset A^\downarrow \cup S$ and $S' \neq S$, contradicting the definition of A^\downarrow . Otherwise, it is easy to see that $|V^\downarrow| \geq 7 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$. So, we can assume that $\delta - \kappa \geq 2$. If $p \leq 3$, then we can argue as in proof of Lemma 8 (Cases 1.1-1.2). Let $p \geq 4$ and let P_0, p_0, w, Z_3 and $Z_{3,i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) are as defined in proof of Lemma 8 (Case 1.3). Clearly

$$|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - p_0 + 1. \quad (5)$$

By Claim 1 (see the proof of Lemma 8),

$$|V^\downarrow| = \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) - 2m \geq 4(\delta - \kappa + f - p_0 + 1) - 2m,$$

implying that

$$|V^\downarrow| \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + (\delta - \kappa - 2) + 3(f - 2m) + 4(m - p_0 + 1) + 2. \quad (6)$$

If $p_0 \leq m + 1$, then $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$ and we are done. Now let $p_0 \geq m + 2$. Further, if $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \leq 2$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - 2$ and by Claim 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) - 2m \geq 4(\delta - \kappa + f - 2) - 2m \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + (\delta - \kappa - 2) + 3f - 2m - 6 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \geq 3$. By the choice of w ,

$$|N(w_i) \cap V(P_0)| \geq \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \quad (i = 1, \dots, s).$$

In particular, for $i = s$, we have $s \geq \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3|$. By Lemma E, in $\langle V(P_0) \rangle$ any two vertices are joined by a path of length at least $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3|$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, form a cycle, denoted by C_i^\downarrow , by adding to Q_i^\downarrow an extra path of length r , where $r = \delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| + 2 \geq 5$, connecting $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$. Combining $\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| \geq 3$ with (5), we get, $p_0 \geq 4$.

Case 1.1. $p_0 = m + 2$.

Substituting $p_0 = m + 2$ in (6), we get $|V^\downarrow| \geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + \delta - \kappa - 4$. If $\delta - \kappa \geq 4$, then we are done. Let $\delta - \kappa \leq 3$. By the hypothesis, $\delta - \kappa \geq \kappa - 2$, implying that $\kappa \leq 5$, as well as $f \leq 5$ and $m \leq 2$. Since $m + 2 = p_0 \geq 4$, we have $m = 2$ and $p_0 = 4$. If $f = 5$, then the desired result follows from (6). If $f = 4$, then it is easy to see that $|V^\downarrow| \geq 13 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$.

Case 1.2. $p_0 \geq m + 3$.

It is easy to see that $|V^\downarrow| \geq f + 2 \geq m + 3$. Recalling also that $p_0 \geq m + 3$, we conclude that there are vertex disjoint paths R_1, \dots, R_{m+3} in $G - (S - V^\downarrow)$ connecting $V(P_0)$ and V^\downarrow . Since $p_0 \geq m + 3$, we can assume w.l.o.g. that either

$L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) or $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and $L(R_i) \in V_2^\downarrow$ ($i = 4, 5$) or $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2$), $L(R_i) \in V_2^\downarrow$ ($i = 3, 4$) and $L(R_i) \in V_3^\downarrow$ ($i = 5, 6$).

Case 1.2.1. $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

By Claim 4 (see the proof of Lemma 8), $|V_1^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,1}| + |Z_{3,1}|) + 3r - 15$. By Claim 1, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) - 2$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$. By summing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= |V_1^\downarrow| + \sum_{i=2}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) + 3r - 15 - 2(m-1) \\ &= 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 3(\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| + 2) - 2m - 13 \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + (|Z_1| - |Z_3|) + |Z_1| + 2f - 2m - 7 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + f. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.2.2. $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and $L(R_i) \in V_2^\downarrow$ ($i = 4, 5$).

Clearly $f \geq 4$. By Claim 3, $|V_1^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,1}| + |Z_{3,1}|) + 2r - 11$. By Claim 2, $|V_2^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,2}| + |Z_{3,2}|) + r - 7$. By Claim 1, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) - 2$ for each $i \in \{3, \dots, m\}$. By summing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= |V_1^\downarrow| + |V_2^\downarrow| + \sum_{i=3}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) + 3r - 18 - 2(m-2) \\ &= 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 3(\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| + 2) - 2m - 14 \\ &\geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + |Z_1| + 2f - 2m - 8 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.2.3. $L(R_i) \in V_1^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, 2$), $L(R_i) \in V_2^\downarrow$ ($i = 3, 4$), $L(R_i) \in V_3^\downarrow$ ($i = 5, 6$).

