

Asymptotic stability of singular solution to nonlinear heat equation

Dominika Pilarczyk

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski,
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: dpilarcz@math.uni.wroc.pl

October 31, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the asymptotic stability of singular steady states of the nonlinear heat equation $u_t = \Delta u + u^p$ in weighted L^r -norms.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35K57, 35B33, 35B40.

Keywords: semilinear parabolic equation, asymptotics of solutions, supercritical nonlinearity

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the behaviour for large t of solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad (1.2)$$

depends on the value of the exponent p of the nonlinearity. Let us first recall the critical value of $p = p_F = 1 + 2/n$ called the Fujita exponent which borders the case of a finite-time blow-up for all positive solutions (for $p \leq p_F$) and the case of the existence of some global bounded positive solutions (if $p > p_F$). It is also known that the Sobolev exponent $p_S = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ is critical for the existence of positive steady states that is classical solutions $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the elliptic equation $\Delta\psi + \psi^p = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n . Such solutions exist only if $p \geq p_S$ (see e.g. [1], [8]). Moreover, for $p \geq p_S$ there is a one parameter family of radial positive steady states ψ_k , $k > 0$, given by

$$\psi_k(x) = k\psi_1(k^{\frac{n-1}{2}}|x|), \quad (1.3)$$

where ψ_1 is the unique radial stationary solution with $\psi_1(0) = 1$, which is strictly decreasing in $|x|$ and satisfies $\psi_1(|x|) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ (see [17]).

Another important exponent

$$p_{JL} = \frac{n - 2\sqrt{n-1}}{n - 4 - 2\sqrt{n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 11,$$

appeared for the first time in [10] where the authors studied problems with the nonlinearities of the form $f(u) = a(1 + bu)^p$ for some $a, b > 0$. It is also connected with a change in stability property of positive steady states defined in (1.3). Indeed, Gui *et al.* [9] proved that for $p < p_{JL}$, all positive stationary solutions ψ_k are unstable in any reasonable sense, while for $p \geq p_{JL}$ they are "weakly asymptotically stable" in a weighted L^∞ -norm. Results on the asymptotic stability of zero solution to (1.1)-(1.2) can be found in [16] and in the references given there.

Let us recall that for $p \geq p_{JL}$ the family of the positive equilibria ψ_k , $k > 0$, forms a simply ordered curve. Furthermore, this curve connects the trivial solution if $k \rightarrow 0$ and the singular steady state for $k \rightarrow \infty$, which exists for $p > p_{st} = n/(n-2)$ in dimensions $n \geq 3$ and has the form $v_\infty(x) = L|x|^{-2/(p-1)}$ with a suitably chosen constant L (see (2.1) below). It is also known ([17]) that if $p_S \leq p < p_{JL}$ the graphs of the steady states ψ_k , $0 < k < \infty$, intersect the graph of v_∞ , whereas for $p \geq p_{JL}$ we have $\psi_k < v_\infty$, ($0 < k < \infty$).

Our main goal in this note is to prove asymptotic stability of the singular stationary solution v_∞ in suitable weighted L^r -spaces using estimates of a fundamental solution to a parabolic equation with singular coefficients [11, 13].

2 Results and comments

It can be directly checked that for $p > p_{st} = n/(n-2)$ and $n \geq 3$ equation (1.1) has the singular stationary solution of the form

$$v_\infty(x) = L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} = \left(\frac{2}{p-1} \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} |x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}, \quad (2.1)$$

which plays the central role in this paper.

