

# The forward–backward asymmetry of top quark production at the Tevatron in warped extra dimensional models

Abdelhak Djouadi,<sup>1</sup> Grégory Moreau,<sup>1</sup> François Richard,<sup>2</sup> and Ritesh K. Singh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université Paris XI, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France.*

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Université de Paris–Sud, F-91898 Orsay Cedex, France.*

<sup>3</sup>*Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Physik II, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany.*

The CDF and D0 experiments have reported on the measurement of the forward–backward asymmetry of top quark pair production at the Tevatron and the result is that it is more than 2 standard deviations above the predicted value in the Standard Model. This has to be added to the long-standing anomaly in the forward–backward asymmetry for bottom quark production at LEP which is 3 standard deviations different from the Standard Model value. The discrepancy in the bottom asymmetry can be accounted for by the contributions of Kaluza–Klein excitations of electroweak gauge bosons at LEP in warped extra dimensional models in which the fermions are localized differently along the extra dimension so that the gauge interactions of heavy third generation fermions are naturally different from that of light fermions. In this paper, we show that it is more difficult to elaborate a model generating a significant top asymmetry in a similar way - through exchanges of Kaluza–Klein gluons at the Tevatron - due to the indirect constraints originating from precision electroweak data.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t,14.80.-j,11.10.Kk

Apparently, something is indeed rotten in the kingdom of third generation quarks. Adding to the longstanding anomaly of the forward–backward (FB) asymmetry for  $b$ -quark jets  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$  measured in  $Z$  boson decays at LEP [1, 2], which differs by 3 standard deviations from the Standard Model (SM) value [3], the CDF and D0 collaborations have reported results [4, 5] on the measurement of the FB asymmetry of top quark pairs produced at the Tevatron,  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$ , that are not consistent with the SM expectation. In particular, the latest and most precise result from the CDF collaboration [4], using  $3.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  data, gives for this asymmetry in the  $p\bar{p}$  laboratory frame

$$A_{\text{FB}}^t = 0.19 \pm 0.065 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.024 \text{ (syst)}. \quad (1)$$

In the SM, this asymmetry is predicted to be vanishing at first order in QCD. Indeed, a very nice feature of the Tevatron is that it is almost a  $q\bar{q}$  collider for top quark pair production as the process occurs mainly through virtual gluon exchange in  $q\bar{q}$  annihilation, with only a small contribution from the initiated gluon-gluon fusion channel. As gluons have only vector–like couplings to quarks, the process does not generate an asymmetry between quarks and antiquarks and thus,  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  is identically zero [6]. The asymmetry is then generated at next–to–leading order (NLO) in QCD by diagrams involving an extra gluon radiation and (anti)quark–gluon annihilation as well as from the interference between the Born gluon exchange with one–loop box diagrams. These NLO contributions lead to the expected value in the SM of [7]

$$A_{\text{FB}}^t = 0.05 \pm 0.015. \quad (2)$$

In the absence of large higher order contributions [8], this leads to a 2 standard deviation between the experimentally measured and the theoretically predicted values. This is in contrast to the total  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  production cross section at the Tevatron which is measured to be [9]

$$\sigma(t\bar{t})^{\text{ex}} = 7.0 \pm 0.63 \text{ pb}, \quad (3)$$

in a good agreement with the SM expectation [10, 11],

$$\sigma(t\bar{t})^{\text{th}} = 7.0_{-0.79}^{+0.71} \text{ pb}. \quad (4)$$

As in the case of the LEP  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$  anomaly (see Ref. [12] and references therein), it is very difficult to explain this discrepancy, without affecting significantly the well behaved  $t\bar{t}$  cross section, in well motivated extensions of the SM such as supersymmetric models for instance [13]. Among the very few attempts that have been made, examples are the exchange of TeV mass axi-gluons [7], colored gauge bosons which have axial–vectorial couplings to quarks; another possibility discussed in Ref. [14], would be flavor universal colorons which occur in gauge group models with an extended color such as topcolor or topcolor assisted technicolor models. These extensions do not cure the LEP  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$  anomaly, though.

