

# INFINITE PATHS IN RANDOM SHIFT GRAPHS

PIETRO MAJER AND MATTEO NOVAGA

ABSTRACT. We determine the probability thresholds for the existence of infinite paths in random shift graphs.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation	2
3. Contractable measures	3
3.1. Representation of contractable measures	3
3.2. Asymptotically contractable measures	3
4. Contractable graphs	4
4.1. Representation of contractable graphs	4
5. Solution to Problem 1	4
5.1. A variational problem in the unit cube	4
5.2. A canonical probability space	6
5.3. Paths of finite length	8
References	10

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider oriented graphs with vertices in  $\mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , the set of all ordered  $k$ -tuples of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We recall that an oriented graph  $G$  is a pair of sets  $(V_G, E_G)$ , where  $V_G$  is the set of vertices of  $G$  and  $E_G \subseteq V_G \times V_G$ , the set of edges of  $G$ , is such that  $(a, b) \in E_G$  implies  $(b, a) \notin E_G$ , for  $a, b \in V_G$ .

In order to define random subgraphs of an oriented graph  $G$ , we can choose randomly either the vertices or the edges of  $G$ , that is, we associate to the vertex (resp. to the edge)  $\alpha$  of  $G$  a measurable set  $X_\alpha \subseteq X$ , where  $(X, \mu)$  is a given probability space. We recall that a random subgraph of  $G$  can be equivalently defined by means of a measurable function  $F : X \rightarrow 2^{A_G}$ , with  $A_G \in \{E_G, V_G\}$ , such that  $X_\alpha := \{x \in X : \alpha \in F(x)\}$  for all  $\alpha \in A_G$ . We then ask if the random subgraph  $F(x)$  contains an infinite path for some  $x \in X$  (we simply say that  $F$  contains an infinite path):

**Problem 1.** Let  $G$  be an oriented graph, with  $V_G = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all  $\alpha \in A_G$ , let  $X_\alpha$  be a measurable subset of a probability space  $(X, \mu)$ . Is there an infinite sequence of vertices  $v_i \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  such that  $(v_i, v_{i+1})$  is an edge of  $G$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{v_i}$  (resp.  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{(v_i, v_{i+1})}$ ) is non-empty?

As in classic percolation theory, we also want to estimate the probability that  $F$  contains an infinite path:

$$\mu(\{x \in X : F(x) \text{ contains an infinite path}\}),$$

in terms of a parameter  $\lambda$  that bounds from below the probability that a vertex or an edge  $\alpha$  belongs to  $F$ , i.e.  $\mu(X_\alpha) \geq \lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in A_G$ .

Problem 1 was first posed in [EH:64] for the shift graphs  $G_k$  defined in Section 4. In such case, a complete solution was given in [FT:85] for  $k = 2$  ( $k = 1$  being trivial), where the authors prove that  $F$  contains an infinite path if  $\lambda \geq 1/2$ , whereas there are counterexamples for  $\lambda < 1/2$ . In the paper [BMN:08] we presented a new proof of this result, relying on the theory of exchangeable measures, first presented by De Finetti [DF:74] and later developed by many other authors (see for instance [K:05], [A:08] and references therein).

In this paper, following the method proposed in [BMN:08], we provide a solution to Problem 1 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (see Section 1), for a class of graphs which includes the shift graphs. Note that, since we can always identify the edges of  $G_k$  with the vertices of  $G_{k+1}$ , when dealing with shift graphs it is enough to consider Problem 1 with  $A_G = V_G$  (see Remark 5.7).

An important tool in the proof is the notion of joint contractability of measures (see Section 3), which extends the notion of exchangeability to the case of multiple indices. For such measures there is a remarkable representation theorem (Theorem 3.1) due independently to Aldous and Hoover (see [K:05]) which is crucial for the present work.

A related problem, which we briefly discuss at the end of the paper, is finding the thresholds for paths of finite length. We mention that the particular case of paths of length 2 in the graphs  $G_k$  has been considered in [TW:98], where the authors determine the precise thresholds for  $k \in \{2, 3\}$ , make a conjecture for  $k \in \{4, 5\}$ , and show upper and lower bounds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We point out that the case  $k = 2$  was already considered in [EH:64]. In Section 5.3, we determine the thresholds for all  $k$  odd, thus confirming the conjecture made in [TW:98] for  $k = 5$ .

