BISEPARATING MAPS ON GENERALIZED LIPSCHITZ SPACES

DENNY H. LEUNG

ABSTRACT. Let X,Y be complete metric spaces and E,F be Banach spaces. A bijective linear operator from a space of E-valued functions on X to a space of F-valued functions on Y is said to be biseparating if f and g are disjoint if and only if Tf and Tg are disjoint. We introduce the class of generalized Lipschitz spaces, which includes as special cases the classes of Lipschitz, little Lipschitz and uniformly continuous functions. Linear biseparating maps between generalized Lipschitz spaces are characterized as weighted composition operators, i.e., of the form $Tf(y) = S_y(f(h^{-1}(y)))$ for a family of vector space isomorphisms $S_y: E \to F$ and a homeomorphism $h: X \to Y$. We also investigate the continuity of T and related questions. Here the functions involved (as well as the metric spaces X and Y) may be unbounded. Also, the arguments do not require the use of compactification of the spaces X and Y.

1. Introduction

In his classical treatise, Théorie des Opérations Linéaires [9], Banach proved that the linear isometric structure of the Banach space C(X) of continuous functions on a compact metric space determines the space Xup to homeomorphism. The result was generalized by Stone [31] to general compact Hausdorff spaces X. Subsequently, Gelfand and Kolmogorov [18] and Kaplansky [26] showed that X is also determined up to homeomorphism by the algebraic structure and the lattice structure of C(X) respectively. In the intervening decades, these types of results have been generalized to many other classes of function spaces and also to spaces of vector-valued functions. The classic monograph [17] considers the relationship between the algebraic structure of spaces of continuous functions on X and the space X itself for general classes of topological spaces. The work [10] uses the Banach-Stone Theory of vector-valued continuous functions C(X, E) as a tool to study the Banach space E itself, leading to the theory of M- and L-structures of Banach spaces. For the general theory of isometries on Banach spaces, we refer the reader to the two-volume monograph of Fleming and Jamison [12]. For a survey on various aspects of research surrounding Banach-Stone type theorems, see [14].

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46E15; 47B38; 47B33.

Key words and phrases. Vector-valued Lipschitz functions, biseparating maps.

Research partially supported by AcRF project no. R-146-000-086-112.

A useful unifying notion that has been introduced into the theory is that of separating or biseparating maps. Two functions f and g defined on the same domain X with values in a vector space are said to be disjoint if for all $x \in X$, either f(x) = 0 or g(x) = 0. A map T between vector-valued function spaces is separating (also called disjointness preserving or a Lamperti operator) if T maps disjoint functions to disjoint functions. It is biseparating if T is invertible and both T and T^{-1} are separating. Clearly, algebraic or lattice homomorphisms (isomorphisms) are separating (biseparating). In many instances, isometries between Banach function spaces can also be shown to be biseparating. This explains the interest and amount of work devoted to the characterization of separating or biseparating operators. See, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 16, 19, 27].

The study of Lipschitz spaces can be traced back to de Leeuw [11] and Sherbert [29, 30] for the scalar case, and Johnson [25] for the vector-valued case. A survey on the algebra of Lipschitz functions can be found in [32]. Recent work on separating and biseparating maps on Lipschitz spaces and spaces of uniformly continuous functions include [6, 13, 15, 20, 21, 23, 24]. In particular, characterizations of biseparating maps on spaces of bounded Lipschitz or little Lipschitz functions are obtained in [6, 23, 24]. In this paper, we consider spaces of functions determined by the "modulus of continuity" and call such classes generalized Lipschitz spaces. This notion serves to unify the study of spaces of Lipschitz, little Lipschitz and uniformly continuous functions. One of the main aims of this paper is to characterize all biseparating operators between generalized Lipschitz spaces. We make use of a new approach that bypasses the usual compactification procedures, and is rather more closely tied to the metric structure of the underlying spaces. (See §2.) The second critical ingredient in our argument is the construction of "bump" functions (Lemma 6). Taking advantage of such "bumps" allows us to complete the characterization of biseparating maps as weighted composition operators (Theorem 16). In §3, we consider questions connected with automatic continuity.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and E be a real or complex Banach space. For a function $f: X \to E$, its modulus of continuity is the function $\omega_f: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\omega_f(\varepsilon) = \sup\{\|f(x_1) - f(x_2)\| : d(x_1, x_2) \le \varepsilon\}.$$

Note that f is uniformly continuous on X if and only if ω_f is continuous at 0. In general, we say that $\sigma:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty]$ is a modulus function if σ is nondecreasing, $\sigma(0)=0$ and σ is continuous at 0. A nonempty set Σ of modulus functions is called a modulus set if

- (MS1) If σ_1, σ_2 belong to Σ , then there exist $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $K < \infty$ such that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq K\sigma$,
- (MS2) For every sequence (σ_n) in Σ and every nonnegative summable real sequence (a_n) , there are a $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $K < \infty$ so that $\sum a_n(\sigma_n \wedge 1) \leq K\sigma$.

Let Σ be a modulus set. Define the generalized Lipschitz space $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ to the the set of all functions $f: X \to E$ such that $\omega_f \leq K\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $K < \infty$. Since $\omega_{cf_1+f_2} \leq |c|\omega_{f_1}+\omega_{f_2}$, it follows from (MS1) that $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ is a vector space. We reiterate that all functions in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ are necessarily uniformly continuous. Also $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ always contains all constant functions. When $E = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ is abbreviated to $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$. To justify the introduction of this new class of spaces, let us look at a few examples.

Examples.

- (1) If Σ consists of the identity function $\sigma(t) = t$ only, then $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ is the class of Lipschitz functions $\operatorname{Lip}(X, E)$. Observe that if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and we let X^{α} be the space X with the metric d^{α} , then $\operatorname{Lip}(X^{\alpha}, E)$ is the class $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(X, E)$ of Lipschitz functions (on (X, d)) of order α .
- (2) If Σ consists of all modulus functions σ such that $\sigma(t) \leq t$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \to 0} \sigma(t)/t = 0$, then $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ is the small Lipschitz class $\operatorname{lip}(X, E)$. Again, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\operatorname{lip}_{\alpha}(X, E) = \operatorname{lip}(X^{\alpha}, E)$.
- (3) If Σ is the set of all modulus functions, then $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ is the space of uniformly continuous functions $\mathcal{U}(X, E)$ from X to E.
- (4) If Σ is a modulus set and $\Sigma_b = \{ \sigma \wedge 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma \}$, then $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b}(X, E)$ is the set of all bounded functions in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$.

A generalized Lipschitz space $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is said to be $\operatorname{Lipschitz}\ normal$ if for every pair of subsets U,V of X with d(U,V)>0, there exists $f\in\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$, $0\leq f\leq 1$, such that f=0 on U and f=1 on V. We will say that $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ is Lipschitz normal if $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$ is. For any metric space X, $\operatorname{Lip}(X)$, $\operatorname{lip}(X^{\alpha})$, $0<\alpha<1$, and $\mathcal{U}(X)$ are Lipschitz normal. Another example is the following: $\operatorname{lip}(\Delta)$ is Lipschitz normal, where Δ is the Cantor set with the usual metric. In this paper, all generalized Lipschitz spaces considered are assumed to be Lipschitz normal.

If f belongs to $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$, let C(f) be the set $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ and denote its closure by $\overline{C}(f)$. If (Y, d') is a complete metric space, F is a Banach space and Σ' is a modulus set, we may define the space $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ as above. A linear map $T : \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ is said to be biseparating if T is a bijection and for all $f, g \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$,

$$C(f) \cap C(q) = \emptyset$$
 if and only if $C(Tf) \cap C(Tq) = \emptyset$.

The author thanks Wee-Kee Tang for many stimulating conversations regarding the materials contained herein.

2. The Boolean algebra of closures of open sets

Let X be a complete metric space with metric d. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the collection of all subsets A of X such that $A = \overline{\text{int } A}$. Equivalently, $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ if and only if A is the closure of an open subset of X. In particular, $\overline{C}(f) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ for every $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$. $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is a Boolean algebra under

the order of set inclusion, with lattice operations

$$A \vee B = A \cup B$$
 and $A \wedge B = \overline{\text{int } A \cap \text{int } B}$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. The 0 and 1 elements of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ are \emptyset and X respectively; the complement of $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ is $\neg A = \overline{A^c}$, where A^c is the set theoretic complement of A. For basic properties of Boolean algebras we refer the reader to [28]. We begin with a simple but fundamental observation.

Proposition 1. Let φ be a function in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$ with values in [0,1] and let $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ be such that $||f(x)|| \leq M$ for all $x \in C(\varphi)$. Then $\varphi f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ and $\omega_{\varphi f} \leq \omega_f + M\omega_{\varphi}$.

Proof. Suppose that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq \varepsilon$. If neither x_1 nor x_2 lies in $C(\varphi)$, then $\|(\varphi f)(x_1) - (\varphi f)(x_2)\| = 0$. Otherwise, we may assume that $x_2 \in C(\varphi)$ and hence $\|f(x_2)\| \leq M$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi f)(x_{1}) - (\varphi f)(x_{2})\| \\ &\leq |\varphi(x_{1})| \|f(x_{1}) - f(x_{2})\| + |\varphi(x_{1}) - \varphi(x_{2})| \|f(x_{2})\| \\ &\leq \omega_{f}(\varepsilon) + M\omega_{\varphi}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma is similar to Lemma 4.2 in [1].

Lemma 2. Let $T : \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ be a biseparating map. If $f, g \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ and $\overline{C}(f) \subseteq \overline{C}(g)$, then $C(Tf) \subseteq \overline{C}(Tg)$.

Proof. Suppose that $y \notin \overline{C}(Tg)$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $B(y,\varepsilon) \cap \overline{C}(Tg) = \emptyset$ and that Tf is bounded on $B(y,\varepsilon)$. Let ψ be a [0,1]-valued function in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y)$ so that $\psi = 1$ on $B(y,\varepsilon/2)$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $B(y,\varepsilon)$. Then $\psi Tf \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y,F)$ by Proposition 1 and $C(\psi Tf) \cap C(Tg) = \emptyset$. Thus $C(T^{-1}(\psi Tf)) \cap C(g) = \emptyset$. Since $C(T^{-1}(\psi Tf))$ is an open set, it follows that $C(T^{-1}(\psi Tf)) \cap \overline{C}(g) = \emptyset$, and hence $C(T^{-1}(\psi Tf)) \cap C(f) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $C(\psi Tf) \cap C(Tf) = \emptyset$. In particular, since $\psi(y) \neq 0$, we must have Tf(y) = 0. So $y \notin C(Tf)$.

Lemma 3. For each open subset U of X, there exists $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ such that C(f) = U.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let U_n be the set of all $x \in X$ such that $d(x, U^c) \ge 1/n$. Since $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ is Lipschitz normal, there exists $\varphi_n \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$ with values in [0,1] so that $\varphi_n = 0$ on U^c and $\varphi_n = 1$ on U_n . Take $\sigma_n \in \Sigma$ and $K_n < \infty$ so that $\omega_{\varphi_n} \le K_n \sigma_n$. Note that $\omega_{\varphi_n} \le 1$ as well. So, by redefining the constant K_n if necessary, we may assume that $\omega_{\varphi_n} \le K_n(\sigma_n \wedge 1)$. The function $\varphi = \sum \varphi_n/(n^2(K_n + 1))$ converges on X. Also,

$$\omega_{\varphi} \le \sum \frac{\omega_{\varphi_n}}{n^2(K_n+1)} \le \sum \frac{\sigma_n \wedge 1}{n^2} \le K\sigma$$

for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $K < \infty$ by condition (MS2) in the definition of modulus sets. Thus $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$. Clearly $C(\varphi) = U$. Finally, choose any nonzero $u \in E$ and $f(x) = \varphi(x)u$ is a function with the desired properties. \square

Proposition 4. Let $T: \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ be a biseparating map. For each $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, let $\theta(A) = \overline{C}(Tf)$ for some $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ such that $C(f) = \operatorname{int} A$. Then θ is a well-defined Boolean isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{D}(Y)$. Moreover, for any $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ and any $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, f = 0 on A if and only if Tf = 0 on $\theta(A)$.

Proof. The fact that θ is well defined follows from Lemmas 2 and 3. By Lemma 2, θ preserves order. Analogously, we can define $\tau: \mathcal{D}(Y) \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ by $\tau(B) = \overline{C}(T^{-1}g)$ for some $g \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y,F)$ such that $C(g) = \operatorname{int} B$. If $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ with $C(f) = \operatorname{int} A$, then $\theta(A) = \overline{C}(Tf)$. Let $g \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y,F)$ be such that $C(g) = \operatorname{int} \theta(A)$. By Lemma 2 applied to T^{-1} , $\overline{C}(f) = \overline{C}(T^{-1}g)$. Thus $A = \overline{C}(f) = \tau(\theta(A))$. Similarly, $\theta(\tau(B)) = B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$. Hence $\tau = \theta^{-1}$. Since both θ and θ^{-1} are order preserving, θ is a Boolean isomorphism.

If $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ and f = 0 on $A \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, then $C(f) \cap C(f') = \emptyset$ for any $f' \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ with $C(f') = \operatorname{int} A$. Hence $C(Tf) \cap C(Tf') = \emptyset$. By continuity of Tf, Tf = 0 on $\overline{C}(Tf') = \theta(A)$. The converse follows by symmetry.

3. Characterization of biseparating maps

Let X and Y be complete metric spaces, E and F be Banach spaces, and Σ and Σ' be two modulus sets. The closed unit ball of F is denoted by B_F . We begin with an easy observation.

Lemma 5. For any a > 0, the retraction $r : F \to aB_F$ defined by

$$r(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \in aB_F \\ \frac{av}{\|v\|} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is a Lipschitz map with $\omega_r(t) \leq 2t$.

Lemma 6. Let g be a function in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$. For all a > 0 and all $b \ge 2a$, there is a function $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ with $\omega_{\tilde{q}} \le 3\omega_q$ such that

$$\tilde{g}(y) = \begin{cases} g(y) & \text{if } ||g(y)|| \le a \\ 0 & \text{if } ||g(y)|| \ge b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $r: F \to aB_F$ be the retraction defined in Lemma 5. Then $\omega_{r \circ g} \leq 2\omega_g$ and $r \circ g$ is bounded in norm by a. For any $b \geq 2a$, the function $\gamma: [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0, a] \\ \frac{b-t}{b-a} & \text{if } t \in (a, b) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [b, \infty) \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\omega_{\gamma}(t) \leq t/a$. Let $\tilde{g}(y) = \gamma(\|g(y)\|)r(g(y))$. Clearly, $\tilde{g}(y) = g(y)$ if $\|g(y)\| \leq a$ and 0 if $\|g(y)\| \geq b$. For all $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ with $d'(y_1, y_2) \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{g}(y_1) - \tilde{g}(y_2)\| &\leq \gamma(\|g(y_1)\|) \|r(g(y_1)) - r(g(y_2))\| \\ &+ |\gamma(\|g(y_1)\|) - \gamma(\|g(y_2)\|)| \|r(g(y_2))\| \\ &\leq \omega_{r \circ g}(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{a} \big| \|g(y_1)\| - \|g(y_2)\| \big| a \\ &\leq 3\omega_g(\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Thus $\omega_{\tilde{g}} \leq 3\omega_g$ and $\tilde{g} \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$.