In Ref. [12] it has been shown that the discrepancy between the LEP measured value of  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$  and the theoretical prediction can be resolved in the context of variants of the Randall–Sundrum (RS) extra–dimensional model [15] in which the SM fermion and bosonic fields are propagating in the bulk, except for the Higgs boson that is confined on the so–called TeV–brane. This allows a new interpretation of SM fermion mass hierarchies, if these fermions are localized differently along the extra dimension depending on their nature. One can then naturally obtain ElectroWeak (EW) interactions for the heavy third generation fermions that are different from the ones of the light fermions. More precisely, the  $Z$  boson will mix with its Kaluza–Klein (KK) excitations and only its overall couplings to third generation fermions are significantly altered, due to the higher KK gauge boson coupling to the heavy flavors. An adequate choice of the  $b$ -quark localization allows to explain the  $3\sigma$  deviation of  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$ , while keeping all other precision measurements unaltered.

In this letter, we show how the same warped extra dimensional scenario that resolves the LEP  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$  anomaly could *in principle* also soften the discrepancy between the

measured value of  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  at the Tevatron and its theoretical value. Here again, the apparent  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  anomaly could be addressed thanks to the naturally larger KK gauge boson couplings to the third generation quarks but when trying to construct a realistic scenario respecting all the precision electroweak measurements we find it more tricky to significantly enhance  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$ . Nevertheless, the realistic scenario obtained, where  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  is relatively enhanced, provides interesting possibilities for the direct search of KK modes.

The RS warped extra-dimensional scenario [15] was originally proposed as a solution to the gauge hierarchy problem. It consists of a five-dimensional theory where the warped extra dimension is compactified over a  $S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold. The fermions possess five-dimensional masses, quantified by the parameters  $c_f$  associated to each multiplet. These various masses determine the fermion localizations along the extra dimension. A possible way to avoid large deviations from the KK states in the set of high precision EW observables [1, 2], while keeping the mass of the first KK weak gauge boson excitations (that are nearly equal to the KK gluon mass  $M_{KK}$ ) as low as the TeV scale, is to extend the SM group by gauging the custodial symmetry  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$  in the bulk [16]. In particular, an additional KK  $Z'$  boson then arises with a coupling constant  $g_{Z'}$  that is related to the mixing angle between the  $Z$  and  $Z'$  bosons.

In the SM sector of the gauge bosons and light fermions  $f \neq b, t$ , if the fermion localization and hence the  $c_f$  parameters are such that  $c_{\text{light}} \gtrsim 0.5$  [17], they lead to an acceptable fit of EW data provided that  $M_{KK} \approx 3$  TeV in the case of the bulk custodial symmetry [16]. In contrast, for third generation  $Q = t$  and  $b$  quarks, the parameters for right- and left-handed states  $c_{t_R}$ ,  $c_{b_R}$  and  $c_{Q_L} = c_{b_L} = c_{t_L}$  (as a result of  $SU(2)$  symmetry), should be chosen smaller,  $c_Q \lesssim 0.5$ , in order to produce relatively large quark masses [17]. Thus, the corrections to the crucial observables of the heavy  $b$ -quark sector at LEP, namely  $A_{\text{FB}}^b$  and the partial  $Z$  boson decay width  $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ , and the Tevatron observables in top quark production,  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  and  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$ , have to be treated separately.

We consider the scenario consisting of the quark multiplets given in [19], which correspond to our previous choice of representation [12] (RSb model). For the parameter values, we have found interesting to take  $M_{KK} \simeq 2.75$  TeV and:

$$c_{Q_L} = 0.35, c_{b_R} = 0.49; c_{\text{light}} \gtrsim 0.5; g_{Z'} = 3.1 \quad (5)$$

which leads to a good fit of the observables in the  $b$ -quark sector at LEP (namely  $R_b = \Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})/\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ ) and  $A_{\text{FB}}^b(\sqrt{s})$  at the different energies): a  $\chi^2 \simeq 16$  compared to  $\chi^2 \simeq 21$  in the SM [12, 20], and a bottom quark mass  $m_b \simeq 4$  GeV, which is acceptable keeping in mind that a full three-flavor treatment (beyond our scope here and let for a future study) would even improve the value. Moreover, for the *non bottom-top* quark EW observables, it allows to obtain a global fit that is better than in the SM as shown in [20].