Clearly $f \geq 6$. By Claim 2, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) + r - 7$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). By Claim 1, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) - 2$ for each $i \in \{4, \dots, m\}$. By summing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= |V_1^\downarrow| + |V_2^\downarrow| + |V_3^\downarrow| + \sum_{i=4}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{3,i}|) + 3r - 21 - 2(m-3) \\ &= 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 3(\delta - \kappa + f - |Z_3| + 2) - 2m - 15 \\ &\geq (3\delta - 3\kappa + f) + |Z_1| + 2f - 2m - 9 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + f. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$.

Choose a vertex $z \in S - V^\uparrow$. Put $F(Q_1^\downarrow) = v_1$ and $L(Q_1^\downarrow) = w_1$. If there is a cut-vertex x in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup \{v_1, w_1, z\} \rangle$ that separates z and Q_1^\downarrow , then $S' = \{S - z\} \cup \{x\}$ is a cut-set of G other than S with $S' \subset A^\downarrow \cup S$, contradicting the definition

of A^\downarrow . Otherwise, the existence of Q_*^\downarrow (see Definition B) follows easily. Put $g = |V(Q_*^\downarrow) \cap S|$. By the definition, $g \geq 3$. Assume first that $\delta - \kappa \leq 1$. Combining this with $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$, we obtain $\delta = 4$ and $\kappa = 3$. If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$, then we are done. Let $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ and choose a vertex $z \in A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$. As in Case 1, there are at least 4 paths connecting z and Q_*^\downarrow , implying that $|V^\downarrow| \geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| \geq 7 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$. Now let $\delta - \kappa \geq 2$. Let $P = y_1 \dots y_p; Z_1; Z_2$ be as defined in proof of Lemma 8 (Case 1). If $p \leq 1$, then $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent. Let $p \geq 2$. Put $S_0 = (V(Q_*^\downarrow) \cap S) - \{v_1, w_1\}$.

Case 2.1. $p = 2$.

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 1 \geq 4$ ($i = 1, 2$). Form a cycle C_1^\downarrow by adding to Q_*^\downarrow an extra path of length 3 with endvertices v_1, w_1 . Let I_1, \dots, I_t be the segments of C_1^\downarrow having only their ends in common with $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ and having at least one inner vertex in common with S .

Case 2.1.1. $S_0 \not\subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$).

It is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_1^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &\geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| = |C_1^\downarrow| - 2 \geq |Z_1| + |Z_2| + |Z_1 \cup Z_2| - 2 \\ &\geq 3(\delta - \kappa + g - 1) - 2 = 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3g - 5 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.1.2. $S_0 \subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, say $i = 1$.

Let $I_1 = x \overrightarrow{I_1} y$. Denote by R a longest path connecting x and y and passing through $V(P)$. Clearly $|R| = 3$ if x and y belong to different Z_1, Z_2 and $|R| = 2$, otherwise. Form a new cycle C_2^\downarrow by deleting I_1 from C_1^\downarrow and adding R . Clearly (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_2^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme and as in Case 2.1.1, $|V^\downarrow| > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$.

Case 2.2. $p = 3$.

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 2 \geq 3$ ($i = 1, 2$). Form a cycle C_1^\downarrow by adding to Q_*^\downarrow an extra path of length 4 with endvertices v_1, w_1 . Let I_1, \dots, I_t and S_0 be as defined in Case 2.1.

Case 2.2.1. $S_0 \not\subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$).

It is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_1^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &\geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| = |C_1^\downarrow| - 3 \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) - 3 \\ &\geq 4(\delta - \kappa + g - 2) - 3 = 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3 + (\delta - \kappa) + 4g - 14 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.2.2. $S_0 \subseteq V(I_i) - \{F(I_i), L(I_i)\}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, say $i = 1$.

Let $I_1 = x \overrightarrow{I_1} y$. Denote by R a longest path connecting x and y and passing through $V(P)$. Clearly $|R| = 4$ if x and y belong to different Z_1, Z_2 and $|R| \geq 2$, otherwise. Form a new cycle C_2^\downarrow by deleting I_1 from C_1^\downarrow and adding R . Clearly

(Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C_2^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme and as in Case 2.2.1, $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$.

Case 2.3. $p \geq 4$.