Lemma 7. Let (f_n) be a pairwise disjoint sequence of functions from X into E. Assume that there is a modulus function σ such that $\omega_{f_n} \leq \sigma$ for all n. Then the pointwise sum $f = \sum f_n$ satisfies $\omega_f \leq 2\sigma$.

Proof. For any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, either there exists n_1 such that $f(x_i) = f_{n_1}(x_i)$, i = 1, 2, or there are n_1 and n_2 so that $f(x_i) = (f_{n_1} + f_{n_2})(x_i)$, i = 1, 2. It follows that $\omega_f \leq 2 \sup_n \omega_{f_n} \leq 2\sigma$.

For the rest of the section, we consider a linear biseparating map T: $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y,F)$. Let θ be the associated Boolean isomorphism from Proposition 4. If u is a vector in E or F, denote by \hat{u} the constant function (defined on X or Y) with value u. The next proposition is a key to subsequent arguments.

Proposition 8. For any $x_0 \in X$, there exists $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$ and Tf is bounded on Y.

Proof. Suppose that the proposition fails. We have $x_0 \in X$ so that Tf is unbounded whenever $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Pick any $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and let $g = T\hat{u}$. First we need two lemmas.

Lemma 9. For all a > 0 and all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta^{-1}(\overline{\{\|g\| > a\}}) \wedge \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\theta^{-1}(\overline{\{\|g\|>a\}}) \wedge \overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} = 0$ for some $a,\varepsilon>0$. Let $V = \neg(\overline{\{\|g\|>a\}})$. Then

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{int} V \cap \operatorname{int} \overline{\{\|g\| > a\}} = \emptyset \\ \Longrightarrow & \operatorname{int} V \subseteq \{\|g\| \le a\} \\ \Longrightarrow & V = \overline{\operatorname{int} V} \subseteq \{\|g\| \le a\}. \end{split}$$

Since g is uniformly continuous, $d'(V, \{\|g\| \ge 2a\}) > 0$. Hence there exists $\psi \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y)$ such that $0 \le \psi \le 1$, $\psi = 1$ on V and $\psi = 0$ on $\{\|g\| \ge 2a\}$. Note that g is bounded on $C(\psi)$ and hence $\psi g \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ by Proposition 1. As $\psi g = g$ on V, we have $T^{-1}(\psi g) = T^{-1}g = \hat{u}$ on $\theta^{-1}(V)$ by Proposition 4. From $\theta^{-1}(\overline{\{\|g\| > a\}}) \wedge \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} = 0$ and keeping in mind the definition of V, we see that $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \subseteq \theta^{-1}(V)$. In particular $T^{-1}(\psi g)(x_0) = u \ne 0$. By assumption, ψg is unbounded on Y. But this is clearly false since $\|(\psi g)(y)\| \le 2a$ for all $y \in Y$.

Lemma 10. For all a > 0 and all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists b > a so that $\theta^{-1}(\{\|g\| \in (a,b)\}) \wedge B(x_0,\varepsilon) \neq 0$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V_n = \overline{\{\|g\| \in (a, a+n)\}}$. The sequence (V_n) increases to $\overline{\{\|g\| > a\}}$ in $\mathcal{D}(Y)$. Hence $(\theta^{-1}(V_n))$ increases to $\theta^{-1}(\overline{\{\|g\| > a\}})$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$. If the lemma fails, $\theta^{-1}(V_n) \subseteq \neg(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)})$ for all n and thus $\theta^{-1}(\overline{\{\|g\| > a\}}) \subseteq \neg(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)})$, contrary to Lemma 9.

We now continue with the proof of Proposition 8. Let (ε_n) be a positive null sequence and set $a_1 = 1$. By Lemma 6, there exist $b_1 > a_1$ and $\tilde{g}_1 \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ with $\omega_{\tilde{q}_1} \leq 3\omega_q$ such that

$$\tilde{g}_1(y) = \begin{cases} g(y) & \text{if } ||g(y)|| \le a_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } ||g(y)|| \ge b_1. \end{cases}$$

In general, after a_n, b_n have been determined, use Lemma 10 to choose $a_{n+1} > b_n$ such that $\theta^{-1}(\{\|g\| \in (b_n, a_{n+1})\}) \wedge \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_n)} \neq 0$. Then apply Lemma 6 to obtain $b_{n+1} > a_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{g}_{n+1} \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ with $\omega_{\tilde{g}_{n+1}} \leq 3\omega_g$ so that

$$\tilde{g}_{n+1}(y) = \begin{cases} g(y) & \text{if } ||g(y)|| \le a_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } ||g(y)|| \ge b_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

For each n, let $G_n = \tilde{g}_{2n} - \tilde{g}_{2n-1}$. Then $G_n \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ and $\omega_{G_n} \leq 6 \omega_g$. Also $G_n(y) = 0$ if $||g(y)|| \notin (a_{2n-1}, b_{2n})$ and $G_n(y) = g(y)$ if $||g(y)|| \in [b_{2n-1}, a_{2n}]$. In particular, $C(G_n)$ and $C(G_m)$ are disjoint if $n \neq m$. Thus the pointwise sum $G = \sum G_{2m-1}$ is well defined and $\omega_G \leq 12\omega_g$ by Lemma 7. Hence $G \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$. For each m, let $V_m = \{||g|| \in (b_{2m-1}, a_{2m})\}$. By the choice of a_{2m} , one can find $x_m \in \theta^{-1}(V_m) \cap \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon_{2m-1})}$. Now $G = G_{2m-1} = g$ on V_{2m-1} and G = 0 on V_{2m} for all m. Hence $T^{-1}G = T^{-1}g = \hat{u}$ on $\theta^{-1}(V_{2m-1})$ and $T^{-1}G = 0$ on $\theta^{-1}(V_{2m})$ by Proposition 4. In particular, $T^{-1}G(x_{2m-1}) = u \neq 0$ and $T^{-1}G(x_{2m}) = 0$ for all m. Since the sequence (x_m) converges to x_0 and $T^{-1}G$ is continuous, we have reached a contradiction. This completes the proof of Proposition 8.

Lemma 11. For any $x_0 \in X$, $\cap_{\varepsilon>0}\theta(\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)})$ contains at most 1 point.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that y_1 and y_2 are distinct points in the intersection. Let $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ be such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$ and set g = Tf. Choose $\delta > 0$ so that $d'(y_1, y_2) \geq 3\delta$ and that g is bounded on $B(y_1, 2\delta)$. Pick $\psi \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y)$ so that $\psi = 1$ on $\overline{B(y_1, \delta)}$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $B(y_1, 2\delta)$. Since g is bounded on $C(\psi)$, $\psi g \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$. As $\psi g = g$ on $\overline{B(y_1, \delta)}$, $T^{-1}(\psi g) = f$ on $\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_1, \delta)})$. Similarly, $T^{-1}(\psi g) = 0$ on $\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_2, \delta)})$. For i = 1, 2 and any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}) \wedge \overline{B(y_i, \delta)} \neq 0$ and hence $\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \wedge \theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_i, \delta)}) \neq 0$. By continuity of $T^{-1}(\psi g)$ and f, we conclude that $T^{-1}(\psi g)(x_0) = f(x_0)$ and $T^{-1}(\psi g)(x_0) = 0$; thus reaching a contradiction.