In particular, problem (1.1)-(1.2) with a nonnegative initial datum u_0 , which is bounded and below singular steady state v_∞ , has the global in time classical solution (see [17, Th. 20.5 (i)] and [14, Th. 1.1]). Moreover, following Galaktionov & Vazquez [7, Th. 10.4 (ii)], we may generalize that result and prove that if $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_\infty(x)$ and $u_0(x) \not\equiv v_\infty(x)$, then the limit function $u(x, t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u^N(x, t)$, where $u^N = u^N(x, t)$ is the solution of the problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + u^p, \quad u(x, 0) = \min\{u_0(x), N\},$$

solves (1.1) and $u(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t > 0$. By those reasons, in the theorems below we always assume that u is the nonnegative solution to the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.2) with the initial datum u_0 satisfying

$$0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_\infty(x). \quad (2.2)$$

In order to show the asymptotic stability of the steady state v_∞ we linearize (1.1) around v_∞ . Denoting by $u = u(x, t)$ the nonnegative solution to (1.1)–(1.2) and introducing $w = v_\infty - u$, we obtain

$$w_t = \Delta w + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2} w - [(v_\infty - w)^p - v_\infty^p + p v_\infty^{p-1} w], \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1} \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we use estimates of the fundamental solution of the linear heat equation with singular potential

$$u_t = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0 \quad (2.5)$$

obtained recently by Liskevich & Sobol [11], Milman & Semenov [13] (see also Moschini & Tesei [12]). As the consequence of the Hardy inequality, it is crucial in that reasoning to assume that $\lambda \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ in equation (2.5). Coming back to the perturbed equation (2.3) and using the explicit form of $\lambda(n, p)$ in (2.4), we obtain by direct calculation (see Remark 4.1 for more details) that the inequality $\lambda(n, p) \leq \frac{(n-4)^2}{4}$ is valid if

$$p \geq p_{JL} = \frac{n - 2\sqrt{n-1}}{n - 4 - 2\sqrt{n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 11. \quad (2.6)$$

By this reason, we limit ourselves to the exponent p of the nonlinearity in (1.1) satisfying (2.6). The exponents mentioned above are ordered as follows: $p_F < p_{st} < p_S < p_{JL}$.

We introduce the parameter σ which plays a crucial role in our reasoning by the formula

$$\sigma = \sigma(n, p) = \frac{n-2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \frac{2p}{p-1} \left(n - 2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right)}. \quad (2.7)$$

It is worth pointing out that $\sigma(n, p) > 2/(p-1)$ if $p > p_{st}$ and $n > 2$. Moreover, the number $\sigma(n, p)$ has the property $2\sigma(n, p) < n$. Let us also notice that $\sigma(n, p)$ appears in a hidden way in the papers of Poláčik, Yanagida, Fila, Winkler (see *e.g.* [15], [6]), because it is the sum of the constant $2/(p-1)$ and λ_1 , where λ_1 is one of the root of the quadratic polynomial $z^2 - (n-2-2L)z + 2(n-2-L)$, given explicitly by the formula

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(n - 2 - 2L - \sqrt{(n-2-2L)^2 - 8(n-2-L)} \right),$$

where L is defined in (2.1).

Now we are in a position to formulate our first result on the convergence of the solutions towards the singular steady state.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume (2.2), (2.6), (2.7). Suppose, moreover, that there exist constants $b > 0$ and $\ell \in (\sigma, n - \sigma)$ such that*

$$v_\infty(x) - b|x|^{-\ell} \leq u_0(x)$$

for all $|x| \geq 1$. Then

$$\sup_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} |x|^\sigma (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) \leq Ct^{-\frac{\ell-\sigma}{2}} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\sup_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) \leq Ct^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}. \quad (2.9)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 1$.

Poláčik & Yanagida [14, Th. 6.1] showed that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 the pointwise convergence holds true, namely, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = v_\infty(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. More recently, Fila & Winkler [5] proved the uniform convergence of solutions $u = u(x, t)$ toward a singular steady state on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\nu(0)$, where $B_\nu(0)$ is the ball in \mathbb{R}^n with the center at the origin and radius ν . Theorem 2.1 completes those results by providing optimal weighted decay estimates in the whole \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 2.1. Note that our calculations in the proof of Theorem 2.1 are valid for any $\ell \in (2/(p-1), n - \sigma)$, but for $\ell \in (2/(p-1), \sigma]$ the right-hand side of inequality (2.8) does not decay in time. \square

We can improve Theorem 2.1 for $\ell = \sigma$ as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied. Suppose that there exists a constant $b > 0$ such that*

$$v_\infty(x) - b|x|^{-\sigma} \leq u_0(x).$$

Let, moreover,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^\sigma (v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} |x|^\sigma (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} (v_\infty(x) - u(x, t)) = 0.$$

Corollary 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, respectively if, moreover, b is sufficiently small, we obtain*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \geq Ct^{\frac{\ell-\sigma}{\sigma(p-1)-2}} \quad \text{if } \ell \in (\sigma, n - \sigma) \quad (2.10)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 1$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty = +\infty \quad \text{if } \ell = \sigma. \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.2. Estimates from below of $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty$, similar to that stated in (2.10), were obtained by Fila *et al.* in [2, Theorem 1.1.], [6, Theorem 1.1.] and improved in [3, Theorem 1.1.] using matched asymptotics expansions. In Corollary 2.1, we emphasize that this inequality is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 2.3. For $p > p_{JL}$ estimates (2.8) and (2.9) seem to be optimal, because they imply the optimal lower bound (2.10), see [3]. On the other hand, for $p = p_{JL}$ the authors of [4] obtained the logarithmic factor on the right-hand side of (2.10), which we are not able to see by our method. \square

Our next goal is to prove the asymptotic stability of v_∞ in the Lebesgue space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) are valid.*

i) Suppose that $v_\infty - u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\cdot|^{-\sigma}(v_\infty - u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\|v_\infty(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \|v_\infty - u_0\|_1 + Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \| |\cdot|^{-\sigma}(v_\infty - u_0) \|_1. \quad (2.12)$$

ii) Suppose that $v_\infty - u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v_\infty(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = 0.$$

Note, that $v_\infty \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $p > p_F$. Here, this property of the singular solution v_∞ is satisfied, because $p_{JL} > p_F$.

Using the fact that the steady states ψ_k defined in (1.3) are below the singular stationary solution v_∞ for $p > p_{JL}$, we may rephrase Theorem 2.3 as follows.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7) are valid. Let ψ_k be the stationary solutions (1.3) for some $k > 0$.*

i) Suppose that $\psi_k - u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\cdot|^{-\sigma}(\psi_k - u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\|\psi_k(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \|\psi_k - u_0\|_1 + Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \| |\cdot|^{-\sigma}(\psi_k - u_0) \|_1. \quad (2.13)$$

ii) Suppose that $\psi_k - u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_k(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Remark 2.4. Observe that Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.2 complete the results by Poláčik & Yanagida, who proved in [14, Proposition 3.5] the stability estimate

$$\|\psi_k(\cdot) - u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq \|\psi_k - u_0\|_2.$$

\square

3 Linear equation with a singular potential

In this section we recall the estimate from above of the fundamental solution of the equation $u_t = \Delta u + \lambda|x|^{-2}u$ obtained by Liskevich & Sobol in [11] and by Milman & Semenov [13]. Following those arguments, we define the weights $\varphi_\sigma(x, t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ as

$$\varphi_\sigma(x, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma & \text{if } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1. [11, 13] *Let $Hu = \Delta u + \lambda|x|^{-2}u$. Assume that $0 \leq \lambda \leq (n-2)^2/4$. The semigroup e^{-tH} of the linear operators generated by H can be written as the integral operator with a kernel $e^{-tH}(x, y)$, namely*

$$e^{-tH}u_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-tH}(x, y)u_0(y) dy.$$

Moreover, there exist positive constants $C > 0$ and $c > 1$, such that for all $t > 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$

$$0 \leq e^{-tH}(x, y) \leq C\varphi_\sigma(x, t)\varphi_\sigma(y, t)G(x - y, ct), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{n-2}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \lambda}$, the functions φ_σ are defined in (3.1) (see also Remark 3.1 below) and $G(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp(-|x|^2/4\pi t)$ is the heat kernel.

Remark 3.1. In fact, Milman & Semenov in [13] used the more regular weight functions $\Phi_\sigma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, namely

$$\Phi_\sigma(x, t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|x|}\right)^\sigma & \text{if } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |x| \geq 2\sqrt{t} \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \Phi_\sigma(x, t) \leq 1$ for $\sqrt{t} \leq |x| \leq 2\sqrt{t}$. It can be checked directly that there exist positive constants c and C for which the inequalities

$$c\varphi_\sigma(x, t) \leq \Phi_\sigma(x, t) \leq C\varphi_\sigma(x, t)$$

hold true, where φ_σ are defined by (3.1). By this reason we are allowed to use the weights φ_σ instead of Φ_σ . \square

The following theorem is the consequence of the estimates stated in (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be valid. Assume that $p > 1 + \frac{2}{n-\sigma}$. Suppose that there exist $b > 0$ and $\ell \in (\frac{2}{p-1}, n - \sigma)$ such that a nonnegative function w_0 satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(x) &\leq b|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} & \text{for } |x| \leq 1, \\ w_0(x) &\leq b|x|^{-\ell} & \text{for } |x| \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t)|e^{-tH}w_0(x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} \quad (3.3)$$

for a constant $C > 0$ and all $t \geq 1$.

Proof. First, for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we apply the estimate of the kernel e^{-tH} from Theorem 3.1 in the following way

$$\varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |e^{-tH} w_0(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy.$$

Next, we split the integral on the right-hand side into three parts $I_1(x, t)$, $I_2(x, t)$ and $I_3(x, t)$ according to the definition of the weights φ_σ and the assumptions on the function w_0 . Let us begin with $I_1(x, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{|y| \leq 1} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C b t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|y| \leq 1} G(x - y, ct) |y|^{-\sigma - \frac{2}{p-1}} \, dy \leq C b t^{-\frac{n-\sigma}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G(x - y, ct)$ is bounded by $C t^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ and the function $|y|^{-\sigma - \frac{2}{p-1}}$ is integrable for $|y| \leq 1$ if $p > 1 + 2/(n-\sigma)$.

We use the same argument to deal with

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C b t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) |y|^{-\sigma - \ell} \, dy \leq C b t^{\frac{\sigma-n}{2}} \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq \sqrt{t}} |y|^{-\sigma - \ell} \, dy \\ &\leq C b t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} + C b t^{-\frac{n-\sigma}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) \, dy \\ &\leq C b \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) |y|^{-\ell} \, dy \leq C b t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

using the inequality $1 \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\ell$ for $|y| \geq \sqrt{t}$ and the identity $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \, dy = 1$ for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\ell \in (2/(p-1), n - \sigma)$, we complete the proof of (3.3). \square

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $|\cdot|^\sigma w_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^\sigma w_0(x) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |e^{-tH} w_0(x)| = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we use the estimate from Theorem 3.1 as follows

$$\varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |e^{-tH} w_0(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) \varphi_\sigma(y, t) w_0(y) dy.$$

We decompose the integral on the right-hand side according to the definition of φ_σ and we estimate each term separable. Substituting $y = z\sqrt{t}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, t) &\equiv C \int_{|y| \leq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|} \right)^\sigma w_0(y) dy \\ &= Ct^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|z| \leq 1} G\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} - z, c \right) |z|^{-2\sigma} |\sqrt{t}z|^\sigma w_0(\sqrt{t}z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} I_1(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, because $G\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}} - z, c\right)$ is bounded and the function $|z|^{-2\sigma}$ is integrable for $|z| \leq 1$. By the assumption imposed on w_0 , given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose t so large that

$$\sup_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} |y|^\sigma w_0(y) < \varepsilon.$$

Now, using the inequality $1 \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{|y|}\right)^\sigma$ for $|y| \geq \sqrt{t}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, t) &\equiv \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) w_0(y) dy \leq t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) |y|^\sigma w_0(y) dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}} G(x - y, ct) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x - y, ct) dy = 1$ for all $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get

$$t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} I_2(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Let us defined the weighted L^q -norm as follows

$$\|f\|_{q, \varphi_\sigma(t)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t)|^q \varphi_\sigma^2(x, t) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq q < \infty,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\infty, \varphi_\sigma(t)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\sigma^{-1}(x, t) |f(x)| \quad \text{for } q = \infty.$$

Note, that in particular for $q = 2$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2, \varphi_\sigma(t)}$ agrees with the usual L^2 -norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Then the following inequality holds true*

$$\|e^{-tH}w_0\|_{q,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q})}\|w_0\|_{r,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \quad (3.5)$$

for every $1 \leq r \leq q \leq \infty$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof of estimate (3.5) can be directly deduced from the reasoning by Milman & Semenov. Indeed, in [13, page 381], we can find the inequality

$$\|\varphi_\sigma^{-1}e^{-tH}\varphi_\sigma f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n,\varphi_\sigma^2(x,t) \, dx)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n,\varphi_\sigma^2(x,t) \, dx)}.$$

Hence, substituting $\varphi_\sigma f = w_0$ and using the definitions of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{q,\varphi_\sigma(t)}$, we obtain (3.5) with $q = 2$ and $r = 1$. This inequality together with

$$\|e^{-tH}w_0\|_{1,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \leq C\|w_0\|_{1,\varphi_\sigma(t)},$$

stated in [13, page 391], imply (3.5) for $q = 1$ and every $1 \leq r \leq 2$ by Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. Moreover, the operator e^{-tH} is self-adjoint, so by duality the inequality

$$\|e^{-tH}w_0\|_{\infty,\varphi_\sigma(t)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|w_0\|_{2,\varphi_\sigma(t)}$$

holds true. The semigroup property $e^{-tH} = e^{-t/2H}e^{-t/2H}$ leads to (3.5) with $q = \infty$ and $r = 1$. Applying duality and Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem once more, we complete the proof of (3.5). Let us emphasize at the end of this reasoning, that the inequalities (3.5) are used by Milman & Semenov in [13] to derive the kernel estimate (3.2). \square

4 Linearization around a singular steady state

Let u be a solution of (1.1) with initial datum satisfying (2.2). We substitute

$$w(x,t) = v_\infty(x) - u(x,t)$$

to get

$$w_t = \Delta w + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}w - [(v_\infty - w)^p - v_\infty^p + pv_\infty^{p-1}w], \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(n,p) = \frac{2p}{p-1}(n-2 - \frac{2}{p-1})$. Let us note that the last term on the right-hand side of equation (4.1) is non positive, namely

$$(v_\infty - w)^p - v_\infty^p \geq -pv_\infty^{p-1}w,$$

which is the direct consequence of the convexity of the function $f(s) = s^p$. Indeed, since the graph of the function f lies above all of its tangents, we have $f(s-h) - f(s) \geq -f'(s)h$ for all s and h in \mathbb{R} .

The proofs of our results are based on the following elementary observation. If w is a nonnegative solution of equation (4.1) with the initial condition $w_0(x) \geq 0$, then

$$0 \leq w(x,t) \leq e^{-tH}w_0(x)$$

with $Hw = \Delta w + \lambda(n, p)|x|^{-2}w$. Consequently, using the condition $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq v_\infty(x)$ and the just-mentioned comparison principle we can write

$$0 \leq v_\infty(x) - u(x, t) \leq e^{-tH}(v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \quad (4.2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH}(v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \leq u(x, t) \leq v_\infty(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 4.1. If $n \geq 11$ and either $p \geq p_{JL}$ or $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2\sqrt{n-1}}{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}$, then the linearized problem

$$\begin{aligned} w_t &= \Delta w + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}w, \\ w(x, 0) &= w_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = \lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1}(n-2 - \frac{2}{p-1})$ has the unique solution. Indeed, in the view of Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lambda(n, p) = \frac{2p}{p-1} \left(n-2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}.$$

Substituting $y = 1/(p-1)$, after elementary calculations, we arrive at the inequality

$$16y^2 + (32 - 8n)y + n^2 - 12n + 20 \geq 0$$

which has the solution $y \in (-\infty, \frac{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}{4}] \cup [\frac{n-4+\sqrt{n-1}}{4}, +\infty)$. Moreover, if $n \geq 11$, then $\frac{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}{4} > 0$ and if $n \in (2, 10)$, then $\frac{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}}{4} < 0$ and $\frac{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}{4} > 0$. These observations give us that $p \geq p_{JL}$ or $\frac{n}{n-2} < p \leq \frac{n+2\sqrt{n-1}}{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}}$. \square

5 Asymptotic stability of steady states

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to use inequality (4.2) and to estimate its right-hand side by Theorem 3.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to use (4.2) together with Theorem 3.3 substituting $w_0(x) = v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)$. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.1. Since we have inequality (4.3), it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} [v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH} w_0(x)] \geq C(b)t^{\frac{\ell-\sigma}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

for $w_0 = v_\infty - u_0$. Hence, inequality (3.3) from Theorem 3.2 enables us to write

$$v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH}(v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \geq v_\infty(x) - Cb\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$. Next, using the explicit form of the weights φ_σ , we define the function

$$F(|x|, t) = v_\infty(|x|) - Cb\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} = \begin{cases} L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - Cbt^{\frac{\sigma-\ell}{2}}|x|^{-\sigma} & \text{for } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - Cbt^{-\frac{\ell}{2}} & \text{for } |x| \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases}$$

An easy computation shows that the function F has its maximum at

$$|x| = C(b)t^{\frac{\sigma-\ell}{2} \frac{p-1}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

and it is equal to

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(|x|, t) = C(b)t^{\frac{\ell-\sigma}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

for some constant $C(b) \geq 0$. Hence, we get (2.10).

To obtain (2.11), we use the result from Theorem 3.3. It follows from (3.4) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$|e^{-tH} w_0(x)| < \varepsilon\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > T$. Hence, by (4.3), we have

$$v_\infty(x) - e^{-tH}(v_\infty(x) - u_0(x)) \geq v_\infty(x) - C\varepsilon\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}.$$

Now, once more using the explicit form of the weights φ_σ , we consider the function

$$G(|x|, t) = v_\infty(|x|) - C\varepsilon\varphi_\sigma(x, t)t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} = \begin{cases} L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - \varepsilon|x|^{-\sigma} & \text{for } |x| \leq \sqrt{t}, \\ L|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} - \varepsilon t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} & \text{for } |x| \geq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases}$$

An elementary computations give us that the function G attains its maximum at

$$|x| = C\varepsilon^{-\frac{p-1}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

and

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} G(|x|, t) = C\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\sigma(p-1)-2}}$$

for some constant $C \geq 0$. Since $\sigma > 2/(p-1)$, we see that the maximum of the function G diverges to infinity if ε tends to zero. This completes the proof of (2.11). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3(i). According to (4.2) it is enough to estimate the L^2 - norm of the expression $e^{-tH}w_0$ for every w_0 satisfying two conditions: $w_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|\cdot|^{-\sigma}w_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Applying (3.5), with $q = 2$, $r = 1$ and using the definition of the functions $\varphi_\sigma(x, t)$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 &\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}\|w_0\|_{1, \varphi_\sigma(t)} = Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} w_0(x)|x|^{-\sigma} dx \\ &\quad + Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} w_0(x) dx \leq Ct^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{4}} \|w_0 \cdot |\cdot|^{-\sigma}\|_1 + Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \|w_0\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes formula (2.12). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3(ii). Again, by (4.2), we only need to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 = 0$$

for each $w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|w_0 - \psi\|_2 < \varepsilon$. Using first the triangle inequality and next (3.5), with $q = 2$ and $r = 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 &\leq \|e^{-tH}(w_0 - \psi)\|_2 + \|e^{-tH}\psi\|_2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + \|e^{-tH}\psi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the second term on the right-hand side convergence to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by the first part of Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{-tH}w_0\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3 (ii), because $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrary small. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. We linearize equation (1.1) around the positive steady state ψ_k substituting $v = \psi_k - u$ to get

$$v_t = \Delta v + p\psi_k^{p-1}v - ((\psi_k - v)^p - \psi_k^p + p\psi_k^{p-1}v). \quad (5.1)$$

Once more, using the convexity of the function $f(s) = s^p$, let us notice that the expression $(\psi_k - v)^p - \psi_k^p + p\psi_k^{p-1}v$ is nonnegative. Furthermore, $\psi_k < v_\infty$ as long as $p > p_{JL}$ and $n \geq 11$. Hence applying first, the comparison principle to the approximate problem

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta + p \min\{N^{p-1}, v_\infty^{p-1}\}v, \\ v(x, 0) &= v_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

with the nonnegative initial datum and next, passing to the limit when N tends to infinity, we get

$$0 \leq \psi_k(x) - u(x, t) \leq e^{-tH}(\psi_k(x) - u_0(x)).$$

Now, (2.13) and (2.14) are the straightforward consequences of the reasoning used in the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express her gratitude to the anonymous referee for several helpful comments and to Jacek Zienkiewicz for many stimulating conversations and . This work is a part of the author PhD dissertation written under supervision of Grzegorz Karch.

References

- [1] W. X. Chen, C. Li, *Qualitative properties of solutions to some nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), 427–439.
- [2] M. Fila, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Grow-up rate of solutions for a supercritical semilinear diffusion equation*, J. Diff. Equations **205** (2004), 365–389.
- [3] M. Fila, J. R. King, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Optimal lower bound of the grow-up rate for a supercritical parabolic equation*, J. Diff. Equations **228** (2006), 339–356.
- [4] M. Fila, J. R. King, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Grow-up rate of solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with a critical exponent*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **12** (2007), 1–26.
- [5] M. Fila, M. Winkler, *Rate of convergence to a singular steady state of a supercritical parabolic equation*, J. Evol. Eq. **8** (2008), 673–692.
- [6] M. Fila, M. Winkler, E. Yanagida, *Slow convergence to zero for a parabolic equation with a supercritical nonlinearity*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 477–496.
- [7] V.A. Galaktionov, J.L. Vazquez, *Continuation of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations in several space dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997) 1–67.
- [8] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), 525–598.
- [9] C. Gui, W.-M. Ni, X. Wang, *Furter study on a nonlinear heat equation*, J. Differ. Equations **169** (2001), 588–613.
- [10] D. D. Joseph, T.S. Lundgren, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **49** (1973), 241–269.
- [11] V. Liskevich, Z. Sobol, *Estimates of integral kernels for semigroups associated with second order elliptic operators with singular coefficients*, Potential Anal. **18** (2003), 359–390.
- [12] L. Moschini, A. Tesei, *Parabolic Harnack inequality for the heat equation with inverse-square potential*, Forum Math. **19** (2007), 407–427.

- [13] P. D. Milman, Yu. A. Semenov, *Global heat kernel bounds via desingularizing weights*, Journal of Func. Analysis. **212** (2004), 373–398.
- [14] P. Poláčik, E. Yanagida, *On bounded and unbounded global solutions of a supercritical semilinear heat equation*, Math. Ann. **327** (2003), 745–771.
- [15] P. Poláčik, E. Yanagida, *Nonstabilizing solutions and grow-up set for a supercritical semilinear diffusion equation*, Differential and Integral Equations, **17** (2004), 535–548.
- [16] P. Quittner, *The decay of global solutions of a semilinear heat equation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **21** (2008), 307–318.
- [17] P. Quittner, P. Souplet, *Superlinear Parabolic Problems*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts (2007).