Generically in the RS model, the pair production of

top quarks in  $q\bar{q}$  annihilation does not proceed through gluon exchange (and gluon-gluon fusion) only, but also via the exchange of the KK gluon. The couplings of the first KK excitation of the gluon to left- and right-handed  $q \equiv u, d$  quarks are different and proportional to  $g_S Q(c_{q_{L/R}})$  where  $g_S$  is the usual QCD coupling and the charges  $Q(c_{q_{L/R}})$  are the geometrical factors giving the ratio to the four-dimensional effective coupling of the gluon to  $q_{L/R}$ ; for a light quark  $q$  with  $c_q \gtrsim 0.5$  one has  $Q(c_q) \approx -0.2$ , while for the heavy third generation  $t, b$  quarks,  $Q(c_{t,b})$  can be taken close to or larger than unity. Thus, the KK gluon coupling to quarks is not vectorial anymore, but has also an axial-vector component,  $v_q/a_q \propto Q(c_{q_R}) \pm Q(c_{q_L})$ . It is this axial-vector component of the KK gluon coupling which will generate a FB asymmetry for top quark pair production at the tree-level. The angular distribution of the subprocess  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\cos\theta_t^*} &\propto 2 - \beta_t^2 \sin^2\theta^* + \hat{s}^2 |\mathcal{D}|^2 \left[ 8v_q v_t a_q a_t \beta_t \cos\theta^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (a_q^2 + v_q^2) (v_t^2 (2 - \beta_t^2 \sin^2\theta^*) + a_t^2 \beta_t^2 (1 + \cos^2\theta^*)) \right] \\ &\quad + 4\hat{s} \text{Re}(\mathcal{D}) \left[ v_q v_t \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_t^2 \sin^2\theta^* \right) + a_q a_t \beta_t \cos\theta^* \right] \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{s}$  is the effective c.m. energy of the subprocess,  $\theta^*$  the scattering angle in the  $q\bar{q}$  frame,  $\beta_t = \sqrt{1 - 4m_t^2/\hat{s}}$  is the velocity of the top quark and  $\mathcal{D} = (\hat{s} - M_{KK}^2 + i\Gamma_{KK} M_{KK})^{-1}$  the propagator of the KK gluon with mass  $M_{KK}$  and total width  $\Gamma_{KK}$ . To obtain the  $p\bar{p}$  hadronic cross section  $\sigma$ , one must then integrate over the angle  $\theta^*$ , sum over all contributing initial quarks and convolute with their parton distribution functions.

The FB asymmetry of the top quark is then defined as

$$A_{\text{FB}}^t = \frac{\sigma(\cos\theta_t > 0) - \sigma(\cos\theta_t < 0)}{\sigma(\cos\theta_t > 0) + \sigma(\cos\theta_t < 0)}. \quad (7)$$

where now  $\theta_t$  is the angle between the reconstructed top quark momentum relative to the proton beam direction. It is proportional to the factor in front of  $\cos\theta^*$  in eq. (6),

$$A_{\text{FB}}^t \propto a_q a_t \beta_t \hat{s} |\mathcal{D}|^2 [(\hat{s} - M_{KK}^2) + 4v_q v_t \hat{s}], \quad (8)$$

which originates from the interference between the gluon and the KK gluon contributions and also from the pure KK gluon diagram. Note that  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  is non-zero only if both axial-vector couplings of  $g_{KK}$ ,  $a_q$  and  $a_t$  are non-zero. The product  $a_q a_t$  should be negative along with  $\hat{s} < M_{KK}^2/(1 + 2v_q v_t)$ , to have a positive  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  below the  $g_{KK}$  resonance, as is the case with  $M_{KK} \approx 3$  TeV. Thus, one needs to maximize  $a_q a_t$  while keeping  $v_q v_t$  reasonable to achieve a large asymmetry. However, if  $a_q a_t$  is too large, it will significantly alter  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$  which is in accord with the SM. A judicious choice of the couplings  $a_q$  and  $a_t$  of the  $g_{KK}$  excitation is thus required.

Note that at NLO, there are additional contributions to  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$ , e.g. stemming from the interference of the diagram with KK gluon exchange and the SM box diagrams; these small corrections will not be considered here.