Lemma 12. Assume that $x_0 \in X$ is an accumulation point. Let $(U_n) = (\overline{B(x_n, \varepsilon_n)})$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets, where (x_n) converges

to x_0 and (ε_n) is a positive null sequence. If (y_n) is a sequence such that $y_n \in \text{int } \theta(U_n)$ for each n, then (y_n) has a Cauchy subsequence.

Proof. If the lemma fails, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d'(y_m, y_n) > 3\delta$ whenever $m \neq n$. There exists a [0,1]-valued $\psi \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on $\overline{B(y_n, \delta)}$ for all odd n and $\psi = 0$ on $\overline{B(y_n, \delta)}$ for all even n. According to Proposition 8, there exists $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$ and Tf is bounded on Y. Then $g = \psi T f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$. Now g = Tf on $\overline{B(y_n, \delta)}$ for all odd n and g = 0 on $\overline{B(y_n, \delta)}$ for all even n. Hence $T^{-1}g = f$ on $\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_n, \delta)})$ for all odd n and $T^{-1}g = 0$ on $\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_n, \delta)}) \neq 0$ for all even n. Since $\theta(U_n) \wedge \overline{B(y_n, \delta)} \neq 0$ for all n, $U_n \wedge \theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_n, \delta)}) \neq 0$ for all n. Therefore, we can find a sequence (z_n) such that $z_n \in U_n$ for all n, $T^{-1}g(z_n) = f(z_n)$ for odd n and $T^{-1}g(z_n) = 0$ for odd n. This is impossible since $T^{-1}g$ and f are continuous, $f(x_0) \neq 0$ and (z_n) converges to x_0 .

Proposition 13. For any $x_0 \in X$, $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \theta(\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)})$ contains exactly 1 point.

Proof. In view of Lemma 11, it suffices to prove that the intersection in question is nonempty. If x_0 is an isolated point, then $\{x_0\} \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $\theta(\{x_0\}) \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \theta(\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)})$. So the proposition holds in this case.

Assume that x_0 is an accumulation point. Let $(U_n) = (B(x_n, \varepsilon_n))$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets, where (x_n) converges to x_0 and (ε_n) is a positive null sequence. Pick a sequence (y_n) with $y_n \in \text{int } \theta(U_n)$ for each n. By Lemma 12, (y_n) has a Cauchy subsequence. Relabeling, we may assume that (y_n) is Cauchy and hence converges to some $y_0 \in Y$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that $U_n \subseteq \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Thus $y_n \in \theta(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)})$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Since the latter set is closed, $y_0 \in \theta(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)})$.

Define $h(x_0)$ to be the unique point in $\cap_{\varepsilon>0}\theta(B(x_0,\varepsilon))$ for all $x_0 \in X$. Similarly, we may define $k: Y \to X$ by setting $k(y_0)$ to be the unique point in $\cap_{\delta>0}\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_0,\delta)})$.

Proposition 14. The map h is a homeomorphism whose inverse is k.

Proof. Suppose that $x_0 \in X$ and $h(x_0) = y_0$. For any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, $\overline{B(y_0, \delta)} \land \theta(\overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}) \neq 0$ and hence $\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_0, \delta)}) \land \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \neq 0$. In particular, for any $\delta > 0$, we can find $x_n \in \theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_0, \delta)}) \land \overline{B(x_0, 1/n)}$ for each n. Since $\theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_0, \delta)})$ is closed, $x_0 \in \theta^{-1}(\overline{B(y_0, \delta)})$. As $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, this shows that $k(y_0) = x_0$. By symmetry, $h(k(y_0)) = y_0$ for all $y_0 \in Y$.

It remains to prove the continuity of h. The continuity of k follows by symmetry. Let x_0 be a point in X. Since h is trivially continuous at an isolated point, we may assume that x_0 is an accumulation point. Let (x_n) be a pairwise distinct sequence converging to x_0 . Choose a positive null sequence (ε_n) so that $(U_n) = (\overline{B(x_n, \varepsilon_n)})$ is pairwise disjoint. For each

 $n, h(x_n) \in \theta(U_n) = \overline{\inf \theta(U_n)}$. Hence there exists $y_n \in \operatorname{int} \theta(U_n)$ so that $d'(y_n, h(x_n)) < 1/n$. By Lemma 12, (y_n) has a subsequence converging to a point y_0 in $\cap_{\varepsilon>0}\theta(\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)})$. Consequently, $(h(x_n))$ has a subsequence that converges to y_0 . By Lemma 11, $y_0 = h(x_0)$. The continuity of h at x_0 follows.

Observe that if f=0 on an open set U containing x_0 , then f=0 on $\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ and hence Tf=0 on $\theta(\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)})$. In particular, $Tf(h(x_0))=0$.

Proposition 15. If
$$f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$$
 and $f(x_0) = 0$, then $Tf(h(x_0)) = 0$.

Proof. By the observation preceding the proposition, we only need to consider the case where x_0 is an accumulation point of C(f). Suppose z belongs to C(f). By Lemma 6, there are functions $g_1, g_2 : X \to E$ with $\omega_{g_i} \leq 3\omega_f$, i = 1, 2, so that

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } ||f(x)|| \le 2||f(z)|| \\ 0 & \text{if } ||f(x)|| \ge 4||f(z)|| \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_2(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } ||f(x)|| \le \frac{||f(z)||}{4} \\ 0 & \text{if } ||f(x)|| \ge \frac{||f(z)||}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Set $g = g_1 - g_2$. Then $\omega_g \leq 6\omega_f$ and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } ||f(x)|| \in \left[\frac{||f(z)||}{2}, 2||f(z)||\right] \\ 0 & \text{if } ||f(x)|| \notin \left[\frac{||f(z)||}{4}, 4||f(z)||\right] \end{cases}.$$

Let (x_n) be a sequence in C(f) converging to x_0 so that $||f(x_{n+1})|| \le 16||f(x_n)||$ for all n. For each n, let f_n be the function g described above with $z = x_{2n-1}$. By Lemma 7, $\tilde{f} = \sum f_n$ belongs to $\text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$. For each n,

$$A_n = \{x \in X : \frac{\|f(x_n)\|}{2} < \|f(x)\| < 2\|f(x_n)\|\}$$

is an open neighborhood of x_n . Furthermore, $\tilde{f} = f$ on $\overline{A_n}$ if n is odd and $\tilde{f} = 0$ on $\overline{A_n}$ if n is even. By Proposition 4, $T\tilde{f} = Tf$ on $\theta(\overline{A_n})$ for odd n and $T\tilde{f} = 0$ on $\theta(\overline{A_n})$ for even n. In particular, $T\tilde{f}(h(x_n)) = Tf(h(x_n))$ if n is odd and 0 if n is even. By continuity of $T\tilde{f}$, Tf and h, we have

$$Tf(h(x_0)) = \lim Tf(h(x_{2n-1})) = \lim T\tilde{f}(h(x_{2n-1}))$$

= $T\tilde{f}(h(x_0)) = \lim T\tilde{f}(h(x_{2n})) = 0.$

The following is the main result of this section. It includes as a special case the result of [6] characterizing biseparating maps between spaces of bounded Lipschitz functions.

Theorem 16. Let X, Y be complete metric spaces and E, F be Banach spaces. Suppose that $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ and $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ are generalized Lipschitz spaces that are Lipschitz normal. If $T : \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ is a linear biseparating map, then there exist a homeomorphism $h : X \to Y$ and, for each $y \in Y$, a vector space isomorphism $S_y : E \to F$ such that

(1)
$$Tf(y) = S_y(f(h^{-1}(y))) \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

Proof. Let $h: X \to Y$ be defined as above. Then h is a homeomorphism by Proposition 14. Define $S_y: E \to F$ by $S_y u = T\hat{u}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. If $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_\Sigma(X, E)$ and $y \in Y$, then $(f - \hat{u})(h^{-1}(y)) = 0$, where $u = f(h^{-1}(y))$. Therefore, $Tf(y) = T\hat{u}(y)$ by Proposition 15. Thus (1) holds. The linearity of S_y follows from that of T. If $v \in F$, there exists f such that $Tf = \hat{v}$. Hence, for any y, taking $u = f(h^{-1}(y))$, we find that $S_y u = v$. This shows that each S_y is onto. Finally, if $S_y u = 0$, then $T\hat{u}(y) = 0$. Applying Proposition 15 to T^{-1} and h^{-1} , we find that $u = T^{-1}T\hat{u}(h^{-1}(y)) = 0$. Thus S_y is one-to-one.

4. Continuity

In this section, let $T: \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ be biseparating map. Thus T has the form given in (1) of Theorem 16, where h is a homeomorphism and S_y is a vector space isomorphism for all $y \in Y$. We investigate the continuity properties of the family (S_y) and of the operator T with respect to suitable topologies. We also consider the metric properties of the mapping h.

Proposition 17. If y_0 is an accumulation point in Y, then S_{y_0} is a bounded linear operator. Furthermore, if

(2)
$$\sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma(\varepsilon) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon \ge 0,$$

then S_y is bounded at all $y \in Y$ except for finitely many isolated points of Y

Proof. Assume on the contrary that S_{y_0} is unbounded for some accumulation point y_0 of Y. Set $x_0 = h^{-1}(y_0)$. Then x_0 is an accumulation point of X. Choose $\varphi_1 \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$ with values in [0,1] so that $\varphi_1(x_0) = 1$ and $\varphi_1 = 0$ outside $B(x_0,1)$. There are $K_1 < \infty$ and $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma$ so that $\omega_{\varphi_1} \leq K_1\sigma_1$. Pick a norm-1 vector u_1 in E and let $f_1(x) = \varphi_1(x)u_1$. Since $Tf_1 \circ h$ is continuous on X and $Tf_1(h(x_0)) = S_{y_0}u_1$ by (1), there exists $r_1 \in (0,1)$ such that $||Tf_1(h(x)) - S_{y_0}u_1|| \leq 1$ for all $x \in B(x_0,r_1)$. Choose $x_1 \in B(x_0,r_1) \setminus \{x_0\}$. In general, after x_{n-1} and $(u_m)_{m=1}^{n-1}$ have been determined, let $\varphi_n \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X)$ be a [0,1]-valued function such that $\varphi_n(x_0) = 1$ and $\varphi_n = 0$ outside $B(x_0,d(x_{n-1},x_0))$. There are $K_n < \infty$ and $\sigma_n \in \Sigma$ so that $\omega_{\varphi_n} \leq K_n\sigma_n$. Of course, $\omega_{\varphi_n} \leq 1$ as well. Set $f_n(x) = \varphi_n(x)u_n$ for a vector $u_n \in E$ such that $||u_n|| = (n^2(K_n+1))^{-1}$ and $||S_{y_0}u_n|| \geq \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} ||S_{y_0}u_m|| + 2n$. There exists $r_n, 0 < r_n < d(x_{n-1},x_0) \wedge n^{-1}$ such that

 $||Tf_n(h(x)) - S_{y_0}u_n|| \le 1$ for all $x \in B(x_0, r_n)$. Choose $x_n \in B(x_0, r_n) \setminus \{x_0\}$. This completes the inductive construction.

Since $||f_n(x)|| \le ||u_n|| \le 1/n^2$ for all n and all $x \in X$, $f = \sum f_n$ exists. Furthermore, for all n,

$$\omega_{f_n} \le \frac{\omega_{\varphi_n}}{n^2(K_n+1)} \le \frac{1}{n^2(K_n+1)} (K_n \sigma_n \wedge 1) \le \frac{1}{n^2} (\sigma_n \wedge 1).$$

By condition (MS2) in the definition of modulus sets, we find $K < \infty$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ so that $\sum n^{-2}(\sigma_n \wedge 1) \leq K\sigma$. Hence $\omega_f \leq K\sigma$ and thus $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$. If m > n, we have $d(x_n, x_0) \geq d(x_{m-1}, x_0)$ and hence $f_m(x_n) = 0$. For all n,

$$Tf(h(x_n)) = S_{h(x_n)}(f(x_n)) = \sum_{m=1}^n S_{h(x_n)}(f_m(x_n)) = \sum_{m=1}^n Tf_m(h(x_n)).$$

Therefore,

$$||Tf(h(x_n))|| \ge ||Tf_n(h(x_n))|| - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} ||Tf_m(h(x_n))||$$

$$\ge ||S_{y_0}u_n|| - 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (||S_{y_0}u_m|| + 1)$$

$$\ge \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} ||S_{y_0}u_m|| + 2n - 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (||S_{y_0}u_m|| + 1) = n.$$

However, (x_n) converges to x_0 and thus $(Tf(h(x_n)))$ converges. We have reached a contradiction.

Now suppose that (2) holds and that (y_n) is an infinite sequence so that S_{y_n} is unbounded for all n. By the above, each y_n is isolated in Y, and consequently each $x_n = h^{-1}(y_n)$ is isolated in X. Since $\mathrm{Lip}_\Sigma(X)$ is Lipschitz normal, for each n, the function φ_n defined by $\varphi_n(x_n) = 1$ and $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ otherwise belongs to $\mathrm{Lip}_\Sigma(X)$. Let $K_n < \infty$ and $\sigma_n \in \Sigma$ be such that $\omega_{\varphi_n} \leq K_n \sigma_n$. For each $n \geq 2$, let $b_n = d'(y_n, y_1)$ and choose $u_n \in E$ with $\|u_n\| = (n^2(K_n + 1))^{-1}$ and $\|S_{y_n}u_n\| > n \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma(b_n)$. Let f(x) be the pointwise sum $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x) u_n$. Then

$$\omega_f \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_{\varphi_n}}{n^2(K_n+1)} \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} (\sigma_n \wedge 1) \le K\sigma_0$$

for some $K < \infty$ and $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$. Hence $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$. It follows that $Tf \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, E)$ and so there are $K' < \infty$ and $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ so that $\omega_{Tf} \leq K'\sigma'$. But $Tf(y_n) = S_{u_n} u_n$ for all n > 1 and $Tf(y_1) = 0$. Therefore,

$$K'\sigma'(b_n) \ge \omega_{Tf}(b_n) \ge ||Tf(y_n) - Tf(y_1)|| = ||S_{y_n}u_n|| > n \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma(b_n)$$

for all $n \geq 2$, which is clearly impossible.

Remark. If $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ consists of bounded functions only, then we may replace Σ' with $\{\sigma \wedge 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma'\}$. In this case, (2) is fulfilled and hence S_y is bounded except for finitely many isolated points of Y. The special case of the result for spaces of bounded Lipschitz functions was obtained in [6]. Condition (2) also holds for the spaces $\operatorname{Lip}(Y, F)$ and $\operatorname{lip}(Y, F)$.

