# Non-very ample configurations arising from contingency tables

Hidefumi Ohsugi Takayuki Hibi

#### Abstract

In this paper, it is proved that, if a toric ideal possesses a fundamental binomial none of whose monomials is squarefree, then the corresponding semigroup ring is not very ample. Moreover, very ample semigroup rings of Lawrence type are discussed. As an application, we study very ampleness of configurations arising from contingency tables.

## 1 Introduction

A configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a finite set  $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  such that there exists a vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i = 1$  for all i. Let  $K[\mathbf{t}] = K[t_1, \dots, t_d]$  denote the polynomial ring in d variables over a field K. We associate a configuration A with the semigroup ring  $K[A] = K[\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_n}]$ , where  $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}} = t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_d^{a_d}$  if  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ . Let  $K[\mathbf{x}] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  denote the polynomial ring in n variables over K. The toric ideal  $I_A$  of A is the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\pi : K[\mathbf{x}] \longrightarrow K[A]$  defined by setting  $\pi(x_i) = \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

We are interested in the following conditions:

- (i) A is unimodular, i.e., the initial ideal of  $I_A$  is generated by squarefree monomials with respect to any monomial order;
- (ii) A is compressed, i.e., the initial ideal of  $I_A$  is generated by squarefree monomials with respect to any reverse lexicographic order;
- (iii) there exists a monomial order < such that the initial ideal of  $I_A$  with respect to < is generated by squarefree monomials;
- (iv) K[A] is normal, i.e.,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A = \mathbb{Z}A \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A$ ;
- (v) K[A] is very ample, i.e.,  $(\mathbb{Z}A \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A$  is a finite (or empty) set.

Then (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iv)  $\Longrightarrow$  (v) holds and each of the converse of them is false in general. If K[A] is not normal, then an element of  $(\mathbb{Z}A \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A$  is called *hole*.

Let  $P_A$  denote the convex hull of A. For a subset  $B \subset A$ , K[B] is called combinatorial pure subring ([4], [3]) of K[A] if there exists a face F of  $P_A$  such that  $B = A \cap F$ . For example, if  $K[B] = K[A] \cap K[t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_s}]$ , then K[B] is a combinatorial pure subring of K[A]. (This is the original definition of a combinatorial pure subring in [4].) A binomial  $f \in I_A$  is called fundamental if there exists a combinatorial pure subring K[B] of K[A] such that  $I_B$  is generated by f. In Section 2, it will be proved that, if  $I_A$  possesses a fundamental binomial none of whose monomials is squarefree, then K[A] is not very ample. The Lawrence lifting  $\Lambda(A)$  of the configuration A is the configuration arising from the matrix

$$\Lambda(A) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & \mathbf{0} \\ I_n & I_n \end{array}\right),\,$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $d \times n$  zero matrix. A configuration A is called Lawrence type if there exists a configuration B such that  $\Lambda(B) = A$ . In Section 2, it will be proved that a configuration of Lawrence type is very ample if and only if it is unimodular.

In Section 3, by using the results in Section 2, we study very ample configurations arising from no n-way interaction models for  $r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_n$  contingency tables, where  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_n \geq 2$ . Let  $A_{r_1r_2\cdots r_n}$  be the set of vectors  $\mathbf{e}_{i_2i_3\cdots i_n}^{(1)} \oplus \mathbf{e}_{i_1i_3\cdots i_n}^{(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{e}_{i_1i_2\cdots i_{n-1}}^{(n)}$ , where each  $i_k$  belongs to  $[r_k] = \{1, 2, \dots, r_k\}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{j_1j_2\cdots j_{n-1}}^{(k)}$  is a unit coordinate vector of  $\mathbb{Z}^{d_k}$  with  $d_k = \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^n r_\ell}{r_k}$ . The toric ideal  $I_{A_{r_1r_2\cdots r_n}}$  is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\pi: K[\{x_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \mid i_k \in [r_k]\}] \longrightarrow K[\{t_{i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \cdots i_n} \mid k \in [n], i_k \in [r_k]\}]$$

defined by  $\pi(x_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}) = t_{i_2 i_3 \cdots i_n}^{(1)} t_{i_1 i_3 \cdots i_n}^{(2)} t_{i_1 i_3 \cdots i_n}^{(n)} \cdots t_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n-1}}^{(n)}$ . The following is known:

$r_1 \times r_2 \text{ or } r_1 \times r_2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2$	unimodular
$r_1 \times 3 \times 3$	compressed, not unimodular
$4 \times 4 \times 3$	normal, not compressed
$5 \times 5 \times 3 \text{ or } 5 \times 4 \times 3$	not compressed (normality is unknown)
otherwise, i.e.,	
$n \ge 4$ and $r_3 \ge 3$	not normal
$n=3$ and $r_3 \geq 4$	
$n = 3, r_3 = 3, r_1 \ge 6 \text{ and } r_2 \ge 4$	

By virtue of the results in Section 2, we will prove that configurations in "otherwise" part are not very ample.

# 2 Fundamental binomials

The following lemma plays an important role in the present paper.