Let P_0, p_0, w and Z_3 are as defined in proof of Lemma 8 (Case 1.3). By the definition, $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq |Z_2|$ and $p_0 \geq 2$. If $p_0 = 2$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 1$ and we can argue as in case $p = 2$. If $p_0 = 3$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_2| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 2$ and we can argue as in case $p = 3$. Let $p_0 \geq 4$. Further, if $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| \leq 1$, then $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - 1$ and we can argue as in case $p = 2$. Let $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| \geq 2$. Since $p_0 \geq 4$, there are vertex disjoint paths R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 in $\langle A^\downarrow \cup V(Q_*^\downarrow) \rangle$ connecting P_0 and Q_*^\downarrow (otherwise, there exist a cut-set of $\langle A^\downarrow \cup V(Q_*^\downarrow) \rangle$ of order 3 contradicting the definition of A^\downarrow). Let $F(R_i) \in V(P)$ and $L(R_i) \in V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Clearly, $|N(w_i) \cap V(P_0)| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3|$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. In particular, for $i = s$, we have $s \geq \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3|$. By Lemma E, in $\langle V(P_0) \rangle$ any two vertices are joined by a path of length at least $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3|$. Assume first that $|Z_3| \leq 3$ and assume w.l.o.g. that $L(R_1), L(R_2), L(R_3), L(R_4)$ occur on $v_1 \overrightarrow{Q_*^\downarrow} w_1$ in a consecutive order. Consider the segments $J_i = L(R_i) \overrightarrow{Q_*^\downarrow} L(R_{i+1})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. If at least two of J_1, J_2, J_3 intersect S_0 , then by the definition of Q_*^\downarrow , $|J_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| + 2 \geq 4$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &\geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| \geq |J_1| + |J_2| + |J_3| + 1 \geq 3(\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| + 2) + 1 \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + 3) + 3g - 3|Z_3| + 4 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Now let only one of J_1, J_2, J_3 , say J_1 , intersects S_0 . Form a new path L by deleting J_1 from Q_*^\downarrow and adding a path of length $\delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| + 2$, connecting $L(R_1)$ and $L(R_2)$ and passing through $V(P_0)$. Then as above, $|V^\downarrow| > |L| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$. Now let $|Z_3| \geq 4$, implying that $|Z_1| \geq |Z_3| \geq 4$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $R_1 \cup R_2$ does not intersect $y_1 \overrightarrow{P} w_1^-$ (see the proof of Lemma 8, Case 1.3) and does not contain w . Let I_1, \dots, I_t be the segments of Q_*^\downarrow having only their ends in common with $Z_1 \cup Z_3 \cup Z_4 \cup Z_5$, where $Z_4 = \{L(R_1)\}$ and $Z_5 = \{L(R_2)\}$. Form a cycle C_1^\downarrow by adding to Q_*^\downarrow an extra path of length r , where $r = \delta - \kappa + g - |Z_3| + 2$, connecting v_1 and w_1 .

Case 2.3.1. At least two of segments I_1, \dots, I_t intersect S_0 .

It is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5) is a nontrivial (C_1^\downarrow, r) -scheme. By (c1) (see Lemma 3),

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &\geq |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| = |C_1^\downarrow| - r + 1 \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_3|) + 3r - 15 \\ &= (3\delta - 3\kappa + 3) + (|Z_1| - |Z_3|) + 3g + |Z_1| - 12 > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.3.2. Only one of segments I_1, \dots, I_t , say I_1 , intersects S_0 .

In this case, each vertex of S_0 is an inner vertex for I_1 . Let $I_1 = xI_1y$. Form a cycle C_2^\downarrow by deleting I_1 from C_1^\downarrow and adding a longest path connecting x and y

and passing through $V(P)$. It is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5) is a nontrivial (C_1^\downarrow, r) -scheme. Then we can argue as in Case 2.2.1.

Case 3. $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$.

If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then $|V^\downarrow| \geq |A^\downarrow| + 2 \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 4 > 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. Let $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$. Then we can argue exactly as in proof of Lemma 8 (Case 3). Δ

Proof of Lemma 10. To prove (f1), choose a vertex $z \in A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$. Clearly $N(z) \subseteq V^\uparrow \cup S$. Put

$$Z_i = N(z) \cap V_i^\uparrow \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \quad Z = \cup_{i=1}^m Z_i,$$

$$W = N(z) \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_m\}.$$

Since $\{Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow\}$ is $(*1)$ -extreme, we have $|W| \leq 2$ and $|Z| \geq \delta - 1$. If $|W| = 0$, then by standard arguments, $|V_i^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_i| + 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and

$$|V^\uparrow| = \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\uparrow| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (2|Z_i| + 1) = 2|Z| + m \geq 2\delta + m - 2.$$

Further, let $|W| = 1$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $W = \{v_1\}$. By $(*1)$, $N(z) \subseteq V^\uparrow$, i.e. $|Z| \geq \delta$. Then clearly $|V_1^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_1|$ and $|V_i^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_i| + 1$ ($i = 2, \dots, m$), implying that