Let Y_1 be the set of all $y \in Y$ at which S_y is bounded and X_1 be the set of all $x \in X$ at which $S_{h(x)}^{-1}$ is bounded. The next result is a simple application of the Uniform Boundedness Principle.

Corollary 18. Let r > 0 be a real number such that $\sigma'(r) < \infty$ for all $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$. Then $\{S_y : y \in Y_1, d(y, y_0) \le r\}$ is uniformly bounded for any $y_0 \in Y$. Similarly, $\{S_y : y \in Y_1\}$ is uniformly bounded if $\sup_{r>0} \sigma'(r) < \infty$ for all $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$.

Proof. For any $u \in E$, there are $K < \infty$ and $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$ such that $\omega_{T\hat{u}} \leq K\sigma'$. If $d(y, y_0) \leq r$, then

$$||S_y u - S_{y_0} u|| = ||T\hat{u}(y) - T\hat{u}(y_0)|| \le K\sigma'(r) < \infty.$$

Hence $\{S_y u : y \in Y_1, d(y, y_0) \le r\}$ is bounded for all $u \in E$. Thus $\{S_y : y \in Y_1, d(y, y_0) \le r\}$ is uniformly bounded by the Uniform Boundedness Principle. The second statement is proved similarly. \square

In general, we still have "local uniform boundedness".

Proposition 19. For all $y_0 \in Y_1$, there is a neighborhood V of y_0 in Y_1 so that $\{S_y : y \in V\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. If the proposition fails, there are sequences (y_n) in Y_1 converging to y_0 and (u_n) in E with $||u_n|| = 1/2^n$ so that $||S_{y_n}u_n|| \to \infty$. Note that $(S_{y_n}u_m)_m$ converges to 0 for each n and $\lim_n S_{y_n}u_m = \lim_n T\hat{u}_m(y_n) = T\hat{u}_m(y_0)$ for each m. Thus, by passing to subsequences, we may assume that, for each n, $||S_{y_n}u_n|| \ge n + \sum_{m \ne n} ||S_{y_n}u_m||$. Let $w = \sum u_n$. Then

$$||T\hat{w}(y_n)|| = ||S_{y_n}w|| \ge ||S_{y_n}u_n|| - \sum_{m \ne n} ||S_{y_n}u_m|| \ge n$$

for all n. However, $T\hat{w}$ is continuous and so $(T\hat{w}(y_n))$ converges, contrary to the above.

Next we consider the continuity of T. First we look at a diagonalization lemma.

Lemma 20. Let (g_n) be a sequence of functions from Y into F. Suppose that there are a positive sequence (c_n) , sequences (y_1^n) , (y_2^n) in Y and $C < \infty$ so that

$$\sup_{n} \frac{\|g_{n}(y_{1}^{n}) - g_{n}(y_{2}^{n})\|}{c_{n}} = \infty,$$

$$\sup_{n} \frac{\|g_{m}(y_{1}^{n}) - g_{m}(y_{2}^{n})\|}{c_{n}} = L_{m} < \infty \text{ for all } m,$$

and

$$\sup_{m,n} \|g_m(y_1^n) - g_m(y_2^n)\| = C < \infty.$$

Then there exists a nonnegative summable sequence (ε_n) so that if the pointwise sum $g = \sum \varepsilon_n g_n$ converges on the set $\{y_1^n, y_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $\sup_n \|g(y_1^n) - g(y_2^n)\|/c_n = \infty$.

Proof. Let $K_n = \|g_n(y_1^n) - g_n(y_2^n)\|/c_n$. Choose $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ and a summable sequence (ε_{n_k}) so that $\varepsilon_{n_k} K_{n_k} \ge \max\{3\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{n_m} L_{n_m}, k\}$ and $3C\sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n_m} \le \varepsilon_{n_k} K_{n_k} c_{n_k}$ for all k. Define $\varepsilon_n = 0$ if $n \ne n_k$ for any k. If $g = \sum \varepsilon_n g_n$ converges pointwise on $\{y_1^n, y_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$,

$$||g(y_1^{n_k}) - g(y_2^{n_k})||$$

$$\geq \varepsilon_{n_k} \|g_{n_k}(y_1^{n_k}) - g_{n_k}(y_2^{n_k})\| - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{n_m} L_{n_m} c_{n_k} - C \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n_m}.$$

Thus

$$||g(y_1^{n_k}) - g(y_2^{n_k})|| \ge \varepsilon_{n_k} K_{n_k} c_{n_k} - \frac{\varepsilon_{n_k} K_{n_k} c_{n_k}}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon_{n_k} K_{n_k} c_{n_k}}{3} \ge \frac{k c_{n_k}}{3}$$
 for all k .

The next proposition is a form of continuity of the operator T. For a function $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ and a subset U of X, let $||f||_{U} = \sup\{||f(x)|| : x \in U\}$.

Proposition 21. Suppose that U is a subset of X such that $V = h(U) \subseteq Y_1$ and that $M = \sup\{\|S_y\| : y \in V\} < \infty$. Then there exists $K < \infty$ so that for any $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ with $\omega_f \leq \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\|f\|_U \leq 1$, we have $\omega_{Tf}^V \leq K \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \sigma'$, where

$$\omega_{Tf}^{V}(t) = \sup\{\|Tf(y_1) - Tf(y_2)\| : y_1, y_2 \in V, d'(y_1, y_2) \le t\}.$$

Proof. Otherwise, for all n, we find $\overline{f}_n \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$, $\sigma_n \in \Sigma$ and $t_n > 0$ such that $\omega_{\overline{f}_n} \leq \sigma_n$, $\|\overline{f}_n\|_U \leq 1$ and $\sup_n [\omega_{T\overline{f}_n}^V(t_n)/\sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \sigma'(t_n)] = \infty$. Let $c_n = \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \sigma'(t_n)$. There are $(y_1^n, y_2^n) \in V \times V$ such that $d'(y_1^n, y_2^n) \leq t_n$ and that $\sup_n \|T\overline{f}_n(y_1^n) - T\overline{f}_n(y_2^n)\|/c_n = \infty$. Let $x_i^n = h^{-1}(y_i^n) \in U$, i = 1, 2. Consider the retraction $R: E \to B_E$ as in Lemma 5. Set $f_n = R \circ \overline{f}_n$. Then $\omega_{f_n} \leq 2\omega_{\overline{f}_n} \leq 2\sigma_n$ and $\omega_{f_n} \leq 2$. Let $g_n = Tf_n$ for all n. Then, for all m, n,

$$||g_m(y_1^n) - g_m(y_2^n)|| = ||S_{y_1^n} f_m(x_1^n) - S_{y_2^n} f_m(x_2^n)||.$$

Since $\overline{f}_n(x_1^n)$, $\overline{f}_n(x_2^n) \in B_E$, $S_{y_i^n} f_n(x_i^n) = S_{y_i^n} \overline{f}_n(x_i^n) = T \overline{f}_n(x_i^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i = 1, 2. Thus

$$\sup_{n} \frac{\|g_{n}(y_{1}^{n}) - g_{n}(y_{2}^{n})\|}{c_{n}} = \infty.$$

Since $g_m \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$,

$$\sup_{n} \frac{\|g_m(y_1^n) - g_m(y_2^n)\|}{c_n} < \infty \text{ for all } m.$$

Moreover, for all m and n,

$$||g_m(y_1^n) - g_m(y_2^n)|| \le M(||f_m(x_1^n)|| + ||f_m(x_2^n)||) \le 2M.$$

Therefore, Lemma 20 applies to (g_n) and we obtain a summable sequence (ε_n) as in the lemma. Since $||f_n(x)|| \leq 1$ for all n and x, $f = \sum \varepsilon_n f_n$ converges pointwise on X. Also,

$$\omega_f \le \sum \varepsilon_n \omega_{f_n} \le \sum \varepsilon_n (2\sigma_n \wedge 2) = 2 \sum \varepsilon_n (\sigma_n \wedge 1).$$

By (MS2), $f \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ and hence $Tf \in \text{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$. Since S_y is bounded for all $y \in V$, for all such y,

$$Tf(y) = S_y f(h^{-1}(y)) = \sum \varepsilon_n S_y f_n(h^{-1}(y)) = \sum \varepsilon_n g_n(y).$$

But by the conclusion of Lemma 20, $\sup_n ||Tf(y_1^n) - Tf(y_2^n)||/c_n = \infty$, contradicting the fact that $Tf \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$.