The numerical results that we obtain are summarized in Fig. 1 which displays the contour levels in the plane  $[c_{qL}, c_{tR}]$  corresponding, typically, to the maximum  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  asymmetry as well as the associated  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$  values (within  $\approx 1.65\sigma$  of the experimental value i.e. at the 90% C.L.). Note that the domain  $c_{tR} < -0.6$  corresponds typically to too light custodians. In the figure, we have fixed the  $c$  values of the right-handed first generation quarks to  $c_{uR} \approx c_{dR} \approx 0.8$ . The chosen range for  $c_{qL}$  with much smaller values than  $c_{uR} \approx c_{dR}$ , allows substantial parity violating couplings of first generation quarks to the KK gluon and, hence, a sizable  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$ . It seems that this choice  $c_{qL} < 0.5$  requires a certain flavor structure among 5D Yukawa couplings for reproducing quark mixing angles. A study dedicated to the complete three-flavor structure will be performed in [21].




FIG. 1: Contour levels in the plane  $[c_{qL}, c_{tR}]$  ( $c_{qL}$  is for first generation) for the total cross section  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$  (dashed lines) and the forward-backward asymmetry  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  [in %] for constant (thin solid lines) and variable (thick solid lines) total decay width for the KK gluon; the other parameters are as in eq. (5).

The decrease of  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$  with both  $c_{qL}$  and  $c_{tR}$  is caused by the increase of the  $g_{KK}$  couplings to  $\bar{q}_L q_L$  and  $\bar{t}_R t_R$  states which dominantly enhance the total  $g_{KK}$  decay width. The increase of  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  with the decrease of  $c_{tR}$ , which amplifies the difference between  $c_{tR}$  and the fixed  $c_{qL}$ , finds its origin in the larger parity violation effect on the four-dimensional  $g_{KK} \bar{t} t$  coupling.  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  can thus reach sizable values in regions where  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$  has values consistent with Tevatron data at the  $1.65\sigma$  level.

In the region  $c_{tR} \simeq -0.5$ ,  $c_{qL} \sim 0.4$ , the order of magnitude obtained for top quark mass,  $m_t \approx 10^2$  GeV, is acceptable while, despite of the atypically large  $Q(c_{qL})$  values for first generation quarks (we had also to take  $c_{sR} \simeq 0.47 < 0.5$ ), the  $Z\bar{q}q$  couplings are in good agreement with all precision data: the measurements at LEP [2], the NuTeV results [1, 22] as well as with the less accurate Tevatron and HERA data on quarks  $u/d$  [23]. This separate analysis of precision constraints on the first two generation quarks will be described in details in [21]; the reasons why these constraints can be respected are the relatively low  $c_{qL}$  value and the existence of can-

cellations. For example, the small deviations to the  $Z$  hadronic width result from an approximative cancellation of the  $Z'$ -induced corrections between  $u_L$  and  $d_L$  due to isospins [24]. The deviations to this cancellation are compensated by the corrections induced by the KK  $Z$  bosons and the other quark chiralities/generations (controlled by other parameters). Interestingly, the NuTeV discrepancy on  $g_L^2$  for first generation quarks at  $1.9\sigma$  in the SM decreases here down to  $0.7\sigma$ , while we obtain  $g_R^2$  at  $0.6\sigma$  only [weaker experimental accuracy]. This significant change w.r.t. SM is allowed by the absence of correction compensation among different quark chiralities/generations, in contrast with the case of the  $Z$  hadronic width.

One must remark that for our parameters the total decay width for the KK gluon turns out to be quite large,  $\Gamma_{KK} \approx 30\% M_{KK}$ . For such a broad resonance, at least the energy dependent width, if not the full set of radiative corrections to the  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  process, should be used, in much the same way as for the  $\rho$  vector-meson exchange in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  [26]. We have checked that by doing so, the contribution to  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  from KK gluon exchange is increased as illustrated on the figure [see the thick solid contour lines].

In the acceptable region considered above ( $c_{tR} \simeq -0.5$ ,  $c_{qL} \sim 0.4$ ), the contribution from the KK gluon exchange is  $A_{\text{FB}}^t \simeq 2\%$  as shown by the contours of Fig. 1 [25]. Adding this contribution to the SM NLO value, one obtains a total of  $A_{\text{FB}}^t|_{\text{RS+SM}} \approx 7\%$ , which represents a relative improvement over the SM results. The significant gap remaining between the experimental  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  value and its theoretical prediction could be explained by the still uncalculated QCD corrections at NNLO – possibly important. Note finally that there is no fine-tuning of parameters as in a large range of  $c$  values, a sizable  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  is obtained.