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\uparrow| &= |V_1^\uparrow| + \sum_{i=2}^m |V_i^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_1| + \sum_{i=2}^m (2|Z_i| + 1) \\ &\geq 2|Z| + m - 1 > 2\delta + m - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we assume that $|W| = 2$. By $(*1)$, $W \subseteq V_i^\uparrow$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, say $i = 1$, and $N(z) \subseteq V^\uparrow$ implying that $|Z| \geq \delta$. Then clearly $|V_1^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_1| - 1$ and $|V_i^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_i| + 1$ ($i = 2, \dots, m$), whence

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\uparrow| &= |V_1^\uparrow| + \sum_{i=2}^m |V_i^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_1| - 1 + \sum_{i=2}^m (2|Z_i| + 1) \\ &= 2|Z| + m - 2 \geq 2\delta + m - 2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (f2), choose a vertex $z \in A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ and put

$$Z_i = N(z) \cap V_i^\downarrow \quad (i = 1, \dots, m), \quad Z = \cup_{i=1}^m Z_i,$$

Clearly $|Z| \geq \delta - (\kappa - f)$. Since $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow\}$ is $(*2)$ -extreme, by standard arguments, $|V_i^\downarrow| \geq 2|Z_i| - 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), implying that

$$|V^\downarrow| = \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (2|Z_i| - 1) = 2|Z| - m \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m.$$

To prove (f3), choose a vertex $z \in A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$. Put $Z = N(z) \cap V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ and $g = |V(Q_*^\downarrow) \cap S|$. Clearly $|Z| \geq \delta - \kappa + g \geq \delta - \kappa + 3$. By standard arguments, $|V(Q_*^\downarrow)| \geq 2|Z| - 1 \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$. Δ

5 Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 2. If G has a dominating cycle, then we are done. Otherwise, by Lemma M, $c \geq 3\delta - 3$. If $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$, then $c \geq 3\delta - 3 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$ and again we are done. So, we can assume that $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2 > 3\kappa/2 - 1$. Let $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$; $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ and C be as defined in Definitions A-C. The existence of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from Lemma D. Put $f = |V^\downarrow \cap S|$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $F(Q_i^\uparrow) = v_i$ and $L(Q_i^\uparrow) = w_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Form a cycle C^\uparrow consisting of $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ and extra edges $w_1v_2, w_2v_3, \dots, w_{m-1}v_m, w_mv_1$. By Lemma 5, $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent.

Case 1. $|A^\downarrow| \leq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 1$.

By Lemma 7, $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$. By (*1), $S - V(C)$ is independent. If $V(G - C)$ is independent, then clearly C is a dominating cycle. Otherwise, each edge of $G - C$ has one end in $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ and the other in $S - V(C)$. Let $xy \in E(G)$, where $x \in A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ and $y \in S - V(C)$. By (*1), $N(x) \subseteq V^\uparrow \cup \{y\}$.

Case 1.1. $N(y) \not\subseteq V(C) \cup \{x\}$.

Let $yz \in E(G)$ for a vertex $z \in A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ other than x . By (*1) and the fact that $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent, we have $N(z) \subseteq V^\uparrow \cup \{y\}$. Set $Z_1 = N(x) \cap V^\uparrow$ and $Z_2 = N(z) \cap V^\uparrow$. Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). Basing on (*1), it is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C^\uparrow, 4)$ -scheme. By (a1) (see Lemma 1), $|C| > |C^\uparrow| \geq 4(\delta - 1) > 4\delta - 2\kappa$.

Case 1.2. $N(y) \subseteq V(C) \cup \{x\}$.

Case 1.2.1. $|N(y) \cap V^\downarrow| \geq f - m + 1$.

Set $Z_i = N(y) \cap V_i^\downarrow$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $Z = \cup_{i=1}^m Z_i$. By the hypothesis, $|Z| = |N(y) \cap V^\downarrow| \geq f - m + 1$. By (*1), y is not adjacent to $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Since $\{Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow\}$ is (*4)-extreme, by standard arguments, $|V_i^\downarrow \cap S| \geq |Z_i| + 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and

$$f = |V^\downarrow \cap S| = \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow \cap S| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (|Z_i| + 1) \geq |Z| + m \geq f + 1,$$

a contradiction.

Case 1.2.2. $|N(y) \cap V^\downarrow| \leq f - m \leq f - 1$.