If $0 < \alpha \le 1$, then $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(X, E) = \operatorname{Lip}_{\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}}(X, E)$, where $\sigma_{\alpha}(t) = t^{\alpha}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{lip}_{\alpha}(X, E) = \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$, where Σ consists of all modulus functions σ such that $\sigma(t) \le t^{\alpha}$ and $\operatorname{lim}_{t\to 0} \sigma(t)/t^{\alpha} = 0$. Define the $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}$ constant of $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(X, E)$ to be $L_{\alpha}(f) = \sup\{\omega_{f}(t)/t^{\alpha} : t > 0\}$. For spaces of Lipschitz (Lip) functions, the next corollary was obtained in [6].

Corollary 22. Let T be a biseparating map from $\operatorname{Lip}(X, E)$ onto $\operatorname{Lip}(Y, F)$, respectively, from $\operatorname{lip}_{\alpha}(X, E)$ onto $\operatorname{lip}_{\alpha}(Y, F)$. Suppose that U is a bounded subset of X such that V = h(U) is a bounded subset of Y_1 . Then there exists $K < \infty$ so that $L_1(Tf_{|V}) \leq K(L_1(f) \vee ||f||_U)$ for all $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(X, E)$, respectively, $L_{\alpha}(Tf_{|V}) \leq K(L_{\alpha}(f) \vee ||f||_U)$ for all $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(X, E)$.

Proof. Observe that Proposition 21 applies since $\{S_y : y \in V\}$ is uniformly bounded by Corollary 18.

Recall that if Σ is a modulus set and $\Sigma_b = \{\sigma \wedge 1 : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$, then $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b}(X, E)$ is precisely the space of all bounded functions in $\operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$.

Corollary 23. Let $T: \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b'}(Y, F)$ be a biseparating map. There exists $K < \infty$ so that for any $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b}(X, E)$ with $\omega_f \leq \sigma \wedge 1$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $||f||_X \leq 1$, we have $\omega_{Tf}^{Y_1} \leq K \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma} (\sigma' \wedge 1)$.

Proof. By Corollary 18, $\sup\{\|S_y\|: y \in Y_1\} < \infty$ in this case. The corollary follows immediately from Proposition 21.

Denote by $\mathcal{U}_b(X, E)$ the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions from X into E.

Corollary 24. Let $T: \mathcal{U}_b(X, E) \to \mathcal{U}_b(Y, F)$ be a biseparating map. Then there exists a finite set I of isolated points of Y and $K < \infty$ such that $\sup_{y \in Y \setminus I} \|Tf(y)\| \le K \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|$ for all $f \in \mathcal{U}_b(X, E)$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma = \Sigma'$ be the set of all modulus functions. Then $\mathcal{U}_b(X, E) = \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b}(X, E)$ and $\mathcal{U}_b(Y, F) = \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma_b'}(Y, F)$. Recall that Y_1 is the set of all $y \in Y$ at which S_y is bounded. In this case, $I = Y \setminus Y_1$ consists of finitely many isolated points of Y by Proposition 17. Fix $x_0 \in X$ so that $y_0 = h(x_0) \in Y_1$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{U}_b(X, E)$ with $\sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\| \leq 1$. By Corollary 23, there exists $K < \infty$ so that for all $y \in Y_1$,

$$||Tf(y)|| \le ||Tf(y) - Tf(y_0)|| + ||Tf(y_0)||$$

$$\le \omega_{Tf}^{Y_1}(d'(y, y_0)) + ||S_{y_0}f(x_0)||$$

$$\le K + ||S_{y_0}||.$$

We also obtain local continuity of T with respect to the topology of uniform convergence.

Proposition 25. Let $T: \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ be a biseparting map. If $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 = h(x_0) \in Y_1$, then there exists a neighborhood V of y_0 in Y_1 and $K < \infty$ so that, setting $U = h^{-1}(V)$, we have $\sup_{y \in V} \|Tf(y)\| \leq K$ for all $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$ such that $\sup_{x \in U} \|f(x)\| \leq 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 19, there is a neighborhood V of y_0 in Y_1 such that $\sup\{\|S_y\|:y\in V\}=K<\infty$. Set $U=h^{-1}(V)$. If $f\in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ and $\sup_{x\in U}\|f(x)\|\leq 1$, then for all $y\in V$, $\|Tf(y)\|=\|S_yf(h^{-1}(y))\|\leq K$. \square

We can now deduce the metric properties of the map h. For any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, define

$$s(x_1, x_2) = \sup\{\|f(x_2)\| : f(x_1) = 0, \omega_f \le \sigma \text{ for some } \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$$

Proposition 26. Let U be a subset of X_1 so that V = h(U) is a subset of Y_1 . Assume $\sup\{\|S_y\|, \|S_y^{-1}\| : y \in V\} = M < \infty$ and that $\sup\{s(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in U\} = C < \infty$. There exists $K < \infty$ such that $s(x_1, x_2) \leq K \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \sigma'(d'(h(x_1), h(x_2)))$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in U$.

Proof. Appeal to Proposition 21 to find $K < \infty$ so that for any $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ with $\omega_f \leq \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\|f\|_U \leq 1$, we have $\omega_{Tf}^V \leq K \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \sigma'$. If $a < s(x_1,x_2), \ x_1,x_2 \in U$, choose $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X,E)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ so that $f(x_1) = 0, \ \|f(x_2)\| > a$ and $\omega_f \leq \sigma$. Now $x \in U$ implies that $\|f(x)\| \leq s(x_1,x) \leq C$. Let $\overline{f} = f/(C \vee 1)$. Then $\omega_{\overline{f}} \leq \sigma$ and $\|\overline{f}\|_U \leq 1$. Thus

$$\frac{a}{C \vee 1} < \|\overline{f}(x_2)\| \le M \|S_{h(x_2)}\overline{f}(x_2)\| = M \|T\overline{f}(h(x_1)) - T\overline{f}(h(x_2))\|$$

$$\le M\omega_{T\overline{f}}^V(d'(h(x_1), h(x_2))) \le MK \sup_{\sigma' \in \Sigma'} \sigma'(d'(h(x_1), h(x_2))).$$

This proves the proposition.

Corollary 27. [6] Let $T : \text{Lip}(X, E) \to \text{Lip}(Y, F)$ be a biseparating map and $h : X \to Y$ be the homeomorphism associated to T. For any bounded set $U \subseteq X$ such that V = h(U) is bounded in Y, h is Lipschitz on U and h^{-1} is Lipschitz on V.

