

ON THE CHOLESKY METHOD

Christian Rakotonirina

Institut Supérieur de Technologie d'Antananarivo, IST-T, BP 8122, Madagascar

e-mail: rakotopierre@refer.mg

June 9, 2009

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that if the matrix of the linear system is symmetric, the Cholesky decomposition can be obtained from the Gauss elimination method without pivoting, without proving that the matrix of the system is positive definite.

MSC: Primary 15A06

Keywords: Linear system, Gauss elimination, Cholesky decomposition

1 Introduction

The direct methods for solving linear system, Gauss elimination method, LU decomposition and Cholesky method are well known. We agreed with some authors [1],[2] that the LU decomposition and the Cholesky method are helpful for solving many linear systems of the same matrix, whose difference is only the constants at the right hand side.

The Gauss elimination method with or without pivoting can lead us to the LU decomposition. The Gauss elimination method with pivoting applied to linear system with symmetric matrix can't lead us to the Cholesky decomposition because pivoting alters the symmetry. However, sometimes we don't need pivoting.

Since the Gauss elimination can lead us to the LU decomposition, we think that it is better to solve at first one of these linear systems by the Gauss elimination and the others by LU decomposition. So, we will be allowed to solve at first one of these linear systems by the Gauss elimination and the others by Cholesky method, in the case where the matrix is symmetric positive definite, if Gauss elimination can lead us to the Cholesky method.

In this paper, we will see that there exist relation between Gauss elimination without pivoting and the Cholesky method. That is Gauss elimination without pivoting can lead us to Cholesky decomposition. We will prove this relation by using the LU decomposition.

We organize the paper as the following. In the second section we represent the LU decomposition by using the Gauss elimination method. In the third

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{a_{21}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{a_{31}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{a_{n1}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_1 = G_1 A \text{ and } B_1 = G_1 B.$$

The Gauss elimination at the second column can be obtained by multiplying to the relation (2) the lower triangular matrix

$$G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{a_{32}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{a_{42}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -\frac{a_{n2}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matricial equation (2) becomes

$$A_2 X = B_2$$

with $A_2 = G_2 G_1 A$ and $B_2 = G_2 G_1 B$.

In continuing so, we have finally,

$$UX = B' \tag{3}$$

with $U = G_{n-1} \dots G_2 G_1 A$ an upper triangular matrix and $B' = G_{n-1} \dots G_2 G_1 B$. We can check easily that the inverse of G_l is

$$G_l^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{a_{(l+1)l}^{(l-1)}}{a_{ll}^{(l-1)}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{a_{nl}^{(l-1)}}{a_{ll}^{(l-1)}} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, $G_{n-1}G_{n-2}\cdots G_2G_1$ is an invertible matrix and the equation (3) becomes

$$LUX = B$$

with $L = G_1^{-1}G_2^{-1}\cdots G_{n-2}^{-1}G_{n-1}^{-1}$ and we have the decomposition of A as product of lower triangular matrix by an upper triangular matrix

$$A = LU$$

One can check easily that

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{a_{21}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{a_{31}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & \frac{a_{32}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{a_{(l+1)1}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & \frac{a_{(l+1)2}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & \dots & \frac{a_{(l+1)l}^{(l-1)}}{a_{ll}^{(l-1)}} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{a_{n1}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & \frac{a_{n2}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & \dots & \frac{a_{nl}^{(l-1)}}{a_{ll}^{(l-1)}} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

3 Cholesky method

Now suppose A is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Then, the Cholesky method consists to decompose A as the product

$$A = G^T G$$

with G is a upper triangular matrix and G^T his transpose.

Let us at first generalize this decomposition.

Definition 1 Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ a complex symmetric matrix. Let us call Gauss matrix of A the upper triangular matrix $U(A)$, obtained after transforming A by the Gauss elimination above.

Proposition 2 Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ a complex symmetric matrix, such that $\det(A) \neq 0$,

$$U(A) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & \dots & u_{1n} \\ 0 & u_{22} & \dots & u_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & u_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

the Gauss matrix of A . Then A can be decomposed as the product $A = G^T G$ with

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_{11}}{\sqrt{u_{11}}} & \frac{u_{12}}{\sqrt{u_{11}}} & \dots & \frac{u_{1n}}{\sqrt{u_{11}}} \\ 0 & \frac{u_{22}}{\sqrt{u_{22}}} & \dots & \frac{u_{2n}}{\sqrt{u_{22}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{u_{nn}}{\sqrt{u_{nn}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\sqrt{u_{ii}}$ a root squared of the complex number u_{ii} .

Proof.

$$U(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(0)} & a_{12}^{(0)} & \dots & a_{1n}^{(0)} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} & \dots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{nn}^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{a_{21}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{a_{31}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & \frac{a_{32}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{a_{(l+1)1}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & \frac{a_{(l+1)2}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & \dots & \frac{a_{(l+1)l}^{(l-1)}}{a_{ll}^{(l-1)}} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{a_{n1}^{(0)}}{a_{11}^{(0)}} & \frac{a_{(n)2}^{(1)}}{a_{22}^{(1)}} & \dots & \frac{a_{nl}^{(l-1)}}{a_{ll}^{(l-1)}} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = L(A)D^{-1}DU(A)$$

with

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{11}^{(0)}}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{22}^{(1)}}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{nn}^{(n-1)}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $G = DU(A)$. Since A symmetric, hence $L(A)D^{-1} = G^T$.

■

Example 3 Consider the following two systems of linear equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 - x_2 + x_4 = 3 \\ -x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 - 3x_4 = -5 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 + x_4 = -7 \\ x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4 = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 - x_2 + x_4 = 3 \\ -x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 - 3x_4 = 1 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 + x_4 = 2 \\ x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4 = 2 \end{cases}$$

whose difference is only the right hand side and their matrix is symmetric. So let us solve the first one by the Gauss elimination method, and the second one

by the Cholesky method or LU method.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 5 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -7 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -7 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -6 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -6 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_4 = 1, 4x_3 + 2 = -6, x_3 = -2, 4x_2 - 4 - 2 = -2, x_2 = 1, x_1 - 1 + 1 = 3, x_1 = 3$$

Now, let us move to the second equation, which can be written, after the Proposition 2, $G^T GX = B$ and solved in writing $\begin{cases} G^T Y = B \\ GX = Y \end{cases}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_1 = 3, 3 + 2y_2 = 1, y_2 = -1, 2 + 2y_3 = 2, y_3 = 0, 3 - 2 + y_4 = 2, y_4 = 1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_4 = 1, 2x_3 + 1 = 0, x_3 = -\frac{1}{2}, 2x_2 - \frac{1}{2} - 1 = 2, x_2 = \frac{7}{4}, x_1 - \frac{7}{4} + 1 = 3, x_1 = \frac{15}{4}$$

References

- [1] B. Démidovitch and I. Maron. *Eléments de Calcul Numérique*. Editions Mir, 1979.
- [2] J.P. Nougier. *Méthodes de Calcul Numérique*. Masson. Paris, 1987.
- [3] David Kincaid and Ward Cheney. *Numerical Mathematics and Computing*. Brooks/Cole Publishing Co., Pacific Grove, CA, fourth edition, 1999. ISBN 0-534-35184-0.
- [4] E. Pav Steven. *Numerical Methods Course Notes*.
<http://scicomp.ucsd.edu/~spav/pub/numas.pdf>