Since $N(y) \subseteq V(C) \cup \{x\}$, we have $|N(y) \cap V^\uparrow| \geq \delta - |N(y) \cap V^\downarrow| - 1 \geq \delta - f$. Set $Z_1 = N(x) \cap V^\uparrow$ and $Z_2 = N(y) \cap V^\uparrow$. Clearly $|Z_1| \geq \delta - 1$ and $|Z_2| \geq \delta - f$. By (*1), it is easy to see that (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C^\uparrow, 3)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1, $|V^\uparrow| = |C^\uparrow| \geq 2(\delta - 1) + \delta - f = 3\delta - f - 2$. Observing that $|A^\downarrow| \geq \delta - \kappa + 1$,

we obtain

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \kappa - f - 1 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2. $|A^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2$.

Case 2.1. $f \geq 3$.

By Lemma 8 and (*1), $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ and $S - V(C)$ both are independent sets. If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then we can argue exactly as in Case 1. Let $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$. By (f2) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$. If $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$, then by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$, whence

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2f - 2m - 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Now let $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$. Since $S - V(C)$ is independent, either C is a dominating cycle (then we are done) or each edge in $G - C$ has one end in $S - V(C)$ and the other in $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$.

Case 2.1.1. There is a path xyz in $G - C$ with $x, z \in A^\downarrow$ and $y \in S$.

Put $Z_1 = N(x) \cap V^\downarrow$, $Z_2 = N(z) \cap V^\downarrow$ and

$$Z_{1,i} = N(x) \cap V_i^\downarrow, \quad Z_{2,i} = N(z) \cap V_i^\downarrow \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

Clearly $|Z_i| \geq \delta - \kappa + f$ ($i = 1, 2$). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, form a cycle C_i^\downarrow by adding to Q_i^\downarrow an extra path of length 4 with endvertices $F(Q_i^\downarrow)$ and $L(Q_i^\downarrow)$. If $(Z_{1,i}, Z_{2,i})$ is a nontrivial $(Q_i^\downarrow, 4)$ -scheme, then by (a1) (see Lemma 1), $|V_i^\downarrow| = |C_i^\downarrow| - 3 \geq 2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{2,i}|) - 3$. Otherwise, it can be checked easily. By summing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |V^\downarrow| &= \sum_{i=1}^m |V_i^\downarrow| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (2(|Z_{1,i}| + |Z_{2,i}|) - 3) = 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) - 3m \\ &\geq 4(\delta - \kappa + f) - 3m \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f + 2, \end{aligned}$$

whence $|A^\downarrow| \geq |V^\downarrow| - f > 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2$, contrary to the hypothesis.

Case 2.1.2. There is a path xyz in $G - C$ with $x, z \in S$ and $y \in A^\downarrow$.

Clearly $\kappa \geq 4$. If $xy' \in E(G)$ or $zy' \in E(G)$ for some $y' \in A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ other than y , then we can argue as in Case 2.1.1. So, we can assume that $N(x) \subseteq V(C) \cup \{y\}$ and $N(z) \subseteq V(C) \cup \{y\}$. Put $Z_1 = N(x) \cap V(C)$ and $Z_2 = N(z) \cap V(C)$.

Case 2.1.2.1. $m = 1$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $Q_1^\uparrow = v_1 \overrightarrow{C} w_1$. Let $I = \xi \overrightarrow{C} \eta$ be a segment on C having only ξ, η in common with $Z_1 \cup Z_2$. By (*1), $\{\xi, \eta\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \emptyset$. If $\xi, \eta \in Z_1$ or $\xi, \eta \in Z_2$, then by (*1) and (*2), $|I| \geq 2$. Let $\xi \in Z_1$ and $\eta \in Z_2$. If $\xi, \eta \in V^\downarrow$, then by (*2), $|I| \geq 4$. Let $\xi, \eta \in V^\uparrow$. If $|I| \leq 2$, then the collection of paths $v_1 \overrightarrow{C} \xi x, z \eta \overrightarrow{C} w_1$ contradicts (*1). If $|I| = 3$, then the cycle $v_1 \overrightarrow{C} \xi x y z \eta \overrightarrow{C} v_1$ contradicts (*4). So, $|I| \geq 4$ if $\xi, \eta \in V^\uparrow$. As for the cases $\xi \in V^\uparrow, \eta \in V^\downarrow$ or

$\xi \in V^\downarrow$, $\eta \in V^\uparrow$, there exists exactly one segment $I_1 = \xi_1 \overrightarrow{C} \eta_1$ with $\xi_1 \in V^\uparrow$, $\eta_1 \in V^\downarrow$, $w_1 \in I_1$ and exactly one segment $I_2 = \xi_2 \overrightarrow{C} \eta_2$ with $\xi_2 \in V^\downarrow$, $\eta_2 \in V^\uparrow$, $v_1 \in I_2$, each of length at least 2. Form a new cycle C' by deleting I_1 and I_2 from C and adding appropriate extra paths each of length 4. Clearly (Z_1, Z_2) is a nontrivial $(C', 4)$ -scheme. By (a1) (see Lemma 1),

$$|C| \geq |C'| - 4 \geq 2(|Z_1| + |Z_2|) - 4 \geq 4(\delta - 1) - 4 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2.1.2.2. $m \geq 2$.

By arguing as in Case 2.1.2.1, we obtain

$$|C| \geq |C'| - 4m \geq 4(\delta - 1) - 4m = (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2(\kappa - 2m - 2).$$

Since $\kappa \geq f + 2 \geq 2m + 2$, we have $|C| \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$.

Case 2.1.3. $xy \in E(G)$ for some $x \in S - V(C)$ and $y \in A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$.

Form a new cycle C^\downarrow by deleting $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ from C and adding extra paths $v_i z_i w_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Put $V_0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ and

$$Z_0 = N(y) \cap V_0, \quad Z_1 = N(x) \cap V^\uparrow, \quad Z_2 = N(x) \cap V^\downarrow,$$

$$Z_3 = N(y) \cap (V^\uparrow - V_0), \quad Z_4 = N(y) \cap (V^\downarrow - V_0).$$

If $N(x) \not\subseteq V(C) \cup \{y\}$ or $N(y) \not\subseteq V(C) \cup \{x\}$, then we can argue as in Cases 2.1.1-2.1.2. Let $N(x) \subseteq V(C) \cup \{y\}$ and $N(y) \subseteq V(C) \cup \{x\}$. Abbreviate $|Z_0| = t$, $|Z_2| = d$ and $|Z_3| = h$. Clearly $|Z_1| \geq \delta - d - 1$ and $|Z_4| \geq \delta - h - t - 1$. If $d = 0$, then $|Z_1| \geq \delta - 1$ and arguing exactly as in proof of (f1) (see Lemma 10), we get $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$. By (f2) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$. Hence,

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2f - 2m - 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Let $d \geq 1$. If $h = 0$, then it is not hard to see that $(Z_2, Z_0 \cup Z_4)$ is a nontrivial $(C^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1,

$$|V^\downarrow| \geq |C^\downarrow| - m \geq 2|Z_0 \cup Z_4| + |Z_2| - m \geq 2(\delta - 1) + d - m \geq 2\delta - 1 - m.$$

Further, $|A^\downarrow| \geq |V^\downarrow| - f \geq 2\delta - 1 - f - m$, implying that $|A^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1 - f - m$ and

$$|C| \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\kappa - f - 2m - 2.$$

Observing that $\kappa \geq f + 1$ and $f \geq 2m$, we get $|C| \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$. Now let $h \geq 1$. Basing on (*1) and (*4), it is not hard to see that $(Z_1, Z_0 \cup Z_3)$ is a nontrivial $(C^\uparrow, 3)$ -scheme. Since $|Z_1| \geq \delta - d - 1$ and $|Z_0 \cup Z_3| = t + h$, we have by Lemma 1,

$$|V^\uparrow| = |C^\uparrow| \geq 2|Z_1| + |Z_0 \cup Z_3| \geq 2\delta - 2d - 2 + t + h.$$

On the other hand, by (*2), $(Z_0 \cup Z_4, Z_2)$ is a nontrivial $(C^\downarrow, 3)$ -scheme. By Lemma 1,

$$|V^\downarrow| = |C^\downarrow| - m \geq 2|Z_0 \cup Z_4| + |Z_2| - m \geq 2\delta - 2h + d - m - 2.$$

Note that for the special case $m = 1$ and $t = 0$, by the same arguments, we can obtain a slightly better estimation, namely $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2h + d - 2$. So,

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\kappa - d - h - 3m + t - 4.$$

If $d \leq 2\kappa - h - 3m + t - 4$, then clearly $c \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$. Let $d \geq 2\kappa - h - 3m + t - 3$. Therefore,

$$|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2h + d - m - 2 \geq 2\delta + 2\kappa - 3h - 4m + t - 5$$

whence

$$|A^\uparrow| \geq |A^\downarrow| \geq |V^\downarrow| - f + 1 \geq 2\delta + 2\kappa - 3h - 4m + t - f - 4.$$

Further,

$$|C| \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 6\kappa - 6h - 8m + 2t - f - 9.$$

Observing that $\kappa \geq f + h + 1$, we obtain $6\kappa \geq 6(f + h + 1) \geq 6h + f + 10m + 6$, whence $|C| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2m + 2t - 3$. If $m + t \geq 2$, then clearly $|C| \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$. Otherwise, we have $m = 1$ and $t = 0$. Recalling that in this special case, $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2h + d - 2$, we can obtain the desired result by the same arguments used above.