In order to illustrate the importance of the indirect precision electroweak constraints, we just mention here an example of different choice of quark representations given in [28] which could lead to a more important  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  increase but in which it seems impossible to get realistic values simultaneously for the observables on  $Z\bar{q}q$  vertex coming from the atomic parity violation and the quark asymmetry  $Q_{\text{FB}}$  [1]. Here, the effects of KK quark mixing in the first generation sector should be studied in more details.

At this stage, a few important remarks are in order.

*i)* Another puzzling feature of the CDF data is that the differential cross section with respect to the  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  invariant mass is, except for the two extreme points, systematically below the SM expectation [27]. The negative interference between the contributions of the gluon and its KK excitation can lower the mass distribution as to fit nicely the data. We expect a tiny excess of events,  $\mathcal{O}(20\%)$ , for invariant  $t\bar{t}$  masses above 800 GeV; this excess is found to be more significant in other scenarios, e.g. for slightly lower  $M_{KK}$  values.

*ii)* The contribution to  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  and  $\sigma(t\bar{t})$  from the exchange of the KK excitations of EW gauge bosons are

not dominant for the model considered in the present paper.

*iii)* At the Large Hadron Collider, gluon KK states could be slightly more produced in our scenario where the KK gluon coupling to first generation quarks is enhanced (as  $c_{q_L} < 0.5$ , in contrast with usual assumptions, which pushes the quark wave functions towards the KK profile) and top charge asymmetry could be measured [29], confirming or invalidating the present scenario; all this might be, however, rather challenging.

In conclusion, we have proposed an RS scenario in which the theoretical value of  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  is relatively closer to the value measured at the Tevatron, compared to the SM situation.

If the deviation persists in data and the relevant higher order corrections to  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  in the SM can mainly explain the experimental result, then the warped extra dimensions could be play a partial role in the interpretation of this discrepancy.

**Acknowledgments:** The work of AD and GM is supported by HEPTOOLS while the work of RKS is supported by the German BMBF under contract 05HT6WWA. We thank Z. Zhang for discussions.