Proof. By Proposition 17, the set Y_1 consisting of all $y \in Y$ where S_y is bounded contains all of Y except for finitely many isolated points of Y. A similar statement holds for X_1 . Thus it suffices to show that h is Lipschitz on $U_1 = U \cap X_1 \cap h^{-1}(Y_1)$ and that h^{-1} is Lipschitz on $V_1 = h(U_1)$. By Corollary 18, $\sup\{\|S_y\|, \|S_y^{-1}\| : y \in V_1\} < \infty$. For all $x_1, x_2 \in X$, it is clear that the quantity $s(x_1, x_2)$ defined above has the value $d(x_1, x_2)$. In particular, $\sup\{s(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in V_1\} < \infty$ since V_1 is bounded. By Proposition 26, there exists $K < \infty$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) \le K d'(h(x_1), h(x_2))$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in U_1$. This shows that h^{-1} is Lipschitz on V_1 . The proof for h is similar.

Remark. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then for a bounded subset U of the space $\lim_{\alpha}(X, E)$, there is a positive constant K_{α} so that $s(x_1, x_2) \geq K_{\alpha} d^{\alpha}(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in U$. Hence Corollary 27 also applies to biseparating maps between \lim_{α} spaces, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Proposition 28. Let $T : \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E) \to \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ be a biseparating map. Suppose that $\operatorname{Lip}(Y, F) \subseteq \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$. If U is a subset of X so that $V = h(U) \subseteq Y_1$ and $\sup_{y \in V} ||S_y|| < \infty$, then h is uniformly continuous on U.

Proof. If the proposition fails, we can find a sequence $((x_1^n, x_2^n))_n$ in $U \times U$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\lim d(x_1^n, x_2^n) = 0$ and $d'(y_1^n, y_2^n) \ge \varepsilon$ for all n, where $y_i^n = h(x_i^n)$. If (y_1^n) has a convergent subsequence, then by continuity of h^{-1} and the fact that $\lim d(x_1^n, x_2^n) = 0$, there is a subsequence I of $\mathbb N$ so that $(x_1^n)_{n \in I}$ and $(x_2^n)_{n \in I}$ both converge to the same x_0 . Then $(y_1^n)_{n \in I}$ and $(y_2^n)_{n \in I}$ must both converge to $h(x_0)$, contrary to their choice. Thus (y_1^n) , and, by symmetry, (y_2^n) cannot have convergent subsequences. Without loss generality, there exists δ , $0 < 4\delta < \varepsilon$ so that $d(y_1^n, y_1^m), d(y_2^n, y_2^m) > 2\delta$ for all $m \neq n$. Then the sets $B(y_1^n, \delta), n \in \mathbb N$, are pairwise disjoint and each can contain at most one y_2^m , in which case $m \neq n$. Hence we can choose a subsequence J of $\mathbb N$ so that $y_2^n \notin B(y_1^m, \delta)$ if $n, m \in J$. Pick a normalized vector $v \in F$ and let $g(y) = v \cdot \sup_{n \in J} (\delta - d(y, y_1^n))^+$. Then $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(Y, F) \subseteq \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma'}(Y, F)$ and hence $f = T^{-1}g \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\Sigma}(X, E)$. In particular, f is uniformly continuous. However, for all $n \in J$,

$$||f(x_1^n) - f(x_2^n)|| = ||S_{y_1^n}^{-1}g(y_1^n) - S_{y_2^n}^{-1}g(y_2^n)|| = \delta||S_{y_2^n}^{-1}v|| \ge \delta||S_{y_2^n}||^{-1}.$$

Since $\sup ||S_{y_2^n}|| < \infty$ and $d(x_1^n, x_2^n) \to 0$, f cannot be uniformly continuous.

References

 J. Araujo, Realcompactness and spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, Fund. Math. 172 (2002), 27-40.

- [2] J. Araujo, Realcompactness and Banach-Stone theorems, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 11 (2004), 247-258.
- [3] J. Araujo, Linear biseparating maps between spaces of vector-valued differentiable functions and automatic continuity, Adv. Math. 187 (2004), 488-520.
- [4] J. Araujo, The noncompact Banach-Stone theorem, J. Operator Theory 55:2 (2006), 285-294.
- [5] J. ARAUJO, E. BECKENSTEIN AND L. NARICI, Biseparating maps and realcompactifications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 192 (1995), 258-265.
- [6] J. Araujo and L. Dubarbie, Biseparating maps between Lipschitz function spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 357 (2009), 191-200.
- [7] J. Araujo and K. Jarosz, Separating maps on spaces of continuous functions. Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1998), 33–37, Contemp. Math., 232, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [8] J. Araujo and K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of biseparating maps, Studia Math. 155 (2003), 231-239.
- [9] S. Banach, Théorie des Opérations Lineaires, Warszowa 1932. Reprinted, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1963.
- [10] E. Behrends, M-structure and the Banach-Stone Theorem, Springer- Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [11] K. DE LEEUW, Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions, Studia Math. 21 (1961/62), 55-66
- [12] R. J. Fleming and J. E. Jamison, Isometries on Banach spaces, Volumes 1 and 2, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 129 & 138, Chapman and Hall.
- [13] M. I. GARRIDO AND J. A. JARAMILLO, A Banach-Stone theorem for uniformly continuous functions, Monatsh. Math. 131 (2000), 189-192.
- [14] M. I. GARRIDO AND J. A. JARAMILLO, Variations on the Banach-Stone theorem, Extracta Math. 17 (2002), 351-383.
- [15] M. I. GARRIDO AND J. A. JARAMILLO, Lipschitz-type functions on metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340 (2008), 282-290.
- [16] H.-W. GAU, J.-S. JEANG AND N,-C. WONG, Biseparating linear maps between continuous vector-valued function spaces, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 74 (2003), no. 1, 101–109.
- [17] L. GILLMAN AND M. JERISON, Rings of continuous functions. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 43. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [18] I. Gelfand and A. Kolmogorov, On rings of continuous functions on topological spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **22** (1939), 11 15.
- [19] J.-S. Jeang and Y.-F. Lin, Characterizations of disjointness preserving operators on vector-valued function spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 3, 947–954.
- [20] A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS, Linear bijections preserving the Hölder seminorm, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 2539-2547.
- [21] A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS, Disjointness preserving operators between little Lipschitz algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337 (2008), 984-993.
- [22] A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS AND M. VILLEGAS-VALLECILLOS, Order isomorphisms of little Lipschitz algebras, Houston J. Math. **34**. (2008), 1185-1195.
- [23] A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS, M. VILLEGAS-VALLECILLOS AND Y.-S. WANG, Banach-Stone theorems for vecotr-valued little Lipschitz functions, preprint.
- [24] A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS AND Y.-S. WANG, Linear biseparating maps between vector-valued little Lipschitz function spaces, preprint.
- [25] J. A. JOHNSON Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions and vector-valued Lipschitz functions, Trans. Amer. Math, Soc. 148 (1970),147-169.
- [26] I. Kaplansky, Lattices of continuous functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. $\bf 53$ (1947), $\bf 617-623$.

- [27] Y.-F. LIN AND N.-C. WONG, The structure of compact disjointness preserving operators on continuous functions, Math. Nach., to appear.
- [28] S. MacLane and G. Birkhoff, Algebra, Second Edition, Macmillan, 1979.
- [29] D. SHERBERT Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 1387-1399.
- [30] D. Sherbert The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 240-272.
- [31] M. H. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), 375 481.
- [32] N. Weaver, Lipschitz algebras, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: matlhh@nus.edu.sg}$