## 2. NOTATION

Given a topological space  $S$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $S^{[k]}$  be the set of all subsets of  $S$  of cardinality  $k$ , endowed with the product topology, and we let  $S^{[\leq k]}$  be the set of all subsets of  $S$  of cardinality at most  $k$ . If  $S$  is ordered, we can identify  $S^{[k]}$  with the set of  $k$ -tuples  $(i_0, \dots, i_{k-1})$ , with  $i_0 < \dots < i_{k-1} \in S$ .

Given a compact metrizable space  $\Lambda$ , we let  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  be the space of all sequences with indices in  $\mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  and values in  $\Lambda$ , endowed with the product topology. The space  $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  of Borel measures on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  can be identified with  $C(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})^*$ , i.e. the dual of the Banach space of all continuous functions on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ , endowed with the weak\* topology. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem the subset  $\mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  of probability measures is a compact metrizable space. Given  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we identify  $p$  with the set  $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , and we denote by  $p^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  the (compact) Cantor space of all sequences with indices in  $\mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , taking values in  $p$ .

We shall denote by  $\omega_1$  the first uncountable ordinal, and by  $\mathcal{M}_c(\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  the set of all probability measures on  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  with compact support, i.e. with support in  $\alpha^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  for some  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

As a general rule, given a map  $f$  we use the symbol  $f_*$  (resp.  $f^*$ ) to indicate a covariant (resp. contravariant) map induced by  $f$ . Due to possible iteration of the previous rule, we sometimes use the symbol  $\#$  to sum-up a certain number of  $*$ , thus avoiding a unagreable “Christmas tree” effect.

We let  $\text{Incr}(\mathbb{N}) \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the family of all maps  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  which are strictly increasing. In particular, we let  $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the shift map defined as  $s(i) = i+1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given a map  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\sigma_* : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  be defined as  $\sigma_*(i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}) = (\sigma(i_0), \dots, \sigma(i_{k-1}))$ , we let  $\sigma^\# : \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  be defined as  $\sigma^\#(x)_i = x_{\sigma_*(i)}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , and we let  $\sigma_\# : \mathcal{M}(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  be the corresponding pushforward map.

### 3. CONTRACTABLE MEASURES

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda$  be a compact metric space, and let  $m$  be a Borel probability measure on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ . We say that  $m$  is (*jointly*) *contractable* if  $m = \sigma_\#(m)$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Incr}(\mathbb{N})$ .

Noice that, when  $k = 1$ , the notion of contractable measure reduces to the well-known notion of exchangeable measure, introduced by De Finetti in [DF:74].

**3.1. Representation of contractable measures.** Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : [0, 1]^{k^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow \Lambda$ , we let  $\hat{f} : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  be defined as

$$\hat{f} = f_* \circ o^*,$$

where  $f_* : \left([0, 1]^{k^{[\leq k]}}\right)^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ ,  $o^* : [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]} \times k^{[\leq k]}}$ , and  $o : \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \times k^{[\leq k]} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}$  is the composition function.

Contractable measures admit the following representation *à la* Aldous-Hoover:

**Theorem 3.1.** [K:05, Th. 7.15] *Let  $\Lambda$  be a compact subset of  $[0, 1]$ , with the induced metric, and let  $m$  be a contractable measure on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ . Then, there exists a measurable function  $f : [0, 1]^{k^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $m = \hat{f}_\#(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the (product) Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}}$ .*

We observe that Theorem 3.1 implies the representation result for exchangeable measures of De Finetti [DF:74], in the particular case  $k = 1$ .

**3.2. Asymptotically contractable measures.** We say that a measure  $m \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  is *asymptotically contractable* if the probability measures  $m_k := s_\#^k(m)$  weakly\* converge to a contractable measure.

The following result can be proved exactly as in [BMN:08, Prop. B.4].

**Proposition 3.2.** *Given  $m \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Incr}(\mathbb{N})$  such that the measure  $\sigma_\#(m)$  is asymptotically contractable.*

## 4. CONTRACTABLE GRAPHS

We now introduce the class of ambient graphs in which we are able to solve Problem 1.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $G$  be an oriented graph with  $V_G = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $G$  is *contractable* if

- (1)  $(a, b) \in E_G \Rightarrow a_i < b_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ;
- (2)  $\text{Incr}(\mathbb{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(G)$ , that is,  $(a, b) \in E_G \Rightarrow (\phi_*(a), \phi_*(b)) \in E_G$  for all  $\phi \in \text{Incr}(\mathbb{N})$ .

**4.1. Representation of contractable graphs.** We say that a map  $R : \{0, \dots, 2k-1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , is an *order relation* of length  $k$  if the image of  $R$  is an initial segment of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $R(i) < R(j)$ ,  $R(i') < R(j')$ , and  $R(i) < R(i+k)$ , for all  $i < j < k \leq i' < j'$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_k$  the set of all order relations  $R$  of length  $k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We say that the couple  $(v, v') \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  satisfies the order relation  $R$ , and we write  $R(v, v')$ , if there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Incr}(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $v_i = \sigma(R(i))$  and  $v'_j = \sigma(R(j+k))$  for all  $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$ . Notice that if  $v \neq v'$  then  $R(v, v')$  and  $R(v', v)$  cannot both be true.

Given an order relation  $R$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} S_R &:= \{R(0), \dots, R(k-1)\} \subset \mathbb{N}, \\ \Sigma_R &:= \{R(i) : i \leq k-1, R(i) = R(i') \text{ for some } i' \geq k\} \subset S_R, \end{aligned}$$

$\tau_R : S_R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined as  $\tau_R(R(i)) := R(i+k)$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , and  $\psi_R := \tau_R|_{\Sigma_R} : \Sigma_R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ . Note that  $S_R$  is the domain of  $\tau_R$  and  $\Sigma_R = S_R \cap \tau_R(S_R)$ . Notice also that  $\tau_R$  can be naturally extended to a function  $\tau_{R*} : S_R^{[\leq k]} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}$  defined on all the  $r$ -tuples of elements in  $S_R$ .

Given  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_k$  we let  $G_{\mathcal{C}}$  be the graph with set of vertices  $\mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , and such that  $(v, v')$ , with  $v \neq v'$ , is an edge of  $G_{\mathcal{C}}$  if and only if  $(v, v')$  satisfies  $R$  for some  $R \in \mathcal{C}$ . A typical example of such graph is the shift graph  $G_k = (V_{G_k}, E_{G_k})$  with vertices  $V_{G_k} = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  and such that  $(v, v') \in E_{G_k} = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  iff  $v'_i = v_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ , i.e.  $E_{G_k} = \mathbb{N}^{[k+1]}$ .

Notice that the graph  $G_{\mathcal{C}}$  is contractable for all  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_k$ . On the other hand, any contractable graph  $G$  is eventually equal to some  $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ , in the sense that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , depending on  $G$ , such that the graph map  $s_*^n(G) = G_{\mathcal{C}}$ , for some  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_k$ .

**Definition 4.2.** We say that a contractable graph  $G$  is *simple* if it is eventually equal to some graph  $G_R$ , i.e. if  $s_*^n(G) = G_R$ , for some  $R \in \mathcal{E}_k$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 5. SOLUTION TO PROBLEM 1

In this section we give an answer to Problem 1, when  $A_G = V_G$ , under the additional assumptions that  $G$  is simple contractable, and there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\mu(X_v) \geq \lambda$  for all  $v \in V_G$ .

**5.1. A variational problem in the unit cube.** We first discuss a variational problem on the unit cube, which is strictly related to Problem 1, thanks to Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $S$  be a countable set, let  $S_0 \subset S$  be a finite subset, and let  $\tau : S_0 \rightarrow S$  without cycles, so that  $\tau^*$  maps  $[0, 1]^S$  into  $[0, 1]^{S_0}$ . For all measurable functions  $f : [0, 1]^{S_0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  we let*

$$(5.1) \quad Z_\tau(f) := \{x \in [0, 1]^S : f(x|_{S_0}) > f(\tau^*(x))\}.$$

We then have

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{f:[0,1]^{S_0} \rightarrow [0,1]} |Z_\tau(f)| = 1 - \frac{1}{w(\tau)},$$

where

$$w(\tau) := 1 + \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists s \in S_0 \text{ s.t. } \tau^j(s) \in S_0 \forall 1 \leq j \leq n-1\} \geq 2.$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\tau$  can be extended to a  $p$ -periodic function  $\tilde{\tau}$  on the whole of  $S$ , for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $f : [0, 1]^{S_0} \rightarrow I$  and notice that