Case 2.2. $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 8, $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent. If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$, then clearly C is a dominating cycle. Next, if $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$, then we can argue exactly as in Case 2.1.3. Let $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$. By (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$. Recalling that $|A^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2$, we get

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 1 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

If $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$, then by (f1) and (f3) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$ and $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$, whence

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa + 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2.3. $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 8, either $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$ or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. If $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$, then C is a dominating cycle, since $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent (by Lemma 5). So, we can assume that $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. If $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$, then by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$ and $|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa$. Now let $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$. If $|A^\downarrow| \leq 3\delta - 3\kappa$, then by Lemma F, $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent and C is a dominating cycle. Let $|A^\downarrow| = 3\delta - 3\kappa + 1 \geq 2\delta - \kappa - 1$, implying that $|A^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - \kappa - 1$. Then $|V^\uparrow| \geq |A^\uparrow| + \kappa \geq 2\delta - 1$ and

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa. \quad \Delta$$

Proof of Theorem 3. If $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$, then we are done by Lemma 4. Let $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$ and let $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow; Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ and C be as defined in Definitions A-C. The existence of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from Lemma D. Assume w.l.o.g. that $F(Q_i^\uparrow) = v_i$ and $L(Q_i^\uparrow) = w_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Form a cycle C^\uparrow consisting of $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ and extra edges $w_1v_2, w_2v_3, \dots, w_{m-1}v_m, w_mv_1$. By Lemma 5, $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent. Put $f = |V^\downarrow \cap S|$. By the hypothesis, $|A^\uparrow| \geq |A^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 2 \geq 2\delta - \kappa$.

Case 1. $f \geq 3$.

By Lemma 9, either $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$. If $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent, then we can argue as in proof of Theorem 2 (Case 2.1). Let $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 1$. If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then

$$|C| \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (2\delta - \kappa) + (2\delta - \kappa + 1) > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$, whence

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa + f - m - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2. $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 9, either $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$. If $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent, then we can argue as in proof of Theorem 2 (Case 2.2). If $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$, then we can argue as in case $f \geq 3$.

Case 3. $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 9, $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then

$$|C| \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| + \kappa - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 1 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$, whence

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa. \quad \Delta$$

Proof of Theorem 4. If $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$, then we are done by Lemma 4. Let $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$ and let $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow; Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ and C be as defined in Definitions A-C. The existence of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from Lemma D. Assume w.l.o.g. that $F(Q_i^\uparrow) = v_i$ and $L(Q_i^\uparrow) = w_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Form a cycle C^\uparrow consisting of $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow$ and extra edges $w_1v_2, w_2v_3, \dots, w_{m-1}v_m, w_mv_1$. By Lemmas 6, either $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent or $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$. If $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent, then we can argue as in proof of Theorem 2. Let $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$.

Case 1. $f \geq 3$.

By Lemma 8, $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent. If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (3\delta - 5) + (\delta - \kappa + 1) = 4\delta - \kappa - 4 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f2) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$, whence

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta + 2f - 3m - 5 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2. $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 8, $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent. If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$, then we can argue as in Case 1. Otherwise, by (f3) (see Lemma 10), $|V(Q_*^\downarrow)| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$, whence

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V(Q_*^\downarrow)| - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 3. $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 6, either $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent or $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$. If $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent, then we can argue as in proof of Theorem 2 (Case 2.3). So, we can assume that $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$. By Lemma 8, either $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$ or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. If $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow = \emptyset$, then

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (3\delta - 5) + (\delta - \kappa + 1) \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Let $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$. Then

$$|C| \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq (3\delta - 5) + (2\delta - 2\kappa + 3) - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa. \quad \Delta$$

Proof of Theorem 5. If $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$, then we are done by Lemma 4. Let $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$ and let $Q_1^\uparrow, \dots, Q_m^\uparrow; Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ and C be as defined in Definitions A-C. The existence of $Q_1^\downarrow, \dots, Q_m^\downarrow$ follows from Lemma D. Assume w.l.o.g. that $F(Q_i^\uparrow) = v_i$ and $L(Q_i^\uparrow) = w_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). By Lemma 6, either $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent or $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$.

Case 1. $f \geq 3$.

By Lemma 9, either $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$.

Case 1.1. $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ both are independent sets.

If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then clearly C is a dominating cycle. If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$, then by (f2) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$, whence

$$c \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa + f + m - 3 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Next, if $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$, whence

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa + m > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Finally, if $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$, then by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$ and by (f2) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$. Hence,

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2f - 2m - 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 1.2. $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent and $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$.

If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then

$$c \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\delta - 2\kappa + f - 3 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta + m - 2$ and

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa + f + m - 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 1.3. $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$ and $A^\downarrow - V^\downarrow$ is independent.
If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\delta - \kappa - 3 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f2) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 2f - m$ and

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta + 2f - 3m - 5 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 1.4. $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$ and $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + f$.
Clearly

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2m \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\delta - \kappa - 5 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2. $f = 2$ and $S \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 9, either $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent or $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$.

Case 2.1. $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ both are independent sets.

If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then clearly C is a dominating cycle. If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$, then by (f3) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$ and

$$c \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa + 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Further, if $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \subseteq V^\downarrow$, then by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$, whence

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa + 1 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Finally, if $A^\uparrow \not\subseteq V^\uparrow$ and $A^\downarrow \not\subseteq V^\downarrow$, then by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$ and by (f3) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$. Then

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2.2. $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent and $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$.
If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then

$$c \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\delta - 2\kappa \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$ and

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2.3. $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$ and $A^\downarrow - V(Q_*^\downarrow)$ is independent.
If $A^\downarrow \subseteq V(Q_*^\downarrow)$, then

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |A^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\delta - \kappa - 3 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f3) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 5$ and

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - 2 > 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 2.4. $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$ and $|V^\downarrow| \geq 3\delta - 3\kappa + 3$.

Clearly

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + 2\delta - \kappa - 4 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 3. $f = 2$ and $S \subseteq V^\uparrow$.

By Lemma 6, either $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent or $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$. By Lemma 9, $|V^\downarrow| \geq 2\delta - 2\kappa + 3$.

Case 3.1. $A^\uparrow - V^\uparrow$ is independent.

If $A^\uparrow \subseteq V^\uparrow$, then

$$c \geq |A^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - \kappa \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Otherwise, by (f1) (see Lemma 10), $|V^\uparrow| \geq 2\delta - 1$ and

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa.$$

Case 3.2. $|V^\uparrow| \geq 3\delta - 5$.

Clearly

$$c \geq |V^\uparrow| + |V^\downarrow| - 2 \geq (4\delta - 2\kappa) + \delta - 4 \geq 4\delta - 2\kappa. \quad \Delta$$

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\delta \leq 2\kappa - 3$, then we are done by Lemma 4. Let $\delta \geq 2\kappa - 2$. Then the desired result follows from Theorems 2-5, immediately. Δ

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with application. Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [2] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London Math. Soc. 2(1952) 69-81.
- [3] R. Häggkvist, G.G. Nicoghossian (now - Zh.G. Nikoghosyan), A remark on hamiltonian cycles, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 30 (1981) 118-120.
- [4] Y.O. Hamidone, On critically h-connected graphs, Discrete Math. 32 (1980) 257-262.
- [5] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint hamiltonian cycles in graphs with vertices of large valency, in: L. Mirsky (Ed), Studies in Pure Mathematics, Academic Press, San Diego, London (1971) 157-183.

- [6] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, On maximal cycle of a graph, DAN Arm.SSR v.LXXII 2 (1981) 82-87 (in Russian).
- [7] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, On maximal cycle of a graph, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 17 (1982) 251-282 (in Russian).
- [8] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, A sufficient condition for a graph to be hamiltonian, Matematicheskie voprosy kibernetiki I vichislitelnoy tekhniki v. XIV (1985) 34-54 (in Russian).
- [9] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, On maximal cycle in graphs, DAN Arm.SSR v.LXXXI 4 (1985) 166-170 (in Russian).
- [10] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, Dirac-type generalizations concerning long cycles in graphs, Discrete Mathematics (2008) DOI: 10.1016/j.disc.2008.03.011.
- [11] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, Long cycles in graphs through fragments, arXiv:0809.0702 v1 [math.CO] 3 Sept. 2008.
- [12] Z. Sun, F. Tian, B. Wei, Degree sums, connectivity, and dominating cycles in graphs, Graphs and Combinatorics 17 (2001) 555-564.
- [13] H.-J. Voss, Bridges of longest circuits and longest paths in graphs, Beitrage zur graphen theorie and deren Anwendungen, Vorgetr. auf dem int. Kolloq., Oberhof DDR (1977) 275-286.
- [14] H.-J. Voss, C. Zuluaga, Maximale gerade und ungerade Kreise in Graphen I, Wiss. Z. Tech. Hochschule Ilmenau, 23 (1977) 57-70.
- [15] T. Yamashita, Degree sum and connectivity conditions for dominating cycles, Discrete Math. 308 (9) (2008) 1620-1627.