- 
- [1] Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. B667 (2008) 1.
- [2] The LEP Collaborations, Phys. Rep. 427 (2006) 257, <http://lepewwg.web.cern.ch/LEPEWWG>.
- [3] A. Djouadi, J. Kühn and P.M. Zerwas, Z. Phys. C46 (1990) 411.
- [4] The CDF Collaboration, “Measurement of the Forward-Backward Asymmetry in  $t\bar{t}$  Production in  $3.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $p\bar{p}$  Collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$ ” (March 2009), CDF/ANAL/TOP/PUBLIC/9724; <http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/top/2009/tprop/Afb/>.
- [5] D0 Collaboration (V.M. Abazov et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 142002; CDF Collaboration (T. Aaltonen et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 202001.
- [6] There are also contributions to the  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  process from the photon and the  $Z$  boson. However, because of the small EW coupling compared to the QCD coupling and, since these diagrams do not interfere with the one with gluon exchange as a result of color conservation, the contributions are extremely small,  $\lesssim 0.15\%$ .
- [7] O. Antunano, J. H. Kuhn and G. Rodrigo, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 014003; J. H. Kuhn and G. Rodrigo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 49.
- [8] For instance, inclusive  $t\bar{t}$  production with one additional jet exhibits a FB asymmetry of about  $-7\%$  at leading order in QCD but the corrections at NLO are large, reducing the asymmetry to  $(1.5 \pm 1.5)\%$ . See: S. Dittmaier, P. Uwer and S. Weinzierl, Eur. Phys. J. C59 (2009) 625.
- [9] CDF Collaboration, CDF Note 9448 (July 2008). For a detailed review, see M. Pleier, arXiv: 0810.5226 [hep-ph].
- [10] M. Cacciari et al., JHEP 0809 (2008) 127. Slightly similar results have been found in: N. Kidonakis and R. Vogt, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 074005 and in S. Moch and P. Uwer, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 034003.
- [11] Here, we have added to the cross section value given in Ref. [10],  $\sigma(t\bar{t})^{\text{th}} = 6.73_{-0.79}^{+0.71} \text{ pb}$ ,  $0.3 \text{ pb}$  to account for the shift generated when using the new NNLO set of parton distribution functions given in: A. Martin, W. Stirling, R. Thorne and G. Watt (MRST Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B652 (2007) 292. Note that these values are for a top quark mass  $m_t = 175 \text{ GeV}$ , which will be used as normalization;  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  does not significantly depend on  $m_t$ .
- [12] A. Djouadi, G. Moreau and F. Richard, Nucl. Phys. B773 (2007) 43.
- [13] We have evaluated the contribution of the box diagrams involving squarks and gluinos in the minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM (MSSM) to  $A_{\text{FB}}^t$  and found that, even for squark and gluino masses close to  $100 \text{ GeV}$ , the interference with the tree SM diagram with gluon exchange cannot exceed the level of  $10^{-4}$ .
- [14] D. Choudhury et al., Phys. Lett. B657 (2007) 69; P. Ferrario and G. Rodrigo, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 094018.
- [15] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370.
- [16] K. Agashe, A. Delgado, M. J. May and R. Sundrum, JHEP 0308 (2003) 050.
- [17] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B586 (2000) 141; S. J. Huber and Q. Shafi, Phys. Lett. B498 (2001) 256; B512 (2001) 365; S. Chang et al., Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 033002; G. Moreau and J.I. Silva-Marcos, JHEP 0601 (2006) 048; 0603 (2006) 090.
- [18] K. Agashe et al., Phys. Lett. B641 (2006) 62.
- [19] In RSb, the  $b_R$  and  $b_L$  multiplets are in  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$  and  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})$  representations of the custodial  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  symmetry. The  $t_R$  and  $t_L$  multiplets are embedded in  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$  and  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$  multiplets. The  $b_L$  and  $t_L$  multiplets mix together on the ultraviolet boundary resulting in the SM doublet  $Q_L$  mainly composed by the  $t_L$  multiplet. The representations are universal in flavor.
- [20] C. Bouchart and G. Moreau, Nucl. Phys. B810 (2009) 66.
- [21] Work in progress.
- [22] G. P. Zeller et al., NuTeV Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 091802, arXiv: hep-ex/0110059.
- [23] Z. Zhang, arXiv: 0812.4662 [hep-ex]; ZEUS pre1-06-003; CDF Collaboration, arXiv: hep-ex/0411059.
- [24] Note the new motivation of the custodial symmetry bringing a  $Z'$  boson which plays a central role in EW fits for the first/third quark generations.
- [25] We recall again that these values are given in the  $p\bar{p}$  rest frame; in the  $t\bar{t}$  partonic frame, they have to be multiplied by a factor  $\approx 1.5$  so that the maximal asymmetry obtained in this case is  $A_{\text{FB}}^t \approx 3\%$ .
- [26] G. Gounaris and J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21 (1968) 244.
- [27] L. Cerrito, arXiv: 0905.2530 [hep-ex]; The CDF collaboration, “Measurement of the  $t\bar{t}$  differential cross section,  $d\sigma/dM_{t\bar{t}}$ , in  $2.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of CDF II Data”, CDF note 9602.
- [28] The  $b_R$  and  $b_L$  multiplets could be respectively in  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$  and  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})$  representations of the  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  symmetry. The  $t_R$  and  $t_L$  multiplets could be embedded in  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$  and  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})$  representations. The  $b_L$  and  $t_L$  multiplets then mix, giving rise to the SM  $SU(2)_L$  doublet  $Q_L$  that is mainly composed from the  $t_L$  multiplet. No such mixing would occur for the first quark generations which are here in  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})$  for left-handed quarks ( $I_{3R}(q_L) = -1$ ) and  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4})$  for right-handed ones.
- [29] See e.g. B. Lillie, L. Randall and L.T. Wang, JHEP 0709 (2007) 074; K. Agashe et al., Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 015003; A. Djouadi, G. Moreau and R. K. Singh, Nucl. Phys. B797 (2008) 1.