$$\bigcap_{j=0}^{p-1} (\tilde{\tau}^*)^j(Z_\tau) = \emptyset.$$

It then follows

$$p(1 - |Z_\tau|) = p|Z_\tau^c| \geq \left| \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} (\tilde{\tau}^*)^j(Z_\tau)^c \right| = 1 - \left| \bigcap_{j=0}^{p-1} (\tilde{\tau}^*)^j(Z_\tau) \right| = 1,$$

which implies

$$(5.3) \quad |Z_\tau(f)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Observe that, by definition of  $w(\tau)$ , we can find a  $w(\tau)$ -periodic function  $\tilde{\tau} : S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\tilde{\tau}|_{S_0} = \tau|_{S_0}$ . From (5.3) it then follows

$$|Z_\tau(f)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{w(\tau)}.$$

We now prove the opposite inequality. By definition of  $w(\tau)$  there exists  $\bar{s} \in S_0$  such that  $\tau^j(\bar{s}) \in S_0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq w(\tau) - 2$ . Let us consider the function

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon w(\tau)} \left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq w(\tau)-2} x_{\tau^j(\bar{s})} + \varepsilon \bar{j} \right),$$

where  $\bar{j} = \bar{j}(x) \in S$  is such that  $x_{\tau^{\bar{j}}(\bar{s})} = \max_{0 \leq j \leq w(\tau)-2} x_{\tau^j(\bar{s})}$ . Notice that  $\bar{j}(x)$  is well-defined out of a set of zero measure. We then have

$$|Z_\tau(f_\varepsilon)| = 1 - \frac{1}{w(\tau)} + \omega(\varepsilon),$$

which gives (5.2) passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Even if we do not prove it here, we believe that the supremum in (5.2) is indeed a maximum.

**Example 5.2.** In the particular case where  $S = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_0 = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $\tau$  is the shift modulus  $k+1$ , i.e.  $\tau(i) = i+1 \pmod{k+1}$  we have  $w(\tau) = k+1$ , so that

$$\sup_{f:[0,1]^{S_0} \rightarrow I} |Z_\tau(f)| = 1 - \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

**5.2. A canonical probability space.** Following [BMN:08], we reformulate Problem 1 as a variational problem on a suitable space of sequences. For all  $x \in X$ , we consider the oriented graph  $F(x) < G$ , whose vertices are all the  $v \in V_G$  such that  $v \in X_v$ . Given  $v \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , we also let  $Y_v \subseteq X$  be the subset of all  $x \in X$  such that  $F(x)$  contains an infinite path starting from  $v$ , i.e. there exists an infinite sequence  $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $v_1 = v$  and  $x \in \bigcap_k X_{v_k}$ .

Recalling that a partially ordered set admits a decreasing function into the first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$  (the height function) if and only if it has no infinite increasing sequences, we can define a measurable map  $\phi : X \times \mathbb{N}^{[k]} \rightarrow \omega_1 + 1$  by setting

$$\phi(x, v) = \begin{cases} \sup_{v' \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]} : (v, v') \in E_G, x \in X_{v'}} \phi(x, v') + 1 & \text{if } x \in X_v \setminus Y_v, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin X_v \\ \omega_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We identify this map with the map  $\phi : X \rightarrow (\omega_1 + 1)^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  defined as  $\phi(x)_v = \phi(x, v)$ . We also set  $\tilde{\phi} : X \rightarrow \omega_1 + 1$  as  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]}} \phi(x, v)$ . Notice that  $\phi(x, v) < \omega_1$  iff there is no infinite path in  $F(x)$  starting from  $v$ , and in this case  $\phi(x, v)$  is precisely the height of the vertex  $v$  in  $F(x)$ . In particular, if  $F$  has no infinite paths, then the function  $\phi$  takes value in  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  and, if there are no paths of length  $p$ , then it takes values in  $p^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ . It also follows that  $F$  has an infinite path if and only if the measurable set  $\{x : \tilde{\phi}(x) = \omega_1\}$  is non-empty.

As in [BMN:08, Lemma 3.2], one can show that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is essentially bounded, even if not necessarily bounded everywhere, if  $F$  has no infinite paths:

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $F$  has no infinite paths, then  $\tilde{\phi} \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .*

As a consequence, if  $F$  has no infinite paths, then the function  $\phi$  maps  $X$  (up to a set of zero measure) into the Cantor space  $\alpha^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ , for some  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , so that it induces a probability measure  $m = \phi_\#(\mu)$  on  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  concentrated on  $\alpha^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ , i.e.  $m(\alpha^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}) = 1$ . Moreover, for all  $v \in V_G$  we have

$$\phi(X_v) \subseteq A_v := \left\{ x \in (\omega_1 + 1)^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}} : x_v > x_{v'} \text{ for all } v' \text{ such that } (v, v') \in E_G \right\}.$$

We now state the main result on the existence of an infinite path.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $G$  be a simple contractable graph with  $V_G = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , i.e.  $G = G_R$  for some  $R \in \mathcal{E}_k$ , up to a finite number of shifts, and let  $F : X \rightarrow 2^{V_G}$  with no infinite paths. Let also*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_G &:= \sup_{f: [0,1]^{\Sigma_R^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow [0,1]} \left| \left\{ x \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}} : f(x)|_{\Sigma_R^{[\leq k]}} > f(\psi_R^\#(x)) \right\} \right| \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{w(\psi_R)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(5.4) \quad \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}_c(\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})} \inf_{v \in V_G} m(A_v) \leq \lambda_G.$$

In particular, the random graph  $F < G$  has an infinite path if

$$(5.5) \quad \lambda := \inf_{v \in V_G} \mu(X_v) > \lambda_G.$$

On the contrary, if  $\lambda < \lambda_G$  we can find  $F$  such that  $F(x)$  has no infinite paths for some  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* The first part of the proof is adapted from [BMN:08, Th. 3.3], and we only sketch it.

Since the support of  $m$  is contained in  $\alpha_0^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ , for some compact ordinal  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$ , thanks to Proposition 3.2 we can assume that  $m$  is asymptotically contractable, i.e. the sequence  $m_k = (s_{\#})^k(m)$  converges to a contractable measure  $m' \in \mathcal{M}_1(\alpha_0^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  in the weak\* topology. Moreover, by ordinal induction one can prove that for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there holds

$$\inf_{v \in V_G} m(\{x \in A_v : x_v \leq \alpha\}) \leq \inf_{v \in V_G} m'(\{x \in A_v : x_v \leq \alpha\}) = m'(Z_{\psi_R}),$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of contractable measure. Therefore, we can safely assume that the measure  $m$  in (5.4) is contractable.

Thanks to Theorem 3.1 we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}_c(\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})} \inf_{v \in V_G} m(A_v) = \sup_{m \text{ contractable}} m(Z_{\psi_R}) \\ &= \sup_{f: [0,1]^{S_R^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow [0,1]} \left| \left\{ x : f(x|_{S_R^{[\leq k]}}) > f(x|_{\sigma^{-1}\tau_R\sigma(S_R)^{[\leq k]}}) \forall \sigma \in \text{Incr}(\mathbb{N}) \right\} \right| \\ &= \sup_{f: [0,1]^{\Sigma_R^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow [0,1]} \left| \left\{ x : f(x|_{\Sigma_R^{[\leq k]}}) > f(\psi_R^{\#}(x)) \right\} \right| = \lambda_G, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 5.1.

To prove that last assertion it is enough to fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider a contractable measure  $m \in \mathcal{M}_1(\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  such that

$$m(Z_{\psi_R}) \geq \lambda_G - \varepsilon.$$

The conclusion follows by choosing  $X = \omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  as probability space, equipped with the measure  $m$ , and letting  $X_v = A_v$ . In this way, we define a random graph  $F$  with no infinite paths and such that  $m(A_v) \geq \lambda_G - \varepsilon$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ .  $\square$

The next result provides a sharp lower bound on the probability of having an infinite path [BMN:08, Cor. 3.4].

**Corollary 5.5.** *Assume that the sets  $X_v$  are such that  $\inf_{v \in V_G} \mu(X_v) = \lambda \geq \lambda_G$ . Let  $P_\lambda$  be the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $F(x)$  contains an infinite path. Then*

$$\mu(P_\lambda) \geq \frac{\lambda - \lambda_G}{1 - \lambda_G}.$$

**Remark 5.6.** In the particular case of the shift graph  $G_k$ , we have  $\tau_R = s \pmod{k+1}$ ,  $S_R = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $\Sigma_R = \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  ( $\Sigma_R = \emptyset$  if

$k = 1$ ). From Lemma 5.1 and Example 5.2 it then follows that the threshold  $\lambda_{G_k}$  is given by

$$\lambda_{G_k} = 1 - \frac{1}{k}.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 5.4  $F < G_k$  contains an infinite path if  $\lambda > \lambda_{G_k}$ .

It is not clear from this analysis what happens when  $\lambda = \lambda_{G_k}$ , even if we expect that there are still infinite paths (this is proved in [FT:85] and [BMN:08] when  $k = 2$ ).

**Remark 5.7.** An analog result to Theorem 5.4 holds if we randomly choose the edges of a simple contractable graph  $G$ . Indeed, let  $G = G_R$  with  $V_G = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$  and  $R \in \mathcal{E}_k$ , and let  $F : X \rightarrow 2^{E_G}$  with no infinite paths. Then

$$(5.6) \quad \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}_c(\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})} \inf_{e \in E_G} m(A_e) \leq \lambda_G,$$

where

$$\lambda_G := \sup_{f: [0,1]^{S_R^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow [0,1]} \left| \left\{ x \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}} : f(x|_{S_R^{[\leq k]}}) > f(\tau_{R*}^*(x)) \right\} \right| = 1 - \frac{1}{w(\tau_R)}.$$

We can reduce the previous result to Theorem 5.4, by identifying the edges of  $G$  with the vertices of the contractable graph  $G' = G_{R'}$ , with  $V_{G'} = \mathbb{N}^{[R(2k-1)+1]}$  and  $R'$  is such that two edges are adjacent in  $G_R$  if and only if the corresponding two vertices are connected in  $G_{R'}$ .

In particular, the random graph  $F < G$  has an infinite path if

$$(5.7) \quad \lambda := \inf_{e \in E_G} \mu(X_e) > \lambda_G.$$

On the contrary, if  $\lambda < \lambda_G$  we can find  $F$  such that  $F(x)$  has no infinite paths for some  $x \in X$ .

**5.3. Paths of finite length.** Given a simple contractable graph  $G$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can look for the threshold  $\lambda_p$  such that  $F < G$  contains a path of length  $p$ , whenever  $\lambda > \lambda_p$ . Clearly  $\lambda_p > 0$  is an increasing function of  $p$  and  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_p = \lambda_G$ . We can proceed exactly as in Theorem 5.4 (see also [BMN:08]), with the simplification that the Cantor space  $\omega_1^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$  is replaced by  $p^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}}$ , and obtain the following characterization of  $\lambda_p$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $G$  be a simple contractable graph with  $V_G = \mathbb{N}^{[k]}$ , let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $F < G$  with no paths of length  $p$ . Then, for all  $m \in \mathcal{M}_1(p^{\mathbb{N}^{[k]}})$  we have  $\inf_{v \in V_G} m(A_v) \leq \lambda_p$ , where*

$$(5.8) \quad \lambda_p := \sup_{f: [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}} \rightarrow p} \left| \left\{ x \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}^{[\leq k]}} : f(x|_{\Sigma_R^{[\leq k]}}) > f(\psi_R^\#(x)) \right\} \right|.$$

*In particular, the random graph  $F < G$  has an infinite path if*

$$(5.9) \quad \inf_{v \in V_G} \mu(X_v) > \lambda_p.$$

*On the other hand, if  $\lambda < \lambda_p$  we can find  $F < G$  such that  $F(x)$  has no paths of length  $p$ , and  $\mu(X_v) = \lambda$  for all  $v \in V_G$ .*

We are able to explicitly compute the value of  $\lambda_p$  when  $G = G_k$ .