**Lemma 1.** Let K[B] be a combinatorial pure subring of K[A]. If K[A] is normal (resp. very ample), then K[B] is normal (resp. very ample).

*Proof.* Let K[B] be a combinatorial pure subring of K[A]. It is enough to show that  $(\mathbb{Z}B \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0}B) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{>0}B \subset (\mathbb{Z}A \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0}A) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{>0}A$ .

Let  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}B \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}B) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ . Since B is a subset of A, we have  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}A \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}A$ . Suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}A$ . Then  $\alpha = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a}$  with  $0 \leq z_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}B$ ,  $0 < z_{\mathbf{a}}$  for some  $\mathbf{a} \in A \setminus B$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}B$ ,  $\alpha = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in B} q_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a}$  with  $0 \leq q_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $\alpha = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in B} q_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a}$ . Since K[B] is a combinatorial pure subring of K[A], there exists a face F of  $P_A$  such that  $B = A \cap F$ . Then there exist  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$F = P_A \cap \{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b} = c \},$$
$$P_A \subset \{ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b} \le c \}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{a} = c$  for all  $\mathbf{a} \in B$  and  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{a} < c$  for all  $\mathbf{a} \in A \setminus B$ . Hence  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \alpha = c$   $\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in B} q_{\mathbf{a}} < c$   $\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Thus we have  $c \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in B} q_{\mathbf{a}} \neq \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}}$ . On the other hand, since A is a configuration, there exists a vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{a} \in A$ . Hence  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \alpha = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in B} q_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} z_{\mathbf{a}}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}A \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0}A) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{>0}A$  as desired.

It is known [4, Lemma 3.1] that

**Proposition 2.** If  $g = u - v \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is a binomial such that neither u nor v is squarefree and if  $I_A = (g)$ , then K[A] is not normal.

We extend Proposition 2 as follows:

**Lemma 3.** If  $g = u - v \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  is a binomial such that neither u nor v is squarefree and if  $I_A = (g)$ , then K[A] is not very ample.

Proof. Let  $g = x_1^2 u' - x_2^2 v'$ . Since g is irreducible,  $u' \ (\neq 1)$  is not divided by  $x_2$  and  $v' \ (\neq 1)$  is not divided by  $x_1$ . Since  $\pi(x_1^2 u') = \pi(x_2^2 v')$ , we have  $\sqrt{\pi(u'v')} = \frac{\pi(x_1 u')}{\pi(x_2)}$ . Let  $x_k$  be a variable with  $k \neq 1, 2$ . Then the monomial  $\pi(x_k^m) \sqrt{\pi(u'v')}$  belongs to the quotient field of K[A] and is integral over K[A] for all positive integer m. Suppose that there exists a monomial w such that  $\pi(w) = \pi(x_k^m) \sqrt{\pi(u'v')}$ . It then follows that the binomial  $g' = x_1 u' x_k^m - x_2 w$  belongs to  $I_A$ . Since  $I_A = (g)$  and  $x_1 u' x_k^m$  is divided by neither  $x_1^2 u'$  nor  $x_2^2 v'$ , we have g' = 0. Hence  $x_2$  must divide u', a contradiction. Thus  $\pi(x_k^m) \sqrt{\pi(u'v')}$  is a hole for all m and K[A] is not very ample.

**Theorem 4.** If  $I_A$  possesses a fundamental binomial g = u - v such that neither u nor v is squarefree, then K[A] is not very ample.

*Proof.* Since g is fundamental, there exists a combinatorial pure subring K[B] of K[A] such that  $I_B$  is generated by g. Thanks to Lemma 3, K[B] is not very ample. Since K[B] is a combinatorial pure subring of K[A], K[A] is not very ample by Lemma 1.

Thanks to Theorem 4 together with the results in [4], we extend [4, Theorem 3.4] as follows:

**Corollary 5.** Let K[A] be a semigroup ring and let  $K[\Lambda(A)]$  its Lawrence lifting. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) K[A] is unimodular;
- (ii)  $K[\Lambda(A)]$  is unimodular;
- (iii)  $K[\Lambda(A)]$  is very ample.

*Proof.* First, (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is well-known. On the other hand, (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is proved in [4, Theorem 3.4].

In order to show (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), suppose that K[A] is not unimodular. Then, by the same argument in Proof of [4, Theorem 3.4],  $I_{\Lambda(A)}$  has a fundamental binomial  $\overline{g}$  none of whose monomials is squarefree. Thanks to Theorem 4,  $K[\Lambda(A)]$  is not very ample as desired.

**Remark 6.** A binomial f belonging to  $I_A$  is called *indispensable* if, for an arbitrary system  $\mathcal{F}$  of binomial generators of  $I_A$ , either f or -f appears in  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular, every fundamental binomial is indispensable. However, Theorem 4 is not true if we replace "fundamental" with "indispensable." Let  $K[A] = K[t_2, t_1t_2, t_1^3t_2, t_1^4t_2] \subset K[t_1, t_2]$ . Then K[A] is very ample and  $I_A$  is generated by the set of indispensable binomials  $\{x_1x_4 - x_2x_3, x_2^3 - x_1^2x_3, x_3^3 - x_2x_4^2, x_1x_3^2 - x_2^2x_4\}$ . (The toric ideal  $I_A$  has no fundamental binomials.)