**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $G = G_k$ . Then*

$$(5.10) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{k-1}{p} \right\rceil\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \leq \lambda_{p,k} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{p} \right\rfloor\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

*In particular, if  $(k-1)$  is a multiple of  $p$  we get*

$$(5.11) \quad \lambda_{p,k} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

*Proof.* As in Example 5.2 we have  $\tau = \tau_R = s \pmod{k+1}$ ,  $S_R = \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $\Sigma_R = \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  ( $\Sigma_R = \emptyset$  if  $k=1$ ). Let us consider the function

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = \bar{j} \pmod{p},$$

where  $x_{\bar{j}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} x_i$ , as in the proof of Lemma 5.1. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{p,k} &= \sup_{f: [0,1]^{\Sigma_R^{\leq k}} \rightarrow p} \left| \left( \left\{ x \in [0,1]^{(k+1)^{\leq k}} : f(x|_{\Sigma_R^{\leq k}}) > f(\tau^\#(x)) \right\} \right) \right| \\ &= \sup_{g: [0,1]^{\Sigma_R^{\leq k}} \rightarrow p} \left| \left\{ x \in [0,1]^{(k+1)^{\leq k}} : g(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) > g(x_2, \dots, x_k) \right\} \right| \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{k-1}{p} \right\rceil\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We thus proved the first inequality in (5.10).

In order to prove the opposite inequality, for all functions  $f: [0,1]^{\Sigma_R^{\leq k}} \rightarrow p$  we let

$$A_f := \left\{ x \in [0,1]^{(k+1)^{\leq k}} : f(x|_{\Sigma_R^{\leq k}}) > f(\tau^\#(x)) \right\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\tau}: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  be the  $k$ -periodic function defined as  $\tilde{\tau}(i) = i+1$  if  $i < k$ , and  $\tilde{\tau}(k) = 1$ . We observe that

$$k|A_f^c| \geq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \chi_{(\tilde{\tau}^{e*})^j(A_f)^c} \geq 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{p} \right\rfloor,$$

which gives

$$|A_f| \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{p} \right\rfloor\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

□

From Proposition 5.9 it follows that, for  $G = G_k$  with  $k$  odd, we have

$$\lambda_{2,k} = \frac{k-1}{2k},$$

in particular  $\lambda_{2,5} = 2/5$ , thus confirming a conjecture made in [TW:98]. We point out that in [TW:98] it is also shown that  $\lambda_{2,6} > 5/12$ , hence in particular (5.11) cannot hold for all couples  $(p, k)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [A:08] T. Austin, On exchangeable random variables and the statistics of large graphs and hypergraphs, *Probability Surveys* 5 (2008), 80–145.
- [B:79] B. Bollobás, *Graph Theory: An Introductory Course*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).
- [BMN:08] A. Berarducci, P. Majer, M. Novaga, Percolation-type problems on infinite random graphs, *arXiv:0809.2335* (2008).
- [C:69] G. Choquet, *Lectures on Analysis I*, Benjamin, Reading, Mass. (1969).
- [DF:74] B. De Finetti, *Theory of Probability*, Wiley, London (1974).
- [EH:64] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, Some remarks on set theory. IX: Combinatorial problems in measure theory and set theory, *Mich. Math. J.* 11 (1964), 107–127.
- [FS:76] T. Figiel, L. Sucheston, An application of Ramsey sets in analysis, *Advances in Math.* 20 (1976), 103–105.
- [F:86] D. H. Fremlin, Families of random triples, *Publ. Math. Univ. Pierre Marie Curie* 82, Exp. No. 9 (1986).
- [FT:85] D. H. Fremlin, M. Talagrand, Subgraphs of random graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 291 (1985), 551–582.
- [HS:55] E. Hewitt and J. Savage, Symmetric measures on cartesian products, *Trans. of the AMS* 80 (1955), 470–501.
- [K:05] O. Kallenberg, *Probabilistic Symmetries and Invariance Principles*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2005).
- [K:78] J. F. C. Kingman, Uses of exchangeability, *Annals of Prob.* 6 (1978), 183–197.
- [P:82] W. Parry, *Topics in Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).
- [R:28] F. P. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc., Ser. 2*, 30 (1928), 338–384.
- [TW:98] W. T. Trotter, P. M. Winkler, Ramsey theory and sequences of random variables, *Probability, Combinatorics and Computing* 7 (1998), 221–238.

PIETRO MAJER

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA,  
LARGO B. PONTECORVO 5, 56127 PISA, ITALY, EMAIL: MAJER@DM.UNIPI.IT

MATTEO NOVAGA,

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA,  
VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY, EMAIL: NOVAGA@MATH.UNIPD.IT