# 3 Configurations arising from contingency tables

Configurations in "otherwise" part of

$r_1 \times r_2 \text{ or } r_1 \times r_2 \times 2 \times \cdots \times 2$	unimodular
$r_1 \times 3 \times 3$	compressed, not unimodular
$4 \times 4 \times 3$	normal, not compressed
$5 \times 5 \times 3 \text{ or } 5 \times 4 \times 3$	not compressed (normality is unknown)
otherwise, i.e.,	
$n \ge 4$ and $r_3 \ge 3$	not normal
$n=3$ and $r_3 \geq 4$	
$n = 3, r_3 = 3, r_1 \ge 6 \text{ and } r_2 \ge 4$	

are studied in [5] by using the notion of combinatorial pure subring and indispensable binomials. For  $6 \times 4 \times 3$  case, non-normality is shown in [7] and it was proved [2] that it is not very ample. On the other hand, compressed configurations are classified in [6]. For  $4 \times 4 \times 3$  case, it was announced in [2, P.87] that Ruriko Yoshida verified that it is normal by using the software NORMALIZ ([1]).

The basic facts on  $A_{r_1 \cdots r_n}$  are [5, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2]:

**Proposition 7.** The configuration  $A_{r_1 \cdots r_n 2}$  is the Lawrence lifting of  $A_{r_1 \cdots r_n}$ .

**Proposition 8.** Suppose that  $A_{r_1\cdots r_n}$  and  $A_{s_1\cdots s_n}$  satisfy  $s_i \leq r_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $K[A_{s_1\cdots s_n}]$  is a combinatorial pure subring of  $K[A_{r_1\cdots r_n}]$ .

**Theorem 9.** Work with the same notation as above. Then, each configuration in "otherwise" part is not very ample.

*Proof.* Let A be a configuration in "otherwise" part. Thanks to Proposition 8, K[A] has at least one of  $K[A_{444}]$ ,  $K[A_{643}]$  and  $K[A_{3332...2}]$  as a combinatorial pure subring. It is easy to check that  $I_{A_{444}}$  has a fundamental binomial

$$x_{111}^2 x_{133} x_{144} x_{223} x_{224} x_{232} x_{242} x_{313} x_{322} x_{341} x_{414} x_{422} x_{431}$$

$$-x_{113} x_{114} x_{131} x_{141} x_{222}^2 x_{233} x_{244} x_{311} x_{323} x_{342} x_{411} x_{424} x_{432},$$

and  $I_{A_{643}}$  has a fundamental binomial

$$x_{111}x_{221}x_{331}x_{641}x_{212}x_{522}x_{432}x_{642}x_{413}x_{323}x_{633}^2x_{143}x_{543}\\ -x_{211}x_{321}x_{631}x_{141}x_{412}x_{222}x_{632}x_{542}x_{113}x_{523}x_{333}x_{433}x_{643}^2.$$

Since none of the monomials appearing above is squarefree, both  $K[A_{444}]$  and  $K[A_{643}]$  are not very ample by Theorem 4. Moreover, since  $A_{333}$  is not unimodular,  $K[A_{3332\cdots 2}]$  is not very ample by Corollary 5 together with Proposition 7. Thus, K[A] is not very ample by Lemma 1.

We close the present paper with an interesting problem.

**Problem 10.** Find natural classes of configurations appearing in statistics which is not normal but very ample.

## References

- [1] Bruns, W. and Ichim, B. Normaliz 2.0, Computing normalizations of affine semigroups, Available from http://www.math.uos.de/normaliz.
- [2] Hemmecke, R., Takemura, A. and Yoshida, R. (2009). Computing holes in semi-groups and its applications to transportation problems. *Contributions to Discrete Mathematics*, 4 No.1, 81-91.

- [3] Ohsugi, H. (2007). A geometric definition of combinatorial pure subrings and Gröbner bases of toric ideals of positive roots. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli*, **56**, no. 1, 27 44.
- [4] Ohsugi, H., Herzog, J. and Hibi, T. (2000). Combinatorial pure subrings. Osaka J. Math., 37, 745 757.
- [5] Ohsugi, H. and Hibi, T. (2007). Toric ideals arising from contingency tables. *in* "Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics," Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 4, Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, pp. 91 115.
- [6] Sullivant, S.(2006). Compressed polytopes and statistical disclosure limitation. Tohoku Math. J. (2) Volume 58, Number 3, 433 – 445.
- [7] Vlach, M. (1986). Conditions for the existence of solutions of the three-dimensional planar transportation problem. *Disc. App. Math.* **13**, 61 78.

## Hidefumi Ohsugi

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Rikkyo University Tokyo 171-8501, Japan ohsugi@rkmath.rikkyo.ac.jp

### Takayuki Hibi

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University

Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp